Title:

Evaluation of nanopore sequencing on polar bodies for routine PGT-A

Running title:

Nanopore aneuploidy screening on polar bodies

Authors:

Anna Oberle¹, Franziska Hanzer¹, Felix Kokocinski², Anna Ennemoser¹, Luca Carli¹, Enrico Vaccari¹, Markus Hengstschläger¹, Michael Feichtinger^{1*}

Affiliation:

1 Wunschbaby Institut Feichtinger, Lainzer Straße 6, 1130 Vienna, Austria

2 Gene-Test Bioinformatics Solutions, Jakob-Müller-Str. 16, 68623 Lampertheim, Germany

*Corresponding author: Michael Feichtinger, MD, PhD, EFRM-ESHRE/EBCOG,

michael.feichtinger@wunschbaby.at, +43 1 8777775, Lainzerstrasse 6, 1130 Vienna, Austria

<u>Abstract</u>

STUDY QUESTION:

Is nanopore sequencing for PGT-A analysis of pooled polar bodies a reliable, fast, and cost-effective method and applicable for routine diagnostics in human reproductive care?

SUMMARY ANSWER:

Nanopore sequencing of pooled polar bodies (PB) revealed high concordance rate with traditional array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) analysis and the nanopore sequencing workflow was fast (feasible in under 5 hours) and cost-effective (100-150€ per sample), allowing fresh embryo transfer.

WHAT IS KNOWN ALREADY:

PGT-A using PB biopsy derives a clinical benefit by reducing number of embryo transfers and miscarriage rates but is currently not cost-efficient. Results are often unclear and require expert review. Nanopore sequencing technology opens possibilities by providing cost-efficient, fast sequencing results with uncomplicated sample preparation workflows. Interrogating the polar bodies avoids harming the embryo itself and is the only option for PGT-A in some jurisdictions.

STUDY DESIGN, SIZE, DURATION:

In this prospective clinical trial, 102 pooled PB samples from 20 patients treated for infertility between March and December 2022 were analyzed for aneuploidy using nanopore sequencing technology and compared with aCGH results generated as part of the clinical routine. All patients participating in this trial were treated for infertility at 'Wunschbaby Institut Feichtinger' (WIF) in Vienna and chose aneuploidy screening of their polar bodies. All patients provided written informed consent.

PARTICIPANTS/MATERIALS, SETTING, METHODS:

PB samples were analyzed by aCGH for routine PGT-A. Aliquots of whole-genome amplified DNA were anonymized and prepared for sequencing by end-prepping, barcoding and adapter ligation. Samples were pooled equimolar for sequencing on a Nanopore MinION machine. Samples were sequenced for up to 9 hours for 6 pooled PB samples. Whole-chromosome copy-numbers were called by a custom bioinformatic analysis software after alignment and pre-processing. Automatically called results were compared to aCGH results.

MAIN RESULTS AND THE ROLE OF CHANCE:

In total, 99 pooled polar body samples were compared, three samples were excluded because of failed or uninterpretable results. Overall, the Nanopore sequencing workflow showed high concordance rates with aCGH: 96 of 99 samples were consistently detected as euploid or aneuploid (concordance=97%, specificity = 0.957, sensitivity = 1.0, PPV = 0.906, NPV = 1.0) and 91 samples showed a fully concordant karyotype (92%). Chromosomal aneuploidies analyzed in this trial covered all 23 chromosomes with 98 trisomies, 97 monosomies in 70 aCGH samples.

Detailed calculation of time and cost for the nanopore sequencing workflow was performed for different scenarios. Time calculation revealed that the whole nanopore workflow is feasible in under 5 hours (for one sample) with maximum time of 16 hours (for 12 samples in parallel). This enables fresh PB euploid embryo transfer. Material cost for the whole workflow range between 100€ and 150€, including sequencing cost of only 40€ per sample, resulting in cost-efficient aneuploidy screening.

LIMITATIONS, REASONS FOR CAUTION:

For some samples, the reference result remained unclear, due to increased noise and limited resolution of the aCGH method. In particular, the small chromosomes show higher variability in both platforms and manual review is often required. A larger study with follow-up data or a clinical non-selection trial would be beneficial for increased confidence.

WIDER IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS:

This is the first clinical study, systematically comparing nanopore sequencing for an uploidy of pooled polar bodies with standard detection methods. High concordance rates confirmed feasibility of nanopore technology for this application. Additionally, the fast and cost-efficient sequencing workflow reveals clinical utility of this technology, making polar body PGT-A clinically attractive.

STUDY FUNDING/COMPETING INTEREST(S):

The study was funded by the Wunschbaby Institut Feichtinger, Dr. Wilfried Feichtinger GmbH. The authors declare no competing conflict of interest.

TRIAL REGISTRATION NUMBER: Not available

<u>Keywords</u>

PGT-A, Polar Body Biopsy, Nanopore Sequencing, Oxford Nanopore Technology, Cost effective PGT-A

1 Introduction

2 With increasing female age, the probability for aneuploid embryos increases and with it the risk of age-3 related infertility, abortions and children born with trisomy 21 (Franasiak et al., 2014). Early pregnancy 4 loss and spontaneous abortions are most frequently caused by chromosomal abnormalities of the 5 embryo (Petracchi et al., 2009). In contrast to maternal age, paternal age plays a minor role for 6 chromosomal abnormalities (Fonseka and Griffin, 2011). Preimplantation-genetic testing for 7 aneuploidy (PGT-A) is used to screen for unbalanced chromosomal distributions in embryos and can 8 therefore reduce miscarriage rates and improve pregnancy and life-birth rates per embryo-transfer, 9 especially in patients with advanced-maternal age (Feichtinger et al., 2015; Rubio et al., 2017).

DNA analyzed in PGT-A can originate from trophectoderm cells, blastomere cells or polar bodies. All 10 11 three sources have advantages and specific limitations. While polar body analysis allows the detection 12 of meiotic maternal aneuploidies only, the analysis of trophectoderm biopsy (TEB) and blastomere 13 biopsy can detect embryogenic aneuploidies. However, blastomere biopsy can possibly damage the 14 embryo (Cohen et al., 2007; Scott et al., 2013) and was shown to provide limited clinical benefit 15 (Mastenbroek et al., 2007). Blastomere biopsy is therefore not commonly performed anymore. In TEB 16 analysis, which is currently seen as the gold standard for PGT-A, diagnosed trophectoderm 17 aneuploidies might differ from embryogenic material of the inner cell mass due to mosaicisms (Viotti 18 et al., 2021). Embryos classified as aneuploid or mosaic by TEB can lead to euploid, healthy life-births, 19 indicating discordances between inner cell mass and trophectoderm or self-repair mechanisms (Greco 20 et al., 2015; Treff and Marin, 2021).

21 In contrast, PGT-A using polar body biopsy leaves less room for interpretation, since mitotic errors in 22 cell division leading to mosaicisms are not detected here. In a large multicenter randomized clinical 23 trial ('ESHRE Study into the Evaluation of oocyte Euploidy by Microarray analysis', ESTEEM trial), PGT-24 A using polar body biopsy was shown to significantly reduce the number of embryo transfer needed 25 for live birth, reduce number of cryopreserved embryos and reduce miscarriage rates (Verpoest et al., 26 2018). These findings highlight the clinical benefit for PGT-A using polar body biopsy. In contrast to 27 PGT-A using TEB, polar body biopsy allows fresh embryo transfer and can avoid freeze-all procedures. 28 Additionally, due to legal restrictions for TEB analysis in several European countries, polar body biopsy 29 is performed frequently in countries like Germany or Austria (Hengstschläger and Feichtinger, 2005; 30 Ven et al., 2008).

