1	Pregnancy and Parenthood in Surgical Training
2	
3	Authors' names:
4	Jessica Whitburn (0000-0001-8320-7725), Saiful Miah (0000-0001-5777-518X), Sarah A.
5	Howles (0000-0001-6342-0895)
6	
7	Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 9DU, UK
8	jessica.whitburn@nhs.net Jessica Whitburn
9	Urology Trainee
10	
11	Department of Urology, Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge University Hospitals, Hill Road,
12	Cambridge, CB2 0QQ
13	Saiful.miah@nhs.net Saiful Miah
14	Consultant Urologist
15	
16	Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX3 9DU, UK
17	sarah.howles@nds.ox.ac.uk Sarah A. Howles
18	Wellcome Trust Clinical Career Development Fellow, Honorary Consultant Urological
19	Surgeon
20	
21	Correspondence to:
22	Sarah Anne Howles
23	Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences,
24	University of Oxford,
25	Oxford,
26	OX3 9DU, UK
27	Email: sarah.howles@nds.ox.ac.uk,
28	Telephone: +44 (0)1865857340
29	

30 Word count excluding title page, abstract, references, figures, and tables: 3041

31 Abstract

- 32 **Objectives:** To describe pregnancy outcomes, rates of infertility, patterns of parental leave,
- and working schedules in surgical trainees in the United Kingdom.
- 34 **Design:** Cross sectional survey.
- 35 **Setting:** Surgical training programs in the United Kingdom

36 **Participants:** Four hundred and sixteen individuals who were enrolled on a surgical training

program between June 2022 and March 2023.

Main Outcome Measures: Self-reported age, gender, infertility investigations, pregnancy
 loss, pregnancy-associated complications, live births, parental leave, and working patterns.

40 **Results:** Approximately half of all surgical trainees delayed attempting to have children due 41 to training, over 80% regretted this decision and 23% of trainees had undergone fertility 42 testing. Overall, childbearing surgical trainees experienced a pregnancy loss rate of 31%, and those aged less than 35 years had a pregnancy loss rate of 35%. A third of trainees did not 43 44 take any time off work following pregnancy loss and over half of trainees did not disclose 45 their loss to colleagues. Major pregnancy-associated complications occurred in 31% of 46 pregnancies in surgical trainees, a significantly higher rate than pregnancies in a socio-47 demographically similar control group (9%, p=0.0001). Most trainees continued to work at 48 night throughout their pregnancy and half continued to operate for more than 9 hours each 49 week up until parental leave; trainees felt guilty for burdening their colleagues by reducing their workload. Childbearing surgical trainees on average took 10.2 months of parental 50 51 leave whilst most non-childbearing surgical trainees took 2 weeks; two thirds of non-52 childbearing surgical trainees felt this was insufficient. After parental leave, 61% of 53 childbearing and 15% of non-childbearing surgical trainees reduced their working hours to 54 accommodate family life.

55 **Conclusion:** Surgical trainees often delay parenthood due to training and are at risk of high 56 rates of infertility, pregnancy loss, and major pregnancy-associated complications. This 57 study highlights the need for changes in surgical culture and training structures to improve 58 obstetric health and facilitate family life for surgeons in training.

59

61 Introduction

Women were first admitted to medical schools in the late 19th century and since then, 62 female representation in the medical profession has gradually increased¹. By 2012, in the 63 United Kingdom (UK) over 60% of doctors on the medical register under the age of 30 were 64 women and currently the majority of medical students are female². However, female 65 representation within surgical specialities remains poor; in 2020 only 16% of all consultant 66 surgeons in the UK were female, with variation by speciality from 7% of trauma and 67 orthopaedic surgeons to 32% of paediatric surgeons³. Similarly, data from the United States 68 69 indicate that in 2019 only 22% of all general surgeons were female⁴. Furthermore, surgical training programs have the greatest gender imbalance in England with women constituting 70 only 30% of specialist surgical registrars and 27% of the surgical workforce as a whole⁵. 71

Workforce diversity is of benefit to society and is thought to improve multiple outcomes 72 across organisations^{6,7}. Thus, there has been a drive to increase understanding of the causes 73 of this surgical gender gap and improve access for women to surgical careers. Studies have 74 75 reported that surgery can be seen as an unattractive career option for many female undergraduates due to factors including perceived difficulties in maintaining family life, 76 limited opportunities for flexible training, and a lack of role models^{8–11}. Indeed, the Royal 77 College of Surgeons of England commissioned Kennedy report of 2021 found that the 78 experience of parents in surgery is 'extremely challenging and stressful; it demands urgent 79 80 attention'. Furthermore, data from the USA and Ireland indicates that female surgeons have fewer children, are more likely to delay parenthood, are at greater risk of pregnancy-81 associated complications, and have higher rates of infertility 12-15. It is unclear if these 82 problems persist in other populations, and there is limited data from populations working in 83 84 environments that aim to comply with the European Working Time Directive (EWTD), such 85 as surgical trainees within the UK. It is probable that perceived difficulties in maintaining 86 work-life balance in surgical careers and the reported risks to fertility and pregnancy 87 contribute to gender imbalance in surgical training programs via impaired recruitment and 88 retention.

