Associations between vitamin D and autoimmune diseases: Mendelian randomization analysis

Sizheng Steven Zhao MD PhD[1], Amy Mason PhD[2,3,4], Eva Gjekmarkaj[4], Haruyuki Yanaoka MD[5],

Stephen Burgess PhD[2,3,4]

1 Centre for Epidemiology Versus Arthritis, Division of Musculoskeletal and Dermatological Science,

School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biological Medicine and Health, The University of

Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK

2 British Heart Foundation Cardiovascular Epidemiology Unit, Department of Public Health and

Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

3 Heart and Lung Research Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge UK

4 Medical Research Council Biostatistics Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

5 Immuno-Rheumatology Center, St. Luke's International Hospital, Tokyo, Japan.

Correspondence to: Dr Stephen Burgess, MRC Biostatistics Unit, East Forvie Building, University of

Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0SR, UK. sb452@medschl.cam.ac.uk

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

ABSTRACT

Objective. The VITAL trial of vitamin D supplementation suggested a possible protective effect for

autoimmune diseases but uncertainties remain. We investigated potential causal effects of vitamin

D on composite and individual autoimmune diseases using Mendelian randomization.

Methods. We used data from 332,984 participants of the UK Biobank of whom 23,089 had at least

one autoimmune disease defined using ICD code and/or self-report. Diseases were further

considered in mechanistic subgroups driven by "autoimmunity" (n=12,774) or "autoinflammation"

(n=11,164), then individually. We selected variants within gene regions implicated in vitamin D

biology to generate a weighted genetic score. We performed population-wide analysis using the

ratio method, then examined non-linear effects across five quantiles based on 25-

hydroxycholecalciferol levels.

Results. Genetically-predicted vitamin D was associated with lower risk of diseases in the

autoinflammation group (OR 0.95 per 10ng/ml increase in 25-hydroxycholecalciferol; 95%CI 0.91-

0.99; p=0.03) but not the autoimmunity group (OR 0.99; 95%CI 0.95-1.03; p=0.64) or combined.

When considering individual diseases, genetically-predicted vitamin D was associated with lower risk

of psoriasis (OR 0.91; 95%CI 0.85-0.97; p=0.005), the most common disease in the autoinflammation

group, and suggestively with systemic lupus erythematosus (OR 0.84; 95%CI 0.69-1.02; p=0.08);

results were replicated using data from independent studies. We found no evidence for a plausible

non-linear relationship between vitamin D and any outcome.

Conclusions. We found genetic evidence to support a causal link between 25-hydroxycholecalciferol

concentrations and psoriasis and systemic lupus erythematosus. These results have implications for

potential disease prevention strategies, and the interpretation and design of vitamin D

supplementation trials.

Keywords: vitamin D, autoimmune disease, autoinflammation, psoriasis, systemic lupus

erythematosus, genetic instrumental variable, UK Biobank

INTRODUCTION

Autoimmune diseases are a heterogenous group of conditions that are among the leading causes of

life-changing morbidity and even mortality [1,2]. Pharmacological therapies that target the immune

system are not always effective and can have prohibitive adverse effects. Vitamin D is highly popular

as a complementary and alternative medicine. Enthusiasm for it as a potentially disease modifying

agent has largely been driven by pre-clinical studies and a host of observational associations that are

at risk of bias from confounding (e.g., factors such as lifestyle and diet that influence both vitamin D

levels and disease risk) and reverse causation (e.g., reduced sun exposure and/or dietary absorption

due to the autoimmune disease) [3].

Adequately powered randomised control trials of vitamin D supplementation among people with

autoimmune diseases are scarce. In the recent VITAL trial [4], vitamin D supplementation (2000

IU/day over a median of 5.3 years) reduced risk of autoimmune diseases as a composite outcome

(hazard ratio 0.78, 95% confidence interval 0.61-0.99, P=0.05). However, uncertainties remain in

part due to a relatively small number of events (n=278 among 25,871 participants), possibly because

the mean age of the trial population (67 years) is older than the peak incidence age for some

autoimmune diseases. The trial was not powered to examine individual autoimmune diseases, which

is important since any biologic effects of vitamin D on the immune system is unlikely to be shared

across pathologically diverse conditions. VITAL was also not powered to examine whether risk

reduction differed according to baseline vitamin D, which is important to assess potential threshold

or non-linear effects of intervention.

