

Breathing pattern and pulmonary gas exchange in elderly patients with and without left ventricular dysfunction - modification with exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation and prognostic value.

Prisca Eser^{1,2}, PhD, Thimo Marcin^{1,3}, PhD, Eva Prescott⁴, MD, Leonie F. Prins⁵, PhD, Evelien Kolkman⁵, Wendy Bruins⁶, PhD, Astrid E van der Velde⁶, PhD, Carlos Peña Gil⁷, MD, Marie-Christine Iliou⁸, MD, Diego Ardissino⁹, MD, Uwe Zeymer¹⁰, MD, Esther P Meindersma¹¹, MD, Arnoud W.J. Van't Hof^{6,12,13}, MD, Ed P. de Kluiver⁶, MD, Matthias Wilhelm^{1,2}, MD

- 1 Department of Cardiology, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Switzerland
- 2 Rehabilitation & Sports Medicine, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Switzerland (present address)
- 3 Research Department, Berner Reha Zentrum, Heiligenschwendi, Switzerland (present address)
- 4 Department of Cardiology, Bispebjerg Frederiksberg University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 5 Diagram B.V., The Netherlands
- 6 Isala Heart Centre, Zwolle, The Netherlands
- 7 Department of Cardiology, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Santiago, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain
- 8 Department of Cardiac Rehabilitation, Assistance Publique Hopitaux de Paris, France
- 9 Department of Cardiology, Parma University Hospital, Italy
- 10 Klinikum Ludwigshafen and Institut für Herzinfarktforschung Ludwigshafen, Ludwigshafen, Germany
- 11 Department of Cardiology, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- 12 Department of Cardiology, Maastricht University Medical Center, Maastricht, the Netherlands
- 13 Department of Cardiology, Zuyderland Medical Center, Heerlen, the Netherlands

Funding disclosure: The study was funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement number 634439 and by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation for the Swiss consortium partner.

Conflict of interest statement: AWJvH reports grants from Medtronic, grants and personal fees from Astra Zeneca, outside the submitted work, UZ reports grants and personal fees from Astra Zeneca, Bayer, BMS, Novartis, and MSD, and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Daiichi Sankyo, Eli Lilly, Trommsdorf, and Amgen, all outside the submitted work.

Running title: Breathing pattern in elderly patients with LVD

Corresponding author:

Matthias Wilhelm, MD, FESC

Rehabilitation & Sports Medicine

Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern

CH-3010 Bern, Switzerland

Tel/Fax: +41 31 632 9871/+41 31 632 8977

Matthias.wilhelm@insel.ch

Word count: 5109

Abstract

Aims

In elderly patients with and without left ventricular dysfunction (LVD) we compared ventilatory parameters from before to after exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation (exCR) and assessed their prognostic value for major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE).

Methods and Results

Patients aged ≥ 65 years with acute or chronic coronary syndromes (ACS, CCS) without cardiac surgery who participated in a multicentre study on effectiveness of exCR from seven European countries were included. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) was performed before, at termination of exCR and at 12 months follow-up. Ventilation (VE), breathing frequency (BF), tidal volume (VT) and end-expiratory carbon dioxide pressure ($P_{ET}CO_2$) were measured at rest, at first ventilatory threshold and peak exercise.

In 818 patients, age was 72.5 ± 5.4 years, 21.9% were women, 79.8% had ACS, and 151 (18%) had LVD. NYHA functional class was not different between LVD and noLVD (86% NYHA I in each group). Compared to noLVD, in LVD resting VE was increased 8%, resting BF 6%, peak VE, peak VT, and peak $P_{ET}CO_2$ reduced by 6%, 8%, and 5%, respectively, and VE/VVCO₂ slope increased by 11%. From before to after exCR, resting VE decreased and peak $P_{ET}CO_2$ increased significantly more in LVD compared to noLVD. In LVD, higher resting BF, higher nadir VE/VCO₂, and lower peak $P_{ET}CO_2$ at baseline were associated with MACE

Conclusions

In elderly patients with ischemic LVD, exaggerated resting ventilation and impaired pulmonary gas exchange during exercise was related to worse outcomes. ExCR was associated with an improvement of abnormal breathing patterns and gas exchange parameters.

