Profiling small RNAs in CRC screening samples such as the widely used fecal immunochemical test, is it possible?

Einar Birkeland[1]*, Giulio Ferrero[2,3]*, Barbara Pardini[4,5], Sinan U. Umu[6], Sonia Tarallo[4,5], Sara Bulfamante[7], Geir Hoff[8, 9], Carlo Senore[7], Trine B Rounge[10,11]*, Alessio Naccarati[4,5]*

*Equal contributions

Affiliations

1. Centre for Bioinformatics, Department of Informatics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

2. Dept. of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy.

3. Dept. of Computer Science, University of Turin, Turin, Italy.

4. Italian Institute for Genomic Medicine (IIGM), c/o IRCCS Candiolo, Turin, Italy.

5. Candiolo Cancer Institute, FPO-IRCCS, Candiolo, Turin, Italy.

6. Department of Pathology, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Norway

7. Epidemiology and Screening Unit-CPO, University Hospital Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin 10100, Italy.

8. Section for colorectal cancer screening, Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo University Hospital, Norway

9. Dept of Research, Telemark Hospital, Skien, Norway

10. Department of Research, Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway.

11. Centre for Bioinformatics, Department of Pharmacy, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

Corresponding authors: Trine B Rounge, trinro@uio.no and Alessio Naccarati, alessio.naccarati@iigm.it

Keywords: Small RNA sequencing, Fecal Immunochemical Test (FIT), colorectal cancer screening, stool biomarkers, microbiome, microRNAs

Abstract

Faecal microRNAs represent promising molecules with potential clinical interest as non-

invasive diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for their stability and detectability. Colorectal

cancer (CRC) screening based on the fecal immunochemical test (FIT) is an effective tool for

prevention of cancer development. However, due to the poor sensitivity of FIT for premalignant

lesions, there is a need for implementation of complementary tests. Improving the identification

of individuals who would benefit from further investigation with colonoscopy using molecular

analysis, such as miRNA profiling of the FIT leftover buffer, would be ideal due to its

widespread use.

In the present study, we applied small RNA sequencing to FIT leftover samples collected from

two European screening populations. We showed robust detection of miRNA and microbial

profiles, which were similar to those obtained from specimens sampled using RNA stabilising

buffers and archived fecal samples. Detected miRNAs exhibited differential abundance

between CRC and control samples that was consistent between sampling methods,

suggesting a promising potential to identify small RNA CRC biomarkers using FIT leftovers.

We demonstrated that it is possible to analyse gut miRNAs in FIT leftover samples and

envision that these potential biomarkers can complement the FIT in large scale screening

settings.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common malignancy and the second leading cause

of cancer-related deaths(1). The promotion of healthy lifestyles and dietary choices, the

development of new strategies for disease management, and the implementation of global

screening programs are some of the strategies to reduce CRC morbidity and mortality.

Screening selected age groups at risk is considered the most effective tool to prevent CRC

development by detecting early tumor forms and precancerous lesions(2). In many European

countries, the first step of CRC screening relies on non-invasive stool-based tests such as

fecal immunochemical test (FIT). If the test is positive, patients are invited to visual

examinations based on invasive endoscopic methods, such as colonoscopy. The advantage

of first-line FIT is the relatively low-cost and ease of execution compared to colonoscopy. The

FIT does not require specific preparation or dietary restriction and consequently has high

acceptance rates. However, due to its poor sensitivity for premalignant lesions and the burden

associated with an excessive number of colonoscopy procedures, different countries adopt

thresholds for FIT positivity that are suited to their colonoscopy capacity, in a balancing act

between sensitivity and specificity.

This highlights the need for alternative biomarkers to improve CRC screening accuracy. The

implementation of complementary tests based on the analysis of the leftover of FIT stool

samples could help improve in the identification of those individuals that would benefit from

further investigation by colonoscopy. Both observational and experimental evidence point to

a role for the gut microbiome in development and progression of CRC (3). We have shown

that it is possible to profile the microbiome in FIT leftover samples and archived stool samples

(4). However, larger discovery studies are needed to identify clinically valuable biomarkers(5).

