1 **Knowledge of COVID-19 Symptoms, Transmission, and Prevention: Evidence from Health and**
2 **Demographic Surveillance in Southern Mozambique**
3

4 Ariel Nhacolo, MSc[1]†, Zachary J. Madewell, PhD[2*]†, Jonathan A. Muir, PhD[3], Charfudin Sacoor, MSc[1],
5 Elisio G. Xerinda[1], MD, Teodomiro Matsena, BSc[1], Quique Bassat, MD[1,4,5,6,7], Cynthia G. Whitney, MD[3],
6 Inácio Mandomando, PhD[1,4,8]‡, and Solveig A. Cunningham, PhD[3]‡
7
8 †Co-first authors
9 ‡Co-senior authors
10
Affiliations
1. Centro de Investigação em Saúde de Manhiça, Maputo, Mozambique
2. Global Health Center, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, United States
3. Emory University, Atlanta, GA, United States
4. ISGlobal - Hospital Clínic, Unversitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
5. Institutó Catalana de Recerca I Estudis Avançats, Barcelona, Spain
6. Pediatrics Department, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Universitat de Barcelona, Esplugues, Barcelona,
Spain
7. Consorcio de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Epidemiología y Salud Pública, Madrid, Spain
8. Instituto Nacional de Saúde, Maputo, Mozambique

* Correspondence to: Zachary J. Madewell, Global Health Center, Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd NE, Mailstop H21-9, Atlanta, GA 30329-4027, USA; ock0@cdc.gov

ABSTRACT

Background: Over 230,000 COVID-19 cases and over 2,200 deaths have been reported in Mozambique though March 2023. Understanding community members' knowledge and perception of SARS-CoV-2 transmission and prevention is essential for directing public health interventions to reduce disease spread and improve vaccination coverage. Here, we aimed to describe knowledge of COVID-19 transmission, prevention, and symptoms among community residents in Mozambique.

Methods: We conducted a cross-sectional survey among 33,087 of 40,636 households (81.4%) in a Health and Demographic Surveillance System in Manhiça, Mozambique, at the tail end of the Delta variant wave in September 2021 to the peak of Omicron cases in January 2022. Principal components analysis was used to create scores representing knowledge of COVID-19 symptoms, transmission, and prevention. Multiple imputation and quasi-Poisson regression were used to examine associations between demographic characteristics and sources of COVID-19 information, and knowledge of COVID-19 symptoms, transmission, and prevention. We examined whether sources of COVID-19 information mediated the relationship between educational attainment and knowledge of symptoms, transmission, and prevention.

Results: Across this rural community, 98.2%, 97.0%, and 85.1% of household respondents reported knowing how COVID-19 could be prevented, that SARS-CoV-2 can cause disease, and how SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted, respectively. Most recognized symptoms were cough (51.2%), headaches (44.9%), and fever (44.5%). Most cited transmission mechanisms were droplets (50.5%) or aerosol (<5 µm diameter) (46.9%) from an infected person. Most cited prevention measures were handwashing (91.9%) and mask-wearing (91.8%). Characteristics associated with greater knowledge of symptoms, transmission, and prevention included having at least primary education, older age, employment, higher wealth, and Christian religion. Respondents who had had COVID-19 symptoms were also more likely to have knowledge of symptoms, transmission, and prevention. Gathering information from TV, WhatsApp, radio, and hospital mediated the relationship between educational attainment and knowledge scores.

Conclusions: Community public health measures to reduce infectious disease transmission are contingent upon perceptions of risk and knowledge. These findings support the need for outreach and for community-engaged messaging to promote prevention measures, particularly among people with low education.

Key words: community; household; SARS-CoV-2; sub-Saharan Africa; health education; health communication; Delta; Omicron

Background

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was first reported in Mozambique in March 2020. Since then, there have been over 230,000 confirmed cases and over 2,200 deaths reported in Mozambique through March 2023 [1], though the death toll could be much higher than official figures due to the challenges experienced in the country's surveillance capacities and under-reporting [2].

Effective public health education programs are required to reduce the burden of COVID-19 and the strain on healthcare system resources. Studies of COVID-19 in sub-Saharan Africa have demonstrated positive associations between higher level of knowledge and practicing prevention measures [3, 4]. Knowledge of COVID-19 transmission and disease was also positively associated with vaccination coverage [5], which is one of the most effective strategies for protecting individuals against COVID-19 hospitalization and death [6, 7]. As of March 2023, 59.1% of Mozambique's population had completed a primary COVID-19 vaccine series of BBIBP-CorV (Sinopharm, Beijing CNBG) (two doses), Ad26.COV2.S (Janssen) (one dose), or ChAdOx1-S (Covishield) (two doses), but only 3.5% of these had received a booster dose [1]. COVID-19 vaccines became available for adolescents aged 12-17 in Mozambique in November 2022 [8].

Currently, there is limited information about knowledge of COVID-19 transmission, prevention, and symptoms in Mozambique. Two cross-sectional surveys on knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) among community healthcare workers in Mozambique using an online health platform [9] and among adolescents using a cross-sectional survey in two provinces of Central Mozambique [10] found low knowledge of symptoms, transmission, and prevention measures, but these were done early in the pandemic, before the emergence of more transmissible variants such as Delta and Omicron [11]. The studies' generalizability was also limited by convenience samples and small sample sizes. We conducted a population-based, representative study to evaluate factors associated with knowledge of COVID-19 symptoms, transmission, and prevention, in a rural setting of southern Mozambique. This approach is important for reducing household transmission and improving vaccination coverage [12] and provides

information on how to tailor communication strategies to reduce community infectious disease

transmission.

Methods

Study design

This study is part of a broader examination within the Child Health and Mortality Prevention

Surveillance (CHAMPS) network to analyze the consequences of COVID-19 lockdowns for child health

and mortality [13, 14]. Leveraging the established platform of the CHAMPS Network of Health and

Demographic Surveillance Systems (HDSSs) [15], we administered a short questionnaire to all

households in a rural district [16].

Study setting and population

Manhiça is a district in Maputo Province, located about 85 km north of the capital city, Maputo.

An HDSS was established there in 1996 by the Manhiça Health Research Center (CISM) and currently

covers the entire Manhic□a District, which spans 2,380 km^2. More information about the Manhic□a

HDSS has been published elsewhere [17]. With a population of 201,845 [17], Manhiça is the second most

populated district in Maputo Province after the Matola District (the capital of Maputo Province). The

major economic activity is sugarcane farming—Maragra and Xinavane are the main sugar factories in the

country. The health system in Manhiça comprises one district hospital located in Manhiça village, one

rural hospital located in the Xinavane administrative post, and 19 health centers.

Manhiça is a geographic corridor highly exposed to transmissible diseases such as HIV, and

currently COVID-19, stemming from population migration. A large proportion of the Manhiça population

migrate to the nearby capital city of Maputo or beyond to South Africa with regular return visits to their

households in Manhiça. Moreover, the district is crossed by Mozambique's main road (National Road

Number 1), which connects the Southern, Central, and Northern regions of the country as well as

neighboring countries of South Africa, Eswatini, Zimbabwe, Malawi, Zambia, and Tanzania. The district

is also crossed by a railroad that connects to Maputo city and harbor. These transportation systems

facilitate population migration and disease spread.

In each household, one household member was asked to participate on behalf of the household.

Household members were eligible for inclusion if they resided at the residence between March 2020 and

the date of interview. The questionnaire was conducted together with standard procedures for regular

HDSS visits to all residences in the defined catchment area (census method) [17].

Data collection and quality assurance

A survey instrument was developed to collect information about households' experiences during

the COVID-19 lockdown, which included information on knowledge regarding COVID-19 symptoms,

transmission, and prevention. Questions were based on guidelines issued by the Ministry of Health for

COVID-19 prevention in Mozambique [18]. Interviewers asked open-ended questions and recorded

participants' responses. Data collection was conducted by HDSS fieldworkers during their regular visits

to the households between March 2021 and January 2022 through tablet-based in-person interviews with

heads of households or their representatives if the heads were unavailable. These data were linked with

data from the HDSS questionnaires to incorporate socio-demographic data about the households:

household size, number of children under 5 years of age, number of adults over 60 years, and number of

pregnant women; household assets; and materials used for constructing the houses (Supplemental

Methods, Figures S1-S2); variables about the head of household were age, sex, occupation, education,

religion, mother language, and marital status.

Fieldworkers and supervisors were trained on the use of this new module by the study

coordinator. Data cleaning and quality assurance followed standard procedures for the HDSS, whereby

5% of households visited each week were revisited to confirm the recorded information. There was a

script to filter errors at the time of uploading the data from the tablets to the server. Records with

inconsistences were returned to the field for reconciliations.

Measures

Head of household variables were: sex (female, male), age group (<18 years, 18-39 years, 40-64 years, ≥65 years), religion (Catholic, Protestant, Christian unspecified, Zion church member, atheist, Evangelical, other, don't know), language (Tsonga, Echuwabo, Cisena, Bitonga, other), education (higher education, technical education, secondary education, primary education, no education), occupation (retired/pensioner, does not work, professional, merchants, skilled manual, unskilled manual, student/volunteer, other), marital status (single, married/de facto union, separated/divorced, widowed), and had had COVID-19 symptoms since COVID-19 was first reported in Mozambique (yes, no). Household variables were: household size (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6+), number of children under 5 years (0, 1, 2+), number of adults over 60 years (0, 1, 2+), number of pregnant women (0, 1+), and wealth index, which was derived from principal components analysis (PCA) (Supplemental Methods).

In accord with other KAP studies [19-22], we used PCA-based factor analysis to create scores for assessing the degree of knowledge of COVID-19 symptoms, transmission, and prevention (Supplemental Methods). The overall Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin index of sampling adequacy was 0.71, 0.73, and 0.83 for knowledge of symptoms, transmission, and prevention, so we concluded the sample size and data were adequate for the PCAs [16]. The resultant compound factor for knowledge of symptoms included seven variables that accounted for 32% of the variability in the data: difficulty breathing, dry cough, fever, headaches, muscle pain, and sore throat (Table S1). The compound factor for knowledge of transmission included eight variables that explained 29% of the variation: hugging, kissing, and droplets from an infected person; and touching a fomite (objects or materials which are likely to carry infection, such as clothes, utensils, and furniture), an infected person, or one's own eyes or nose, or mouth. The compound factor for knowledge of prevention included eight variables that explained 31% of the data variability: avoid crowded places, touching eyes, touching mouth, touching nose, or traveling; social distancing; quarantine; and wash hands with alcohol. Knowledge of symptoms, transmission, and prevention scores ranged from 0 to 3.3, 0 to 4.2, and 0 to 4.7, respectively, with higher scores representing greater knowledge.

Our survey also asked participants what they would do if someone in their family had symptoms

suggestive of COVID-19. Response categories included: go to the hospital, quarantine, call the hospital,

call the community leader, and treat symptoms at home; respondents could have said yes to multiple

categories. Sources of information about COVID-19 included: TV, SMS/WhatsApp, radio, hospital,

community leaders.

Statistical Analysis

We reported frequency distributions of individual characteristics (age, sex, education, occupation,

religion, language, marital status) and household characteristics (wealth index, total number of household

members, number under 5 children, number above 60 adults, number of pregnant women). We also

reported frequencies and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for knowledge of COVID-19 symptoms,

transmission, and prevention; management of suspected cases; and sources of information. There were

minimal missing data for demographic variables, between 0.1% (age) to 9.6% (education). Consistent

with other KAP studies [23-26], we used multiple imputation with predictive mean matching for these

missing data to retain statistical power and avoid selection bias (Supplemental Methods).

Quasi-Poisson regression was used to evaluate unadjusted and adjusted associations between

characteristics (age; sex; language; religion; marital status; education; occupation; wealth index; had

COVID-19 symptoms; number of household members, children under 5, older adults, and pregnant

women; sources of information) and knowledge of symptoms, transmission, and prevention scores

(Supplemental Methods). Logistic regression was used to evaluate unadjusted and adjusted associations

between the same characteristics and whether the respondents had experienced symptoms associated with

COVID-19. Finally, we assessed whether sources of COVID-19 information mediated the relationship

between educational attainment and knowledge of symptoms, transmission, and prevention scores

(Supplemental Methods). This analysis followed causal mediation analysis methods as previously

described by VanderWeele [27] and has been used in other KAP studies [28-31]. All analyses were done

in R software, version 4.2.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

The Manhiça HDSS is home to 40,636 active households in 2021, of which 33,087 (81.4%)

responded to this survey (Figure 1). Respondents (18,823, 56.9%) were heads of household, their spouses

(7,905, 23.9%), children (3,025, 9.1%), or other family members (3,334, 10.1%). The majority of

respondents (72.4%) were female, and the median age was 38 years (interquartile range [IQR]: 27–53

years) (Table 1). More than half (54.4%) spoke Xirhonga, 34.5% were Zion church members, 70.0% had

primary or no education, and 73.5% were manual laborers. Median household size was 3 (IQR: 2–5). Of

all households, 43.5% had children under 5 years, 26.5% had adults over 60 years, and 3.0% had pregnant

women (Table 1). Eight percent (2,465/33,087) of respondents reported having had symptoms suggestive

of COVID-19 since the disease was first reported in Mozambique, most commonly flu-like symptoms

(50.4%, 1,242/2,465), dry cough (48.0%, 1,182/2,465), headaches (33.8%, 832/2,465), fever (27.0%,

665/2,465), and cough with sputum (21.9%, 541/2,465) (Table S2).

Nearly all (99.4%, 32,901/33,087) participants had heard of coronavirus, but 20.9%

(6,926/33,087) were unfamiliar with the term COVID-19. Of all respondents, 98.2% reported knowing

how SARS-CoV-2 transmission could be prevented, 97.0% knew SARS-CoV-2 may cause disease, and

85.1% knew how SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted. The most commonly mentioned prevention measures were

washing hands with soap (91.9%) and wearing a facemask (91.8%), whereas least mentioned included

avoiding touching eyes (3.8%), nose (4.0%), and mouth (4.9%) (Figure 2). Most mentioned transmission

mechanisms were droplets (50.5%) and aerosol (<5 µm diameter) (46.9%) from an infected person; least

mentioned were touching eyes or nose (9.2%), or mouth (10.7%). The most recognized COVID-19

symptoms were dry cough (51.2%), headaches (44.9%), and fever (44.5%); least mentioned were

nausea/vomiting (3.7%) and muscle or body aches (13.7%). Most participants (88.6%) indicated they

would take symptomatic family members to the hospital for treatment, whereas 3.8% stated they would

treat symptoms at home. The most cited sources of information regarding COVID-19 were television

(44.0%), community leaders (36.2%), and radio (33.7%) (Table S3).

Unadjusted analyses between demographics and sources of information, and PCA-derived

knowledge of symptoms, transmission, and prevention scores are shown in Figure S3: these were

positively correlated ($r = 0.47 \sim 0.63$; $p < 0.001$) (Figure S4). Adjusting for all other variables in the

models (see Figure 3), knowledge of COVID-19 symptoms, transmission, and prevention scores were

highest among heads of household with higher (β coefficients: $0.43 \sim 0.47$), technical ($0.42 \sim 0.46$), or

secondary ($0.35 \sim 0.41$) education with no education as reference; and cited TV ($0.25 \sim 0.47$), radio (0.16

~ 0.38), or SMS/WhatsApp ($0.16 \sim 0.36$) as sources of information for COVID-19. Sources of

information were significant mediators of the relationship between educational attainment and knowledge

of symptoms, transmission, and prevention scores (Tables S4-S6). Compared to participants with no

formal education, those with ≥primary education were more likely to have cited TV, hospital, radio,

SMS/WhatsApp, and community leaders as sources of COVID-19 information than not cite them as

sources of information; the higher the education level, the more likely participants cited these as sources

of information. A significant proportion of the positive association between educational attainment and

knowledge of symptoms, transmission, and prevention scores can be explained by mediators (TV,

SMS/WhatsApp, and radio sources of information): the higher the education level, the greater the impact

of these mediators on knowledge scores. Conversely, there was a significant negative natural indirect

effect for community leaders and hospital, which indicates these sources of information may have

attenuated the positive association of education on knowledge scores. Specifically, these sources of

information were associated with slightly reduced COVID-19 knowledge among those with higher

education.

In adjusted analyses, higher knowledge of symptoms, transmission, and prevention scores were

among participants who were 18-64 years ($0.07 \sim 0.19$) with ≥65 as reference; were Roman Catholic,

Protestant, or Evangelical ($0.05 \sim 0.22$) with Zion church members as reference; were merchants ($0.08 \sim$

0.15), retired/pensioners ($0.17 \sim 0.18$), or professionals ($0.07 \sim 0.12$) with unemployed as reference; and

were in the poorer to richest wealth index quintiles ($0.11 \sim 0.25$) with poorest as reference (Figure 3).

Knowledge scores for all three indices were significantly lower for widowed ($-0.10 \sim -0.09$) compared to

married participants. Furthermore, knowledge of symptoms and transmission, but not prevention, were

higher for those who had COVID-19 symptoms (0.10 ~ 0.11). Knowledge of prevention and transmission

were higher for males than females (0.04 ~ 0.08). Variance inflation factors for independent variables in

all three adjusted models were <1.3, so there was no evidence of collinearity (Table S7).

The adjusted odds of having had symptoms consistent with COVID-19 were higher for

participants with primary, secondary, technical, or higher education (aORs: 1.74 ~ 6.56) with no

education as reference; Catholics, Protestants, Christians, Evangelicals, and Atheists with Zion church

members as reference (aORs: 1.44 ~ 2.02); professionals (aOR: 1.30; 95% CI: 1.07-1.59) with

unemployed as reference; single (aOR: 1.20; 95% CI: 1.07-1.35) with married as reference; and reported

TV (aOR: 1.32; 95% CI: 1.19-1.47) as a source of COVID-19 information (Figure 5). The adjusted odds

of COVID-19 symptoms were lower for individuals in the richest wealth index quintile (aOR: 0.83, 95%

CI: 0.70, 0.98), were <18 years with ≥65 years as reference (aOR: 0.36, 95% CI: 0.24, 0.54) and who

learned about COVID-19 from hospital, radio, or community leaders (aORs: 0.59 ~ 0.80).

Discussion

Community public health measures to reduce infectious disease transmission are contingent upon

individual perceptions of risk and knowledge. In this census of over 33,000 household members in

Manhiça, Mozambique, almost everyone had heard of coronavirus, were aware of COVID-19 symptoms,

and knew how COVID-19 could be prevented. The most recognized COVID-19 symptoms were dry

cough, headaches, fever, difficulty breathing, and sore throat, consistent with findings from a systematic

review of COVID-19 knowledge in sub-Saharan Africa [4]. This study was conducted at the tail end of

the Delta variant wave in September through the peak of Omicron cases in January in Mozambique [32].

The most common Delta symptoms reported in the ZOE COVID Study in the U.K. included runny nose,

headache, sneezing, sore throat, and loss of smell, whereas the most common Omicron symptoms were

runny nose, headache, sore throat, sneezing, and persistent cough [33]. There was high knowledge of

nonpharmaceutical prevention measures such as handwashing and mask-wearing, similar to a study in

Ethiopia [34], but less than half of household members reported social distancing and avoiding crowded

places as prevention measures, which are among the most effective public health measures to prevent

SARS-CoV-2 transmission [35, 36]. Approximately half of household members knew that SARS-CoV-2

may be transmitted from droplets or aerosol from an infected person, which is the primary mode of

SARS-CoV-2 transmission [37]. We also found that knowledge of symptoms, transmission, and

prevention scores were positively correlated and there were consistent results between the knowledge

outcomes.

The finding that participants with higher education had greater knowledge of COVID-19

symptoms, transmission, and prevention is consistent with studies in Australia [38], Ethiopia [39],

Indonesia [40], and South Korea [41]. Other studies also found that higher education was associated with

COVID-19 vaccine acceptance [5, 42]. Mediation analyses demonstrated that TV, SMS/WhatsApp, and

radio sources of information were significant mediators in the relationship between educational

attainment and knowledge scores. Household heads with higher education were more likely to report TV,

SMS and radio as sources of COVID-19 information, which were associated with higher knowledge

scores. Only 20% of those with no education had a TV and 70% had a cellphone, whereas 86% with

higher/university education had a TV and 99% had a cellphone. These resource discrepancies may also

contribute to the finding that individuals in the higher wealth quintiles had higher knowledge scores.

Other studies found that lower education was associated with misinformation, which may in part explain

lower knowledge among this group as well [38, 43]. Participants with higher education also had the

highest odds of having COVID-19 symptoms compared to those with no education, perhaps suggesting

greater awareness of COVID-19 symptoms.

Older respondents had higher COVID-19 knowledge, consistent with other studies [40]. Older

adults, particularly those with comorbidities, are at greater risk for severe illness, hospitalizations, and

death from COVID-19: 70% of COVID-19-attributed deaths in the U.S. were among adults 70 years or

older [44]. Other studies reported greater vaccination [5] and other preventive measures [45] among older

adults compared to young adults. An online survey in Mozambique found that older participants were

more likely to accept COVID-19 vaccines [46]. Mozambique has a young population with a median age

of 17.6 years [47]. Although younger adults are less likely to be hospitalized with COVID-19 compared

to older adults, some develop severe disease, and they may be infectious without symptoms [48].

Other factors associated with higher COVID-19 knowledge scores included employment as

merchants, professionals, and unskilled manual laborers; and Catholic, Protestant, and Evangelical

religions. Additional outreach promoting information regarding COVID-19 transmission and prevention,

as well as vaccine safety and effectiveness, should be tailored to local communities in their language and

should engage community leaders [26]. Although not evaluated in this study, other KAP studies found

higher knowledge scores among individuals with positive HIV status, urban residence, and no previous

SARS-CoV-2 infection [10, 21]. Although we did not know HIV status of participants, this study was

conducted in a community with high HIV prevalence. It is conceivable that previous experiences with and

exposure to messaging for HIV and other endemic infectious diseases increased COVID-19 awareness in

Manhiça. Additionally, individuals with HIV may have greater contact with the healthcare system,

increasing the opportunity to learn about COVID-19 from healthcare providers. Rural southern

Mozambique is also characterized by high levels of labor migration within Mozambique and to South

Africa, but this study did not assess how that affected COVID-19 knowledge.

This study had several limitations. This study is not designed to be representative of all

households in Mozambique; generalizability to a specific population is a feature of all population-based

sub-national studies [15, 49]. Still, these results have broader relevance to educating communities about

COVID-19 prevention. This was a cross-sectional study, which precludes establishing causal and

temporal relationships between demographics and knowledge scores. There may have been social

desirability bias in responses about knowledge. There may have been response bias if individuals with

greater knowledge of COVID-19 were more likely to participate than those with less knowledge. Finally,

there may have been recall bias due to the length of time in the study. Notwithstanding these limitations,

regional census entails that these findings are representative of the district. To our knowledge, there are

no other studies of knowledge of COVID-19 symptoms, transmission, and prevention among community

members in Mozambique.

Conclusions

In this census of over 33,000 community members in a rural district of Mozambique, most

individuals had high knowledge of COVID-19 symptoms and prevention, but there was less knowledge

about transmission. Messaging regarding COVID-19 in southern Mozambique effectively increased

awareness of symptoms and prevention. These findings support the need for outreach and community

engagement considering the target audience to promote COVID-19 prevention measures, particularly

among vulnerable populations with lower educational status.

Abbreviations

CHAMPS: Child Health and Mortality Prevention Surveillance

HDSS: Health and Demographic Surveillance System

KAP: Knowledge, attitudes, and practices

PCA: principal components analysis

SAR: secondary attack rate

SMS: Short Message Service

References

1. **COVID-19 Mozambique** [https://covid19.who.int/region/afro/country/mz]

2. Wang H, Paulson KR, Pease SA, Watson S, Comfort H, Zheng P, Aravkin AY, Bisignano C, Barber RM, Alam T: **Estimating excess mortality due to the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic analysis of COVID-19-related mortality, 2020–21**. *The Lancet* 2022, **399**(10334):1513-1536.

3. Yesuf M, Abdu M: **Knowledge, attitude, prevention practice, and associated factors toward COVID-19 among preparatory school students in Southwest Ethiopia, 2021**. *PLOS ONE* 2022, **17**(1):e0262907.

4. Nwagbara UI, Osual EC, Chireshe R, Bolarinwa OA, Saeed BQ, Khuzwayo N, Hlongwana KW: **Knowledge, attitude, perception, and preventative practices towards COVID-19 in sub-Saharan Africa: A scoping review**. *PLOS ONE* 2021, **16**(4):e0249853.

5. Abebe H, Shitu S, Mose A: **Understanding of COVID-19 vaccine knowledge, attitude, acceptance, and determinates of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance among adult population in Ethiopia**. *Infection and drug resistance* 2021, **14**:2015.

6. Shapiro J, Dean NE, Madewell ZJ, Yang Y, Halloran ME, Longini I: **Efficacy estimates for various COVID-19 vaccines: what we know from the literature and reports**. *MedRxiv* 2021.

7. Song S, Madewell ZJ, Liu M, Longini IM, Yang Y: **Effectiveness of SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines against Omicron Infection and Severe Events: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Test-Negative Design Studies**. *medRxiv* 2023:2023.2002.2016.23286041.

8. **U.S. Government and Government of Mozambique Launch Adolescent Vaccine Campaign with Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccines** [https://mz.usembassy.gov/u-s-government-and-government-of-mozambique-launch-adolescent-vaccine-campaign-with-pfizer-biontech-covid-19-vaccines/]

9. Feldman M, Lacey Krylova V, Farrow P, Donovan L, Zandamela E, Rebelo J, Rodrigues M, Bulo A, Ferraz C, Rodrigues H *et al*: **Community health worker knowledge, attitudes and practices**

361   **towards COVID-19: Learnings from an online cross-sectional survey using a digital health**

362   **platform, UpSCALE, in Mozambique**. *PLOS ONE* 2021, **16**(2):e0244924.

363 10. Marotta C, Nacareia U, Estevez AS, Tognon F, Genna GD, De Meneghi G, Occa E, Ramirez L,

364   Lazzari M, Di Gennaro F: **Mozambican adolescents and youths during the COVID-19**

365   **pandemic: knowledge and awareness gaps in the provinces of sofala and tete**. In: *Healthcare:*

366   *2021*: MDPI; 2021: 321.

367 11. Madewell ZJ, Yang Y, Longini IM, Jr., Halloran ME, Dean NE: **Household Secondary Attack**

368   **Rates of SARS-CoV-2 by Variant and Vaccination Status: An Updated Systematic Review**

369   **and Meta-analysis**. *JAMA Netw Open* 2022, **5**(4):e229317.

370 12. Madewell ZJ, Yang Y, Longini IM, Halloran ME, Dean NE: **Factors associated with household**

371   **transmission of SARS-CoV-2: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis**. *JAMA*

372   *network open* 2021, **4**(8):e2122240-e2122240.

373 13. Muir JA, Dheresa M, Madewell ZJ, Getachew T, Mengesha G, Whitney CG, Assefa N,

374   Cunningham SA: **Household Hardships during the COVID-19 Pandemic: Examining**

375   **Household Vulnerability and Responses to Pandemic Related Shocks in Eastern Ethiopia**.

376   *medRxiv* 2023:2023.2002.2001.23285322.

377 14. Muir JA, Dheresa M, Madewell ZJ, Getachew T, Mengesha G, Whitney CG, Assefa N,

378   Cunningham SA: **Food Insecurity amid COVID-19 Lockdowns: Assessing Sociodemographic**

379   **Indicators of Vulnerability in Harar and Kersa, Ethiopia**. *medRxiv*

380   2023:2023.2001.2031.23284545.

381 15. Cunningham SA, Shaikh NI, Nhacolo A, Raghunathan PL, Kotloff K, Naser AM, Mengesha

382   MM, Adedini SA, Misore T, Onuwchekwa UU: **Health and Demographic Surveillance**

383   **Systems within the Child Health and Mortality Prevention Surveillance Network**. *Clinical*

384   *Infectious Diseases* 2019, **69**(Supplement_4):S274-S279.

385 16. Nhacolo A, Magaço A, Amosse F, Hunguana A, Matsena T, Nhacolo A, Xerinda E, Bassat Q,

386   Sacoor C, Mandomando I *et al*: **Perceptions and compliance with COVID-19 preventive**

measures in Southern and Central regions of Mozambique: a quantitative in-person

household survey in the districts of Manhiça and Quelimane. *medRxiv*

2022:2022.2011.2017.22282473.

17.   Nhacolo A, Jamisse E, Augusto O, Matsena T, Hunguana A, Mandomando I, Arnaldo C,

Munguambe K, Macete E, Alonso P *et al*: **Cohort Profile Update: Manhiça Health and**

Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS) of the Manhiça Health Research Centre (CISM).

Int J Epidemiol 2021, **50**(2):395.

18.   **Manual de prevencao da COVID-19** [https://www.misau.gov.mz/index.php/manuais-e-

material-educativo?download=322:manual-de-prevencao-a-covid-19]

19.   Hajj A, Domiati S, Haddad C, Sacre H, Akl M, Akel M, Tawil S, Abramian S, Zeenny RM,

Hodeib F *et al*: **Assessment of knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding the disposal of**

expired and unused medications among the Lebanese population. *J Pharm Policy Pract*

2022, **15**(1):107.

20.   Ayre J, Cvejic E, McCaffery K, Copp T, Cornell S, Dodd RH, Pickles K, Batcup C, Isautier JMJ,

Nickel B *et al*: **Contextualising COVID-19 prevention behaviour over time in Australia:**

Patterns and long-term predictors from April to July 2020 in an online social media sample.

PLoS One 2021, **16**(6):e0253930.

21.   Kwabla MP, Nyasordzi J, Kye-Duodu G, Ananga MK, Amenuvegbe GK, Otoo J, Nuertey DD,

Mensah EK, Asante-Afari K, Aboagye D *et al*: **Factors associated with COVID-19 knowledge**

among Ghanaians: A national survey. *PLOS ONE* 2022, **17**(11):e0276381.

22.   Madewell ZJ, Chacón-Fuentes R, Jara J, Mejía-Santos H, Molina I-B, Alvis-Estrada JP, Ortiz M-

R, Coello-Licona R, Montejo B: **Knowledge, attitudes, and practices of seasonal influenza**

vaccination in healthcare workers, Honduras. *Plos one* 2021, **16**(2):e0246379.

23.   Berhe NM, Van de Velde S, Rabiee-Khan F, van der Heijde C, Vonk P, Buffel V, Wouters E,

Van Hal G: **Knowledge deficit and fear of COVID-19 among higher education students**

during the first wave of the pandemic and implications for public health: a multi-country

cross-sectional survey. *BMC Public Health* 2022, **22**(1):1144.

24.   Rizzo C, Campagna I, Pandolfi E, Croci I, Russo L, Ciampini S, Gesualdo F, Tozzi AE, Ricotta

L, Raponi M *et al*: **Knowledge and Perception of COVID-19 Pandemic during the First**

Wave (Feb-May 2020): A Cross-Sectional Study among Italian Healthcare Workers. *Int J*

Environ Res Public Health 2021, **18**(7).

25.   Bikaki A, Machiorlatti M, Clark LC, Robledo CA, Kakadiaris IA: **Factors Contributing to**

SARS-CoV-2 Vaccine Hesitancy of Hispanic Population in Rio Grande Valley. *Vaccines*

(Basel) 2022, **10**(8).

26.   Madewell ZJ, Chacón-Fuentes R, Badilla-Vargas X, Ramirez C, Ortiz M-R, Alvis-Estrada J-P,

Jara J: **Knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding seasonal influenza vaccination during**

pregnancy in Costa Rica: A mixed-methods study. *Vaccine* 2022, **40**(48):6931-6938.

27.   VanderWeele T: **Explanation in causal inference: methods for mediation and interaction**:

Oxford University Press; 2015.

28.   Sengupta M, Dutta S, Roy A, Chakrabarti S, Mukhopadhyay I: **Knowledge, attitude and**

practice survey towards COVID-19 vaccination: A mediation analysis. *Int J Health Plann*

Manage 2022, **37**(4):2063-2080.

29.   Luz PM, Brown HE, Struchiner CJ: **Disgust as an emotional driver of vaccine attitudes and**

uptake? A mediation analysis. *Epidemiol Infect* 2019, **147**:e182.

30.   Maloney P, Tietje L, Rung A, Broyles S, Couk J, Peters E, Straif-Bourgeois S: **The mediating**

effects of barriers to vaccination on the relationship between race/ethnicity and influenza

vaccination status in a rural Southeastern Louisiana medical center. *J Prev Med Hyg* 2022,

63(3):E482-e491.

31.   Granderath JS, Sondermann C, Martin A, Merkt M: **Actual and Perceived Knowledge About**

COVID-19: The Role of Information Behavior in Media. *Front Psychol* 2021, **12**:778886.

32.   **WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard: Mozambique**

[https://covid19.who.int/region/afro/country/mz]

33.   Menni C, Valdes AM, Polidori L, Antonelli M, Penamakuri S, Nogal A, Louca P, May A,

Figueiredo JC, Hu C: **Symptom prevalence, duration, and risk of hospital admission in**

individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 during periods of omicron and delta variant

dominance: a prospective observational study from the ZOE COVID Study. *The Lancet*

2022, **399**(10335):1618-1624.

34.   Desalegn Z, Deyessa N, Teka B, Shiferaw W, Hailemariam D, Addissie A, Abagero A, Kaba M,

Abebe W, Nega B *et al*: **COVID-19 and the public response: Knowledge, attitude and**

practice of the public in mitigating the pandemic in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *PLOS ONE*

2021, **16**(1):e0244780.

35.   Talic S, Shah S, Wild H, Gasevic D, Maharaj A, Ademi Z, Li X, Xu W, Mesa-Eguiagaray I,

Rostron J: **Effectiveness of public health measures in reducing the incidence of covid-19,**

SARS-CoV-2 transmission, and covid-19 mortality: systematic review and meta-analysis.

bmj 2021, **375**.

36.   Madewell ZJ, Yang Y, Longini IM, Halloran ME, Dean NE: **Household transmission of SARS-**

CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA network open* 2020, **3**(12):e2031756-

e2031756.

37.   Meyerowitz EA, Richterman A, Gandhi RT, Sax PE: **Transmission of SARS-CoV-2: a review**

of viral, host, and environmental factors. *Annals of internal medicine* 2021, **174**(1):69-79.

38.   Pickles K, Cvejic E, Nickel B, Copp T, Bonner C, Leask J, Ayre J, Batcup C, Cornell S, Dakin T:

COVID-19 misinformation trends in Australia: prospective longitudinal national survey.

Journal of medical Internet research 2021, **23**(1):e23805.

39.   Yesse M, Muze M, Kedir S, Argaw B, Dengo M, Nesre T, Hamdalla F, Saliha A, Mussa T,

Kasim I *et al*: **Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice toward COVID-19 and**

associated factors among health care workers in Silte Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *PLOS ONE* 2021, **16**(10):e0257058.

40. Sulistyawati S, Rokhmayanti R, Aji B, Wijayanti SPM, Hastuti SKW, Sukesi TW, Mulasari SA: **Knowledge, attitudes, practices and information needs during the covid-19 pandemic in indonesia**. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy* 2021, **14**:163.

41. Lee M, Kang B-A, You M: **Knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) toward COVID-19: a cross-sectional study in South Korea**. *BMC public health* 2021, **21**(1):1-10.

42. Shakeel CS, Mujeeb AA, Mirza MS, Chaudhry B, Khan SJ: **Global COVID-19 vaccine acceptance: a systematic review of associated social and behavioral factors**. *Vaccines* 2022, **10**(1):110.

43. Madewell ZJ, Chacón-Fuentes R, Jara J, Mejía-Santos H, Molina I-B, Alvis-Estrada JP, Espinal R: **Knowledge, attitudes, and practices of seasonal influenza vaccination among older adults in nursing homes and daycare centers, Honduras**. *PLOS ONE* 2021, **16**(2):e0246382.

44. Goldstein JR, Lee RD: **Demographic perspectives on the mortality of COVID-19 and other epidemics**. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2020, **117**(36):22035-22041.

45. González-Herrera A, Rodríguez-Blázquez C, Romay-Barja M, Falcon-Romero M, Ayala A, Forjaz MJ: **Age differences in knowledge, attitudes and preventive practices during the COVID-19 pandemic in Spain**. *Scientific Reports* 2022, **12**(1):1-8.

46. Dula J, Mulhanga A, Nhanombe A, Cumbi L, Júnior A, Gwatsvaira J, Fodjo JNS, Faria de Moura Villela E, Chicumbe S, Colebunders R: **COVID-19 vaccine acceptability and its determinants in Mozambique: An online survey**. *Vaccines* 2021, **9**(8):828.

47. **Population Division: World Population Prospects 2022** [https://population.un.org/wpp/]

48. Ravindra K, Malik VS, Padhi BK, Goel S, Gupta M: **Asymptomatic infection and transmission of COVID-19 among clusters: systematic review and meta-analysis**. *Public Health* 2022, **203**:100-109.

49.   Clark S, Wakefield J, McCormick T, Ross M: **Hyak Mortality Monitoring System: Innovative**

Sampling and Estimation Methods–Proof of Concept by Simulation. *Global Health,*

Epidemiology and Genomics 2018, **3**.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The HDSS data collection has ethical approval from the Institutional Ethics Review Board for

Health (CIBS) and Internal Scientific Committee (CCI) at CISM, and the National Bioethics Committee

for Health (CNBS-Mozambique).

This study used part of the existing HDSS data, for which all the heads of households and

household members in Manhiça district have voluntarily agreed and signed a written detailed informed

consent for providing their demographic and socio-economic data, including that of their households and

their young dependents (children under the age of 18 years), in the context of HDSS. In relation to new

data, the study team obtained approval from CISM's CCI and CIBS to collect the data based on voice-

recorded informed oral consent to minimize the risk of COVID-19 transmission when handling paper-

based informed and signed consents between interviewers and interviewees. Data collection was mainly

over the phone to minimize contacts due to COVID-19. Even during household visits (which were done

for participants unreachable by phone), informed consent was oral and voice-recorded to minimize the

risk of COVID-19.

All communications with study participants were done with the language of each participant's

preference. Where the preferred language was not Portuguese, the fieldworkers translated the

questionnaires *in situs* as in other studies that the HDSS and social science team has conducted. Where

the fieldworker could not speak the participant's preferred language, a translator was sought in the

household, or a suitable fieldworker conducted the communication at another time.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Competing interests: The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Availability of data and materials: The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are

available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Funding: This work was supported, in whole or in part, by grant OPP1126780 from the Bill & Melinda

Gates Foundation to Dr. Cynthia Whitney. Under the grant conditions of the Foundation, a Creative

Commons Attribution 4.0 Generic License has already been assigned to the Author Accepted Manuscript

version that might arise from this submission. CISM is supported by the Government of Mozambique and

the Spanish Agency for International Development (AECID).

Authors' contributions: Conceptualization (AN, CS, TM, QB, IM), data curation (AN, CS, TM, QB,

IM), formal analysis (ZM, JM), investigation (AN, ZM, JM, CS, TM, QB, IM), methodology (AN, ZM,

JM, CS, TM, QB, IM, SC), project administration (AN, CS, TM, QB, IM, SC), resources (AN, CS, TM,

QB, IM), supervision (AN, QB, CW, IM, SC), visualization (ZM, JM), writing – original draft

preparation (ZM, JM), writing – review & editing (AN, ZM, JM, CS, TM, QB, CW, IM, SC).

Acknowledgements: CISM is supported by the Government of Mozambique and the Spanish Agency for

International Development (AECID). ISGlobal receives support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and

Innovation through the "Centro de Excelencia Severo Ochoa 2019-2023" Program (CEX2018-000806-S),

and support from the Generalitat de Catalunya through the CERCA Program.

Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily

represent the views of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Figure Titles and Legends

Figure 1. Map of Manhiça District. Source: Created by the authors using Health and Demographic

Surveillance System (HDSS) cartographic data.

Figure 2. **Knowledge of COVID-19 transmission, prevention and symptoms, and management of**

suspected cases, Mozambique, September 2021 – January 2022 (N=33,087). Error bars represent 95%

confidence intervals.

Figure 3. **Adjusted[a] associations between demographics and sources of information, and knowledge**

of prevention, symptoms, and transmission indices[b] derived from principal components analysis,

Mozambique, September 2021 – January 2022 (N=33,087). Points represent β coefficients and error

bars represent 95% confidence intervals. [a]Adjusted for all other variables in the model. [b]Knowledge of

prevention includes: avoid crowded places, touching eyes, touching mouth, touching nose, or traveling;

social distancing; quarantine; and wash hands with alcohol. Knowledge of symptoms includes: difficulty

breathing, dry cough, fever, headaches, muscle pain, and sore throat. Knowledge of transmission

includes: avoid crowded places, touching eyes, touching mouth, touching nose, or traveling; social

distancing; quarantine; and wash hands with alcohol.

Figure 4. Unadjusted and adjusted[a] associations between demographics and sources of information,

and had COVID-19 symptoms, Mozambique, September 2021 – January 2022 (N=33,087). X-axis is

shown on a log-scale. [a]Adjusted for all other variables in the model.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of individuals who participated in COVID-19 cross-sectional survey, Mozambique, September 2021 – January 2022, (N=33,087)

Characteristic	Observed data (N=33,087)		Imputed (N=33,087)
	N	%	%
Individual characteristics			
Age (years) (N=33,046)			
<18	1,064	3.2	3.2
18-39	17,017	51.5	51.5
40-64	10,684	32.3	32.3
≥65	4,281	13.0	13.0
Female sex (N=32,153)	23,287	72.4	72.4
Language (N=31,852)			
Tsonga	29,787	93.5	93.5
Bitonga	482	1.5	1.5
Cisena	303	1.0	1.0
Echuwabo	348	1.1	1.1
Other	932	2.9	2.9
Religion (N=31,914)			
Catholic	2,791	8.7	8.7
Protestant	6,106	19.2	19.2
Christian unspecified	4,512	14.1	14.2
Zion church member	11,043	34.6	34.5
Atheist	1,992	6.2	6.2
Evangelical	4,687	14.7	14.7
Other	783	2.5	2.5
Education (N=29,924)			
No education	3,050	10.2	10.3
Primary education	17,797	59.5	59.7
Secondary education	8,472	28.3	28.0
Technical education	311	1.0	1.0
Higher education	294	1.0	0.9
Occupation (N=31,597)			
Does not work	3,036	9.6	9.7
Student/volunteer	1,144	3.6	3.7
Unskilled manual	909	2.9	2.9
Skilled manual	22,340	70.7	70.6
Merchants	772	2.4	2.4
Professional	1,671	5.3	5.3
Retired/pensioner	455	1.5	1.4
Other	1,270	3.8	3.8
Marital status (N=31,891)			
Single	5,856	18.4	18.4
Married/De facto union	17,332	54.4	54.4
Separated/divorced	3,896	12.2	12.2
Widowed	4,807	15.0	15.0
Had COVID-19 symptoms	2,465	7.5	7.5
Household characteristics			
Household size (32,744)			
1	4,240	13.0	13.2
2	4,272	13.0	13.1
3	4,833	14.8	14.8
4	5,153	15.7	15.7
5	4,841	14.8	14.7
≥6	9,405	28.7	28.5
Children under age 5			
0	18,686	56.5	56.5
1	10,552	31.9	31.9

≥2	3,849	11.6	11.6
Elderly over age 60			
0	24,316	73.5	73.5
1	7,219	21.8	21.8
≥2	1,552	4.7	4.7
Pregnant women			
0	32,105	97.0	97.0
≥1	982	3.0	3.0
Wealth index			
Poorest	6,618	20.0	20.0
Poorer	6,612	20.0	20.0
Middle	6,621	20.0	20.0
Richer	6,612	20.0	20.0
Richest	6,624	20.0	20.0
N=33,087 unless stated otherwise due to non-response			