Determinants of not testing for HIV among young adult women in Papua New Guinea: findings from the 2016–2018 Demographic and Health Survey

McKenzie Ken Maviso[1], Fatch Welcome Kalembo[2]

[1]School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Papua New Guinea, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea

[2]School of Nursing, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia, Australia

Correspondence to McKenzie Ken Maviso; mckenzie.maviso@upng.ac.pg

Abstract

Objective

The aim of this study was to investigate the determinants of not testing for HIV among young adult women aged 15–29 years in Papua New Guinea (PNG).

Design and setting

The study used secondary data from the 2016–2018 PNG Demographic and Health Survey (PNGDHS), a nationally representative cross-sectional survey that utilised two-stage cluster sampling. Multivariate logistic regression with complex sample analysis was performed to assess the determinants of not testing for HIV.

Participants

A total of 8,155 young adult women aged 15–29 years were included in the study.

Outcome measure

Not testing for HIV.

Results

The prevalence of not testing for HIV was 71.8% (95% CI: 0.71–0.73). Young adult women who were never married (AOR: 4.9, 95% CI: 3.6–6.6), from rural areas (AOR: 2.0, 95% CI: 1.5–2.6), from poor wealth indices (AOR: 1.8, 95% CI: 1.3–2.5), who did not frequently read newspapers or magazines (AOR: 1.7, 95% CI: 1.3–2.1), who did not frequently listen to the radio (AOR: 1.5, 95% CI: 1.1–2.0), who had initiated sex before the age of 20 (AOR: 1.5, 95% CI: 1.1–1.9), and who had one sexual partner (AOR: 1.5, 95% CI: 1.2–2.0) had higher odds of not testing for HIV compared with their counterparts.

Conclusions

Our study found that more than two-thirds of young women in PNG have never been tested for HIV. Socio-demographics, lack of exposure to media, having one sex partner, and not having had an STI in the past 12 months are associated with not testing for HIV. Multi-sectorial policy and programmatic approaches to strengthen community- and home-based testing to complement facility-based HIV services and overcome barriers associated with HIV testing among this population are necessary.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study used the nationally representative large sample of young adult women aged 15–29 years from the 2016–2018 PNGDHS; thus, the findings are generalizable to the entire population of this age group.
- The study provides much-needed data for strategic planning and programming to improve the health outcomes of young women in the country.
- This is a cross-sectional study, and as such, it is not possible to make causal inferences.
- The level of knowledge or awareness of where to get tested for HIV was not included in the analysis, and it remains unknown whether this variable contributes to the high proportion of young women who remain unaware of their HIV status.
- Responses to the questions from young women were self-reported, and this could have resulted in social desirability bias.

Introduction

Globally, more than 38 million people were living with HIV in 2021, and approximately 16% (6 million) of these were from the Asia-Pacific region. [1] Among people living with HIV, young women aged 15–24 years continue to endure a disproportionate burden of the virus. [2] Evidence shows that young women are twice as likely as young men of the same age to have HIV [3], are frequently unaware of their HIV status and lack access to antiretroviral therapy (ART) and care. [4] Those who acquire HIV do so through mother-to-child transmission (mother-to-child) or sexual intercourse with an infected partner. [5–7] In addition, young women are more likely to experience physical or sexual violence from intimate partners compared to their male counterparts. [8,9] The lifetime prevalence of intimate partner violence among young women (aged 15–24 years) is 16%. [9,10] Increased violence experienced by young women further contributes to unequal infection rates in settings with high HIV prevalence. [11] Such disproportionately high rates of HIV infection in young women compared to young men are illuminated by an array of influences. [12] For instance, social and institutional norms (e.g., male superiority) and gender-based power inequalities (e.g., sexual entitlement within relationships) prevent women from negotiating safe sex practices, which frequently impact their access to sexual and reproductive health care and HIV prevention services. [13–16]

Voluntary counselling and testing (VCT) for HIV is a critical prevention strategy and a gateway to other HIV-related services. [17] There is evidence that awareness of one's HIV serostatus is associated with risk reduction and behaviour change. [18,19] Individuals newly diagnosed with HIV can be immediately linked to ART, HIV care, and support services. Timely HIV diagnosis and access to

treatment and care remain critical to preventing HIV transmission and achieving better health outcomes among sexually active young people. [20]

While access to HIV testing, treatment, and support services has been expanding globally, the response to HIV testing has remained inadequate, particularly among young women who are recognised for having poor health-seeking behaviours in highly prevalent settings. [21,22] Factors associated with low coverage or not testing for HIV are multifactorial and include the fear of HIV test results and stigma, the perceived low risk of contracting HIV, and the psychological burden of living with HIV. [21,23] In addition, knowledge and attitude towards HIV testing services, age, education, engagement in sexual relationships, and distance to access testing facilities are associated with low uptake of HIV testing among young people. [24,25]

PNG is one of the most HIV-affected countries in the Western Pacific Region [26], with a high HIV prevalence projected at 0.9% in the general adult population. [27] In 2021, an estimated 59,000 people were living with HIV in PNG, with women of childbearing age (15–49 years) accounting for 56% (33,000) of infections. [28] Women in PNG also represent the population that requires adequate HIV prevention services, including sexual and reproductive health care. [29] Recent evidence suggests that gender inequality, sociocultural norms, household poverty, and unsupported social and structural factors, including unequal access to sexual and reproductive health services, increase women's vulnerability to HIV infection. [30–33]