Small noncoding RNAs (sRNAs), particularly microRNAs (miRNAs), are detectable and stable

in stool samples and are emerging as a candidate source of biomarkers for the non-invasive

diagnosis of gastrointestinal diseases, including CRC(6). Using small RNA sequencing, we

demonstrated the possibility to quantify the levels of both human and microbial sRNAs in

human stool samples. Interestingly, the combined use of human and microbial sRNA levels

was more efficient than using the two biomarkers alone in classifying CRC patients from

colonoscopy-negative control subjects(7).

While we have shown that gut derived miRNAs are potential biomarkers for CRC, little is

known about the possibility of measuring them in a screening population by using the FIT

buffer leftovers. In this study, carried out in two independent European laboratories, we

showed not only the feasibility of small RNA sequencing in FIT samples but we also tested

the profiling robustness by comparing sequencing data in FIT samples with feces collected in

stabilising buffers and long term archived fecal samples. In addition, we showed that some

gut miRNAs differed in abundance between CRC and controls, suggesting a potential for

discovering CRC biomarkers.

Material and Methods

Cohorts and samples

BCSN - FIT: Bowel Cancer Screening in Norway (BCSN) is an ongoing (2012-) randomized

trial comparing once-only sigmoidoscopy with repeated FIT tests every second year for up to

four rounds. The study is a pilot for the national screening program(8). Stool samples are

collected on plastic sticks designed to catch about 10µg stool and then stored in a 2ml buffer

(Eiken Chemicals Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Thirteen FIT samples from anonymized subjects were

randomly selected for the purpose of this feasibility study.

NORCCAP - stool: The Norwegian Colorectal Cancer Prevention (NORCCAP) screening trial

was carried out from 1999 to 2001(9). Participants were asked to bring a fresh frozen stool

specimen collected at home less than one week before sigmoidoscopy and to keep in a 20ml

vial in their home deep freezer (-20˚C) until attendance for flexible sigmoidoscopy. Eleven

anonymized stool samples were randomly selected.

MITOS - FIT and stool: The Italian biological samples have been collected in the frame of the

regular Piedmont Region CRC screening in the Microbiome and MiRNA in Torino Screening

project (MITOS). The Piedmont Region screening program invites all residents, aged 59-69 to

undergo a single sample biennial FIT (Eiken Chemicals Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). A total of 185

subjects (based on colonoscopy results classified in 22 CRC, 80 advanced adenoma (AA), 30

non-advanced adenoma (nAA), and 53 controls) were included in the present study. Among

them, 57 subjects also provided stool samples collected in nucleic acid collection and transport

tubes with RNA stabilising solution (Norgen Biotek Corp.) before undergoing colonoscopy.

small RNA extraction and library preparation

FIT stool samples were obtained from buffer leftovers contained in the original collection

device (approx. 1ml). NORCCAP feces was thawed and homogenized in a buffer (Omnigene-

GUT, DNAgenotek). For BCSN FIT and NORCCAP stool samples, RNA was extracted from

200µl buffer leftovers and buffer mix, respectively. RNA was purified using phenol-chloroform

phase separation and miRNeasy Mini Kit (cat. no. 217004, QIAgen).

For the MITOS cohort, total RNA from stool and FIT leftover samples was extracted using the

Stool Total RNA Purification Kit (Norgen Biotek Corp.) as previously described(7).

sRNA transcripts were converted into barcoded cDNA libraries with the NEBNext Multiplex

Small RNA Library Prep Set for Illumina following the NEBNext Multiplex Small RNA Library

Prep Protocol E7330 (Protocol E7330, New England BioLabs Inc., USA)(7, 10).

The size selection of purified RNA fragments for the MITOS cohort was performed as

described in (7). For BCSN and NORCCAP samples the size selection was performed with a

cut size optimized to cover RNA molecules from 17 to 47 nt in length. Small RNA libraries

were indexed and sequenced on Illumina platforms.

Bioinformatics and statistical analysis

Quantification of reads mapping to miRBase v22.1 miRNA sequences was performed using

the smrnaseq pipeline (https://nf-co.re/smrnaseq) with reads prefiltered using fastp and the

skip_mirdeep option. Reads unmapped on these annotations were aligned against the human

hg38 genome using Bowtie2 with *–very-sensitive-local* option. The human-unmapped reads

were mapped against microbial genomes using Kraken 2 (v2.1.2) as described in(7).

Reads mapping to miRNAs were normalized and Differential Expression (DE) analyses were

performed using the DESeq2. Differential abundance analysis of reads mapped to microbes

was performed with SIAMCAT with default settings. For more details, see Supplementary

Methods.

