EFFECT OF DIETARY CALCIUM ON INCIDENCE OF CALCIUM STONES AND URINARY PARAMETERS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

AUTHORS:

1. Dr. Dev Himanshubhai Desai, **(Corresponding Authors)**
    - Smt. NHL Municipal Medical College,Ahemdabad,Gujarat ,
    - devhdesai01@gmail.com

2. Dr Tanvi Sahni
    - M S Ramaiah Medical college, Bangalore, India
    - Tanvi2599@gmail.com

3. Dr. Dev Umangbhai Andharia
    - Smt. NHL Municipal Medical College, Ahemdabad, Gujarat
    - Devu.andharia98@gmail.com

4. Dr. Dwija Raval
    - Dr. M.K. Shah medical college and research centre, Ahemdabad,Gujarat
    - Dwijaraval2005@gmail.com

Disclosure by Authors: -

- All the authors state that none have any conflict of interest
- The article is submitted with permission of all the authors
- No Financial gain has been acquired nor any authors have financial conflict.
- Being a systemic review, the article does not require any IRB/IEC permission.

ABSTRACT

Introduction:-
Renal stone is a fairly common presentation and the pain is unbearable. Some patients have tendency that stones are frequently formed and management of that s necessary as removal of stone is nt always that simple and can lead to many complications.

Aims and Objectives:-
To perform a systematic review and meta-analysis to investigate the effect of dietary calcium on the prevention of calcium stones.

Methodology:-
PubMed, google scholar and Cochrane Library databases were searched for studies. The search strategy and study selection process was conducted by following the PRISMA statement. 16 articles were identified after meeting the inclusion criteria.

Results:-
Our results showed that with high calcium advice, occurrence of stone formation decreases (RR=0.82, CI95=0.37-1.82, p=0.63). Comparing levels of Urinary caclium with advice of high calcium diet showed increased excretion (SMD=1.99), but decreased excretion with low calcium diet (SMD = -0.43) and Normal calcium diet (SMD = -1.35). An almost no difference can be seen in Urinary oxalate with high calcium (SMD = 0.00), low calcium (SMD = 0.02), Normal Calcium (SMD = -0.052). Results showed that Urinary Urate levels decreased with all types of diet mainly with High calcium diet (SMD = -0.33), then Low calcium diet (SMD = -0.28)and then normal calcium (SMD = -0.22). Urinary Volume was increased with all dietary advice, the most with low calcium diet(SMD = 216.37), then normal calcium diet (SMD = 206.81) and last high calcium diet (SMD = 20.00). .

Conclusion:-
ur study shows high calcium diet decreases the occurrence of stones compared to normal calcium and low calcium diet.

Keywords : DIETARY CALCIUM, KIDNEY STONES, URINARY CALCIUM,URINARY OXALATE,URINARY URATE, URINE VOLUMNE

Introduction

Renal stone disease,also referred as Nephrolithiasis or Urolithiasis was first documented by the Egyptians.Even though the Management of kidney stones has evolved from open surgical lithotomy to minimally invasive endourological treatments over the decades ,It still remains a disorder of the population are severely affected by renal dystrophy leading to loss of significant morbidity with high health care cost.

Prevalence of the Kidney stone disease shows variation by geography, mean age, dietary habits, fluid intakes etc. prevalence of the disease is 1 – 5% in Asia, 5 – 9% in Europe, 13% in North America, 4% in Argentina, 5.5% in Mexico and 20% in Saudi Arabia. [1] [2] [3] [4]. In the context of India,Kidney stone disease is prevalent, with an expectancy of 12%.Out of which, 50% of kidneys. [5] The annual cost of KSD in 1993 was $1.83 billion in the United States which increased to $2.07 billion in 2004 and is expected to reach $4.1 billion in 2030. [6]

The rising rate is attributable to changes in lifestyle and diet, resulting in increased obesity among men and women, a known risk factor for stone formation [7]. hypercalciuria is an important and common risk factor for the formation of stones, and uncontrolled hypercalciuria is a main cause of recurrences. [8]

Borghi et al.,RCT shows a positive impact of lower calcium diet in decreasing the stone occurnce rate where 23/60 from the control group got stone recurrence but 11/60 got recurrence from low calcium diet group [8] we wish to find a dietary solution,which can decrease the prevalence and battle the high cost of treatment and complications of KSD with the help of primary and secondary prevention.

