medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.25.23289085; this version posted June 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

HIV post-exposure prophylaxis adherence due to needle stick and sharp injuries.

Authors

Moses Odhiambo Osoo, BSC[1*], George Ochieng' Otieno, MD, MMED[2], Peter Halestrap, MD, MMED[1,3]

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Research, AIC Kijabe Hospital, Kijabe, Kenya,[2]Department of Internal Medicine, AIC Kijabe Hospital, Kijabe, Kenya,[3]Department of Family Medicine, AIC Kijabe Hospital, Kijabe, Kenya

[*]Corresponding author

Moses Odhiambo Osoo,

Po. Box 20-00220, Kijabe, Kenya

Email: osoo.moseh@gmail.com,

Author Contributions: MOO contributed to the Conceptualization, Writing- Original draft preparation, Investigation, Data curation, Methodology, Analysis, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, GOO contributed to the Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Validation PH contributed to the Methodology, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Validation.

Funding: This study was not funded

Conflict of interest: Authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Manuscript type: Original Research

Word count: 2002 words, excluding tables, references, and the title page.

Key Words: HIV Post-Exposure Prophylaxis, Blood-Borne Pathogens, Needlestick Injuries, Health Personnel, Occupational Health.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.25.23289085; this version posted June 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: Healthcare workers frequently experience needle sticks and other sharp injuries, which are among the most prevalent types of occupational accident in hospital settings. Studies indicate that approximately 50% of these devices are contaminated with blood, exposing users to potential infections from blood-borne pathogens such as HIV. Despite the efficacy of post-exposure prophylaxis in lowering the risk of HIV transmission, ensuring consistent adherence to this treatment regimen remains a widely acknowledged challenge.

Objective: This study aimed to assess healthcare workers' adherence to HIV post-exposure prophylaxis following needlestick and sharp injuries at Kijabe Hospital, Kenya, between February 2017 and June 2022.

Method: A retrospective chart review was conducted on healthcare workers who were started on HIV PEP following a reported occupational exposure due to needle sticks and sharp injuries between February 2017 and June 2022.

Results: In total, 136 healthcare workers were exposed to needle sticks and sharp injuries. The majority of exposures (82.3%) were at a high risk of HIV transmission. The overall adherence rate to the 28-day HIV-PEP course was 26%. Healthcare workers were more likely to complete HIV-PEP when the source's HIV status was positive than when it was negative [42.4% vs. 11.8%; p = 0.001]. The drug regimen (TDF/3TC/DTG) was significantly associated with default treatment (aOR, 5.0 (95% CI 2.0 – 11.0) (p= 0.001) compared with patients using the TDF/3TC/ATVr regimen.

Conclusion: Our study found that 74% of healthcare workers did not complete the full 28-day course of HIV post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) as recommended, indicating a significant issue with adherence. This highlights the need for strategies to improve compliance and ensure occupational health. Additionally, as there is limited research on HIV PEP completion rates among healthcare workers in Kenya, these findings will contribute valuable knowledge in this area.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.25.23289085; this version posted June 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Study Background

Needle stick and sharp injuries (NSSIs) among healthcare workers (HCWs) are among the most common occupational accidents in hospitals[1]. Approximately half of the instruments responsible for these injuries are contaminated with blood, putting users at risk of blood-borne pathogens such as hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV[2–4]. HIV remains a major global public health concern, having claimed more than 35 million lives to date. Africa is the most affected region worldwide, with 25.7 million HIV-positive people. Recently, the region has accounted for nearly two-thirds of all new HIV infections, and these factors make healthcare workers in this region at a particularly increased risk for HIV transmission following a needlestick injury [5]; this is exacerbated by the fact that the prevalence of NSSIs among HCWs is higher in developing countries than in developed countries[6]. It is estimated that 4.4% of HIV infections and 39% of HBV and HCV infections are attributed to occupational injury[7]. The risk of HIV transmission is estimated to be 0.3% after a needle-stick injury and 0.09% after mucous membrane exposure[8]. Deeper injuries, as well as the visibility of blood on sharp devices, are associated with an increased risk of HIV transmission [9].

