Polygenic risk score for ulcerative colitis predicts immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis
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Abstract

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) are a remarkable advancement in cancer therapeutics; however, a substantial proportion of patients develop severe immune-related adverse events (irAEs). Understanding and predicting irAEs is a key to advancing precision immuno-oncology. Immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis (IMC) is a significant complication from ICI and can have life-threatening consequences. Based on clinical presentation, IMC mimics inflammatory bowel disease, however the link is poorly understood. We hypothesized that genetic susceptibility to Crohn's disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) may predispose to IMC. We developed and validated polygenic risk scores for CD (PRS_CD) and UC (PRS_UC) in cancer-free individuals and assessed the role of each of these PRSs on IMC in a cohort of 1,316 patients with non-small cell lung cancer who received ICIs. Prevalence of all-grade IMC in our cohort was 4% (55 cases), and for severe IMC, 2.5% (32 cases). The PRS_UC predicted the development of all-grade IMC (HR=1.34 per standard deviation [SD], 95% CI=1.02-1.76, \( P=0.04 \)) and severe IMC (HR=1.62 per SD, 95% CI=1.12-2.35, \( P=0.01 \)). PRS_CD was not associated with IMC or severe IMC. The association between PRS_UC and IMC (all-grade and severe) was consistent in an independent pan-cancer cohort of patients treated with ICIs. Furthermore, PRS_UC predicted severe IMC among patients treated with combination ICIs (OR = 2.20 per SD, 95% CI = 1.07-4.53, \( P=0.03 \)). This is the first study to demonstrate the potential clinical utility of a PRS for ulcerative colitis in identifying patients receiving ICI at high risk of developing IMC, where risk reduction and close monitoring strategies could help improve overall patient outcomes.
Introduction
Immunotherapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) has substantially improved clinical outcomes in patients with advanced cancers such as melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), bladder, renal, breast, and other cancers. ICIs block the ability of malignant cells to escape detection through immune checkpoints such as programmed cell death protein 1/programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-1/PD-L1) or cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein 4 (CTLA-4). Blockade of these checkpoints restores host immunosurveillance in some tumors by stimulating cytotoxic T-cells to induce cancer cell apoptosis.

Despite ICIs being a paradigm-shifting breakthrough in cancer treatment, enhanced activation of the immune system can lead to immune-related adverse events (irAEs) that can result in permanent discontinuation of ICIs, severe morbidity, and even patient death. The most severe irAEs include hypophysitis, diabetes, colitis, hepatitis, and pneumonitis, with other common irAEs including rash and thyroiditis. The incidence of immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis (IMC) ranges from 1%-25% and varies by ICI therapy. The incidence of IMC is higher in patients treated with combined anti-PD-1/PD-L1 and anti-CTLA-4 therapy. Nearly 15-20% of patients receiving combination therapy develop severe IMC, which is the leading cause of hospitalization and treatment cessation. Endoscopic and histological findings suggest that the presentation of IMC mimics autoimmune colitis such as ulcerative colitis (UC), a form of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Despite the phenotypic similarities between IBD and IMC, it is unclear if the underlying mechanism is shared or distinct.

We sought to characterize the relationship between genetic predisposition to common types of autoimmune colitis (ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD)), and IMC in a cohort of NSCLC patients receiving ICI treatment. We first developed polygenic risk scores (PRS) for UC and CD using individuals not diagnosed with cancer at baseline in UK Biobank (UKB) and validated these PRSs in an independent dataset of cancer-free...
participants in Vanderbilt University Medical Center biobank (BioVU)\textsuperscript{24}. We then evaluated the association between each of these PRS and the development of IMC in a cohort of patients with NSCLC receiving ICI therapy and conducted an independent replication in a cohort of patients with diverse cancer types treated with ICI therapy in BioVU\textsuperscript{24}. We further investigated the association between human leukocyte antigen (HLA) alleles known to affect UC risk with IMC. Additionally, we examined the role of IMC and PRS for UC, and CD, respectively, on progression free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS).

Results

Patient Characteristics

We analyzed data from 1,316 study participants included in the GeRI cohort, which included four sites (Table 1 and See Methods). The GeRI cohort comprised approximately 50% men and the mean age at lung cancer diagnosis was 65 years (+/-10.3). The study was composed of 69.5% individuals who self-reported as White followed by 6.7% identifying as Asian, and 5.3% as Black. A small proportion (9%) received the combined anti PD-1/PD-L1, and anti CTLA-4 inhibitor therapy and the remainder received either anti PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy(91%). The cumulative incidence of IMC was ~4% (55 events); it was ~2% (32 events) for severe IMC. The rates were similar across all study sites. The analytic strategy of our study is illustrated in Figure 1.

