HIV Post-exposure Prophylaxis adherence among healthcare workers in a tertiary, peri-urban hospital in Kenya following needle stick and sharp injuries. A retrospective chart review; 2017 – 2022

Authors

Moses Odhiambo Osoo[1*], George Ochieng' Otieno[2], Peter Halestrap[1,3]

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Research, AIC Kijabe Hospital, Kijabe, Kenya

[2]Department of Internal Medicine, AIC Kijabe Hospital, Kijabe, Kenya

[3]Department of Family Medicine, AIC Kijabe Hospital, Kijabe, Kenya

[*]Corresponding author

Email: osoo.moseh@gmail.com, (MOO)

Author contributions

MOO: Conceptualization, Writing- Original draft preparation, Investigation, Data curation, Methodology, Analysis, Writing- Reviewing and Editing

GOO: Conceptualization, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Validation

PH: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Validation

Abstract

Needle-stick and sharp injuries among healthcare workers are among the most common occupational accidents occurring in hospitals. Approximately half of the responsible instruments are contaminated with blood, putting users at risk of infection by blood-borne pathogens such as HIV. Although post-exposure prophylaxis has been shown to reduce the risk of HIV transmission, adherence to therapy remains a well-documented challenge. This study aimed to assess healthcare workers' adherence to HIV post-exposure prophylaxis following needle-stick and sharp injuries. To assess adherence, a retrospective chart review was conducted on healthcare workers who were started on HIV PEP following a reported occupational exposure due to needle sticks and sharp injuries between February 2017 and June 2022.

A total of 136 health care workers had occupational exposure to needle sticks and sharp injuries. The majority of exposures (82.3%) were at a high risk for HIV transmission. The overall adherence rate to the 28-day HIV PEP course was 26%. Healthcare workers were more likely to complete HIV PEP when the source's HIV status was positive than when it was negative [42.4% vs. 11.8%; p = 0.001]. The drug regimen (TDF/3TC/DTG) was significantly associated with default treatment (aOR, 5.0 (95% CI 2.0 – 11.0) (p= 0.001), compared with patients using the TDF/3TC/ATVr regimen.

Seventy-four percent of the healthcare workers who started PEP defaulted the recommended 28-day course. Therefore, strategies to improve adherence and occupational health are required. Few studies in Kenya have assessed the completion rate of PEP among healthcare workers; these findings will contribute to the body of knowledge in this area.

Introduction

Needle-stick and sharp injuries (NSSIs) among healthcare workers (HCWs) are among the most common occupational accidents in hospitals[1]. Approximately half of the instruments responsible for these injuries are contaminated with blood, putting users at risk of blood-borne pathogens, such as Hepatitis B, C and HIV[2,3].

HIV remains a major global public health concern, having claimed more than 35 million lives to date. Africa is the most affected region worldwide, with 25.7 million HIV-positive people. Recently the region has accounted for nearly two-thirds of all new HIV infections and these factors put healthcare workers in this region at a particularly increased risk for HIV transmission following a needlestick injury[4], this is exacerbated by the fact that the prevalence of NSSIs among HCWs is higher in developing countries than developed countries[5].It is estimated that 4.4% of HIV infections and 39% of HBV and HCV infections are attributable to occupational injuries[6]. The risk of HIV transmission is estimated to be 0.3% after needle-stick injury and 0.09% after mucous membrane exposure[7].

Deeper injuries, as well as the visibility of blood on the sharp device, are associated with an increased risk of HIV transmission[8].In Kenya, guidelines recommend that HCWs commence PEP following high-risk exposure (*An exposure to a large volume of blood or potentially infectious fluids, or exposure to blood or body fluids contaminated with blood from a patient with a high viral load, or a deep and extensive injury from a contaminated sharp instrument, or exposure to blood from an HIV-Drug resistant patient*), regardless of the HIV status of the source[9].