To address the burden of HIV, the government of PNG has integrated the WHO-recommended VCT for HIV into the country's critical HIV prevention and control strategies. [34] With more ART programmes available, efforts to increase and strengthen HIV testing have become increasingly important for early diagnosis and linking access to treatment, adherence to treatment, and viral load suppression. [34,35] Despite the country's efforts to reduce HIV transmission, VCT and linkage to ART and support services continued to be significant challenges. [35–37] In particular, young women's awareness of HIV prevention strategies, such as regular condom use and reducing the number of sexual partners, remains low (24%). [2] Recognising and addressing the challenges that young women face in accessing HIV testing services is critical to future research, practice, and policy development in preventing further transmission. Therefore, this study aimed to examine factors associated with not ever testing for HIV in young adult women using the 2016–2018 PNGDHS data. For this study, young adult women aged 15–29 years were included in the analysis, as they tend to be more sexually active and at greater risk for HIV and other sexually transmitted infections (STIs), including unwanted pregnancies. [38]

Methods

Data sources

This study is a result of the analysis of the 2016–2018 PNGDHS data, which is a nationally representative cross-sectional survey that provides data to monitor and evaluate population, health, and nutrition programmes. The PNGDHS researchers used a two-stage stratified sampling technique to recruit participants for the study. The first stage involved selecting 800 census units (CUs), which was achieved through a probability proportional to CU size. The second stage involved the systematic selection of 24 households from each cluster (CU) through equal probability sampling, with the resulting sample consisting of 19,200 households. The survey used the CUs from the 2011 PNG National Population and Housing Census that used structured sampling. Additionally, PNG has 22 administrative provinces, and each province is subdivided into urban and rural areas. Each province also has districts, and each district is divided into local-level government wards, where each ward is comprised of several CUs. The PNG National Statistical Office (NSO) and ICF International collected the data. A total of 15,198 women (aged 15–49 years) participated in the survey, yielding a response rate of 84%. Of these, 8,155 (the weighted sub-sample) were young adult women aged 15–29 years who were included in this study. Information about the methodology, pretesting, and training of field officers, the sampling design, and sample selection is available in the PNGDHS final report [39] and online at https://dhsprogram.com/methodology/survey/survey-display-499.cfm.

Definition of variables

Outcome variable

The outcome variable was HIV testing among young women, a dichotomous variable coded as “1” when a young woman reported having “ever been tested for HIV” and “0” when she reported otherwise (never tested for HIV). The information about the dependent variable was generated from this question.

Explanatory variables

The explanatory variables used in this study were selected based on the available data in the 2016–2018 PNGDHS datasets. The independent variables were categorised into socio-demographic factors (age, marital status, education level, occupation, wealth index, place of residence, and region). Age was categorised into “15–19,” 20–24,” and “25–29.” Marital status was recoded into “never married,” “married/living with a partner,” and “previously married.” The occupation was recoded into “not working” and “working,” and the wealth index was recoded into “poor,” “middle,” and “rich.”

Behavior-related variables comprised the frequency of exposure to mass media (reading a newspaper or magazine, listening to the radio, and watching television), mobile phone ownership, and internet use. Exposure to media was determined by the frequency of reading a newspaper or magazine, listening to the radio, and watching television. Each of these variables had three responses: "not at all," "less than once a week," and "at least once a week." These variables were recoded as "yes" and "no" responses to exposure to media. Use of the internet was identified as "never," "yes, last 12 months," "yes, before last 12 months," and "yes, cannot establish." The internet use variable was recoded into "no" and "yes." Furthermore, health risk behaviours include age at first sex, the total number of sexual partners (in the last 12 months), condom use, knowledge of STIs, and history of any STI (in the last 12 months). Age at sexual debut was recoded as "less than 20 years" and "20 years or older." The total number of sexual partners (in the last 12 months) was recoded into "1" and "2 or more." Incomplete and missing data in the "ever tested for HIV" group remained low (1.2%); therefore, they were excluded from the final analysis.

Statistical analysis

The data was weighted to restore representativeness and produce a reliable estimate and standard error. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the sociodemographic and HIV-related characteristics of the participants. Bivariate analysis using the Pearson Chi-square (χ^2) test of independence was performed to examine the relationship between the explanatory variables and the outcome variable (HIV testing). Variables that were significant at p-value < 0.25 in the bivariate analyses were retained and used in the final multivariable logistic regression model. [40] Measures of association were presented as Adjusted Odds Ratios (AORs) with their corresponding 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs). A p-value ≤ 0.05 was used to determine the statistical significance of all analyses. All analyses were conducted using the complex samples analysis procedure of the IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), Version 26 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) to account for the complex survey design used in DHS.

Results

Demographic characteristics of participants

Table 1 presents the comparison of participants' socio-demographic characteristics between those who were tested for HIV and those who were not. Of the 8,155 individuals in the weighted sample, 71.8% (95% CI: 0.71–0.73) were never tested for HIV. Significant differences were found in the proportions of participants who had not ever been tested for HIV compared to those who had in all socio-demographic variables (p < 0.05) (see **Table 1**). The highest proportion of women who had not ever

been tested for HIV was younger (< 20 years), never married, not educated, not working, poor, from rural areas, and not exposed to the media.

Table 1. Comparison of participants' socio-demographic characteristics between those who were ever tested for HIV and those who were not.