In Kenya, guidelines recommend that healthcare workers (HCWs) should start post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) following high-risk exposure. This includes exposure to a large volume of blood or potentially infectious fluids or exposure to blood or body fluids contaminated with blood from a patient with a high viral load. Additionally, a deep and extensive injury from a contaminated sharp instrument or exposure to blood from an HIV drug-resistant patient also necessitates PEP, according to the guidelines[10]. The primary risk of disease transmission is determined by the type of exposure and specific materials involved. This type of exposure can include contact with mucous membranes (such as through sexual activity or contact with splashes to the eye, nose, or mouth), non-intact skin, percutaneous injury, or parenteral exposure. Meanwhile, materials that pose a risk for transmission include blood, blood-stained body fluids, breast milk, semen, vaginal secretions, synovial fluid, pleural fluid, pericardial fluid, amniotic fluid, cerebrospinal fluid, and HIV cultures in laboratory settings.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.25.23289085; this version posted June 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Adherence to and completion of PEP treatment strategies are important for maximizing HCWs risk reduction; however, adherence has been shown to be poor in many contexts[11–15]. HIV PEP utilization is lower in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) than in other parts of the globe[16,17] despite the generally higher prevalence of HIV in this setting. This study aimed to assess adherence to HIV PEP after needlestick and sharp injuries among healthcare workers at Kijabe Hospital, Kenya, between February 2017 and June 2022.

Materials and Methods

Study Design: A retrospective chart review was conducted on HCWs who started taking HIV PEP following a reported occupational exposure due to NSSIs between February 2017 and June 2022 to assess completion of the 28-day course.

Setting: Kenyan peri-urban, tertiary care center referral hospital in central Kenya with a 360-bed. At the time of the study, the hospital had 869 HCWs and saw approximately 600 patients per day in an outpatient setting, in addition to performing over 9000 surgeries per year.

Study Population: A census of all reported occupational exposure due to NSSIs among Kijabe Hospital HCWs who were started on HIV PEP between February 2017 and June 2022.

Data Collection: Data were extracted from the Ministry of Health HIV-PEP registry without patient identifiers. Data quality checks were performed to ensure consistency and completeness of the data. The variables included HCWs characteristics, risk of infection, location of exposure, treatment regimen, and treatment completion. Variables of interest: outcome (adherence), exposure **(**Started on HIV PEP), predictors (risk of infection, HIV PEP regimen), and potential confounders (adverse drug reactions). Potential sources of bias (selection bias was unavoidable; only data of HCWs who reported exposure and started HIV PEP were considered for analysis). Data were extracted in June, 2022.

Data Analysis: Healthcare workers characteristics were assessed using descriptive statistics. Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests were used for bivariate analyses. Adherence was calculated by dividing the number of participants who completed an entire 28-day course of HIV PEP by the number of participants who started on HIV PEP following occupational exposure due to NSSIs.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.25.23289085; this version posted June 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Logistic regression was used to assess the predictors of HIV-PEP adherence at a 95% confidence interval. Missing values were removed by list-wise deletion from the variable of interest for the logistic regression model. Data were analyzed using STATA version 18.

Ethical Consideration: Ethical waiver was sought from an independent ethics committee [**Tenwek Hospital ISERC (Institutional Scientific and Ethical Review Committee) approval number - 2023-0015**]

No informed consent was required since this was a retrospective chart review

Data confidentiality: Clinicians in the Comprehensive Care Clinic (CCC) involved in routine HIV care retrieved anonymized data for review and data analysis. The authors had no access to information that could identify individual participants during or after the data collection. The data collected were pseudonymized in compliance with the hospital's data protection policy.

Data Availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the Dryad[18] at https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.0cfxpnw78

Disclaimer: The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policies or positions of affiliated institutions of the authors.