31 However, polar body analysis was stated not to be cost effective using average published costs for 32 PGT-A and IVF/ICSI treatment neither specifically in Germany nor in a universally applicable scenario using data of the ESTEEM trial (Neumann and Griesinger, 2020; Neumann et al., 2020). Average cost 33 34 per patient (five analyzed oocytes) was stated with around US\$ 5.200 while the threshold for PGT-A to 35 be cost-effective was calculated between US\$ 285 (low-cost scenario) and US\$ 2.204 (high-cost 36 scenario) (Neumann et al., 2020). Therefore, lowering the cost for polar body PGT-A and PGT-A in 37 general is an important goal to improve patient acceptance and to access the clinical benefit for this 38 intervention.

PGT-A is often performed using short-read next-generation sequencing (NGS), which requires high initial investment costs and high running expenses. Third-generation sequencing using nanopores is a novel technology with the potential to perform sequencing in a fast, easy, and cost-effective way, enabling application even in small and less well-financed clinics (Cabibbe et al., 2020). Continuous development of the technology led to significant improvements in sequencing quality, accuracy, flow cells and data analysis (Amarasinghe et al., 2020; Jain et al., 2018).

Feasibility of long-read nanopore sequencing for PGT was mainly shown for structural variants and monogenetic disease (Cretu Stancu et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2019; Madjunkova et al., 2020; Margolis et

47 al., 2021; Pei et al., 2022), which comes with high costs and is far from clinical routine (Margolis et al., 48 2021). PGT-A from TEB samples using nanopore sequencing was first demonstrated in a small pilot 49 study, where 9 TEB samples were analyzed with an optimized nanopore protocol and compared to 50 cytogenetic analysis using NGS (Wei et al., 2018). A follow-up study from the same group compared their optimized nanopore aneuploidy analysis of 52 chorionic villi samples, 50 amniotic fluid samples, 51 52 64 placental or fetal tissue samples and 52 TEB samples with standard NGS PGT-A screening (Wei et 53 al., 2022). Overall, the authors saw very good concordance rates with 100% concordant results for 54 chorionic villi, amniotic fluid and placenta or fetal tissue samples. TEB samples showed one false 55 negative result with a trisomy 21 not being detected by their nanopore sequencing protocol. The 56 optimized nanopore workflow was notably faster (2-6 hours) and more cost-effective (around 50 US\$ 57 sequencing cost per sample) compared to traditional NGS screening. Additionally, the workflow was 58 verified by independently trained laboratory technicians with 100% concordance (Wei et al., 2022). 59 This was the first systematic clinical evaluation of nanopore sequencing for an uploidy including PGT-60 A with TEB samples. However, due to limited sample number and missing independent external 61 verification of PGT-A samples in this study, further clinical studies are needed to transfer the 62 technology into clinical PGT-A routine analysis.

In the present study, pooled polar bodies from 99 oocytes were analyzed using nanopore sequencing
 and compared to results generated as part of the clinical routine using array comparative genomic

65 hybridization (aCGH). This is the first study that systematically analyses aneuploidy from polar body

66 samples using nanopore sequencing technology including detailed cost analysis of the nanopore

67 sequencing workflow.

68 Material and Methods

69 Study design and sampling

70 The present study was designed as a prospective comparative study for an uploidy analysis of 100 71 pooled polar bodies using the novel nanopore sequencing technology in comparison with standard 72 routine analysis by aCGH. All participating patients were treated for infertility at the 'Wunschbaby 73 Institut Feichtinger' (WIF) in Vienna and chose to perform polar body analysis for aneuploidy of their 74 oocytes. All patients included in this study provided written informed consent. The study was approved 75 by the Ethic Committee of the Medical University Vienna (EK-1249/2022). After oocyte pick-up and 76 fertilization by intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), both polar bodies were taken simultaneously 77 16 to 18 hours after fertilization and placed in a sterile PCR tube with 2.5 μ l of fresh, sterile phosphate-78 buffered saline (PBS). Opening of the zona pellucida was performed using RI Saturn 5 Active[™] 79 (Research Instruments Ltd, UK). Polar body samples were frozen at -20°C and transported to an 80 external genetic diagnostic laboratory for routine analysis.

81 DNA Amplification and reference analysis

PGT-A routine analysis of pooled polar bodies was performed after the standard GentiSure Pre-Screen
Kit for Single Cell Analysis Kit (Agilent Technologies, USA) protocol, including Whole Genome
Amplification (WGA) with REPLI-g Single Cell Kit using a phi29 polymerase process (QIAGEN, Germany),
Fluorescent Labeling and CGH Microarray. This standard protocol was adapted for Polar Body Diagnosis
(PBD). Around 5µg of WGA aliquots from pooled polar body samples were anonymized and used for
nanopore sequencing.

88 Nanopore Library Preparation

The library preparation workflow and bioinformatic data analysis pipeline for polar body nanopore sequencing for aneuploidy was set-up and optimized in a pre-clinical study using sequencing of known euploid and aneuploid genomic DNA and single-cells from fibroblast cell lines (Coriell, USA) (Oberle et al., 2022).

After receiving anonymized WGA amplified DNA samples, quality was confirmed by 0.8% agarose gel
electrophoresis (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and quantity was measured by Qubit 4 fluorometer
(Thermo Fisher Scientific, Singapore). Then WGA DNA was purified using gDNA Clean & Concentrator25 columns (Zymo Research, USA) with elution in 100µl nuclease-free Water (Ambion by Life
Technologies, USA).

As part of the protocol optimization, around 1.5µg of purified DNA was prepared for some samples by
 digestion of single-stranded regions using T7 Endonuclease I (New England Biolabs (NEB), UK) to
 reduce or remove branched structures which were introduced during amplification. DNA was purified
 using gDNA Clean & Concentrator-25 columns (Zymo Research, USA) and eluted in 50µl nuclease-free
 water.

For library preparation, the Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT, UK) Kits 'Ligation Sequencing Kit' (SQL-LSK109) and 'Native Barcoding Expansion' (EXP-NBD104) were used according to manufacturer's recommendations.

Briefly, for DNA repair and end-preparation, 1-1.5µg purified DNA in 48µl nuclease-free water was
 added to 2µl NEBNext® FFPE DNA Repair Mix with 3.5µl buffer and 3µl NEBNext® Ultra[™] II End-prep
 Enzyme Mix with 3.5µl buffer (all from NEB, UK) and incubated for 5 minutes at 20°C plus 5 minutes at
 65°C. The end-prepped DNA was purified using DNA Clean & Concentrator-5 columns (Zymo Research)

with elution in 25µl nuclease-free water and purified DNA concentration was measured by Qubitfluorometer.

