# 1 Tracking SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity in rural communities using blood-fed mosquitoes

- 2 Benjamin J. Krajacich<sup>1\*</sup>, Djibril Samaké<sup>2</sup>, Adama Dao<sup>2\*</sup>, Moussa Diallo<sup>2</sup>, Zana Lamissa Sanogo<sup>2</sup>, Alpha
- 3 Seydou Yaro<sup>2</sup>, Amatigué Ziguimé<sup>2</sup>, Josué Poudiougo<sup>2</sup>, Kadiatou Cissé<sup>2</sup>, Mamadou Traoré<sup>2</sup>, Alassane dit
- 4 Assitoun<sup>2</sup>, Roy Faiman<sup>1</sup>, Irfan Zaidi<sup>3</sup>, Woodford, John<sup>3</sup>, Patrick Duffy<sup>3</sup>, and Tovi Lehmann<sup>1</sup>
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Malaria and Vector Research, NIAID, NIH, Rockville, Maryland, USA
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>2</sup> Malaria Research and Training Center (MRTC)/ Faculty of Medicine, Pharmacy and Odonto-
- <sup>7</sup> stomatology, University of Sciences, Techniques and Technologies, Bamako, Mali
- <sup>8</sup> <sup>3</sup> Laboratory of Malaria Immunology and Vaccinology, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious
   <sup>9</sup> Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA
- <sup>10</sup> \* Authors contributed equally
- 11 Corresponding author: Tovi Lehmann (<u>tlehmann@nih.gov</u>)
- 12

# 13 Abstract:

14 The spread of SARS-CoV-2 cannot be well monitored and understood in areas without capacity 15 for effective disease surveillance. Countries with a young population will have disproportionately large numbers of asymptomatic or pauci-symptomatic infections, further hindering detection of infection in 16 17 the population. Sero-surveillance on a country-wide scale by trained medical professionals may be 18 limited in scope in resource limited setting such as Mali. Novel ways of broadly sampling the human 19 population in a non-invasive method would allow for large-scale surveillance at a reduced cost. Here we 20 evaluate the collection of naturally bloodfed mosquitoes to test for human anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies 21 in the laboratory and at five field locations in Mali. Immunoglobulin-G antibodies were found to be 22 readily detectable within the mosquito bloodmeals by a bead-based immunoassay at least through 10 23 hours post-feeding with high sensitivity  $(0.900 \pm 0.059)$  and specificity  $(0.924 \pm 0.080)$ , respectively, 24 indicating that most blood-fed mosquitoes collected indoors during early morning hours (and thus, have 25 likely fed the previous night) are viable samples for analysis. We find that reactivity to four SARS-CoV-2 26 antigens rose during the pandemic from pre-pandemic levels. Consistent with other sero-surveillance 27 studies in Mali, crude seropositivity of blood sampled via mosquitoes was 6.3% in October/November 28 2020 over all sites, and increased to 25.1% overall, with the town closest to Bamako reaching 46.7% in 29 February of 2021. Mosquito bloodmeals a viable target for conventional immunoassays, and therefore 30 country-wide sero-surveillance of human diseases (both vector-borne and non-vector-borne) is 31 attainable in areas where human-biting mosquitoes are common, and is an informative, cost-effective, 32 non-invasive sampling option. 33 Keywords: Africa, COVID-19, population-based epidemiology, mosquito blood meal, sero-surveillance,

# 34 Introduction:

35 The speed, scope, and impact of the SARS-CoV-2 virus on all corners of the globe is

- unprecedented in the last 100 years, with over 662 million cases and 6.7 million deaths through 2022.<sup>1</sup>
- As of February 2022, Mali had 30,303 RT-PCR-confirmed cases of COVID-19 across four waves of
- infection for a population of 20.8 million (Figure 1)<sup>2</sup>, with most cases reported from the capital, Bamako.
- 39 Due to the limited testing capacity across the country, this is almost certainly a gross underestimation of
- 40 the true number of infections. The use of sero-surveillance in which blood samples are broadly screened

- 41 for anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, is a promising tool to discover the rate of spread of a disease through a
- 42 population even from individuals without known current or past infection.
- 43 **Figure 1:** SARS-CoV-2 7-day smoothed case numbers per million people in Mali. Mosquito sampling
- 44 dates across all villages marked by vertical blue lines. Data from Our World In Data.<sup>1,2</sup> As of 2022-02-17,
- 45 30303 cases total in population of 20.8 million.



46

Enzyme-linked immunosorbence assays (ELISAs) for detection of immunoglobulin-G antibodies 47 48 specific for SARS-CoV-2 have been rapidly developed in response to the pandemic.<sup>3-5</sup> However, samples 49 of African origin tested with these assays were associated with a higher background reactivity than has 50 been seen with North American and European serum panels, though this varied depending on the assay used and antigen targeted.<sup>6-8</sup> The origins of the background reactivity have not been fully explained, 51 though donors in some of the African panels had higher reactivity to 'common-cold' coronaviruses such 52 53 as OC43<sup>6</sup> and previous infections with Dengue virus or malaria-causing parasites may also exacerbate this problem<sup>9</sup>. Within Mali, background reactivity in pre-pandemic sera was common compared to US 54 55 controls (43.4% spike, 22.8% for receptor-binding domain (RBD), and 33.9% for nucleocapsid protein), 56 with no obvious neutralization ability present in these samples.<sup>8</sup> Specificity could be improved with 57 reasonable sensitivity by using multiple antigen targets with conservative cutoffs, though the degree to 58 which this background signal may impact other immunoassays is unclear.

Previous work with this dual-antigen ELISA method in Mali has indicated a sharp increase in the adjusted seroprevalence from 10.9% in July-October 2020 to 54.7% in December 2020-January 2021 in three villages/townships.<sup>10</sup> This absolute increase appeared to be more limited in the relatively rural village of Doneguebougou (from 5.0 to 37.0%), in comparison to the Sotuba township nearby to Bamako (from 19.0 to 70.4%), but was still dramatic. Although sampling in this study included the township of Bancoumana, 50 km from Bamako, it was not performed in rural areas further from the capital, so the representation of the country and the relationship between distance from Bamako and rate of

seropositivity remained unclear. Expansion of sero-surveillance into more remote and rural areas of Mali
 may be difficult due to requirements of trained health professionals for blood-collection and analysis.

68 Reflecting on our experience in medical entomology, we considered the blood sampling 69 potential present in human-seeking mosquitoes. In many areas of Mali, the mosquito density indoors is 70 high, especially of a few species such as certain members the Anopheles gambiae and Culex pipiens 71 complexes, which have a strong preference for blood-feeding from humans, and a tendency to rest indoors after imbibing a bloodmeal.<sup>11</sup> With these numbers and behaviors, they present a suitable 72 73 potential source for an assay-sized, roughly 1-5  $\mu$ l<sup>12</sup>, volume of blood. Previous work in this realm has found mosquito bloodmeals to have sufficient volume to detect blood-borne human pathogens,<sup>13</sup> and 74 75 various antibodies of human disease including Trypanosoma, Plasmodium, Dengue virus, and Japanese Encephalitis antibodies.<sup>14-16</sup> However, leveraging these insects has never, to our knowledge, been 76 77 performed as a broad epidemiological sero-surveillance tool.