		Ever tested for HIV		
	Participants	**No**	**Yes**	
Characteristics	**8,155 (%)**	**5,828 (%)**	**2,327 (%)**	***p*-value***
Age group (years)				**0.010**
15–19	2,922 (35.8)	2,031 (69.5)	891 (30.5)	
20–24	2,733 (33.5)	1,971 (72.1)	762 (27.9)	
25–29	2,501 (30.7)	1,827 (73.1)	674 (26.9)	
Marital status				**< 0.001**
Never married	3,753 (46.0)	3,419 (91.1)	334 (8.9)	
Married	4,074 (50.0)	2,241 (55.0)	1,833 (45.0)	
Divorced/separated	328 (4.0)	168 (51.2)	160 (48.8)	
Education				**0.004**
No formal education	1,338 (16.4)	976 (72.9)	362 (27.1)	
Primary	4,278 (52.5)	3,078 (71.9)	1,200 (28.1)	
High/Secondary	2,226 (27.3)	1,534 (68.9)	692 (31.1)	
College/Tertiary	313 (3.8)	239 (76.6)	73 (23.4)	
Occupation*				**< 0.001**
Not working	5,990 (74.5)	4,482 (74.8)	1,508 (25.2)	
Working	2,055 (25.5)	1,270 (61.8)	785 (38.2)	
Wealth Index				**< 0.001**
Poor	2,972 (36.4)	2,375 (79.9)	597 (20.1)	
Middle	1,459 (17.9)	1,037 (71.0)	423 (29.0)	
Rich	3,723 (45.7)	2,416 (64.9)	1,307 (35.1)	
Place of residence				**< 0.001**
Urban	1,810 (22.2)	1,099 (60.7)	711 (39.3)	
Rural	6,345 (77.8)	4,729 (74.5)	1,616 (25.5)	
Region				**< 0.001**
Southern	1,564 (19.2)	1,146 (73.3)	418 (26.7)	
Highlands	3,324 (40.8)	2,168 (65.2)	1,156 (34.8)	
Momase	2,117 (26.0)	1,677 (79.2)	441 (20.8)	
Islands	1,150 (14.1)	837 (72.8)	312 (27.2)	
Read newspaper/magazine#				**< 0.001**
No	4,789 (58.9)	3,594 (75.0)	1,195 (25.0)	
Yes	3,338 (41.1)	2,210 (66.2)	1,128 (33.8)	
Listen to the radio#				**< 0.001**
No	4,987 (61.4)	3,770 (75.6)	1,217 (24.4)	
Yes	3,141 (38.6)	2,042 (65.0)	1,099 (35.0)	

Table 1 Continued

Watch television[#]				**< 0.001**
No	5,831 (72.0)	4,296 (73.7)	1,535 (26.3)	
Yes	2,272 (28.0)	1,489 (65.5)	783 (34.5)	
Owns a mobile phone[#]				**<0.001**
No	5,379 (66.1)	4,101 (76.2)	1,278 (23.8)	
Yes	2,762 (33.9)	1,717 (62.2.)	1,045 (37.8)	
Use the internet[#]				**< 0.001**
No	6,752 (83.1)	4,962 (73.5)	1,790 (26.5)	
Yes	1,373 (16.9)	847 (61.7)	526 (38.3)	

*χ test, $p = 0.05$. [#]Variables with missing values.

HIV-related characteristics of participants

Table 2 shows a comparison of risky sexual behaviours between those who were ever tested for HIV and those who were not. There were statistically significant differences in the proportions of participants who had not ever been tested for HIV compared to those who had in the following variables: age at sex debut, the total number of sexual partners, and having had an STI for the past 12 months ($p < 0.05$). The majority of those who had not ever tested HIV reported having had sex before the age of 20 (61.3%), having had one sexual partner (61.7%), having never paid anyone for sex (), and not having had an STI in the last 12 months (72.6%).

Table 2. Comparison of risky sexual behaviours between those who were tested for HIV and those who were not.

		Ever tested for HIV		
Characteristics	**Participants 8,155 (%)**	**No 5,828 (%)**	**Yes 2,327 (%)**	***p*-value***
Age of sexual debut (years)[#]				**< 0.001**
< 20	3,710 (71.9)	2,275 (61.3)	1,435 (38.7)	
20 or more	1,453 (28.1)	735 (50.6)	719 (49.4)	
Total number of sexual partners				**< 0.001**
1	3,565 (70.6)	2,198 (61.7)	1,366 (38.3)	
2 or more	1,486 (29.4)	755 (5.8)	731 (49.2)	
Ever paid anyone for sex[#]				0.500
No	476 (92.7)	375 (78.8)	101 (21.2)	
Yes	37 (7.3)	24 (64.9)	13 (35.1)	
Always condom use[#]				0.578
No	2,323 (35.3)	1,499 (64.5)	824 (35.5)	
Yes	4,263 (64.7)	2,780 (65.2)	1,483 (34.8)	

Table 2 Continued

Had any STI (last 12 months)[#]				**< 0.001**
No or don't know	7,847 (97.3)	5,698 (72.6)	2,149 (27.4)	
Yes	221 (2.7)	85 (38.5)	136 (61.5)	
Received HIV test result[#]				0.929
No	344 (14.7)	252 (73.5)	91 (26.5)	
Yes	2,000 (85.3)	1,474 (73.7)	526 (26.3)	

*χ test, p = 0.05. [#]Variables with missing values.

Factors associated with not testing for HIV

Table 3 shows factors associated with not ever being tested for HIV among young women. In the multivariable logistic regression analysis, the odds of not ever being tested for HIV were higher for young women from poor wealth index (AOR: 1.8, 95% CI: 1.3–2.5), rural areas (AOR: 2.0, 95% CI: 1.5–2.6), who were never married (AOR: 4.9, 95% CI: 3.6–6.6), who were not frequently reading newspapers or magazines (AOR: 1.7, 95% CI: 1.3–2.1), who were not frequently listening to the radio (AOR: 1.5, 95% CI: 1.1–2.0), who had sex debut before the age of 20 (AOR: 1.5, 95% CI: 1.1–1.9), and those who had one sexual partner (AOR: 1.5, 95% CI: 1.2–2.0). Conversely, the odds of not being tested were lower for young women from the Highlands region (AOR: 0.4, 95% CI: 0.4–0.6) compared with the Southern region.