Adherence and completion of PEP treatment strategies are important for maximizing HCWs risk reduction; however, adherence has been shown to be poor in many contexts[10–14]. HIV PEP utilization is lower in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) than in other parts of the globe despite the

generally higher prevalence of HIV in this setting[15,16]. The objective of this study was to assess adherence to HIV PEP after Needle sticks and sharp injuries among healthcare workers at Kijabe Hospital, Kenya

Materials and Methods

Study Design: A retrospective chart review was conducted on HCWs who started taking HIV PEP following a reported occupational exposure due to NSSIs between February 2017 and June 2022 to assess completion of the 28-day course.

Setting: Kenyan peri-urban, tertiary care center referral hospital in central Kenya with a 360-bed. At the time of the study, the hospital had 869 HCWs and saw approximately 600 patients per day in an outpatient setting, in addition to performing over 9000 surgeries per year.

Study Population: A census of all reported occupational exposure due to NSSIs among Kijabe Hospital HCWs who were started on HIV PEP between February 2017 and June 2022.

Data Collection: Data were extracted from the Ministry of Health HIV-PEP registry without patient identifiers. Data quality checks were performed to ensure consistency and completeness of the data. The variables included HCWs characteristics, risk of infection, location of exposure, treatment regimen, and treatment completion. Variables of interest: outcome (adherence), exposure **(**Started on HIV PEP), predictors (risk of infection, HIV PEP regimen), and potential confounders (adverse drug reactions). Potential sources of bias (selection bias was unavoidable; only data of HCWs who reported exposure and started HIV PEP were considered for analysis). Data were extracted in June 2022.

Data Analysis: HCWs' characteristics were assessed using descriptive statistics. Chi-square or Fisher exact tests were used for bivariate analyses. Adherence was calculated by dividing the

number of participants who completed an entire 28-day course of HIV PEP by the number of participants who started on HIV PEP following occupational exposure due to NSSIs.

Logistic regression was used to assess the predictors of HIV-PEP adherence at a 95% confidence interval. Missing values were removed by list-wise deletion from the variable of interest for the logistic regression model. Data was analyzed using STATA version 18

Data confidentiality Clinicians in the Comprehensive Care Clinic (CCC) involved in routine HIV care retrieved anonymized data for review and data analysis. The authors had no access to information that could identify individual participants during or after the data collection. The data collected were pseudonymized in compliance with the hospital's data protection policy.

Data Availability: Availability of data and materials will be provided by the corresponding author upon request.

Funding: This study was not funded

Conflict of interest: One of the authors, Peter Halestrap, is the chairman of Kijabe Hospital's institutional ethics and research review committee. Other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Disclaimer- The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of any affiliated institutions of the authors.

Results

Demographic characteristics of healthcare workers

A total of 136 exposures to NSSIs have been reported. The majority (85.7%) of the HCWs were between the ages of 18 and 35 years, and more than half (54.4%) were male. Approximately 73% of these HCWs were nurses, doctors, and students. Thirty-nine percent of the exposures

were reported from the theatre, followed by the medical-surgical ward at 29.6%. As shown in Table I