Keywords

Coronary artery disease, heart failure, respiration, exercise training, cardiopulmonary exercise testing

1 Introduction

2 Ischemic heart disease is the most prevalent risk factor for left ventricular dysfunction (LVD) and chronic
3 heart failure (HF), both for woman and men.(1) Impaired pulmonary gas exchange, quantified as an
4 increased ventilation (VE) to carbon dioxide exhalation (VCO_2) slope during exercise, and a low end-tidal
5 pressure of carbon dioxide ($P_{ET}CO_2$) is a landmark of heart failure patients.(2, 3) These parameters
6 received attention when several studies found higher VE/VCO_2 slopes and lower $P_{ET}CO_2$ to be associated
7 with poorer prognosis.(4-6) The components of the VE/VCO_2 slope are the arterial CO_2 partial pressure
8 (P_aCO_2), that is affected by ventilation, and the pulmonary dead space to tidal volume ratio (VD/VT) that
9 is affected by pulmonary perfusion abnormalities.(3, 7) As nicely summarised by Cross and colleagues,
10 exercise hyperventilation is a hallmark of the failing heart.(2) They proposed several mechanisms as
11 mediators of this excessive ventilatory response, including: 1) alveolar ventilation-perfusion
12 mismatching, 2) increased humoral stimuli (e.g., lactate and H^+) due to skeletal muscle hypoperfusion
13 and deconditioning, 3) juxta-capillary receptor stimulation consequent to pulmonary vascular congestion
14 and/or hypertension, 4) augmented central and peripheral chemosensitivity, and 5) an inordinately high
15 degree of afferent neural traffic originating from within the locomotor muscles (i.e., the ergoreflex or
16 “skeletal muscle” hypothesis). Hyperventilation is well known to stimulate sympathetic nervous
17 activity.(8) Chronic sympathetic nervous hyperactivity in turn may decrease aerobic capacity of skeletal
18 muscles by reducing capillarisation(9) and red blood cell flux,(10) which lead to a shift in muscle fibre
19 type towards a lower content on type I fibres.(11) The ensuing anaerobic muscle metabolism leads to
20 increased muscle fatiguability(12) and acidosis already at low levels of exercise, which trigger
21 exaggerated responses in ventilation.(13) While exaggerated ventilation has been found to be a strong
22 predictor of mortality and adverse cardiac events in patients with established heart failure, this has not
23 been assessed in patients with LVD.

1 Comprehensive exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation (exCR) has the potential to improve
2 cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) and quality of life,(14) cardiovascular risk factors (15), and reduce
3 hospitalisations and cardiovascular mortality.(15, 16) In HFrEF patients, studies assessing the effects of
4 exercise have focussed largely on circulatory parameters,(17) peak oxygen uptake (VO_2) and muscle
5 strength.(18) Some studies have also reported changes in ventilatory efficiency in response to exercise
6 during exCR.(19, 20) However, data in elderly patients with LVD are sparse and no data exists on how
7 exCR influences breathing patterns at rest.

8 The purpose of the present study in elderly patients with and without ischemic LVD was to 1) describe
9 breathing patterns and pulmonary gas exchange parameters at rest and during exercise; 2) assess the
10 association of exCR with a change of these parameters; and 3) assess the prognostic value of these
11 parameters on major adverse cardiac events (MACE).

12

13 **Methods**

14 The EU-CaRE observational study was a European project focusing on the effectiveness and sustainability
15 of exCR programs in the elderly (65 years or above). EU-CaRE involved eight participating CR sites in
16 seven countries (Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Spain and Switzerland).(21)

17 **Study population**

18 The study population and outcome data have been reported previously.(21-25) For the present study,
19 only data from patients with acute or chronic coronary syndromes (ACS/CCS) with or without
20 percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) but without open-chest surgery were included. Patients were
21 assessed at baseline before commencing exCR (T0), after completing the exCR program (T1) and at 1-
22 year follow-up (T2). They were grouped according to left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) determined
23 by the patient's most recent echocardiography into patients with LVD (LVEF<45%), versus those without

1 LVD (noLVD, LVEF \geq 45%). At the time when this study was designed, this cut-off was one of the more
2 widely used and accepted cut-offs for systolic LVD and HF with reduced LVEF (HFrEF).(26)

3 The study was approved by all relevant medical ethics committees, registered at trialregister.nl
4 (NTR5306). The participants gave written informed consent before they were included in the study.