We sought to increase understanding of experiences of parenthood in surgical training in the UK and define the impact of surgical working practices, which typically includes night shifts, manual handling, and long periods standing to operate, on fertility, and maternal and neonatal health.

94

95 Methods

96 Study design and data collection

97 We performed a descriptive cross-sectional survey in surgical trainees (residents) in the UK. 98 Our methods are reported in accordance with the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet 99 Surveys¹⁶. A 192-item survey entitled 'Pregnancy and Parenthood during Surgical training' was generated (supplementary material). As no existing instrument was validated to 100 evaluate our study aims, questions were developed based on previous surveys and 101 literature review^{12,15,17,18}. The resultant survey explores all aspects of pregnancy. 102 childbearing, and parental leave, including information regarding level of training and 103 104 working hours. Answers were recorded using a combination of binominal, multiple choice, and Likert scales. The survey was tested in male and female surgeons and iteratively revised. 105 106 The web-based survey tool, JISC (2022, Bristol, UK), was used to administer the questionnaire, online completion was facilitated via a weblink. 107

108

109 The survey was distributed via nationally recognised/endorsed surgical specialty trainee groups and publicised on social media platforms. The survey was open for data collection 110 from 24th June – 24th December 2022. All surgical trainees working in the UK were invited to 111 participate to prevent bias. If a surgeon was a parent but not themselves childbearing, they 112 113 were asked to answer questions regarding their partner's pregnancies. These individuals 114 served as a socio-demographically similar control group for pregnancy outcomes and are 115 referred to as non-surgeon partners within the study. Male surgeons with female surgeon 116 partners in training were excluded from analysis to prevent the same data being captured 117 twice. Participation was voluntary and uncompensated; the participant information leaflet 118 explained that survey completion would act as implied consent. Individual trainees were not

- identifiable from the survey. Ethical approval was gained via the Central University Research
- 120 Ethics Committee, University of Oxford (Ref: R80778/RE001).

121

122 Data analysis

123 Data were analysed in GraphPad Prism (version 9.5.0, California, USA). Significance testing 124 was performed using χ^2 or Fishers exact test for non-parametric binary data and Student t 125 test for continuous variables. All tests of significance were 2-tailed. Missing data was 126 omitted from analyses. Pregnancy loss rate was calculated using the formula: (total number 127 of pregnancy losses/total number of pregnancies) x 100.

128 Patient and public involvement

This study was designed and analysed by individuals who are, or have recently been, surgicaltrainees.

131

132 Results

133 **Demographics**

134 A total of 440 surgeons completed the survey and 416 surgeons (346 female and 70 male) 135 were included in the final analysis (Supplemental Figure 1). The majority of participants 136 were aged 30-39 years (Table 1) and responses spanned all training grades (5% CT1-2, 45% 137 ST3-5, 35% ST6-8, 16% out of program/other). Most respondents were married or had a 138 significant partner, childbearing respondents were more likely to be single, and 139 white/caucasian (Table 1). Non childbearing surgeons were more likely to be in urological or 140 ENT training, have a partner working <40 hrs per week, and have a partner working as a physician or surgeon (Table 1). 141

142

143 Childbearing

A total of 352 live births during surgical training were reported by 212 individuals (171 childbearing and 41 non-childbearing). Childbearing and non-childbearing trainee surgeons had similar numbers of children (Table 1). Fifty six percent of childbearing and 40% of non-

childbearing surgical trainees delayed having children due to their training. A large proportion of those who delayed childbearing due to surgical training, regretted this decision (83% childbearing, 86% non-childbearing). Age of first pregnancy of childbearing surgeons and partners of non-childbearing surgeons was comparable (Table 1). Amongst the 402 respondents who were parents or had actively tried to conceive, 23% had undergone fertility testing (Table 1).

