# HIV post-exposure prophylaxis adherence following occupational exposure due to needle sticks and sharp injuries among healthcare workers in a tertiary, peri-urban hospital in Kenya.

**Full title**

HIV post-exposure prophylaxis adherence following occupational exposure due to needle sticks and sharp injuries among healthcare workers in a tertiary, peri-urban hospital in Kenya.

**Short title**

HIV post-exposure prophylaxis adherence due to needle stick and sharp injuries

**Author names and affiliations**

**Authors:** Moses Odhiambo Osoo[1,2], George O. Otieno MMed(Imed) [1,3], Peter Halestrap MD [1,2,4]

**Affiliations:** [1]AIC Kijabe Hospital, Kijabe, Kenya, [2]Department of Research, [3]Department of Internal Medicine, [4]Department of Family Medicine

**Corresponding author**

Moses Odhiambo Osoo

AIC Kijabe Hospital

P.O Box 20-00220, Kijabe, Kenya.

Phone No: +254738659699

Email: osoo.moseh@gmail.com,

## Author contributions

**Moses Odhiambo Osoo**: Conceptualization, Writing- Original draft preparation, Investigation, Data curation, Methodology, Analysis, Writing- Reviewing and Editing

**George Ochieng' Otieno**: Conceptualization, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Validation

**Peter Halestrap**: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Validation

**Word count:**

**Abstract: 245**

**Main manuscript: 1070**

**Key words:** Needle stick, Occupational accidents, Blood borne pathogens, post-exposure prophylaxis, Occupational exposure

## Abstract

**Background:** Needle stick and sharp injuries (NSSIs) amongst healthcare workers (HCWs) are among the most common occupational accidents in hospitals. Approximately half of the responsible instruments are contaminated with blood, putting users at risk infection from blood-borne pathogens such as HIV. Although post-exposure prophylaxis has been shown to reduce the risk of HIV transmission, adherence to therapy is a well-documented challenge.

**Aim:** To assess healthcare workers adherence to HIV post-exposure prophylaxis following Needle stick and sharp injuries.

**Method:** A retrospective chart review was conducted on HCWs who were started on HIV PEP following a reported occupational exposure due to NSSIs between February 2017 and June 2022 to assess adherence.

**Result:** A total of 136 HCWs had occupational exposure to NSSIs. The majority of the exposures (82.3%) were high risk for HIV transmission. Overall adherence to the 28-day HIV PEP course was 26%. HCWs were more likely to complete HIV PEP when the source's HIV status was positive compared to when it was negative [ 42.4% vs 11.8%; p = 0.001]. The drug regimen (TDF/3TC/DTG) was significantly associated with defaulting treatment (aOR; 5.5 (95% CI 2.16 - 14.02) (p= 0.001), compared with patients using the TDF/3TC/ATVr regimen.

**Conclusion:** 74% of HCWs who started PEP defaulted the recommended 28-day course. Possible strategies for improving adherence and occupational health are required.

**Contributions:** Few studies in Kenya have assessed the completion rate for PEP among HCWs; these findings will contribute to the body of knowledge in this area.

## Background

Needle stick and sharp injuries(NSSIs) amongst healthcare workers (HCWs) are one of the most common occupational accidents in hospitals(1). Approximately half of the instruments responsible for these injuries are contaminated with blood, putting users at risk of blood-borne pathogens such as Hepatitis B, C and HIV(2, 3). HIV remains a major global public health concern, having claimed more than 35 million lives to date, Africa is the most affected region worldwide, with 25.7 million HIV-positive people. Recently the region has accounted for nearly two-thirds of all new HIV infections  and these factors make healthcare workers in this region at a particular risk for HIV transmission following a needlestick injury (4); this is exacerbated by the fact that the prevalence of NSSIs among HCWs is higher in developing countries than developed countries(5).