Table 3. Factors associated with not ever being tested for HIV in the multivariable logistic regression analysis.

Characteristics	AOR (95% CI)	*p*-value
Age group (years)		0.133
15–19	Ref	
20–24	0.9 (0.8–1.2)	
25–29	1.2 (0.9–1.5)	
Marital status		**< 0.001**
Married	Ref	
Never married	4.9 (3.6–6.6)	
Divorced/separated	0.9 (0.7–1.4)	
Education		0.210
No formal education	0.9 (0.3–2.7)	
Primary	0.7 (0.3–2.0)	
High/Secondary	0.6 (0.2–2.0)	
College/Tertiary	Ref	

Table 3 Continued

Occupation		0.702
Not working	1.0 (0.9–1.3)	
Working	Ref	
Wealth Index		**< 0.001**
Poor	1.8 (1.3–2.5)	
Middle	1.2 (0.9–1.7)	
Rich	Ref	
Place of residence		**< 0.001**
Urban	Ref	
Rural	2.0 (1.5–2.6)	
Region		**< 0.001**
Southern	Ref	
Highlands	0.4 (0.4–0.6)	
Momase	1.3 (0.9–1.7)	
Islands	1.0 (0.7–1.3)	
Read newspaper/magazine		**< 0.001**
No	1.7 (1.3–2.1)	
Yes	Ref	
Listen to radio		**0.004**
No	1.5 (1.1–2.0)	
Yes	Ref	
Watch television		0.120
No	0.8 (0.6–1.1)	
Yes	Ref	
Owns a mobile phone		0.118
No	1.2 (0.9–1.6)	
Yes	Ref	
Use the internet		0.328
No	1.1 (0.9–1.5)	
Yes	Ref	
Age of sexual debut (years)		**0.006**
< 20	1.5 (1.1–1.9)	
20 or older	Ref	
Total number of sexual partners		**0.001**
1	1.5 (1.2–2.0)	
2 or more	Ref	
Had any STI (last 12 months)		**0.024**
No or don't know	1.8 (1.1–3.1)	
Yes	Ref	

Ref = Reference Category; AOR = Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI = Confidence Interval; *p*-value = 0.05

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the determinants of not testing for HIV among young adult women using a nationally representative sample in PNG. While the benefits of early HIV testing are widely recognised, research investigating the factors that influence whether young women in PNG have ever been tested for HIV is limited. In this study, marital status, wealth index, place of residence, region, reading of newspapers and magazines, listening to the radio, age of sexual debut, the total number of sexual partners, and having any STI in the last 12 months preceding the survey were significant determinants of never testing for HIV among young adult women.

More than two-thirds (71.8%) of young women were never tested for HIV. This finding aligns with previous studies from sub-Saharan Africa, [41] including Ethiopia and Nigeria, [21,22] which showed a significantly low proportion of HIV testing among young women. Such low uptake of HIV testing could be due to differences in HIV testing modalities implemented in the country to reach young women in the community. Another plausible reason is the lack of comprehensive and multi-sectorial policy and programmatic approaches that target young women to increase HIV testing. Strategies to optimise access to HIV testing need to shift from conventional facility-based testing to community- and home-based testing services. There is growing evidence that community- and home-based testing for HIV has been shown to improve testing coverage among young women through self-testing as well as linking them to ART treatment. [42–44] HIV self-testing could increase the proportion of people who have ever tested, increase testing frequency, and encourage earlier detection of HIV and thus earlier treatment. [45]

In this study, the majority (80%) of women were from poor households and reported to have never been tested for HIV. This finding supports the recent studies showing that young women, particularly in LMICs, encounter a heterogeneous risk for HIV in relation to their household socioeconomic conditions. [46,47] Adolescent girls and young women who are economically disadvantaged and from poor households are at increased risk for HIV, as they are less aware of HIV and its actual transmission mechanism and are less likely to use condoms with their sexual partners. [46] However, the authors of studies conducted in Malawi and Rwanda found an inverse association between rich households, higher education, and the uptake of HIV testing among young women. [48,49] While earlier studies in PNG have suggested strategies for reducing the gap between socioeconomic quintiles and health among young women, [31] there is still evidence of inequalities. Previous analyses of health inequalities on HIV-related indicators among young women and how these inequalities impact their health and well-being in the country remain ambiguous. Our findings suggest that there is an urgent need to tailor prevention

strategies for HIV and other STIs for this group that are sensitive to their socioeconomic context. The focus on improving access to HIV testing services should first be on conceptualising gender in a way that is inclusive, taking into account the diverse sociocultural context. Furthermore, there is a need to address barriers related to poor care-seeking behaviours through the provision of youth-friendly services.

The findings of this study show that young adult women living in rural PNG are twice as likely not to be tested for HIV compared to their urban counterparts, which corresponds with recent studies conducted in Ethiopia [22] and eastern Africa. [50] Low uptake of HIV testing could be due to the difficulty in accessing sexual and reproductive health and HIV services in primary healthcare facilities, including gender issues, societal norms, and a lack of HIV knowledge, as reported in other studies. [51–53] Contrary to the findings of our study, the authors of the Ethiopian study have reported an increased uptake of HIV testing among women in rural areas who have higher socio-economic status, non-farming occupations, female-headed households, live within close proximity of health facilities, and participate in community dialogues. [54] The uptake of HIV testing services in rural settings is low, and socio-economic, behavioural, and health service factors plausibly influence their utilisation. Interventions to improve HIV knowledge and the uptake of HIV testing need to target less educated young women from poor households.

