1   **Complex patterns of multimorbidity associated with severe COVID-19 and Long COVID**
2

3   Maik Pietzner[1,2,3], Spiros Denaxas[4,5,6,7], Summaira Yasmeen[1], Maria A. Ulmer[8], Tomoko
4   Nakanishi[2], Matthias Arnold[8,9], Gabi Kastenmüller[8], Harry Hemingway[4,5,7*], Claudia
5   Langenberg[1,2,3,*]
6

[1]Computational Medicine, Berlin Institute of Health at Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin,
Berlin, Germany
[2]Precision Healthcare University Research Institute, Queen Mary University of London,
London, UK
[3]MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
[4]Institute of Health Informatics, University College London, London, UK
[5]Health Data Research UK, London, UK
[6]British Heart Foundation Data Science Centre, London, UK
[7]National Institute of Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical
Research Centre
[8]Institute of Computational Biology, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Research Center
for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany
[9]Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA
20

21   *these authors jointly supervised the work
22

23   **Corresponding authors**
24   Dr Maik Pietzner (maik.pietzner@bih-charite.de)
25   Prof Harry Hemingway (h.hemingway@ucl.ac.uk)
26   Prof Claudia Langenberg (claudia.langenberg@qmul.ac.uk)
27
28
29

ABSTRACT

Early evidence that patients with (multiple) pre-existing diseases are at highest risk for severe COVID-19 has been instrumental in the pandemic to allocate critical care resources and later vaccination schemes. However, systematic studies exploring the breadth of medical diagnoses, including common, but non-fatal diseases are scarce, but may help to understand severe COVID-19 among patients at supposedly low risk. Here, we systematically harmonized >12 million primary care and hospitalisation health records from ~500,000 UK Biobank participants into 1448 collated disease terms to systematically identify diseases predisposing to severe COVID-19 (requiring hospitalisation or death) and its post-acute sequalae, Long COVID. We identified a total of 679 diseases associated with an increased risk for severe COVID-19 (n=672) and/or Long COVID (n=72) that spanned almost all clinical specialties and were strongly enriched in clusters of cardio-respiratory and endocrine-renal diseases. For 57 diseases, we established consistent evidence to predispose to severe COVID-19 based on survival and genetic susceptibility analyses. This included a possible role of symptoms of malaise and fatigue as a so far largely overlooked risk factor for severe COVID-19. We finally observed partially opposing risk estimates at known risk loci for severe COVID-19 for etiologically related diseases, such as post-inflammatory pulmonary fibrosis (e.g., *MUC5B*, *NPNT*, and *PSMD3*) or rheumatoid arthritis (e.g., *TYK2*), possibly indicating a segregation of disease mechanisms. Our results provide a unique reference that demonstrates how 1) complex co-occurrence of multiple – including non-fatal – conditions predispose to increased COVID-19 severity and 2) how incorporating the whole breadth of medical diagnosis can guide the interpretation of genetic risk loci.

INTRODUCTION

From the outset of the COVID-19 pandemic it was evident that underlying conditions were associated with both the risk of infection with SARS-CoV-2, the cause of COVID-19, and the risk of it being severe, based on the risk of hospitalisation, to ventilation and death[1]. Initial focus was on the small number of diseases known to put people at higher risk of other respiratory viral infections, such as influenza. The Center for Disease Control in the US and other national bodies published lists of diseases associated with COVID-19 and in the UK more than 1 million people were identified as clinically extremely vulnerable and required 'shielding' based on having one or more specified diseases[2]. This included older individuals, men, and those with the presence of multiple, pre-exiting long-term conditions, such as impaired immunity, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, or chronic kidney disease (CKD)[1].

However, the vast body of COVID-19 risk factor studies were based on a candidate approach (e.g., diseases known to be associated with immune compromise), studying common diseases in limited numbers (usually fewer than 100 diseases)[3–6]. Studies that systematically investigated diseases across clinical specialties, including those primarily managed and treated in primary care are largely lacking, but are needed to understand why some patients with COVID-19 suffer from a severe outcome or dead, albeit at supposedly low-risk. Such a systematic, 'diseasome'-wide study can further improve our understanding of how variation in the host genome[7,8] confers risk for severe COVID-19 and guide drug target prioritisation strategies.

Here, we collated millions of health records from primary care, hospitalizations and cancer registrations, and death records among ~500,000 participants of the UK Biobank (UKB) into medical diagnosis concept terms, so-called 'phecodes'[9], to systematically assess the risk for severe COVID-19 and its post-acute sequalae, Long COVID, across the breadth of medical diagnosis. Apart from well-recognized high-risk patient groups, such as those with chronic kidney disease or those with compromised immune function, we demonstrate consistent evidence for the possible role of less recognized diseases and symptoms, including malaise and fatigue, based on survival and genetic susceptibility analyses. We finally observed that some genomic regions conferring a higher risk for severe COVID-19 might be protective for diseases that partially share pathomechanisms with COVID-19, or *vice versa*, with possible

implications for drug development programs, such as TYK2-inhibitors that may increase the

risk for severe COVID-19.

RESULTS

Here, we systematically investigated the risk conferred by the presence and potential causal

relevance of 1448 diseases for COVID-19 severity (hospitalisation, severe respiratory failure,

and death) and Long COVID (**Fig. 1**), based on medical disorder concepts[10,11] defined and

collated from >12 million medical records from primary (general practice), secondary care

(hospital admissions), and disease registry (cancer registry), death certificates, and patient-

reported conditions among 502,460 UKB participants (**Fig. 1 and Supplemental Tab. 1**).

Incorporating primary care data more than doubled case numbers for more than half (n=817;

56.4%) of the diseases considered (**Supplemental Tab. 1**).

Disease risk profiles for COVID-19 and Long COVID

We collated EHRs up until 01/01/2020 to define pre-existing diseases at any timepoint before

and defined severe COVID-19 based on hospital admissions and death certificates up until

31/12/2022 totalling 7,507 (hospitalisation), 662 (respiratory failure), and 1,546 cases (death),

with first cases occurring end of January 2020. Due to restricted availability of primary care

data, we only included records up until 30/09/2021 to identify 470 cases of Long COVID.