Results and discussion

Cohort and alignment statistics

Fecal samples collected with different sampling, storage, and processing procedures were analysed to identify stably expressed miRNAs with a potential to be used as CRC biomarkers (**Figure 1A**). From the MITOS cohort, two sample types were collected for each individual: stool samples collected in RNA-stabilising buffer, and leftover buffer derived from CRC-screening FIT samples. Two sets of anonymized Norwegian samples were also assessed: archived stool samples stored without stabilising buffer from the NORCCAP study, and leftover buffer from Norwegian CRC-screening FIT samples from the BCSN trial.

As reported in **Supplementary Table 1A** and **B**, a mean of 0.12% of small RNA sequencing reads (range: 0.003-0.062%) were assigned to miRNAs in stool samples, while a mean of 0.15% reads from FIT leftovers were assigned to miRNAs (range:0.03-0.61%). Considering 10 as the minimum number of normalised reads to define a miRNA as detected, on average 63 (range: 32-235) and 41 (range: 16-191) miRNAs were detected in stool and FIT leftover samples, respectively (**Supplementary Table 1A-B**). Still, when accounting for differences in sequencing depth by rarefaction, no differences in the number of miRNAs detected were found between sampling groups (p>0.1). The stool miRNAs detected in the MITOS cohort samples included most of the annotations observed in previous analyses performed on the same samples analysed using a different pipeline(7).

For two FIT leftover samples, the small RNA sequencing was performed on libraries generated from two different amounts of starting material (250 and 400 µl). Comparing the rate of miRNA-mapped reads, similar rates were observed (0.4-0.9% of mapped reads) with an average of 33 miRNAs (range: 31-34) detected in each experiment. No significant differences were observed among the miRNA levels measured in such experiments and, as expected, the levels of detected molecules were significantly correlated (rho=0.43-0.60, p<0.001) (**Supplementary Figure 1A** and **Supplementary Table 1C**). The intra-individual correlation between miRNA levels was higher than among different individuals (**Supplementary Figure**

1B). Taken together all fecal/FIT samples collected in all cohorts, t FIT leftover samples differed systematically from stool samples (see PCA analysis in **Figure 1B)**.

Since the 57 stool samples from the MITOS cohort were collected from the same subjects donating FIT leftover samples, a paired comparative analysis was performed between the miRNA levels measured in the two biospecimens. The analysis was focused on the levels of 23 miRNAs that were consistently identified across both stool and FIT samples (exceeding a median of 10 normalised reads; **Figure 1C** and **Supplementary Table 1D**). These miRNAs correspond well with the most abundant miRNAs in circulation, including miR-1246, -320, -21-5p,-1290,-148a-3p being among the top 10 abundant miRNAs in serum samples[11]. As reported in **Supplementary Table 1D**, 57% of these miRNAs were characterised by levels positively correlated (average rho=0.36, $p < 0.05$) between the two biospecimens. Among them, miR-4713-3p, miR-1246, and miR-192-5p were characterised by the highest correlation. All the 23 miRNAs were also detected in the Norwegian cohorts (**Figure 1C)**, despite the different sampling, preservation, and RNA extraction procedures. Moreover, there was a positive correlation between FIT and stool samples for the mean normalised abundance of miRNAs (rho=0.78; **Figure 1D**), which was also found in the Norwegian samples (rho=0.52) (**Figure 1D** and **Supplementary Figure 1C**).

miRNA differential expression in MITOS stool and FIT samples

DE analysis was performed between MITOS-stool and MITOS-FIT miRNA levels detected in subjects with CRC or AA with respect to colonoscopy-negative subjects. Considering the 23 miRNAs detected in both biofluids, 12 were associated with significantly different levels in stool samples from CRC/AA patients (adj. $p < 0.05$; **Supplementary Table 1E**). Comparing separately AA and CRC patients with colonoscopy-negative subjects, four and eight DE miRNAs were observed, respectively. Two miRNAs (miR-192-5p, and let-7b-5p) were DE in both comparisons. Conversely, four miRNAs were significantly more (let-7b-5p and miR-148a-3p) and less (miR-4451 and miR-11399) abundant in FIT leftover samples of CRC patients with respect to colonoscopy-negative subjects (**Supplementary Table 1F**). The levels of the

23 miRNAs were characterised by a coherent difference in both MITOS-stool and MITOS-FIT

samples (rho=0.69, p<0.001) (**Figure 1E-F**).