Genetic instrumental variable designs, also known as Mendelian randomization (MR), can help with

these challenges. Since genetic variants are randomly allocated at conception, MR is typically more

robust against confounding and reverse causation compared to traditional observational designs.

Large population level genetic data can power subgroup analyses of pathologically related

conditions, while recent methodologic developments can help examine non-linear effects of vitamin

D interventions. We conducted both population-wide and stratified MR analyses to assess evidence

for potential causal effects of vitamin D on autoimmune diseases and to interrogate any non-

linearity in the relationship.

METHODS

Study populations and outcomes

We performed population-wide and stratified Mendelian randomization analyses in the UK Biobank,

a prospective cohort study of around 0.5 million participants aged 40 to 69 years at baseline,

recruited between 2006-2010 in the United Kingdom and followed-up for a median of 10.9 years [5].

Analyses were restricted to unrelated individuals of European ancestries who passed various quality

control steps as previously described [6] and had a valid 25-hydroxycholecalciferol (25(OH)D)

measurement.

We considered a predefined list of outcomes based on ICD-9 and -10 codes (from fields 41270,

40001 or 40002) and/or self-reported diagnosis (field 20002); the full list of conditions, sample size

and definitions are shown in **Supplementary Table 1**. The conditions were first studied as a

composite of "all autoimmune diseases", excluding multiple sclerosis (MS) for which prior MR

studies have suggested a causal relationship with vitamin D [7]. We then broadly classified diseases

in two groups according to a proposed classification method based on shared pathology and clinical

phenotype [8]:

1) Disease driven by "autoimmunity" (i.e., aberrant dendritic and adaptive immune cell

responses leading to breaking of tolerance and immune reactivity towards native antigens

[8]): rheumatoid arthritis (RA), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), Sjögren's syndrome,

systemic sclerosis, Graves' disease, Hashimoto's thyroiditis, Coeliac disease, type 1 diabetes

mellitus, primary biliary cholangitis, autoimmune hepatitis, polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR),

giant cell arteritis (GCA), polyarteritis nodosa, Henoch-Schönlein purpura, granulomatosis

with polyangiitis, eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis, microscopic polyangiitis,

mixed connective tissue disease, antiphospholipid syndrome, dermatomyositis and

polymyositis.

2) Disease driven by "autoinflammation" (i.e., local factors at sites predisposed to disease lead

to innate immune cell activation with resultant target tissue damage [8]): ankylosing

spondylitis, psoriatic arthritis, psoriasis, Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, primary sclerosing

cholangitis, Behcet's disease, Takayasu arteritis and Kawasaki disease.

Where mechanisms were less clear, diseases that were male-predominant and/or associated with

HLA-B genes were grouped into the "autoinflammation" group [9] and remainder to the

"autoimmunity" group. In sensitivity analyses, we limited analyses to diseases with >100 cases and

for which the mechanism is better characterised within this classification system (i.e., the first ten in

the autoimmunity group and first five in the autoinflammation group). It is possible for individuals to

have more than one disease that belong to both groups. In further sensitivity analyses, we also

investigated outcomes defined as those only with diseases in the autoimmunity or autoinflammation

group.

We then analysed individual disease that affected at least 100 participants, since it was unlikely that

smaller sample sizes would provide meaningfully powered analyses. We included participants with

multiple autoimmune diseases for analyses of individual diseases, since some diseases commonly co-

exist (e.g., ankylosing spondylitis and psoriasis).

For all analyses, controls comprised those without any of the above conditions or MS. We recognise

that the control group will include individuals with other, rarer autoimmune conditions, but both

absolute numbers and proportions are small.