Development and validation of PRS for UC and CD

We used 70% of the cancer-free UKB dataset to tune parameters for PRS using LDpred\textsuperscript{22}. We then obtained effect estimates for the PRS for CD and UC in the remaining 30% (testing data). In the UKB testing data, the area under the receiver operating curve (AUROC) for the PRS\textsubscript{UC} was 0.66 (95% CI = 0.64-0.68), and the AUROC for PRS\textsubscript{CD} was 0.72 (95% CI = 0.69-0.74) (Supplementary Figure 1). In the adjusted model, PRS\textsubscript{UC} was strongly associated with UC with an odds ratio (OR) of 1.84 per standard deviation (SD) (95% CI = 1.76-1.93, \(p<1.0\times10^{-12}\)). Similarly, PRS\textsubscript{CD} was positively associated with CD with OR of 1.83 per SD (95% CI = 1.72-1.95, \(p<1.0\times10^{-12}\)).
We observed an intermediate correlation between the two PRSs (Pearson correlation = 0.38). Additionally, the AUROC for PRS\textsubscript{UC} on CD was 0.58 (95% CI: 0.57 - 0.60), while PRS\textsubscript{CD} on UC yielded an AUROC of 0.58 (95% CI: 0.56 - 0.59). These results suggest the presence of some shared genetic susceptibility between UC and CD. However, the distinct genetic factors influencing each phenotype remain the primary drivers of the individual PRS effects. The two PRSs were validated in another sample of cancer-free individuals from BioVU\textsuperscript{21}. Similar to the UKB results, the individual PRS\textsubscript{CD} and PRS\textsubscript{UC} were also strongly associated with CD and UC in BioVU.

We observed an OR of 2.18 per SD (95% CI = 2.05-2.32, p<1.0\times 10^{-12}) for PRS\textsubscript{CD}, and an OR of 1.75 per SD (95% CI = 1.59-1.92, p<1.0\times 10^{-12}) for PRS\textsubscript{UC}. The AUROC for PRS\textsubscript{CD} and PRS\textsubscript{UC} were 0.72 (95% CI = 0.70-0.73) and 0.65 (95% CI = 0.62-0.68), respectively (Supplementary Figure 2).

**PRS of autoimmune colitis as a predictor of IMC**

The mean PRS\textsubscript{UC} was significantly higher in patients who developed IMC (Supplementary Figure 3). We examined the cumulative incidence of IMC (all-grade and severe) in the top 10\textsuperscript{th} percentile (high genetic risk), 10-90\textsuperscript{th} percentile (average genetic risk), and lowest 10\textsuperscript{th} percentile (low genetic risk) of the PRS\textsubscript{UC}. Individuals in the top 10\textsuperscript{th} percentile of the PRS\textsubscript{UC} had higher rates of IMC (all-grade: p=0.01 and severe: p=0.03) compared to other two categories (Figure 2). Using Cox proportional hazards model and adjusting for genetic ancestry, recruiting site, age, sex, cancer histology, and type of therapy, we observed that the PRS\textsubscript{UC} was significantly associated with any diagnosis of IMC in the GeRI cohort with a hazard ratio (HR) of 1.34 per SD (95% CI = 1.02-1.76, p=0.04). For a diagnosis of severe IMC, the HR per SD was 1.62 (95% CI = 1.12-2.35, p=0.01) (Table 2). We found no significant association between PRS\textsubscript{CD} and IMC or severe IMC (Table 2).

Additionally, we conducted stratified analysis by type of therapy and histology of lung cancer to further characterize the association between PRS\textsubscript{UC} and IMC (all-grade and severe). For all-grade IMC, the results showed little attenuation and nominal significance when stratified by type of therapy (Table 2). However, for severe IMC we
observed a HR per SD of 1.51 (95% CI = 1.01-2.27, p=0.04) in patients receiving anti-PD1/anti PD-L1 monotherapy versus a HR per SD of 4.31 (95% CI = 1.08-17.24, p=0.03) in those patients receiving a combined therapy. Patients with adenocarcinoma had a HR per SD of 1.43 (95% CI = 1.06-1.93, p=0.02) for all-grade IMC and a HR per SD of 2.12 (95% CI = 1.37-3.26, p=6x10^{-04}) for severe IMC. We also performed association analyses between ulcerative colitis PRS and IMC using different previously published PRS$_{UC}$ and noted consistent and robust trends toward the association (Supplementary Table 2).

Replication of the association between PRS$_{UC}$ and IMC

Replication was conducted within an independent study of 873 patients from a pan-cancer cohort in BioVU\textsuperscript{24} who underwent treatment with either anti-PD1/PD-L1 monotherapy or combination ICI therapy. The characteristics of the replication cohort are shown in Supplementary Table 1. Briefly, the replication study consisted of 63% males and 37% females. Among 873 ICI-treated patients, approximately 95% of the patients received anti-PD1/PD-L1 monotherapy and 5% patients received combined anti-PD-1/PD-L1 and anti-CTLA4 therapy. An additional 274 cancer patients were identified and were treated with anti-CTLA4 monotherapy.

The results from the analysis in the replication study are presented in Table 3. In our analysis of 873 patients, we found a trends towards association between PRS$_{UC}$ and all-grade IMC (OR per SD = 1.29, 95% CI = 0.98 – 1.69, p=0.07). However, for PRS$_{UC}$ and severe IMC, we observed statistically significant replication with an OR per SD of 1.39 (95% CI = 1.02 – 1.90, p=0.04, Table 3). Within our stratified analysis by type of therapy, for anti-PD1/PD-L1 monotherapy, we observed an OR per SD of 1.25 (95% CI = 0.88 - 1.78, p=0.21) for all-grade IMC, while a slightly stronger and nominally significant association was seen for severe IMC (OR per SD = 1.47, 95% CI = 0.96 - 2.25, p=0.08). For those receiving dual therapy, we observed an OR per SD of 2.04 (95% CI = 0.79 - 5.28, p=0.14) for all-grade IMC and an OR per SD of 1.89 (95% CI = 0.74 - 4.86, p=0.19) for severe IMC. Furthermore, we conducted an adjusted logistic regression model within the anti-CTLA4 monotherapy (N=274) and found an OR per SD.
of 0.92 (95% CI = 0.67 – 1.26, p=0.59) for all-grade IMC. For severe IMC in the anti-CTLA4 monotherapy group, we observed an OR per SD of 1.00 (95% CI = 0.71 – 1.40, p=0.99).

