medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Comprehensive Assessment of Sleep Duration, Insomnia and Brain Structure within the UK Biobank Cohort

Aleks Stolicyn[1], Laura M. Lyall[2], Donald M. Lyall[2], Nikolaj Høier[1,3], Mark J. Adams[1], Xueyi Shen[1], James H. Cole[4,5], Andrew M. McIntosh[1], Heather C. Whalley[1], Daniel J. Smith[1]

1. Division of Psychiatry, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
2. School of Health & Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, United Kingdom
3. Copenhagen Research Center for Mental Health CORE, Mental Health Center Copenhagen, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark
4. Dementia Research Centre, Queen Square Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, United Kingdom
5. Centre for Medical Image Computing, Department of Computer Science, University College London, London, United Kingdom

Corresponding author:

Prof Daniel Smith
Division of Psychiatry, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh
Kennedy Tower, Royal Edinburgh Hospital, Morningside Park, Edinburgh EH10 5HF, UK.
Email: d.smith@ed.ac.uk

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Study Objectives

To assess for associations between sleeping more than or less than recommended by the National Sleep Foundation (NSF), and self-reported insomnia, with brain structure.

Methods

Data from the UK Biobank cohort were analysed (*N* between 9K and 32K, dependent on availability, aged 44 to 82 years). Sleep measures included self-reported adherence to NSF guidelines on sleep duration (sleeping between 7 and 9 hours per night), and self-reported difficulty falling or staying asleep (insomnia). Brain structural measures included global and regional cortical or subcortical morphometry (thickness, surface area, volume), global and tract-related white matter microstructure, brain age gap (difference between chronological age and age estimated from brain scan), and total volume of white matter lesions.

Results

Longer-than-recommended sleep duration was associated with lower overall grey and white matter volumes, lower global and regional cortical thickness and volume measures, higher brain age gap, higher volume of white matter lesions, higher mean diffusivity globally and in thalamic and association fibers, and lower volume of the hippocampus. Shorter-than-recommended sleep duration was related to higher global and cerebellar white matter volumes, lower global and regional cortical surface areas, and lower fractional anisotropy in projection fibers. Self-reported insomnia was associated with higher global grey and white matter volumes, and with higher volumes of the amygdala, hippocampus and putamen.

Conclusions

Sleeping longer than recommended by the NSF is associated with a wide range of differences in brain structure, potentially indicative of poorer brain health. Sleeping less than recommended is distinctly associated with lower cortical surface areas. Future studies should assess the potential mechanisms of these differences and investigate long sleep duration as a putative marker of brain health.

Keywords: Sleep duration, insomnia, brain structure, grey matter, white matter.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

INTRODUCTION

Sleep is fundamentally important for physical and mental health. Sleep deprivation or insufficient sleep is associated with increased blood pressure,[1] weight gain,[2,3] increased risk of diabetes and heart disease,[4–6] and immune system dysfunction.[7] For mental health, problems with sleep (both hypersomnia or insomnia) are a feature of many psychiatric disorders, particularly dementia, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, major depression and anxiety.[8–11]

Sleep has a multitude of functions, including the restoration of brain energy reserves,[12,13] clearance of metabolic by-products of wakefulness,[14–16] and the maintenance of attention and memory functions.[17–20]

Several studies have investigated relationships between sleep and brain structure. Lower sleep quality and the presence of sleep problems was associated with reduced hippocampal volumes over the lifespan in the Lifebrain consortium ($N = 1299$),[21] while longer sleep duration was related to lower overall cerebral brain volumes in the Framingham Heart Study ($N = 2060$).[22] For a sub-sample of the Human Connectome Project ($N = 974$), shorter sleep duration and poorer sleep quality were associated with lower intracortical myelin in parts of the cingulate, middle temporal and orbitofrontal cortices.[23] In several smaller-scale studies poor sleep quality and non-optimal sleep duration have been associated with reduced grey matter volumes in frontal, temporal and parietal regions, as well as reduced white-matter integrity.[24,25] Taken together, these findings indicate that sleep duration is non-linearly related to brain structure, with optimal sleep times associated with greater brain regional volumes.[22–24,26] Poorer sleep quality, on the other hand, is likely related to lower cortical and subcortical volumes.[21,24]

Very few studies to date have looked at how sleep may be related to complex measures of

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

brain structure which incorporate multiple imaging-derived phenotypes, such as brain-predicted age.[27] For example, Ramduny et al. found an association of poor sleep quality with accelerated brain ageing in a small sample of older participants ($N = 50$),[28] while Chu et al. found an association of acute sleep deprivation with changes in brain morphology reminiscent of advanced brain ageing ($N = 134$ young adults).[29] These results have not yet been replicated in larger samples.

For insomnia, a recent ENIGMA consortium study found depression-specific insomnia severity to be associated with widespread decreases in cortical surface areas ($N = 1053$).[30] In a Spanish study of risk for Alzheimer's (ALFA, $N > 330$), diagnosis of insomnia was related to lower grey matter volume in the thalamus, cingulate and temporal cortices.[31] The same study found associations with higher volume in the left caudate and widespread differences in white matter microstructure. These findings indicate that insomnia-related differences in the brain may depend on insomnia severity, as well as the presence of co-morbid conditions such as depression or Alzheimer's disease.

Overall, studies of sleep and brain structure have so far been somewhat inconsistent, and brain measures of both grey and white matter structure have only rarely been studied within the same sample.[31] We aimed to address these limitations by testing associations of sleep duration and insomnia with a comprehensive range of brain structural measures in the large UK Biobank cohort.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

METHODS

Study Overview

We performed cross-sectional analyses to investigate associations of measures of grey matter structure and white matter microstructure with self-reported sleep variables in the UK Biobank.[32] Drawing on previous findings, we hypothesised that suboptimal sleep duration (either too long or too short) and insomnia would be associated with reductions in grey matter volumes and differences in white matter microstructure. We aimed to better characterise these differences by leveraging the wide range of brain measures and the large sample sizes available within UK Biobank.