Methodology

SEARCH STRATEGY

A search was done using the databases- PubMed,Google Scholar and cochrane library for all relevant literature. Full - Text Articles written only in English were considered.

The medical subject headings (MeSH) and keywords "Dietary advice", "Calcium stone" and " Urinary parameters" were used. The last search was done on May 26th 2022. References, reviews and meta-analysis were scanned for additional articles.

STUDY SELECTION

Titles and abstracts were screened, Duplicates and citations were removed. References of relevant papers were reviewed for possible additional papers.. Papers with detailed patient information and statically supported results were selected.

we searched for papers that compared diet advice with or without calcium, associated incidence of calcium stone and urinary parameters.

The inclusion criteria were as follows:; (1) studies that provided information about stone formation outcome; (2) studies published in English; (3) studies with statistical heterogenicity in terms of patient's age and geography.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) articles that were not full text ; (2) unpublished articles.

DATA EXTRCTION

Each qualifying paper was independently evaluated by two reviewers. Each article was analysed for the number of patients, their age, dietary advice, incidence of calcium stone and urinary parameters like urinary calcium, urinary oxalate, urinary urate levels and total volumn of urine. Further discussion or consultation with the author and a third party was used to resolve conflicts. The study's quality was assessed using the modified Jadad score.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All of the data was obtained and entered into analytic software. Fixed- or random-effects models were used to estimate mean difference, standardised mean difference (SMD), odds ratios, and relative risk (RR) with 95 percent confidence intervals to examine critical clinical outcomes (CIs). Statistical heterogeneity was measured with the χ^2; $P < 0.100$ was considered as a representation of significant difference. I^2 greater than or equal to 50% indicated the presence of heterogeneity. Funnel plots were used to assess potential publication bias based on the prevalence of wound infection after surgery. A statistically significant difference was defined as $P<0.05$.

Results

STUDY SELECTION

A total of 54,848 papers were generated from pubmed, google scholar and cochrane library. 32,280 papers were eliminated after not meeting the initial search criteria by title, 22,568 abstracts were selected for screening. Out of the 329, 313 were removed based on exclusion criteria; 13 were not available in English and the other 300 were not full text. The remaining 16 eligible papers were selected. 1 additional paper was retrieved from references. A total of 12 papers were selected for analysis. Flow chart 1 summaries the selection process.

STUDY CHARACTERISTICS

The study characteristics of the studies are given in table 1 .These studies investigated a total of 5700 patients ; who followed a high calcium diet, normal calcium diet and low calcium diet . Chart 2 depicts the effect of high calcium diet on incidence of kidney stones .

Chart 2: Effect of high calcium diet on incidence of kidney stones

Study or Subgroup	Dietary Advice Events	Dietary Advice Total	Control Events	Control Total	Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI
CPEP 1997	1	2295	3	2294	12.2%	0.33 [0.03, 3.20]
Grant et al. 2005	2	1167	2	1215	16.3%	1.04 [0.15, 7.38]
Lappe et al.2007	3	445	1	288	12.2%	1.94 [0.20, 18.57]
Lappe et al. 2007	4	935	1	244	13.0%	1.04 [0.12, 9.30]
Peacock et al. 2000	1	89	0	98	6.1%	3.30 [0.14, 79.98]
Reid et al. 1995	1	61	0	61	6.2%	3.00 [0.12, 72.23]
Reid et al. 2006	2	739	4	732	21.7%	0.50 [0.09, 2.70]
Riggs et al.1998	0	199	1	177	6.1%	0.30 [0.01, 7.24]
Riggs et al. 1998	0	88	1	89	6.1%	0.34 [0.01, 8.16]
Total (95% CI)		**6018**		**5198**	**100.0%**	**0.82 [0.37, 1.82]**
Total events	14		13			