For native barcode ligation, around 500 to 700 ng end-prepped, purified DNA was diluted in 22,5µl nuclease-free water and 2,5µl Native Barcode (ONT) plus 25µl Blunt/TA Ligase Master Mix (NEB) was added, followed by 10 minutes incubation at room temperature. Barcoded DNA was purified using DNA Clean & Concentrator-5 columns (Zymo Research), eluted in 26µl nuclease-free water and concentration was measured using Qubit fluorometer. Purified, barcoded DNA samples for one

- sequencing run were pooled equimolar in one tube and diluted in 65µl with nuclease-free water.
- 118 Pooled barcoded samples for one sequencing run (between 4 to 8 samples, see Suppl. Table 1) were 119 ligated to AMII adapters (ONT) by adding 5µl AMII adapter, 20µl NEBNext® Quick Ligation Reaction 120 Buffer and 10µl Quick T4 DNA Ligase (both NEB) and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature. 121 For the final clean-up, SPRIselect beads (Beckman Coulter, USA) were utilized to ensure elimination of 122 small DNA fragments. 50µl (=0.5x) SPRIselect beads were added to the ligation mix, incubated for 5 123 minutes at room temperature. Beads bound to DNA were pelleted on a magnetic stand, supernatant 124 was removed, and pelleted beads were washed two times with Short Fragments Buffer (SFB, ONT). 125 After the second wash, all remaining SFB was removed, and beads were dried briefly. Dried beads were 126 resuspended thoroughly with 15µl Elution Buffer (ONT) and incubated at room temperature for 10 127 minutes. Beads were pelleted and purified DNA library was transferred into a clean tube and quantified 128 using a Qubit fluorometer.

129 Nanopore sequencing

The sequencing-ready library was primed and loaded on a MinION R9.4 flow cell (FLO-MIN106D, ONT)
 according to manufactures recommendation using 25-30 fmol DNA library. Sequencing was performed

according to manufactures recommendation using 25-50 million DNA library. Sequencing was performed

132 on MinION Mk1C with simultaneous base calling using standard base calling settings and quality 133 threshold (minimum Q-score) of 8. Sequencing time was adapted according to number of samples

threshold (minimum Q-score) of 8. Sequencing time was adapted according to number of samples pooled and quality of the flow cell (pores available) with average sequencing time of around 9 hours

- for 6 pooled PB samples resulting in a median number of 105,670 reads (after pre-processing, stdev=
- 136 76,444) and 210 Mbases (stdev=100) generated per sample (detail sequencing constitution, time and
- 137 output in Suppl. Table 1).

138 Data analysis

139 Nanopore's *MinKNOW* software system controls the raw data acquisition, performing basecalling and 140 demultiplexing with *Guppy* as well as FASTQ file creation. Following on, a data analysis pipeline was 141 applied, developed for long read-based PGT-A data using standard bioinformatics tools and custom 142 software scripts. The specific processing steps are described in the following.

143 Primary data analysis consisted of read cleaning with Porechop (Porechop, RRID:SCR_016967) and 144 Nanofilt (De Coster et al., 2018), alignment to the human genome GRCh38 with minimap2 (Li, 2018) 145 and creation of BAM files with samtools (Li et al., 2009). Secondary processing steps made use of the QDNAseq R software package (Scheinin et al., 2014) and include binning of reads using a custom 500 146 147 kb bin matrix, GC content and mappability correction, median and reference normalisation, 148 smoothing, segmentation and copy-number calling. A set of five samples were used as a combined 149 reference to capture experiment-specific bias. They represented the first few samples of the study 150 with a representative profile and without whole-chromosome copy-number changes as assessed by aCGH. Further processing was performed to filter and summarize the data, producing a report with 151 152 genome-wide copy-number plots, noise measurements and automatically detected changes per 153 chromosome and sample.

154 As quality measures of the nanopore sequencing samples, MAPD (Median of the Absolute values of all

Pairwise Differences) and noise (median standard deviation of normalised read counts within each segment) values are reported for all chromosomes as well as for each sample and automatically plotted

157 in the chromosomal distribution plots. Samples resulting in a total noise value greater than 0.6 and

158 MAPD value greater than 1.7 were excluded from the comparison (Suppl. Table 1).

159 <u>Results</u>

160 Study design and nanopore sequencing workflow:

161 In this study, 102 pooled PB samples from 20 patients were analyzed for PGT-A by nanopore 162 sequencing and compared to clinical routine PGT-A analysis by aCGH. Medium age of all participating 163 patients was 40,5 years (from 35 to 46 years).

After PB biopsy 16-18 hours after fertilization, all pooled PB samples were frozen, shipped to the genetic diagnostic laboratory and whole-genome amplification was performed. All samples were successfully amplified while a negative control (culture medium) for each batch showed no amplification and aCGH was performed for all 102 PB samples. WGA aliquots were anonymized and used for nanopore sequencing. Schematic study design is shown in Figure 1.

Amplified PB samples were prepared for nanopore sequencing by DNA-repair, end-preparation, Barcode ligation and Adapter ligation. Samples for one nanopore sequencing run were pooled and sequenced on a MinION sequencing machine. For each sequencing run, between four and seven samples were sequenced simultaneously. Flow cells were re-used for maximum of 12 samples. A detailed list showing all sequencing runs and the respective samples is shown in Supplementary Table 1. The nanopore sequencing workflow, including data analysis and average time calculation is shown in Figure 2.

- 1/3 111
- 176

177 Detailed time and cost calculation:

To evaluate if nanopore sequencing for PGT-A can be utilized in clinical routine, we performed a 178 179 detailed time and cost analysis for our nanopore sequencing workflow, which is listed in 180 Supplementary Tables 2 and 3. The nanopore sequencing workflow used in this study starting from 181 amplified DNA until ploidy result is feasible in one and a half hours with one sample being sequenced 182 separately. For 12 samples being sequenced in parallel, we recommend sequencing 6 samples on one 183 flow cell. Then, sample preparation, sequencing and data analysis is feasible in around 12 hours (Supplementary Table 2). Amplification of the pooled polar bodies additionally take between 1.5 hours 184 185 and 4.5 hours per sample, depending on the kit used for WGA and the number of samples run in parallel. In our study, WGA was performed using REPLIg Single Cell Kit (QIAGEN) with a 2.5 h 186 187 amplification protocol.

188 Material cost for the whole workflow, including WGA, sample preparation, sequencing and data 189 analysis range from 100€ until 220€ per sample. A detailed list of time and cost calculation for different 190 scenarios is shown in Supplementary Table 2 and 3. Initial investment cost for nanopore sequencing 191 can be neglected because prices for nanopore starter packages, where MinION sequencing machines 192 are included cover material costs.

193

194 Quality control and genome coverage for nanopore sequencing workflow:

In total three samples were excluded from the comparison due to failed or uninterpretable results.
Two samples (PB61, PB95) were excluded due to failed or uninterpretable aCGH (2 %) and one sample
(PB48) was excluded due to failed quality check after nanopore sequencing with noise and MAPD
values below quality threshold (1%).

Data analysis from nanopore sequencing results revealed medium sequencing output of 210 Mbases and a median of 105,670 reads per sample (after pre-processing, 70 to 650 Mbases and 32,924 to

201 374,841 reads). A median of 3% (88 Mbases) of the genome was covered by one or more reads (between 0.9 – 9.3%). With the 0.5 Mbases bin matrix applied in the analysis, a smoothing window of 202 203 6 bins and a calling limit of 20 bins, the application has a theoretical resolution of around 10 Mbases. 204 This is comparable with the common resolution for PGT-A using aCGH or NGS (6-10 Mbases) (Snider 205 et al., 2021). Average Q-score of all nanopore-sequenced samples was 8. Quality was additionally 206 verified in the bioinformatic data analysis pipeline by noise and MAPD values. Average noise of all 207 samples was 0.428 (between 0.230 and 0.724) and average MAPD was 1.164 (between 0.720 and 208 1.809). All noise and MAPD values are listed in Supplementary Table 1 and realtionship between both 209 quality measures is visualized in Supplementary Figure 1.