Results

Demographic characteristics of healthcare workers

A total of 136 exposures to NSSIs have been reported. The majority (85.7%) of the HCWs were between the ages of 18 and 35 years, and more than half (54.4%) were male. Approximately 73% of these HCWs were nurses, doctors, and students. Thirty-nine percent of the exposures were reported from the theatre, followed by the medical-surgical ward at 29.6%. As shown in Table I

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.25.23289085; this version posted June 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Table I: Healthcare worker characteristics started on HIV PEP After NSSIs

Variable	Completed (n=35,25.7%)	Defaulted (n=100,73.5%)	Declined (n=1,0.7%)	Total (N=136)	P-value
Age in yrs, median (iqi)	28.00 (25.00; 32.25)	26.50 (23.00; 32.00)	37.00 (37.00; 37.00)	28.00 (23.50; 32.00)	0.20
Age category(years)*, n (%)					0.14
18 - 35 years	29 (25.4)	85 (74.6)	0 (0.0)	114 (85.7)	
36-59 years	5 (27.8)	12 (66.7)	1 (5.6)	18 (13.5)	
60 years and above	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)	
Sex, n (%)					0.52
Male	20 (27.0)	54 (73.0)	0 (0.0)	74 (54.4)	
Female	15 (24.2)	46 (74.2)	1 (1.6)	62 (45.6)	
Year of exposure, n (%)					0.25
2017	6 (31.6)	13 (68.4)	0 (0.0)	19 (14.0)	
2018	10 (28.6)	24 (68.6)	1 (2.9)	35 (25.7)	
2019	9 (39.1)	14 (60.9)	0 (0.0)	23 (16.9)	
2020	4 (14.8)	23 (85.2)	0 (0.0)	27 (19.9)	
2021	5 (38.5)	8 (61.5)	0 (0.0)	13 (9.5)	
2022	1 (5.3)	18 (94.7)	0 (0.0)	19 (14.0)	
Location during exposure#, n (%)					0.97
Medical-Surgical	13 (32.5)	27 (67.5)	0 (0.0)	40 (29.6)	
Theatre	12 (22.6)	40 (75.5)	1 (1.9)	53 (39.3)	
Maternity	2 (16.7)	10 (83.3)	0 (0.0)	12 (8.8)	
OPD/A&E	4 (30.8)	9 (69.2)	0 (0.0)	13 (9.6)	
Laboratory	1 (20.0)	4 (80.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (3.8)	
Laundry	0 (0.0)	3 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (2.2)	
others	2 (22.2)	7 (77.8)	0 (0.0)	9 (6.7)	
Duration before STAT dose, n (%)**					
<72hrs	31 (26.5)	85 (72.6)	1 (0.9)	117 (100)	.

*A denominator of 133 was used to calculate total percentages, missing values (3)
A denominator of 135 was used to calculate the total percentages, missing value (1),
** A denominator of 117 was used to calculate the total percentages, missing values (19)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.25.23289085; this version posted June 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Adherence to HIV PEP among exposed healthcare workers due to NSSIs

The overall adherence rate to the 28-day HIV-PEP course was 26%. Eighty-two percent of the exposures were high-risk. HCWs were more likely to complete HIV PEP when the HIV status of the source was positive compared to when the HIV status of the source was negative **[42.4% vs 11.8%; p = 0.001**]. The HIV status of the source patient/specimen was reported in 49% of cases. Variables with a p value <.09 in univariate analysis were included in multivariate logistic regression analysis (Risk of HIV infection and HIV PEP regimen). Summary on table II

Table II: Assessing factors associated to HIV PEP adherence among HCWs following needle stick and sharp injuries; 2017-2022