In this study we evaluate the potential for anthropophilic biting mosquitoes as non-invasive blood sampling tools to measure population seroprevalence patterns, using naturally acquired SARS-CoV-2 antibodies as a proof of concept. Owing to a relatively small amount of blood drawn via a mosquito bite, we favored a multiplex, bead-based immunological assay to characterize four antigens simultaneously. We characterize the durability of antibodies in the digestive environment of the mosquito midgut and evaluate the methodology in natural settings using mosquitoes caught in five different communities in Mali, West Africa.

# 85 Methods

# 86 Mosquito rearing:

Anopheles coluzzii mosquitoes were reared as previously described.<sup>17</sup> Briefly, colonized, diseasefree mosquitoes were reared in plastic trays (30 x 25 x 7 cm) with 1.5L of dechlorinated water. Larvae were fed with yeast supplement in the first 24 hours post after larval emergence and fish food until emergence as adults. These adult mosquitoes were held until 3-5 days old, at which point they were

starved overnight and allowed to feed upon human volunteers and stored as described below.

92 Pre-pandemic mosquito collection and multiplex bead-based immunoassay reactivity:

93 To develop a baseline cutoff from historic samples, bloodfed mosquitoes collected as part of 94 mosquito surveillance from the Sahelian villages Thierola and M'Piabougou, Mali in 2017 and 2018, that 95 had been stored on silica gel desiccant were evaluated for immunoreactivity to SARS-CoV-2 antigens. 96 Abdomens of individual mosquitoes were separated from the thorax under magnification using fine-97 tipped forceps. These abdomens were ground individually in 120ul of sample buffer of the bead-based 98 kit (Bio-Plex Pro Human IgG SARS-CoV-2, Bio-Rad, Irvine, CA) using 5-6 2.0 mm zirconia beads in a Mini-99 BeadBeater-96 (BioSpec Products, Inc, Bartlesville, OK, USA) at max speed for 25 seconds. This slurry 100 was spun at 13,000 g for 10 minutes to clear solids, and 50ul of the supernatant was used in the assay according to manufacturer instructions. This assay uses anti-human IgG as detection antibody and 101 102 magnetic capture beads coupled with SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid, receptor-binding domain, spike subunit 103 1 (hereafter spike1), and spike subunit 2 (hereafter spike2) viral proteins. Volume of sera per bloodmeal 104 is difficult to quantify with the mosquito due to variability in feeding amounts and the concentration of 105 blood via diuresis. For each antigen, we developed cutoffs from pre-pandemic samples based on the time period's mean value of sample median fluorescence intensity (MFI) plus 5 standard deviations, and 106 107 samples were considered positive if two or more antigens exceeded these cutoffs.

108 Human blood feeding on SARS-CoV-2 recovered individuals and computation of sensitivity and specificity:

Disclaimer: All aspects of the work involving human volunteers were approved by the Ethics Committee
 in the University of Bamako as part of IRB protocol: N°2020/78/CE/FMOS/FAPH.

111 Two volunteers who had recovered from a PCR-diagnosed SARS-CoV-2 infection, and one volunteer with 112 no known SARS-CoV-2 infection were fed upon by groups of 100 laboratory-reared, disease-free An. 113 coluzzii. Mosquitoes were kept under normal insectary conditions for set time points post feed (0, 2, 4, 114 8, and 30 hours) to analyze the effect of digestion on recoverability of the antibodies. At each time 115 point, mosquitoes were killed and stored on top of a small piece of cotton ball in a 1.7 ml Eppendorf 116 tubes with silica gel desiccant (#13767, Millipore Sigma, Burlington, MA). Mosquitoes were kept on 117 desiccant for one week at room temperature before storage at -80 °C until analysis. A larger cohort of 12 residents of the rural village of Thierola of unknown SARS-CoV-2 status were subsequently analyzed. Of 118 119 this cohort, two (C and K) had worked in a mine during several months prior to the experiment and two lived in cities ('H' in Bamako and 'M' in Kita). An additional volunteer, a resident of Bamako, was 120 121 vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2 three months prior to the experiment. Each volunteer was fed upon by 122 groups of 50 laboratory-reared, disease-free An. coluzzii mosquitoes. Mosquitoes were held for 0, 5, 10, 123 and 30 hours post-feed and stored as described above before analysis. Using the 'rsample' R package<sup>18</sup>, 124 we created 100 sample splits for each timepoint using bootstrapping. From these splits, we estimated 125 test sensitivity and specificity from the putative positivity of the volunteers using with the 'yardstick' R 126 package.<sup>19</sup> Overall test sensitivity and specificity were set based on 5 and 10 hr timepoints as these are 127 the most-likely range of time periods post-feeding to capture mosquitoes (i.e. morning after likely

128 feeding window).

# 129 Wild-caught mosquito based sero-surveillance in Mali and evaluation of changes in crude prevalence:

130 Indoor resting mosquitoes were collected by aspiration from 40 sentinel houses in each of five 131 communities (latitude and longitude are in parentheses): Bancoumana (12.20862, -8.2646), Berian 132 (11.4197, -7.9351), Nionina (12.9873, -5.997231), Sitokoto (13.637307, -10.818615), and Sotuba 133 (12.66181, -7.91915), Mali. The sentinel houses were chosen to have at least one occupied bedroom, to 134 have given permission for sampling via the homeowners, and to be spread across the community with a 135 minimum of 50 m between them (20 m in the smaller villages). Sampling of blood-fed mosquitoes was 136 performed during October/November 2020 and February 2021 with handheld aspirators in the morning 137 (07:00-10:00). Mosquitoes were desiccated on silica gel and stored at -20 °C until shipment where they 138 were stored at -80 °C until analysis. Samples of ~60 blood-fed mosquitoes per village per timepoint 139 were analyzed via the below described immunoassay. Where possible, mosquitoes were sampled from 140 the same houses across time periods to assess the progression of seropositivity in a semi-matched 141 population. These are semi-matched due to an uncertainty whether the individual the mosquito fed on 142 is a member of that house, and if so which individual it was.

To test the difference between quantiles of pre-pandemic and pandemic distributions, we used quantile 143 regression implemented by Proc Quantreg<sup>20</sup>, which extends the general linear model for estimating 144 145 conditional change in the response variable across its distribution as expressed by quantiles, rather than 146 its mean (though the median is similar to the mean in symmetric distributions). Quantile regression does 147 not assume parametric distribution (e.g., normal) of the random error part of the model, thus it is 148 considered semi-parametric. The benefit of this analysis is that it addresses changes in reactivity to 149 antigens that could be detected in the higher quantiles even when the mean or the median are less 150 affected, without imposing cutoffs. In fact, it can be used to estimate if there is a monotonic increase 151 over time (and over quantiles) and estimate the sero-prevalence change (from the pre-pandemic 152 baseline) per antigen. The parameter estimates in linear quantile regression models are interpreted as in

153 typical GLM, as rates of change adjusted for the effects of the other variables in the model for a 154 specified quantile<sup>21</sup>.