	Childbearing surgeon n= 346 (%)	Non-childbearing surgeon n=70 (%)	p value
Relationship status			
Single, never married	31 (9)	0 (0)	
Married/civil partnership/significant other	308 (89)	70 (100)	0.01
Divorced/separated and single	7 (2)	0 (0)	
Race or ethnicity			÷
White/Caucasian	273 (79)	46 (66)	
Asian/Asian British	38 (11)	17 (24)	
Mixed/Multiple ethnic groups	18 (5)	3 (4)	0.00
Black, African, Caribbean/Black British	13 (4)	1(1)	- 0.02
Other	4 (1)	2 (3)	
Not stated	0 (0)	1 (1)	
Age (years)	· ·		
25-29	37 (11)	6 (9)	
30-34	171 (49)	38 (54)	-
35-39	122 (35)	22 (31)	0.67
40-44	15 (4)	3 (4)	1
>45	1 (1)	1 (1)	1
Surgical Speciality	- (-)	- (-/	
Cardiothoracic Surgery	2 (1)	0 (0)	
ENT Surgery	31 (9)	12 (17)	-
General Surgery	42 (12)	3 (4)	-
Neurosurgery	6 (2)	1 (1)	-
Obstetrics and Gynaecology	107 (31)	1 (1)	-
Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery	9 (3)	2 (3)	_
Paediatric Surgery	8 (2)	0 (0)	< 0.000
Plastic Surgery	12 (3)	3 (4)	_
Trauma and Orthopaedics	27 (8)	3 (4)	_
Urology	75 (22)	42 (60)	-
Vascular Surgery	16 (5)	3 (4)	-
Other	11 (3)	0 (0)	_
Partner occupation	11 (3)	0 (0)	
Physician	80 (26)	39 (56)	
Surgeon	40 (13)	2 (3)	_
Other	183 (59)	28 (40)	< 0.000
Unemployed	4 (1)	1 (1)	
Prefer not to answer	1 (0)	0 (0)	-
Partners working hours/week (excluding domestic of		0 (0)	
0-19 hours	7 (2)	8 (11)	
20-19 hours	79 (26)	24 (34)	-
40-59 hours	184 (60)	37 (52)	0.000
+0-39 10013 >60 hours	38 (11)	1 (1)	-
Number of biological children	JO (11)	± (±)	
	172 (50)	26 (37	
1	81 (23)	26 (37	-
2	70 (20)	18 (26)	0.28
			-
>3	23 (7)	5 (7)	
Number of children (maga)	0.04	1 04	0.12
Number of children (mean)	0.84	1.04	0.13
Age of child bearer at first live birth (years, mean)	31.9	31.2	0.10
Undergone Infertility testing	53 (24)	11 (22)	0.86

154 Table 1: Characteristics of the survey participants

156 **Pregnancy loss and infertility**

157 Of the 222 childbearing surgical trainees who had been pregnant, 36% had experienced a 158 pregnancy loss and the pregnancy loss rate was 31%; these figures were comparable to data 159 from non-surgeon partners (Table 2). In surgeons, in all age groups rates of pregnancy loss were higher than those reported in a large registry based study¹⁹. Furthermore, loss rates 160 were 35% in those aged under 35 years at the time of survey completion, a rate which is 161 over 3 times that which would be expected in this age group (Table 2). Data was not 162 163 available regarding the ages of non-surgeon partners at time of pregnancy loss. The majority 164 of pregnancy losses occurred before 12 weeks of gestation; no still births were reported 165 (Table 2). After pregnancy loss, 1 in 3 childbearing surgical trainees did not take any time of work and only 47% disclosed their loss to a colleague. In general, surgical trainees who did 166 share their loss with colleagues found them to be supportive (Supplemental Table 1). 167

168

169 **Table 2: Pregnancy loss in surgical training**

	Childbearing surgeon	Non-surgeon partner	p value
Number of individuals who experienced pregnancy loss (%)	80 (36)	19 (40)	0.62
Gestation at pregnancy loss			
Losses (n) at <12 weeks (%)	114 (90)	24 (83)	
Losses (n) 12-24 weeks (%)	13 (10)	5 (17)	0.3
Still births (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Pregnancy loss rate (%)			Expected*
All ages (410^)	31	30 (19)	-
<30 years [§] (4^)	25	-	10
30-34 years [§] (115^)	35	-	11
35-39 years [§] (246^)	30	-	17
≥40 years [§] (45^)	36	-	32

^{*} Pregnancy loss rates as reported in ages 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, and 40-44 years in Magnus et al^{19 §} Participant

age at time of survey completion, ^total number pregnancies in childbearing surgeons in this age group, - datanot available.

173

174 **Pregnancy-associated complications**

Almost 1 in 5 childbearing surgical trainees had a pregnancy complication that necessitated

176 bedrest and nearly 1 in 10 needed more than 2 weeks off work, these figures are

comparable to those of partners of surgical trainees (Table 3). The majority of trainees who required time away from work felt their colleagues and training programme were supportive of this time off (60% and 62%, respectively). Twelve childbearing surgical trainees reported a financial loss due to work restriction during pregnancy; financial losses ranged from £3,000 to £50,000 (median £7,500).