210

211 Comparison of PGT-A results from nanopore sequencing with routine aCGH results:

212 In total, 99 pooled PB samples for PGT-A screening were utilized in this study for comparison of ploidy 213 status by routine aCGH and by nanopore sequencing workflow. From these 99 samples, 29 were 214 detected euploid (29.3 %) and 70 were detected aneuploid (70.7 %) by aCGH reference. This is 215 expected, given the patient cohort with medium female age of 40.5 years. Nanopore sequencing 216 analysis detected 32 samples as euploid and 67 as aneuploid resulting in 97% concordance with aCGH 217 analysis and a sensitivity of 0.95, specificity of 1.0 with a positive predictive value (PPV) of 1.0 and a 218 negative predictive value (NPV) of 0.90 (Figure 1). Three samples detected as an euploid using aCGH 219 revealed an euploid chromosomal pattern using nanopore sequencing. All three samples showed a 220 single aneuploid chromosome (+22, +19, -16, respectively) by aCGH and these elevations or reductions 221 were visible but below threshold at nanopore sequencing, as listed in Supplementary Table 1.

222 In total, aCGH analysis detected 195 aneuploid chromosomes in the 99 samples, with 98 trisomies and 97 monosomies covering all 23 chromosomes, shown in Figure 3. Counting the exact match (all 223 chromosomes concordant but assigning "multiple" to karyotypes with more than three aberrations) of 224 225 nanopore sequencing results with aCGH results, we found 91.9% concordance (91 of 99 samples 226 showing exact match). Segmental changes were not addressed in this study. For all samples, where 227 Endonuclease digest was performed following WGA, the results with Endonuclease digestion were 228 utilized for this comparison (see below). Example chromosomal distribution plots of euploid and 229 aneuloid detected samples, which are automatically created from bioinformatic data analysis pipeline 230 after nanopore sequencing are shown in Figure 4.

- To assist the physicians and patients in the process of decision-making, a further critical evaluation of euploid-detected PBs can be performed and marginal/borderline elevated or reduced chromosomes can be mentioned together with the euploid result in the clinical report. Here, we performed manual revision of all euploid-detected samples after nanopore sequencing and the additional information
- about slightly elevated or reduced chromosomes can be found in Supplementary Table 1.
- 236

237 Endonuclease digestion step:

As part of this study, we evaluated the importance of an endonuclease digestion step. 28 samples were run in parallel with and without endonuclease digestion step. While samples with a high signal to noise ratio (between copy-number changes and the normal level) show matching results, the additional digestion clearly reduces noise and improves calling for challenging cases. Reduction of average noise and MAPD values, as well as improvements of sequencing-output per time is shown in Figure 5. Detailed comparison of sequencing results with and without endonuclease digest is listed in Supplementary Table 4. For the calculation of concordance between aCGH and nanopore sequencing,

results after Endonuclease digest were utilized for all these samples. For all new investigations werecommend adding this step.

247 <u>Discussion</u>

The present study is the first study that systematically compares polar body PGT-A analysis using nanopore sequencing with routine aCGH analysis. PGT-A results on pooled polar bodies using novel nanopore sequencing analyses showed high concordance rates compared with routinely performed aCGH.

Even though PGT-A through trophectoderm biopsy can be regarded the gold standard of preimplantation genetic testing nowadays, polar body analysis has several advantages.

More and more patients undergoing assisted reproduction in Europe and the US are of advanced reproductive age and thus potentially benefitting from PGT-A (European IVF-monitoring Consortium (EIM) et al., 2017). However, those patients tend to have low to very low ovarian reserve leading to poor response to ovarian stimulation. Thus, those treatments often do not result in good quality blastocysts eligible for trophectoderm biopsy and cryopreservation. Furthermore, freeze-all strategies in normal and poor-responders have been shown to reduce cumulative ongoing pregnancy rates compared with fresh embryo transfers (Wong et al., 2021).

261 Polar body analysis allows fresh embryo transfer and reduces unnecessary lab procedures like 262 extended blastocyst culture and cryopreservation of potentially aneuploid embryos. Since the polar 263 bodies represent a byproduct of the oocyte, no essential structure of the future embryo is harmed. 264 Even though polar body analysis covers only the maternal part of meiotic aneuploidies, those are 265 thought to contribute to up to 99% of meiotic aneuploidies with only 1% paternal meiotic aneuploidies (Lodge and Herbert, 2020). Postzygotic mitotic aneuploidies on the other hand might result in mosaic 266 267 embryos (Treff and Marin, 2021). Mosaic embryos have been shown to have the potential to result in 268 healthy live births, questioning the sensitivity of trophectoderm biopsy and potentially leading to the 269 discardment of healthy embryos diagnosed as aneuploid (Greco et al., 2015; Victor et al., 2019). Polar 270 body analysis on the other hand is not challenged by mosaicism and therefore does not imply this risk.

Apart from medical considerations, polar body diagnosis might be applied in countries with legalrestrictions to trophectoderm biopsy or embryo cryopreservation.

Polar body biopsy compared to untested embryo transfer has been shown to reduce miscarriage rates
and to increase pregnancy and live birth rates per euploid transfer in patients of advanced maternal
age (Feichtinger et al., 2015; Verpoest et al., 2018). However, up to very recently, polar body biopsy
did not prove cost-efficient, resulting in significantly higher costs per patient and per live birth
(Neumann et al., 2020).

278 The present study systematically compares PB PGT-A analysis using nanopore sequencing with routine 279 aCGH analysis. The concordance rate between both technologies found in this study was 97% for 280 euploid/aneuploid decision and 92% for exact match. These concordance rates are high, given the 281 different nature of the methods and the delicate sample type of PB biopsy. Similar studies comparing 282 different technologies for PGT-A analysis received slightly higher concordance rates (Kung et al., 2015; 283 Sachdeva et al., 2017; Walters-Sen et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2022). However, genetic material utilized in 284 these studies was originated from trophectoderm biopsy, which comprises significantly more genetic 285 starting material than PB biopsy, resulting in more stable and uniform whole genome amplification. From 102 PB samples analyzed with nanopore sequencing in our study, 101 samples passed the quality 286 287 threshold, indicating a high reliability of the technology.

In a comparable study using nanopore sequencing in comparison with standard clinical detection methods (NGS, FISH, aCGH), discordant aneuploidy results were re-examined and the initial nanopore sequencing results were confirmed in these samples, showing that even established clinical testing

methods like FISH or aCGH might result in misdiagnosis (Wei et al., 2022). Misdiagnosis of routine aCGH
 PGT-A analysis has also been shown previously in a study comparing aCGH with NGS results (Sachdeva

- et al., 2017). In the present study using material from pooled polar bodies, re-examination of aCGH
- 294 was not possible due to limited sample resource.

295 Independent of the technology, the analysis of chromosomal material is complicated by the different 296 characteristics of human chromosomes (Piovesan et al., 2019). The short chromosomes 19, 20, 21, 22 297 and Y provide limited material which leads to an increased variability in measurements. The GC-richest 298 chromosomes 16, 17, 19 and 22 often display increased levels of noise. Also chromosomes with 299 heterochromatic polymorphisms, like chromosomes 1, 9 and 16 differ due to normal, benign 300 variations in heterochromatin-content, which can be visualized by microscopy but is hard to interpret 301 in sequencing-based methods or aCGH (Hernandez-Nieto et al., 2021). In our study, the chromosomes 302 9, 19, 21 and 22 showed most discordances (Figure 3).

303 Nanopore sequencing has several advantages, compared to aCGH or NGS analysis, including but not 304 limited to the fast turnaround time, inexpensive sequencing, as well as small initial investment costs 305 needed. These advantages might lead to cost-efficient diagnostics for clinical applications. Time and 306 cost of nanopore sequencing for clinical reproductive healthcare has been reported in several 307 publications, but detailed statements on how these number can be achieved are often not stated 308 (Bartalucci et al., 2019; Cretu Stancu et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2018, 2022). Here, we provide detailed 309 insight in material costs and preparation, sequencing, and data analysis time for different scenarios 310 (Supplementary Table 2 and 3).