We identified 1,128 significant ($p<1.1x10^{-5}$) disease – COVID-19 outcome associations,

including almost half (n=679) of the diseases considered with at least one of the four COVID-

19 outcomes derived (**Fig. 2 and Supplemental Tab. 2**). Pre-existing diseases were almost

exclusively associated with a higher risk for COVID-19 endpoints (median hazard ratio (HR):

2.39, range: 0.59 - 17.3), only two diseases (benign neoplasm of skin and varicella infection)

were associated with a decreased risk. Associated diseases spanned almost all chapters of the

ICD-10 (17 out of 18) but were consistently enriched in the chapters 'respiratory' (odds ratio

[OR]: 5.96; p-value: $2.7x10^{-8}$), 'circulatory' (OR: 2.95; p-value: $3.5x10^{-7}$), and

'endocrine/metabolic' diseases (OR: 2.76; p-value: $9.1x10^{-4}$) when associated with severe

COVID-19. In contrast, pre-existing disease-codes classified as 'symptoms' were more than 13-

fold enriched among diseases associated with an increased risk for Long COVID (OR: 13.2; p-

value: $3.6x10^{-8}$) but also hospitalisation (OR: 5.53; p-value: $9.9x10^{-5}$) and death (OR: 3.06; p-

value: $7.3x10^{-3}$).

For COVID-19 requiring hospitalisation, we replicated and refined known associations with

serious pre-existing diseases that have been previously used to identify clinically extremely

vulnerable people. This included respiratory diseases like pseudomonal pneumonia (HR: 7.53,

95%-CI: 4.74-11.97; p-value<$1.2x10^{-17}$), acute renal failure (HR: 4.02, 95%-CI: 3.74-4.32, p-

value: <10^{-300}) or type 2 diabetes with renal complications (HR: 7.44; 95%-CI: 5.67 – 9.76; p-

value: $1.5x10^{-47}$), as well as immune deficiencies (e.g., deficiency of humoral immunity HR:

6.02; 95%-CI: 4.36 – 8.31; p-value: $1.3x10^{-27}$) or patients under immune suppression (e.g., liver

transplants HR: 7.25 95%-CI: 4.51 – 11.68, p-value: $3.4x10^{-16}$). However, we further observed

strong associations with so far less recognized pre-existing mental health and psychiatric

diseases and conditions with effect sizes comparable to those previously considered to

identify extremely vulnerable people. This included symptoms of malaise and fatigue (HR:

2.17, 95%-CI: 2.07 - 2.27, p-value: $4.4x10^{-222}$) or suicide attempts (HR 5.33, 95%-CI: 4.45 - 6.39,

p-value: $3.6x10^{-73}$). Most diseases (n=641, 95.5%, p_{hetero}>10^{-3}) associated with similar

magnitude across all three different definitions of COVID-19 severity, with different forms of

dementias (p_{hetero}<$2.1x10^{-24}$) being among the few exceptions, associating with hospitalisation

(HR: 3.83; 95%-CI: 3.38 - 4.34; p-value: $2.3x10^{-97}$) and death (HR: 10.82; 95%-CI: 9.15 - 12.80;

p-value: $1.4x10^{-170}$), but not severe respiratory failure (HR: 1.15; 95%-CI: 0.51 - 2.57; p-value:

0.74) due to COVID-19.

In contrast, pre-existing diseases associated with an increased risk for Long COVID only

partially overlapped with those increasing the risk for severe COVID-19. Most notably, we

replicated associations with anxiety disorders[12] (HR: 2.59; 95%-CI: 2.09 - 3.20; p-value:$1.8x10^{-}$

^{18}) and other mental health symptoms, but most prominently with symptoms of malaise and

fatigue (HR: 2.78; 95%-CI: 2.29 - 3.37; p-value:$1.5x10^{-25}$) that are hallmarks of Long COVID and

were also strongly associated with severe COVID-19.

Almost all significant associations (99.8%, n=1126) were consistent when considering all-cause

death as a competing event (**Supplementary Tab. 3**), and more than half (63.6%; n=718)

remained statistically significant (p<$4.4x10^{-5}$) when accounting for a large set of potential

confounders in multivariable Cox-models (**Supplementary Tab. 3**). This suggests that

potentially unreported associations, such as the increased risk for severe COVID-19 among

patients reporting symptoms of malaise and fatigue (adjusted HR: 1.66, 95%-CI: 1.58 - 1.74,

p-value=$7.3x10^{-92}$), are not just a reflection of a general disease burden or other chronic

diseases associated with a greater risk for severe COVID-19.

We observed limited evidence for effect modifications by sex (n=7), non-European ancestry

(n=1), or age (n=8), but not social deprivation, with 16 disease – COVID 19 pairings showing

evidence of significant differences (**Supplementary Tab. 4**; $p<3.6x10^{-6}$). All included stronger

effects in women compared to men, e.g., gout for hospitalised COVID-19 (women: HR: 2.56,

95%-CI 2.21 - 2.96, p-value: $1.3x10^{-36}$; men: HR: 1.46, 95%-CI: 1.34 – 1.58, p-value: $2.1x10^{-19}$),

among Europeans reporting vitamin D deficiencies (Europeans: HR: 2.31, 95%-CI: 2.13 – 2.51,

p-value: $2.1x10^{-87}$; non-Europeans: HR: 1.31, 95%-CI: 1.08 – 1.60, p-value=$5.5x10^{-3}$), or among

younger participants, e.g., disorders of magnesium metabolism and death with COVID-19 as

a likely result of renal failure (age ≤65 years: HR: 42.98, 95%-CI: 20.10 – 91.90, p-value: $3.0x10^{-22}$

; age >65 years: HR: 5.35, 95%-CI: 3.51 - 8.16, p-value: $5.9x10^{-15}$).

Complex patterns of multimorbidity are associated with increased risk

We next derived a disease-disease network[13] (**Fig. 3A**) to understand, whether the large set

of diseases associated with an increased risk for severe COVID-19 act independently or rather

reflect an increased risk among participants suffering from multiple pre-existing conditions,

i.e., multimorbidity. The network contained a total of 1,381 diseases connected through 5,212

edges based on non-random co-occurrence (**Supplementary Tab. 5a and b**; see **Methods**).

Diseases segregated into 31 'communities' being more strongly connected to each other

compared to the rest of the network (**Fig. 3B and C**).