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 3.67, df = 8 (P = 0.89); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.48 (P = 0.63)



A random-effects model was used for analyzing the primary outcomes of kidney stone incidence. Our result showed that dietary intervention does decrease the occurrence of stone upon comparing with control groups (RR = 0.82, 95% CI = 0.37–1.82; P = 0.63). Borghi et al shows that a diet with a normal amount of calcium but with reduced amounts of animal protein and salt is more effective than the traditional low-calcium diet in reducing the risk of recurrent stones in men with idiopathic hypercalciuria

Figure 1 : Urinary calcium excretion for high calcium, low calcium and normal calcium diet

Figure 1



Figure 1.1 (High Calcium Diet)



Figure 1.2 (Low Calcium Diet)



Figure 1.3 (Normal Calcium Diet)

Urinary calcium excretion was taken as parameter in all the research papers and Dietary advice (DA) was given as a diet containing high calcium, low calcium,normal calcium . As shown in figure 1.1 High calcium diet is not advisable (SMD=1.99, C95=0.57-3.41, p=0.006). Figure 1.2 shows DA low calcium diet and shows heterogeneity (SMD=-0.43, C95=(-6.21)-5.34, p=0.88) . Figure 1.3 shows DA as normal calcium (SMD=-1.35, C95=(-4.09)-1.38, p=0.33).

Figure 2 : Urinary oxalate excretion for high calcium, low calcium and normal calcium diet

Figure 2



Study or Subgroup	After Dietary Advice Mean	SD	Total	Before Dietary Advice Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Domrongkitchaiporn 2004	0.15	0.05	16	0.15	0.06	16	100.0%	0.00 [-0.04, 0.04]
Total (95% CI)			**16**			**16**	**100.0%**	**0.00 [-0.04, 0.04]**

Heterogeneity: Not applicable
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.00 (P = 1.00)

Figure 2.1 (High Calcium Diet)



Study or Subgroup	After Dietary Advice Mean	SD	Total	Before Dietary Advice Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
Borghi 2002	0.422	0.144	60	0.367	0.136	60	39.5%	0.05 [0.00, 0.11]
Seeger 2017	0.39	0.19	5215	0.39	0.26	5215	60.5%	0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]
Total (95% CI)			**5275**			**5275**	**100.0%**	**0.02 [-0.03, 0.07]**

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 4.49, df = 1 (P = 0.03); I² = 78%
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.81 (P = 0.42)

Figure 2.2 (Low Calcium Diet)



Study or Subgroup	After Dietary Advice Mean	SD	Total	Before Dietary Advice Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
Borghi 2002	0.344	0.092	60	0.411	0.132	60	51.7%	-0.07 [-0.11, -0.03]
Domrongkitchaiporn 2004	0.13	0.05	16	0.17	0.07	16	48.3%	-0.04 [-0.08, 0.00]
Total (95% CI)			**76**			**76**	**100.0%**	**-0.05 [-0.08, -0.02]**

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.00; Chi² = 0.82, df = 1 (P = 0.37); I² = 0%
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.61 (P = 0.0003)

Figure 2.3 (Normal Calcium Diet)

The urinary parameter is oxalate in Figure 2 with DA containing high calcium, low calcium ,normal calcium. Figure 2.1 shows a high calcium diet (SMD=0.00, C95=(-0.04)-0.04, p=1.00). Figure 2.2 shows that low calcium diet (SMD=0.02, C95=(-0.03)-0.07, p=0.42).Figure 2.3 shows normal calcium diet (SMD=-0.05, C95=(-0.08)-(-0.02), p=0.0003).