Participant Sample

All data analysed in the current study were collected as part of the first imaging assessment conducted between April 2014 and March 2020. Participants were excluded from any analysis where they had missing sleep-related, covariate or brain imaging data, or where they had outlier values in any brain measures. Exclusions were performed separately for each group of outcome (brain imaging) variables to maximise sample sizes. No further exclusion criteria were applied. All primary analyses had between 9K and 32K participants. Table 1 presents characteristics of the sample used in the analyses of global grey and white matter volumes in relation to sleep guideline adherence ($N = 32{,}119$). Supplementary section S1.6 and the accompanying CSV tables provide further details of participant exclusions and the summary sample demographic characteristics for all conducted analyses. UK Biobank received ethical approval from the North West Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee in the UK (reference 11/NW/0382), and the current study received approval from the UKB Access Committee (application #4844). All participants gave written informed consent.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Sleep Measures

Drawing on previous work,[21–26,30,31] we focused our main analyses on three binary sleep-related variables: 1) sleeping longer than recommended by the National Sleep Foundation (NSF) for adults[33] (> 9 hours per day, as compared to 7-9 hours); 2) sleeping less than recommended by the NSF (< 7 hours per day, as compared to 7-9 hours); and 3) insomnia/sleeplessness defined as usual trouble falling or staying asleep at night. As supplementary analyses, we also tested associations of sleep duration, longer compared to shorter than recommended sleep, late chronotype, and 'ease of getting up' (supplementary section S2.2). All variables were based on self-report data from the UK Biobank touchscreen questionnaire, collected as part of the first imaging assessment.[34] Supplementary section S1.1 provides detailed definitions of sleep-related variables.

Brain Structure Measures

We tested a set of global brain measures, a set of regional grey matter morphometric measures, and a set of white matter microstructure measures for individual tracts.

Global grey matter measures included the overall grey matter volume (head-size normalised), and global cortical thickness, surface area and cortical volume measures. Global white matter measures included overall white matter volume (head-size normalised), overall volume of white matter hyperintensities (lesions), and five PCA-derived measures of global white matter microstructure: fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), intracellular volume fraction (ICVF), orientation dispersion (OD) and isotropic volume fraction (ISOVF). Finally, a brain age gap estimate was derived for a subset of participants as the difference between age estimated from T1-weighted MRI scan (brain-predicted age) and actual chronological age (additionally residualised for chronological age). Brain-predicted age measures were obtained with brainageR toolkit version 2.1, through application of a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

predictive model based on Gaussian processes regression to normalised voxel-wise measures of grey matter, white matter and cerebrospinal fluid[27] (supplementary section S1.2.1).

Individual-region grey matter morphometric data consisted of cortical thickness, surface area and volume measures for 5 bilateral lobes, 33 bilateral individual regions defined according to the Desikan-Killiany atlas, and volumes of 10 bilateral subcortical structures. White matter microstructure data consisted of FA and MD measures for three fiber bundles (association, projection and thalamic), and for 15 individual white matter tracts (12 bilateral and 3 unilateral). Supplementary analyses tested associations of fiber and tract-related ICVF, OD and ISOVF measures (supplementary section S2.1). Supplementary section S1.2 provides further details of the analysed brain measures.

Statistical Models and Covariates

Analyses of unilateral (singular) brain measures were performed using generalized linear regression models (GLM). Analyses of bilateral measures were performed with linear mixed effects models (LME), with data for left and right hemispheres treated as repeated measures. Functions 'fitglm' and 'fitlme' in MATLAB R2018a (http://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab/, Mathworks Inc, RRID:SCR_001622) were used respectively for GLM and LME analyses. Brain measures were entered as outcome variables and sleep measures were entered as exposure variables. All data were standardised prior to analyses and all effect sizes are reported as standardised beta coefficients.

All statistical analyses included correction for age, sex, ethnic background, Townsend deprivation index, a set of health-related covariates, and three head position coordinates in the scanner. Analyses of cortical thickness, surface area and volume measures included an additional covariate of intracranial volume (ICV), standardised across the entire available sample, to correct for overall head size. Due to predominance of white British participants in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

the UK Biobank, ethnic background was defined as a binary variable indicating whether a participant was white British or not.[35] Townsend index was included as a proxy measure of socioeconomic status, which can be associated with sleep. Health-related covariates included body mass index (BMI), intake of medication for vascular / heart problems, diabetes, smoking status, alcohol consumption status, and lifetime experience of depression. Intake of vascular medication was included as a proxy variable to take into account heart problems. Health-related variables were corrected for because they can independently impact on both sleep and brain structure. As additional sensitivity analyses, we re-ran all statistical tests 1) without lifetime depression covariate, and 2) without all health-related covariates. Please see supplementary sections S1.3 and S1.4 for definitions of each covariate variable, and for the details of the supplementary sensitivity analyses.

Of note, participants sleeping longer than recommended on average had higher BMI and were more likely to have self-reported diagnosis of diabetes, take medication for vascular or health problems, or have self-reported lifetime history of depression (supplementary sample information tables, all analyses with the main model). Participants sleeping less than recommended also had higher average BMI and were more likely to have self-reported diabetes or lifetime history of depression, but the differences in BMI and proportions of participants with health-related conditions were smaller. Participants sleeping both more or less than recommended came from areas with higher average Townsend deprivation indices.

Correction for false discovery rate (FDR)[36] was performed by adjusting the *p*-values according to the procedure described in Benjamini, Heller & Yekutieli (2009),[37] implemented within 'mafdr' function in MATLAB R2018a. Adjustment was performed separately for tests on each group of outcome variables and $p_{FDR} < 0.05$ was taken as significant. Please see supplementary section S1.5 for the definition of outcome variable groups for correction for

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

multiple comparisons.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

RESULTS

Brain Structure Differences Associated with Sleeping Longer than Recommended

Overview of Brain Structure Differences

Sleep duration longer than the NSF recommendation was associated with differences in global brain measures, lobar and regional cortical thickness and volume measures. Differences were also found in fiber and tract-related MD, and the volume of the hippocampus. Please see top of Figure 1 for a Manhattan plot illustrating significant associations across all investigated brain measures.

Global Brain Structure Differences

Sleeping longer than recommended was associated with lower overall grey and white matter volumes ($\beta_{GM} = -0.0207$, $p < 0.0001$; $\beta_{WM} = -0.0136$, $p = 0.0232$), lower global cortical thickness and volume measures ($\beta_{CT} = -0.0229$, $p < 0.0001$; $\beta_{CV} = -0.0129$, $p = 0.000125$), and higher brain age gap ($\beta_{BAG} = 0.0226$, $p = 0.0093$). Analyses also revealed poorer white matter structure, including higher volume of white matter hyperintensities ($\beta_{WMHV} = 0.0270$, $p < 0.0001$), and higher global MD and ISOVF measures ($\beta_{gMD} = 0.0188$, $p = 0.0016$: $\beta_{gISOVF} = 0.0132$, $p = 0.0300$). Left panel of Figure 2 illustrates effect sizes of significant associations of longer than recommended sleep with global brain measures.