Two disease communities were consistently and strongly enriched for diseases associated

with severe COVID-19. The first (e.g., OR: 5.20; p-value= $2.2x10^{-10}$; for severe respiratory

failure) community was strongly enriched for circulatory (OR: 17.6; p-value: $4.4x10^{-39}$) and

respiratory (OR: 10.3; p-value: $7.8x10^{-16}$) diseases, closely resembling the cardio-respiratory

risk profile already described above (**Fig. 3B**). The second community consisted of diverse

endocrine (OR: 6.19; p-value: $1.9x10^{-13}$) and circulatory disease (OR: 3.75; p-value: $5.4x10^{-8}$),

and largely reflected the renal-diabetic risk profile (**Fig. 3C**). Accordingly, for each disease

acquired during lifetime within the latter disease community, participants' risk increased by

18% and 20% to be hospitalised (HR: 1.18; 95%-CI: 1.17 - 1.18; p-value: $p<10^{-300}$) or die with

COVID-19 (HR: 1.20; 1.19 - 1.20; p-value<10^{-300}), respectively.

Diseases increasing the risk for severe COVID-19, but not Long COVID further significantly

correlated with hub status (e.g., hospitalisation: r=0.59; p-value: $2.8x10^{-124}$) in the disease-

disease network (**Fig. 3D**), that is, diseases that connect a large cluster of diseases to the rest

of the network and might hence be considered as multimorbidity hotspots. For example,

acute renal failure, strongly associated with severe COVID-19 (**Fig. 3D**), showed strong partial

correlations with 30 other diseases and patients are hence prone to complex multimorbidity.

However, the imperfect correlation between hub status and disease-association profiles

indicates that certain forms of multimorbidity, such those related secondary malignancies of

lymph nodes, are possibly less related to severe COVID-19.

Convergence of associated disease risk and genetic liability

We next systematically characterised whether diseases identified to be associated with

COVID-19 severity or Long COVID shared genetic similarity with host genetic susceptibility to

severe COVID-19 to understand potential underlying causal mechanisms. We computed

genetic correlation estimates for all 1128 disease – COVID-19 outcome pairs (see **Methods**)

and observed 75 pairs (6.6%) that showed evidence for significant ($p<4.4\times10^{-5}$) and

directionally consistent genetic correlations (**Fig. 4 and Supplemental. Tab 6**), indicating a

putatively causal link of any of 57 unique diseases on severe COVID-19. We did not observe

evidence of convergence for Long COVID, which might likely be explained by the still low

statistical power for the respective genome-wide association study[14].

The diseases with consistent evidence from survival and genetic analysis included well-

described risk-increasing effects of pre-existing endocrine (e.g., type 2 diabetes), respiratory

(e.g., respiratory failure), or renal (e.g., chronic kidney disease) diseases, but also digestive

(e.g., gastritis and duodenitis), or musculoskeletal (e.g., rheumatoid arthritis) diseases, and

further symptoms of malaise and fatigue ($r_G=0.26$; p-value=4.7×10^{-6}) and abdominal pain

($r_G=0.33$; p=2.5×10^{-11}), as well as adverse reactions to drugs (e.g., poisoning by antibiotics:

$r_G=0.38$; p-value=2.2×10^{-6}). Findings that collectively demonstrated the need for a

comprehensive assessment of disease-risk beyond few, selected common chronic conditions.

Among the 41 diseases for which we had sufficient genetic instruments to perform more

stringent Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses to assess causality (see **Methods**), we

observed at least nominally significant evidence for gout and hospitalisation (OR: 1.03; 95%-

CI: 1.01 – 1.05, p-value: 0.03), as well as arthropathy not elsewhere specified (OR: 1.28; 95%-

CI: 1.06 – 1.55; p-value: 0.02) and unspecified monoarthrtitis (OR: 1.21; 95%-CI: 1.04 – 1.41;

p-value: 0.02) for severe COVID-19 (**Supplementary Tab. 7**). While we might have been still

underpowered for many diseases, this leaves the possibility that convergence of survival and

genetic correlation analysis might, in part, be explained by shared risk factors.

Evidence for partially opposing roles of shared molecular mechanisms between severe

COVID-19 and related disorders

To finally understand possible molecular mechanisms linking the 'diseasome' to COVID-19, we

systematically profiled disease associations across 49 independent genomic regions linked to

COVID-19 or Long COVID. We observed strong and robust evidence of a genetic signal shared

between severe COVID-19 and a total of 33 diseases at nine loci (posterior probability (PP) >

80%) (**Fig. 5A and Supplemental Tab. 8**). Apart from known pleiotropic loci, such as *ABO* and

FUT2 coding for blood group types, this included respiratory risk loci, albeit with contradicting

effect estimates for three loci (**Fig. 5B**). While COVID-19 risk increasing alleles at *LZTFL1* and

TRIM4 were consistently associated with a higher risk for viral pneumonia and post-

inflammatory pulmonary fibrosis, respectively, risk-increasing alleles at *MUC5B*, *NPNT*, and

PSMD3 were inversely associated with post-inflammatory pulmonary fibrosis and asthma. An

observation that extended even beyond shared loci (**Fig. 5C**) illustrating a general trend of

phenotypic divergence of genetic effects on diseases that share pathological features with

severe COVID-19.

A notable observation was the *TYK2* locus that has previously been suggested to indicate the

efficacy of successfully repurposed drugs for severe COVID-19[15]. Briefly, *TYK2* encodes for

tyrosine kinase 2 (TYK2) a protein partially targeted by Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors like

baricitinib, that have been approved for rheumatoid arthritis and successfully repurposed for

severe COVID-19, although predating possible evidence from genetic studies[16–18]. Accordingly,

we observed that the same genetic variant, rs34536443 (PP=99.8%), associated with the risk

for severe COVID-19 was also associated with, amongst others, the risk of rheumatoid

arthritis, but in opposing effect directions (**Fig. 5B**). Rs34536443 is a loss-of-function missense

variant (p.Pro1104Ala) for TYK2 and the functionally impairing minor C allele was associated

with a 50% increased risk for severe COVID-19 (odds ratio: 1.50; 95%-CI: 1.40 - 1.62, p-

value=4.3×10^{-29}) but a 23% reduced risk for rheumatoid arthritis (odds ratio: 0.77; 95%-CI:

0.72 – 0.83; p-value=2.4×10^{-12}) as well as other autoimmune diseases, in particular psoriasis

(**Supplementary Tab. 8**). While the discrepancy between the success of the drug and genetic

inference might be explained by the rather weak affinity of baricitinib for TYK2[19], patients

undergoing trials with TYK2-inhibitors for psoriasis[20] might be at an elevated risk for severe

COVID-19. This observation seemingly aligns with studies on *Tyk2*^{−/−} mouse models reporting

an impaired immune response to viral infections[21].