We included MS as a positive control outcome [7], and osteoarthritis, which is not considered an

autoimmune disease, as a negative control outcome.

Vitamin D measurement and classification

Concentrations of 25(OH)D in blood were measured using the DiaSorin Liaison immunoassay

analyser. Measurements were adjusted for month of blood draw to correspond to a measurement

taken in October by subtracting the mean 25(OH)D concentration for the month the measurement

was taken in and then adding the mean 25(OH)D concentration measurements taken in October.

October was chosen as the reference month as 25(OH)D concentrations were close to their average

annual value in October (**Supplementary Table 2**).

Genetic variants

To minimize potential bias due to horizontal pleiotropy, we considered genetic variants from four

gene regions previously shown to be strongly associated with 25(OH)D [10] and implicated in the

transport, metabolism, and synthesis of vitamin D – *GC*, *DHCR7*, *CYP2R1*, and *CYP24A1*. To maximize

the variance explained by the genetic instrument, we created a weighted genetic score from 21

variants associated with 25(OH)D concentrations at each genetic locus selected using a stepwise

selection method (**Supplementary Table 3**). A prior study showed that this genetic risk score was not

associated with potential risk factors for autoimmune diseases in UK Biobank, except for BMI,

although this association was small [10]. By contrast, a score using variants from across the genome-

wide score was strongly associated with other traits that may introduce bias from pleiotropy.

Statistical methods

Population-wide analyses were performed by calculating the ratio between the association of the

genetic score with the outcome and the association of the score with 25(OH)D concentrations. We

performed logistic regression to estimate the associations of the score with the outcomes adjusting

for age, sex, assessment centre and 10 genetic principal components of ancestry. MR estimates were

scaled to a 10 nmol/L increase in genetically predicted 25(OH)D level.

In non-linear stratified analyses, we divided participants into five quantiles using the doubly ranked

method [11]. We firstly divide the population into pre-strata based on the instrument level, and then

divide into final strata based on the exposure level within each pre-stratum. Use of the doubly-

ranked method is important for 25(OH)D as an exposure, as genetic associations with 25(OH)D levels

vary strongly in the population [12]. MR estimates were calculated within each stratum as in the

population-wide analyses, but using genetic associations estimated in each stratum of the

population in turn.

Supplementary analyses

Sample sizes for individual autoimmune diseases are typically smaller in the UK Biobank compared

to dedicated GWAS consortia, which may limit the power of one-sample MR. Where possible, we

sought to replicate suggestive causal associations (arbitrarily defined as p value<0.1) between

25(OH)D and individual diseases using two-sample MR. Outcome genetic data were taken from

GWAS of psoriasis (10,588 physician diagnosed cases and 22,806 controls) and SLE (5,201 physician

diagnosed cases and 9,066 controls). Where such GWAS data were not available, we attempted to

replicate using data from FinnGen Release 8 [13] for GCA (884 cases, 332,115 controls) and PMR

(3039 cases, 332,115 controls). Instruments were identified as genome-wide significant ($p<5x10^{-8}$)

and uncorrelated ($r^2<0.01$) variants within *GC*, *DHCR7*, *CYP2R1*, and *CYP24A1* genes as above, taken

from a GWAS meta-analysis of 25(OH)D [14]. We used the inverse variance weighted method which

combines ratio estimates from each variant and, where possible, pleiotropy robust sensitivity

analyses (i.e., MR Egger and weighted median/mode methods).

RESULTS

Of 332,984 participants included for analysis, 23,089 had one or more autoimmune diseases. Their

mean age was 59 years and 59% were females. The mean 25(OH)D concentration was 49 nmol/L,

and similar in both autoimmune disease and control groups (**Table 1**). 12,774 had at least one

disease of the "autoimmunity" group, and 11,164 had at least one disease in the

"autoinflammation" group. There was a higher proportion of females in the autoimmunity than the

autoinflammation group (69 vs 47%).