Minor pregnancy-associated complications included hyperemesis, musculoskeletal issues, 182 183 gestational diabetes, and gastro-oesophageal reflux. Trainees experienced minor 184 complications in 175 pregnancies out of a total of 282 pregnancies (62%), a proportion not significantly different to rates of minor pregnancy-associated complications in the partners 185 186 of non-childbearing surgical trainees (Table 3). Major pregnancy-associated complications were defined as pre-eclampsia or hypertension, placental abruption or bleeding in 187 188 pregnancy, placenta praevia or accrete, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR), and placental 189 insufficiency (including oligohydramnios). Childbearing surgical trainees were significantly 190 more likely to experience major pregnancy complications than the partners of non-191 childbearing surgical trainees (Table 3). Rates of neonatal, intrapartum, and postpartum 192 complications were higher in childbearing surgical trainees than in the partners of surgical 193 trainees, however statistical significance was not reached in this small sample size (Table 3). 194 Babies of childbearing surgical trainees were comparable in weight to those of non-195 childbearing surgical trainees (Table 3).

196

197 Table 3: Pregnancy complications in surgical training

	Childbearing surgeon, n (%)	Non-surgeon partner, n (%)	p value	
Pregnancy complications				
Pregnancy with complication requiring bedrest	50 (18)	10 (14)	0.6	
Pregnancy with one or more minor complication	175 (62)	35 (51)	0.1	
Pregnancy with one or more major complication	87 (31)	6 (9)	0.0001	
Delivery with one or more intrapartum complication	60 (21)	9 (13)	0.13	
Delivery with one or more postpartum complication	27 (10)	4 (6)	0.48	
Neonatal complications				
NICU stay	17 (6)	3 (4)	0.74	
Delivery <38 weeks	35 (12)	7 (10)	0.74	
Birth weight				
<2.5kg	10(4)	6 (8)		
2.5-3kg	54 (19)	15 (22)	0.21	
3-4kg	188 (66)	41 (59)		

>4kg	30(11)	5 (7)	
No answer	1(0)	2 (3)	

198 Work schedule during pregnancy

199 Over 70% of childbearing surgical trainees worked for 40 hours or more each week during 200 pregnancy and 4% worked more than 60 hours per week. Most trainees continued to work 201 at night throughout their pregnancy and half continued to operate for more than 9 hours 202 each week up until maternity leave (Table 4). The majority (70%) of childbearing surgical 203 trainees altered their work schedule during pregnancy; 77% felt guilty for burdening their 204 colleagues by reducing their workload. Of those trainees who did not alter their work schedule in pregnancy, 40% made this decision to avoid being 'considered weak' and 35% 205 206 because of concerns surrounding burdening colleagues.

Table 4: Working patterns during pregnancy

	Childbearing surgeon, n (%)	Non-surgeon partner, n (%)	p value
Average hours worked per week			
<40	78 (28)	33 (48)	
40-60	190 (67)	36 (52)	0.003
>60	10 (4)	0	0.005
No answer	5 (2)	0	
Frequency of overnight on call/nightshifts			
None	53 (19)		
2-4/month	147 (52)		
4-6/month	66 (23)		
>6/month	15 (5)		
No answer	2 (1)		
Hours operating last trimester			
0-8	140 (49)		
9-12	75 (27)		
13-16	41 (14)	-	
>16	26 (9)		
No answer	1 (0.4)		
Average hours worked per week in last trimester			
<30	78 (28)		
30-40	96 (34)		
41-60	105 (37)		
>60	2 (1)		
No answer	2 (1)		

208

209 Breastfeeding

The majority (98%) of childbearing surgical trainees wanted to breastfeed their child, and 85% achieved this for over 6 months. Over two thirds of surgical trainees (79%) breastfed for as long as they wanted to. Surgical trainees who stopped breastfeeding early cited

inadequate work provisions to enable continued breastfeeding as the most common reason;
other barriers included insufficient milk supply, struggles with work schedules and fatigue or
illness.

216

217 Parental leave

218 Childbearing surgical trainees took a mean of 10.2 months of parental leave, which was 219 comparable to parental leave taken by partners of non-childbearing surgical trainees (10.1 220 months). The majority of non-childbearing surgical trainees took 2 weeks of parental leave 221 (n=30, 73%); only 3 respondents took more than 8 weeks of leave, and 2 did not take any 222 leave. Two thirds of non-childbearing surgical trainees felt that the amount of leave taken 223 was insufficient (n=27, 66%) but cited concerns that taking more time would be viewed 224 negatively by colleagues (33%) and that they were reluctant to prolong their training (26%). 225 The non-childbearing surgical trainees who took more than 8 weeks parental leave did not 226 find this easy to arrange. Only a third of non-childbearing surgical trainees found they were 227 able to organise their parental leave without any difficulties (Supplemental Table 2).