DISCUSSION

An immediate understanding which patients are at greatest risk for severe COVID-19 and possibly death has proven to be instrumental to triage patients early in the pandemic to allocate critical care resources, such as ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation and, later, vaccination as well. The vast majority of studies[3–6], however, focussed on a rather narrow set of common, usually chronic, conditions in the risk assessment leaving a considerable number of severe COVID-19 cases unexplained. We demonstrate here how capitalizing on the whole breadth of medical diagnoses through electronic health record linkage revealed 1) so far largely neglected patient populations at considerable risk, including those reporting symptoms of malaise and fatigue, and 2) that patients with multiple pre-existing conditions, in particular cardio-respiratory and endocrine-renal diseases, are probably at highest risk. Via integration of host genetics, we further provide evidence that a considerable set of diverse diseases may causally drive, or at least share causal drivers with, the risk for severe COVID-19, and exemplify how disease-wide characterisation of specific risk loci can inform disease mechanism and derivation of potentially druggable targets or adverse effects.

Among the diseases for which we observed consistent evidence from survival and genetic analysis to be linked to severe COVID-19 were multiple examples that have been rarely if at all reported. For example, we observed consistent evidence that symptoms of malaise and fatigue, as well as chronic fatigue, predispose to severe COVID-19. While the vast amount of literature currently discusses or reported these symptoms and disease as characteristics for COVID-19 and its post-acute sequelae[12,22], little to nothing is known why patients reporting fatigue might be at higher risk. While our definition of 'malaise and fatigue' covered a broad range of partially unspecific medical codes with most cases (n=83,316 out of 87,908, 92.4%) originating from primary care, we observed consistent evidence for the refined diagnosis of chronic fatigue classified as post-viral fatigue symptom (**Supplemental Tab. 2**). A hypothesis might be, that patients that are already suffering from post-viral symptoms are at a greater risk in general to suffer from more severe courses of viral infections through yet to be identified mechanisms, that may well comprise an altered immune response. However, the evidence we provide does not preclude the existence of general, currently inaccessible, risk factors that predispose to more severe long-term consequences of viral infections.

Our extensive genetic analysis revealed some partially contradicting findings that may point
to a segregation of overall genetic susceptibility and risk conferred by specific loci and
mechanisms, replicating and augmenting findings from a previous study in the Million
Veterans Study[23]. For example, we observed consistent evidence that pre-existing post-
inflammatory pulmonary fibrosis, likely representing cases of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, is
a strong risk factor for severe COVID-19 and death, and genome-wide effects were highly
correlated between both (r_G=0.45, p=2.3x10^{-5}), but effects at one of the strongest risk loci for
post-inflammatory pulmonary fibrosis were protective for severe COVID-19. Our results
thereby extend previous observations of misaligning effects at the *MUC5B* locus and
idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis[24,25]. Results that might be explained by a latent, genome-wide
risk component (as genome-wide significant loci do not contribute to genetic correlation
analysis) that predisposes to severe lung fibrosis irrespective of the exact trigger, and specific
molecular pathways characteristic for each disease that differ based on the required immune
response to combat the infection. Cell-type and state-specific effects of shared genetic
variants or possible design artefacts of GWAS studies of infectious disease, by which certain
patient groups are 'underrepresented' due to tailored shielding efforts to minimize viral
exposure, are other possible explanations. A similar paradoxical effect at the *TYK2* locus
highlights the unique potential of integrating electronic health care records with genetic data
to guide drug target identification and risk estimation, including emerging diseases and targets
in clinical trials.

There are a number of limitations that need to be taken in consideration when interpretating
our results. Firstly, the COVID-19 pandemic was characterised by strong disruptions of social
life and health care, with different waves of new SARS-CoV-2 variants of different
pathogenicity, lockdowns, and implementation of vaccines programs, all of which will have
influenced the general risk to develop severe COVID-19 for which we could not control for in
survival analysis. However, we observed generally little evidence of violation of the
proportional hazard assumptions and filtered associations with evidence for strong violations.
Secondly, we cannot exclude the possibility that the multitude of diseases associated with
severe COVID-19 might also be explained by shared, generic risk factors, such as obesity or
smoking, and we implemented sensitivity analysis and comprehensive genetic analysis to
mitigate possible confounding, although even larger genetic studies are needed to identify

robust genetic signals for diseases like chronic fatigue and other rare diseases that we linked

to COVID-19. Thirdly, while we obtained little evidence that disease-risk patterns differ across

ancestries, the UK Biobank cohort is not a representative sample of the general population

and does not sufficiently cover underrepresented populations, e.g., ethnic minorities, and

additional work is needed to verify our observations in other populations. Lastly, while our

effort to collate and harmonize electronic health records across various sources into medical

concept terms covered almost 1,500 diseases, it is still only an approximation of the

complexity of medical diagnosis and more work, using electronic health records at a national

scale, is needed to refine and augment the space of diseases to investigate.

Our results demonstrate the unique potential of integrating health records from primary and

secondary care with host genetic data to 1) rapidly identify patients at highest risk beyond

commonly assessed risk groups, 2) understand pathological pathways, and 3) inform

druggable strategies for emerging health threats, such as COVID-19.

METHODS
324
Study population

UK Biobank (UKB) is a prospective cohort study from the UK, which contains more than

500,000 volunteers between 40 and 69 years of age at inclusion. The study design, sample

characteristics and genome-wide genotype data have been described in Sudlow *et al.*[26] and

Bycroft *et al.*[27]. The UKB was approved by the National Research Ethics Service Committee

Northwest Multi-Centre Haydock and all study procedures were performed in accordance

with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki ethical principles for medical

research. We included 502,460 individuals who had not withdrawn their consent. For survival

analysis we considered a set of 438,917 individuals who were still alive at the beginning of the

COVID-19 pandemic (01/01/2020) and had genetically inferred ancestry also beyond white

Europeans. We chose the entire set of white Europeans (n=441,671) that passed standard

quality control for genetic analysis to maximise statistical power.
337
338 *COVID-19 and Long COVID outcome definitions*

339 We defined a total of four different COVID-19 related outcomes closely aligned with previous

340 studies[8,14,28]. We used hospital episode statistics to identify participants who had been