Figure 3 : Urinary urate excretion for high calcium, low calcium and normal calcium diet

Figure 3



Study or Subgroup	After Dietary Advice Mean	SD	Total	Before Dietary Advice Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Domrongkitchaiporn 2004	2.86	1.26	16	3.19	0.81	16	100.0%	-0.33 [-1.06, 0.40]
Total (95% CI)			**16**			**16**	**100.0%**	**-0.33 [-1.06, 0.40]**

Heterogeneity: Not applicable
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38)

Figure 3.1 (High Calcium Diet)



Study or Subgroup	After Dietary Advice Mean	SD	Total	Before Dietary Advice Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
Seeger 2017	3.3	1.2	5215	3.5	1.3	5215	49.4%	-0.20 [-0.25, -0.15]
Sromicki 2020	3.13	0.1	75	3.48	0.12	75	50.6%	-0.35 [-0.39, -0.31]
Total (95% CI)			**5290**			**5290**	**100.0%**	**-0.28 [-0.42, -0.13]**

Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.01; Chi² = 24.31, df = 1 (P < 0.00001); I² = 96%
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.68 (P = 0.0002)

Figure 3.2 (Low Calcium Diet)



Study or Subgroup	After Dietary Advice Mean	SD	Total	Before Dietary Advice Mean	SD	Total	Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI
Domrongkitchaiporn 2004	2.84	1.8	16	3.06	0.97	16	100.0%	-0.22 [-1.22, 0.78]
Total (95% CI)			**16**			**16**	**100.0%**	**-0.22 [-1.22, 0.78]**

Heterogeneity: Not applicable
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.43 (P = 0.67)

Figure 3.3 (Normal Calcium Diet)

The urinary parameter is Urinary urate in Figure 3 with DA containing high calcium, low calcium ,normal calcium.Figure 3.1 is High calcium (SMD=-0.33, C95=(-1.06)-0.40, p=0.38) . Figure 3.2 is low calcium diet (SMD=-0.28, C95=(-0.42)-(-0.13), p=0.0002).Figure 3.3 normal calcium is (SMD=-0.22, C95=(-1.22)-0.78, p=0.67) .

Figure 4 : Urinary volume for high calcium, low calcium and normal calcium diet



The urinary parameter is Urinary volume in Figure 4 with DA containing high calcium, low calcium ,normal calcium.Figure 4.1 shows high calcium diet (SMD=20.00, C95=(-289.84)-329.94, p=0.90). Figure 4.2 is a low calcium diet and (SMD=216.37, C95=(-223.21)-655.94, p=0.33) . Figure 4.3 is a normal calcium diet (SMD=206.81, C95=(-7.06)-420.69, p=1.00).

Discussion

Dietary calcium has been long associated with the of occurrence of renal stone and use of high dietary calcium diet has been identified as a protective factor in decreasing the incidence of renal stone formations. [9] [10] [11] it can be seen from these papers that high calcium diet advice should be given to individuals prone to developing renal stones , but it is also found by some papers that high calcium diet is actually a risk factor. [12] [13] [14] our results suggest that high calcium diet advice in individuals prone to developing calcium stone is actually protective and the incidence of developing renal stone decreases.

Total of 4 Urinary Parameters were considered which were Urinary Calcium, Urinary oxalate, Urinary Urate and total urine volume for each day. All these parameters were assessed with the different dietary changes and modifications to see changes in them and those papers were combined in this systematic review to find out the best dietary advice to reach target urinary parameters which leads to least calcium oxalate stone incidence.

The Urinary Calcium levels before and after dietary advice, as seen in figure 1 with a high calcium diet shows high urinary calcium excretion level which increases the risk of Calcium oxalate stone. [15] Although this is in line with common belief, Medical literature suggest that High Calcium diet advice should be given in recurrent stone formations as it decreases the level of urinary Calcium level. Only one paper where high calcium diet advice was given was found with sufficient data which can be the reason behind the result . In fact, what everyone believes is that a low calcium diet is a protective factor. [8] [16]

It is found to be in a lower efficacious protective role than Normal calcium diet. [8] [15] [17] The trend from low calcium diet and normal calcium diet suggest that high calcium diet should be a bigger protective factor than normal calcium diet advice.