Lobar and Regional Morphometry Differences

Lower cortical thickness was associated with sleeping longer than recommended in four out of five lobes (frontal $\beta_{CT} = -0.0255$, $p_{FDR} < 0.0001$; temporal

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

$\beta_{CT} = -0.0239$, $p_{FDR} < 0.0001$; parietal $\beta_{CT} = -0.0191$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0013$; cingulate $\beta_{CT} = -0.0160$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0026$), and in 25 out of 33 individual cortical regions. Largest associations were found in superior frontal cortex ($\beta_{CT} = -0.0256$, $p_{FDR} < 0.0001$), rostral and caudal middle frontal areas ($\beta_{CT} = -0.0225$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0003$ and $\beta_{CT} = -0.0222$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0003$), parahippocampal cortex ($\beta_{CT} = -0.0216$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0003$), pars orbitalis ($\beta_{CT} = -0.0215$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0003$), and insula ($\beta_{CT} = -0.0208$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0006$). Top left panels of Figures 3-4 and Figure 5 illustrate effect sizes related to lobar and regional cortical thickness measures.

Lower cortical volumes were found in all five lobes (frontal $\beta_{CV} = -0.0140$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0009$; temporal $\beta_{CV} = -0.0113$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0048$; parietal $\beta_{CV} = -0.0091$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0248$; cingulate $\beta_{CV} = -0.0105$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0189$; occipital $\beta_{CV} = -0.0103$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0316$), and in 11 of 33 individual regions. Largest associations were found in pars orbitalis ($\beta_{CV} = -0.0157$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0041$), parahippocampal ($\beta_{CV} = -0.0140$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0362$), frontal pole ($\beta_{CV} = -0.0130$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0277$), caudal anterior cingulate ($\beta_{CV} = -0.0120$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0362$), and middle temporal regions ($\beta_{CV} = -0.0119$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0313$). Figure 3 (bottom left) and Figure 4 (middle) illustrate effect sizes related to lobar and regional cortical volume measures.

Fiber and Tract White Matter Microstructure Differences

Sleeping longer than recommended was found to be associated with higher MD in association and thalamic fibers ($\beta_{MD} = 0.0162$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0119$ and $\beta_{MD} = 0.0230$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0001$), and in 7 of 15 individual tracts: posterior thalamic radiation ($\beta_{MD} = 0.0235$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0003$), anterior thalamic radiation

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

($\beta_{MD} = 0.0164$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0211$), superior thalamic radiation ($\beta_{MD} = 0.0125$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0482$), forceps minor ($\beta_{MD} = 0.0164$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0385$), inferior longitudinal fasciculus ($\beta_{MD} = 0.0144$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0385$), inferior frontooccipital fasciculus ($\beta_{MD} = 0.0143$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0385$), and uncinate fasciculus ($\beta_{MD} = 0.0135$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0385$). Posterior thalamic radiation was found to also have lower FA ($\beta_{FA} = -0.0197$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0131$).

Subcortical Volume Differences

Sleeping longer than recommended was found to be related to smaller hippocampal volume ($\beta = -0.0201$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0002$). No other associations with subcortical volumes were found.

Brain Structure Differences Associated with Sleeping Less than Recommended

Overview of Brain Structure Differences

Sleeping less than the NSF recommended duration was associated with differences in global brain structure, lobar and regional cortical surface areas and volumes, fiber and tract-related FA, and the volume of the cerebellar white matter. Figure 1 (bottom) illustrates significant associations across all investigated brain measures.

Global Brain Structure Differences

Sleeping less than recommended was associated with higher overall white matter volume ($\beta_{WM} = 0.0152$, $p = 0.0037$), lower global cortical surface area ($\beta_{SA} = -0.0080$, $p = 0.0035$), lower global cortical volume ($\beta_{CV} = -0.0068$, $p = 0.0202$), and higher global OD ($\beta_{gOD} = 0.0133$, $p = 0.0135$).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Figure 2 (right) illustrates effect sizes of significant associations of shorter than recommended sleep with global brain measures.

Lobar and Regional Morphometry Differences

Sleeping less than recommended was associated with lower surface areas in the frontal lobe ($\beta_{SA} = -0.0073$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0290$), cingulate gyrus ($\beta_{SA} = -0.0105$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0039$), occipital lobe ($\beta_{SA} = -0.0134$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0039$), and 8 of 33 individual cortical regions. Individual regions with smaller surface areas were the lingual gyrus ($\beta_{SA} = -0.0132$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0180$), pericalcarine area ($\beta_{SA} = -0.0125$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0400$), caudal and rostral middle frontal areas ($\beta_{SA} = -0.0119$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0180$ and $\beta_{SA} = -0.0119$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0132$), lateral occipital cortex ($\beta_{SA} = -0.0114$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0253$), cuneus ($\beta_{SA} = -0.0112$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0442$), isthmus cingulate ($\beta_{SA} = -0.0108$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0180$), and rostral anterior cingulate ($\beta_{SA} = -0.0093$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0132$). Figure 3 (right middle) and Figure 4 (right) illustrate effect sizes respectively related to lobar and regional surface areas.

Lower cortical volumes were found in the cingulate gyrus ($\beta_{CV} = -0.0113$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0093$), occipital lobe ($\beta_{CV} = -0.0120$, $p_{FDR} = 0.01$), and two individual regions: isthmus cingulate ($\beta_{CV} = -0.0146$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0072$) and rostral anterior cingulate ($\beta_{CV} = -0.0094$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0418$).

Fiber and Tract White Matter Microstructure Differences

Sleeping less than recommended was associated with lower FA in projection fibers ($\beta_{FA} = -0.0231$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0001$), and in three individual white matter tracts –

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

corticospinal ($\beta_{FA} = -0.0227$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0002$), medial lemniscus ($\beta_{FA} = -0.0134$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0324$), and parahippocampal cingulate ($\beta_{FA} = -0.0145$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0242$). Conversely, higher FA was found in the cingulate gyrus ($\beta_{FA} = 0.0113$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0364$).

Subcortical Volume Differences

Sleeping less than recommended was related to higher cerebellar white matter volume ($\beta = 0.0160$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0027$). No other associations were identified.