Meta-analysis of PRSUC and IMC associations in discovery and replication studies

Next, we performed a meta-analysis using fixed-effect inverse-variance weighting, combining the logistic regression models from the initial GeRI cohort and BioVU replication cohort (Table 3). Our findings show a significantly positive association between PRSUC and all-grade IMC with an ORmeta per SD of 1.35 (95% CI = 1.12 – 1.64, p=2x10^{-03}). Similarly, a robust association of PRSUC and severe IMC was observed with an ORmeta per SD of 1.49 (95% CI = 1.18 – 1.88, p=9x10^{-04}).

For patients who received anti-PD1/PD-L1 monotherapy, PRSUC demonstrated a significant association with all-grade IMC, showing an ORmeta per SD of 1.35 (95% CI = 1.07 - 1.69, p = 0.01). Similarly, a stronger association was observed with severe IMC, with an ORmeta per SD of 1.48 (95% CI = 1.10 - 1.98, p = 9x10^{-3}). Among patients treated with combination or dual therapy, a trend towards association with all-grade IMC was seen (ORmeta per SD = 1.80, 95% CI = 0.95 - 3.41, p = 0.07); however, a robust and pronounced association was found in relation to severe IMC (ORmeta per SD = 2.20, 95% CI = 1.07 - 4.53, p = 0.03).

Role of known UC-HLA associations on IMC in GeRI cohort

We assessed the association between all-grade IMC and HLA markers known to be associated with ulcerative colitis^{26,27} (Supplementary Figure 4). Out of 12 known UC-associated HLA markers, we observed an OR of 2.63 (95% CI = 1.08-6.40, p=0.03) for HLA-DRB1*12:01 and all-grade IMC. However, at false discovery rate (FDR)<0.05 none of the known HLA markers were associated with all-grade IMC in the GeRI cohort.

IMC and PRS of autoimmune colitis as a predictor of PFS and OS

To assess the role of IMC on clinical outcomes, we conducted a cox proportional hazards models with a 90-day treatment landmark (Table 4 and Figure 3). We observed
the effect of all-grade IMC on OS with a HR of 0.40 (95% CI = 0.24-0.66, p=3.0x10^{-04})
and of severe IMC on OS with a HR of 0.23 (95% CI = 0.09-0.55, p=9.0x10^{-04}).
However, we observed no significant association between PFS and IMC (Table 4 and
Supplementary Figure 5).

Despite the association between PRS_{UC} and IMC, PRS_{UC} was not associated with PFS
(HR per SD = 1.00, 95% CI = 0.94-1.07, p=0.99) and OS (HR per SD = 1.01, 95% CI =
0.93-1.09, p=0.91) in our cohort (Table 5). Similarly, we observed no association
between PRS_{CD} and PFS (HR per SD = 0.98, 95% CI = 0.91-1.05, p=0.50) and OS (HR
per SD = 1.02, 95% CI = 0.93-1.11, p=0.68), respectively (Table 5).

Discussion

Immune checkpoint inhibitors are part of standard regimens to treat many advanced
cancers and are used in the adjuvant and neoadjuvant settings for early stage diseases
in multiple cancers^{3,4,10,28–32}. Immune-related adverse events are common complications
from ICI, and there are few predictors of irAEs^{33,34}. We sought to identify genetic
predictors of immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis which frequently results in
hospitalization and ICI discontinuation and can occasionally lead to death^{18,19,35}. Specifically, we evaluated the relationship between genetic predisposition for
autoimmune colitis (UC, CD) and IMC, and found that the PRS_{UC} can predict IMC. The
association was stronger when analyses were restricted to individuals with severe IMC –
an important finding as the most important clinical cases to identify were best predicted
by PRS_{UC}. Furthermore, we investigated the role of HLA markers associated with UC on
development of IMC. However, we did not have HLA typing for these individuals, and
therefore, the imputation of HLA was not validated. Furthermore, our study was not well
powered to detect the effect of many different HLA alleles after multiple hypothesis
testing. Future studies will need to analyze HLA effects on IMC.

Our findings significantly contribute to our understanding of the biological underpinnings
of IMC and may also impact management of patients treated with ICIs. First, we
demonstrate that IMC has some genetic overlap with UC, but we found no evidence for
overlap with CD. This is notable despite the correlation observed in our PRS for UC and CD, signifying that the genetic factors associated with IMC align more closely with the distinct genetic markers associated with UC. Our finding is also consistent with clinical reports in which the most frequent phenotype of IMC resembles UC most closely\textsuperscript{22,23,36}. Our results also suggest that as genetic risk prediction of UC improves, genetic risk of IMC may also be improved. In particular, rare variants in certain genes substantially increase the risk of UC and we hypothesize may also affect IMC risk\textsuperscript{37–39}. Prior reports on ICI-induced hypothyroidism\textsuperscript{40,41} and rash\textsuperscript{42} demonstrated that PRS for autoimmune disorders predict irAEs, suggesting that ICI may unmask autoimmune syndromes in some genetically predisposed individuals.