In urinary oxalate levels before and after dietary advice as seen in figure 2 that high calcium diets do not have a role in urinary oxalate excretion. meanwhile it shows that dietary high calcium is neither positive nor negative factor for calcium oxalate stone formation. [15] As there is common belief that a low calcium diet could be protective in calcium oxalate stone formation we reviewed two papers suggesting that a low calcium diet is not a protective factor. [18] [8] Hence it is not advisable to give a low calcium diet. However , the Normal calcium diet shows protective results against oxalate stone formation. [8] [15] Hence, Patients of Urinary oxalate stones are advised to take normal calcium in their diet rather than low or high calcium diet.

In Urinary urate levels before and after dietary advice are seen as in figure that high calcium diet is protective in urinary urate excretion. [15] .In a low calcium diet, 2 papers show

that it is favourable to take low calcium in urate stones of the kidney. [18] [16]. Normal calcium is considered protective for urate stones. [15] .We observed that dietary calcium advice is minimal effective on urinary urate excretion.s.Hence we observe that the number of studies should be increased regarding calcium as dietary advice for urate stones.

One of the most effective methods for prevention of stone recurrence is increasing the urine output (>2 L/day)t.we measured urinary output (figure 4) before and after dietary advice. In a high calcium diet urinary output decreases but it is insignificant. [15]
In a low calcium diet , one paper with high sample size (approx 5000) shows that urinary output increases while other 2 papers with relatively low sample size show decreased urinary output. [8] [18] [16]. .In a normal calcium diet urinary output is decreased and standard deviation is very high hence we can not conclude. [15]

The hypothesis behind decreased renal stone with high calcium diet could be that with high calcium intake, the body does not have to resorp the calcium and phosphate from the bones to maintain a steady blood calcium levels which means that excess calcium in the blood wont be excreted out through urine. And as high dietary calcium is being supplied, body will only absorb the amount of calcium it needs to not hamper the bone but also keep a steady blood leveles leading to less chances of stone formation in urinary tract.

After all this research we conclude that there is a lack of data and the number of studies are minimal ,hence we should do more research regarding this subject to provide more proper knowledge of dietary advice in idiopathic recurrent stone formers.

Conclusion:-
It can be understood here that dietary advice when given to consume high calcium diet, it decreases the occurrence of renal stone. The effect of different amount of calcium diet was found to be statistically insignificant on urinary parameters, although not many research papers are present to understand the effect of dietary advice on these urinary parameters properly. Hence, more prospective cohort studies should be carried out to find out the exact effect of the role of diet in preventing formation of stones.