Table I: Healthcare worker characteristics started on HIV PEP After NSSIs

Variable	Completed (n=35,25.7%)	Defaulted (n=100,73.5%)	Declined (n=1,0.7%)	Total (N=136)	P-value
Age in yrs, median (iqi)	28.00 (25.00; 32.25)	26.50 (23.00; 32.00)	37.00 (37.00; 37.00)	28.00 (23.50; 32.00)	0.20
Age category(years)*, n (%)					0.14
18 - 35 years	29 (25.4)	85 (74.6)	0 (0.0)	114 (85.7)	
36-59 years	5 (27.8)	12 (66.7)	1 (5.6)	18 (13.5)	
60 years and above	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.8)	
Sex, n (%)					0.52
Male	20 (27.0)	54 (73.0)	0 (0.0)	74 (54.4)	
Female	15 (24.2)	46 (74.2)	1 (1.6)	62 (45.6)	
Year of exposure, n (%)					0.25
2017	6 (31.6)	13 (68.4)	0 (0.0)	19 (14.0)	
2018	10 (28.6)	24 (68.6)	1 (2.9)	35 (25.7)	
2019	9 (39.1)	14 (60.9)	0 (0.0)	23 (16.9)	
2020	4 (14.8)	23 (85.2)	0 (0.0)	27 (19.9)	
2021	5 (38.5)	8 (61.5)	0 (0.0)	13 (9.5)	
2022	1 (5.3)	18 (94.7)	0 (0.0)	19 (14.0)	
Location during exposure#, n (%)					0.97
Medical-Surgical	13 (32.5)	27 (67.5)	0 (0.0)	40 (29.6)	
Theatre	12 (22.6)	40 (75.5)	1 (1.9)	53 (39.3)	
Maternity	2 (16.7)	10 (83.3)	0 (0.0)	12 (8.8)	
OPD/A&E	4 (30.8)	9 (69.2)	0 (0.0)	13 (9.6)	
Laboratory	1 (20.0)	4 (80.0)	0 (0.0)	5 (3.8)	
Laundry	0 (0.0)	3 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (2.2)	
others	2 (22.2)	7 (77.8)	0 (0.0)	9 (6.7)	
Duration before STAT dose, n (%)**					
<72hrs	31 (26.5)	85 (72.6)	1 (0.9)	117 (100)	.

*A denominator of 133 was used to calculate total percentages, missing values (3)
A denominator of 135 was used to calculate the total percentages, missing value (1),
** A denominator of 117 was used to calculate the total percentages, missing values (19)

Adherence to HIV PEP among exposed healthcare workers due to NSSIs

The overall adherence rate to the 28-day HIV-PEP course was 26%. Eighty-two percent of the exposures were high-risk. HCWs were more likely to complete HIV PEP when the HIV status of the source was positive compared to when the HIV status of the source was negative **[42.4% vs.**

11.8%; p = 0.001]. The HIV status of the source patient/specimen was reported in 49% of cases. Variables with a p-value <.09 in univariate analysis were included in multivariate logistic regression analysis (Risk of HIV infection and HIV PEP regimen).

Summary on Table II

Table II: Assessing factors associated to HIV PEP adherence among HCWs following needle stick and sharp injuries; 2017-2022

Adherence	Adhered (n=35,25.7%)	Defaulted (n=101,74.3%)	Total (N=136)	P-value
Age in yrs, median (iqi)	28.00 (25.00; 32.25)	27.00 (23.00; 32.00)	28.00 (23.50; 32.00)	0.26
Sex, n (%)				0.71
Male	20 (27.0)	54 (73.0)	74 (54.4)	
Female	15 (24.2)	47 (75.8)	62 (45.6)	
HIV status [□], n (%)				0.00
Negative	4 (11.8)	30 (88.2)	34 (50.7)	
Positive	14 (42.4)	19 (57.6)	33 (49.3)	
Risk of HIV infection [□], n (%)				0.07
Low	9 (39.1)	14 (60.9)	23 (17.7)	
High	23 (21.5)	84 (78.5)	107 (82.3)	
HIV Regimen[¶], n (%)				0.00
TDF/3TC/ATVr	23 (44.2)	29 (55.8)	52 (39.4)	
TDF/3TC/DTG	12 (15.2)	67 (84.8)	79 (59.8)	
AZT/3TC/LOPr	0 (0.0)	1 (100.0)	1 (0.8)	

[□] A denominator of 67 (Known HIV status of source/specimen) was used to calculate total percentages

[□] A denominator of 130 was used to calculate total percentages, missing values (6)

[¶]A denominator of 132 was used to calculate total percentages, missing values (3)

Factors associated with HIV PEP adherence among 128 HCWs following needle stick and sharp injuries; 2017-2022