5 Data collection

6 Recorded information included demographics, index event, socioeconomic factors, medical history
7 including co-morbidity, and clinical information such as weight, blood pressure (BP), resting heart rate
8 (HR), medication, SF36 quality of life questionnaire, and patient reported physical activity as number of
9 days per week with at least moderate physical activity of minimally 30 min. Details on the collected data
10 have been provided elsewhere.(21, 27, 28)

11 CRF and breathing parameters were assessed by cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET). After
12 reporting to the laboratory, patients rested supine for 10-15 min during which a resting 12-lead
13 electrocardiogram (ECG) was performed. Then patients stood up and performed a resting spirometry
14 with determination of forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume during the first second
15 (FEV1). After this, patients mounted the cycle ergometers and were fitted with a facemask. Then they
16 remained sitting quietly for 3 min during which heart rate, blood pressure and breathing parameters
17 were measured. As an average of the last minute of the 3-min resting period while sitting on the
18 ergometer, the following parameters were determined: minute ventilation (VE), breathing frequency
19 (BF), tidal volume (VT), partial pressure of carbon dioxide ($P_{ET}CO_2$), and heart rate. After a 3-min warm-up
20 at 5 Watt an individual ramp was chosen to achieve a test duration of 8-12 min until exhaustion and kept
21 constant in follow-up tests. Data was analysed at the CPET core lab in Bern as previously described.(24,
22 28) During the ramp, VE/VCO₂ slope, and the nadir of the VE/VCO₂ ratio were determined. Oxygen
23 consumption (VO₂), VE, BF, VT, $P_{ET}CO_2$, and heart rate were determined at the first ventilatory
24 threshold as previously described.(24) Peak values of the same parameters were determined as the

1 highest value of a 30 s moving average. Ventilatory parameters were excluded when respiratory quotient
2 was below 0.7 at resting and below 0.8 at peak exercise due to suspected presence of mask leakage.
3 MACE was defined as combined incidence of all-cause mortality, ACS, cardiac related emergency visit,
4 hospitalisation for cardiac reason, near sudden cardiac death and cardiac intervention. MACE was
5 recorded by monthly telephone calls and assessed individually by an independent Clinical Event
6 Committee.(24)

7 Statistical analysis

8 All statistics were performed with R (Version 3.5.1, R Core Team, 2017). Changes in ventilatory and
9 circulatory parameters were calculated between T0 and T1. Mixed linear models were performed for
10 ventilatory parameters VE, BF, VT, and $P_{ET}CO_2$ at rest and peak exercise, as well as VE/ VCO_2 slope and
11 peak VO_2 relative to body weight for interaction effects between time points T0, T1 and T2 and group
12 (with and without LVD), adjusted for age, sex, and body mass index (BMI) and patients nested within
13 centres entered as random factor (intercepts).

14 The association of ventilatory parameters at rest and during exercise with MACE were also assessed with
15 mixed linear models for patients with and without LVD and with and without MACE.

16

1 Results

2 Of 1633 enrolled EU-CaRE patients, 986 patients had an ACS or CCS with percutaneous coronary
3 intervention or no revascularisation. Of these, 79.8% had an ACS and 90.2% a PCI as indication for exCR.
4 LVEF was known in 912 patients. Of these, valid CPET at T0 was available in 867 patients of whom 707
5 had no LVD and 166 had LVD. Amongst these, data on breathing pattern was available from at least one
6 time point in 151 with and 667 patients without LVD (Figure 1). Baseline data of the two patient groups
7 are shown in Table 1. Patients with LVD were older, were less often female, and had lower BMI and
8 FVC/BSA. An equal prevalence of 86% of patients with NYHA functional class I existed in patients with
9 and without LVD, and NYHA III class was present in 2% and 3% of patients with and without LVD,
10 respectively. Patients with LVD had significantly lower physical component score of the SF36 indicating
11 poorer health status. Patients with LVD had a higher percentage of pre-existing chronic heart failure and
12 anaemia, and higher prescription rate of betablockers and ACE inhibitors or ARBs.