References:-

1. Yair Lotan. Economics and cost of care of stone disease 2009. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19095200/
2. Ramello CV, M Marangella. Epidemiology of nephrolithiasis 2000. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11132032/
3. Irene Pinduli RS, Elisa del Valle, Susana Vidal, Armando L Negri, Horacio Previgliano, Eduardo dos Ramos Farías, Jorge H Andrade, Griselda M Negri, Héctor J Boffi-Boggero. Prevalence of urolithiasis in the autonomous city of Buenos Aires, Argentina 2006. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16425020/
4. Yair Lotan IBJ, Irene Lenoir-Wijnkoop, Michel Daudon, Laurent Molinier, Ivan Tack, Mark J C Nuijten. Primary prevention of nephrolithiasis is cost-effective for a national healthcare system 2012. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22686216/
5. Manalee Guha HB, Pubali Mitra, Madhusudan Das,. The Demographic Diversity of Food Intake and Prevalence of Kidney Stone Diseases in the Indian Continent 2019. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6352122/
6. David B Bayne TLC. Assessing Cost-Effectiveness of New Technologies in Stone Management 2019. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30961862/
7. Charles D Scales Jr LHC, Regina D Norris, W Patrick Springhart, Roger L Sur, Kevin A Schulman, Glenn M Preminger. Changing gender prevalence of stone disease 2007. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17296391/
8. Loris Borghi TS, Tiziana Meschi, Angela Guerra, Franca Allegri, Umberto Maggiore, Almerico Novarini. Comparison of two diets for the prevention of recurrent stones in idiopathic hypercalciuria 2002. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11784873/
9. R J Levine JRE, E G Raymond, R DerSimonian, J C Hauth, L Ben Curet, B M Sibai, P M Catalano, C D Morris, J D Clemens, M G Ewell, S A Friedman, R L Goldenberg, S L Jacobson, G M Joffe, M A Klebanoff, A S Petrulis, J G Rigau-Perez. Trial of Calcium for Preeclampsia Prevention (CPEP): rationale, design, and methods 1996. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8932976/
10. Ian R Reid BM, Anne Horne, Ruth Ames, Helen E Reid, Usha Bava, Mark J Bolland, Gregory D Gamble. Randomized controlled trial of calcium in healthy older women 2006. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16945613/
11. B L Riggs WMOF, J Muhs, M K O'Connor, R Kumar, L J Melton 3rd,. Long-term effects of calcium supplementation on serum parathyroid hormone level, bone turnover, and bone loss in elderly women 1998. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9495509/
12. Joan M Lappe DT-G, K Michael Davies, Robert R Recker, Robert P Heaney. Vitamin D and calcium supplementation reduces cancer risk: results of a randomized trial 2007. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17556697/
13. M Peacock GL, M Carey, R McClintock, W Ambrosius, S Hui, C C Johnston. Effect of calcium or 25OH vitamin D3 dietary supplementation on bone loss at the hip in men and women over the age of 60 2000. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10999778/
14. I R Reid RWA, M C Evans, G D Gamble, S J Sharpe. Long-term effects of calcium supplementation on bone loss and fractures in postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial 1995. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7709944/
15. Somnuek Domrongkitchaiporn WS, Wasana Stitchantrakul, Surasing Prapaipanich, Atiporn Ingsathit, Rajata Rajatanavin. Schedule of taking calcium supplement and the risk of nephrolithiasis 2004. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15086924/

16. Juri Sromicki BH. Simple dietary advice targeting five urinary parameters reduces urinary supersaturation in idiopathic calcium oxalate stone formers 2020. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32524204/
17. Roswitha Siener BJ, Bernhard Watzer, Albrecht Hesse. Effect of n-3 fatty acid supplementation on urinary risk factors for calcium oxalate stone formation 2010. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21168878/
18. Harald Seeger AK, Pietro M Ferraro , Damian Weber ,Philippe Jaeger , Patrice Ambuehl , William G Robertson , Robert Unwin , Carsten A Wagner, Nilufar Mohebbi,. Changes in urinary risk profile after short-term low sodium and low calcium diet in recurrent Swiss kidney stone formers 2017. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29202723/
19. A. Colin Buck RLD, T. Harrison,. The Protective Role of Eicosapentaenoic Acid [EPA] in the Pathogenesis of Nephrolithiasis 1991. Available from: https://www.auajournals.org/doi/10.1016/S0022-5347%2817%2937750-9
20. Takahiro Yasui SS, Yasunori Itoh, Keiichi Tozawa, Shinkan Tokudome, Kenjiro Kohri. Eicosapentaenoic acid has a preventive effect on the recurrence of nephrolithiasis 2008. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18758209/
21. Omar Ortiz-Alvarado RM, Carly Kriedberg, David A Leavitt, Angela Moeding, Michelle Stessman, Manoj Monga,. Omega-3 fatty acids eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid in the management of hypercalciuric stone formers 2012. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22000931/
22. Roswitha Siener NS, Claudia Nicolay, Gerd E von Unruh, Albrecht Hesse. The efficacy of dietary intervention on urinary risk factors for stone formation in recurrent calcium oxalate stone patients 2005. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15821507/
23. A M Grant AA, M K Campbell, A M McDonald, G S MacLennan, G C McPherson, F H Anderson, C Cooper, R M Francis, C Donaldson, W J Gillespie, C M Robinson, D J Torgerson, W A Wallace, RECORD Trial Group. Oral vitamin D3 and calcium for secondary prevention of low-trauma fractures in elderly people (Randomised Evaluation of Calcium Or vitamin D, RECORD): a randomised placebo-controlled trial 2005. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15885294/