Adherence	Adhered (n=35,25.7%)	Defaulted (n=101,74.3%)	Total (N=136)	P-value
Age in yrs, median (iqi)	28.00 (25.00; 32.25)	27.00 (23.00; 32.00)	28.00 (23.50; 32.00)	0.26
Sex, n (%)				0.71
Male	20 (27.0)	54 (73.0)	74 (54.4)	
Female	15 (24.2)	47 (75.8)	62 (45.6)	
HIV status [‡], n (%)				0.00
Negative	4 (11.8)	30 (88.2)	34 (50.7)	
Positive	14 (42.4)	19 (57.6)	33 (49.3)	
Risk of HIV infection [‡], n (%)				0.07
Low	9 (39.1)	14 (60.9)	23 (17.7)	
High	23 (21.5)	84 (78.5)	107 (82.3)	
HIV Regimen[¶], n (%)				0.00
TDF/3TC/ATVr	23 (44.2)	29 (55.8)	52 (39.4)	
TDF/3TC/DTG	12 (15.2)	67 (84.8)	79 (59.8)	
AZT/3TC/LOPr	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	1 (0.8)	

[‡] A denominator of 67 (Known HIV status of source/specimen) was used to calculate total percentages
[‡] A denominator of 130 was used to calculate total percentages, missing values (6)
[¶]A denominator of 132 was used to calculate total percentages, missing values (3)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.25.23289085; this version posted June 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Factors associated with HIV PEP adherence among 128 HCWs following needle stick and sharp injuries; 2017-2022

Multivariate logistic regression was used to assess the effect of the HIV PEP regimen and risk of infection on adherence to the 28-day course. HIV PEP regimen was significantly associated with adherence in bivariate and multivariate analyses: cOR; 5.0 (95% CI 2.1 – 12.0) (p=0.001) and aOR; 4.7 (95% CI 2.0 – 11.0) (p= 0.001). The drug regimen (TDF/3TC/DTG) was significantly associated with non-adherence, with patients using the TDF/3TC/ATVr regimen having a higher completion rate. Further details are provided in Table III.

Table III: Unadjusted and adjusted Odds ratios from logistic regression analysis

Risk factors	Unadjusted Odds Ratio [95% CI]	p-value	Unadjusted Odds Ratio [95% CI]	p-value
Risk of Hiv Infection				
High	2.3[0.88;6.0]	0.089	1.7[0.59;4.6]	0.337
Low	ref			
HIV PEP Regimen				
TDF/3TC/ATVr	ref			
TDF/3TC/DTG	5.0[2.1;12.0]	0.001	4.7[2.0;11.0]	0.001
AZT/3TC/LPVr	ref			

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.25.23289085; this version posted June 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Discussion

Adherence to 28-day HIV post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) among healthcare workers (HCWs) following occupational exposure due to needlestick and sharps injuries (NSSIs) was found to be only 26%. This low adherence rate is concerning, especially considering that over 80% of the exposures among these HCWs were categorized as high-risk. This adherence rate aligns with a previous study by Kimaro et al., which reported an adherence rate of 23.1%[19], but it is significantly lower than that reported in other studies conducted in Botswana, Ghana, and South Africa. In those studies, adherence to HIV PEP was 71%, 69%, and 55.6%, respectively[17,20–22]. This significant variation in adherence rates across different regions requires further investigation to understand the underlying factors that contribute to this disparity.

According to the guidelines of the Kenya Ministry of Health[10], it is recommended that HIV post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) be completed regardless of the HIV status of the source material because of the high HIV prevalence in these settings. This study revealed that healthcare workers were more likely to follow through with HIV PEP when the source's HIV status was positive as opposed to when it was negative. This difference in completion rates could be linked to a heightened concern about seroconversion when the source is HIV-positive, indicating that these healthcare workers possessed sufficient knowledge about HIV PEP. These findings align with those of a study conducted by Owolabi et al., which reported poor knowledge and practice of PEP among healthcare providers. The study found that many healthcare providers who were exposed to HIV-positive sources did not initiate PEP[23].