Functional analysis of DE miRNA target genes showed the prevalence of terms related to cell

cycle regulation and DNA-damage response for the targets of miRNAs with increased levels

in CRC patients (**Supplementary Table 1G-H**). Conversely, targets of miRNAs decreasing in

patient samples were enriched in processes related to apoptosis, unfolded protein stress

response, and immune response (**Supplementary Table 1G-H**).

Microbial classification/diversity

After the identification of human miRNAs, the remaining reads were aligned against the human

genome and the subsequent unmapped reads were investigated for their microbial sRNA

content. This approach classified reads in the range of 36-40 nt, of which 38.5% and 37.4%

were   classified   in   the   MITOS   stool   and   FIT   samples,   respectively.

Given the previous evidence on the concordance between microbial abundances estimated

by small RNA sequencing and metagenomic data(7), the human-unmapped sRNA-Seq reads

were used to infer the microbial abundance in our data. Overall, FIT samples displayed a

higher abundance of taxa belonging to the *Bacteroidetes* phylum, whereas stool samples were

dominated by *Firmicutes* (**Figure 2A-B**), with the composition of stool and FIT samples

differing significantly (PERMANOVA p < 0.05; **Figure 2C**). This could indicate a differential

sensitivity of bacteria to the buffer components in the FIT and NORGEN buffers, where the

former has a relatively high concentration of the potent antimicrobial compound sodium azide

(12). Still, at the species level, there was concordance between FIT and stool samples (**Figure

2D**). Within the MITOS study subjects, we assessed differences in microbial taxa between

colonoscopy-negative and either CRC, AA, or CRC/AA. Only one species - *Filimonas lacunae*

- was found to be significantly more abundant in stool samples collected from CRC cases than

in controls, with nominal significance also observed in FIT samples. Like for human miRNAs,

the direction and magnitude of differences in taxa abundance between CRC cases and

colonoscopy-negative subjects were consistent in stool and FIT samples (rho = 0.48, p

<0.001).

Conclusions

Taken together, our results show that by using small RNA sequencing we can profile both

stool miRNAs and microbial taxa in the left-over FIT buffer used in CRC screening. The

consistent levels of miRNAs between sampling methods suggest that FIT may be used for

miRNA biomarker research in large scale screening settings. This feasibility study also

confirms that the alterations in gut miRNA levels in CRC patients observed in FIT samples

may be used to detect miRNAs in FIT as biomarkers to improve screening performance.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

223 For the MITOS cohort the study design and the informed consent were approved by the local

224 Ethics committee (AOU Città della salute e della Scienza di Torino, Italy). For the NORCCAP

225 and BCSN cohorts anonymized samples were used. This was approved by the Norwegian

226 Regional Ethics Committee - South-East (REC) projects, S-98052 and 2011/1272,

227 respectively.

Availability of data and materials

230 The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the

231 corresponding author on reasonable request.

Competing interests

234 The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

This work was supported by the Italian Institute for Genomic Medicine (IIGM) and Compagnia

di San Paolo Torino, Italy (to AN, BP, and ST). This project has received funding from the

European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No

825410 (ONCOBIOME project to AN, BP, and ST). The research leading to these results has

received funding from AIRC under IG 2020 - ID. 24882 – P.I. Naccarati Alessio Gordon (to

AN) and under IG2019 - ID. 23473 - P.I. Senore Carlo (to CS). This project also received

funding from the Norwegian Cancer Society open call 2017 (190179) to TBR and 2018

(198048) to TBR and from the Cancer Registry of Norways funds to TBR.

Authors' contributions

Concept and design (TBR, AN);

Collection and assembly of the data (GH, TBR, AN, CS);

Experimental tasks, data analysis and interpretation (EB, GF, BP, SUU);

Provisions of study materials or patients (GH, CS, SB);

Manuscript writing (EB, GF, BP, TBR, and AN);

Manuscript editing (All);

Final approval of the version (All).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Jan Inge Nordby for performing laboratory analyses for the Norwegian

samples and the sequencing service was provided by the Norwegian Sequencing Centre

(https://www.sequencing.uio.no), a national technology platform hosted by Oslo University

Hospital and the University of Oslo supported by the Research Council of Norway and the

Southeastern Regional Health Authority.