'hospitalised' with COVID-19 based on ICD-10 codes U07.1 and U07.2, and the same ICD-10 codes to identify participants who have died from/with COVID-19 based on death registries. We did not require a positive PCR COVID-19 test due to differences in local reporting of test results. We adopted a slightly more sophisticated definition for 'severe respiratory failure', demanding a positive COVID-19 test (based on test results released for England, Scotland, and Wales provided by UKB through the COVID-19 Second Generation Surveillance System) within a month of acute respiratory failure, defined by ICD-10 codes J80, J96.00, J96.09, Z99.1 from hospital episode statistics or E85.1 and E85.2 when admitted to the intensive care unit. To define 'Long COVID' we used primary care data released by UKB (covid19_emis_gp_clinical.txt, covid19_tpp_gp_clinical.txt) searching for codes indicating suspected diagnosis [CTV3: Y2b89 – "Referral to post-COVID assessment clinic", Y2b8a – "Referral to Your COVID Recovery rehabilitation platform", Y2b87 – "Post-COVID-19 syndrome", and Y2b88 – "Signposting to Your COVID Recovery"; SNOMED-CT: 1325161000000102 – "Post-COVID-19 syndrome", 1325031000000108 – "Referral to post-COVID assessment clinic", 1325041000000104 – "Newcastle post-COVID syndrome Follow-up Screening Questionnaire", 1325181000000106 – "Referral to Your COVID Recovery rehabilitation platform", 1325021000000106 – "Ongoing symptomatic disease caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2", 1325141000000103 – "Signposting to Your COVID Recovery", 1325081000000107 – "Assessment using Post-COVID-19 Functional Status Scale structured interview", 1325061000000103 – "Assessment using COVID-19 Yorkshire Rehabilitation Screening tool", 1325071000000105 – "Assessment using Newcastle post-COVID syndrome Follow-up Screening Questionnaire", 1325051000000101 – "COVID-19 Yorkshire Rehabilitation Screening tool"]. For each event, we took the earliest record to define disease onset.

Disease ascertainment

We collated electronic health records (EHRs) from primary and secondary care, cancer registries, and death certificates based on tables provided by UK Biobank (gp_clinical.txt, covid19_emis_gp_clinical.txt, covid19_tpp_gp_clinical.txt, hesin_diag.txt, death.txt) downloaded in June 2021. We parsed all records to exclude codes with a recorded date before or within the year of birth of the participant to minimize coding errors from EHRs. We used mappings provided by UK Biobank to include self-reported conditions based on ICD-10 codes.

For each data set separately we generated mapping tables that link ICD-10, ICD-9, Read
version 2, Clinical Terms Version 3 (CTV3) terms, or SNOMED-CT codes to a set of 1560
summarized clinical entities called phecodes[11,29] (**Supplementary Tab. 1**). For example, more
than 90 ICD-10 codes can indicate participants with type 1 diabetes that are here collectively
summarized under the phecode 'type 1 diabetes'[30]. We subsequently fused all data sources
based on a common set of phecodes and retained for each participant and each phecode only
the earliest entry across all EHR resources. We identified a total of 1448 phecodes with at least
100 cases in the overall UKB sample. For each participant and phecode, we kept only the
earliest date as an indicator for disease onset and defined all events occurring before
01/01/2020 as prevalent, while we considered any event for genetic analysis. To increase the
accessibility of our results, we used the term 'disease' instead of 'phecode' throughout the
paper.
385
Survival analysis
We used Cox-proportional hazard models to estimate the risk associated with each disease
and any of the four COVID-19 related outcomes with age as the underlying scale, adjusting for
sex (omitted for sex-specific diseases) and genetically inferred ancestry. For each COVID-19
outcome, we defined controls separately as all those participants without a corresponding
record during the time course of the study. We repeated Cox-proportional hazard models
considering all-cause death as a competing event rather than censoring as a sensitivity
analysis. We selected 01/03/2020 as the starting point of our study and used 31/12/2022
(COVID-19 endpoints) or 30/09/2021 (Long COVID) as endpoints of the observation period
depending on the availability of health record linkage. We computed Schoenfeld residuals to
test for the proportional hazard assumption, and further computed time varying effects of
diseases by introducing 6 months breaks. For each disease – COVID-19 model, we considered
all participants that passed inclusion criteria. We applied stringent multiple testing correction
($p<0.05/4*1448=4.8\times10^{-8}$) and further filtered results for those possibly violating the
proportional hazard assumption ($p<10^{-3}$). To establish endpoint-specific associations, we
performed meta-analysis across disease associations for all three COVID-19 endpoints derived
using the R package *metafor (v.3.8.1)*. We performed additional sensitivity analysis using an
extended set of confounders similar to previous work[31], including self-reported smoking status
and alcohol consumption, body mass index, and Townsend deprivation index (all based on

baseline values), healthcare utilization in the five years before the pandemic (number of stays

and total days in hospital), as well as a variable indicating participants with two or more long-

term conditions.

We tested for a potential modifying effect of sex, non-European ancestry, age (≤65 years vs

>65 years), and social deprivation (Townsend index above median vs below median; median

= -2.22) on the results by systematically performing interaction testing, i.e., introducing a

disease – sex/non-European ancestry interaction term into Cox-models. For the latter, we

requested to have at least 50 observations in each group to ensure model convergence. We

subsequently corrected for a total of 13,728 tests (p<3.6×10^{-6}). All statistical analysis were

implemented using R v4.1.2.

Disease network

We computed a sex-aware disease network using partial correlations as implemented in the

R package *ppcor* (v.2.1.1) following previous work[13]. Briefly, partial correlations (r_P) account

for the fact, that a correlation, or co-occurrence, between two diseases might be driven by a

third or any other disease considered. We retained only partial correlations passing stringent

multiple testing (p<4.9×10^{-8}) and $r_P > 0.02$ as we reasoned that a disease-disease network likely

exhibits scale-free properties[32] with node degrees following a power law. The latter step

omitted many significant, but very weak and potentially artificial edges. The final network

contained 5212 edges connecting 1381 diseases. We then performed community detection

based on the Girvan-Newman algorithm to identify groups of diseases that were more closely

connected with each other compared to all other diseases in the network. We finally

computed different node characteristics to identify diseases with important roles in the

network. We implemented and visualized this analysis with the R package *igraph* (v.1.3.1).

Genotyping, quality control, and participant selection

Details on genotyping for UKBB have been reported in detail by Bycroft et al.[27]. Briefly, we

used data from the 'v3' release of UKBB containing the full set of Haplotype Reference

Consortium (HRC) and 1000 Genomes imputed variants. We applied recommended sample

exclusions by UKBB including low quality control values, sex mismatch, and heterozygosity

outliers. We defined a subset of 'white European' ancestry by clustering participants based on

the first four genetic principal component derived from the genotyped data using a k-means

clustering approach with k=5. We classified all participants who belonged to the largest cluster

and self-identified as of being 'white,' 'British', 'Any other white background', or 'Irish' as 'white

European'. After application of quality control criteria and dropping participants who have

withdrawn their consent, a total of 441,671 UKBB participants were available for analysis with

genotype and phenotype data.