Description of papers

no.	Author	Year Of Publish-Ing	Duration Of Follow Up	Type Of Dietary Advice	Parameters Given	Favourable Towards	Location	Sample Size	Mean Age	Sample Characteristic	Quality
1	Borghi [8]	2002	1 Year	Normal Calcium & Low Calcium	Calcium/Oxalate/Volume	Normal Calcium	Parma, Italy	120		Recurrent Formation Of Calcium Oxalate Stones	GOOD
2	Sromicki [16]	2020	3 Months	Low Caicium	Calcium/ Oxalate/Uric Acid/Volume	Normal Calcium	Zurich, Switzerland	75	51.5 ± 1.5	Idiopathic Stone Formers (ICSF)	GOOD
3	Buck [19]	1991		OMEGA	Calcium/ Oxalate/Uric Acid/Volume	Omega Diet	Glasglow And Carduff, UK	12	49.9 ±12.2	Recurrent Hypercalciuric Stone Formers	GOOD
4	Siener [17]	2010		N3 Fatty Acid	Calcium/ Oxalate/Uric Acid/Volume	N3 Fatty Acid (Omega Diet)	Marburg, Germany	15	28	Healthy Subjects	GOOD

5	Domrongkitchaiporn [15]	2004	1 Month	High Caicium & Normal Calcium	Calcium/ Oxalate/Uric Acid/Volume	Normal Calcium	Thailand	32	22.7 ± 1.9	Healthy Male Navy Privates	GOOD
6	Seeger [18]	2017		Low Caicium	Calcium/ Oxalate/Uric Acid/Volume	Low Calcium	Switzerland	5215	47.1 ± 13.9	Recurrent Kidney Stone Disease	GOOD
7	Yasui [20]	2008	3 Months	EPA Fatty Acid Diet		EPA	Nagoya , Japan	29	53.39 ± 13.6	Japanese Patients With Calcium Oxalate Nephrolithiasis	GOOD
9	Omal [21]	2012	3months	EPA Fatty Acid Diet		EPA	Cleveland, OH, USA	29	43.38 ± 13.78	High Urinary Calcium And Calciumcontaining Stones	GOOD
10	Siener [22]	2005		Normal Calcium	Calcium/ Oxalate/Uric Acid/Volume		Germany	107	46.59 ± 7.73	Idiopathic Calcium Oxalatestonedisease	GOOD
11	Levine[9]	1996	0.4	Calcium	Calcium	placebo	USA	4500		Nulliparous women	GOOD

12	Grant [23]	2005	60 months	calcium	calcium	biphosphonates	Aberdin	5292	70	mobile before developing a low-trauma fracture	GOOD
13	Lappe [12]	2007	4 years	Calcium	-	Vit D	Omaha	1179	66.7 ± 7.3	Community - dwelling women randomly selected from the population of healthy postmenopausal women	GOOD

14	Peacock [13]	2000	4 years	calcium	-	Calcium	Indiana	438	76.0 (7.7)	. Subjects were excluded if they had a terminal illness; Paget's disease of bone; recurrent urinary stone disease; had been treated with sodium fluoride, bisphosphonate, steroids, or dilantin; had renal disease requiring specific treatment; or were excluded by their primary physician. Low BMD, previous skeletal fracture, and estrogen replaceme	GOOD

										nt therapy were not reasons for exclusion.	
15	Reid [14]	1995	2 years	calcium	-	calcium	New Zealand	86	58 ± 4	healthy white women at least 3 years postmenopause	GOOD
16	Riggs [11]	1998	4 years	calcium	calcium	Calcium	Minnesota	236	66.2 ± 2.5	normal postmenopausal women	GOOD
17	Reid [10]	2006		Calcium		Calcium	New Zealand	1471	74.2 (4.2)	Healthy postmenopausal women	GOOD

PRISMA flow chart