It is estimated that 4.4% of HIV infections and 39% of HBV and HCV infections are attributed to occupational injury(6). Risk of HIV transmission it is estimated to be 0.3% after a needle stick injury and 0.09% after mucous membrane exposure(7). Deeper injuries, as well as the visibility of blood on the sharp device, are associated with an increased risk of HIV transmission(8).

In Kenya, guidelines recommend that HCWs commence PEP following high risk exposure, regardless of the HIV status of the source (9). Adherence and completion of PEP treatment strategies are important to maximize the HCWs risk reduction; however, adherence has been shown to be poor in many contexts(10-14).  HIV PEP utilization is lower in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) than in other parts of the globe (15-17) despite the generally higher prevalence of HIV in this setting. The objective of this study was to assess NSSIs among HCWs and adherence to HIV PEP.

## Method

**Study Design:** A retrospective chart review was conducted on HCWs who started taking HIV PEP following a reported occupational exposure due to NSSIs between February 2017 and June 2022 to assess completion for the 28-day course.

**Setting:** Kenyan peri-urban, tertiary care center referral hospital in central Kenya with a 360-bed. At the time of the study, the hospital had 869 HCWs and saw approximately 600 patients per day in the outpatient setting, in addition to performing over 9000 surgeries per year.

**Study Population:** A census of all reported occupational exposure due to NSSIs among Kijabe hospital HCWs who were started on HIV PEP between February 2017 and June 2022.

**Data Collection:** Data was extracted from Ministry of Health HIV-PEP registry without patient identifiers. Data quality checks was performed to ensure consistency and completeness of the data. The variables included were HCWs characteristics, risk of infection, location of exposure, treatment regimen, and treatment completion.

**Data Analysis:** HCWs characteristics was assessed using descriptive statistics. Chi-square or Fisher exact test was used for bivariate analysis, and logistic regression was used to identify predictors of HIV PEP adherence at 95% confidence interval. The adherence proportion was calculated by dividing the number of participants who completed an entire 28-day course of HIV PEP by the number of participants who were started on HIV PEP following occupational exposure due to NSSIs. Data was analyzed using STATA version 17.

## Results

## Demographic characteristics of healthcare workers

A total of 136 exposures due to NSSIs were reported. The majority (85.7%) of HCWs were between the ages of 18 and 35 years, and more than half (54.4%) were male. About 73% of these HCWs were nurses, doctors and students. Thirty-nine percent of the exposures were reported from the theatre, followed by the medical-surgical ward at 29.6%. As shown in Table I