We used only called or imputed genotypes and short insertions/deletions (here commonly

referred to as SNPs for simplicity) with a minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.001%, imputation

score >0.4 for common (MAF≥0.5%) and >0.9 for rare (MAF<0.5%), within Hardy-Weinberg

equilibrium ($p_{HWE}>10^{-15}$), and minor allele count (MAC) > 10. This left us with 15,519,342

autosomal and X-chromosomal variants for statistical analysis. GRCh37 was used as reference

genome assembly.

Genome-wide association studies

We performed genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for a total of 1,445 diseases with at

least 80 cases (n>100 prior genetic exclusions; 3 diseases dropped out) using REGENIE v2.2.4

via a two-step procedure to account for population structure as described in detail

elsewhere[33]. We used a set of high-quality genotyped variants (MAF>1%, MAC>100,

missingness <10%, $p_{HWE}>10^{-15}$) in the first step for individual trait predictions using the leave

one chromosome out (LOCO) scheme. These predictions were used in the second step as

offset to run logistic regression models with saddle point approximation to account for

case/control imbalance and rare variant associations. Each model was adjusted for age, sex,

genotyping batch, assessment centre, and the first ten genetic principal components. For

diseases reported in only one sex (n=113 in women, n=26 in men), we excluded the respective

sex from GWAS to avoid inflation by inappropriate controls. In general, we included all

participant with a disease in their records as case and treated all other participants as controls

to make best use of the computational efficacy of REGENIE. Testing for reported SNPs showed

highly consistent results whether related diseases were included as controls rather than

omitted. We used LD-score regression to test for genomic inflation (LDSC v1.0.1)[34].

COVID-19 genetic correlation and Mendelian randomization

We downloaded GWAS summary statistics for two different endpoints related to COVID-19

(A2 – critical illness; B2 – hospitalisation) and Long COVID (stringent case definition vs broad

control set) provided by the COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative (release 7)[8,14]. We used

summary statistics excluding UKB to avoid sample overlap. We computed genetic correlations

as implemented by LD-score regression (LDSC v1.0.1)[34] with precomputed LD-scores,

excluding the extended MHC region. To test for potentially causal associations of diseases onto

COVID-19, we used genetic instruments identified in the present study for a total of 41

diseases with at least five genetic variants and evidence for significant genetic correlations in

a two-sample MR setting. We used MR-PRESSO[35] as a first line tool as previously suggested[36]

to account for possible pleiotropy and subsequently report effect estimates from inverse-

variance weighted analysis as the primary results. We flagged MR results that showed signs

of heterogeneity across instruments using Cochran Q statistic. We excluded any variants

mapping to the MHC regions for all analysis and implemented MR using the R packages

MendelianRandomization (v0.6.0)[37] and *TwoSampleMR* (v0.5.6)[38].

Colocalisation at COVID-19 risk loci

We collected association statistics for a total of 49 independent risk loci for COVID-19 (selected

based on regional clumping (±500kb) of COVID-19 HGI GWAS statistics excluding UKB

participants, but SNPs available among imputed genetic data in UKB) across all 1445 diseases

included in the genetic analysis. For variant – disease pairings passing a moderate significance

threshold ($p<10^{-6}$), we implemented statistical colocalization[39] accounting for multiple causal

genetic variants via fine-mapping[40] using the R packages *coloc* (v.5.3.2) and *susieR* (v.0.11.92).

We allowed for a maximum of five causal variants during fine-mapping of the disease and

linked COVID-19 outcome (via a potentially shared genetic variant) and subsequently tested

each credible set for colocalization. We applied a stringent prior to consider a shared signal

($p_{12}=5 \times 10^{-6}$) and further filtered signals with evidence that the lead signal (r^2 with best

remaining signal >0.8) for COVID-19 was dropped from the set of overlapping genetic variants

between our UKB GWAS and the COVID-19 GWAS.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the Scientific Computing of the IT Division at the Charité -

Universitätsmedizin Berlin for providing computational resources that have contributed to the

research   results   reported   in   this   paper   (https://

www.charite.de/en/research/research_support_services/research_infrastructure/science_it

/#c30646061). This work was supported by funding of the German Centre for Cardiovascular

Research (DZHK) and the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), and the

UKRI/NIHR Strategic Priorities Award in Multimorbidity Research for the Multimorbidity

Mechanism and Therapeutics Research Collaborative (MR/V033867/1) to C.L.. H.H. and S.D.

are supported by Health Data Research UK and the National Institute for Health Research

(NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at University College London NHS Hospitals Trust. M.A.

and G.K. are supported by National Institutes of Health/National Institute on Aging grants

RF1AG059093,   U01AG061359,   U19AG063744,   R01AG069901,   U19AG074879,   and

R01AG081322. G.K. also received funding from the German Federal Ministry of Education and

Research (BMBF) (BiomarKid, 01EA2203B) under the umbrella of the European Joint

Programming Initiative "A Healthy Diet for a Healthy Life" (JPI HDHL) and of the ERA-NET

Cofund ERA-HDHL (GA N° 696295 of the EU Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation

Programme) and of the German Network for Mitochondrial Disorders (mitoNET, 01GM1906C).

This work was supported by the de.NBI Cloud within the German Network for Bioinformatics

Infrastructure (de.NBI) funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research

(BMBF) (031A532B, 031A533A, 031A533B, 031A534A, 031A535A, 031A537A, 031A537B,

031A537C, 031A537D, 031A538A).

Data availability

Genome-wide summary statistics for diseases ('phecodes') in UK Biobank were generated, in

part, from primary care data released to UK Biobank specifically for the use of COVID-19

research only, according to COPI regulations, and can therefore not be made publicly available.

All Access to individual level data can be requested by bona fide researchers from the UK

Biobank (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/). This research has been conducted under the

application 44448. Mapping of Read codes to phecodes can be downloaded from

https://github.com/spiros/ukbiobank-read-to-phecode.

Code availability

Associated code and scripts for the analysis will be made available upon publication.