We also found that individuals who developed IMC had improved survival outcomes when compared to those who did not develop IMC, including in a landmark sensitivity analysis, which is concordant with previously published literature\textsuperscript{43–48}. However, PRS\textsubscript{UC} and PRS\textsubscript{CD} were not associated with PFS or OS, suggesting that the genetic basis of autoimmune disease susceptibility is distinct from genetic factors influencing survival outcomes. It has been postulated that both anti-tumor responses to ICls, and development of irAEs are representative of a robust immune response; however, one possible explanation for our finding is that the genetic contributions captured in the autoimmune PRSs are probably capturing the cross-presentation of shared antigens which may not be associated with clinical outcomes. This suggests there could be other genetic and environmental factors driving the association between IMC and overall survival.

Our study has several key implications that may impact the care of cancer patients treated with ICls. For example, our results suggest that germline genotyping could help assist selection of patients at high risk of IMC in a clinical trial setting to assess the role of preventative measures such as the commencement of concurrent anti-TNF\textsubscript{\alpha} therapies or anti-integrin \(\alpha_{4}\beta_{7}\) antibodies\textsuperscript{49,50} along with ICI treatment in patients at high risk for IMC and toxicity-related early treatment cessation. Additionally, these findings may also help facilitate clinical decision-making. Combination immunotherapies are more effective.
but are also associated with substantially increased risk of irAEs\textsuperscript{45,51–55}. Our stratified analysis by type of therapy demonstrated the association between PRS\textsubscript{UC} and severe IMC in individuals receiving anti PD-1/PD-L1 and anti CTLA4 combination therapy. Among patients who may be candidates for combination immunotherapies but have high genetic risk based on PRS\textsubscript{UC}, oncologists may consider monotherapy, particularly in clinical situations in which the benefits of dual therapy on disease control may be modest. Conversely, patients who are at relatively low risk based on PRS\textsubscript{UC} may be better candidates for combination therapy. In addition, the use of PRS\textsubscript{UC} might also be considered to assist with treatment decisions in clinical settings where ICI therapy is approved but there is substantial clinical equipoise; for example, in the adjuvant setting for patients with resectable NSCLC\textsuperscript{56,57} and low PD-L1 expression or adjuvant setting for resected stage II melanoma\textsuperscript{58}. Our analysis within the anti-CTLA4 monotherapy subgroup did not reveal any significant association between PRS\textsubscript{UC} and IMC. These results should be interpreted cautiously since sample size was limited in this subgroup. However, anti-CTLA4 as a monotherapy has become less common in contemporary clinical practice, with its predominant use being in combination with anti-PD1/PD-L1 therapy, and our PRS\textsubscript{UC} did predict IMC in these patients. Our initial findings were observed in a cohort of NSCLC patients. However, our replication study included a broader array of pan-cancer study and demonstrated the generalizability of PRS\textsubscript{UC} to predict IMC.

Although our study has important clinical implications and strengths, it also has few limitations. While PRS effectively captures established variants associated with UC, it may not account for unidentified genetic contributors (missing heritability). Nevertheless, as we unveil the missing heritability of UC, we will further improve our PRS. Furthermore, we developed these PRSs in a predominantly European ancestry cohort (UK Biobank) and the GeRI cohort and BioVU replication study was also predominantly of European ancestry; more work is needed to generalize these results to other ancestries. In addition, there may be other limitations to implementing PRS in the clinic including cost, rapidity of return of results and reliability and consistency across different algorithms\textsuperscript{59–62}. 


We also found an association between IMC and OS. This result could be due to survivor bias\textsuperscript{63,64} where patients who respond to therapy and are on therapy longer are at an increased risk of developing irAEs. We used a 90-day landmark analysis\textsuperscript{65} to account for this bias for both PFS and OS, although this may not completely eliminate the survivor bias.

Overall, our findings suggest a shared genetic basis between ulcerative colitis and immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis among patients undergoing ICI treatment. Prediction of IMC using genetic information should create new opportunities for better risk stratification and ultimately for better management and possibly prevention of this common and important side effect from immunotherapy.

\textbf{Methods}

\textit{Study Population}

Genetics of immune-related adverse events and Response to Immunotherapy (GeRI) cohort is comprised of 1,316 advanced Stage IIIIB/IV NSCLC patients who received ICI therapy (PD-1 or PD-L1 inhibitors as monotherapy or in combination with either CTLA-4 inhibitors and/or chemotherapy) and were recruited from four different institutions: Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC), Vanderbilt University Medical Center (VUMC), Princess Margaret Cancer Center (PM), and University of California, San Francisco (UCSF).