**Table i: Characteristics of 136 HCWs undergoing HIV PEP due to NSSIs**

| HIV PEP completion status | Completed (n=35,26.3%) | Defaulted (n=100,73.0%) | Declined (n=1,0.7%) | Total (N=136) | P-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Age category(years), n (%)** | | | | | 0.03 |
| *18 - 25 years* | 9 (26.5) | 39 (39.8) | 0 (0.0) | 48 (36.1) | |
| *26 - 35 years* | 20 (58.8) | 46 (46.9) | 0 (0.0) | 66 (49.6) | |
| *36 - 45 years* | 2 (5.9) | 10 (10.2) | 1 (100.0) | 13 (9.8) | |
| *Above 45 years* | 3 (8.8) | 3 (3.1) | 0 (0.0) | 6 (4.5) | |
| **Sex, n (%)** | | | | | 0.52 |
| *Male* | 20 (57.1) | 54 (54.0) | 0 (0.0) | 74 (54.4) | |
| *Female* | 15 (42.9) | 46 (46.0) | 1 (100.0) | 62 (45.6) | |
| **Carder, n (%)** | | | | | 0.82 |
| *Doctor* | 8 (22.9) | 26 (27.4) | 1 (100) | 35 (26.7) | |
| *Nurse* | 12 (34.3) | 24 (25.3) | 0 (0.0) | 36 (27.5) | |
| *Clinical officers* | 1 (2.9) | 4 (4.2) | 0 (0.0) | 5 (3.8) | |
| *Laboratory team* | 1 (2.9) | 3 (3.2) | 0 (0.0) | 4 (3.1) | |
| *Student* | 4 (11.4) | 21 (22.1) | 0 (0.0) | 25 (19.1) | |
| *scrub tech* | 0 (0.0) | 2 (2.1) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (1.5) | |
| *House keeping* | 2 (5.6) | 7 (7.3) | 0 (0.0) | 9 (6.8) | |
| *Other* | 7 (20.0) | 8 (8.4) | 0 (0.0) | 15 (11.5) | |
| **Year of exposure, n (%)** | | | | | 0.25 |
| *February 2017* | 6 (17.1) | 13 (13.0) | 0 (0.0) | 19 (14.1) | |
| *2018* | 10 (28.6) | 24 (24.0) | 1 (100) | 35 (25.9) | |
| *2019* | 9 (25.7) | 14 (14.0) | 0 (0.0) | 23 (17.0) | |
| *2020* | 4 (11.4) | 23 (23.0) | 0 (0.0) | 27 (20.0) | |
| *2021* | 5 (14.3) | 8 (8.0) | 0 (0.0) | 13 (9.6) | |
| *June-2022* | 1 (2.9) | 18 (18.0) | 0 (0.0) | 18 (13.4) | |
| **location during exposure, n (%)** | | | | | 0.97 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Medical-Surgical* | 13 (38.2) | 27 (27.0) | 0 (0.0) | 40 (29.6) | |
| *Theatre* | 12 (35.3) | 40 (40.0) | 1 (100) | 53 (39.2) | |
| *Maternity* | 2 (5.9) | 10 (10.0) | 0 (0.0) | 12 (8.9) | |
| *OPD/A&E* | 4 (11.8) | 9 (9.0) | 0 (0.0) | 13 (9.7) | |
| *Laboratory* | 1 (2.9) | 4 (4.0) | 0 (0.0) | 5 (3.7) | |
| *Laundry* | 0 (0.0) | 3 (3.0) | 0 (0.0) | 3 (2.3) | |
| *others* | 2 (5.9) | 7 (7.0) | 0 (0.0) | 9 (6.6) | |
| **Duration before STAT dose, n (%)** | | | | | |
| <72hrs | 31 (26.5) | 85 (72.6) | 1 (0.9) | 117 (100.0) | . |

## Adherence to HIV PEP among exposed healthcare workers due to NSSIs

The overall adherence rate to the 28-day HIV PEP course was 26%. Eighty-three percent of the exposures were high risk. HCWs were more likely to complete HIV PEP when HIV status of source was positive compared to when HIV status of source was negative **[ 42.4% vs 11.8%; p = 0.001**]. In 49% of the cases, the HIV status of the source patient/specimen was known. More details on Table II

**Table ii: Factors associated with adherence in exposed HCWs on HIV post-exposure prophylaxis**

| HIV PEP Adherence | Adhered (n=35,25.7%) | Defaulted (n=101,74.3%) | Total(N=136) | P-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Age_yrs, mean (sd)** | 30.03 ±7.50 | 28.55 ± 7.28 | 28.92 ±7.34 | 0.31 |
| **Sex, n (%)** | | | | 0.71 |
| *Male* | 20 (57.1) | 54 (53.5) | 74 (54.4) | |
| *Female* | 15 (42.9) | 47 (46.5) | 62 (45.6) | |
| **HIV status of the source patient, n (%)** | | | | 0.77 |
| *Known* | 18 (51.4) | 49 (48.5) | 67 (49.3) | |
| *Unknown* | 17 (48.6) | 52 (51.5) | 69 (50.7) | |
| **HIV Regimen, n (%)** | | | | 0.00 |
| *TDF/3TC/ATVr* | 23 (65.7) | 29 (29.9) | 52 (39.4) | |
| *TDF/3TC/DTG* | 12 (34.3) | 67 (69.1) | 79 (59.8) | |
| *AZT/3TC/LPVr* | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.0) | 1 (0.8) | |
| **Risk of HIV infection, n (%)** | | | | 0.07 |
| *Low* | 9 (28.1) | 14 (14.3) | 23 (17.7) | |
| *High* | 23 (71.9) | 84 (85.7) | 107 (82.3) | |