Brain Structure Differences Associated with Insomnia/Sleeplessness

Insomnia/sleeplessness was associated with higher global grey matter and white matter volumes ($\beta_{GM} = 0.0162$, $p = 0.0049$; $\beta_{WM} = 0.0198$, $p = 0.0067$), and with higher volumes of the putamen ($\beta = 0.0222$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0016$), hippocampus ($\beta = 0.0206$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0016$), and the amygdala ($\beta = 0.0167$, $p_{FDR} = 0.0040$).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

DISCUSSION

Summary and Interpretation of Findings

Sleeping Longer than Recommended

We found that longer than NSF-recommended sleep duration was associated with lower overall grey matter and white matter volumes, and widespread lower cortical thickness and volume measures. Associations of cortical thickness were more pronounced compared to cortical volumes (Figure 3). Further, longer than recommended sleep was associated with advanced brain ageing, higher volume of white matter hyperintensities, higher diffusivity in the thalamic and association fibers (indicating potentially worse white matter integrity), and lower hippocampal volume.

Grey and white matter volumes and cortical thickness gradually decrease with age in healthy populations.[38] Reductions in these measures have also been found in conditions which adversely affect both cognitive and emotional functions, including Alzheimer's disease and dementia,[39–41] cognitive impairment,[42] depression[42–44] and bipolar disorder.[45–49] Increased diffusivity measures, indicating potential reductions in white matter integrity, have been observed after traumatic brain injury[50,51] and in adult depression.[52] White matter hyperintensities typically represent lesions that are a hallmark feature of cerebral small vessel disease.[53] These lesions have also been found to be associated with depression,[42,54] poorer cognition,[55] and increased risk of cognitive impairment or stroke.[56–60] Finally, the hippocampus has crucial roles in memory and learning.[61] Decreased hippocampal volume has been reported with ageing,[62] cognitive impairment,[63,64] depression,[65,66] and bipolar disorder.[48,67] Taken together and in the context of the existing literature, our findings indicate that longer than recommended sleep duration is associated with advanced brain ageing and potentially worse

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

brain health.

Of note, association of longer sleep with brain age gap was similar in magnitude to associations of overall grey matter volume, global cortical thickness and volume of white matter hyperintensities (Figure 2, left). This indicates that complex measures based on multiple aspects of brain structure may not be more sensitive to suboptimal sleep duration compared to simpler unimodal measures.

Sleeping Less than Recommended

Shorter than recommended sleep duration was related to higher global and cerebellar white matter volume, as well as lower global and regional cortical surface areas (primarily in the frontal, cingulate and occipital lobes) and lower FA (indicating potentially worse white matter integrity) in projection fibers.

Previous studies indicate that relatively higher global white matter volumes may be related to higher levels of physical activity and fitness.[68,69] The cerebellum is crucially involved in movement control, as well as a number of other cognitive functions.[70] It is clearly possible that shorter sleep times may lead to relatively higher levels of physical activity due to more time spent awake, which may in turn be linked to higher global and cerebellar white matter volumes.

Cortical surface area gradually decreases with age,[38] with larger area measures associated with higher cognitive ability.[71] Decreased surface area specifically of the isthmus cingulate (Figure 4, right) may reflect vulnerability to Alzheimer's disease.[72]

Finally, lower FA of the projection fibers and the corticospinal tract (i.e. potentially reduced microstructural integrity) was previously identified in bipolar disorder, albeit in a small number of studies.[73]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Overall, our findings indicate that the pattern of differences in brain structure associated with sleeping less than recommended is quite different from that of sleeping more than recommended, and less indicative of poorer brain health.

Insomnia/Sleeplessness

Contrary to our initial hypothesis, we found that self-reported insomnia/sleeplessness was associated with higher (rather than lower) global grey and white matter volumes, with no evidence of changes in white matter microstructure. Further, we observed higher volumes of three subcortical structures: amygdala, hippocampus and putamen.

Global grey matter volume is correlated with general intelligence.[74] The hippocampus is critical for cognitive function,[61] and relatively higher hippocampal volumes are associated with higher levels of education[75] and higher levels of physical activity.[76,77] The putamen is a region likely to be involved in the circadian regulation of reward processing,[78] and has previously been found to be enlarged in anxiety-related conditions and in bipolar disorder.[79,80] Finally, the amygdala has critical roles in emotion and motivation,[81] and higher amygdala volume has been identified in bipolar disorder,[82] as well as genetic variants associated with risk for bipolar disorder.[83]

Overall, our findings indicate that self-reported insomnia/sleeplessness was related to higher volumes of brain structures putatively associated with intelligence, cognition, motivation and emotional processing. There is currently no robust evidence linking insomnia with higher intelligence, however our findings parallel previous results in the UK Biobank which indicated better cognitive performance in participants with past moderately-severe depression.[84]

Study Strengths and Limitations

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Comprehensive Assessment of Sleep and Brain Structure in the UK Biobank

The two distinct advantages of our study are the large sample size and the wide range of structural brain measures, covering both grey and white matter. Previous studies of associations of sleep duration or insomnia and brain structure typically had $N < 3000$ and focused on relatively narrow sets of brain features. In contrast, the scope and power of the UK Biobank enabled sample sizes of between 9K and 33K (supplementary S1.6), and allowed us to uncover differences in brain structure distinctly associated with longer and shorter sleep, as well as insomnia/sleeplessness. Compared to previous work – including within the UK Biobank[85,86] – we provide a more comprehensive characterisation of the brain structure associations with self-reported non-adherence to NSF guidelines and insomnia/sleeplessness.

Our study and results are complementary to those of Schiel et al. (2023),[87] who have also investigated associations of regional grey matter volumes with longer or shorter sleep in the UK Biobank. To highlight the key differences, we studied regional volumes derived with the FreeSurfer toolkit rather than FAST, according to different brain atlases (Desikan-Killiany and Aseg vs. Harvard-Oxford).[88] We also applied FDR rather than Bonferroni correction, grouped our statistical tests into smaller sets for correction (to facilitate independence between outcome variables, supplementary section S1.5), and combined data from left and right hemispheres as repeated measures to increase power. These differences most likely contributed to the higher numbers of significant associations found here as compared to Schiel et al. (2023). Together with Schiel et al. (2023),[87] our study represents an example of how different and independent investigations can analyse non-overlapping subsets of extensive UK Biobank data with different methods in order to address similar research questions.