References

1. Y. Xi, P. Xu, Global colorectal cancer burden in 2020 and projections to 2040. *Transl*

Oncol **14**, 101174 (2021).

2. M. Buskermolen *et al.*, Colorectal cancer screening with faecal immunochemical

testing, sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy: a microsimulation modelling study. *Bmj* **367**,

l5383 (2019).

3. J. L. A. Vleugels, Y. Hazewinkel, P. Fockens, E. Dekker, Natural history of diminutive

and small colorectal polyps: a systematic literature review. *Gastrointest Endosc* **85**,

1169-1176 e1161 (2017).

4. T. B. Rounge *et al.*, Evaluating gut microbiota profiles from archived fecal samples.

BMC Gastroenterol **18**, 171 (2018).

5. A. S. Kvaerner *et al.*, The CRCbiome study: a large prospective cohort study examining

the role of lifestyle and the gut microbiome in colorectal cancer screening participants.

BMC Cancer **21**, 930 (2021).

6. T. S. Francavilla A, Pardini B, Naccarati A, Fecal microRNAs as non-invasive

biomarkers for the detection of colorectal cancer: a systematic review. *Minerva*

Biotecnologica **31**, 30-42 (2019).

7. S. Tarallo *et al.*, Altered Fecal Small RNA Profiles in Colorectal Cancer Reflect Gut

Microbiome Composition in Stool Samples. *mSystems* **4** (2019).

8. M. M. Zhu *et al.*, Comparison of immunochemical and guaiac-based fecal occult blood

test in screening and surveillance for advanced colorectal neoplasms: a meta-analysis.

J Dig Dis **11**, 148-160 (2010).

9. M. Bretthauer *et al.*, Design, organization and management of a controlled population

screening study for detection of colorectal neoplasia: attendance rates in the

NORCCAP study (Norwegian Colorectal Cancer Prevention). *Scand J Gastroenterol*

37, 568-573 (2002).

10. G. Ferrero *et al.*, Small non-coding RNA profiling in human biofluids and surrogate

tissues from healthy individuals: description of the diverse and most represented

species. *Oncotarget* **9**, 3097-3111 (2018).

11. S. U. Umu *et al.*, A comprehensive profile of circulating RNAs in human serum. *RNA*

Biol **15**, 242-250 (2018).

12.   S. Zouiouich *et al.*, Comparison of Fecal Sample Collection Methods for Microbial

Analysis Embedded within Colorectal Cancer Screening Programs. *Cancer Epidemiol*

Biomarkers Prev **31**, 305-314 (2022).

Figure legends:

Figure 1. A. Graphical representation of the study. **B**. PCA of samples based on mature

miRNA read counts. **C.** Bar plot reporting, for each cohort and sample type, the average levels

of the miRNAs associated with the highest levels in MITOS FIT-leftover samples. **D.** Mean

normalised abundance of miRNAs in paired MITOS-FIT (x-axis) and MITOS-Stool (y-axis)

samples. Each point represents a miRNA coloured based on the Spearman correlation

coefficient for comparison of paired samples. Only miRNAs detected in at least 15% of each

sample type were included in this analysis. **E.** Scatterplot showing the log2FC of expression

computed considering MITOS-stool (x-axis) and MITOS-FIT-leftover (y-axis) miRNA levels in

samples from CRC patients with respect to those from colonoscopy-negative subjects. **F.**

Heatmap of the Z-score-normalised DE miRNA levels in MITOS-stool and MITOS-FIT-leftover

samples. Neg, colonoscopy negative subjects; AA, advanced adenoma; nAA, non-advanced

adenoma; CRC, colorectal cancer.

Figure 2. **A-B.** Classification of reads according to microbial taxa. Relative abundance of phyla

in FIT-leftover and stool samples from MITOS (**A**) and NOR (**B**) cohorts. **C.** Principal

coordinate (PCo) plot of FIT and stool samples (including unpaired samples). **D**. Mean relative

abundance of species in stool samples (x-axis) and FIT samples (y-axis), coloured according

to the Spearman's rho of the correlation between the relative abundances in paired FIT and

stool samples. The solid diagonal line represents equal abundance in either biospecimen type.