Declaration of Interest

None of the authors have a conflict of interest.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: MP, HH, CL

Data curation/Software: MP, SD, YS, MU, MA

Formal Analysis: MP, YS

Methodology: MP, SD, TN

Visualization: MP

Funding acquisition: CL, HH

Project administration: CL, HH

Supervision: MP, CL, HH

Writing – original draft: MP, CL, HH

Writing – review & editing: SD, SY, MA, GK, TN

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 Outline of the study design. Scheme of the study design and analysis done, illustrating our workflow to define disease mechanisms that may causally contribute to severe COVID-19 or Long COVID. SNPs = single nucleotide polymorphisms; SPA = saddle point approximation; MAF = minor allele frequency; *COVID-19 HGI = COVID-19 Host Genetic Initiative, but excluding contributions from UK Biobank

Figure 2 Association results for three different COVID-19 outcomes and long COVID. Each panel contains association statistics, p-values, from Cox-proportional hazard ratios testing for an association between the disease on the x-axis and three different COVID-19 outcomes, as well as Long COVID. Disease associations passing the multiple testing correction (dotted line, $p<1.1 \times 10^{-5}$) are depicted by larger triangles of which facing up ones indicate positive, e.g., increased disease risk, associations and downward facing *vice versa*. The diseases are ordered by ICD-10 chapters (colours) and the top ten for each endpoint annotated. The underlying statistics can be found in Supplemental Table 2.

Figure 3 Disease-disease network and hub score. A Disease – disease network based on significant ($p<4.8 \times 10^{-8}$) positive partial correlations. Nodes (diseases) are coloured by ICD-10 chapters and strength of partial correlation depicted by width of the edges. **B/C** Same network, but only highlighting two disease communities strongly enriched for associations with severe COVID-19. **D** Hub score for the 30 diseases with highest values and associated association statistics, hazard ratios with 95%-confidence intervals, from Cox-proportional hazard models. Significant associations are indicated by filled boxes. Colours according to ICD-10 chapters.

Figure 4 Convergence of Cox-models and genetic correlations. The first three panels show association statistics, hazard ratios (box) and 95%-confidence interval (lines), for 57 diseases with evidence of convergence with genetic correlation analysis, that are shown in the last two panels (box – genetic correlation; lines – 95%-confidence intervals). Disease have been grouped by ICD-10 chapters and coloured accordingly (see Fig. 2 or 3 for legend).

Figure 5 Shared genetic architecture at COVID-19 risk loci. A Network representation of significant (PP>80%) colocalization results. Loci are depicted as white rectangles and diseases as coloured nodes according to ICD-10 chapters. Edges represent strong evidence for colocalisation, and solid lines indicate a risk-increasing effect of the COVID-19 risk increasing allele, whereas dashed lines indicate protective effects. B Forest plot displaying hazard ratios with 95%-confidence intervals for each variant and different COVID-19 and colocalising disease outcomes. C Heatmap of effect estimates across 49 independent genetic loci associated with increased risk for sever COVID-19 and corresponding effects on six selected traits that showed evidence of colocalization at least one other locus. Black rectangles indicate genome-wide significant effects ($p<5 \times 10^{-8}$).

REFERENCES

1. Merad, M., Blish, C. A., Sallusto, F. & Iwasaki, A. The immunology and immunopathology of COVID-19. *Science* **375**, 1122–1127 (2022).

2. GOV.uk. No Title. https://www.gov.uk/government/news/clinically-extremely-vulnerable-receive-updated-guidance-in-line-with-new-national-restrictions.

3. Kompaniyets, L. *et al.* Underlying Medical Conditions and Severe Illness Among 540,667 Adults Hospitalized With COVID-19, March 2020-March 2021. *Prev. Chronic. Dis.* **18**, E66 (2021).

4. Booth, A. *et al.* Population risk factors for severe disease and mortality in COVID-19: A global systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS One* **16**, e0247461 (2021).

5. Williamson, E. J. *et al.* Factors associated with COVID-19-related death using OpenSAFELY. *Nature* **584**, 430–436 (2020).

6. McKeigue, P. M. *et al.* Rapid Epidemiological Analysis of Comorbidities and Treatments as risk factors for COVID-19 in Scotland (REACT-SCOT): A population-based case-control study. *PLoS Med.* **17**, 1–17 (2020).

7. Pairo-Castineira, E. *et al.* GWAS and meta-analysis identifies 49 genetic variants underlying critical COVID-19. *Nature* (2023) doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06034-3.

8. COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative. A second update on mapping the human genetic architecture of COVID-19. *Nature* **621**, E7–E26 (2023).

9. Wei, W.-Q. *et al.* Evaluating phecodes, clinical classification software, and ICD-9-CM codes for phenome-wide association studies in the electronic health record. (2017) doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0175508.

10. Bastarache, L. Using Phecodes for Research with the Electronic Health Record: From PheWAS to PheRS. *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Data Sci.* **4**, 1–19 (2021).

11. Wu, P. *et al.* Mapping ICD-10 and ICD-10-CM Codes to Phecodes: Workflow Development

and Initial Evaluation. *JMIR Med. Inform.* **7**, e14325 (2019).

12. Su, S. *et al.* Epidemiology, clinical presentation, pathophysiology, and management of

long COVID: an update. *Mol. Psychiatry* (2023) doi:10.1038/s41380-023-02171-3.

13. Kuan, V. *et al.* Identifying and visualising multimorbidity and comorbidity patterns in

patients in the English National Health Service: a population-based study. *Lancet Digit.*

Health **5**, e16–e27 (2023).

14. Lammi, V. *et al.* Genome-wide Association Study of Long COVID Authors. 1–25 (2023).

15. Pairo-Castineira, E. *et al.* Genetic mechanisms of critical illness in COVID-19. *Nature* **591**,

92–98 (2021).

16. Letter, T. M. An EUA for baricitinib (Olumiant) for COVID-19. *Med. Lett. Drugs Ther.* **62**,

202–203 (2020).

17. Kalil, A. C. *et al.* Baricitinib plus Remdesivir for Hospitalized Adults with Covid-19. *N. Engl.*

J. Med. **384**, 795–807 (2021).

18. Hoang, T. N. *et al.* Baricitinib treatment resolves lower-airway macrophage inflammation

and neutrophil recruitment in SARS-CoV-2-infected rhesus macaques. *Cell* **184**, 460-

475.e21 (2021).

19. Chimalakonda, A. *et al.* Selectivity Profile of the Tyrosine Kinase 2 Inhibitor

Deucravacitinib Compared with Janus Kinase 1/2/3 Inhibitors. *Dermatol. Ther.* **11**, 1763–

1776 (2021).