Despite these advantages, several limitations are acknowledged. Firstly, sleep variables were self-reported and may be subject to reporting biases. Future studies could confirm our findings by taking advantage of the actigraphy data collected within the UK Biobank from a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

subset of participants, albeit for the duration of only one week.[89] Secondly, we did not assess causal directionality in the associations between sleep and brain structure measures. Future work could seek to apply causal inference methods such as Mendelian randomisation,[90] potentially focussing on brain measures identified as significant here. Thirdly, we did not investigate changes in brain structure over time. Repeat brain imaging data collection is currently ongoing within the UK Biobank,[91] and future data releases will enable high-powered longitudinal analyses. Fourthly, we did not correct for intake of medications that can have an effect on sleep, such as antidepressants, sedatives or hypnotics. Future studies can categorise the extensive self-reported medication data available within the UK Biobank and confirm if our findings hold when additionally correcting for psychotropic medication use. Finally, we did not address potential physiological mechanisms underlying the identified significant sleep and brain associations. These mechanisms could (at least in part) be addressed by using the rich proteomic data within the UK Biobank.[92]

Conclusions

We made use of the breadth and scope of the UK Biobank dataset to identify that sleeping more than or less than the recommended NSF sleep time was associated with different patterns of altered brain structure. Specifically, longer than recommended sleep duration was related to differences in brain structural measures often associated with poorer brain health, while shorter sleep was associated with lower cortical surface areas. Future studies should seek to better characterise the mechanisms of these differences and define whether longer-than-recommended sleep duration represents a marker of clinical utility for population brain health.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Acknowledgements

The current study was funded and supported through the Lister Institute of Preventive Medicine award (reference 173096) and the Wellcome-University of Edinburgh Institutional Strategic Support Fund (reference 204804/Z/16/Z). LML is supported by a Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh John, Margaret, Alfred and Stewart Sim Fellowship and a University of Glasgow Lord Kelvin / Adam Smith (LKAS) Fellowship. MJA and XS are supported by the Wellcome Trust (grant reference 220857/Z/20/Z). The research was conducted using the UK Biobank resource, with application number 4844. Provision of access to the UK Biobank was supported by the Wellcome Trust Strategic Award "Stratifying Resilience and Depression Longitudinally" (STRADL) (reference 104036/Z/14/Z). The current work has made use of the resources provided by the Edinburgh Compute and Data Facility (ECDF) (http://www.ecdf.ed.ac.uk/).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Disclosure Statement

AMM previously received research grant support from Pfizer, Eli Lilly and Janssen, as well as speaker fees from Illumina. HCW previously received research grant support from Pfizer. None of these funding sources are connected to the present study. No other potential financial or non-financial conflicts of interest are reported.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Data Availability Statement

The current study used data from the UK Biobank resource, which is available for health-related research upon registration and application through the UK Biobank Access Management System (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/register-apply/).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

1. Makarem N, Alcántara C, Williams N, Bello NA, Abdalla M. Effect of Sleep Disturbances on Blood Pressure. *Hypertens Dallas Tex 1979*. 2021;77(4):1036-1046. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.14479
2. Nielsen LS, Danielsen KV, Sørensen TIA. Short sleep duration as a possible cause of obesity: critical analysis of the epidemiological evidence. *Obes Rev Off J Int Assoc Study Obes*. 2011;12(2):78-92. doi:10.1111/j.1467-789X.2010.00724.x
3. Ogilvie RP, Patel SR. The epidemiology of sleep and obesity. *Sleep Health*. 2017;3(5):383-388. doi:10.1016/j.sleh.2017.07.013
4. He Q, Zhang P, Li G, Dai H, Shi J. The association between insomnia symptoms and risk of cardio-cerebral vascular events: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2017;24(10):1071-1082. doi:10.1177/2047487317702043
5. Antza C, Kostopoulos G, Mostafa S, Nirantharakumar K, Tahrani A. The links between sleep duration, obesity and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Endocrinol*. 2021;252(2):125-141. doi:10.1530/JOE-21-0155
6. Ogilvie RP, Patel SR. The Epidemiology of Sleep and Diabetes. *Curr Diab Rep*. 2018;18(10):82. doi:10.1007/s11892-018-1055-8
7. Besedovsky L, Lange T, Haack M. The Sleep-Immune Crosstalk in Health and Disease. *Physiol Rev*. 2019;99(3):1325-1380. doi:10.1152/physrev.00010.2018
8. World Health Organisation. *ICD-10 Classifications of Mental and Behavioural Disorder: Clinical Descriptions and Diagnostic Guidelines*. Geneva: World Health Organisation; 1993.
9. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. Fifth Edition. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
10. Palagini L, Hertenstein E, Riemann D, Nissen C. Sleep, insomnia and mental health. *J Sleep Res*. 2022;31(4):e13628. doi:10.1111/jsr.13628
11. Cedernaes J, Osorio RS, Varga AW, Kam K, Schiöth HB, Benedict C. Candidate mechanisms underlying the association between sleep-wake disruptions and Alzheimer's disease. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2017;31:102-111. doi:10.1016/j.smrv.2016.02.002
12. Schmidt MH. The energy allocation function of sleep: a unifying theory of sleep, torpor, and continuous wakefulness. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2014;47:122-153. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.08.001
13. Dworak M, McCarley RW, Kim T, Kalinchuk AV, Basheer R. Sleep and brain energy levels: ATP changes during sleep. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci*. 2010;30(26):9007-9016. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1423-10.2010
14. Holth JK, Fritschi SK, Wang C, et al. The sleep-wake cycle regulates brain interstitial fluid tau in mice and CSF tau in humans. *Science*. 2019;363(6429):880-884. doi:10.1126/science.aav2546
15. Xie L, Kang H, Xu Q, et al. Sleep drives metabolite clearance from the adult brain. *Science*. 2013;342(6156):373-377. doi:10.1126/science.1241224
16. Dunwiddie TV, Masino SA. The role and regulation of adenosine in the central nervous system. *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 2001;24:31-55. doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.31
17. Anafi RC, Kayser MS, Raizen DM. Exploring phylogeny to find the function of sleep. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2019;20(2):109-116. doi:10.1038/s41583-018-0098-9