Multivariate logistic regression was used to assess the effect of the HIV PEP regimen and risk of infection on adherence to the 28-day course. HIV PEP regimen was significantly associated with adherence in bivariate and multivariate analyses: cOR; 5.0 (95% CI 2.1 – 12.0) (p=0.001) and aOR; 4.7 (95% CI 2.0 – 11.0) (p= 0.001). The drug regimen (TDF/3TC/DTG) was significantly associated with non-adherence, with patients using the TDF/3TC/ATVr regimen having a higher completion rate. Goodness-of-fit test after logistic model was assessed using the Hosmer–

Lemeshow test ($\chi^2 = 0.2, p = 0.6570$), A large value of Chi-squared (with small p-value < 0.05) indicates poor fit and small Chi-squared values (with larger p-value closer to 1) indicate a good logistic regression model fit . More details on Table III

Table III: Unadjusted and adjusted Odds ratios from logistic regression analysis

Risk factors	Unadjusted Odds Ratio [95% CI]	p-value	Unadjusted Odds Ratio [95% CI]	p-value
Risk of Hiv Infection				
High	2.3[0.88;6.0]	0.089	1.7[0.59;4.6]	0.337
Low	ref			
HIV PEP Regimen				
TDF/3TC/ATVr	ref			
TDF/3TC/DTG	5.0[2.1;12.0]	0.001	4.7[2.0;11.0]	0.001
AZT/3TC/LPVr	ref			

Discussion

The adherence to HIV PEP among HCWs after occupational exposure due to NSSIs was just 26%, despite more than 80% of the exposures being high-risk. These results are in keeping with findings from wahome et al study at Kenyatta National Referral Hospital in Kenya which showed only 19.5% of HCWs completed the recommended 28-day HIV-PEP therapy, However, the findings are in contrast to other studies from Ghana and South Africa, in which adherence to PEP was found to be 69% and 55.6%, respectively[16–18]. Further research is required to elucidate the reasons for the difference in adherence. The HIV status of the source had an impact on PEP adherence of the HCWs which is contrary to a study done in Nigeria[19] but goes against the Ministry of Health Guidelines which states PEP should be completed regardless of the status of the source material. Drug regimen correlated with adherence rates which is consistent with other studies[12] and provides additional evidence for the importance of well tolerated regiments to improved adherence.

Limitation

The Narrow focus on treatment completion. HIV PEP treatment protocol looks at a broader sense of HIV PEP, which begins with recognition, first aid, and reporting of events as well as taking ARVs. We were also unable to follow up HCWs who were exposed but never presented with PEP. Therefore, we may not explain the many other factors that determine adherence to PEP protocols.

Conclusion

Our study found a 26% completion rate for HIV PEP among HCWs after occupational exposure to NSSIs. The HIV PEP regimen was significantly associated with adherence. Further research is needed to elucidate the factors associated with defaulting PEP, and thus generate appropriate strategies to improve adherence and enhance occupational health.

The results of this study are comparable and broadly applicable to healthcare workers in other healthcare facilities in Kenya and sub-Saharan Africa.

Reference

1. Mengistu DA, Tolera ST, Demmu YM. Worldwide Prevalence of Occupational Exposure to Needle Stick Injury among Healthcare Workers: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol J Can Mal Infect Microbiol Medicale. 2021;2021:9019534.

2. Panlilio AL, Orelien JG, Srivastava PU, Jagger J, Cohn RD, Cardo DM. Estimate of the annual number of percutaneous injuries among hospital-based healthcare workers in the United States, 1997-1998. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2004 Jul;25(7):556–62.

3. Saadeh R, Khairallah K, Abozeid H, Al Rashdan L, Alfaqih M, Alkhatatbeh O. Needle Stick and Sharp Injuries Among Healthcare Workers: A retrospective six-year study. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2020 Feb;20(1):e54–62.