20. Elyoussfi, S., Rane, S. S., Eyre, S. & Warren, R. B. TYK2 as a novel therapeutic target in

psoriasis. *Expert Rev. Clin. Pharmacol.* **16**, 549–558 (2023).

21. Strobl, B. *et al.* Novel functions of tyrosine kinase 2 in the antiviral defense against

murine cytomegalovirus. *J. Immunol. Baltim. Md 1950* **175**, 4000–8 (2005).

22. Davis, H. E., McCorkell, L., Vogel, J. M. & Topol, E. J. Long COVID: major findings,

mechanisms and recommendations. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **21**, 133–146 (2023).

23. Verma, A. *et al.* A Phenome-Wide Association Study of genes associated with COVID-19

severity reveals shared genetics with complex diseases in the Million Veteran Program.

PLoS Genet. **18**, e1010113 (2022).

24. Fadista, J. *et al.* Shared genetic etiology between idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis and

COVID-19 severity. *EBioMedicine* **65**, 103277 (2021).

25. Verma, A. *et al.* A MUC5B Gene Polymorphism, rs35705950-T, Confers Protective Effects

Against COVID-19 Hospitalization but Not Severe Disease or Mortality. *Am. J. Respir. Crit.*

Care Med. **206**, 1220–1229 (2022).

26. Sudlow, C. *et al.* UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a

wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med.* **12**, e1001779 (2015).

27. Bycroft, C. *et al.* The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data.

Nature **562**, 203–209 (2018).

28. Thygesen, J. H. *et al.* COVID-19 trajectories among 57 million adults in England: a cohort

study using electronic health records. *Lancet Digit. Health* **4**, e542–e557 (2022).

29. Denaxas, S. Mapping the Read2/CTV3 controlled clinical terminologies to Phecodes in UK

Biobank primary care electronic health records: implementation and evaluation. *Proc*

Am. Med. Inform. Assoc. Annu. Symp. 2021 (2021).

30. Bastarache, L. Using Phecodes for Research with the Electronic Health Record: From

PheWAS to PheRS. *Annu. Rev. Biomed. Data Sci.* **4**, 1–19 (2021).

31. Zang, C. *et al.* Data-driven analysis to understand long COVID using electronic health

records from the RECOVER initiative. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 1948 (2023).

32. Barabasi, A. & Albert, R. Emergence of scaling in random networks. *Science* **286**, 509–12

(1999).

33. Mbatchou, J. *et al.* Computationally efficient whole-genome regression for quantitative

and binary traits. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 1097–1103 (2021).

34. Bulik-Sullivan, B. K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from

polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat. Genet.* **47**, 291–5 (2015).

35. Verbanck, M., Chen, C.-Y., Neale, B. & Do, R. Detection of widespread horizontal

pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between

complex traits and diseases. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 693–698 (2018).

36. COVID-19 Host Genetics Initiative. Mapping the human genetic architecture of COVID-

19. *Nature* **600**, 472–477 (2021).

37. Yavorska, O. O. & Burgess, S. MendelianRandomization: an R package for performing

Mendelian randomization analyses using summarized data. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* **46**, 1734–

1739 (2017).

38. Hemani, G. *et al.* The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the

human phenome. *eLife* **7**, (2018).

39. Giambartolomei, C. *et al.* Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic

association studies using summary statistics. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, e1004383 (2014).

40. Wallace, C. A more accurate method for colocalisation analysis allowing for multiple

causal variants. *PLoS Genet.* **17**, e1009440 (2021).

Hospitalisation

Other mental disorder

Chronic airway obstruction

Acute renal failure

Circulatory disease NEC
Pneumococcal pneumonia
Obstructive chronic bronchitis
Shortness of breath

Type 2 diabetes

Constipation

Malaise and fatigue

Respiratory failure

Respiratory failure
Obstructive chronic bronchitis
Obesity
Other mental disorder
Chronic airway obstruction
Pneumococcal pneumonia
Type 2 diabetes
Essential hypertension
Sleep apnea
Postinflammatory pulmonary fibrosis

Death

Dementias
Delirium due to conditions classified elsewhere
Alzheimer's disease
Altered mental status
Acute renal failure
Vascular dementia
Pneumococcal pneumonia
Respiratory failure
Abnormality of gait
Septicemia

Long COVID

Anxiety disorders
Malaise and fatigue
Anxiety disorder
Organic or persistent insomnia
Nonspecific chest pain
Pain in joint
Back pain
Other mental disorder
Other headache syndromes
Pain in limb

Diseases ordered by ICD−10 chapter

- Infectious diseases
- Neoplasms
- Endocrine/metabolic
- Hematopoietic
- Mental disorders
- Neurological
- Sense organs
- Circulatory system
- Respiratory
- Digestive
- Genitourinary
- Pregnancy complications
- Dermatologic
- Musculoskeletal
- Congenital anomalies
- Symptoms
- Injuries & poisonings
- Other

A Disease–disease network

— |partial correlation|
⬤ disease

- Infectious diseases
- Neoplasms
- Endocrine/metabolic
- Hematopoietic
- Mental disorders
- Neurological
- Sense organs
- Circulatory system
- Respiratory

B Cardio–respiratory community

- Digestive
- Genitourinary
- Pregnancy complications
- Dermatologic
- Musculoskeletal
- Congenital anomalies
- Symptoms
- Injuries & poisonings
- Other

C Endocrine–renal community

C

Acute renal failure
Congestive heart failure (CHF) NOS
Personal history of diseases of digestive system
Secondary malignancy of lymph nodes
Heart failure NOS
Fluid overload
Malignant neoplasm, other
Septicemia
Cardiomegaly
Essential hypertension
Hypovolemia
Heart valve replaced
Effects radiation NOS
Abdominal pain
Chemotherapy
Atrial fibrillation and flutter
Cardiac pacemaker in situ
Delirium due to conditions classified elsewhere
Decubitus ulcer
Atrial fibrillation
Retention of urine
Urinary tract infection
Other diseases of respiratory system, NEC
Benign neoplasm of colon
Diverticulosis
Pain in joint
Malignant neoplasm within the digestive organs and peritoneum
Intestinal infection
Other tests
Anal and rectal conditions

Hub score

Hazard ratio
Hospitalisation

Hazard ratio
Respiratory failure

Hazard ratio
Death

Hazard ratio
LongCOVID