Our study further shows that young adult women who had no access to at least one media platform (newspapers or radio) were less likely to have been tested for HIV. This confirms the findings from recent Ethiopian studies that a lack of media access and comprehensive HIV knowledge are associated with lower odds of HIV testing. [55,56] It is most likely that young women do not read newspapers or listen to the radio. However, recent evidence from a Rwandan study reveals that young women who have access to at least one media platform at least once a week (newspapers, TV, or radio) are more likely to have been tested for HIV. [49] Media exposure increases awareness about the importance of HIV testing and improves understanding of the prevention approach, which might encourage women to be tested for HIV. [55] The results of the study underscore the need to utilise various media platforms in disseminating HIV information to sensitise young women to constantly learn about the benefits of HIV prevention.

Findings from this study show that sexual behaviours that are known to have predisposed young women to HIV. Among young women who had ever had sex (72%), nearly two-thirds (61.3%) of adolescent women engaged in sexual debuts under the age of 20 and were not tested for HIV compared

to their counterparts. These findings corroborate earlier findings about low HIV testing prevalence among this age group in high-prevalence settings. [21] Individuals experience behavioral, cognitive, and psychosocial changes during the transition from childhood to adolescence (10–19 years). Sexuality is one of the major transformations that indicate a transition from childhood to adolescence and is characterised by a combination of anticipation and anxiety towards the first sexual experience. [57] The precocious sexual debut predisposes adolescent women to adverse psychological and health outcomes.

Regarding the number of sexual partners, women who had one sexual partner had lower odds of being tested for HIV compared with their counterparts. This finding is in contrast with other studies, [50] where women with multiple sex partners had a lower chance of being tested for HIV. The low uptake of HIV testing among young women in this study could be due to the perception that they have a lower risk of contracting HIV because they have one sexual partner. Another reason could be a fear of being stigmatised for disclosing their HIV-positive status to their partners, which could further trigger intimate partner violence, as reported in previous studies. [58,59] There are common notions that female biological vulnerability makes women more likely to contract HIV than men and that women's socio-economic marginalisation increases their HIV risk. [51] Violence against women in PNG is endemic and is intensified by the widespread perception and understanding that women are responsible for the spread of the virus. [51,60] Furthermore, one prominent psychosocial factor for HIV testing behaviour is perceived risk and those who may be more likely to test. However, for young women, those who perceive themselves to be most at risk for HIV might refuse or forgo testing out of fear of the consequences, such as loss of trust in friendship and family, the onset of violence, and stigma. [51,60]

In this study, participants who had no STIs in the last 12 months were likely not to have ever been tested for HIV. This finding highlights this group's lack of awareness and knowledge of STIs. Studies have shown that understanding of STIs in terms of acquisition, protection, prevention, and clinical signs and symptoms is low among young people. [61] Also, a lack of knowledge about STI screening and services could be one likely explanation for young women not knowing their STI statuses. There is growing evidence that lack of STI information and screening services, acceptability of services, fear of positive test results, fear of invasive procedures, the self-consciousness of genital examination, anticipated stigma, and confidentiality concerns are barriers to STI screening among young people. [62–64] In addition, young women may not have access to the required STI information and services to avoid infections or may be hesitant to access the facilities where information is available. This could be one possible reason for being unsure or not having any STIs. This study highlights the unmet need for STI screening among young adult women in PNG. Urgent efforts are needed to improve STI knowledge in

order to improve the uptake of sexual and reproductive health and STI services while taking into account social and cultural norms around gender and sexuality in this context.

Implications for practice and research

Increasing uptake of HIV testing and counselling among young women can lead to earlier diagnosis, linkage to ART, and retention in care. Interventions that are affordable, feasible, and acceptable for this population to increase access to and the likelihood of being tested remain imperative. To optimize testing coverage, it is necessary to determine young women's preferences about HIV testing modalities and where they choose to access the service. HIV testing options for young women that include self-testing are promising strategies that may overcome an array of access barriers in this context. In addition, sexual and reproductive health for young women remains crucial to increasing the uptake of HIV testing. There is a need for more sensitization programs for healthcare providers, for instance, education and training to sensitize them to reduce HIV-related fear and stigma among young women through user-friendly services. Given that the majority of young women do not seek HIV testing services in PNG, there is a critical need for implementation research on strategies for increasing access to testing, prevention, and treatment for this priority population. While the findings of this study have provided information about the proportion of young women who have never tested for HIV, it is also important to have an in-depth understanding of the barriers to HIV testing in this age group. A qualitative study is therefore warranted to assess the barriers and facilitators to HIV testing among this population. The findings of the qualitative study could inform the development of interventions to promote HIV testing among young women.

Conclusions

HIV testing among young adult women in PNG remained significantly low, with more than two-thirds of this population having never been tested for HIV. The determinants of not testing for HIV in PNG include socio-demographic factors, lack of exposure to media, having one sex partner, and not having had an STI in the past 12 months. These factors could allow the epidemic to intensify as young women with undiagnosed infections are not likely to be tested for HIV, adopt behaviour change and risk reduction strategies, or access ART treatment. Comprehensive and innovative strategies that are tailored to the determinants of not testing for HIV among this age group are needed. Furthermore, multi-sectorial policy and programmatic approaches from the government, civil society, and communities to strengthen community- and home-based testing to complement facility-based HIV

services and overcome barriers associated with the uptake of HIV testing among this population are thus warranted.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the ICF International and DHS Program for granting us permission to use the 2016–2018 PNGDHS dataset to conduct this study.