## Factors associated with HIV PEP adherence among 136 HCWs exposed due to NSSIs

HIV PEP regimen was found to be significantly associated with adherence in bivariate and multivariate analyses to determine association and predictors of adherence; cOR; 4.4 (95% CI 1.94 - 10.08) (p=.001) and aOR; 5.5 (95% CI 2.16 - 14.02) (p= 0.001). The drug regimen (TDF/3TC/DTG) was significantly related to non-adherence, with patients using the TDF/3TC/ATVr regimen having a higher completion rate. More details on Table III

**Table iii: Crude and adjusted odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from logistic regression analyses identifying associations between selected characteristics and Adherence**

| Characteristics | Unadjusted OR (95%CI) | p-value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Age(years)** | 0.97(0.926 - 1.025) | 0.312 | 0.95(0.89 - 1.011) | 0.113 |
| **sex** | | | | |
| *male* | ref | | ref | |
| *female* | 1.16(0.535 - 2.520) | 0.38 | 1.20(0.472 - 3.071) | 0.39 |
| **Risk of HIV infection** | | | | |
| *High* | 2.35(0.903 - 6.107) | 0.08 | 1.80(0.628 - 5.174) | 0.274 |
| *Low* | ref | | ref | |
| **HIV Regimen** | | | | |
| *TDF/3TC/ATVr* | ref | | ref | |
| *TDF/3TC/DTG* | 4.43(1.945 - 10.08) | 0.001 | 5.51(2.161 - 14.02) | 0.001 |
| *AZT/3TC/LPVr* | ref | | ref | |

## Discussion

The adherence to HIV PEP among HCWs after occupational exposure due to NSSIs was just 26%, despite more than 80% of the exposures being high risk. These results are in keeping with findings from wahome et al study at Kenyatta National Referral Hospital in Kenya which showed only 19.5% of HCWs completed the recommended 28-day HIV-PEP therapy(18). However, the findings are in contrast to other studies from Ghana and South Africa, in which adherence to PEP was found to be 69% and 60%, respectively (18) (22). Further research is required to elucidate the reasons for the difference in adherence. The HIV status of the source had an impact on PEP adherence of the HCW which is contrary to a study done in Nigeria(19) but goes against the Ministry of Health Guidelines which states PEP should be completed regardless of the status of the source material.

Drug regimen correlated with adherence rates which is consistent with other studies (12) and provides additional evidence for the importance of well tolerated regiments to improved adherence.

## Strengths

Few studies in Kenya have assessed the completion rate for PEP among HCWs due to NSSIs, findings of this study will add to the body of knowledge in this area.

## Limitations

Narrow focus on treatment completion. HIV PEP treatment protocol looks at a broader sense of HIV PEP which begins with recognition, first aid and reporting of events as well as taking ARVs. We also were not able to follow up HCWs who were exposed but never presented for

PEP. Therefore, we may not explain many other factors that determine adherence to PEP protocols in general.

## Conclusion

Our study found a 26% completion rate to HIV PEP among HCWs after occupational exposure due to NSSIs. The HIV PEP regimen was significantly associated with adherence. Further research is needed to elucidate the factors associated with defaulting PEP, and thus generate appropriate strategies to improve adherence and thus enhance occupation health.