13 With regard to ventilatory parameters, two centres did not record data on BF and VT, therefore, these
14 parameters were missing in 5 and 51 patients with and without LVD, respectively. Due to an insufficient
15 ventilation monitoring duration (≤ 1 min) during the 3-min resting phase, VE and $P_{ET}CO_2$ at rest were
16 missing in 9 and 68 patients with and without LV dysfunction at T0, respectively, in 6 and 82 at T1,
17 respectively, and in 4 and 46 at T2, respectively. The first ventilatory threshold could not be determined
18 in 38 and 130 patients with and without LV dysfunction, respectively, at T0, in 20 and 71 at T1,
19 respectively, and in 16 and 61 at T2, respectively. The largest relative adjusted (for sex, age and BMI)
20 differences of the LVD group compared to the noLVD group at baseline were found for VO_2 peak (-
21 12.6%), VE/ VCO_2 slope (11.0%), nadir VE/ VCO_2 (8.8%), and resting VE (8.1%) (Table 2).

22 Regarding the changes in ventilatory parameters from before to after CR, patients with LVD had
23 significantly greater reductions in resting VE (Table 2). They also had greater reductions in nadir VE/ VCO_2
24 (graphical abstract), and a greater increase in $P_{ET}CO_2$ and RER at peak exercise.

1 Results from the mixed linear models including data also for the 1-year follow-up measurement as well
2 as confounding factors age, sex and BMI showed a significantly greater reduction of VE at rest in the
3 group of patients with LVD not only from before to after exCR but also to 1-year follow-up (Figure 2,
4 Supplement Table 1a). BF at rest was higher in patients with LVD at all time points (Figure 2, Supplement
5 Table 1a), and resting $P_{ET}CO_2$ increased significantly at 1-year follow-up in both groups (Figure 3,
6 Supplement Table 1a). At peak exercise, VE was reduced in the patients with LVD due to a significantly
7 lower VT in the patients with LVD but increased with exCR and to 1-year follow-up in both groups (Figure
8 2, Supplement Table 1b), . Resting $P_{ET}CO_2$ was lower by trend ($p=0.0537$) in patients with LVD and
9 significantly lower at peak exercise at all time points (Figure 3, Supplement Table 1a and b). Accordingly,
10 VE/VCO_2 slope was increased in patients with LVD at all time points (Figure 3, Supplement Table 1c). VO_2
11 relative to body weight was greatly reduced in patients with LVD at peak exercise (Figure 3, Supplement
12 Table 1c) at all time points. Peak VO_2 increased in both groups from before to after exCR and VE/VCO_2
13 slope decreased over time. The physical component score was consistently lower by 3 points in patients
14 with LVD but significant consistent improvements in SF36 PCS between time points were comparable in
15 both groups with nearly 3 points between T0 and T2 (Supplement Table 1c).

16 Approximately 20% of patients with and without LVD had a MACE within 1-year follow-up with no
17 difference between groups (p -value for Chi-square test 0.069). Nadir of VE/VCO_2 significantly
18 discriminated patients with and without MACE in patients with and without LVD (graphical abstract),
19 while VE/VCO_2 slope and peak VO_2 discriminated for MACE only in patients without LVD, and peak $P_{ET}CO_2$
20 and resting BF discriminated for MACE only in patients with LVD.

1 Discussion

2 This study provides four clinically relevant and novel aspects in a representative European cohort of
3 elderly patients with coronary artery disease undergoing exCR: 1) Nearly one fifth of patients had
4 asymptomatic LVD at the start of exCR; 2) LVD was associated with elevated ventilation at rest and an
5 impaired pulmonary gas exchange during exercise; 3) exCR was associated with an improvement of
6 ventilation at rest and gas exchange parameters during exercise, but ventilatory efficiency and $P_{ET}CO_2$
7 remained significantly reduced in patients with LVD, compared to patient without LVD in the 1-year
8 follow-up; and 4) BF at rest, ventilatory efficiency and $P_{ET}CO_2$ during exercise were associated with worse
9 outcome in patients with LVD.