The genetic risk score explained 4.7% of the variance in 25(OH)D concentrations. There was some

evidence that genetically predicted 25(OH)D was associated with reduced risk of autoimmune

diseases overall, but confidence intervals included the null (OR 0.97 per 10 nmol/L increase in

25(OH)D; 95%CI 0.94, 1.01, p=0.10). This association appears to be predominantly driven by diseases

in the autoinflammation group (OR 0.95; 95%CI 0.91, 0.99; p=0.03) (**Figure 1**). Sensitivity analyses

showed similar results when restricted to ten autoimmunity and five autoinflammation diseases

with >100 cases and for which disease mechanism is better characterised. Results were also similar

after excluding individuals with diseases in both subgroups showed similar estimates.

When diseases (with n>100) were analysed individually, psoriasis (OR 0.91; 95%CI 0.85, 0.97;

p=0.005), GCA (OR 0.79; 95%CI 0.64, 0.98; p=0.03), PMR (OR 1.12; 95%CI 0.997, 1.25; p=0.06) and

SLE (OR 0.84; 95%CI 0.69, 1.02; p=0.09) showed some evidence of association with 25(OH)D

concentration (**Figure 2**). Genetically predicted 25(OH)D was not associated with the negative

control, osteoarthritis, but was associated with lower risk of the positive control, MS.

There was statistical evidence for non-linear associations, albeit with limited biologic plausibility,

between genetically-predicted 25(OH)D and the autoinflammation subgroup in the second (OR 0.82;

95%CI 0.68, 0.995; p=0.04) and fourth quantiles (OR 0.77; 95%CI 0.63, 0.93; p=0.006), but not any

other quantiles (**Supplementary Table 4**).

For psoriasis, GCA, PMR and SLE, we attempted to replicate analyses using two-sample MR. 14

variants were used to instrument 25(OH)D. Genetically-predicted 25(OH)D was associated with

lower risk of psoriasis (OR 0.52 per unit increase in log-transformed 25(OH)D; 95% 0.28, 0.96;

p=0.04) and SLE (OR 0.61; 95%CI 0.40, 0.93; p=0.02). Estimates were directionally concordant in

pleiotropy robust sensitivity analyses (**Supplementary Table 5**). Primary analysis results were not

replicated for GCA (OR 0.95; 95%CI 0.47, 1.90; p=0.88) or PMR (OR 0.97; 95%CI 0.71, 1.33; p=0.87).

DISCUSSION

In this one-sample Mendelian randomisation analysis, we found evidence of a causal link between

vitamin D levels and diseases characterised by autoinflammation (i.e., by innate immune dysfunction

at local sites), which was driven by the psoriasis as the most prevalent disease in this group. There

was no statistical evidence of association between vitamin D and diseases characterised by

autoimmunity (i.e., autoreactivity against native antigens predominantly due to adaptive immune

dysfunction), although SLE may be one exception. We found no strong evidence for a plausible non-

linear relationship between vitamin D and any outcome.

Vitamin D has been associated with numerous health outcomes in observational studies, which

could not be replicated in randomised controlled trials [15]. Much of the trial evidence came from

the landmark VITAL study, which found no difference between vitamin D supplement and placebo

groups for a multitude of outcomes such as cancer and cardiovascular disease events [16], heart

failure [17], atrial fibrillation [18], depression [19], body composition [20], falls [21], bone mineral

density [22], fractures [23], frailty [24], knee pain [25], and biomarkers of inflammation [26].

However, the vitamin D group did have lower incidence of confirmed autoimmune diseases

compared to placebo, but estimates included the null when including additional cases of probable

autoimmune disease and/or excluding those with pre-randomisation autoimmune diseases [4].

Analyses of individual diseases (rheumatoid arthritis, polymyalgia rheumatica, psoriasis and a

composite of the remainder) were all underpowered. Younger individuals and those with vitamin D

deficiency (13%) were underrepresented. Taken together, VITAL provided long awaited randomised

evidence, but much uncertainty remained in the context of multiple testing and regarding disease-

specific or threshold effects.

Our results help to address these uncertainties. By leveraging much larger sample sizes of

autoimmune diseases across the age spectrum, we show that vitamin D's effect is likely to differ

across individual diseases. We found evidence supporting a causal link between 25(OH)D and

diseases characterised by autoinflammation but not autoimmunity. Genetic and clinical differences

between these disease subgroups have been described previously [8], and is evident from the

differential sex-predominance observed herein. The association with autoinflammatory diseases is

almost certainly driven by psoriatic disease. The estimate from VITAL was directionally concordant

but underpowered due to having only 38 psoriasis cases overall. We observed a similar association

for psoriatic arthritis but with a wide confidence interval. The biological rationale for psoriasis is

strong since topical vitamin D analogues are approved treatments. However, no high-quality trial

evidence exists for oral vitamin D supplementation in reducing psoriasis risk. Among the diseases

characterised by autoimmunity, SLE appears to be associated with vitamin D. This is consistent with

prior observational evidence [27], but the 5 cases of SLE in VITAL could not power formal analyses.

The associations between vitamin D and GCA and PMR should be interpreted with caution. Firstly, it

is less biologically plausible that vitamin D should have opposing effects on two related diseases.

Secondly, they were not replicated using the FinnGen data.

Taken together, our results suggest that vitamin D supplementation in those at risk of psoriasis or

SLE may help reduce disease susceptibility, with no evidence indicating a threshold level. These

results will also help inform future clinical trials that are needed to confirm our findings, for

example, enriching populations with those at risk of psoriasis or SLE but not necessarily with vitamin

D deficiency. The precise mechanism behind vitamin D's effect on psoriasis and SLE cannot be

derived from the current study. The VITAL trial found no benefit of vitamin D on IL6 or CRP [26]

which are not the dominant inflammatory pathways in either disease. Future clinical trials should

also focus on relevant mechanisms, e.g., involving T helper 17 and B cells.

The key strength of MR is that it is less susceptible to bias from confounding and reverse causation

than conventional observational analyses. We selected genetic instruments from genes relevant to

vitamin D biology, which reduces potential bias from horizontal pleiotropy (i.e., arising from variants

that do not have specific effects on vitamin D pathways). However, this important assumption

cannot be empirically verified. Misclassification of the outcome is possible when using diagnostic

codes and self-report, which may increase risk of false negatives, particularly when sample sizes are

small. Multiple testing is also of concern when considering individual diseases. However, we were

able to replicate results for psoriasis and SLE using GWAS data derived from independent data with

physician diagnosed cases. MR estimates the effect of subtle variations in lifelong exposure, thus

results may not be comparable to therapeutic interventions. Our study only included participants of

European ancestry. Future studies among other ethnic populations are needed to examine

generalisability of the current findings, which is particularly relevant as skin colour influences vitamin

D metabolism. Lastly, our data includes both prevalent and incident events; therefore, stratification

into categories according to residual 25(OH)D concentration might be affected by reverse causation.

However, genetic associations with disease outcomes within each of the strata will not be affected

by reverse causation, as genotype is fixed from conception.

In conclusion, we found genetic evidence to support a causal link between 25(OH)D concentrations

and autoinflammatory diseases such as psoriasis. These results have implications for the

interpretation and design of vitamin D supplementation trials, and potential disease prevention

strategies.

Acknowledgements

We thank the participants of the UK Biobank study and the genome-wide association study consortia

who made their summary statistics publicly available for this study. This work was supported by core

funding from the: British Heart Foundation (RG/13/13/30194; RG/18/13/33946), BHF Cambridge

CRE (RE/18/1/34212) and NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (BRC-1215-20014). The

views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department

of Health and Social Care.

Rights Retention Statement

For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY)

licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

Conflict of interest disclosures: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Sharing Statement: UK Biobank data are available to all bona fide researchers for use in health-

related research that is in the public interest. The application procedure is described at

www.ukbiobank.ac.uk.

Funding and disclosures: SSZ is supported by a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)

Academic Clinical Lectureship and works in centres supported by Versus Arthritis (grant number

21173, 21754 and 21755). A.M.M. is funded by the EU/EFPIA Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint

Undertaking BigData@Heart grant 116074. SB is supported by the Wellcome Trust (225790/Z/22/Z)

the United Kingdom Research and Innovation Medical Research Council (MC_UU_00002/7) and the

National Institute for Health Research Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre (NIHR203312). The

views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service,

the National Institute for Health Research, or the Department of Health and Social Care.

1 **Table 1**. Characteristics of autoimmune disease group and subgroups.

	All autoimmune diseases	Autoimmunity subgroup	Autoinflammation subgroup	Controls
N	23089	12774	11164	308521
Age, years	58.7 (7.8)	59.7 (7.4)	57.6 (7.9)	57.0 (8.1)
Females	13584 (58.8)	8853 (69.3)	5269 (47.2)	163153 (52.9)
BMI	27.8 (5.1)	27.7 (5.3)	27.9 (5.0)	27.3 (4.7)
Vitamin D, nmol/L	49.3 (21.7)	50.0 (22.0)	48.5 (21.4)	49.4 (20.8)
Rheumatoid arthritis	5172 (46.3)	5172 (40.5)	436 (3.9)	0
Systemic lupus erythematosus	527 (4.7)	527 (4.1)	36 (0.3)	0
Systemic sclerosis	201 (1.8)	201 (1.6)	11 (0.1)	0
Sjögren's syndrome	335 (3)	335 (2.6)	23 (0.2)	0
Graves' disease	1798 (16.1)	1798 (14.1)	85 (0.8)	0
Hashimoto's thyroiditis	194 (1.7)	194 (1.5)	6 (0.1)	0
Coeliac disease	2249 (20.1)	2249 (17.6)	125 (1.1)	0
Type 1 diabetes mellitus	341 (3.1)	341 (2.7)	12 (0.1)	0
Primary biliary cholangitis	243 (2.2)	243 (1.9)	35 (0.3)	0
Autoimmune hepatitis	153 (1.4)	153 (1.2)	19 (0.2)	
Polymyalgia rheumatica	1780 (15.9)	1780 (13.9)	90 (0.8)	0
Giant cell arteritis	436 (3.9)	436 (3.4)	20 (0.2)	0
polyarteritis nodosa	91 (0.8)	91 (0.7)	10 (0.1)	0
Granulomatosis with polyangiitis	62 (0.6)	62 (0.5)	7 (0.1)	0
Henoch-Schonlein purpura	47 (0.4)	47 (0.4)	7 (0.1)	0
Eosinophilic Granulomatosis with polyangiitis	46 (0.4)	46 (0.4)	7 (0.1)	0
Mixed connective tissue disease	41 (0.4)	41 (0.3)	4 (0.04)	0
Antiphospholipid syndrome	28 (0.3)	28 (0.2)	2 (0.02)	0
Microscopic polyangiitis	19 (0.2)	19 (0.1)	1 (0.01)	0
Dermato/polymyositis	6 (0.1)	6 (0.05)	0	0
Ankylosing spondylitis	1121 (10.0)	111 (0.9)	1121 (10.0)	0
Psoriatic arthritis	703 (6.3)	89 (0.7)	703 (6.3)	0
Crohn's disease	1795 (16.1)	162 (1.3)	1795 (16.1)	0
Ulcerative colitis	3461 (31.0)	278 (2.2)	3461 (31.0)	0
Psoriasis	5120 (45.9)	335 (2.6)	5120 (45.9)	0
Primary sclerosing cholangitis	35 (0.3)	16 (0.1)	35 (0.3)	0
Behçet's disease	30 (0.3)	7 (0.1)	30 (0.3)	0

Takayasu arteritis	6 (0.1)	1 (0.01)	6 (0.1)	0
Kawasaki disease	1 (0.01)	1 (0.01)	1 (0.01)	0

Values represent mean (standard deviation) for continuous traits, and number of cases (percentage of total cases) for binary traits and disease outcomes. Autoimmune diseases were separated into those characterised by autoimmunity (i.e., aberrant dendritic and adaptive immune cell responses leading to breaking of tolerance and immune reactivity towards native antigens) and autoinflammation subgroups (i.e., local factors at sites predisposed to disease lead to innate immune cell activation with resultant target tissue damage).

Figure 1. Association between genetically predicted vitamin D concentration and autoimmune

diseases, including autoimmunity and autoinflammatory subgroups.

Legend: Individuals in the primary analysis could have diseases in both autoimmunity (i.e., aberrant

dendritic and adaptive immune cell responses leading to breaking of tolerance and immune

reactivity towards native antigens) and autoinflammation subgroups (i.e., local factors at sites

predisposed to disease lead to innate immune cell activation with resultant target tissue damage).

The first set of sensitivity analyses were restricted to diseases with >100 cases for which disease

mechanisms are better understood. In the second set of sensitive analyses, individuals with disease

belong to both groups were excluded.

1   Figure 2. Associations between genetically predicted vitamin D concentration and individual

2   autoimmune diseases.

REFERENCES

1. Conrad N, Verbeke G, Molenberghs G, Goetschalckx L, Callender T, Cambridge G, et al. Autoimmune diseases and cardiovascular risk: a population-based study on 19 autoimmune diseases and 12 cardiovascular diseases in 22 million individuals in the UK. Lancet 2022;400:733–43.

2. Hayter SM, Cook MC. Updated assessment of the prevalence, spectrum and case definition of autoimmune disease. Autoimmun Rev 2012;11:754–65.

3. Kriegel MA, Manson JE, Costenbader KH. Does vitamin D affect risk of developing autoimmune disease?: a systematic review. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2011;40:512-531.e8.

4. Hahn J, Cook NR, Alexander EK, Friedman S, Walter J, Bubes V, et al. Vitamin D and marine omega 3 fatty acid supplementation and incident autoimmune disease: VITAL randomized controlled trial. BMJ 2022;376:e066452.

5. Bycroft C, Freeman C, Petkova D, Band G, Elliott LT, Sharp K, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. Nature 2018;562:203–9.

6. Astle WJ, Elding H, Jiang T, Allen D, Ruklisa D, Mann AL, et al. The Allelic Landscape of Human Blood Cell Trait Variation and Links to Common Complex Disease. Cell 2016;167:1415-1429.e19.

7. Mokry LE, Ross S, Ahmad OS, Forgetta V, Smith GD, Goltzman D, et al. Vitamin D and Risk of Multiple Sclerosis: A Mendelian Randomization Study. PLoS Med 2015;12:e1001866.

8. McGonagle D, McDermott MF. A Proposed Classification of the Immunological Diseases. PLOS Medicine 2006;3:e297.

9. McGonagle D, Aydin SZ, Gül A, Mahr A, Direskeneli H. 'MHC-I-opathy'-unified concept for spondyloarthritis and Behçet disease. Nat Rev Rheumatol 2015;11:731–40.

10. Sofianopoulou E, Kaptoge SK, Afzal S, Jiang T, Gill D, Gundersen TE, et al. Estimating dose-response relationships for vitamin D with coronary heart disease, stroke, and all-cause mortality: observational and Mendelian randomisation analyses. The Lancet Diabetes & Endocrinology 2021;9:837–46.

11. Tian H, Mason AM, Liu C, Burgess S. Relaxing parametric assumptions for non-linear Mendelian randomization using a doubly-ranked stratification method [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Feb 23];2022.06.28.497930. Available from: https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.06.28.497930v1

12. Burgess S. Violation of the constant genetic effect assumption can result in biased estimates for non-linear Mendelian randomization [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Feb 23];2022.10.26.22280570. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.10.26.22280570v1

13. Access results [Internet]. FinnGen [cited 2023 Feb 23];Available from: https://www.finngen.fi/en/access_results

14. Revez JA, Lin T, Qiao Z, Xue A, Holtz Y, Zhu Z, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies 143 loci associated with 25 hydroxyvitamin D concentration. Nat Commun 2020;11:1647.

15.   Lucas A, Wolf M. Vitamin D and Health Outcomes: Then Came the Randomized Clinical Trials. JAMA 2019;322:1866–8.

16.   Manson JE, Cook NR, Lee IM, Christen W, Bassuk SS, Mora S, et al. Vitamin D Supplements and Prevention of Cancer and Cardiovascular Disease. New England Journal of Medicine 2019;380:33–44.

17.   Djoussé L, Cook NR, Kim E, Bodar V, Walter J, Bubes V, et al. Supplementation With Vitamin D and Omega-3 Fatty Acids and Incidence of Heart Failure Hospitalization. Circulation 2020;141:784–6.

18.   Albert CM, Cook NR, Pester J, Moorthy MV, Ridge C, Danik JS, et al. Effect of Marine Omega-3 Fatty Acid and Vitamin D Supplementation on Incident Atrial Fibrillation: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2021;325:1061–73.

19.   Okereke OI, Reynolds CF III, Mischoulon D, Chang G, Vyas CM, Cook NR, et al. Effect of Long-term Vitamin D3 Supplementation vs Placebo on Risk of Depression or Clinically Relevant Depressive Symptoms and on Change in Mood Scores: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2020;324:471–80.

20.   Chou SH, Murata EM, Yu C, Danik J, Kotler G, Cook NR, et al. Effects of Vitamin D3 Supplementation on Body Composition in the VITamin D and OmegA-3 TriaL (VITAL). J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2021;106:1377–88.

21.   LeBoff MS, Murata EM, Cook NR, Cawthon P, Chou SH, Kotler G, et al. VITamin D and OmegA-3 TriaL (VITAL): Effects of Vitamin D Supplements on Risk of Falls in the US Population. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism 2020;105:2929–38.

22.   LeBoff MS, Chou SH, Murata EM, Donlon CM, Cook NR, Mora S, et al. Effects of Supplemental Vitamin D on Bone Health Outcomes in Women and Men in the VITamin D and OmegA-3 TriaL (VITAL). J Bone Miner Res 2020;35:883–93.

23.   LeBoff MS, Chou SH, Ratliff KA, Cook NR, Khurana B, Kim E, et al. Supplemental Vitamin D and Incident Fractures in Midlife and Older Adults. New England Journal of Medicine 2022;387:299–309.

24.   Orkaby AR, Dushkes R, Ward R, Djousse L, Buring JE, Lee IM, et al. Effect of Vitamin D3 and Omega-3 Fatty Acid Supplementation on Risk of Frailty: An Ancillary Study of a Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open 2022;5:e2231206.

25.   MacFarlane LA, Cook NR, Kim E, Lee IM, Iversen MD, Gordon D, et al. The Effects of Vitamin D and Marine Omega-3 Fatty Acid Supplementation on Chronic Knee Pain in Older US Adults: Results From a Randomized Trial. Arthritis Rheumatol 2020;72:1836–44.

26.   Costenbader KH, MacFarlane LA, Lee IM, Buring JE, Mora S, Bubes V, et al. Effects of One Year of Vitamin D and Marine Omega-3 Fatty Acid Supplementation on Biomarkers of Systemic Inflammation in Older US Adults. Clin Chem 2019;65:1508–21.

27.   Shoenfeld Y, Giacomelli R, Azrielant S, Berardicurti O, Reynolds JA, Bruce IN. Vitamin D and systemic lupus erythematosus - The hype and the hope. Autoimmunity Reviews 2018;17:19–23.