**Acknowledgements:** Mary Adam -Manuscript review, Wilbon Korir-Data collection

# References


1. Mengistu DA, Tolera ST, Demmu YM. Worldwide Prevalence of Occupational Exposure to Needle Stick Injury among Healthcare Workers: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Canadian Journal of Infectious Diseases and Medical Microbiology. 2021;2021:9019534.
2. Panlilio AL, Orelien JG, Srivastava PU, Jagger J, Cohn RD, Cardo DM. Estimate of the annual number of percutaneous injuries among hospital-based healthcare workers in the United States, 1997-1998. Infection control and hospital epidemiology. 2004;25(7):556-62.
3. Saadeh R, Khairallah K, Abozeid H, Al Rashdan L, Alfaqih M, Alkhatatbeh O. Needle Stick and Sharp Injuries Among Healthcare Workers: A retrospective six-year study. Sultan Qaboos University Medical Journal [SQUMJ]. 2020;20(1):54.
4. Africa W. HIV/AIDS 2021 [Available from: https://www.afro.who.int/health-topics/hivaids.
5. Mengistu DA, Tolera ST. Prevalence of occupational exposure to needle-stick injury and associated factors among healthcare workers of developing countries: Systematic review. Journal of occupational health. 2020;62(1):e12179.
6. Prüss-Üstün A RE, Hutin Y. Introduction and methods: assessing the environmental burden of disease at national and local levels. World Health Organization,Geneva. 2003.
7. Bryant J, Baxter L, Hird S. Non-occupational postexposure prophylaxis for HIV: a systematic review. Health technology assessment (Winchester, England). 2009;13(14):iii, ix-x, 1-60.
8. Cardo DM, Culver DH, Ciesielski CA, Srivastava PU, Marcus R, Abiteboul D, et al. A case-control study of HIV seroconversion in health care workers after percutaneous exposure. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Needlestick Surveillance Group. The New England journal of medicine. 1997;337(21):1485-90.
9. NASCOP. Guidelines for antiretroviral therapy in Kenya 2012 [4th edition 2011:[157]. Available from: http://guidelines.health.go.ke:8000/media/Final_guidelines_re_print_11-09-2012.pdf.
10. Kimaro L, Adinan J, Damian DJ, Njau B. Prevalence of occupational injuries and knowledge of availability and utilization of post exposure prophylaxis among health care workers in Singida District Council, Singida Region, Tanzania. PloS one. 2018;13(10):e0201695.
11. Mashoto KO, Mubyazi GM, Mushi AK. Knowledge of occupational exposure to HIV: a cross sectional study of healthcare workers in Tumbi and Dodoma hospitals, Tanzania. BMC health services research. 2015;15:29.
12. Tetteh RA, Nartey ET, Lartey M, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK, Leufkens HG, Nortey PA, et al. Adverse events and adherence to HIV post-exposure prophylaxis: a cohort study at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital in Accra, Ghana. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:573.
13. Mponela MJ, Oleribe OO, Abade A, Kwesigabo G. Post exposure prophylaxis following occupational exposure to HIV: a survey of health care workers in Mbeya, Tanzania, 2009-2010. The Pan African medical journal. 2015;21:32.
14. Ajibola S, Akinbami A, Elikwu C, Odesanya M, Uche E. Knowledge, attitude and practices of HIV post exposure prophylaxis amongst health workers in Lagos University Teaching Hospital. Pan Afr Med J. 2014;19:172.
15. Ford N, Irvine C, Shubber Z, Baggaley R, Beanland R, Vitoria M, et al. Adherence to HIV postexposure prophylaxis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AIDS (London, England). 2014;28(18):2721-7.
16. Suglo RE. Predictors of adherence to HIV Post-Exposure Prophylaxis protocol among frontline healthcare workers at the Ho Teaching Hospital, Ghana. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2021.

221 17. Africa W. HIV/AIDS 2018 [Available from: https://www.afro.who.int/health-topics/hivaids.
222 18. Muriuki-wahome EW. Factors Contributing To The Uptake And Compliance With HIV post
223 Exposure Prophylaxis (PEP) At Kenyatta National Hospital: University of Nairobi; 2010.
224 19. Owolabi RS, Alabi P, Ajayi S, Daniel O, Ogundiran A, Akande TM, et al. Knowledge and Practice of
225 Post-Exposure Prophylaxis (PEP) against HIV Infection among Health Care Providers in a Tertiary Hospital
226 in Nigeria. 2012;11(3):179-83.

227