10 This is the first large study demonstrating an increased resting ventilation and impaired pulmonary gas
11 exchange during exercise in patients with LVD without major signs and symptoms of congestive heart
12 failure. NYHA functional class did not differ between patients with and without LVD and 86% of patients
13 in both groups were in NYHA functional class I. Furthermore, self-reported physical activity did not differ
14 between the groups. Although the median physical component score of the SF36 questionnaire was 3
15 points lower in patients with LVD compared to patients without LVD, the values of 44.3 at T0 and 47.5 at
16 T2 were clearly above the median of 35.3 found in patients with chronic heart failure.(29)

17 We suggest that signs of an exaggerated ventilatory drive are already apparent in patients with LVD at
18 rest (higher resting VE and BF), during exercise (increased VE/VCO_2 slope and nadir VE/VCO_2), and at
19 peak exercise (lower VO_2 , VT and $P_{ET}CO_2$). Reductions of the exaggerated ventilatory drive seen with
20 exCR were similarly beneficial in patients with and without LVD. The decrease in nadir VE/VCO_2 was
21 significantly greater in patients with LVD than in those without LVD, with similar magnitude of the
22 difference between changes in VE/VCO_2 slope, albeit not reaching statistical significance. This is in
23 accordance to some previous studies that found ventilatory efficiency to improve most in patients with
24 most severe ventilatory inefficiency at baseline. For example, in a study with 131 patients after acute

1 myocardial infarction without HF participating in exCR, VE/VCO₂ slope improved most in patients with
2 VE/VCO₂ slope>32,(30) which was also confirmed by a study comparing the effect of aerobic training to a
3 combination of aerobic and strength training.(31) Similarly, a study in patients with HFrEF by Servantes
4 et al. found large decreases in VE/VCO₂ slopes with mean pre-exercise values of 36.(32) In part, a larger
5 decrease in patients with higher starting values may be due to a phenomenon referred to as “regression
6 to the mean”.(33) In a study including 123 CAD patients, patients in the group with peak VO₂<17.5
7 ml/kg/min also had the highest nadir VE/VCO₂.(34) This group also had the highest improvement in peak
8 VO₂ and nadir VE/VCO₂ after exCR. Likewise, in 37 CAD patients completing exCR, P_{ET}CO₂ at the first and
9 second ventilatory threshold and peak exercise were improved after the programme. On the contrary, Fu
10 et al. did not demonstrate an improvement of the VE/VCO₂ slope with exercise training in patients with
11 HFrEF and VE/VCO₂ slope of 35 at baseline.(19)

12 At peak exercise, peak ventilation was 3 l/min (6%) less in patients with compared to patients without
13 LVD, while peak VO₂ was reduced by 2.2 ml/kg/min (12%). The disproportionate reduction in gas
14 exchange was likely to be due to the significantly smaller peak VT (150 ml or 8%) in patients with LVD at
15 an insignificantly increased peak BF, resulting in a larger anatomical dead space. This is in line with
16 observation in patients with HFrEF,(35) and the emerging evidence that HF patients adopt a “rapid
17 shallow” breathing pattern to avoid the adverse effects of large intrathoracic pressure swings on cardiac
18 pre- and afterload.(2) Importantly, our study extends the evidence on abnormal breathing patterns and
19 hyperventilation in patients with HFrEF to patients with LVD. The significantly higher resting HR in
20 patients with LVD compared to patients without LVD is compatible with sympathetic hyperactivity.(2, 36)
21 This finding suggests that several proposed mechanisms for exaggerated ventilation in HFrEF (e.g.
22 augmented peripheral and central chemosensitivity and an inordinately high degree of afferent neural
23 traffic originating from within the locomotors muscles) may already be present in patients with
24 asymptomatic LVD starting the vicious cycle that may progress LVD to HFrEF.(2) Interestingly, the models

1 corrected for age, sex and BMI showed a significant positive relationship of BMI with $P_{ET}CO_2$ at rest and
2 at AT, and a significant inverse relationship with nadir VE/VCO_2 . This raises the question whether the
3 obesity paradox not only applies to patients with HF but already to elderly patients with (and without)
4 LVD.(37)

5 The observed normalisation of the excessive ventilation of patients with LVD after exCR may partly be
6 ascribed to the beneficial effects of exercise training on ergoreflex sensitivity, and/or chemoreceptor
7 activation.(36) Importantly, guideline directed medical and device therapies for HFrEF improve chemo-
8 and baroreceptor function insufficiently, highlighting the importance of exercise in this population.(38)