A total of 752 individuals were treated with ICIs at MSKCC between 2011 and 2018 and had an available blood sample. Clinical data were extracted from a manual review of medical and pharmacy records for demographics, lung cancer histology, and ICI treatment history, including detailed information on immune-related adverse events (irAEs). The VUMC cohort is composed of 267 patients who received ICI therapy at the medical center between 2009 and 2019. Patients participated in BioVU\textsuperscript{21}, Vanderbilt’s biomedical repository of DNA that is linked to de-identified health records. Treatment dates and irAEs were extracted using manual chart review by a trained thoracic
oncology nurse. The PM cohort included 266 advanced NSCLC patients who received ICI therapy between 2011 and 2022; all provided a blood sample and completed a questionnaire. Clinical data were manually extracted by trained abstractors, supplemented by the PM Cancer Registry. From UCSF, 31 patients who had received ICIs were identified by thoracic oncologists between 2019 and 2021 and provided either a blood or saliva sample after informed consent. Clinical data including, demographics, history of lung cancer and ICI treatment, and irAEs were extracted after manual review of electronic health records. Institutional Review Board approvals were obtained at each site individually and written informed consent was acquired from all study participants prior to inclusion in the study.

**Immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis (IMC)**

After the initiation of ICI therapy, immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis (IMC) was defined based on clinical chart review and documentation of IMC by the primary oncologist, gastroenterologist, and/or other clinicians treating the patient based on clinical features and/or radiologic/histologic evidence suggesting colitis due to ICI. Participants who were diagnosed with infectious causes of colitis including *Clostridium difficile*, or a pathogen on a gastrointestinal pathogen panel or ova and parasite test were excluded. To assess the severity of IMC, we used 2 metrics based on NCI Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events Version 5 (NCI-CTCAE) that captures grade 3 IMC or above: (i) hospitalization for management of IMC and/or (ii) permanent cessation of ICI therapy due to the adverse event.

IMC was coded as a dichotomous variable (1: all IMC, 0: no IMC) and time-to-IMC was assessed from start of the ICI therapy to the date of onset of IMC or date of ICI discontinuation due to IMC. Patients who did not experience IMC were censored either at the end of treatment due to any reason or last follow-up date if the treatment was ongoing. Based on the severity criteria, severe IMCs were also coded as binary variables (1: severe IMC, 0: no IMC).

**Ascertainment of clinical outcomes**
Progression free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were evaluated from the date of initiation of ICI therapy to date of progression and death, respectively, at MSK, PM and UCSF sites. At VUMC, time-to-discontinuation of therapy due to progression from therapy initiation was used as a surrogate. If the treatment was ongoing, patients were censored at the date of last follow-up. The VUMC cohort is de-identified and not linked to the National Death Index; therefore, all-cause mortality (overall survival) information is unavailable for VUMC participants (n=267).

Quality control, genotyping, and imputation of GeRI cohort
DNA from blood or saliva was extracted and genotyped using Affymetrix Axiom Precision Medicine Diversity Array. Samples with a call rate <95% were excluded from the analysis and SNPs with missing rates >5% were also excluded from the analysis. Genetic ancestry was calculated using principal component analysis in PLINK after linkage disequilibrium pruning (R²<0.1). Imputation was performed using the Michigan Imputation Server with the 1000 Genomes phase3 v5 reference panel. Standard genotyping and quality control procedures were implemented. Variants with minor allele frequency <0.01 were excluded from the analysis.

Development and validation of polygenic risk score (PRS) for autoimmune colitis
We developed PRS for CD (1,312 CD cases and 16,303 controls) and UC (2,814 UC cases and 16,303 controls), separately using UK Biobank (UKB) data, where we divided the data into two parts: 70% for hyperparameter tuning and 30% of the remaining data for testing the PRS. Genetic data from both the UKB Affymetrix Axiom array (89%) or the UK BiLEVE array (11%) which have been imputed using the Haplotype Reference Consortium and the UK10K and 1000 Genomes phase 3 reference panels were utilized in the analysis. Analyses were restricted to European ancestry individuals based on self-reported White ethnicity and genetic ancestry PCs within five standard deviations of the population mean. Samples with discordant self-reported and genetic sex were excluded. Additionally, we also excluded one sample from each pair of first-degree relatives. Samples with greater than five standard deviations from the mean heterozygosity were further excluded from the analysis. Information from both self-
We used the LDpred2 method to develop PRS of CD and UC. LDpred2 estimates the posterior effect sizes based on summary statistics from genome-wide association study while taking into account the linkage disequilibrium between variants and assuming a prior on the markers. To derive PRS, summary statistics were obtained from previously published largest genome-wide association study of CD, and UC. We restricted the analysis to HapMap3 variants and implemented LDpred2-auto function to evaluate the posterior effect sizes for each variant. LDpred2-auto first estimates the proportion of causal variants and heritability for trait under evaluation. Next, it determines the posterior effects estimates for the included variants. The final PRS weights are available at [https://zenodo.org/record/8025635](https://zenodo.org/record/8025635). Briefly, PRS\textsubscript{UC} included 744,575 variants, whereas PRS\textsubscript{CD} comprised of 744,682 variants.