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

18. Krueger JM, Frank MG, Wisor JP, Roy S. Sleep function: Toward elucidating an enigma. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2016;28:46-54. doi:10.1016/j.smrv.2015.08.005
19. Komaroff AL. Does Sleep Flush Wastes From the Brain? *JAMA*. 2021;325(21):2153-2155. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.5631
20. Boardman JM, Porcheret K, Clark JW, et al. The impact of sleep loss on performance monitoring and error-monitoring: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2021;58:101490. doi:10.1016/j.smrv.2021.101490
21. Fjell AM, Sørensen Ø, Amlien IK, et al. Self-reported sleep relates to hippocampal atrophy across the adult lifespan: results from the Lifebrain consortium. *Sleep*. 2020;43(5):zsz280. doi:10.1093/sleep/zsz280
22. Westwood AJ, Beiser A, Jain N, et al. Prolonged sleep duration as a marker of early neurodegeneration predicting incident dementia. *Neurology*. 2017;88(12):1172-1179. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000003732
23. Toschi N, Passamonti L, Bellesi M. Sleep quality relates to emotional reactivity via intracortical myelination. *Sleep*. 2021;44(1):zsaa146. doi:10.1093/sleep/zsaa146
24. André C, Laniepce A, Chételat G, Rauchs G. Brain changes associated with sleep disruption in cognitively unimpaired older adults: A short review of neuroimaging studies. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2021;66:101252. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2020.101252
25. Sexton CE, Zsoldos E, Filippini N, et al. Associations between self-reported sleep quality and white matter in community-dwelling older adults: A prospective cohort study. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2017;38(11):5465-5473. doi:10.1002/hbm.23739
26. Kim REY, Abbott RD, Kim S, et al. Sleep Duration, Sleep Apnea, and Gray Matter Volume. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol*. 2022;35(1):47-56. doi:10.1177/0891988720988918
27. Cole JH, Ritchie SJ, Bastin ME, et al. Brain age predicts mortality. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2018;23(5):1385-1392. doi:10.1038/mp.2017.62
28. Ramduny J, Bastiani M, Huedepohl R, Sotiropoulos SN, Chechlacz M. The association between inadequate sleep and accelerated brain ageing. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2022;114:1-14. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2022.02.005
29. Chu C, Holst SC, Elmenhorst EM, et al. Total Sleep Deprivation Increases Brain Age Prediction Reversibly in Multisite Samples of Young Healthy Adults. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci*. 2023;43(12):2168-2177. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0790-22.2023
30. Leerssen J, Blanken TF, Pozzi E, et al. Brain structural correlates of insomnia severity in 1053 individuals with major depressive disorder: results from the ENIGMA MDD Working Group. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2020;10(1):425. doi:10.1038/s41398-020-01109-5
31. Grau-Rivera O, Operto G, Falcón C, et al. Association between insomnia and cognitive performance, gray matter volume, and white matter microstructure in cognitively unimpaired adults. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. 2020;12(1):4. doi:10.1186/s13195-019-0547-3
32. Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature*. 2018;562(7726):203-209. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0579-z
33. Hirshkowitz M, Whiton K, Albert SM, et al. National Sleep Foundation's updated sleep duration recommendations: final report. *Sleep Health*. 2015;1(4):233-243. doi:10.1016/j.sleh.2015.10.004
34. UK Biobank. Touch Screen Questionnaire - Version 1.0. 2011. http://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/crystal/docs/Touchscreen.pdf. Accessed May 27, 2019.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

35. Lyall DM, Quinn T, Lyall LM, et al. Quantifying bias in psychological and physical health in the UK Biobank imaging sub-sample. *Brain Commun*. 2022;4(3):fcac119. doi:10.1093/braincomms/fcac119

36. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *J R Stat Soc Ser B Methodol*. 1995;57(1):289-300. doi:10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x

37. Benjamini Y, Heller R, Yekutieli D. Selective inference in complex research. *Philos Transact A Math Phys Eng Sci*. 2009;367(1906):4255-4271. doi:10.1098/rsta.2009.0127

38. Bethlehem R a. I, Seidlitz J, White SR, et al. Brain charts for the human lifespan. *Nature*. 2022;604(7906):525-533. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04554-y

39. Raimo S, Santangelo G, D'Iorio A, Trojano L, Grossi D. Neural correlates of apathy in patients with neurodegenerative disorders: an activation likelihood estimation (ALE) meta-analysis. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2019;13(6):1815-1834. doi:10.1007/s11682-018-9959-0

40. Sabuncu MR, Desikan RS, Sepulcre J, et al. The dynamics of cortical and hippocampal atrophy in Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol*. 2011;68(8):1040-1048. doi:10.1001/archneurol.2011.167

41. Yin RH, Tan L, Liu Y, et al. Multimodal Voxel-Based Meta-Analysis of White Matter Abnormalities in Alzheimer's Disease. *J Alzheimers Dis JAD*. 2015;47(2):495-507. doi:10.3233/JAD-150139

42. Kim YK, Han KM. Neural substrates for late-life depression: A selective review of structural neuroimaging studies. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2021;104:110010. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.110010

43. Schmaal L, Hibar DP, Sämann PG, et al. Cortical abnormalities in adults and adolescents with major depression based on brain scans from 20 cohorts worldwide in the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder Working Group. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2017;22(6):900-909. doi:10.1038/mp.2016.60

44. Zhang H, Li L, Wu M, et al. Brain gray matter alterations in first episodes of depression: A meta-analysis of whole-brain studies. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2016;60:43-50. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.10.011

45. Cahn AJ, Keramatian K, Frysch C, Yatham LN, Chakrabarty T. Longitudinal grey matter changes following first episode mania in bipolar I disorder: A systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. 2021;291:198-208. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2021.04.051

46. Gong J, Wang J, Chen P, et al. Large-scale network abnormality in bipolar disorder: A multimodal meta-analysis of resting-state functional and structural magnetic resonance imaging studies. *J Affect Disord*. 2021;292:9-20. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2021.05.052

47. Hanford LC, Nazarov A, Hall GB, Sassi RB. Cortical thickness in bipolar disorder: a systematic review. *Bipolar Disord*. 2016;18(1):4-18. doi:10.1111/bdi.12362

48. Angelescu I, Brugger SP, Borgan F, Kaar SJ, Howes OD. The magnitude and variability of brain structural alterations in bipolar disorder: A double meta-analysis of 5534 patients and 6651 healthy controls. *J Affect Disord*. 2021;291:171-176. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2021.04.090

49. Pezzoli S, Emsell L, Yip SW, et al. Meta-analysis of regional white matter volume in bipolar disorder with replication in an independent sample using coordinates, T-maps, and individual MRI data. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2018;84:162-170. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.11.005