9 While the VE/VCO_2 slope is an established prognostic parameter in patients with HFrEF, only few studies
10 have reported the physiologically inversely related parameter $P_{ET}CO_2$ at rest and at peak exercise.(39, 40)
11 Matsumoto and colleagues have found severity of HF in 112 patients with cardiac disease to be
12 negatively associated with $P_{ET}CO_2$ at rest and at peak exercise.(39) They found $P_{ET}CO_2$ at peak exercise to
13 be negatively associated with VE/VCO_2 slope and VD/VT , but not with P_aCO_2 . Arena and colleagues found
14 a resting $P_{ET}CO_2$ of <33 mmHg to discriminate significantly for cardiac events at 1-year follow-up and to
15 significantly add discriminative power to VE/VCO_2 slope.(40) Our study demonstrated consistent findings
16 on the prognostic value of pulmonary gas exchange parameters in patients with LVD. The nadir VE/VCO_2
17 and peak $P_{ET}CO_2$ were associated with MACE. Moreover, as a novel finding, BF at rest was associated
18 with MACE. The discriminative value of resting ventilation parameters for MACE deserves further
19 investigation as both resting $P_{ET}CO_2$ and BF have been found to be predictive for cardiovascular
20 complications also in lung resection patients.(41)

21 The important role of low $P_{ET}CO_2$ in patients with HFrEF and periodic breathing has been pointed out by a
22 study by Apostolo and colleagues who restored a normal breathing pattern with inhalation of 2% CO_2 in
23 95% of their study patients.(42) Likewise, inhalation of CO_2 has been shown to stabilise breathing in
24 patients with periodic breathing and Cheyne-Stokes respiration during sleep.(43-45) Breathing

1 instabilities are recognised markers for poor prognosis in patients with HFrEF and highlight the central
2 role of breathing patterns in the progression of HF.(46) Nevertheless, few therapies have been
3 developed to address breathing patterns. A few small studies with encouraging results have employed
4 slow breathing training in patients with heart failure.(47, 48)

5 Strengths of this study are the large sample size of a representative European cohort of elderly patients
6 with coronary artery disease undergoing exCR, and the longitudinal as well as comprehensive
7 assessment of ventilatory parameters at rest, during ramp exercise and at peak exercise. Furthermore,
8 this is the first documentation of improved breathing pattern and pulmonary gas exchange both at rest
9 and with exercise after completion of exCR in both patient with and without LVD.

10 This explorative study is limited by multiple testing. Therefore, confidence intervals and p-values have to
11 be interpreted accordingly. Unfortunately, we did not have data on betablocker classes. Previous studies
12 showed that carvedilol, an unselective β 1- and β 2-blocker, was associated with a lower VE/VCO₂ slope
13 and nadir VE/VCO₂.(49, 50) However, standard treatment of ACS and CCS includes cardioselective
14 betablockers like metoprolol. We can therefore only speculate that only a small proportion of the 93% of
15 our patients have received an unselective betablocker. Further, ACE inhibitors have been shown to
16 improve gas transfer and ventilation-perfusion.(51) Nevertheless, the higher percentage of ACE in
17 patients with LVD could not completely offset their less efficient ventilation.

18 Logistic regressions for identification of CPET variables significantly improving MACE prediction were
19 performed for the whole EU-CaRE cohort (including patients with open heart surgery and valve
20 replacement) recently.(24) Due to the small sample size of our subgroup of patients with LVD, we did not
21 perform logistic regression models in the present study.

1 Conclusion

2 Patients with LVD had an exaggerated BF at rest and an impaired pulmonary gas exchange during
3 exercise. Abnormal breathing patterns may be an early and clinically relevant sign of LVD and linked to
4 increased ergoreflex sensitivity and/or abnormal chemosensitivity. ExCR may contribute to
5 improvements of breathing patterns and pulmonary gas exchange in this population. However,
6 interventions aimed at specifically improving altered breathing patterns at rest and during exercise may
7 have additive value and should be investigated.

8

9 Acknowledgements

10 We would like to thank Judith Peterhans for her valuable contributions to study logistics and data
11 collection, and Susana Pérez-Alves for the graphical abstract design.