PRS was constructed using the formula: 

$$PRS = \beta_1 \times SNP_1 + \beta_2 \times SNP_2 + \ldots + \beta_n \times SNP_n,$$

where $\beta$ was estimated using LDpred2-auto function. Each PRS was standardized to have a mean of zero and standard deviation of 1. The association of PRS\textsubscript{CD} and PRS\textsubscript{UC} with each respective target phenotype was assessed using logistic regression models, adjusted for age at diagnosis for cases and age at enrollment for controls, sex, genotyping array, and the top 10 genetic ancestry principal components (PCs). Area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) curves were calculated in the testing dataset and used to assess the overall prediction accuracy of each the PRS in UKB.

We validated the two PRSs in a sample of cancer-free individuals (1,420 CD cases, 459 UC cases, and 20,876 controls in the VUMC BioVU\textsuperscript{24}. All analyses were restricted to individuals of European ancestry and adjusted for age, sex, and ten principal components. AUROC curves were used to estimate the prediction of the PRSs.

Assessment of autoimmune colitis PRS to predict IMC in GeRI cohort
Using the weights generated from LDpred2 for CD, and UC, we separately calculated two weighted PRSs (PRS_{CD}, PRS_{UC}) for the GeRI participants. Cumulative incidence of IMC (all-grade and severe) was assessed by categories of PRS percentiles. Individuals in the top 10% of the PRS distribution (PRS>90th percentile) were classified has having high genetic risk, those in the bottom 10% (PRS≤10th percentile) were classified as low risk, and the middle category (>10th to ≤90th percentile) classified as average genetic risk. Additionally, to evaluate the performance of each potential PRS on either time-to-IMC or time-to-severe-IMC, we used Cox proportional hazards models, adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, lung cancer histology, type of therapy, recruiting site, and the first 5 genetic ancestry PCs. To further understand the differential effects of type of therapy and histology on the association between PRS_{UC} and IMC, we conducted stratified analysis by type of ICI therapy and histology of lung cancer.

Replication of PRS_{UC} and IMC in an independent study

We performed an independent replication to further characterize the association between PRS_{UC} and IMC. Our replication study comprises of 873 patients enrolled in BioVU\textsuperscript{24}, across all cancer types and treated with either anti-PD-1/PD-L1 monotherapy or a combination of anti-PD1/PD-L1 and anti-CTLA4 therapy. There was no overlap of samples between individuals from BioVU included in the GeRI cohort (discovery) and the replication dataset from BioVU. Immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis was ascertained by manual review of the electronic health records. An IMC case was defined as either biopsy-confirmed colitis or the occurrence of diarrhea in ICI patients, not attributable to any other cause, that required treatment with steroids and subsequently showed improvement with steroid therapy. All samples were genotyped using Illumina Expanded Multi-Ethnic Genotyping Array (MEGA-EX) and imputed to 1000 Genomes reference panel (version 3)\textsuperscript{24}. Post imputation standard quality control procedures were employed to exclude low-quality variants and samples. In short, samples with a call rate <95% and SNPs with missing rates >2% were excluded from the analysis. Additionally, all SNPs with minor allele frequency <1% and Hardy-Weinberg P-value<1e-06, and INFO<0.95 were excluded.
We performed unconditional logistic regression to assess the association between PRS<sub>UC</sub> and all-grade IMC and severe IMC, respectively. All models were adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, type of therapy, and 5 principal components. In addition, we conducted stratified logistic regression by type of therapy and the models were adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, and 5 principal components. This study had additional 274 patients who received anti-CTLA4 monotherapy, and we further evaluated the association between PRS<sub>UC</sub> and IMC separately in this group.

Meta-analysis of association between PRS<sub>UC</sub> and IMC

For meta-analysis, we conducted standard logistic regression adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, type of therapy, site and 5 PCs in the GeRI study. Next, we carried out an inverse-variance weighted fixed effect meta-analysis between our discovery and replication studies. Additionally, we conducted a meta-analysis of the stratified results by type of therapy in the GeRI cohort and the replication study from BioVU.

Role of HLA markers associated with UC and CD on IMC in GeRI cohort

To elucidate the role of known UC-associated HLA markers on IMC, we performed HLA imputation using CookHLA<sup>68</sup> and HATK<sup>69</sup>. HLA alleles were imputed at 2-field resolution against the Type 1 Diabetes Genetics Consortium reference panel<sup>70</sup> and using the nomenclature from IPD-IMGT/HLA database v3.51. Association analysis with all-grade IMC was conducted using logistic regression models adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, lung cancer histology, type of therapy, recruiting site, and 5 PCs. Analyses were restricted to common HLA alleles (frequency ≥0.01) known to be associated with ulcerative colitis<sup>26</sup>.