155 Seropositivity adjustments

156 The definition of seropositive blood meal (hereafter also seropositive mosquito) is explained in the 157 Results (below). Estimated seropositivity at the human population was adjusted in three ways, to 158 account the assay sensitivity and specificity (calculated from 5hr and 10hr time-points of laboratory 159 feed), for human-blood meal proportion, and to account for the possibility that multiple mosquitoes fed on the same person. Specifically, standard error around crude seropositivity measures per village per 160 161 timepoint were generated using the 'yardstick', 'infer', and 'rsample' packages<sup>18,19,22</sup> with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. The test sensitivity and specificity adjustment was done as in Sempos and Tian 162 163 (2021)<sup>23</sup> using the sensitivity and specificity estimates from the 5hr and 10hr time-points of laboratory 164 feed, with the following formula:

165 Adjusted Seroprevalence =  $\frac{\text{crude seroprevalence + specificity - 1}}{\text{sensitivity + specificity - 1}}$ 

Second, we adjusted seroprevalence estimates accounting for the non-human bloodmeal proportion 166 found in mosquito samples collected during the matched village and time periods (methodology 167 168 described in section below), adjusting the numbers of total individuals tested (denominator) by the 169 corresponding fraction of non-human bloodmeals found. Uncertainty around these estimates were 170 taken by generating 1000 draws stratified by sample group size, and 1000 bootstrap replicate from 171 these groups as above. Finally, seroprevalence when sampling a single mosquito per house was 172 estimated across 1,000 random draws of one mosquito per house per time period. Standard errors 173 surrounding both these adjusted values was generated as described above with 1000 bootstrap

174 replicates.

# 175 Blood-meal host determination: human vs. animal blood

Mosquito host feeding sources were determined through a qPCR high resolution melt-curve 176 177 analysis targeting cytochrome B gene fragment, following published protocols.<sup>24</sup> Briefly, DNA was extracted from 5ul of the above mosquito slurry by combining with 20ul of QuickExtract solution 178 179 (Lucigen), and incubated for 65°C at 15min with a final inactivation of 98°C for 2 minutes. From this, 2ul 180 of extract was combined with 5ul SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix, 2ul water, and 1ul of 181 10uM CytB primers, and analyzed with the published amplification/melt conditions in a Mic gPCR Cycler 182 (Bio Molecular Systems, Australia). Bloodmeal discrimination for the purposes of this paper were 183 classified as human if the melting temperature of the amplified product fell between 85.75°C +/- 2°C, 184 and otherwise considered non-human if melt rate (-dF/dT) peaks fell outside this range.

# 185 Results:

186 Pre-pandemic mosquito background reactivity:

187 Due to the variable nature of mosquito bloodmeal size, a potential range of digestion time per 188 individual mosquito, and high rate of pre-pandemic background reactivity presented in other serological 189 studies,<sup>3,4</sup> we developed assay cutoffs based on background reactivity from silica gel stored, pre-190 pandemic, blood-fed mosquito samples (*n*=90). Median Fluorescent Intensity (MFI) values per antigen

- 191 were non-normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk normality test, *p-value* < 0.001 for all antigens).
- Manufacturer suggested MFI cutoffs for 1:100 dilution of serum (N:450, RBD:250, S1:250, S2:750), were
- adjusted for this assay using the mean + 5 standard deviations of pre-pandemic mosquitoes to maximize
- detectability and limit multi-antigen false positivity. These cutoffs (N:116.7, RBD:48.3, S1:78.0, S2:89.4)
- showed low single antigen positivity (n=2, 2.2%) in these mosquitoes during the pre-pandemic period

196 (Figure 2 and Supplemental table 1). Due to this low level of single-antigen positivity and that no pre-

pandemic mosquitoes were seropositive based on 2 or more antigens; we chose to classify a mosquito

198 positive only if two or more antigens crossed their specific thresholds.

199

200 Figure 2: Background reactivity of 90 blood-fed mosquitoes collected via indoor aspiration prior to SARS-

201 CoV-2 emergence and stored desiccated on silica gel until analysis. Per antigen cutoffs are marked via

202 line. The two points above cutoff were single antigen positive mosquitoes

203



204 205

# 206 Durability of antibody-detectability in mosquito midguts

207 In an initial proof-of-concept time-course experiment, mosquitoes were held for set time points 208 after blood-feeding to assess the detectability of the antibodies in the blood meal during mosquito 209 digestion under normal insectary conditions. Consistent with previous studies on antibodies against other pathogens<sup>13-16,25,26</sup>, antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 remained detectable at least to 10 hours, with a 210 211 loss of signal seen between that timepoint and 24 hours later (data not shown). Following this pilot, a 212 larger trial was then undertaken with 13 volunteers of unknown SARS-CoV-2 infection history. One 213 volunteer (VAT) had been vaccinated three months prior to the experiment (with an additional suspected infection with SARS-CoV-2 based on high titer against the nucleocapsid antigen, below). VAT 214 215 had a clear antibody response across all time-points analyzed with 3 or more antigens positive in every 216 mosquito held up to 30 hours post blood-feeding (Supplemental Figure 3). Other volunteers with 217 unknown status were classified based on the positivity rate of mosquitoes in time points 0, 5, and 10 218 hours, where overall antibody positivity rates were highest (Figure 3). VBT and VDT had all mosquitoes 219 positive with 2 or more antigens through these time points (11/11 and 11/12 mosquitoes, respectively). 220 VFT, VIT, VLT, and VMT each had all but one mosquito positive in these times post-feeding (10/11, 9/10, 221 4/5, 9/10 total positive mosquitoes, respectively). VGT had two mosquitoes as negative (8/10 positive). 222 These 8 individuals were assumed then to be true positives, with negative mosquitoes being false 223 negatives. On the other hand, volunteers VHT, VCT, VET, and VJT were considered negative due to only 1 224 or fewer antigen positive mosquitoes at any time point. VKT was also considered negative due to 11/12 mosquitoes having <2 antigens positive but does have one 2-antigen positive mosquito at the 10 hours 225 226 time-point (Figure 3), indicating this is a false positive (or as an individual with antibody levels very near 227 the limit of detection). Accordingly, misclassification varied between antigens (in time points 0, 5, and 10 228 hours), being highest in nucleocapsid with 12% false positive and 34% false negative (N=132