50. Hayes JP, Bigler ED, Verfaellie M. Traumatic Brain Injury as a Disorder of Brain Connectivity. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc JINS*. 2016;22(2):120-137. doi:10.1017/S1355617715000740

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

51. Kim E, Yoo RE, Seong MY, Oh BM. A systematic review and data synthesis of longitudinal changes in white matter integrity after mild traumatic brain injury assessed by diffusion tensor imaging in adults. *Eur J Radiol*. 2022;147:110117. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2021.110117

52. van Velzen LS, Kelly S, Isaev D, et al. White matter disturbances in major depressive disorder: a coordinated analysis across 20 international cohorts in the ENIGMA MDD working group. *Mol Psychiatry*. August 2019. doi:10.1038/s41380-019-0477-2

53. Wardlaw JM, Smith C, Dichgans M. Small vessel disease: mechanisms and clinical implications. *Lancet Neurol*. 2019;18(7):684-696. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30079-1

54. Wang L, Leonards CO, Sterzer P, Ebinger M. White matter lesions and depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2014;56:56-64. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2014.05.005

55. Garnier-Crussard A, Bougacha S, Wirth M, et al. White matter hyperintensities across the adult lifespan: relation to age, Aβ load, and cognition. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. 2020;12(1):127. doi:10.1186/s13195-020-00669-4

56. Smith EE, Saposnik G, Biessels GJ, et al. Prevention of Stroke in Patients With Silent Cerebrovascular Disease: A Scientific Statement for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2017;48(2):e44-e71. doi:10.1161/STR.0000000000000116

57. Hu HY, Ou YN, Shen XN, et al. White matter hyperintensities and risks of cognitive impairment and dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 36 prospective studies. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2021;120:16-27. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.11.007

58. Prins ND, Scheltens P. White matter hyperintensities, cognitive impairment and dementia: an update. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2015;11(3):157-165. doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2015.10

59. Guo W, Shi J. White matter hyperintensities volume and cognition: A meta-analysis. *Front Aging Neurosci*. 2022;14:949763. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2022.949763

60. Debette S, Markus HS. The clinical importance of white matter hyperintensities on brain magnetic resonance imaging: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2010;341:c3666. doi:10.1136/bmj.c3666

61. Rolls ET. The hippocampus, memory, and spatial function. In: *Brain Computations*. Oxford University Press; 2020:260-362. doi:10.1093/oso/9780198871101.003.0009

62. Fraser MA, Shaw ME, Cherbuin N. A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal hippocampal atrophy in healthy human ageing. *NeuroImage*. 2015;112:364-374. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.03.035

63. Arrondo P, Elía-Zudaire Ó, Martí-Andrés G, Fernández-Seara MA, Riverol M. Grey matter changes on brain MRI in subjective cognitive decline: a systematic review. *Alzheimers Res Ther*. 2022;14(1):98. doi:10.1186/s13195-022-01031-6

64. Raine PJ, Rao H. Volume, density, and thickness brain abnormalities in mild cognitive impairment: an ALE meta-analysis controlling for age and education. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2022;16(5):2335-2352. doi:10.1007/s11682-022-00659-0

65. Boku S, Nakagawa S, Toda H, Hishimoto A. Neural basis of major depressive disorder: Beyond monoamine hypothesis. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2018;72(1):3-12. doi:10.1111/pcn.12604

66. Schmaal L, Veltman DJ, van Erp TGM, et al. Subcortical brain alterations in major depressive disorder: findings from the ENIGMA Major Depressive Disorder working group. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2016;21(6):806-812. doi:10.1038/mp.2015.69

67. Phillips ML, Swartz HA. A critical appraisal of neuroimaging studies of bipolar disorder: toward a new conceptualization of underlying neural circuitry and a road map for future research. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2014;171(8):829-843. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.13081008

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

68. Maleki S, Hendrikse J, Chye Y, et al. Associations of cardiorespiratory fitness and exercise with brain white matter in healthy adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2022;16(5):2402-2425. doi:10.1007/s11682-022-00693-y

69. Sexton CE, Betts JF, Demnitz N, Dawes H, Ebmeier KP, Johansen-Berg H. A systematic review of MRI studies examining the relationship between physical fitness and activity and the white matter of the ageing brain. *NeuroImage*. 2016;131:81-90. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.09.071

70. Buckner RL. The cerebellum and cognitive function: 25 years of insight from anatomy and neuroimaging. *Neuron*. 2013;80(3):807-815. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.10.044

71. Schnack HG, van Haren NEM, Brouwer RM, et al. Changes in thickness and surface area of the human cortex and their relationship with intelligence. *Cereb Cortex N Y N 1991*. 2015;25(6):1608-1617. doi:10.1093/cercor/bht357

72. Wu BS, Zhang YR, Li HQ, et al. Cortical structure and the risk for Alzheimer's disease: a bidirectional Mendelian randomization study. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2021;11(1):476. doi:10.1038/s41398-021-01599-x

73. Duarte JA, de Araújo E Silva JQ, Goldani AA, Massuda R, Gama CS. Neurobiological underpinnings of bipolar disorder focusing on findings of diffusion tensor imaging: a systematic review. *Rev Bras Psiquiatr Sao Paulo Braz 1999*. 2016;38(2):167-175. doi:10.1590/1516-4446-2015-1793

74. Cox SR, Ritchie SJ, Fawns-Ritchie C, Tucker-Drob EM, Deary IJ. Structural brain imaging correlates of general intelligence in UK Biobank. *Intelligence*. 2019;76:101376. doi:10.1016/j.intell.2019.101376

75. Nobis L, Manohar SG, Smith SM, et al. Hippocampal volume across age: Nomograms derived from over 19,700 people in UK Biobank. *NeuroImage Clin*. 2019;23:101904. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2019.101904

76. Firth J, Stubbs B, Vancampfort D, et al. Effect of aerobic exercise on hippocampal volume in humans: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *NeuroImage*. 2018;166:230-238. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.11.007

77. Aghjayan SL, Lesnovskaya A, Esteban-Cornejo I, Peven JC, Stillman CM, Erickson KI. Aerobic exercise, cardiorespiratory fitness, and the human hippocampus. *Hippocampus*. 2021;31(8):817-844. doi:10.1002/hipo.23337