The study also found that the HIV PEP drug regimen was significantly associated with adherence rates, and intolerance to adverse events has been cited as the sole reason for discontinuing HIV PEP[24,25], which underlines the necessity for comprehensive and effective counseling, education, proactive follow-up (which could involve mobile or phone contact), and management of adverse events. Providing education on the importance of completing the PEP schedule, particularly for healthcare workers on a 28-day schedule, can significantly enhance adherence, which is crucial for minimizing the risk of HIV seroconversion.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.25.23289085; this version posted June 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

The HIV PEP treatment protocol places strong emphasis on treatment completion. It encompasses a comprehensive approach that starts with prompt recognition, providing first aid, and reporting events, in addition to initiating antiretroviral therapy (ARVs). We encountered challenges in tracking healthcare workers (HCWs) who were exposed to HIV, but did not seek PEP treatment. As a result, we may not have accounted for other factors that influence adherence to PEP protocols in general.

Conclusion

In our study, we found that only 26% of healthcare workers (HCWs) completed HIV post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) after experiencing needle-stick and sharp object injuries (NSSIs). We also found a significant association between adherence and HIV PEP regimen. Our research highlights the need for further investigation into the reasons for HCWs defaulting on PEP with the goal of developing targeted strategies to improve adherence and enhance occupational health. The findings of our study are not only relevant to healthcare workers in our specific facility but also have implications for healthcare workers in other settings across Kenya and sub-Saharan Africa.

Acknowledgements: Mary B. Adam -Manuscript review, Wilbon Korir-Data collection

Reference

1. Mengistu DA, Tolera ST, Demmu YM. Worldwide Prevalence of Occupational Exposure to Needle Stick Injury among Healthcare Workers: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol J Can Mal Infect Microbiol Medicale*. 2021;2021:9019534. doi:10.1155/2021/9019534

2. Panlilio AL, Orelien JG, Srivastava PU, Jagger J, Cohn RD, Cardo DM. Estimate of the annual number of percutaneous injuries among hospital-based healthcare workers in the United States, 1997-1998. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2004;25(7):556-562. doi:10.1086/502439

3. Saadeh R, Khairallah K, Abozeid H, Al Rashdan L, Alfaqih M, Alkhatatbeh O. Needle Stick and Sharp Injuries Among Healthcare Workers: A retrospective six-year study. *Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J*. 2020;20(1):e54-e62. doi:10.18295/squmj.2020.20.01.008

4. Yazie TD, Chufa KA, Tebeje MG. Prevalence of needlestick injury among healthcare workers in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Health Prev Med*. 2019;24(1):52. doi:10.1186/s12199-019-0807-7

5. HIV/AIDS | WHO | Regional Office for Africa. Accessed June 5, 2023. https://www.afro.who.int/health-topics/hivaids

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.25.23289085; this version posted June 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

6. Mengistu DA, Tolera ST. Prevalence of occupational exposure to needle-stick injury and associated factors among healthcare workers of developing countries: Systematic review. *J Occup Health*. 2020;62(1):e12179. doi:10.1002/1348-9585.12179

7. Prüss-Üstün A, Rapiti E, Hutin Y. Estimation of the global burden of disease attributable to contaminated sharps injuries among health-care workers. *Am J Ind Med*. 2005;48(6):482-490. doi:10.1002/ajim.20230

8. Bryant J, Baxter L, Hird S. Non-occupational postexposure prophylaxis for HIV: a systematic review. *Health Technol Assess Winch Engl*. 2009;13(14):iii, ix-x, 1-60. doi:10.3310/hta13140

9. Cardo DM, Culver DH, Ciesielski CA, et al. A case-control study of HIV seroconversion in health care workers after percutaneous exposure. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Needlestick Surveillance Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;337(21):1485-1490. doi:10.1056/NEJM199711203372101

10. New Guidelines | Division of National AIDS & STI Control Program. Accessed June 5, 2023. https://www.nascop.or.ke/new-guidelines/