- 229 mosquitoes), followed by spike1 (2% false positive and 30% false negative, N=132), RBD (0% false
- positive and 17% false negative, N=132), and spike2 (10% false positive and 6% false negative, N=132).
- Although the diagnostic values appear to vary among antigens, the small sample size of volunteers
- available in the present study may have confounded these estimates. Thus, using reactivity against
- 233 multiple antigens for a diagnosis of a suspected sero-positive is needed to overcome these rates of
- 234 misclassification based on a single antigen.
- 235 **Figure 3:** Antibody detectability above antigen-specific cutoffs (dotted line) with the four-antigen
- 236 multiplex immunoassay after set periods of digestion post-bloodfeeding on human volunteers.
- 237 Suspected Covid had 2+ antigens over pre-pandemic cutoffs at multiple time points, suspected negative
- had a maximum of one antigen positive at any time point. Inconclusive (based on a single mosquito
- blood meal) had 1 mosquito with 2 antigens positives at various time points (See also Supplemental
- 240 Figure 1 and Supplemental Figure 2).
- 241



- 243 Based on these classifications of volunteer infection status and the requirement that reactivity 244 above cutoff must be observed for two or more antigens, we estimated the sensitivity and specificity of 245 our assay throughout these time points using bootstrapping to determine confidence intervals (Table 1).
- **Table 1:** Sensitivity and specificity estimations during each time-point post feeding a total of 159
- 247 mosquitoes on 13 volunteers (Figures3 and S1). Standard deviations were calculated using

bootstrapping. A 5 and 10 hour merged time-point estimate (5 and 10Hr) was calculated as these are

the more likely time-points for collection of blood-fed wild mosquitoes post-feeding than 0 or 30 hours.

Time post feed	Mean Sensitivity	Std. Dev. Sensitivity	Mean Specificity	Std. Dev. Specificity
0Hr	0.932	0.052	1	0
5Hr	0.871	0.075	1	0
10Hr	0.954	0.04	0.942	0.057
30Hr	0.288	0.102	1	0
5and10Hr	0.925	0.033	0.975	0.027

<sup>250</sup> 

251 We find that while sensitivity is high in 0-10 hours timepoints (0.871-0.954), it drops at 30 hours to

0.288, with only mosquitoes from two of the previously infected volunteers with the highest overall MFI
 values showing positivity at this time point (Supplemental Figure 2).

254 Sero-surveillance of Malian communities

A total of 579 blood-fed mosquitoes (252 were *An. gambiae* s.l. and 327 were *Culex* spp.) collected indoors in five Malian communities by aspiration were subjected to analysis. Numbers of analyzed mosquitoes were randomly subsampled to be largely consistent between sampling periods (284 in October—November 2020, 295 in February 2021), villages (118, 108, 115, 118, 120 mosquitoes analyzed from Bancoumana, Berian, Nionina, Sitokoto, and Sotuba, respectively), and total houses sampled per village (44, 35, 35, 34, 33 in Bancoumana, Berian, Nionina, Sitokoto, and Sotuba, respectively).

262 An increase in reactivity from the pre-pandemic baseline was apparent across all four SARS-CoV-263 2 antigens (Figure 4). Because we suspected that only a fraction of the population would be seropositive, 264 we used quantile regression to evaluate which quantiles have changed and if the change was consistent 265 across quantiles. An advantage of this approach is that it does not assume cutoffs (Methods), yet it can 266 be used to estimate the quantiles of the population that exhibits crude reactivity changes over the pandemic for each antigen. Considering nucleocapsid and spike-1, an increase over the pre-pandemic 267 was significant at October-November 2020, starting from the 80<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> quantiles, respectively 268 269 (quantile regression,  $t_{df=1}=2.74$  and 2.14, P=0.006 and P=0.033, respectively) and increasing in 270 significance at higher quantiles, whereas at Feb-Mar 2021 a significant increase was detected from the 271  $65^{\text{th}}$  and  $70^{\text{th}}$  quantiles, respectively (quantile regression,  $t_{df=1}=2.71$  and 2.49, P=0.007 and P=0.013, 272 respectively, Figure4b). In RBD, an increase over the pre-pandemic was significant at October-November 2020, starting from the 50<sup>th</sup> quantile ( $t_{df=1}$ =3.17 P=0.002) and increasing in significance at higher 273 quantiles, whereas at Feb-Mar 2021 a significant increase was detected from the  $30^{th}$  quantile ( $t_{df=1}=2.89$ 274 P=0.035, Figure4b) and increased thereafter. In spike-2, an increase over the pre-pandemic was 275 significant at October-November 2020, starting from the 70<sup>th</sup> quantile (t<sub>df=1</sub>=2.53 P=0.012) and 276 277 increasing in significance at higher quantiles, whereas at Feb-Mar 2021 a significant increase was 278 detected from the 50<sup>th</sup> quantile ( $t_{df=1}=2.43$  P=0.016, Figure4b) and increased thereafter. These results, at 279 the single antigen level, exhibited relative change over time, and thus, support a consistent increase 280 from the pre-pandemic baseline. On average across antigens and the five communities, these estimates 281 support 31% crude sero-prevalence in October-November 2020 that increased to 46% in Feb-Mar 2021. 282 Moreover, the increase in the magnitude of the reactivity (Figure4) indicates that a fraction of the 283 population has experienced multiple exposure events resulting in elevated titers among sero-positives 284 (aside from the greater fraction of the population showing an increase over the pre-pandemic levels).

- 285 The crude daily rate of infection estimated by the difference in mean prevalence (across antigens in the
- 286 whole population) between time points divided by the median number of days between samples was
- 287 0.13%/d between October-November 2020 and February 2021. Assuming that COVID-19 started
- spreading in the country one week before the discovery of the first case(s) in Mali (March 25, 2020:
- 289 <u>http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/2020-03/25/c\_138916218.htm</u>), the crude daily infection rate
- 290 between this and the October sample was 0.15%/d.

291 Figure 4: Distributions of the median fluorescence intensity (MFI) per antigen comparing pre-pandemic

292 (N=90) and pandemic mosquitoes (October-November 2020, N=284; and February 2021, N=295) and

- 293 quantile regression results showing quantile specific changes in reactivity over time for each antigen. (a)
- Reactivity distribution of each antigen and time period overlaid with box-whisker plots. The cutoffs are
- shown by the horizonal lines. (b) Results of quantile regression models fitted to each antigen with period
- as the independent variable, showing the intercept and the effect of each pandemic time period relative
- to the pre-pandemic baseline with 95% confident interval (blue band). Line segments above zero
- indicate quantiles in which the effect is positive and statistical significance is indicated if the CI range
- 299 does not overlap with the zero baseline.
- 300





302

Assuming each blood fed mosquito fed on a randomly selected person in the community, crude seroprevalence was estimated based the number of bloodfed mosquitoes with reactivity above cutoff for two or more SARS Cov-2 antigens (above) over the total tested, suggesting a significant increase in four of the five communities between October-November 2020 and February 2021 (Figures5A, S3). Notably, no change or even a trend showing a loss of sero-positivity was recorded for the village Sitokoto, which had very minimal sero-prevalence (1.8%) in October-November 2020 (Figure 5A).