Impact of IMC and PRS of autoimmune colitis on PFS and OS in GeRI cohort

Association of IMC (all-grade and severe) on PFS and OS was examined using the Cox proportional hazards model by examining only the patients who had PFS and OS longer than 90 days (90-day landmark)<sup>65</sup>. All models were adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, lung cancer histology, type of therapy, and 5 PCs. Survival curves and rates were estimated using Kaplan-Meier method. To investigate the association between PRS<sub>CD</sub>,
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PRSUC on PFS and OS, we conducted Cox proportional hazards models, adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, histology, type of therapy, and 5 PCs. All $P$ values are two-sided, and analyses were conducted using Plink2, R v4.2.2 (R foundation for Statistical Computing) with RStudio v2022.12.0.353.
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Development and validation of the polygenic risk scores (PRSs): LDpred2 method was used to tune the parameters for the PRS for ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease (PRSUC, PRS_CD) in 70% of the UK Biobank, using the summary statistics from the largest genome-wide association study of UC and CD. The PRSs were then tested in the remaining 30% of the UK Biobank and validated in BioVU. In the next step, the role of PRS_UC and PRS_CD on all-grade and severe immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis (IMC) was evaluated in a cohort of 1,316 non-small cell lung cancer patients who received at least one dose of immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. Furthermore, replication was conducted using 873 pancancer patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors obtained from BioVU. Finally, associations of all-grade and severe IMC along with PRS_UC and PRS_CD on progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) were assessed.
Polygenic risk score (PRS) for Ulcerative Colitis (UC) and Crohn’s Disease (CD)

GWAS Summary Statistics (de Lange et al., 2017)
(12194 CD cases, 12366 UC cases and 28072 controls)

\[ \text{PRS} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \beta_n \text{SNP}_n \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Tuning</th>
<th>Testing</th>
<th>Validation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UKB (70%)</td>
<td>UKB (30%)</td>
<td>BioVU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individuals</th>
<th>918 CD cases</th>
<th>1970 UC cases</th>
<th>11412 controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>394 CD cases</td>
<td>844 UC cases</td>
<td>4891 controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1420 CD cases</td>
<td>459 UC cases</td>
<td>20876 controls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRS as a Predictor of Immune Checkpoint Inhibitor-Mediated Colitis (IMC)

Genetics of immune-related adverse events and Response to Immunotherapy (GeRI) cohort

1316 NSCLC patients

All Grade IMC (55 cases)
Severe IMC (32 cases)

PRS analysis

HLA imputation and analysis

IMC and PRS as a Predictor of Overall Survival (OS) and Progression-Free Survival (PFS)

Genetics of immune-related adverse events and Response to Immunotherapy (GeRI) cohort

1316 NSCLC patients

Progression (999 cases)
Deceased (639 cases)

PRS as predictor of PFS and OS

Repllication: PRS as a Predictor of IMC and Meta-analysis

BioVU pan-cancer immune checkpoint inhibitor cohort

873 pan-cancer patients

All Grade IMC (59 cases)
Severe IMC (45 cases)

PRS analysis
Figure 2: Cumulative incidence curves of (i) All-grade immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis (IMC) and (ii) Severe IMC by categories of polygenic risk score of ulcerative colitis (PRS<sub>UC</sub>) in the entire GeRI cohort. PRS<sub>UC</sub> is categorized as ≤10th percentile (low genetic risk), 10-90th percentile (average genetic risk), and >90th percentile (high genetic risk).
Figure 3: Immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis (IMC) as a predictor of overall survival (OS) in the entire GeRI cohort (i) All-grade IMC, (iii) Severe IMC. Kaplan–Meier survival curves are unadjusted and compare those who had an IMC (all-grade or severe) with those who did not have an IMC (No IMC). The p-values in the graph represent the log-rank p-values and the dotted line represents median survival time. Graphs are obtained from Cox proportional hazards models with 90-day landmark.
Table 1: Characteristics of the entire GeRI cohort and by recruiting site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>GeRI</th>
<th>MSKCC</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>UCSF</th>
<th>VUMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(n=1316)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at diagnosis (SD)</td>
<td>65.24 (10.26)</td>
<td>66.13 (10.52)</td>
<td>64.60 (10.48)</td>
<td>65.30 (9.71)</td>
<td>63.33 (9.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>659 (50.1)</td>
<td>353 (46.9)</td>
<td>142 (53.4)</td>
<td>12 (38.7)</td>
<td>152 (56.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>657 (49.9)</td>
<td>399 (53.1)</td>
<td>124 (46.6)</td>
<td>19 (61.3)</td>
<td>115 (43.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reported race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>914 (69.5)</td>
<td>469 (64.0)</td>
<td>167 (62.8)</td>
<td>31 (100)</td>
<td>246 (92.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>89 (6.8)</td>
<td>34 (4.6)</td>
<td>46 (17.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>70 (5.3)</td>
<td>41 (5.6)</td>
<td>10 (3.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>16 (1.2)</td>
<td>20 (0.2)</td>
<td>52 (4.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>227 (17.2)</td>
<td>188 (25.6)</td>
<td>31 (11.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>949 (72.1)</td>
<td>580 (77.1)</td>
<td>193 (72.6)</td>
<td>24 (77.4)</td>
<td>152 (56.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of therapy</td>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti PD-1/PD-L1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>therapy</td>
<td>1198 (91.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti PD-1/PD-L1 + Anti CTLA4</td>
<td>118 (9.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>therapy</td>
<td>671 (89.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257 (96.6)</td>
<td>31 (100.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239 (89.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>55 (4.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1261 (95.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean time in months to IMC (SD)</td>
<td>9.85 (13.37)</td>
<td>9.78 (13.42)</td>
<td>11.07 (13.75)</td>
<td>15.28 (-)</td>
<td>8.19 (11.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe IMC*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>32 (2.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1261 (95.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>999 (75.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>160 (59.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing No</td>
<td>315 (23.9)</td>
<td>112 (14.9)</td>
<td>89 (33.5)</td>
<td>8 (25.8)</td>
<td>106 (39.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>2 (0.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (3.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean time in months to progression (SD)