11. Kimaro L, Adinan J, Damian DJ, Njau B. Prevalence of occupational injuries and knowledge of availability and utilization of post exposure prophylaxis among health care workers in Singida District Council, Singida Region, Tanzania. *PloS One*. 2018;13(10):e0201695. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0201695

12. Mashoto KO, Mubyazi GM, Mushi AK. Knowledge of occupational exposure to HIV: a cross sectional study of healthcare workers in Tumbi and Dodoma hospitals, Tanzania. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2015;15(1):29. doi:10.1186/s12913-015-0700-z

13. Tetteh RA, Nartey ET, Lartey M, et al. Adverse events and adherence to HIV post-exposure prophylaxis: a cohort study at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital in Accra, Ghana. *BMC Public Health*. 2015;15(1):573. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-1928-6

14. Mponela MJ, Oleribe OO, Abade A, Kwesigabo G. Post exposure prophylaxis following occupational exposure to HIV: a survey of health care workers in Mbeya, Tanzania, 2009-2010. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2015;21. doi:10.11604/pamj.2015.21.32.4996

15. Ajibola S, Akinbami A, Elikwu C, Odesanya M, Uche E. Knowledge, attitude and practices of HIV post exposure prophylaxis amongst health workers in Lagos University Teaching Hospital. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2014;19:172. doi:10.11604/pamj.2014.19.172.4718

16. Thomas R, Galanakis C, Vézina S, et al. Adherence to Post-Exposure Prophylaxis (PEP) and Incidence of HIV Seroconversion in a Major North American Cohort. *PloS One*. 2015;10(11):e0142534. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142534

17. Suglo RE, Aku FY, Anaman-Torgbor JA, Tarkang EE. Predictors of adherence to HIV Post-Exposure Prophylaxis protocol among frontline healthcare workers at the Ho Teaching Hospital, Ghana. *Int J Infect Dis IJID Off Publ Int Soc Infect Dis*. 2021;106:208-212. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2021.03.079

18. Osoo M, Otieno G, Halestrap P. NSSI HIV PEP Data. Published online 2023. doi:https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.0cfxpnw78

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.25.23289085; this version posted June 22, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

19. Kimaro L, Adinan J, Damian DJ, Njau B. Prevalence of occupational injuries and knowledge of availability and utilization of post exposure prophylaxis among health care workers in Singida District Council, Singida Region, Tanzania. Lai PS, ed. *PLOS ONE*. 2018;13(10):e0201695. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0201695

20. Factors Contributing To The Uptake And Compliance With HIV post Exposure Prophylaxis (PEP) At Kenyatta National Hospital. Accessed June 5, 2023. http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/handle/11295/64438

21. Makhado L, Musekwa OP, Makhado TG, Otsheleng R. Healthcare practitioners and students' PEP knowledge, attitude and adherence in Southern Africa. *Health SA SA Gesondheid*. 2022;27:2036. doi:10.4102/hsag.v27i0.2036

22. Kassa G, Selenic D, Lahuerta M, et al. Occupational exposure to bloodborne pathogens among health care workers in Botswana: Reporting and utilization of postexposure prophylaxis. *Am J Infect Control*. 2016;44(8):879-885. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2016.01.027

23. Owolabi RS, Alabi P, Ajayi S, et al. Knowledge and practice of post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) against HIV infection among health care providers in a tertiary hospital in Nigeria. *J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care Chic Ill 2002*. 2012;11(3):179-183. doi:10.1177/1545109711401409

24. Cai J, Xiao J, Zhang Q. Side effects and tolerability of post-exposure prophylaxis with zidovudine, lamivudine, and lopinavir/ritonavir: a comparative study with HIV/AIDS patients. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2014;127(14):2632-2636.

25. Tetteh RA, Nartey ET, Lartey M, et al. Adverse events and adherence to HIV post-exposure prophylaxis: a cohort study at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital in Accra, Ghana. *BMC Public Health*. 2015;15(1):573. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-1928-6