The crude serological data indicates marked heterogeneity over time as well as in space (Table 2). Considering a house "positive" if it had at least one positive mosquito, seroprevalence at the house level was typically, higher than that at the mosquito level, yet the differences over time and across villages were consistent as were the statistically significant differences between periods and across villages (Table 2). Significant differences between villages were detected only at the February 2021

314 period (Table 2). At the range of seroprevalence measured, there was consistent relationship with house

seroprevalence 50% higher than that at the mosquito level (Supplementary Figure 4, Discussion).

316

217	Table 2 Crude core	nrovalanco (NI)	over time across s	natial cealor and	compling units
21/	Table Z. Crude Sero	DIEVALETICE (IN)	over time across si	dulai scales allu	Sampling units.
-					

Spatial scale	Unit	Oct-Nov 2020	February 2021	P (Homogeneity test over time)	P (Homogeneity test across villages)
Overall	Mosquito	4% (284)	20% (295)	0.0001, χ <sup>2</sup> <sub>df=1</sub> =35.4	
Overall	House	6.7% (119)	30.1 (143)	0.0001, χ <sup>2</sup> <sub>df=1</sub> =22.6	
Bancoumana	Mosquito	5% (60)	31% (58)	0.0002, χ <sup>2</sup> <sub>df=1</sub> =13.6	
Berian	Mosquito	8% (49)	12% (59)	0.5, $\chi^2_{df=1}$ =0.4	
Nionina	Mosquito	2% (56)	17% (59)	0.006, χ <sup>2</sup> <sub>df=1</sub> =7.6	Breslow-Day Test
Sitokoto	Mosquito	2% (59)	0% (59)	0.3, χ² <sub>df=1</sub> =1	for Homogeneity
Sotuba	Mosquito	3% (60)	40% (60)	0.0001, χ <sup>2</sup> <sub>df=1</sub> =23.7	0.006, χ <sup>2</sup> <sub>df=4</sub> =14.4
Cross villages	Mosquito	P=0.4, $\chi^2_{df=4}$ =4.1	P=0.0001, χ <sup>2</sup> <sub>df=4</sub> =36.9		
Bancoumana	House	10% (30)	50% (32)	- 0.0002, χ² <sub>df=1</sub> =11.7	
Berian	House	5% (20)	19% (31)	0.15, χ <sup>2</sup> df=1=2.1	
Nionina	House	4% (23)	29 (28)	0.024, χ <sup>2</sup> <sub>df=1</sub> =5.1	Breslow-Day Test
Sitokoto	House	5% (20)	0% (29)	0.41, Fisher Exact Tst	for Homogeneity
Sotuba	House	8% (26)	57% (23)	0.0002, χ <sup>2</sup> <sub>df=1</sub> =13.7	0.023, $\chi^2_{df=4}=11.3$
Cross villages	House	P=0.91, χ <sup>2</sup> <sub>df=4</sub> =0.95	P=0.0001, χ <sup>2</sup> <sub>df=4</sub> =27.9		

318

Although these indoor resting mosquitoes are known to feed predominantly on humans <sup>11,27-30</sup> 319 320 we assessed the variation among villages and time points in this trait, which could confound our results 321 because our secondary antibody was anti-human IgG (Methods). Blood meal analysis to identify human 322 and nonhuman hosts (Methods) was performed on 221 mosquitoes. Overall, 88% fed on human blood 323 including 9% which fed on human and other animal blood (mixed, Supplementary Figure 5). The overall human feeding rate was lower in Anopheles (79%, N=109) than in Culex (97%, N=112, P=0.001  $\chi^2_{df=1}$ 324 =18.1), was similar between time points (86% in OctNov2020 vs. 91% in February 2021,  $\chi^2_{df=1}$  = 1.83, 325 326 P=0.17) and varied between 66% (Sitokoto) and 97% (Sotuba) among villages ( $\chi^2_{df=4}$  = 27.3, P=0.0001, 327 Supplementary Figure 4). With the other villages blood-feeding rate on humans equal or above 91% 328  $(\chi^2_{df=4} = 1.6, P=0.6)$ , only the mosquitoes from Sitokoto exhibited an exceptionally low human feeding 329 rate. To accommodate variation in blood feeding rate on our seroprevalence rates, we adjusted the 330 seroprevalence data in each village and time point to the fraction of mosquitoes that fed on humans 331 (Figure5B).

Numbers of mosquitoes collected and analyzed per house across the five villages in each time period varied (Medians=2 and 3, Maximum=11 and 49, respectively, Supplementary Figure 6), and thus we calculated human seroprevalence per village using bootstrap subsampling of one mosquito per house per village per time period (Figure 5C). This led to similar point estimates of prevalence, though with a wider standard deviation.

**Figure 5:** Crude (A) and adjusted seroprevalence per sampling village. Adjusted seroprevalence for test

sensitivity and bloodmeal composition (B), and test sensitivity with one mosquito per sampling periodper household (C).





342

#### 343 **Discussion:**

344 This is the first study evaluating the use of serological data derived from blood-fed mosquitoes 345 to measure the spread of a non-vector borne disease, namely COVID-19, at a country scale. This 346 approach has a high potential to fill the gap where capacity to effectively sample the target human 347 (host) population directly is low, but where mosquitoes that feed on people are abundant – settings that 348 are common in many developing countries. As this was a proof-of-concept evaluation of this approach, 349 rather than a full-scale investigation (in preparation), we have limited the number of communities, time periods, and samples analyzed. Yet, the results reveal a sharp increase in exposure to SARS-CoV-2 350 351 between October 2020 and February 2021, albeit not across all communities. Furthermore, a 352 comparison of key patterns detected here with those established using the classic sero-surveillance study in some of the same Malian communities<sup>8</sup> suggests high congruency (below). Overall, our results 353 demonstrate that this approach provides valuable insights as to the magnitude of human exposure and 354 355 its variation over space and time, which can inform epidemiological assessments and decisions.

356 However, this approach does not convey individual patient exposure status (or seroconversion 357 in repeated sampling) because the individual person the blood came from remains unknown as is the 358 information about their age, gender, etc. Uncertainties regarding the exact volume of the sera a 359 mosquito imbibes, the exact time since blood-feeding, and especially whether a mosquito fed on a 360 human or animal host, and the fraction of mosquitoes that fed on the same people preclude 361 interpreting "mosquito seroprevalence" as identical to the human population's seroprevalence, without accommodating additional information. Finally, the small volume of blood available in a mosquito 362 363 (typically 1-5 u<sup>12</sup>) limits the number of serological assays that can be performed on a single sample. Below, we consider these factors in the analysis and interpretation of the results on the spread of SARS-364 365 CoV-2 in Mali and in similar application of this approach in the future for this or other diseases.