References

1. UNAIDS. Global HIV statistics: Fact sheet 2022 [Internet]. 2022. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/fact-sheet
2. UNAIDS. UNAIDS Data 2021 [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland: UNAIDS; 2021. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2021/2021_unaids_data
3. Karim SSA, Baxter C. HIV incidence rates in adolescent girls and young women in sub-Saharan Africa. The Lancet Global Health. 2019 Nov 1;7(11):e1470–1.
4. Stoner MCD, Nguyen N, Kilburn K, Gómez-Olivé FX, Edwards JK, Selin A, et al. Age-disparate partnerships and incident HIV infection in adolescent girls and young women in rural South Africa. AIDS. 2019 Jan 27;33(1):83–91.
5. Judd A, Foster C, Thompson LC, Sturgeon K, Le Prevost M, Jungmann E, et al. Sexual health of young people with perinatal HIV and HIV negative young people in England. PLOS ONE. 2018 Oct 12;13(10):e0205597.
6. Mkumba LS, Nassali M, Benner J, Ritchwood TD. Sexual and reproductive health needs of young people living with HIV in low- and middle-income countries: a scoping review. Reproductive Health. 2021 Nov 5;18(1):219.
7. Ng'eno BN, Kellogg TA, Kim AA, Mwangi A, Mwangi M, Wamicwe J, et al. Modes of HIV transmission among adolescents and young adults aged 10-24 years in Kenya. Int J STD AIDS. 2018/02/28 ed. 2018 Jul;29(8):800–5.
8. De Veauuse Brown NF, Annor FB, Swahn MH, Self-Brown SR. Sexual Violence Experience Among Nigerian Girls and Young Women: What Are the Roles of Early Sexual Debut, Multiple Sex Partnerships, and Traditional Gender Role Beliefs? J Interpers Violence. 2022 Mar 1;37(5–6):NP2747–67.
9. Sardinha L, Maheu-Giroux M, Stöckl H, Meyer SR, García-Moreno C. Global, regional, and national prevalence estimates of physical or sexual, or both, intimate partner violence against women in 2018. The Lancet. 2022 Feb 26;399(10327):803–13.
10. World Health Organization. Violence against women prevalence estimates, 2018: global, regional and national prevalence estimates for intimate partner violence against women and global and regional prevalence estimates for non-partner sexual violence against women. World Health Organization; 2021.

11. Annor FB, Chiang LF, Oluoch PR, Mang'oli V, Mogaka M, Mwangi M, et al. Changes in prevalence of violence and risk factors for violence and HIV among children and young people in Kenya: a comparison of the 2010 and 2019 Kenya Violence Against Children and Youth Surveys. The Lancet Global Health. 2022 Jan 1;10(1):e124–33.

12. Koenig LJ, Hoyer D, Purcell DW, Zaza S, Mermin J. Young People and HIV: A Call to Action. Am J Public Health. 2016 Mar 1;106(3):402–5.

13. Brown R, Kismödi E, Khosla R, Malla S, Asuagbor L, Andión-Ibanez X, et al. A sexual and reproductive health and rights journey: from Cairo to the present. Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters. 2019 Jan 1;27(1):326–8.

14. Imo CK, Odimegwu CO, De Wet-Billings N. Women's attitudes towards negotiating safe sexual practices in Nigeria: Do family structure and decision-making autonomy play a role? BMC Women's Health. 2022 Jan 22;22(1):16.

15. Mabaso M, Sokhela Z, Mohlabane N, Chibi B, Zuma K, Simbayi L. Determinants of HIV infection among adolescent girls and young women aged 15-24 years in South Africa: a 2012 population-based national household survey. BMC Public Health. 2018 Jan 26;18(1):183–183.

16. Seidu AA, Aboagye RG, Okyere J, Agbemavi W, Akpeke M, Budu E, et al. Women's autonomy in household decision-making and safer sex negotiation in sub-Saharan Africa: An analysis of data from 27 Demographic and Health Surveys. SSM - Population Health. 2021 Jun 1;14:100773.

17. World Health Organization. Consolidated guidelines on HIV testing services, 2019 [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2020. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/336323/9789241550581-eng.pdf

18. Ryan S, Hahn E, Rao A, Mwinnyaa G, Black J, Maharaj R, et al. The impact of HIV knowledge and attitudes on HIV testing acceptance among patients in an emergency department in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. BMC Public Health. 2020 Jul 6;20(1):1066.

19. Scott-Sheldon LA, Carey MP, Carey KB, Cain D, Simbayi LC, Mehlomakhulu V, et al. HIV testing is associated with increased knowledge and reductions in sexual risk behaviours among men in Cape Town, South Africa. null. 2013 Dec 1;12(4):195–201.

20. Sanga ES, Lerebo W, Mushi AK, Clowes P, Olomi W, Maboko L, et al. Linkage into care among newly diagnosed HIV-positive individuals tested through outreach and facility-based HIV testing models in Mbeya, Tanzania: a prospective mixed-method cohort study. BMJ Open. 2017 Apr 1;7(4):e013733.

21. Ajayi AI, Awopegba OE, Adeagbo OA, Ushie BA. Low coverage of HIV testing among adolescents and young adults in Nigeria: Implication for achieving the UNAIDS first 95. PLOS ONE. 2020 May 19;15(5):e0233368.