228

229 Return to work

230 On return to work after parental leave, 61% (n=104) of childbearing surgical trainees 231 reduced their working hours to less than 40 hours per week, compared to only 15% (n=6) of 232 non-childbearing surgical trainees. Approximately 10% of both childbearing and non-233 childbearing surgical trainees altered their work schedules after parental leave to 234 accommodate family life but continued to work more than 40 hours per week. Almost 1 in 4 235 non-childbearing trainees were unhappy with their work schedule on return to work but did 236 not change it, the main factor preventing non-childbearing trainees from adjusting their 237 work schedule was worry that it would be viewed negatively by colleagues (n=5, 56%, 238 Supplemental Table 3).

239

240 Discussion

241 This study of pregnancy and parenthood in 416 UK surgical trainees reveals that surgeons in 242 training under 35 years of age experience a pregnancy loss rate of 35%, a rate that is more 243 than three times that which would be expected in women of a similar age. In childbearing 244 surgeons of all ages, rates of pregnancy loss are \sim 30%, which is comparable to loss rates reported previously in pregnant female surgeons^{17,19}. Our data revealed similar pregnancy 245 loss rates in the childbearing partners of surgical trainees; this may reflect the fact that 246 nearly 60% of childbearing partners of surgical trainees in this survey were doctors, 247 248 investigation of pregnancy loss rates in other health care professionals should be 249 undertaken. Previous studies have reported that night shifts and working more than 40 hours a week are associated with higher risks of miscarriage^{20–22}. Whilst working patterns 250 251 prior to miscarriage were not assessed in our study, we report that 57% of childbearing 252 surgical trainees worked >40 hours per week during pregnancies that resulted in a live birth 253 and that 81% continuing to work night shifts or take part in an overnight on call during 254 pregnancy. Limiting working hours and overnight commitments for pregnant surgical 255 trainees may help reduce miscarriage rates.

The psychological impact of early pregnancy loss is well recognised²³⁻²⁵. However, 33% of 256 257 childbearing surgical trainees in this study did not take any time off work after miscarriage 258 and approximately half did not reveal to colleagues that they had experienced a pregnancy 259 loss. A survey undertaken in the UK found that 27% of surgical trainees did not feel supported by their department during pregnancy, this may explain hesitancy to disclose 260 information relating to pregnancy loss²⁶. It is well established that doctors are less likely to 261 262 take days off sick in comparison to other healthcare workers with many citing that taking time off will negatively affect their careers and burden their colleagues with extra 263 workload^{27,28}. Surgical training programs should consider offering bereavement support and 264 265 mandated leave after pregnancy loss to reduce this burden of guilt. Appointment of a lead 266 clinician for pregnancy support may help trainees navigate this difficult area, providing a 267 single point of contact to facilitate changes to working schedules in early pregnancy and 268 provide guidance after loss.

270 Studies have previously reported that female physicians and surgeons commonly delay 271 pregnancy due to training commitments despite well-recognised increases infertility and obstetric risks after 35 years of age¹⁵. Our survey reveals that approximately half of all 272 273 surgical trainees in the UK delay childbearing and that the mean age at birth of first child 274 amongst childbearing trainees is 31.9 years. In the UK population, the mean age at birth of first child is 27.8 years²⁹. The vast majority (>80%) of trainee surgeons regretted their 275 276 decision to delay childbearing, and we found that both male and female surgeons underwent infertility investigations more frequently than would be expected in the general 277 UK population³⁰. This data is in keeping with data from the USA which reported higher rates 278 279 of infertility problems and use of assisted reproduction technologies amongst female 280 orthopaedic surgeons and postgraduate medical trainees, but is in contrast to a study in Irish surgical trainees^{13,15,31}. Cultural change and open conversations may enable surgical 281 282 trainees to make better informed decisions about timing of attempts to become parents so 283 that fewer individuals regret decisions to postpone pregnancy.

284

Our study indicates that major complications occur in ~30% of pregnancies in childbearing 285 286 surgical trainees, a rate that was significantly higher than a socio-demographically matched control group and in keeping with other studies of pregnancy in childbearing 287 surgeons^{12,13,15,17}. The causes of these high pregnancy complication rates cannot be 288 289 elucidated by this survey-study, however, associations of adverse pregnancy outcomes with working more than 40 hours a week, prolonged standing, high fatigue scores, shift and night 290 work have been reported; these factors are common in surgical training^{20,22,32,33}. 291 Furthermore, evidence exists that surgical trainees of both sexes omit healthcare 292 maintenance activities including medical check-ups and exercise³⁴. Our study suggests that 293 294 even in combination with statutory maternity leave policies, the rest and working time 295 requirements of the EWTD affords insufficient protection to pregnant surgical trainees. In 296 view of the significantly increased risk of major pregnancy complication in this group it has 297 previously been recommended that childbearing surgeons should be treated as a high-risk obstetric group, a recommendation that is supported by our data^{13,35}. Furthermore, our 298 299 study suggests that employers should, as a matter of priority, offer altered working 300 schedules to pregnant surgical trainees to protect obstetric health.

301 Our study reveals that financial losses due to work restrictions in pregnancy are not 302 uncommon for surgical trainees, steps should be taken to address this source of gender pay 303 gap.

The WHO recommends that infants are exclusively breastfed for the first six months of life, and that mothers should be encouraged to breastfeed for at least a year to provide protection from illnesses and long-term diseases. The rate of initiated and continued breastfeeding in our study was higher than that reported amongst US resident physicians and orthopaedic surgeons and the UK national average^{13,36,37}. Over 75% of surgical trainees breastfeed for as long as they wanted, however, among those who stopped early, 35% reported inadequate work provisions as a factor in early cessation.

311 All UK employees have the right to 52 weeks of maternity leave, and most childbearing individuals will qualify for 39 weeks of statutory maternity pay. In our study, childbearing 312 surgical trainees took an average of 10.2 months of parental leave, the majority of non-313 314 childbearing surgical trainees took 1-2 weeks of parental leave. Most non-childbearing 315 surgical trainees felt that their parental leave was insufficient but were concerned that 316 taking more might be viewed negatively by colleagues. Furthermore, only 15% of non-317 childbearing trainees reduced their working hours after parental leave compared to 61% of 318 childbearing trainees. It may be that adopting a Scandinavian model of shared paid parental 319 leave, with periods ringfenced for each parent, would lead to a more equitable approach to 320 childcare in the medical workforce.

We predict that selection bias was present in this study and that non-childbearing trainees and those who experienced uncomplicated pregnancies were less likely to respond. Indeed, most survey responses were from female trainees despite male trainees comprising most of the surgical workforce. We also recognise that our findings are limited to experiences of surgical trainees in the UK, and therefore caution should be exercised before initiating changes to working practices outside of this setting.

327

In summary, this study provides a contemporary picture of the risks and challenges facing surgical trainees in the UK who are, or wish to become, parents. Our data highlights the need for urgent action to reduce rates of miscarriage and major pregnancy complications in EWTD compliant settings. It is likely that altering working schedules and limiting physical

exertion will mitigate these risks, however, consideration will need to be taken of the impact of changes in working patterns on surgical training. Furthermore, this study indicates that cultural change is needed to empower pregnant trainees to ask for working modifications and time off in pregnancy and to facilitate the ability of non-childbearing surgical parents to take longer periods of parental leave and to access alterations in work schedules. Training programs and national surgical associations must evolve to progress diversity in the surgical workforce and protect the obstetric health of surgeons.

339

340 Acknowledgements

- 341 We acknowledge the contribution of the surgeons in training who provided data for this
- 342 study.

343 Data sharing statement

344 Data utilised in the preparation of this manuscript will be made available to researchers 345 upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

346 **Ethical approval**

- This research was conducted under ethical approval granted via the Central University Research Ethics Committee, University of Oxford (Ref: R80778/RE001).
- 349

350 Transparency statement

- 351 The manuscript's guarantors (J.W. and S.A.H.) affirm that the manuscript is an honest,
- accurate, and transparent account of the study being reported; that no important aspects of
- the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned (and, if
- 354 relevant, registered) have been explained.
- 355 Funding

356 S.A.H. is a Wellcome Trust Clinical Career Development Fellow.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The sponsors had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

- 361 Competing interests
- 362 None

363 Contributors

J.W., S.M., and S.A.H designed this study. J.W. and S.A.H. analysed and interpreted data. J.W. and S.A.H. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors participated in the preparation of the manuscript by reading and commenting on the draft prior to submission. The corresponding author attests that all listed authors meet authorship criteria and that no others meeting the criteria have been omitted.