4. HIV/AIDS | WHO | Regional Office for Africa [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Jun 5]. Available from: https://www.afro.who.int/health-topics/hivaids

5. Mengistu DA, Tolera ST. Prevalence of occupational exposure to needle-stick injury and associated factors among healthcare workers of developing countries: Systematic review. J Occup Health. 2020 Jan;62(1):e12179.

6. Assessing the environmental burden of disease at national and local levels : introduction and methods / edited by Annette Prüss-Üstun ... [et al.] [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Jun 5]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42750

7. Bryant J, Baxter L, Hird S. Non-occupational postexposure prophylaxis for HIV: a systematic review. Health Technol Assess Winch Engl. 2009 Feb;13(14):iii, ix–x, 1–60.

8. Cardo DM, Culver DH, Ciesielski CA, Srivastava PU, Marcus R, Abiteboul D, et al. A case-control study of HIV seroconversion in health care workers after percutaneous exposure. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Needlestick Surveillance Group. N Engl J Med. 1997 Nov 20;337(21):1485–90.

9. New Guidelines | Division of National AIDS & STI Control Program [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Jun 5]. Available from: https://www.nascop.or.ke/new-guidelines/

10. Kimaro L, Adinan J, Damian DJ, Njau B. Prevalence of occupational injuries and knowledge of availability and utilization of post exposure prophylaxis among health care workers in Singida District Council, Singida Region, Tanzania. PLOS ONE. 2018 Oct 25;13(10):e0201695.

11. Mashoto KO, Mubyazi GM, Mushi AK. Knowledge of occupational exposure to HIV: a cross sectional study of healthcare workers in Tumbi and Dodoma hospitals, Tanzania. BMC Health Serv Res. 2015 Jan 22;15(1):29.

12. Tetteh RA, Nartey ET, Lartey M, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK, Leufkens HGM, Nortey PA, et al. Adverse events and adherence to HIV post-exposure prophylaxis: a cohort study at the Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital in Accra, Ghana. BMC Public Health. 2015 Jun 20;15(1):573.

13. Mponela MJ, Oleribe OO, Abade A, Kwesigabo G. Post exposure prophylaxis following occupational exposure to HIV: a survey of health care workers in Mbeya, Tanzania, 2009-2010. Pan Afr Med J [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2023 Jun 5];21. Available from: http://www.panafrican-med-journal.com/content/article/21/32/full/

14. Ajibola S, Akinbami A, Elikwu C, Odesanya M, Uche E. Knowledge, attitude and practices of HIV post exposure prophylaxis amongst health workers in Lagos University Teaching Hospital. Pan Afr Med J. 2014;19:172.

15. Thomas R, Galanakis C, Vézina S, Longpré D, Boissonnault M, Huchet E, et al. Adherence to Post-Exposure Prophylaxis (PEP) and Incidence of HIV Seroconversion in a Major North American Cohort. PloS One. 2015;10(11):e0142534.

16. Suglo RE, Aku FY, Anaman-Torgbor JA, Tarkang EE. Predictors of adherence to HIV Post-Exposure Prophylaxis protocol among frontline healthcare workers at the Ho Teaching Hospital, Ghana. Int J Infect Dis IJID Off Publ Int Soc Infect Dis. 2021 May;106:208–12.

17. Factors Contributing To The Uptake And Compliance With HIV post Exposure Prophylaxis (PEP) At Kenyatta National Hospital [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Jun 5]. Available from: http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/handle/11295/64438

18. Makhado L, Musekwa OP, Makhado TG, Otsheleng R. Healthcare practitioners and students' PEP knowledge, attitude and adherence in Southern Africa. Health SA SA Gesondheid. 2022;27:2036.

19. Owolabi RS, Alabi P, Ajayi S, Daniel O, Ogundiran A, Akande TM, et al. Knowledge and practice of post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) against HIV infection among health care providers in a tertiary hospital in Nigeria. J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care Chic Ill 2002. 2012 Jun;11(3):179–83.