311 Several factors significantly influence the laboratory and sequencing time as well as material costs. The 312 main factor influencing the time per sample is the number of samples, that are prepared and 313 sequenced in parallel, mostly influencing sequencing time, but also sample preparation time. The main 314 factor influencing cost per sample is the sample throughput per year, because flow cell price changes 315 significantly with the number of flow cells purchased per year (between 450€ and 900€ per flow cell). 316 Here, we calculate with 48 flow cells per year (approximately 500 samples/year), leading to a flow cell 317 price of 475€ (ONT Store EU, visited in April 2023). Since flow cells can be re-used after sequencing, 318 sequencing price per sample does not change much with lower samples being sequenced in parallel. 319 For one sample, the whole analysis workflow, including WGA, library preparation, sequencing and data 320 analysis is possible in under 5 hours and for 12 samples being prepared in parallel, this workflow is 321 feasible in 14 to 16 hours (Supplementary Table 3), leading to same-day results.

322 The fact that nanopore sequencing, in contrast to aCGH or NGS applications, does not require high initial investment costs, opens the possibility for decentralized diagnostic laboratories associated to 323 324 IVF clinics. With more, decentralized diagnostic laboratories, transportation of genetic material to 325 large, centralized laboratories is not necessary, again leading to faster turnaround-time. The fast 326 turnaround-time of the optimized nanopore sequencing workflow used in this study opens the 327 possibility for same-cycle transfer of euploid embryos, leading again to a cost reduction by reducing 328 freeze-all procedures and hormonal treatment for the embryo transfer, possibly leading to a shorter 329 time-to-pregnancy.

Another advantage of nanopore sequencing compared to aCGH or NGS is the high flexibility of the sequencing method. Sequencing data as well as quality measures of the data generated, and the flow cell health can be monitored in real-time during nanopore sequencing. If more data is required or quality of the library is poor, sequencing can easily be prolonged or repeated on the same flow cell until sufficient amount of data is generated. This can reduce the necessity to repeat uninterpretable results and can reduce prolonged time-to diagnosis.

- In our study, the data analysis pipeline automatically generates the sequencing plot with significantly
- abnormal chromosomes being highlighted and quality measures (noise and MAPD) are plotted for each
- chromosome. Example plots are shown in Figure 4. The karyotype is reported automatically according
- to the optimized bioinformatic pipeline. This automation together with the clear and easy-to-read
- karyotype plot makes the nanopore sequencing data very easy to read and understand. Interpretation
- of the results does not require years of expert knowledge and experience, which is often required for
- the interpretation of aCGH plots. The automated analysis pipeline is not dependent on subjective
- 343 decision-making of the responsible geneticist or physician.
- Nevertheless, following the clinical practice, we additionally performed manual review of all euploiddetected samples (Supplementary Table 1). This additional annotation about small chromosomal aberrations does not change the automatically reported result (euploid) but can be provided as additional information in the medical report. This report can facilitate the embryo prioritization and decision-making for the physician and the patients as part of their fertility treatment.
- Taken together, pooled polar body nanopore sequencing revealed high concordance rates comparedto conventional aCGH with minimized time and financial resources required.

Author's roles

AO, MF, and MH designed the study. AO optimized the nanopore sequencing workflow and supervised the experiments. FH performed the nanopore sequencing, FK performed data analysis. AO, FH, FK, MF, and MH interpreted the results. AO, FH, FK, and MF designed and wrote the manuscript. AE, LC, EV performed PB biopsies and provided insights in embryology. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all patients participating in this study! We also thank all staff members from HLN Genetic GmbH for providing the reference samples and for the collaboration in this study.

Funding

The study was funded by the Wunschbaby Institut Feichtinger, Dr. Wilfried Feichtinger GmbH. No external funding was applied for this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Amarasinghe, S.L., Su, S., Dong, X., Zappia, L., Ritchie, M.E., and Gouil, Q. (2020). Opportunities and challenges in long-read sequencing data analysis. Genome Biol. 21.

Bartalucci, N., Romagnoli, S., Contini, E., Marseglia, G., Magi, A., Guglielmelli, P., Pelo, E., and Vannucchi, A.M. (2019). Long Reads, Short Time: Feasibility of Prenatal Sample Karyotyping by Nanopore Genome Sequencing. Clin. Chem. *65*, 1604–1605.

Cabibbe, A.M., Spitaleri, A., Battaglia, S., Colman, R.E., Suresh, A., Uplekar, S., Rodwell, T.C., and Cirillo, D.M. (2020). Application of Targeted Next-Generation Sequencing Assay on a Portable Sequencing Platform for Culture-Free Detection of Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis from Clinical Samples. J. Clin. Microbiol. *58*.

Cohen, J., Wells, D., and Munné, S. (2007). Removal of 2 cells from cleavage stage embryos is likely to reduce the efficacy of chromosomal tests that are used to enhance implantation rates. Fertil. Steril. *87*, 496–503.

De Coster, W., D'Hert, S., Schultz, D.T., Cruts, M., and Van Broeckhoven, C. (2018). NanoPack: visualizing and processing long-read sequencing data. Bioinformatics *34*, 2666–2669.

Cretu Stancu, M., van Roosmalen, M.J., Renkens, I., Nieboer, M.M., Middelkamp, S., de Ligt, J., Pregno, G., Giachino, D., Mandrile, G., Espejo Valle-Inclan, J., et al. (2017). Mapping and phasing of structural variation in patient genomes using nanopore sequencing. Nat. Commun. *8*, 1326.

European IVF-monitoring Consortium (EIM), European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE), Calhaz-Jorge, C., De Geyter, C., Kupka, M.S., de Mouzon, J., Erb, K., Mocanu, E., Motrenko, T., Scaravelli, G., et al. (2017). Assisted reproductive technology in Europe, 2013: results generated from European registers by ESHRE. Hum. Reprod. *32*, 1957–1973.

Feichtinger, M., Stopp, T., Göbl, C., Feichtinger, E., Vaccari, E., Mädel, U., Laccone, F., Stroh-Weigert, M., Hengstschläger, M., Feichtinger, W., et al. (2015). Increasing Live Birth Rate by Preimplantation Genetic Screening of Pooled Polar Bodies Using Array Comparative Genomic Hybridization. PLoS One *10*, e0128317.

Fonseka, K.G.L., and Griffin, D.K. (2011). Is there a paternal age effect for aneuploidy? Cytogenet. Genome Res. *133*, 280–291.

Franasiak, J.M., Forman, E.J., Hong, K.H., Werner, M.D., Upham, K.M., Treff, N.R., and Scott, R.T. (2014). The nature of aneuploidy with increasing age of the female partner: a review of 15,169 consecutive trophectoderm biopsies evaluated with comprehensive chromosomal screening. Fertil. Steril. *101*.

Greco, E., Minasi, M.G., and Fiorentino, F. (2015). Healthy Babies after Intrauterine Transfer of Mosaic Aneuploid Blastocysts. N. Engl. J. Med. *373*, 2089–2090.

Hengstschläger, M., and Feichtinger, W. (2005). Die erste Präimplantationsdiagnostik in Österreich. Wien. Klin. Wochenschr. *117*, 725–727.