369

370 Copyright statement

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, a worldwide licence to the Publishers and its licensees in perpetuity, in all forms, formats and media (whether known now or created in the future), to i) publish, reproduce, distribute, display and store the Contribution, ii) translate the Contribution into other languages, create adaptations, reprints, include within collections and create summaries, extracts and/or, abstracts of the Contribution, iii) create any other derivative work(s) based on the Contribution, iv) to exploit all subsidiary rights in the Contribution, v)

- 378 the inclusion of electronic links from the Contribution to third party material where-ever it
- 379 may be located; and, vi) licence any third party to do any or all of the above.

380 References

- 3811.Jefferson L, Bloor K, Maynard A. Women in medicine: historical perspectives and382recent trends. Br Med Bull [Internet]. 2015 Jun;114(1):5–15. Available from:
- 383 https://academic.oup.com/bmb/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bmb/ldv007
- Elstone M. Women and Medicine[®]: The Future. R Coll Physicians. 2009;(June 2009):17.
- Newman TH, Parry MG, Zakeri R, Pegna V, Nagle A, Bhatti F, et al. Gender diversity in
 UK surgical specialties: a national observational study. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2022 Feb
 21;12(2):e055516. Available from:
- 389 https://bmjopen.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-055516
- Arya S, Franco-Mesa C, Erben Y. An analysis of gender disparities amongst United
 States medical students, general surgery residents, vascular surgery trainees, and the
 vascular surgery workforce. J Vasc Surg [Internet]. 2022;75(1):5–9. Available from:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2021.09.029
- 5. Digital N. Medical and dental staff by gender, specialty and grade AH2667.28
- 395 February 2019 to 28 February 2019. [Internet]. Available from:
- 396 https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/find-data-and-
- 397 publications/supplementary-information/2019-supplementary-information-
- 398 files/medical-and-dental-staff-by-gender-specialty-and-grade-ah2667
- 3996.Gomez LE, Bernet P. Diversity improves performance and outcomes. J Natl Med Assoc400[Internet]. 2019;111(4):383–92. Available from:
- 401 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnma.2019.01.006
- Wallis CJ, Ravi B, Coburn N, Nam RK, Detsky AS, Satkunasivam R. Comparison of
 postoperative outcomes among patients treated by male and female surgeons: a
 population based matched cohort study. BMJ [Internet]. 2017 Oct 10;359:j4366.
 Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29018008
- 4068.Fysh THS, Thomas G, Ellis H. Who wants to be a surgeon? A study of 300 first year407medical students. BMC Med Educ. 2007;7:1–5.
- 408 9. Fitzgerald JEF, Tang SW, Ravindra P, Maxwell-Armstrong CA. Gender-related
 409 perceptions of careers in surgery among new medical graduates: Results of a cross410 sectional study. Am J Surg [Internet]. 2013;206(1):112–9. Available from:
 411 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amjsurg.2012.04.009
- 412 10. Kerr HL, Armstrong LA, Cade JE. Barriers to becoming a female surgeon and the 413 influence of female surgical role models. Postgrad Med J. 2016;92(1092):576–80.
- Bellini MI, Graham Y, Hayes C, Zakeri R, Parks R, Papalois V. A woman's place is in
 theatre: Women's perceptions and experiences of working in surgery from the

416 417		Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland women in surgery working group. BMJ Open. 2019;9(1):1–8.
418 419 420	12.	Lerner LB, Stolzmann KL, Gulla VD. Birth Trends and Pregnancy Complications among Women Urologists. J Am Coll Surg [Internet]. 2009;208(2):293–7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2008.10.012
421 422 423	13.	Hamilton AR, Tyson MD, Braga JA, Lerner LB. Childbearing and Pregnancy Characteristics of Female Orthopaedic Surgeons. J Bone Jt Surg [Internet]. 2012 Jun 6;94(11):e77. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/00004623-201206060-00016
424 425 426	14.	L Eskenazi JW. The pregnant plastic surgical resident: results of a survey of women plastic surgeons and plastic surgery residency directors. Plast Reconstr Surg. 1995;95(2):330–5.
427 428	15.	Rogers AC, Wren SM, McNamara DA. Gender and Specialty Influences on Personal and Professional Life Among Trainees. Ann Surg. 2019;269(2):383–7.
429 430 431	16.	Eysenbach G. Improving the quality of Web surveys: the Checklist for Reporting Results of Internet E-Surveys (CHERRIES). J Med Internet Res [Internet]. 2004 Sep 29;6(3):e34. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15471760
432 433 434	17.	Rangel EL, Castillo-Angeles M, Easter SR, Atkinson RB, Gosain A, Hu YY, et al. Incidence of Infertility and Pregnancy Complications in US Female Surgeons. JAMA Surg. 2021;156(10):905–15.
435 436	18.	Rangel EL, Smink DS, Castillo-Angeles M, Kwakye G, Changala M, Haider AH, et al. Pregnancy and motherhood during surgical training. JAMA Surg. 2018;153(7):644–52.
437 438 439	19.	Magnus MC, Wilcox AJ, Morken NH, Weinberg CR, Håberg SE. Role of maternal age and pregnancy history in risk of miscarriage: Prospective register based study. BMJ. 2019;364:1–8.
440 441 442 443	20.	Cai C, Vandermeer B, Khurana R, Nerenberg K, Featherstone R, Sebastianski M, et al. The impact of occupational shift work and working hours during pregnancy on health outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2019;221(6):563–76.
444 445	21.	Jin LZ, Hjollund NH, Andersen AMN, Olsen J. Shift work, job stress, and late fetal loss: The National Birth Cohort in Denmark. J Occup Environ Med. 2004;46(11):1144–9.
446 447 448 449	22.	Begtrup LM, Specht IO, Hammer PEC, Flachs EM, Garde AH, Hansen J, et al. Night work and miscarriage: a Danish nationwide register-based cohort study. Occup Environ Med [Internet]. 2019 May;76(5):302–8. Available from: https://oem.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/oemed-2018-105592
450 451 452	23.	Farren J, Jalmbrant M, Ameye L, Joash K, Mitchell-Jones N, Tapp S, et al. Post- traumatic stress anxiety and depression following miscarriage or ectopic pregnancy: A prospective cohort study. BMJ Open. 2016;6(11).
453 454 455	24.	Farren J, Mitchell-Jones N, Verbakel JY, Timmerman D, Jalmbrant M, Bourne T. The psychological impact of early pregnancy loss. Hum Reprod Update. 2018;24(6):731– 49.