78. Byrne JEM, Tremain H, Leitan ND, Keating C, Johnson SL, Murray G. Circadian modulation of human reward function: Is there an evidentiary signal in existing neuroimaging studies? *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2019;99:251-274. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.01.025

79. Liu X, Klugah-Brown B, Zhang R, Chen H, Zhang J, Becker B. Pathological fear, anxiety and negative affect exhibit distinct neurostructural signatures: evidence from psychiatric neuroimaging meta-analysis. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2022;12(1):405. doi:10.1038/s41398-022-02157-9

80. Lu X, Zhong Y, Ma Z, et al. Structural imaging biomarkers for bipolar disorder: Meta-analyses of whole-brain voxel-based morphometry studies. *Depress Anxiety*. 2019;36(4):353-364. doi:10.1002/da.22866

81. Janak PH, Tye KM. From circuits to behaviour in the amygdala. *Nature*. 2015;517(7534):284-292. doi:10.1038/nature14188

82. Bora E, Fornito A, Yücel M, Pantelis C. Voxelwise meta-analysis of gray matter abnormalities in bipolar disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2010;67(11):1097-1105. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.01.020

83. Lancaster TM, Foley S, Tansey KE, Linden DEJ, Caseras X. CACNA1C risk variant is associated with increased amygdala volume. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2016;266(3):269-275. doi:10.1007/s00406-015-0609-x

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

84. Cullen B, Nicholl BI, Mackay DF, et al. Cognitive function and lifetime features of depression and bipolar disorder in a large population sample: Cross-sectional study of 143,828 UK Biobank participants. *Eur Psychiatry J Assoc Eur Psychiatr*. 2015;30(8):950-958. doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2015.08.006

85. Li Y, Sahakian BJ, Kang J, et al. The brain structure and genetic mechanisms underlying the nonlinear association between sleep duration, cognition and mental health. *Nat Aging*. 2022;2(5):425-437. doi:10.1038/s43587-022-00210-2

86. Tai XY, Chen C, Manohar S, Husain M. Impact of sleep duration on executive function and brain structure. *Commun Biol*. 2022;5(1):201. doi:10.1038/s42003-022-03123-3

87. Schiel JE, Tamm S, Holub F, et al. Associations between sleep health and grey matter volume in the UK Biobank cohort (n = 33 356). *Brain Commun*. 2023;5(4):fcad200. doi:10.1093/braincomms/fcad200

88. UK Biobank. UK Biobank Brain Imaging Documentation. 2020. https://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/crystal/docs/brain_mri.pdf. Accessed September 22, 2022.

89. Brooks TG, Lahens NF, Grant GR, Sheline YI, FitzGerald GA, Skarke C. *Phenome-Wide Association Study of Actigraphy in the UK Biobank*. Public and Global Health; 2021. doi:10.1101/2021.12.09.21267558

90. Shen X, Howard DM, Adams MJ, et al. A phenome-wide association and Mendelian Randomisation study of polygenic risk for depression in UK Biobank. *Nat Commun*. 2020;11(1):2301. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16022-0

91. Alfaro-Almagro F, McCarthy P, Afyouni S, et al. Confound modelling in UK Biobank brain imaging. *NeuroImage*. 2021;224:117002. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117002

92. Sun BB, Chiou J, Traylor M, et al. *Genetic Regulation of the Human Plasma Proteome in 54,306 UK Biobank Participants*. Genetics; 2022. doi:10.1101/2022.06.17.496443

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Table 1

Characteristics of the sample in the analyses of global grey and white matter volumes in relation to NSF guideline adherence with the main statistical model (please see supplementary section S1.6 for characteristics of the samples in all other analyses)

Sample characteristic	Participants sleeping as NSF recommended (7-9 hours per day)	Participants sleeping less than NSF recommended (< 7 hours per day)	Participants sleeping more than NSF recommended (> 9 hours per day)
Sample size (male / female)	24057 (11557 / 12500)	7682 (3338 / 4344)	380 (182 / 198)
Age mean (SD)	63.65 (7.49)	62.81 (7.62) *	64.85 (7.53) *
Ethnic minority count (proportion)	1931 (8.03%)	742 (9.66%) *	32 (8.42%)
Townsend index mean (SD)	-2.01 (2.65)	-1.77 (2.77) *	-1.53 (2.95) *
BMI mean (SD)	26.35 (4.26)	26.88 (4.66) *	28.37 (5.49) *
Vascular / heart problems medicated count (proportion)	7892 (32.81%)	2519 (32.79%)	178 (46.84%) *
Diabetic count (proportion)	1172 (4.87%)	433 (5.64%) *	46 (12.11%) *
Smoking count (proportion)	8804 (36.60%)	2873 (37.40%)	153 (40.26%)
Alcohol consumer count (proportion)	17479 (72.66%)	5299 (68.98%) *	248 (65.26%) *
Lifetime depression count (proportion)	5508 (22.90%)	1980 (25.77%) *	150 (39.47%) *

Note: Significant differences in groups when compared to participants sleeping the NSF recommended time (less than 7 hours per day / more than 9 hours compared to 7-9 hours) are marked with asterisks. Characteristics were compared between groups with two-sample t-tests (for continuous variables) or with chi-squared tests (for participant proportions). Age is measured in years.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .



Figure 1. Manhattan plots illustrating significance values of associations of longer than recommended sleep duration (top), and shorter than recommended sleep duration (bottom) with brain structural measures. Dashed lines indicate statistical significance threshold (p = 0.05).



Figure 2. Barchart illustration of standardised effect sizes (beta coefficients) of associations of longer than recommended sleep duration (left), and shorter than recommended sleep duration (right) with global brain structural measures. Stars indicate statistically significant associations ($p_{FDR} < 0.05$).



Figure 3. Barchart illustration of standardised effect sizes (beta coefficients) of associations of longer than recommended sleep duration (left), and shorter than recommended sleep duration (right) with lobar morphometric measures. Stars indicate statistically significant associations ($p_{FDR} < 0.05$).



Figure 4. Barchart illustration of standardised effect sizes (beta coefficients) of associations of longer than recommended sleep duration with cortical thickness and cortical volume measures for individual regions (left and middle), and standardised effect sizes of associations of shorter than recommended sleep duration with cortical surface area measures (right). Stars indicate statistically significant associations ($p_{FDR} < 0.05$).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.06.19.23291496; this version posted September 24, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .



Figure 5. Heatmap illustration of lower cortical thickness in individual brain regions associated with sleeping longer than recommended.