Hernandez-Nieto, C., Gayete-Lafuente, S., Alkon-Meadows, T., Lee, J., Luna-Rojas, M., Mukherjee, T., Copperman, A.B., and Sandler, B. (2021). Parental chromosomal heteromorphisms are not associated with an increased risk of embryo aneuploidy. JBRA Assist. Reprod. *25*, 575–580.

Hu, L., Liang, F., Cheng, D., Zhang, Z., Yu, G., Zha, J., Wang, Y., Xia, Q., Yuan, D., Tan, Y., et al. (2019). Location of Balanced Chromosome-Translocation Breakpoints by Long-Read Sequencing on the Oxford Nanopore Platform. Front. Genet. *10*, 1313.

Jain, M., Koren, S., Miga, K.H., Quick, J., Rand, A.C., Sasani, T.A., Tyson, J.R., Beggs, A.D., Dilthey, A.T., Fiddes, I.T., et al. (2018). Nanopore sequencing and assembly of a human genome with ultra-long

reads. Nat. Biotechnol. 2018 364 36, 338–345.

Kung, A., Munné, S., Bankowski, B., Coates, A., and Wells, D. (2015). Validation of next-generation sequencing for comprehensive chromosome screening of embryos. Reprod. Biomed. Online *31*, 760–769.

Li, H. (2018). Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 34, 3094–3100.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics *25*, 2078–2079.

Lodge, C., and Herbert, M. (2020). Oocyte aneuploidy-more tools to tackle an old problem. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *117*, 11850–11852.

Madjunkova, S., Sundaravadanam, Y., Antes, R., Abramov, R., Chen, S., Yin, Y., Zuzarte, P.C., Moskovtsev, S.I., Jorgensen, L.G.T., Baratz, A., et al. (2020). Detection of Structural Rearrangements in Embryos. N. Engl. J. Med. *382*, 2472–2474.

Margolis, C., Werner, M., and Jalas, C. (2021). Variant haplophasing by long-read sequencing: proof of concept in preimplantation genetic workup and an opportunity to distinguish balanced and normal embryos. Fertil. Steril. *116*, 668–669.

Mastenbroek, S., Twisk, M., van Echten-Arends, J., Sikkema-Raddatz, B., Korevaar, J.C., Verhoeve, H.R., Vogel, N.E.A., Arts, E.G.J.M., de Vries, J.W.A., Bossuyt, P.M., et al. (2007). In Vitro Fertilization with Preimplantation Genetic Screening. N. Engl. J. Med. *357*, 9–17.

Neumann, K., and Griesinger, G. (2020). An Economic Analysis of Aneuploidy Screening of Oocytes in Assisted Reproduction in Germany. Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd. *80*, 172–178.

Neumann, K., Sermon, K., Bossuyt, P., Goossens, V., Geraedts, J., Traeger-Synodinos, J., Parriego, M., Schmutzler, A., van der Ven, K., Rudolph-Rothfeld, W., et al. (2020). An economic analysis of preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy by polar body biopsy in advanced maternal age. BJOG An Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. *127*, 710–718.

Oberle, A., Carli, L., Ennemoser, A., Hengstschläger, M., and Feichtinger, M. (2022). P-533 Single-cell long-read nanopore sequencing as a fast and cost-efficient method for aneuploidy detection and potentially PGT-A, a pre-clinical study. Hum. Reprod. *37*.

Pei, Z., Deng, K., Lei, C., Du, D., Yu, G., Sun, X., Xu, C., and Zhang, S. (2022). Identifying Balanced Chromosomal Translocations in Human Embryos by Oxford Nanopore Sequencing and Breakpoints Region Analysis. Front. Genet. *0*, 2723.

Petracchi, F., Colaci, D.S., Igarzabal, L., and Gadow, E. (2009). Cytogenetic analysis of first trimester pregnancy loss. Int. J. Gynaecol. Obstet. *104*, 243–244.

Piovesan, A., Pelleri, M.C., Antonaros, F., Strippoli, P., Caracausi, M., and Vitale, L. (2019). On the length, weight and GC content of the human genome. BMC Res. Notes *12*, 106.

Rubio, C., Bellver, J., Rodrigo, L., Castillón, G., Guillén, A., Vidal, C., Giles, J., Ferrando, M., Cabanillas, S., Remohí, J., et al. (2017). In vitro fertilization with preimplantation genetic diagnosis for aneuploidies in advanced maternal age: a randomized, controlled study. Fertil. Steril. *107*, 1122–1129.

Sachdeva, K., Discutido, R., Albuz, F., Almekosh, R., and Peramo, B. (2017). Validation of Next-Generation Sequencer for 24-Chromosome Aneuploidy Screening in Human Embryos. Genet. Test. Mol. Biomarkers *21*, 674–680.

Scheinin, I., Sie, D., Bengtsson, H., van de Wiel, M.A., Olshen, A.B., van Thuijl, H.F., van Essen, H.F.,

Eijk, P.P., Rustenburg, F., Meijer, G.A., et al. (2014). DNA copy number analysis of fresh and formalinfixed specimens by shallow whole-genome sequencing with identification and exclusion of problematic regions in the genome assembly. Genome Res. *24*, 2022–2032.

Scott, R.T., Upham, K.M., Forman, E.J., Zhao, T., and Treff, N.R. (2013). Cleavage-stage biopsy significantly impairs human embryonic implantation potential while blastocyst biopsy does not: a randomized and paired clinical trial. Fertil. Steril. *100*, 624–630.

Snider, A.C., Darvin, T., Spor, L., Akinwole, A., Cinnioglu, C., and Kayali, R. (2021). Criteria to evaluate patterns of segmental and complete aneuploidies in preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy results suggestive of an inherited balanced translocation or inversion. F&S Reports *2*, 72–79.

Treff, N.R., and Marin, D. (2021). The "mosaic" embryo: misconceptions and misinterpretations in preimplantation genetic testing for an euploidy. Fertil. Steril. *116*, 1205–1211.

Ven, K. van der, Montag, M., and Ven, H. van der (2008). Polar Body Diagnosis – A Step in The Right Direction? Dtsch. Arztebl. Int.

Verpoest, W., Staessen, C., Bossuyt, P.M., Goossens, V., Altarescu, G., Bonduelle, M., Devesa, M., Eldar-Geva, T., Gianaroli, L., Griesinger, G., et al. (2018). Preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidy by microarray analysis of polar bodies in advanced maternal age: a randomized clinical trial. Hum. Reprod. *33*, 1767–1776.

Victor, A.R., Tyndall, J.C., Brake, A.J., Lepkowsky, L.T., Murphy, A.E., Griffin, D.K., McCoy, R.C., Barnes, F.L., Zouves, C.G., and Viotti, M. (2019). One hundred mosaic embryos transferred prospectively in a single clinic: exploring when and why they result in healthy pregnancies. Fertil. Steril. *111*, 280–293.

Viotti, M., Victor, A.R., Barnes, F.L., Zouves, C.G., Besser, A.G., Grifo, J.A., Cheng, E.-H., Lee, M.-S., Horcajadas, J.A., Corti, L., et al. (2021). Using outcome data from one thousand mosaic embryo transfers to formulate an embryo ranking system for clinical use. Fertil. Steril. *115*, 1212–1224.

Walters-Sen, L., Neitzel, D., Bristow, S.L., Mitchell, A., Alouf, C.A., Aradhya, S., and Faulkner, N. (2021). Experience analyzing >190,000 embryo trophectoderm biopsies using a novel FAST-SeqS PGT assay. Reprod. Biomed. Online.