22. Bekele YA, Fekadu GA. Factors associated with HIV testing among young females; further analysis of the 2016 Ethiopian demographic and health survey data. PLOS ONE. 2020 Feb 11;15(2):e0228783.

23. MacCarthy S, Saya U, Samba C, Birungi J, Okoboi S, Linnemayr S. “How am I going to live?”: exploring barriers to ART adherence among adolescents and young adults living with HIV in Uganda. BMC Public Health. 2018 Oct 4;18(1):1158.

24. MacKellar DA, Hou SI, Whalen CC, Samuelsen K, Sanchez T, Smith A, et al. Reasons for Not HIV Testing, Testing Intentions, and Potential Use of an Over-the-Counter Rapid HIV Test in an Internet Sample of Men Who Have Sex With Men Who Have Never Tested for HIV. Sexually Transmitted Diseases [Internet]. 2011;38(5). Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/44981325

25. Sanga Z, Kapanda G, Msuya S, Mwangi R. Factors influencing the uptake of Voluntary HIV Counseling and Testing among secondary school students in Arusha City, Tanzania: a cross sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2015 May 2;15(1):452.

26. Grundy J, Dakulala P, Wai K, Maalsen A, Whittaker M. Independent State of Papua New Guinea Health System Review. World Health Organization. Regional Office for South-East Asia; 2019.

27. World Health Organization. HIV data and statistics in the Western Pacific: HIV in the Region [Internet]. 2022. Available from: https://www.who.int/westernpacific/health-topics/hiv-aids/regional-data

28. UNAIDS. Country Factsheet: HIV and AIDS Estimates 2021 [Internet]. Country: Papua New Guinea. 2022. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/papuanewguinea

29. Gray N, Azzopardi P, Kennedy E, Willersdorf E, Creati M. Improving Adolescent Reproductive Health in Asia and the Pacific: Do We Have the Data? A Review of DHS and MICS Surveys in Nine Countries. Asia Pac J Public Health. 2013;25(2):134–44.

30. Eves R. Marital sexual violence and conjugality in highlands Papua New Guinea. Culture, Health & Sexuality. 2020 Jun 24;1–15.

31. Hinton R, Earnest J. The right to health: Overcoming inequalities and barriers to women’s health in Papua New Guinea. Women’s Studies International Forum. 2010 May 1;33(3):180–7.

32. Kelly-Hanku A, Aggleton P, Boli-Neo R. Practical justice as an innovative approach to addressing inequalities facing gender and sexually diverse people: a case example from Papua New Guinea. Culture, Health & Sexuality. 2020 Jul 2;22(7):822–37.

33. Shih P, Worth H, Travaglia J, Kelly-Hanku A. ‘Good culture, bad culture’: polygyny, cultural change and structural drivers of HIV in Papua New Guinea. Culture, Health & Sexuality. 2017 Sep 2;19(9):1024–37.

34. National AIDS Council Secretariat (NACS). PNG National STI and HIV Strategy 2018-2022. NACS: Port Moresby, PNG; 2018.

35. Gare J, Kelly-Hanku A, Ryan CE, David M, Kaima P, Imara U, et al. Factors Influencing Antiretroviral Adherence and Virological Outcomes in People Living with HIV in the Highlands of Papua New Guinea. PLoS One. 2015 Aug 5;10(8):e0134918–e0134918.

36. Kelly A, Worth H, Man N, Nosi S, Emori R, Mek A, et al. Barriers and Facilitators for Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy in Papua New Guinea. Current HIV Research. 2010;8(8):630–7.

37. Mitchell E, Hakim A, Nosi S, Kupul M, Boli-Neo R, Aeno H, et al. A socio-ecological analysis of factors influencing HIV treatment initiation and adherence among key populations in Papua New Guinea. BMC Public Health. 2021 Nov 4;21(1):2003.

38. UNAIDS. Young people and HIV [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland: UNAIDS; 2021. Available from: https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/young-people-and-hiv_en.pdf

39. National Statistics Office (NSO) [PNG], ICF. Papua New Guinea Demographic and Health Survey 2016-18 [Internet]. Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea & Rockville, Maryland, USA: NSO and ICF; 2019. Available from: https://dhsprogram.com/methodology/survey/survey-display-499.cfm

40. Sun GW, Shook TL, Kay GL. Inappropriate use of bivariable analysis to screen risk factors for use in multivariable analysis. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 1996 Aug 1;49(8):907–16.

41. Asaolu IO, Gunn JK, Center KE, Koss MP, Iwelunmor JI, Ehiri JE. Predictors of HIV Testing among Youth in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Cross-Sectional Study. PLOS ONE. 2016 Oct 5;11(10):e0164052.

42. Sibanda EL, Neuman M, Tumushime M, Mangenah C, Hatzold K, Watadzaushe C, et al. Community-based HIV self-testing: a cluster-randomised trial of supply-side financial incentives and time-trend analysis of linkage to antiretroviral therapy in Zimbabwe. BMJ Glob Health. 2021 Jul;6(Suppl 4):e003866.

43. Kyaddondo D, Wanyenze RK, Kinsman J, Hardon A. Home-based HIV counseling and testing: Client experiences and perceptions in Eastern Uganda. BMC Public Health. 2012 Nov 12;12(1):966.

44. Sekandi JN, Sempeera H, List J, Mugerwa MA, Asiimwe S, Yin X, et al. High acceptance of home-based HIV counseling and testing in an urban community setting in Uganda. BMC Public Health. 2011 Sep 26;11(1):730.

45. Johnson CC, Kennedy C, Fonner V, Siegfried N, Figueroa C, Dalal S, et al. Examining the effects of HIV self-testing compared to standard HIV testing services: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of the International AIDS Society. 2017 Jan 1;20(1):21594.