366 Prerequisites for using blood-fed mosquitoes for serological studies include establishing the 367 dynamics of antibody detection over time since blood feeding (using the same preservation method and

conditions used in the field) and reactivity cutoffs that are validated using direct feed on local volunteers 368 369 or blood from seropositive and seronegative individuals from the target populations<sup>6-8</sup>. Early 370 experiments to evaluate the effect of time post feeding on antibody detection revealed that mosquitoes 371 preserved in 80% ethanol indicated rapid reactivity degradation compared with those desiccated on 372 silica gel (not shown). Both laboratory experiments in NIH and field studies in Mali confirmed earlier 373 studies<sup>13,15,16,25,26</sup> that antibody detection persisted with minimal degradation until at least 10 hours and 374 degradation was evident at later time points (24-36 hours post feeding, e.g., Figure3). Since most 375 Anopheles spp. and Culex spp. bite late at night: 22:00 to 04:00<sup>29,31-35</sup> and mosquito collection took place 376 from 07:00 to 10:00, most mosquitoes were killed and preserved 3-11 hours post feeding. Moreover, we 377 separated freshly fed mosquitoes which were subjected to serological analysis from later stages of blood 378 digestion including semi-gravid and gravid or non-fed mosquitoes. We established reactivity cutoffs per 379 antigen with wide margin based on the mean and 5 standard deviations, using pre-pandemic, silica gel 380 stored blood-fed mosquito samples from the target population that represent natural background 381 reactivity (Figure 2). The low single antigen positivity (2.2%) in the pre-pandemic mosquitoes (Figure 2 382 and Supplemental Table 1) was further minimized by requiring that seropositive mosquitoes exhibit 383 reactivity above cutoffs in two or more antigens. These cutoffs were tested in a trial with 13 volunteers, 384 whose infection history with SARS-CoV-2 was unknown (except for one). Based on highly consistent 385 sero-positivity of the mosquitoes that fed on them (mosquitoes/volunteer >10), the volunteers were readily classified into putative positive and negative states using high consensus among mosquitoes (in 386 387 time points 0, 5, and 10 hrs Figures3, S1 and S2). Likewise, despite moderate misclassification by single 388 antigens (up to 12% false positive and 34% false negative among N=132 mosquitoes in NC)<sup>8,36</sup>, considering the two-antigen definition at the 5 and 10 hours post feeding time point (above), only 2.5% 389 390 were false positive mosquitoes and only 7.5% were false negative mosquitoes, assuming the

391 classification of individuals was correct (Table 1).

392 Overall, results based on 669 blood-fed mosquitoes collected indoors across five Malian communities 393 (Bancoumana, Berian, Nionina, Sitokoto, and Sotuba following collection in two pre-pandemic villages) 394 revealed an increase in reactivity from the pre-pandemic baseline across all four SARS-CoV-2 antigens 395 (Figure 4). This increase was significant between the pre-pandemic and the early (October-November 396 2020) and late (February 2021) pandemic time periods (Figures 4 and 5), but also between the early and 397 late pandemic time periods (quantile regressions, P<0.01 and Table 2). Assuming minimal change in 398 confounders such as human feeding rate, this trend presents a compelling proof for the utility of 399 mosquito-based analysis of disease spread especially because it does not depend on cutoff values. This 400 analysis indicated a steady increase of the fraction of the population exhibiting elevated reactivity over 401 the pre-pandemic level as well as elevated intensity of the reactivity across the higher quantiles 402 (Figure4), suggesting higher titers among putative positives, as expected if people are repeatedly 403 infected when more individuals carry the virus. Assuming these five, mostly rural communities represent 404 the whole of Mali, the crude daily rate of infection (estimated by the difference in mean prevalence 405 across antigens between time points divided by the median number of days between samples as 406 explained above) was 0.13%/d between October-November 2020 and February 2021. Assuming that 407 COVID-19 started spreading in the country one week before the discovery of the first case(s) in Mali 408 (above), the crude daily infection rate between this and the October sample was 0.15%/d. Albeit lower 409 than those reported from Mali<sup>8</sup>, the difference may reflect the more remote and rural settings of the 410 communities sampled here. Indeed, at Doneguebougou, the most rural community sampled by Sagara et al. (2021<sup>10</sup>, which is located ~15 km from Bamako, their estimate for the same time period was 411 412 similar (0.19%/d), and unlike our estimate, their rate included persons who were positive at the first

413 time point and negative in the second time point.

414 Following the definition of sero-positive mosquito's bloodmeal (reactivity > cutoff in two or 415 more SARS Cov-2 antigens), we estimated the crude population seroprevalence of each community and 416 time point, assuming each mosquito fed on a randomly selected resident (Figures 5 and S3). That the 417 seroprevalence at the house level was 50% higher than that at the mosquito level (Table 2, 418 Supplementary Figure 4) reflecting the combined effects of the clustering of seropositive persons 419 between houses in a village, the number of mosquitoes analyzed per house, and the fraction mosquitoes that blood fed in one house overnight and moved into another by morning<sup>37,38</sup>. Because of 420 421 this and the quicker saturation of the house seroprevalence (defined as having at least one seropositive 422 mosquito in a house at a given time period), we suggest that the crude seropositivity at the mosquito 423 level provides more accurate estimate of the community true seroprevalence. Additionally, blood-fed 424 mosquitoes should be sampled from at least 25 houses in the community, and possibly from a larger 425 number based on its total size, spatial organization, and heterogeneity with respect to relevant factors, 426 e.g., proximity to school, market, etc. Overall, the crude seroprevalence rate at October-November 427 2020 was 6.5% (Sitokoto: 1.8%—Berian: 12.2%, Figure5A, Table 2), representing seven months after the 428 discovery of the first case of COVID-19 in Mali. However, three and a half months later (February 2021), 429 the overall crude seroprevalence was dramatically higher: 25.0% (Sitokoto: 0%-Sotuba: 46.5%, 430 Figure5A, Table 2). This rise corresponds to the first peak of elevated transmission in Mali (November 431 2020—January 2021, Figure 1).

432 Our crude seroprevalence may underestimate actual human population seroprevalence because the 433 assay's sensitivity was lower than its specificity (Table 1) while the majority of the population would be

still sero-negative and because some of the mosquitoes had taken their blood meal on non-human hosts

435 (which our ELISA cannot detect even if that host had antibodies against SARS-Cov-2). The adjusted

- 436 seroprevalence values were typically 2% higher than the crude seroprevalence across communities and
  437 in each one, except in Sotuba during February 2021, where the adjusted seroprevalence was 4.5%
- 438 higher than the crude value (Figure 5). Overall, 12% mosquitoes fed on non-human blood (N=221), aside

439 from 9% that fed on human and other animal blood, proportions that are consistent with previous

studies<sup>11,27,29,32,33</sup>. None of the mosquitoes that fed on animal blood were seropositive (N=26; 1 mosquito

441 was reactive to a single antigen). Minor difference was detected between October-November 2020

442 (86%) and February 2021 (91%, above) and feeding on human blood was above 91% in all villages except

- in Sitokoto (66%), which also had the lowest crude seroprevalence. Incorporating a bead that indicates
- human IgG or other human-specific antigen into a single ELISA would be helpful in future studies,
- especially in areas where feeding on non-human hosts is more common. Finally, to consider the
- 446 possibility that mosquitoes collected in the same house fed on the same person, we also estimated the 447 human seroprevalence by resampling one mosquito from each household (Figure 5C). Because most
- 448 houses had >3 occupants, and the number of mosquitoes analyzed from the same house at each time
- point was small (median=2, Supplementary Figure 4), the expected effect of this adjustment was small.
- 450 A large-scale analysis of sampling of mosquitoes across ~20 communities in Mali is currently underway,
- 451 with investigation of ELISA-based techniques better suited to lower resource laboratories to further
- 452 elucidate the temporal and spatial spread of the virus across the country using this approach.

# 453 Conclusions:

454 The congruence of our results based on serological analysis of blood-fed mosquito with conventional

455 serological studies<sup>8</sup> and with active infection records based on PCR carried out in Bamako (Fig 1) lend

456 strong support for the utility of this approach. Akin to wastewater-based epidemiology<sup>39</sup> this non-

- 457 invasive blood sampling is a promising tool to monitor populations in areas where robust serological
- 458 data from human subjects is unlikely to be available and where human biting mosquitoes are common,
- 459 as is the case in many tropical remote communities. While these population-targeted techniques should

460 be thought of as complementary to and distinct from direct serological studies on human populations,

- they have been proven to be relevant and useful for public health (community-wide) decision
- 462 making<sup>39,40</sup>. Understanding exposure rates to pathogens in remote communities as well as changes in
- 463 reactivity over time are important components of an early warning system targeting remote tropical
- 464 communities, especially for rare and emerging conditions where conventional surveillance may be
- 465 considered too costly. Combined with the identification of the blood source, blood-fed mosquito
   466 analysis may also be useful to monitor pathogen exposure rates in both human and animal hosts, even if
- 467 these hosts are poorly characterized (i.e. spillover into an intermediate unknown hosts). Thus, this
- 468 technique and other future interrogations of the mosquito blood meal could fit well in a one-health
- 469 paradigm surrounding disease transmission throughout the home or screening across a diverse set of
- 470 potential reservoirs.

471

- 472 **Acknowledgements:** We are grateful to the residents of the Malian villages for their permission to work
- 473 at and near their homes and for their wonderful assistance and hospitality. We thank Drs. Thomas
- 474 Wellems and Carolina Barillas-Murry, Ms. Wendy Hamm, Ms. Fatoumata Bathily, and Mr. Sam Moretz
- for the support of our program at NIH and the ICER Mali. This study was supported by the Division of
- 476 Intramural Research, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health,
- 477 Bethesda MD, USA (Grant ID: Al001328)

#### 479 Supplementary Materials

480

481 Supplemental Figure 1: Numbers of mosquitoes positive for 1-4 anti-SARS-CoV-2 antigens per volunteer 482 at 0, 5, 10, and 30 hours post-feed. Only VAT had known covid status with a SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. All 483 other volunteers had unknown SARS-CoV-2 infection history. Per antigen positivity is defined by pre-484 pandemic collected wild mosquitoes that fed naturally on Malian individuals. Individuals VAT, VBT, VDT, 485 VFT, VGT, VIT, VLT, and VMT are considered positive by having multiple 2+ antigen positive mosquitoes across multiple time points. VHT, VCT, VET, and VJT are considered negative due to only 1 or fewer 486 487 antigen positive mosquitoes at any time point. VKT is considered negative due to 11/12 mosquitoes 488 having <2 antigens positive, but does have one 2-antigen-positive mosquito at the 10 hours time-point.

489



490 491

492 Supplemental Table 1: Number mosquitoes with the listed number of SARS-CoV-2 antigens above493 cutoffs per time period.

_	Time Period	# Antigens Positive	Total tested
	Pre-pandemic	0	88
	Pre-pandemic	1	2

495 **Supplemental figure 2:** Per antigen log2(MFI) values for each volunteer with pre-pandemic cutoffs

shown as dashed line per antigen. Number of mosquitoes per positive per antigen/volunteer per time

497 point labeled above boxplots.



498

499

#### 501 **Supplemental figure 3:** Changes in seropositivity over time measured by the number of antigens to

#### 502 which reactivity exceeded cutoff by village



503

504

505

- 507 **Supplemental Figure 4:** Relationship between seroprevalence at the mosquito and the house levels
- 508 (across villages and time points).





# Supplemental Figure 5: Blood meal composition across villages and time periods (N=221).

- 513
- 514
- 515 **Supplemental figure 6:** Distribution of the number of mosquitoes collected (green) and analyzed (gold)
- 516 per house across the five villages by time period (occasionally multiple collection days per time period).
- 517 Note: the X-axis is not continuous.



#### 519 References

520 1. Hasell J, Mathieu E, Beltekian D, et al. A cross-country database of COVID-19 testing. Sci Data 521 2020; 7(1): 345. 522 2. Exchange MCC-Cl-HD. Humanitarian Data Exchange. . 2023. 523 3. Amanat F, Stadlbauer D, Strohmeier S, et al. A serological assay to detect SARS-CoV-2 524 seroconversion in humans. Nat Med 2020; 26(7): 1033-6. 525 Klumpp-Thomas C, Kalish H, Drew M, et al. Standardization of ELISA protocols for serosurveys of 4. 526 the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic using clinical and at-home blood sampling. Nat Commun 2021; 12(1): 113. 527 5. Stadlbauer D, Amanat F, Chromikova V, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Seroconversion in Humans: A Detailed 528 Protocol for a Serological Assay, Antigen Production, and Test Setup. Curr Protoc Microbiol 2020; 57(1): 529 e100. 530 Emmerich P, Murawski C, Ehmen C, et al. Limited specificity of commercially available SARS-CoV-6. 531 2 IgG ELISAs in serum samples of African origin. Trop Med Int Health 2021; 26(6): 621-31. 532 7. Nkuba Ndaye A, Hoxha A, Madinga J, et al. Challenges in interpreting SARS-CoV-2 serological 533 results in African countries. Lancet Glob Health 2021; 9(5): e588-e9. 534 8. Woodford J, Sagara I, Dicko A, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 535 Seroassay Performance and Optimization in a Population With High Background Reactivity in Mali. J 536 Infect Dis 2021; 224(12): 2001-9. 537 9. Woodford J, Sagara I, Kwan J, Zaidi I, Dicko A, Duffy PE. Assessing and minimizing the effect of 538 malaria on SARS-CoV-2 serodiagnostics. Frontiers in Tropical Diseases 2021; 2. 539 10. Sagara I, Woodford J, Kone M, et al. Rapidly increasing Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome 540 Coronavirus 2 seroprevalence and limited clinical disease in 3 Malian communities: a prospective cohort 541 study. Clinical Infectious Diseases 2021; 74(6): 1030-8. 542 11. Tandina F, Doumbo O, Yaro AS, Traore SF, Parola P, Robert V. Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) 543 and mosquito-borne diseases in Mali, West Africa. Parasit Vectors 2018; 11(1): 467. 544 Hall MH, Dutro SM, Klowden MJ. Determination by near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy of 12. 545 mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) bloodmeal size. J Med Entomol 1990; 27(1): 76-9. 546 13. Grubaugh ND, Sharma S, Krajacich BJ, et al. Xenosurveillance: a novel mosquito-based approach 547 for examining the human-pathogen landscape. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2015; 9(3): e0003628. 548 14. Barbazan P, Palabodeewat S, Nitatpattana N, Gonzalez JP. Detection of host virus-reactive 549 antibodies in blood meals of naturally engorged mosquitoes. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2009; 9(1): 103-550 8. 551 15. Contreras CE, Beier JC. Detection of human antibodies against Plasmodium falciparum antigens 552 in blood meals of anopheline mosquitoes. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 1992; 8(3): 252-5. 553 16. Cunningham MP, Harley JM, Southon HA, Lumsden WH. Detection of antibodies in blood meals 554 of hematophagous Diptera. Science 1962; 138(3536): 32-3. 555 17. Krajacich BJ, Sullivan M, Faiman R, Veru L, Graber L, Lehmann T. Induction of long-lived potential 556 aestivation states in laboratory An. gambiae mosquitoes. Parasit Vectors 2020; 13(1): 412. 557 18. Frick H, Chow F, Kuhn M, Mahoney M, Silge J, Wickham H. rsample: General Resampling 558 Infrastructure. 2022. Kuhn M, Vaughan D, Hvitfeldt E. yardstick: Tidy Characterizations of Model Performance. 2022. 559 19. 560 20. SAS software. SAS Institute Inc. Cary, NC, USA; 2019. 561 Cade BS, Noon BR. A gentle introduction to quantile regression for ecologists. Frontiers in 21. 562 Ecology and the Environment 2003; 1(8): 412-20. 563 22. Couch SP, Bray AP, Ismay C, Chasnovski E, Baumer BS, Çetinkaya-Rundel M. infer: An {R} package 564 for tidyverse-friendly statistical inference. 2021.

565 23. Sempos CT, Tian L. Adjusting Coronavirus Prevalence Estimates for Laboratory Test Kit Error. *Am* 566 *J Epidemiol* 2021; **190**(1): 109-15.

567 24. Ouso DO, Otiende MY, Jeneby MM, et al. Three-gene PCR and high-resolution melting analysis
568 for differentiating vertebrate species mitochondrial DNA for biodiversity research and complementing
569 forensic surveillance. *Sci Rep* 2020; **10**(1): 4741.

570 25. Gyawali N, Murphy AK, Hugo LE, Devine GJ. A micro-PRNT for the detection of Ross River virus

antibodies in mosquito blood meals: A useful tool for inferring transmission pathways. *PLoS One* 2020; **15**(7): e0229314.

57326.Komar N, Panella NA, Young GR, Basile AJ. Methods for detection of West Nile virus antibodies574in mosquito blood meals. J Am Mosq Control Assoc 2015; **31**(1): 1-6.

- 575 27. Krajacich BJ, Huestis DL, Dao A, et al. Investigation of the seasonal microbiome of Anopheles 576 coluzzii mosquitoes in Mali. *PLoS One* 2018; **13**(3): e0194899.
- 57728.Antonio-Nkondjio C, Simard F, Awono-Ambene P, et al. Malaria vectors and urbanization in the578equatorial forest region of south Cameroon. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2005; **99**(5): 347-54.
- 579 29. Fontenille D, Lochouarn L, Diatta M, et al. Four years' entomological study of the transmission of 580 seasonal malaria in Senegal and the bionomics of Anopheles gambiae and A. arabiensis. *Trans R Soc Trop* 581 *Med Hyg* 1997; **91**(6): 647-52.

582 30. Beier JC, Perkins PV, Wirtz RA, et al. Bloodmeal identification by direct enzyme-linked

immunosorbent assay (ELISA), tested on Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae) in Kenya. *J Med Entomol* 1988;
25(1): 9-16.

- 58531.Dambach P, Schleicher M, Korir P, et al. Nightly Biting Cycles of Anopheles Species in Rural586Northwestern Burkina Faso. J Med Entomol 2018; 55(4): 1027-34.
- 58732.Mburu MM, Mzilahowa T, Amoah B, et al. Biting patterns of malaria vectors of the lower Shire588valley, southern Malawi. Acta Trop 2019; **197**: 105059.

33. Mendis C, Jacobsen JL, Gamage-Mendis A, et al. Anopheles arabiensis and An. funestus are
equally important vectors of malaria in Matola coastal suburb of Maputo, southern Mozambique. *Med Vet Entomol* 2000; **14**(2): 171-80.

592 34. Mahanta B, Handique R, Dutta P, Narain K, Mahanta J. Temporal variations in biting density and 593 rhythm of Culex quinquefasciatus in tea agro-ecosystem of Assam, India. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med* 594 *Public Health* 1999; **30**(4): 804-9.

- 595 35. Pipitgool V, Waree P, Sithithaworn P, Limviroj W. Studies on biting density and biting cycle of
  596 Culex quinquefasciatus, say in Khon Kaen City, Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health* 1998;
  597 **29**(2): 333-6.
- 598 36. Van Elslande J, Oyaert M, Ailliet S, et al. Longitudinal follow-up of IgG anti-nucleocapsid
- antibodies in SARS-CoV-2 infected patients up to eight months after infection. *J Clin Virol* 2021; 136:
  104765.

37. Norris LC, Fornadel CM, Hung WC, Pineda FJ, Norris DE. Frequency of multiple blood meals taken
in a single gonotrophic cycle by Anopheles arabiensis mosquitoes in Macha, Zambia. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*2010; 83(1): 33-7.

- 38. Tedrow RE, Rakotomanga T, Nepomichene T, et al. Anopheles mosquito surveillance in
  Madagascar reveals multiple blood feeding behavior and Plasmodium infection. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*2019; **13**(7): e0007176.
- Ahmed W, Angel N, Edson J, et al. First confirmed detection of SARS-CoV-2 in untreated
  wastewater in Australia: A proof of concept for the wastewater surveillance of COVID-19 in the
  community. *Sci Total Environ* 2020; **728**: 138764.

40. Prado T, Fumian TM, Mannarino CF, et al. Wastewater-based epidemiology as a useful tool to

611 track SARS-CoV-2 and support public health policies at municipal level in Brazil. *Water Res* 2021; **191**:

612 116810.