Wei, S., Weiss, Z.R., Gaur, P., Forman, E., and Williams, Z. (2018). Rapid preimplantation genetic screening using a handheld, nanopore-based DNA sequencer. Fertil. Steril. *110*, 910-916.e2.

Wei, S., Djandji, A., Lattin, M.T., Nahum, O., Hoffman, N., Cujar, C., Kayali, R., Cinnioglu, C., Wapner, R., D'Alton, M., et al. (2022). Rapid Nanopore Sequencing-Based Screen for Aneuploidy in Reproductive Care. N. Engl. J. Med. *387*, 658–660.

Wong, K.M., van Wely, M., Verhoeve, H.R., Kaaijk, E.M., Mol, F., van der Veen, F., Repping, S., and Mastenbroek, S. (2021). Transfer of fresh or frozen embryos: a randomised controlled trial. Hum. Reprod. *36*, 998–1006.

Figure and Table Legends:

Figure 1: Study design including concordance rates found in this study. WGA: whole-genome amplification; PGT-A: preimplantation-genetic testing for aneuploidy; aCGH: array comparative-genomic hybridization; PPV and NPV: positive and negative predictive value.

Figure 2: Schematic overview of the nanopore sequencing workflow, including time measures for individual procedures, embedded in the clinical PGT-A workflow. Prorate sequencing time per sample was calculated according to medium sequencing time for 6 pooled samples. Separate sequencing of one sample can be shorter. ICSI: intra-cytoplasmatic sperm injection; PB: polar body; WGA: whole-genome amplification.

Figure 3: Occurrence of whole-chromosome aneuploidies in all 99 pooled PB samples. TP: true positive, FN: false negative, FP: false positive.

Figure 4: Example chromosomal distribution plots from automatically generated nanopore sequencing workflow. Quality values noise and MAPD are mapped for each chromosome and thresholds for the total sample (0.6 and 1.7, respectively) are highlighted in red. MAPD: Median of the Absolute values of all Pairwise Differences; noise: median standard deviation of normalised read counts within each segment.

Figure 5: Comparison of nanopore sequencing workflow with and without Endonuclease I digest. EN: Endonuclease I digest.

Table 1: Nanopore sequencing results in comparison with aCGH.

Figure 1:



Figure 2:





Chromosome

Figure 3:

Figure 4:





| sample | | Ploidy Status | | Chr | omosome Aberrat | ions |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Number | aCGH | Nanopore | Concordance | aCGH | Nanopore | Concordance |
| Pb1 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT |
| Pb2 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | +22 | +22 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb3 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | - | - | CONCORDANT |
| Pb4 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | - | - | CONCORDANT |
| PD5 | aneupioid | aneupioid | CONCORDANT | -1 | -1 | CONCORDANT |
| PU0 Db7 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | - | - | CONCORDANT |
| PU7 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | - | - | CONCORDANT |
| PU6 | eupiolu | eupiolo | CONCORDANT | - | - | CONCORDANT |
| Pb10 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT |
| Ph11 | aneunloid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | -1 | -1 | CONCORDANT |
| Ph12 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | - | - | CONCORDANT |
| PU12 Db12 | anounloid | anounloid | CONCORDANT | 10 | 15 | CONCORDANT |
| Ph14 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | | | CONCORDANT |
| Ph15 | aneunloid | aneunloid | CONCORDANT | -10 +14 | -10 +14 | CONCORDANT |
| Ph16 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | +10 +¥ | +10 +¥ | CONCORDANT |
| Ph17 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | -14 +20 | -14 +20 | CONCORDANT |
| Ph18 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT |
| Pb19 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | +161820 | +161820 | CONCORDANT |
| Ph20 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | -7.+16 | multiple | DISCORDANT |
| Pb21 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | +192122 | -21 | DISCORDANT |
| Pb22 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | +12, -13, -16 | +12, -13, -16 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb23 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | - | | CONCORDANT |
| Ph24 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | - | - | CONCORDANT |
| Ph25 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | _ | - | CONCORDANT |
| Db26 | anounloid | anounloid | CONCORDANT | 16 | 16 | CONCORDANT |
| PU20 | aneupiolo | aneupiolu | CONCORDANT | -10 | -10 | CONCORDANT |
| Ph20 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | -6 -12 | -6 -12 | CONCORDANT |
| FU26 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | 0,-12 | 0,-12 | CONCORDANT |
| F029 | eupiola | eupiola | CONCORDANT | +11 +15 +22 | +11 +15 +22 | CONCORDANT |
| PD30 | aneupioid | aneupioid | CONCORDANT | +11, +15, +22 | -11, +15, +22 | CONCORDANT |
| P031 | aneupioid | aneupioid | CONCORDANT | -1/ | -1/ | CONCORDANT |
| P032 | aneupioid | aneupioid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT |
| PD33 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT |
| PD34 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | -13, -15, -21 | -13, -15, -21 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb35 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | -22 | -22 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb36 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | - | - | CONCORDANT |
| Pb37 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | +16 | +16 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb38 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | - | - | CONCORDANT |
| Pb39 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT |
| Pb40 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | +4 | +4 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb41 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT |
| Pb42 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT |
| Pb43 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT |
| Pb44 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | +15 | +15 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb45 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | +16, -19 | +16, -19 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb46 | aneuploid | euploid | DISCORDANT | +22 | - | DISCORDANT |
| Pb47 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT |
| Pb48 | euploid | not evaluable | EXCLUDED | - | | EXCLUDED |
| Pb49 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | - | - | CONCORDANT |
| Pb50 | euploid | euploid | CONCORDANT | - | - | CONCORDANT |
| | | | | | | |
| Pb51 | aneuploid | aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 | aneuploid euploid | aneuploid euploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple - | multiple - | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 | aneuploid euploid euploid | aneuploid euploid euploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple - - | multiple - - | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple - - -9, -15 | multiple - - -9, -15 | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple - -9, -15 -17, -18 | multiple - - -9, -15 -17, -18 | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple - -9, -15 -17, -18 -16 | multiple - -9, -15 -17, -18 -16 | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple - -9, -15 -17, -18 -16 - | multiple - -9, -15 -17, -18 -16 - | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb58 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9, -15 -17, -18 -16 -13, -14, +17 | multiple -9, -15 -17, -18 -16 multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9, -15 -17, -18 -16 -13, -14, +17 multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb59 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid not evaluable | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid not evaluable aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT | multiple | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid not evaluable aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9, 15 -17, -18 -16 -13, -14, +17 multiple +19 multiple | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb59 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid not evaluable aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple -9, -15 -17, -18 -16 | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid not evaluable aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT ONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT ONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb55 Pb55 Pb55 Pb55 Pb59 Pb59 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid not evaluable aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9, -15 -17, -18 -16 | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb55 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb60 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb65 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid not evaluable aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb54 Pb54 Pb55 Pb57 Pb59 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb62 Pb64 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb68 Pb68 Pb69 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple - -9, -15 -17, -18 -16 - -13, -14, +17 multiple +19 multiple +4 +19 multiple +16 multiple +2, -15 +2 +6, -18 | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT ONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb54 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb59 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid not evaluable aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb570 Pb71 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid not evaluable aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 multiple +4 +16 multiple +4 +12,-15 +2 +6,-18 -16,-22 +11,+16,-17 | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb57 Pb57 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb60 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb64 Pb64 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb57 Pb57 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 multiple +4 +16 multiple +12,-15 +2 +6,-18 -16,-22 +11,+16,-17 -19 | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb57 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb63 Pb66 Pb65 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid not evaluable aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb60 Pb60 Pb62 Pb64 Pb62 Pb64 Pb65 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb57 Pb57 Pb57 Pb57 Pb57 Pb54 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid not evaluable aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 multiple +4 +16 multiple +42 +5,-18 -16,-22 +11,+16,-17 -19 +4,-20 -1,16,+21 | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb70 Pb72 Pb73 Pb73 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - multiple - multiple +4 +16 - multiple +12,-15 +2 +6,-18 -16,-22 +11,-16,-17 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb65 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb69 Pb70 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 Pb74 Pb74 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT ONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb67 Pb70 Pb71 Pb73 Pb74 Pb75 Pb77 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid not evaluable aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 multiple +4 +4 +16 | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb65 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb70 Pb72 Pb73 Pb73 Pb73 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT ONCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb64 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 multiple +44 +16 multiple +12,-15 +2 +5,-18 -16,-22 +11,+16,-17 -19 +4,-20 -16,+21 +16,+21 +16,+22 +17,-22 +18 | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb71 Pb73 Pb73 Pb74 Pb75 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb78 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid not evaluable aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 multiple +4 +4 +16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb70 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 Pb74 Pb75 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 multiple +4 +19 multiple +4 +12,-15 +2 +6,-18 -16,-22 +11,+16,-17 -19 +4,-20 -16,-72 +15,+22 +16,+22 +17,-22 +18 - | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb64 Pb66 Pb67 Pb66 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb59 Pb70 Pb72 Pb73 Pb72 Pb73 Pb74 Pb75 Pb75 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 multiple +44 +16 | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb63 Pb64 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb71 Pb71 Pb73 Pb74 Pb73 Pb74 Pb75 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb78 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid not evaluable aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - -13,-14,+17 multiple - +19 multiple +4 +4 +4 +16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -16 -10 multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb65 Pb66 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb70 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 Pb73 Pb74 Pb75 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb78 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 multiple +4 +19 multiple +4 +5,-18 -16,-22 +11,+16,-17 -19 +4,-20 -16,+22 -19 +4,-20 -16,+22 -19 +4,-20 -16,-71 -19 +4,-20 -16,-72 -19 +4,-20 -16,-72 -19 +17,-22 +18,-10,-71 -19 +16,-12 -10,-72 +16,-12 -10,-72 +17,-72 +16,-12 -10,-72 +16,-12 -10,-72 +17,-72 +16,-12 -10,-72 +16,-72 -10,-72 +17,-72 +16,-72 -10,-72 -11,-72 | multiple | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb55 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb66 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 Pb72 Pb73 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple -19 -19 -19 -10 -19 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - multiple - multiple +4 +16 - multiple +12,-15 +2 +5,-18 -16,-22 +11,+16,-17 -19 +4,-20 -16,+21 +16,+22 +11,+16,-17 - +16,+21 - +16,-19 +1 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb66 Pb67 Pb71 Pb72 Pb71 Pb72 Pb74 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb78 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - -13,-14,+17 multiple - +19 multiple +4 +4 +16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb50 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb65 Pb66 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb70 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 Pb73 Pb73 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - -13,-14,+17 multiple +4 +19 multiple +4 +16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -10 -10 -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb64 Pb66 Pb67 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb70 Pb72 Pb72 Pb73 Pb73 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple -19 -19 -19 -10 -19 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - multiple - multiple +4 +16 - multiple +12,-15 +2 +5,-18 -16,-22 +11,+16,-17 -19 +4,-20 -16,+21 +16,-19 +17,-22 +18 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb68 Pb69 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid not evaluable aneuploid | aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - -13,-14,+17 multiple - +19 multiple +4 +4 +16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | multiple | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb50 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb70 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 Pb73 Pb73 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb55 Pb55 Pb56 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb71 Pb72 Pb72 Pb73 Pb72 Pb73 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple -19 -19 -10 -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid not evaluable aneuploid aneuploi | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 multiple +4 +16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - multiple - multiple +4 +16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT DISCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb65 Pb66 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb70 Pb72 Pb73 Pb72 Pb73 Pb77 Pb78 Pb75 Pb76 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneupl | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - -13,-14,+17 multiple +4 +19 multiple +4 +16 +2 +6,-18 -16,-22 +11,+16,-12 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -10 -10 -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 -11 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb55 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb69 Pb70 Pb70 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid aneu | aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneupl | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 multiple +44 +16 multiple +12,-15 +2 +5,-18 +16,-22 +11,+16,-17 -19 +4,-20 -16,+21 +16,-22 +11,+16,-17 -19 +4,-20 -16,+21 +16,-19 +17,-22 +18 | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -10 -10 -11 -12 -12 -13 -14 -15 -16 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -12 -12 -14 -15 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 Pb73 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid aneu | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - -13,-14,+17 multiple - +19 multiple +4 +4 +16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -10 -11 -17,-18 -16 - | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb66 Pb67 Pb67 Pb70 Pb70 Pb70 Pb72 Pb73 Pb72 Pb73 Pb72 Pb73 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb79 Pb77 Pb78 Pb79 Pb88 Pb88 Pb88 Pb89 Pb91 Pb92 Pb94 Pb96 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploi | aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid an | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb64 Pb66 Pb67 Pb67 Pb67 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb70 Pb70 Pb72 Pb73 Pb72 Pb73 Pb73 Pb73 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 Pb75 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid euploid aneupl | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploi | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple -19 -13,-14,+17 multiple +19 multiple +44 +16 -10 +12,-15 +2 +2 +5,-18 -16,-22 +11,+16,-17 +17,-22 +18 | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -10 -10 -11 -12 -12 -13 -14 -15 -16 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -12 -14 -15 -14 -15 -12 -15 -12 -14 -15 -12 -14 -16 -12 -15 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 -12 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb68 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid aneupl | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploi | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - -13,-14,+17 multiple - +19 multiple +4 +4 +16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - multiple - multiple +4 +16 - - multiple +4 +12,-15 +2 +6,-18 - -16,-22 +11,-16,-22 - +11,-16 - - - - - - - - - - - - - | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb65 Pb66 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb70 Pb70 Pb72 Pb73 Pb72 Pb73 Pb77 Pb78 Pb75 Pb75 Pb76 Pb77 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 Pb78 | aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid an | aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid an | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb59 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb62 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb64 Pb64 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb67 Pb67 Pb67 Pb67 Pb67 Pb67 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploi | aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneupl | CONCORDANT | multiple | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 - multiple | CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb64 Pb65 Pb66 Pb67 Pb68 Pb68 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb71 Pb72 Pb73 Pb74 Pb77 Pb77 Pb73 Pb77 Pb77 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb77 Pb78 Pb79 Pb77 Pb78 Pb79 Pb77 Pb78 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb78 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 Pb79 | aneuploid euploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid aneupl | aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneupl | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -13,-14,+17 multiple | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 -17,-18 -16 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 -10 | CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT CONCORDANT EXCLUDED DISCORDANT CONCORDANT |
| Pb51 Pb52 Pb53 Pb54 Pb55 Pb55 Pb58 Pb57 Pb58 Pb60 Pb61 Pb62 Pb62 Pb62 Pb62 Pb63 Pb64 Pb65 Pb64 Pb65 Pb64 Pb65 Pb68 Pb69 Pb70 Pb70 Pb70 Pb72 Pb73 Pb73 Pb73 Pb73 Pb73 Pb73 Pb73 Pb73 | aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid aneuploid euploid an | aneuploid euploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid aneuploid euploid euploid euploid euploid euploid aneuploid euploid euploid an | CONCORDANT | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 | multiple -9,-15 -17,-18 -16 | CONCORDANT |