46. Gutiérrez JP, Trossero A. Socioeconomic inequalities in HIV knowledge, HIV testing, and condom use among adolescent and young women in Latin America and the Caribbean. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2021;45:e47.

47. Mabaso M, Sokhela Z, Mohlabane N, Chibi B, Zuma K, Simbayi L. Determinants of HIV infection among adolescent girls and young women aged 15-24 years in South Africa: a 2012 population-based national household survey. BMC Public Health. 2018 Jan 26;18(1):183–183.

48. Mandiwa C, Namondwe B, Munthali M. Prevalence and correlates of comprehensive HIV/AIDS knowledge among adolescent girls and young women aged 15–24 years in Malawi: evidence

from the 2015–16 Malawi demographic and health survey. BMC Public Health. 2021 Aug 4;21(1):1508.

49. Musekiwa A, Silinda P, Bamogo A, Twabi HS, Mohammed M, Batidzirai JM, et al. Prevalence and factors associated with self-reported HIV testing among adolescent girls and young women in Rwanda: evidence from 2019/20 Rwanda Demographic and Health Survey. BMC Public Health. 2022 Jul 1;22(1):1281.

50. Worku MG, Teshale AB, Tesema GA. Prevalence and associated factors of HIV testing among young (15–24) women in eastern Africa: a multilevel analysis of demographic health survey data (2008-2018). Archives of Public Health. 2022 Apr 11;80(1):117.

51. Eves R. Masculinity matters: Men, gender-based violence and the AIDS epidemic in Papua New Guinea. Civic Insecurity: Law, Order and HIV in Papua New Guinea. 2010;1:47–79.

52. Redman-MacLaren ML, Mills J, Tommbe R, MacLaren DJ, Speare R, McBride WJ. Women and HIV in a moderate prevalence setting: an integrative review. BMC Public Health. 2013 Jun 6;13(1):552.

53. McCall C. In Papua New Guinea, HIV/AIDS-related stigma is still rife. The Lancet. 2016 Jan 16;387(10015):215–6.

54. Teklehaimanot HD, Teklehaimanot A, Yohannes M, Biratu D. Factors influencing the uptake of voluntary HIV counseling and testing in rural Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2016 Mar 8;16(1):239.

55. Diress G, Ahmed M, Adane S, Linger M, Alemnew B. Barriers and Facilitators for HIV Testing Practice Among Ethiopian Women Aged 15-24 years: Analysis of the 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey. HIV/AIDS - Research and Palliative Care. 2020 Dec 31;12:963–70.

56. Alem AZ, Liyew AM, Guadie HA. Spatial pattern and associated factors of HIV testing and counselling among youths (15–24 years) in Ethiopia. BMC Public Health. 2021 Apr 1;21(1):644.

57. Olesen TB, Jensen KE, Nygård M, Tryggvadottir L, Sparén P, Hansen BT, et al. Young age at first intercourse and risk-taking behaviours—a study of nearly 65 000 women in four Nordic countries. European Journal of Public Health. 2012 Apr 1;22(2):220–4.

58. Colombini M, James C, Ndwiga C, Integra team, Mayhew SH. The risks of partner violence following HIV status disclosure, and health service responses: narratives of women attending reproductive health services in Kenya. Journal of the International AIDS Society. 2016 Jan 1;19(1):20766.

59. Mengwai K, Madiba S, Modjadji P. Low Disclosure Rates to Sexual Partners and Unsafe Sexual Practices of Youth Recently Diagnosed with HIV; Implications for HIV Prevention Interventions in South Africa. Healthcare. 2020;8(3).

60. Richardson G, Zardoni C, Martin ML, Treminio S. Gender-Based Violence in the Highlands of Papua New Guinea: A Literature Review. 2021;

61. Malli IA, Kabli BA, Alhakami LA. Sexually Transmitted Diseases among Saudi Women: Knowledge and Misconceptions. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2023;20(6).

62. Avuvika E, Masese LN, Wanje G, Wanyonyi J, Nyaribo B, Omoni G, et al. Barriers and Facilitators of Screening for Sexually Transmitted Infections in Adolescent Girls and Young Women in Mombasa, Kenya: A Qualitative Study. PLoS One. 2017;12(1):e0169388.

63. Denison HJ, Bromhead C, Grainger R, Dennison EM, Jutel A. Barriers to sexually transmitted infection testing in New Zealand: a qualitative study. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health. 2017 Aug 1;41(4):432–7.

64. Newton-Levinson A, Leichliter JS, Chandra-Mouli V. Sexually Transmitted Infection Services for Adolescents and Youth in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Perceived and Experienced Barriers to Accessing Care. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2016 Jul 1;59(1):7–16.

Supplementary materials

STROBE Checklist

Footnotes

Contributors: MKM conceptualized and designed the study. MKM and FWK conducted statistical analyses. MKM drafted the original manuscript. FWK contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript. MKM and FWK reviewed and edited the manuscript. All the authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript. MKM is the guarantor and accepts full responsibility for the work.

Funding: The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests: None declared.

Patient and public involvement: Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication: Not required.

Ethics approval: The 2016–2018 PNGDHS protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of ICF and Medical Research Advisory Committee of PNG. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before the interviews were conducted. For this study, a formal request to use the raw data was obtain from the DHS program. Permission was granted by the DHS program to access the data. The data were anonymized when they were made available for analysis.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement: The 2016–2018 PNGDHS data are publicly available and can be obtained upon a registration-access request from the DHS Program website at https://dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm.