Subgrouping multimorbid patients with ischemic heart disease by 1 means of unsupervised clustering: A cohort study of 72,249 2 patients defined by 3,046 diagnoses 3

- 5 Short title: Unsupervised clustering of patients with ischemic heart disease
- 7 Amalie D. Haue^{1,2,} ¶, Peter C. Holm^{1,} ¶, Karina Banasik¹, Agnete T. Lundgaard¹, Victorine P. Muse¹, Timo
- 8 Röder¹, David Westergaard¹, Piotr J. Chmura¹, Alex H. Christensen^{2,3}, Peter EK. Weeke², Erik Sørensen⁴, Ole
- 9 BV. Pedersen^{4,5}, Sisse R. Ostrowski^{4,6}, Kasper K. Iversen³, Lars V. Køber^{2,6}, Henrik Ullum⁷, Henning
- 10 Bundgaard^{2,5*}, Søren Brunak, PhD^{1,8*}
- 11

4

6

- 12 ¹Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of
- 13 Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 14 ²Department of Cardiology, The Heart Center, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 15 ³Department of Cardiology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Herley, Denmark
- 16 ⁴Department of Clinical Immunology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 17 ⁵Department of Clinical Immunology, Zealand University Hospital, Køge, Denmark
- 18 ⁶Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 19 ⁷Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 20 ⁸Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 21
- 22 *E-mail: soren.brunak@cpr.ku.dk (SB)
- 23
- 24 These authors contributed equally to this work.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

25 Abstract

46

26 **Background:** There are no methods for classifying multimorbid patients with ischemic heart

disease (IHD), although such methods might be clinically useful due to the marked

28 differences in presentation and disease-course.

29 Methods: A population-based cohort study from a Danish secondary care setting of patients

30 with IHD (2004-2016) and subjected to a coronary angiography (CAG) or coronary

31 computed tomography angiography (CCTA). Data sources were The Danish National Patient

32 Registry, in-hospital laboratory data, and genetic data from Copenhagen Hospital Biobank.

33 Comorbidities included diagnoses assigned prior to presentation of IHD. Patients were

34 clustered my means of the Markov Clustering Algorithm based on the entire spectrum of

35 registered multimorbidity. The two prespecified outcomes were: New ischemic events

36 (including death from IHD causes) and death from non-IHD causes. Patients were followed

37 from date of CAG/CCTA until one of the two outcomes occurred or end of follow-up,

38 whichever came first. Biological and clinical appropriateness of clusters was assessed by

39 comparing risks (estimated from Cox proportional hazard models) in clusters and by

40 phenotypic and genotypic enrichment analyses, respectively.

41 **Findings**: In a cohort of 72,249 patients with IHD (mean age 63.9 years, 63.1% males), 31

distinct clusters (C1-31, 67,136 patients) were identified. Comparing each cluster to the 30
others, eight clusters (9,590 patients) had statistically significantly higher (five clusters) or

44 lower (three clusters) risk of new ischemic events; 18 clusters (35,982 patients) had a higher

45 (11 clusters) or lower (seven clusters) risk of death from non-IHD causes. All clusters at

increased risk of new ischemic events, associated with risk of death from non-IHD causes as

47 well. Cardiovascular or inflammatory diseases were the commonly enriched in clusters (13),

48 and distributions for 24 laboratory test results differed significantly across clusters. Polygenic

49 risk scores for atrial fibrillation and diabetes were increased in x and y clusters respectively.

- 50 **Conclusions:** Clustering of patients with IHD based on comorbidities identified subgroups of
- 51 patients with significantly different clinical outcomes. This novel approach may support
- 52 differentiation of treatment intensity dependent on expected outcomes.

53 Non-standard abbreviations

- 54 CAG: Coronary arteriography
- 55 CCTA: Coronary computed tomography angiography
- 56 ICD-10: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th
- 57 Revision
- 58 IHD: Ischemic heart disease
- 59 MCL: Markov clustering
- 60 NPR: Danish National Patient Registry (NPR)
- 61 O/E-ratio: Observed-expected-ratio
- 62 PRS: Polygenic risk score

63 Introduction

64	Ischemic heart disease (IHD) is a common, chronic, complex disease and mode of onset,
65	disease burden and disease progression vary considerably between patients(1–3). This
66	heterogeneity relates to several factors, but a major contribution is multimorbidity as more
67	than 85% of IHD patients are diagnosed with other chronic diseases; a phenomenon coined
68	cardiometabolic multimorbidity(4,5). The increased mortality in patients with
69	cardiometabolic multimorbidity is generally only related to single disease states, such as
70	obstructive lung disease, diabetes, or stroke, although it is known that the risk of
71	cardiovascular diseases is increased in many chronic, inflammatory disorders(6,7). As more
72	patients at older age and with more and more co-morbidities are seen, new methods for
73	characterizing and studying cardiometabolic multimorbidity are needed(8-11).
74	
75	Unsupervised clustering algorithms can systematically reveal structures in large, feature-rich
76	datasets and may be used to identify distinct patient subgroups within a heterogenous
77	population(12). Proof-of-concept analyses of cardiovascular phenotypes, including IHD,
78	heart failure, diabetes, and atrial fibrillation have already been performed(13–19). While
79	these studies successfully identify subgroups resembling those from traditional analyses, they
80	often fail to demonstrate that clustering analysis leads to novel understanding of a given
81	dataset. Rather, they are typically restricted to characterize high-, medium-, and low-risk
82	subgroups which by and large resemble more conservative approaches from an earlier, less
83	data-rich, epoch(20).

For decades, Danish healthcare registries have had a strong position within epidemiological
research(20–22). Given the opportunities for using clinical data more extensively, we carried
out an unsupervised clustering analysis of 72,249 patients with IHD based on their entire

- 88 disease history until IHD onset. Explicitly, we wanted to classify IHD based on the entire
- 89 spectrum of multimorbidity. We identified distinct patient subgroups derived from a total of
- 90 3,046 diagnoses assigned prior to IHD onset. The biological and clinical appropriateness
- 91 of the patient subgroups identified by unsupervised clustering analysis was asserted by
- 92 assessments of their associations with clinical outcomes and clinical characteristics,
- 93 laboratory data, and genetics (Figure 1).

Fig 1



95 Fig 1: Graphical overview of study. Conceptual figure displaying the cohort of patients

- 96 with IHD. A.: Assemblage of patient-specific vectors and an f x p matrix, where f
- 97 corresponds to the number of diagnoses and *p* corresponds to the number of included patients
- 98 B: Unsupervised clustering of IHD patients using the MCL algorithm. that were the basis for

- 99 construction of a matrix. C: which was the basis for performing unsupervised clustering to
- 100 identify distinct clusters. D: Cluster-based risk stratification of cohort. Red: Increased risk of
- 101 both outcomes. Orange: Increased risk of death from non-IHD causes, only. Yellow: No
- 102 statistically significant associations. Light green: Reduced risk of death from non-IHD
- 103 causes. Dark green: Reduced risk of new ischemic events or non-IHD causes. E: Phenotypic
- 104 and genetic characterization of clusters. IHD: Ischemic heart disease. MCL: Markov
- 105 Clustering.

106 Methods

107 Data sources, study population, and outcomes

108 Data from the Danish National Patient Registry (NPR) and the Danish Registry for Causes of 109 Death were linked to in-hospital electronic health data covering the two Danish healthcare 110 regions in Eastern Denmark (~2.9 mil inhabitants), and the Copenhagen Hospital Biobank 111 Cardiovascular Disease Cohort(21,23,24). Linkage of different healthcare data sources was 112 obtained via the personal identification number and only patients admitted to a hospital in 113 Eastern Denmark in years 2004 to 2016 were considered(25). We identified all patients in 114 NPR who were assigned an ICD-10 code for IHD(26). To increase the positive predictive value of IHD diagnoses and align included patients in time, we further required that patients 115 116 had been subjected to coronary arteriography (CAG) or coronary computed tomography 117 angiography (CCTA). To qualify that CAG/CCTAs were conclusive for IHD, patients were only included if the CAG/CCTA was performed during a contact where patients were 118 119 assigned an ICD-10 code for IHD. We set the earliest CAG/CCTA fulfilling this criterium as 120 the index date and excluded patients with an index date before year 2004 or after 2016 (Fig 121 2).

Fig 2



122

123 Fig 2: Flowchart: Data sources, study population, and outcomes. Gray: Identification.

124 Blue: Screening. Red: Eligibility. Green: Inclusion and outcomes. AMI: Acute myocardial

125 infarction. UAP: Unstable angina pectoris. NPR: The Danish National Patient Registry. IHD:

- 126 ischemic heart disease (ICD-10 codes I20-I25). CAG: Coronary arteriography. CCTA:
- 127 Coronary computed tomography angiography. ICD-10: International Statistical Classification
- 128 of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision. SKS: Sundhedsvæsenets
- 129 Klassifikationssystem (The Danish Health Authority Classification System).

130 There were two predefined outcomes: 1) New ischemic events and 2) Death from other 131 causes than IHD (non-IHD causes). The outcome "new ischemic event" was a composite 132 outcome of a) hospitalization minimum 30 days after index for myocardial infarction or 133 unstable angina pectoris (i.e., hospitalization with myocardial infarction or unstable angina 134 pectoris as the primary diagnosis), b) revascularization not related to the index date and c) 135 any death where IHD was listed as the primary or secondary cause. Outcomes were obtained 136 from NPR and Danish Registry for Causes of Death. Eligible codes for inclusion, outcomes 137 and specific cutoffs are available in S1 Fig and S1 Table. 138 139 Data preprocessing and application of the Markov cluster algorithm 140 We performed a clustering analysis of included patients based on their multimorbidity prior 141 to their IHD diagnosis (index) using the Markov cluster (MCL) algorithm(27). 142 Multimorbidity was represented as patient-specific vectors using diagnoses assigned prior to 143 or at index. ICD-10 codes assigned to less than five patients (n=1,673) were excluded from 144 the analysis owing to legal regulations in Denmark. As we focused the studies on 145 multimorbidity in IHD, ICD-10 codes for IHD (I20-I25) were excluded from patients-specific 146 vectors. Thus, a total of 3,046 ICD-10 codes were the basis for constructing a patient 147 similarity network that was used as MCL algorithm input. Patient-specific vectors consisted 148 of length 3,046 with integers indicating the number of times a patient had been assigned a 149 particular ICD-10 code. The length of the vectors corresponded to the number of input 150 features (ICD-10 codes). By combining the patient-specific vectors from all included 151 patients, a matrix of size p x f was constructed, where p indicates the number of included 152 patients and f indicates the number of input features (ICD-10 codes). Following a series of preprocessing steps, a patient similarity network was created based on the p x f matrix and 153 154 used as input for the MCL algorithm (28). Resulting clusters were denoted C followed by an

155 integer indicating the rank of the clusters with respect to cluster size (number of patients). 156 Thus, C1 denotes the largest cluster and cluster-membership was used to denote a cluster as a 157 covariate in subsequent analyses. Robustness of clustering was assessed by generating a 158 series of diluted and shuffled versions of the resulting clusters (reference clustering), and 159 their similarity was quantified using the variance of information measure as previously 160 described(29). Explicitly, a series of diluted and shuffled versions of the input graph were 161 generated(30). In total, 500 variations of the input graph were constructed by shuffling and 162 deleting edges, respectively. The variation in the graphs was then quantified by means of 163 variation of information parameter. Details regarding the MCL settings and a description of 164 cluster robustness assessment are available in the S1 Appendix. 165

166 Preprocessing of laboratory and genetic data

167 Clusters were characterized by laboratory and genetic data based on the subset of patients 168 where these data types were available. A panel of 25 different lab parameters was included in 169 the analyses. Only tests taken up to 90 days before index or at the day of index were included. Included lab tests were plasma levels of potassium, sodium, hemoglobin, estimated 170 171 glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), creatinine, carbamide, glucose, troponin (I/T), HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, total cholesterol, leukocytes, C-reactive protein, lymphocytes, 172 173 monocytes, neutrophils, basophils, platelets, INR, alanine transaminase, albumin, alkaline 174 phosphatase, bilirubin, and triglyceride. For every cluster, a score was computed based on the 175 number of patients with a lab test below, within, or above the standard reference value, 176 indicated by -1, 0 and 1, respectively. Score was defined as the mean of the summarized 177 values per cluster.

179 Autosomal genotype data were obtained by identifying included patients who were also 180 among the study participants in the Copenhagen Hospital Biobank – Cardiovascular Disease 181 Cohort(24). For included patients with genetic data available, we calculated polygenic risk 182 scores (PRSs) for 14 traits, obtained from nine GWAS meta-analyses (atrial fibrillation, BMI-183 adjusted non-insulin diabetes, chronic kidney disease, HDL cholesterol levels, heart failure, 184 LDL cholesterol levels, stroke, total cholesterol levels, triglyceride levels)(31-36) and five 185 GWAS (acute myocardial infarction, coronary artery disease, diastolic blood pressure, non-186 alcoholic fatty liver disease, systolic blood pressure)(37-40). PRSs were calculated using the 187 "LDpred2-auto" algorithm, implemented in the R package "bigsnpr" (version 1.11.6) with R 188 version 4.0.0 and the workflow management system Snakemake(41-43). Each trait's PRS 189 distribution was scaled to a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one.

190

191 Statistical analyses of clusters identified by the MCL algorithm

As the study was designed to identify patient subgroups and not individual variation, clusters of size < 500 were excluded from the remaining analyses. Mean age at IHD onset in each cluster was compared to the mean age at onset in all the other clusters using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) method. Significance level was set to 0.05 and P-values were adjusted assuming 465 tests (adj. P-val.).

197

To investigate the association between cluster-membership and the competing risks of new ischemic events and death from non-IHD causes, we used Cox proportional-hazards models (Cox models). Patients were followed from index until occurrence of either of the two outcomes, or end of follow-up (year 2018), whichever came first. The dependent variable was either risk of new ischemic events or death from non-IHD causes, and the independent variables were cluster, sex, and age at index. To age-adjust the models, analyses were

204 performed using restricted cubic spline with three knots for age at index. Follow-up time was 205 truncated to a maximum of five years. For each cluster, hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% 206 confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated by comparing HRs for the members of the cluster 207 with the HRs with that of non-members. 208 209 Further characterization of clusters consisted of: (1) phenotypic enrichment analysis, (2) 210 characterization of clusters with respect to their laboratory profiles and (3) a test for genetic 211 enrichment. The phenotypic enrichment analysis was carried out based on ratios between 212 Observed (O) and Expected (E) frequencies of diagnoses in the clusters (O/E-ratios). That is, 213 ratios between the frequencies of ICD-10 codes in each cluster (observed frequencies) and 214 the frequencies of ICD-10 codes in the entire population (expected frequencies) were 215 calculated and expressed as O/E-ratios(44). In subsequent characterization of clusters, 216 enrichment denoted O/E-ratios > 2, and clusters were characterized as having little 217 enrichment if the sum of the ten largest O/E-ratios < 50. Inverse changes were used to denote 218 O/E-ratios between 0 and 1. 219 220 Hierarchical clustering was applied to estimate the cluster similarity with respect to the 221 laboratory tests using the Euclidean distance between the score of each cluster for each test. 222 223 For each of the fourteen traits we calculated PRSs for, we used Wilcoxon rank-sum tests to compare the PRS distribution of each cluster to the combined PRS distribution of PRSs in all 224 225 other clusters. Resulting P-values were converted to the false discovery rate (FDR) to account 226 for multiple testing, with a total of 434 tests. We report effect sizes as calculated by the "wilcox.test" function built into R version 4.0.0. Level of significance was set to FDR < 0.05, 227 228 assuming 434 tests.

229

- 230 Further details regarding preprocessing and analyses of laboratory and genetic data are
- available in the S2 Appendix.

232 **Results**

- 233 Cohort demographics and co-morbidities
- A total of 72,249 patients (63.1% males, mean age 63.9 years) were included (Table 1).
- Angina pectoris (I20) was the most common IHD diagnosis (38,239 patients, 52.9%),
- followed by acute myocardial infarction (I21) (33,229 patients, 46.0 %) and chronic IHD
- 237 (I25) (22,750 patients, 31.5%). The most common co-morbidity prior to the IHD index was
- hypertension (I10.9) (24,818 patients, 34.4%) followed by dyslipidemia (E78.0) (12,780
- patients, 17.7%) and non-insulin dependent diabetes (E11.9) (7,551 patients, 10,5%). Prior to
- index, the mean number of diagnoses per patient was 8.1. A total of 68,103 patients (94.3%)
- 241 were co-morbid at index. The overall incidence (new ischemic events and death from non-
- 242 IHD causes) was 94 events per 1000 person-years (Table 1).

243

T٤	ıb	le	1:	Patient	demograp	hics,	comorbidities,	and	outcomes

Cohort demographics	Total	Males	Females
Number of patients (%)	72,249	45,576 (63.1)	26,673 (36,1)
Mean age at index (SD)	63.9 (11.9)	62.9 (11.6)	65.6 (12.1)
IHD manifestations (ICD-10)	Total	Males	Females
Angina pectoris (I20)	38,239	22,628	15,611
Acute myocardial infarction (I21)	33,299	27,720	10,579
Subsequent myocardial infarction (I22)	61	34	27
Certain current complications following acute	138	92	46
myocardial infarction (I23)			
Other acute ischemic heart diseases (I24)	1,341	814	527
Chronic ischemic heart disease (I25)	22,750	14,589	8,152
Common comorbidities (ICD-10)	Total	Males	Females
Primary (essential) hypertension (I10.9)	24,818	14,508	10,310
Hypercholesterolemia (E78.0)	12,780	7,842	4,938
Non-insulin dependent diabetes (E11.9)	7,551	4,891	2,660
Atrial fibrillation and atrial flutter, unspecified (I48.9)	7,075	4,509	2,566
Heart failure, unspecified (I50.9)	6,160	4,059	2,101
Chest pain, unspecified (R07.9)	5,863	3,441	2,422
Senile cataract, unspecified (H25.9)	5,764	2,795	2,969
Pneumonia, unspecified (J18.9)	5,469	3,236	2,260
Hyperlipidemia, unspecified (E78.5)	5,002	3,306	1,696
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (J44.9)	4,621	2,449	2,172
Outcomes, number of cases	Total	Males	Females
New ischemic events (%)	14,679	10,152	4,527
Myocardial infarction	5,833	3,709	2,124
Revascularization	6,282	4.718	2,124
Death caused by IHD	2,563	1.724	839
Death from non-IHD causes (%)	10,684	6,710	3,974
Censored (%)	46,886	28,713	18,172
Outcomes, time to event	Mean time to event in years (SD)		
	Total	Males	Females
New ischemic events	1.48 (1.40)	1.49 (1.41)	1.48 (1.40)
Myocardial infarction	2.40 (1.87)	2.41 (1.89)	2.38 (1.85)
Revascularization	2.25 (1.88)	2.28 (1.89)	2.16 (1.84)
Death caused by IHD	1.92 (1.13)	1.95 (2.02)	1.88 (2.05)
Death from non-IHD causes	2.16 (1.50)	2.14 (1.49)	2.20 (1.51)
Censored	4.37 (1.08)	4.36 (1.09)	4.39 (1.06)
Total	3.72 (1.64)	3.67 (1.67)	3.81 (1.60)

246 Unsupervised clustering of multimorbid patients with IHD

247 In the cohort, the MCL algorithm identified 36 distinct clusters based on the set of 3,046 248 ICD-10 codes assigned to the patients prior to or at index. The 36 clusters contained a total of 249 68,084 patients. The remaining 4,365 patients in the cohort (6,0%) that did not cluster were 250 primarily patients with no diagnoses prior to index (>99%). This observation served as a 251 negative control as the MCL algorithm correctly identified patients without registered co-252 morbidity prior to index. Further, clusters robustness was assessed as described in Methods with a reasonable variation of information. Next, the 31 of the 36 clusters with >500 patients 253 254 (67,136 patients) were characterized (Table 2). Using Tukey's HSD to compare the age at 255 index between all 31 clusters (a total of 466 combinations), we found significant differences 256 in 391 comparisons (S3 Table). For demographics of patients that did not cluster or were in

clusters of size < 500, see S4 Table.

Cluster	Size	Mean age at index	Males	Females	New ischemic		Death from non-	
		in years (SD)			HR	Adi P-val	HR	Adi P-val
C1	7 191	64.8 (11.3)	3 897	3 294	1 000	> 0.050	0.856	> 0.050
C^2	5 990	58.6 (11.5)	2 862	3 127	0.825	< 0.000	0.600	< 0.001
C3	4 641	56.8 (11.4)	2,002	1 914	0.757	< 0.001	0.586	< 0.001
C4	4 401	69.6 (10.2)	2,853	1 548	0.920	> 0.050	1 461	< 0.001
C5	4.290	63.9 (10.7)	2.803	1,310	1.402	< 0.001	1.629	< 0.001
C6	3.589	59.7 (10.9)	2.388	1.201	0.969	> 0.050	0.675	< 0.001
C7	3.309	63.8 (11.0)	2.025	1.284	0.889	> 0.050	0.611	< 0.001
C8	2,802	71.1 (10.9)	1,867	935	0.943	> 0.050	0.842	> 0.050
С9	2,581	63.7 (11.8)	1,803	778	1.314	< 0.001	1.789	> 0.050
C10	2,562	74.2 (9.6)	1,225	1,337	0.978	> 0.050	0.928	> 0.050
C11	2,292	66.1 (11.0)	2,186	106	0.926	> 0.050	0.650	< 0.001
C12	2,213	70.3 (10.2)	2,068	145	0.920	> 0.050	0.805	> 0.050
C13	2,070	58.6 (10.2)	1,348	722	0.946	> 0.050	0.577	< 0.050
C14	2,070	68.2 (9.6)	1,030	1,010	1.146	> 0.050	3.390	< 0.001
C15	2,040	63.9 (10.1)	1,208	805	1.031	> 0.050	0.784	> 0.050
C16	1,654	64.1 (12.1)	1,013	641	1.107	> 0.050	1.761	< 0.001
C17	1,281	65.3 (9.9)	714	567	1.001	> 0.050	1.761	< 0.001
C18	1,251	68.2 (9.8)	802	449	1.790	< 0.001	3.421	< 0.001
C19	1,168	58.5 (9.7)	995	173	0.752	< 0.050	1.571	> 0.050
C20	1,119	71.5 (11.3)	713	406	1.213	> 0.050	1.782	< 0.001
C21	1,000	61.0 (11.0)	769	231	1.116	> 0.050	0.890	> 0.050
C22	988	69.2 (10.4)	516	472	1.023	> 0.050	0.978	> 0.050
C23	935	58.7 (12.2)	588	347	1.609	< 0.001	2.275	< 0.001
C24	932	67.9 (10.1)	28	904	0.787	> 0.050	1.589	< 0.001
C25	860	56.2 (9.9)	664	196	0.978	> 0.050	2.691	< 0.001
C26	852	58.7 (12.1)	391	461	0.939	> 0.050	1.108	> 0.050
C27	823	65.1 (10.9)	532	291	1.201	> 0.050	1.289	> 0.050
C28	686	71.7 (8.0)	673	13	0.866	> 0.050	1.786	< 0.001
C29	550	57.2 (11.1)	435	115	0.906	> 0.050	0.985	> 0.050
C30	533	61.2 (11.7)	391	172	1.874	< 0.001	5.364	< 0.001
C31	520	64.4 (11.2)	213	307	1.052	> 0.050	1.484	> 0.050
NA*	5,113	60.1 (11.1)	3,878	1,235	NA	NA	NA	NA

Table 2: Cluster demographics, characteristics, and associations with outcomes

258

*Patients that did not cluster or were in clusters of size < 500

259 Clusters, clinical outcomes, and phenotypic enrichment

260 To assess if the unsupervised clustering identified patient subgroups at different risks of 261 disease progression, we used cluster-membership (C1-C31) as a covariate in a series of Cox 262 models. A total of 14,679 patients experienced a new ischemic event during follow-up and 263 10,684 patients died from other causes than IHD. Mean follow-up times was 3.72 years 264 (Table 1). Risks for new ischemic events and death from non-IHD causes in each cluster were 265 compared to the pooled risk for patients in the remaining 30 clusters. The survival analysis 266 demonstrated that the MCL algorithm stratified patients according to risk of new ischemic 267 events and death from non-IHD causes (Fig 3). Comparing each cluster to all the others 268 (n=30), seven clusters (20,221 patients) had a statistically significantly higher (five clusters) 269 or lower (two clusters) risk of new ischemic events; and 18 clusters (43,173 patients) had a 270 higher (14 clusters) or lower (four clusters) risk of death from non-IHD causes. All clusters at 271 increased risk of new ischemic events, associated with risk of death from non-IHD causes as 272 well. Thus, 11 clusters (23,963 patients) did not have altered risk of the two outcomes, when 273 compared to the other clusters (Fig 1D).

Fig 3



274



276 plots where clusters are shown against HR for new ischemic events (left) and death from non-

277 IHD causes (right). X-axis: HR for a single cluster relative to mean HR of the 30 other

278 clusters. Y-axis: Clusters arranged by risk of new ischemic events, increasing risk from top to

279 bottom. IHD: Ischemic heart disease. HR: Hazzard ratio.

280	The distribution of O/E-ratios was heavily left-skewed as less than 99% (n=101) of all O/E-
281	ratios were >10 and roughly 7% (n=887) of all O/E-ratios were >2. About 60% of all O/E-
282	ratios (n=8,056) were in the range of 0 and 1 corresponding to inverse changes. Generally,
283	clusters that had high risk of new ischemic events or death from non-IHD causes were also
284	characterized by large, summarized O/E-values corresponding to a high degree of
285	multimorbidity (S5 Table). To obtain an overview of the results of the risk stratification in
286	conjunction with the enrichment analysis, clusters were described according to three
287	categories: (1) clusters enriched for cardiometabolic or -vascular diseases, (2) clusters
288	enriched for degenerative or inflammatory diseases and (3) clusters enriched characterized by
289	little enrichment and inverse changes.
290	
291	Clusters enriched for cardiometabolic and -vascular diseases
292	Four of the five clusters at increased risk of new ischemic events (and death from non-IHD
293	causes) were enriched for diabetes (C5, C18, C23, and C30). In these four clusters, HRs
294	ranged from 1.40 (C5, 95%CI: 1.30;1.50, adj. P-val. < 0.001) to 1.88 (C30, 95%CI:
295	1.60;2.00, adj. P-val. < 0.001) with a significant difference in age at index (C5: 63.9 years,
296	C30: 61.2 years, Adj. P-val. < 0.001, TukeyHSD). C18 and C23 were only enriched for
297	insulin-dependent diabetes, but differed in that C18 was also enriched for insulin-dependent
298	diabetes with vascular complications and periphery atherosclerosis. In contrast, C5 was only
299	enriched for non-insulin dependent diabetes and included diabetes with as well as without
300	complications. Lastly, C30 was only enriched for diabetes with complications (insulin and
301	non-insulin dependent) and was the diabetes cluster enriched for chronic kidney disease and
302	bacterial infections, as well (S5 Table).
303	

304	Other cardiac diseases that displayed enrichment were supraventricular arrythmias (C4),
305	cardiomyopathies (C9), and valve diseases (C20). Of the three clusters, only C9 had
306	increased risk of new ischemic events (HR: 1.31 (C9, 95%CI: 1.20;1.44, adj. P-val.: < 0.001).
307	Risk of death from non-IHD causes was 1.79 (95%CI: 1.60;2.00, adj. P-val. < 0.001). In
308	contrast, C4 and C20 only had increased risk of death from non-IHD causes with HRs of 1.49
309	(C4, 95%CI: 1.34;1.59, adj. P-val. < 0.001) and 1.78 (C20, 95%CI: 1.54;2.04, adj. P-val. <
310	0.001). Interestingly, the cluster enriched for cerebrovascular diseases (C27) did not have
311	altered risk of any of the two outcomes. In sum, all clusters that had increased risk of new
312	ischemic events were enriched for cardiometabolic diseases, albeit not all clusters enriched
313	for cardiometabolic and -vascular diseases had increased risk of new ischemic events (Table
314	2 and S5 Table).
315	
316	Clusters enriched for degenerative or inflammatory diseases
317	Six clusters (C7, C13, C14, C22, C26, and C31) were enriched for diagnoses describing
318	degenerative or inflammatory diseases, i.e., osteoarthritis (C7), degenerative spine disease
319	(C13 and C22), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (C14), asthma (C26), and rheumatoid
320	arthritis (C31). Remarkably, none of the four clusters had increased risk of new ischemic
321	events and only one cluster (C14) had increased risk of death from non-IHD causes (HR:
322	3.39, 95%CI: 3.09;3.71, adj. P-val. < 0.001). Conversely, C7 and C13 had reduced risk of
323	death from non-IHD causes (C7, HR: 0.61, 95%CI: 0.52;0.72, adj. P-val. < 0.001 and C13,
324	HR: 0.58, 95%CI: 0.45;0.74, adj. P-val. < 0.001). Age at index for the clusters enriched for
325	degenerative or inflammatory diseases range between 58.6 years (C13) and 69.2 years (C22)
326	(Table 2). Taken together, these findings hint to the dual nature of inflammation as a potential
327	disease modifier as well as a risk factor.
328	

329 *Clusters characterized by little enrichment and inverse changes*

330 Six clusters (C1, C2, C3, C6, C15, and C17) were characterized by little enrichment, which

- included the two clusters with reduced risk of new ischemic events (C2, HR: 0.82, 95%CI:
- 332 0.76;0.89, adj. P-val. < 0.001 and C3, HR: 0.76, 95%CI: 0.52;0.69, adj. P-val. < 0.001). Not
- 333 surprisingly, none of these six clusters had increased risk of either of the two outcomes, but
- three clusters (C2, C3, and C6) had reduced risk of death from non-IHD causes (C2, HR:
- 335 0.60, 95%CI: 0.52;0.69, adj. P-val. < 0.001, C3, HR: 0.59, 95%CI: 0.59;0.69, adj. P-val. <
- 336 0.001 and C6, HR: 0.68, 95%CI: 0.57;0.79, adj. P-val. < 0.001) (Table 2). It was a common
- 337 attribute of the clusters without altered risk of any of the two outcomes that O/E-ratios for
- 338 hypertension and dyslipidemia were among the largest. In contrast, diabetes, heart failure,
- and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease frequently displayed inverse changes (O/E-ratios
- 340 < 1) in these clusters (S5 Table). Taken together, these observations indicate that risk of
- 341 disease progression in this populations necessitates a more sophisticated analysis of
- 342 multimorbidity.
- 343

For a list with results of the enrichment analysis for all clusters, including the 13 clusters notdescribed above, S5 Table.

346

347 Clusters and their association with laboratory measurements and genetic data

348 Clusters were also characterized by means of datatypes not included among the MCL

349 algorithm input features. For patients in the 31 clusters, we had laboratory measurements on

350 30,755 (49.5%) and genetic data on 19,422 (31.3%). To assess if the phenotypic differences

- 351 captured by the MCL algorithm were also reflected in laboratory measurements, we tested if
- 352 the distributions of test results within and out of reference ranges differed significantly. There
- 353 were significantly different distributions of tests within and out of reference ranges in clusters

for the 24 most frequent tests. Overall, this indicates that the phenotypic patterns within the entire spectrum of cardiovascular multimorbidity registered before index correlate with results of clinical laboratory tests (S6 Table). Thus, these findings are a strong indicator that the patterns captured by the MCL algorithm are biologically relevant. For a graphical summary of the laboratory scores in each cluster, see S4 Figure.

359

360 Finally, we identified 41 cases (out of 434 tests) where the PRS distribution for a specific 361 trait in cluster was significantly different from that trait's combined PRS distribution of the 362 other 30 clusters. Among these cases, we found the largest effects size to be a higher genetic 363 risk for atrial fibrillation in cluster C4 (0.57, FDR < 0.001) as well as a higher genetic risk for 364 non-insulin dependent diabetes in cluster C5 (0.55, FDR < 0.001). These findings are 365 congruent with the results of the enrichment analysis for C4 and C5, respectively. In contrast, 366 C1 (phenotypically characterized by inverse changes) had relatively large, positive effect 367 sizes for systolic as well as diastolic blood pressure (0.20 and 0.16, FDR < 0.001). Similarly, 368 there were positive effect sizes for total cholesterol and triglycerides in C6, which was also 369 characterized by little phenotypic enrichment as well as a high degree of inverse changes. A 370 list of significant effect sizes for the 41 significant cases, see S7 Table.

371 **Discussion**

In this study, we developed a novel, data-driven method for structuring the entire spectrum of multimorbidity by means of an unsupervised clustering analysis. In a cohort of 72,249 patients with IHD patients, we identified 31 distinct clusters (67,136 patients) based on 3,046 diagnoses assigned prior to or at index. By comparing risk of new ischemic events and death from non-IHD causes across clusters and then performing an enrichment analysis, we found that clusters at increased risk of new ischemic events were enriched for diabetes (four clusters) or cardiomyopathies (one cluster). Neither the cluster enriched for supraventricular

arrythmias, nor valve diseases had increased risk of new ischemic events. Degenerative and
inflammatory diseases were enriched in a total of six clusters and displayed no clear trend in
their relation to the outcomes. The results of the enrichment analysis were supported by
trends in laboratory test results and clusters enriched for supraventricular arrythmias and noninsulin diabetes also had congruently, higher genetic risks.

384

385 The results of the study agree with common knowledge on risk of IHD, while also adding 386 insights to the disease-diseases associations, which are currently underappreciated in the 387 literature. The fact that clusters enriched for diabetes were generally the most high-risk 388 clusters serves as a methodological reality check(6). Added value of the study lies in the fact 389 that the method allows for a more sophisticated description of such associations, as the 390 method allows to study the entire spectrum of multimorbidity. For example, four clusters 391 were enriched for diabetes, which is in line with the current paradigm that a single term is 392 insufficient to describe a multifactorial disease, such as diabetes(16,29). By integrating 393 different data types, the findings indicate how phenotypic and genetic data complement each 394 other, by exemplifying (1) that clustering analysis facilitates stronger genetic signals in 395 patient subgroups and (2) that genetic data may unveil patterns not captured by phenotypic 396 data alone.

397

In addition, the method developed in this study and subsequent findings add perspective to the relatively limited body of literature regarding associations between chronic inflammatory and cardiovascular diseases(7). While previous studies have concluded that the risk of cardiovascular diseases is increased in most chronic inflammatory disorders, the results of our study indicate that pre-existing degenerative or inflammatory disorders in patients with IHD do not increase the risk of new ischemic events.

404 The pre-selected outcomes in the present study are also a unique aspect of the study, as 405 previous clustering analyses within the cardiovascular domain studies have mainly analyzed 406 all-cause mortality(17,18). This aspect of the study allows to distinguish between risk of 407 progression related to IHD and risk of progression that is related to comorbidity drawing 408 attention to important aspects of multimorbidity in this domain. For example, clusters 409 enriched for supraventricular arrythmias and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, 410 respectively, only had increased risk of death from non-IHD causes. The study design, 411 including the enrichment analysis, also revealed that classical risk factors for IHD (e.g., 412 hypertension and dyslipidemia) did not drive the clustering. This finding agrees with previously published comorbidity phenotypes in patients with IHD(18). We argue that the 413 414 present study displays that continuous exploration and characterization of multimorbidity in 415 IHD are key elements in optimizing the exploit the full potential of continuously developing 416 treatment strategies.

417

Previous clustering analyses within the cardiovascular domain have typically included either thousands of patients or hundreds of input features, but not both(14,15). For example, Hall et al. defined multimorbidity using only eight different chronic conditions, whereas Crowe et al. defined multimorbidity with reference to 20 predefined conditions(17,18). Thus, the scale of our study exceeds that of previous work, as it includes more than 70,000 patients and more than 3,000 input features. And further, we limited the risk of introducing bias by not exerting feature selection prior to clustering.

425

The two main limitations with respect to the data foundation are that (1) owing to the novelty

427 of the method, there were no standardized way of assessing the representation of

428 multimorbidity and (2) it was only a subset for which laboratory and genetic data were

429 available. These challenges are naturally overcome in clustering analyses based on data from 430 randomized controlled trials, such as the studies by Inohara et al, and Karwath et al.(15,19) 431 However, in the present, data-rich era, we argue that it is highly important to develop 432 methods for structuring and studying other data than what is being collected for trials. Ideally, 433 the two approaches, based on nationwide data and randomized controlled trials, respectively, 434 will complement each other; and will facilitate more precise identification of patients who are 435 likely to benefit from different treatment options as well as guide optimized selection of 436 patients for randomized controlled trials.

437

438 In sum, the study further showcases the strengths of a more fine-grained analysis of patient 439 subgroups, which, in turn, may pave the way for successful implementation of precision 440 medicine. Owing to its flexibility, the comprehensive, data-driven analysis of cardiovascular 441 multimorbidity represents a novel method for characterizing multimorbidity in IHD with 442 great potential of applying it to other diseases of interest or other clinical data. Such trends 443 may guide clinical decision making in cases, where for example it is not obvious how to 444 manage the angiographic findings or the combination of drugs that a specific patient will 445 benefit most from.

446

In conclusion, the present study cements the complexity of multimorbid patients with IHD and exemplifies the clinical relevance of a more fine-grained patient subgrouping by carrying out a cluster-based risk-stratifying the cohort. Further, owing to its flexibility, the comprehensive, data-driven method of cardiovascular multimorbidity presented here represents a novel method for characterizing multimorbidity in IHD with great potential. Improved patient subgrouping may be critical guide future clinical decision making in cases,

- 453 where it is non-trivial how to manage the angiographic findings or to find the optimal
- 454 combination of drugs for a given patient.

456 Acknowledgement

- 457 The authors would like to thank (1) research programmer, Troels Siggaard, Novo Nordisk
- 458 Foundation Center for Research, University of Copenhagen, Denmark for continuous and
- 459 reliable infrastructure support, and (2) Head of Cardiovascular Research, Hilma Hólm,
- 460 deCODE genetics, Iceland for insightful comments.

462 **References**

- 463 1. Antman EM, Braunwald E. Managing Stable Ischemic Heart Disease. N Engl J Med. 2020
 464 Apr 9;382(15):1468–70.
- 465 2. Ferraro R, Latina JM, Alfaddagh A, Michos ED, Blaha MJ, Jones SR, et al. Evaluation and
 466 Management of Patients With Stable Angina: Beyond the Ischemia Paradigm. J Am Coll
 467 Cardiol. 2020 Nov 10;76(19):2252–66.
- 3. Nabel EG, Braunwald E. A tale of coronary artery disease and myocardial infarction. N
 Engl J Med. 2012 Jan 5;366(1):54–63.
- 470 4. Forman DE, Maurer MS, Boyd C, Brindis R, Salive ME, Horne FM, et al. Multimorbidity
 471 in Older Adults With Cardiovascular Disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018 May
 472 15;71(19):2149–61.
- 473 5. Afilalo J, Alexander KP, Mack MJ, Maurer MS, Green P, Allen LA, et al. Frailty
 474 Assessment in the Cardiovascular Care of Older Adults. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014 Mar
 475 4;63(8):747–62.
- 476 6. The Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration. Association of Cardiometabolic Multimorbidity
 477 With Mortality. JAMA. 2015 Jul 7;314(1):52–60.
- 478 7. Dregan A, Charlton J, Chowienczyk P, Gulliford MC. Chronic Inflammatory Disorders
 479 and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, Coronary Heart Disease, and Stroke. Circulation.
 480 2014 Sep 2;130(10):837–44.
- 481 8. Glynn LG. Multimorbidity: another key issue for cardiovascular medicine. The Lancet.
 482 2009 Oct 24;374(9699):1421–2.
- 483
 9. Joshi A, Rienks M, Theofilatos K, Mayr M. Systems biology in cardiovascular disease: a
 484 multiomics approach. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2021 May;18(5):313–30.
- 485 10. Khera Amit V., Kathiresan Sekar. Is Coronary Atherosclerosis One Disease or Many?
 486 Circulation. 2017 Mar 14;135(11):1005–7.
- 487 11. Rahimi K, Lam CSP, Steinhubl S. Cardiovascular disease and multimorbidity: A call for
 488 interdisciplinary research and personalized cardiovascular care. PLOS Med. 2018 Mar
 489 27;15(3):e1002545.
- 490 12. Kaufman L, Rousseeuw PJ. Finding Groups in Data: An Introduction to Cluster Analysis.
 491 John Wiley & Sons; 2009. 369 p.
- 492 13. Shah RV, Yeri AS, Murthy VL, Massaro JM, D'Agostino R Sr, Freedman JE, et al.
 493 Association of Multiorgan Computed Tomographic Phenomap With Adverse
 494 Cardiovascular Health Outcomes: The Framingham Heart Study. JAMA Cardiol. 2017
 495 Nov 1;2(11):1236–46.
- 496 14. Ahmad T, Pencina MJ, Schulte PJ, O'Brien E, Whellan DJ, Piña IL, et al. Clinical
 497 implications of chronic heart failure phenotypes defined by cluster analysis. J Am Coll
 498 Cardiol. 2014 Oct 28;64(17):1765–74.

- 499 15. Inohara T, Shrader P, Pieper K, Blanco RG, Thomas L, Singer DE, et al. Association of 500 of Atrial Fibrillation Clinical Phenotypes With Treatment Patterns and Outcomes: A 501 Multicenter Registry Study. JAMA Cardiol. 2018 Jan 1;3(1):54-63.
- 502 16. Ahlqvist E, Storm P, Käräjämäki A, Martinell M, Dorkhan M, Carlsson A, et al. Novel 503 subgroups of adult-onset diabetes and their association with outcomes: a data-driven 504 cluster analysis of six variables. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol [Internet]. 2018 Mar;0(0). 505 Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29503172
- 17. Hall M, Dondo TB, Yan AT, Mamas MA, Timmis AD, Deanfield JE, et al. 506 507 Multimorbidity and survival for patients with acute myocardial infarction in England and 508 Wales: Latent class analysis of a nationwide population-based cohort. PLOS Med. 2018 509 Mar 6;15(3):e1002501.
- 510 18. Crowe F, Zemedikun DT, Okoth K, Adderley NJ, Rudge G, Sheldon M, et al. 511 Comorbidity phenotypes and risk of mortality in patients with ischaemic heart disease in 512 the UK. Heart. 2020 Jun 1;106(11):810-6.
- 19. Karwath A, Bunting KV, Gill SK, Tica O, Pendleton S, Aziz F, et al. Redefining β-513 514 blocker response in heart failure patients with sinus rhythm and atrial fibrillation: a 515 machine learning cluster analysis. The Lancet. 2021 Oct 16:398(10309):1427–35.
- 516 20. Bowman L, Baras A, Bombien R, Califf RM, Chen Z, Gale CP, et al. Understanding the 517 use of observational and randomized data in cardiovascular medicine. Eur Heart J. 2020 518 Jul 14;41(27):2571-8.
- 519 21. Schmidt M, Schmidt SAJ, Adelborg K, Sundbøll J, Laugesen K, Ehrenstein V, et al. The 520 Danish health care system and epidemiological research: from health care contacts to 521 database records. Clin Epidemiol. 2019;11:563-91.
- 522 22. Hemingway H, Asselbergs FW, Danesh J, Dobson R, Maniadakis N, Maggioni A, et al. 523 Big data from electronic health records for early and late translational cardiovascular 524 research: challenges and potential. Eur Heart J. 2018 Apr 21;39(16):1481-95.
- 525 23. Helweg-Larsen K. The Danish Register of Causes of Death. Scand J Public Health. 2011 526 Jul;39(7 Suppl):26–9.
- 527 24. Sørensen E, Christiansen L, Wilkowski B, Larsen MH, Burgdorf KS, Thørner LW, et al. 528 Data Resource Profile: The Copenhagen Hospital Biobank (CHB). Int J Epidemiol 529 [Internet]. 2020 Nov 10 [cited 2020 Dec 13];(dyaa157). Available from: 530 https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyaa157
- 531 25. Schmidt M, Pedersen L, Sørensen HT. The Danish Civil Registration System as a tool in 532 epidemiology. Eur J Epidemiol. 2014 Aug 1;29(8):541-9.
- 26. Sundbøll J, Adelborg K, Munch T, Frøslev T, Sørensen HT, Bøtker HE, et al. Positive 533 534 predictive value of cardiovascular diagnoses in the Danish National Patient Registry: a 535 validation study. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2016 Nov 1 [cited 2020 Jan 15];6(11). Available 536 from: https://bmjopen.bmj.com/content/6/11/e012832
- 537 27. Enright AJ, Van Dongen S, Ouzounis CA. An efficient algorithm for large-scale 538 detection of protein families. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002 Apr;30(7):1575-84.

- 539 28. MCL a cluster algorithm for graphs [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jan 30]. Available from:
 540 http://micans.org/mcl/
- 541 29. Kirk IK, Simon C, Banasik K, Holm PC, Haue AD, Jensen PB, et al. Linking glycemic
 542 dysregulation in diabetes to symptoms, comorbidities, and genetics through EHR data
 543 mining. Valencia A, Barkai N, editors. eLife. 2019 Dec 10;8:e44941.
- 544 30. Meilă M. Comparing clusterings—an information based distance. J Multivar Anal. 2007
 545 May 1;98(5):873–95.
- 546 31. Nielsen JB, Thorolfsdottir RB, Fritsche LG, Zhou W, Skov MW, Graham SE, et al.
 547 Biobank-driven genomic discovery yields new insight into atrial fibrillation biology. Nat
 548 Genet. 2018 Sep;50(9):1234–9.
- 32. Mahajan A, Taliun D, Thurner M, Robertson NR, Torres JM, Rayner NW, et al. Finemapping type 2 diabetes loci to single-variant resolution using high-density imputation
 and islet-specific epigenome maps. Nat Genet. 2018 Nov;50(11):1505–13.
- 33. Wuttke M, Li Y, Li M, Sieber KB, Feitosa MF, Gorski M, et al. A catalog of genetic loci
 associated with kidney function from analyses of a million individuals. Nat Genet. 2019
 Jun;51(6):957–72.
- 34. Surakka I, Horikoshi M, Mägi R, Sarin AP, Mahajan A, Lagou V, et al. The impact of
 low-frequency and rare variants on lipid levels. Nat Genet. 2015 Jun;47(6):589–97.
- 35. Shah S, Henry A, Roselli C, Lin H, Sveinbjörnsson G, Fatemifar G, et al. Genome-wide
 association and Mendelian randomisation analysis provide insights into the pathogenesis
 of heart failure. Nat Commun. 2020 Jan 9;11(1):163.
- 36. Malik R, Chauhan G, Traylor M, Sargurupremraj M, Okada Y, Mishra A, et al.
 Multiancestry genome-wide association study of 520,000 subjects identifies 32 loci associated with stroke and stroke subtypes. Nat Genet. 2018 Apr;50(4):524–37.
- 37. Jiang L, Zheng Z, Fang H, Yang J. A generalized linear mixed model association tool for
 biobank-scale data. Nat Genet. 2021 Nov;53(11):1616–21.
- 38. van der Harst P, Verweij N. Identification of 64 Novel Genetic Loci Provides an
 Expanded View on the Genetic Architecture of Coronary Artery Disease. Circ Res. 2018
 Feb 2;122(3):433–43.
- 39. Hoffmann TJ, Ehret GB, Nandakumar P, Ranatunga D, Schaefer C, Kwok PY, et al.
 Genome-wide association analyses using electronic health records identify new loci
 influencing blood pressure variation. Nat Genet. 2017 Jan;49(1):54–64.
- 40. Anstee QM, Darlay R, Cockell S, Meroni M, Govaere O, Tiniakos D, et al. Genome-wide
 association study of non-alcoholic fatty liver and steatohepatitis in a histologically
 characterised cohort A. J Hepatol. 2020 Sep 1;73(3):505–15.
- 41. Privé F, Arbel J, Vilhjálmsson BJ. LDpred2: better, faster, stronger. Bioinformatics. 2020
 Dec 1;36(22-23):5424-31.

- 42. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [Internet].
 Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2020. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/
- 43. Mölder F, Jablonski KP, Letcher B, Hall MB, Tomkins-Tinch CH, Sochat V, et al.
 Sustainable data analysis with Snakemake [Internet]. F1000Research; 2021 [cited 2023
 Mar 16]. Available from: https://f1000research.com/articles/10-33
- 582 44. Violán C, Roso-Llorach A, Foguet-Boreu Q, Guisado-Clavero M, Pons-Vigués M, Pujol583 Ribera E, et al. Multimorbidity patterns with K-means nonhierarchical cluster analysis.
 584 BMC Fam Pract. 2018 Jul 3;19(1):108.

Supporting information captions 586

- S1 Fig: Classification of new ischemic events. 587
- S1 Table: Eligible codes for inclusion and outcomes 588
- 589 S1 Appendix: MCL algorithm settings and assessment of cluster robustness
- 590 • S2 Fig: Selection of number of components.
- 591 • S3 Fig: Limiting edge-density and average node degree in sex-specific similarity 592 networks.
- 593 S2 Appendix: Preprocessing of laboratory data
- 594 • S2 Table: Laboratory codes included in assessment of data quality and completeness
- 595 S3 Appendix: Calculation of polygenetic risk scores for 14 traits
- S3 Table: Comparison of mean age at index in 31 cluster using Tukey's HSD 596
- 597 S4 Table: Demographics for patients not cluster or were in clusters of size < 500
- 598 S5 Table: Cluster-wise summarized O/E-ratios, 10 largest O/E-ratios and 10 lowest
- 599 **O/E-ratios.**
- 600 S6 Table: Chi-squared test for distribution laboratory values in clusters
- S7 Table: Traits with significantly different PGS distributions in clusters 601