456 457 458	25.	Kulathilaka S, Hanwella R, de Silva VA. Depressive disorder and grief following spontaneous abortion. BMC Psychiatry [Internet]. 2016;16(1):1–6. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12888-016-0812-y
459 460 461	26.	Mohan H, Ali O, Gokani V, McGoldrick C, Smitham P, Fitzgerald JEF, et al. Surgical trainees' experience of pregnancy, maternity and paternity leave: A cross-sectional study. Postgrad Med J. 2019;95(1128):552–7.
462 463	27.	Oxtoby K. Why doctors don't take sick leave. BMJ [Internet]. 2015 Dec 9;h6272. Available from: https://www.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/bmj.h6272
464 465	28.	Glauser W. Is the culture of medicine contributing to miscarriages among female physicians? CMAJ. 2019;191(44):E1229–30.
466 467	29.	Office of National Statistics (ONS). Information on births by parents' characteristic statistics.
468 469	30.	Wilkes S, Chinn DJ, Murdoch A, Rubin G. Epidemiology and management of infertility: A population-based study in UK primary care. Fam Pract. 2009;26(4):269–74.
470 471 472 473	31.	Davids JS, Scully RE, Melnitchouk N. Impact of Procedural Training on Pregnancy Outcomes and Career Satisfaction in Female Postgraduate Medical Trainees in the United States. J Am Coll Surg [Internet]. 2017 Sep;225(3):411-418e2. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/00019464-201709000-00008
474 475 476 477	32.	Snijder CA, Brand T, Jaddoe V, Hofman A, Mackenbach JP, Steegers EAP, et al. Physically demanding work, fetal growth and the risk of adverse birth outcomes. The Generation R Study. Occup Environ Med [Internet]. 2012 Aug;69(8):543–50. Available from: https://oem.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/oemed-2011-100615
478 479	33.	Behbehani S, Tulandi T. Obstetrical Complications in Pregnant Medical and Surgical Residents. J Obstet Gynaecol Canada. 2015;37(1):25–31.
480 481 482 483	34.	Yoo PS, Tackett JJ, Maxfield MW, Fisher R, Huot SJ, Longo WE. Personal and Professional Well-Being of Surgical Residents in New England. J Am Coll Surg [Internet]. 2017 Jun;224(6):1015–9. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/00019464-201706000-00003
484 485 486	35.	Miller NH, Katz VL, Cefalo RC. Pregnancies among physicians. A historical cohort study. J Reprod Med [Internet]. 1989 Oct;34(10):790–6. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2795562
487 488 489	36.	Miller NH, Miller DJ, Chism M. Breastfeeding practices among resident physicians. Pediatrics [Internet]. 1996 Sep;98(3 Pt 1):434–7. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8784369
490 491 492 493	37.	Mc Andrew F, Thompson J, Fellows L, Large A, Spped M, Renfrew MJ. Infant Feeding Survey - UK, 2010 [Internet]. 2012. Available from: https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and- information/publications/statistical/infant-feeding-survey/infant-feeding-survey-uk- 2010
494		