- Alive at last follow-up: 10.02 (13.44), 10.11 (13.68), 9.67 (11.13), 27.07 (23.67), 8.18 (11.74)
- Deceased: 22.09 (17.81), 21.71 (16.99), 20.84 (18.57), 43.37 (18.88), -

Overall survival n (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alive at last follow-up</th>
<th>410 (31.2)</th>
<th>262 (34.8)</th>
<th>131 (49.2)</th>
<th>17 (54.8)</th>
<th>0 (0.0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deceased</td>
<td>639 (48.6)</td>
<td>490 (65.2)</td>
<td>135 (50.8)</td>
<td>14 (45.2)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
<td>267 (20.3)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>267 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The combined percentage does not add to 100 because mild-to-moderate grade IMC were excluded from this grouping. MSKCC, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; PM, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre; UCSF, University of California San Francisco; VUMC, Vanderbilt University Medical Center; SD: Standard deviation; IMC: Immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis.
Table 2: Polygenic risk score (PRS) of ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) as a predictor of time to development of all-grade and severe immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis (IMC) in the entire GeRI cohort, using Cox proportional hazards models and stratified analysis assessing the association between PRS<sub>UC</sub> and all-grade/severe IMC by type of therapy and lung cancer histology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>All-grade IMC</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Severe IMC</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR per SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>HR per SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS&lt;sub&gt;UC&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.02-1.76</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.12-2.35</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS&lt;sub&gt;CD&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.72-1.32</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.66-1.46</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stratified analysis restricted to PRS<sub>UC</sub> and All-grade and Severe IMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>All-grade IMC</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Severe IMC</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR per SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>HR per SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-PD1/Anti-PD-L1</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.99-1.78</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.01-2.27</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monotherapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-PD1/Anti-PD-L1</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.67-4.03</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>1.08-17.24</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Anti-CTLA4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histology&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>All-grade IMC</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Severe IMC</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR per SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>HR per SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.06-1.93</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.37-3.26</td>
<td>6x10&lt;sup&gt;-04&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous cell</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.16-3.78</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.16-3.78</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Models are adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, histology, type of therapy, recruiting site, and 5 principal components. <sup>b</sup>Models are adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, histology, recruiting site, and 5 principal components. <sup>c</sup>Models are adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, type of therapy, recruiting site, and 5 principal components. PRS: Polygenic risk score, IMC: Immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis, HR: Hazard ratio, SD: Standard deviation, CI: Confidence interval, UC: Ulcerative colitis, CD: Crohn’s disease.
Table 3: Polygenic risk score (PRS) of ulcerative colitis (UC) as a predictor of all-grade and severe immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis (IMC) in the replication cohort (BioVU) and meta-analysis (GeRI and BioVU), using logistic regression model and stratified analysis assessing the association between PRS<sub>UC</sub> and all-grade/severe IMC by type of therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMC&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Replication cohort BioVU</th>
<th>Meta-analysis GeRI + BioVU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR per SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-grade</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.98-1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.02-1.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stratified analysis by type of therapy: All-grade IMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Replication cohort BioVU</th>
<th>Meta-analysis GeRI + BioVU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-PD1/Anti-PD-L1 monotherapy</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.88-1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-PD1/Anti-PD-L1 + Anti-CTLA4</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.79-5.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CTLA4 monotherapy</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.67-1.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stratified analysis by type of therapy: Severe IMC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Replication cohort BioVU</th>
<th>Meta-analysis GeRI + BioVU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-PD1/Anti-PD-L1 monotherapy</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.96-2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-PD1/Anti-PD-L1 + Anti-CTLA4</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.74-4.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CTLA4 monotherapy</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.71-1.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Models are adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, type of therapy, and 5 principal components. <sup>b</sup>Models are adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, and 5 principal components. IMC: Immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis, OR: Odds ratio, SD: Standard deviation, CI: Confidence interval,
Table 4: All-grade and severe immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis (IMC) as predictors of progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in the entire GeRI cohort, using Cox proportional hazards models with 90-day landmark

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMC</th>
<th>PFS</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-grade</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.55-1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.34-1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All models are adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, histology, type of therapy, recruiting site, and 5 principal components. IMC: Immune checkpoint inhibitor-mediated colitis, PFS: Progression free survival, OS: Overall survival, HR: Hazards ratio, CI: Confidence interval.
Table 5: Polygenic risk scores of ulcerative colitis (PRS<sub>UC</sub>) and Crohn’s disease (PRS<sub>CD</sub>) as predictors of progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) in the GeRI cohort, using Cox proportional hazards models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRS</th>
<th>PFS</th>
<th>OS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR per SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS&lt;sub&gt;UC&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.94-1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRS&lt;sub&gt;CD&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.91-1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All models are adjusted for age at diagnosis, sex, histology, type of therapy, recruiting site, and 5 principal components. PRS: Polygenic risk score, PFS: Progression free survival, OS: Overall survival, HR: Hazards ratio, SD: Standard deviation, CI: Confidence interval, UC: Ulcerative colitis, CD: Crohn’s disease.