12

13

1 References

- 2 1. Lawson CA, Zaccardi F, Squire I, Okhai H, Davies M, Huang W, et al. Risk Factors for Heart Failure:
3 20-Year Population-Based Trends by Sex, Socioeconomic Status, and Ethnicity. *Circulation Heart failure*.
4 2020;13(2):e006472.
- 5 2. Cross TJ, Kim CH, Johnson BD, Lalande S. The interactions between respiratory and
6 cardiovascular systems in systolic heart failure. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 2020;128(1):214-24.
- 7 3. Malhotra R, Bakken K, D'Elia E, Lewis GD. Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing in Heart Failure.
8 *JACC Heart Fail*. 2016;4(8):607-16.
- 9 4. Ponikowski P, Francis DP, Piepoli MF, Davies LC, Chua TP, Davos CH, et al. Enhanced ventilatory
10 response to exercise in patients with chronic heart failure and preserved exercise tolerance: marker of
11 abnormal cardiorespiratory reflex control and predictor of poor prognosis. *Circulation*. 2001;103(7):967-
12 72.
- 13 5. Myers J, Arena R, Oliveira RB, Bensimhon D, Hsu L, Chase P, et al. The lowest VE/VCO₂ ratio
14 during exercise as a predictor of outcomes in patients with heart failure. *Journal of cardiac failure*.
15 2009;15(9):756-62.
- 16 6. Nadruz W, Jr., West E, Sengelov M, Santos M, Groarke JD, Forman DE, et al. Prognostic Value of
17 Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing in Heart Failure With Reduced, Midrange, and Preserved Ejection
18 Fraction. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. 2017;6(11).
- 19 7. Johnson RL, Jr. Gas exchange efficiency in congestive heart failure. *Circulation*.
20 2000;101(24):2774-6.
- 21 8. Coats AJ, Clark AL, Piepoli M, Volterrani M, Poole-Wilson PA. Symptoms and quality of life in
22 heart failure: the muscle hypothesis. *Br Heart J*. 1994;72(2 Suppl):S36-9.
- 23 9. Duscha BD, Kraus WE, Keteyian SJ, Sullivan MJ, Green HJ, Schachat FH, et al. Capillary density of
24 skeletal muscle: a contributing mechanism for exercise intolerance in class II-III chronic heart failure
25 independent of other peripheral alterations. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*.
26 1999;33(7):1956-63.
- 27 10. Hirai DM, Musch TI, Poole DC. Exercise training in chronic heart failure: improving skeletal
28 muscle O₂ transport and utilization. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2015;309(9):H1419-39.
- 29 11. Sullivan MJ, Duscha BD, Klitgaard H, Kraus WE, Cobb FR, Saltin B. Altered expression of myosin
30 heavy chain in human skeletal muscle in chronic heart failure. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1997;29(7):860-6.
- 31 12. Schulze PC, Linke A, Schoene N, Winkler SM, Adams V, Conradi S, et al. Functional and
32 morphological skeletal muscle abnormalities correlate with reduced electromyographic activity in
33 chronic heart failure. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil*. 2004;11(2):155-61.
- 34 13. Piepoli M, Clark AL, Volterrani M, Adamopoulos S, Sleight P, Coats AJ. Contribution of muscle
35 afferents to the hemodynamic, autonomic, and ventilatory responses to exercise in patients with chronic
36 heart failure: effects of physical training. *Circulation*. 1996;93(5):940-52.
- 37 14. Mitchell BL, Lock MJ, Davison K, Parfitt G, Buckley JP, Eston RG. What is the effect of aerobic
38 exercise intensity on cardiorespiratory fitness in those undergoing cardiac rehabilitation? A systematic
39 review with meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*. 2019;53(21):1341-51.
- 40 15. Doimo S, Fabris E, Piepoli M, Barbati G, Antonini-Canterin F, Bernardi G, et al. Impact of
41 ambulatory cardiac rehabilitation on cardiovascular outcomes: a long-term follow-up study. *European*
42 *heart journal*. 2019;40(8):678-85.
- 43 16. Anderson L, Oldridge N, Thompson DR, Zwisler AD, Rees K, Martin N, et al. Exercise-Based
44 Cardiac Rehabilitation for Coronary Heart Disease: Cochrane Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.
45 *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2016;67(1):1-12.
- 46 17. Haykowsky MJ, Liang Y, Pechter D, Jones LW, McAlister FA, Clark AM. A meta-analysis of the
47 effect of exercise training on left ventricular remodeling in heart failure patients: the benefit depends on
48 the type of training performed. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2007;49(24):2329-36.

- 1 18. Ruku DM, Tran Thi TH, Chen HM. Effect of center-based or home-based resistance training on
2 muscle strength and VO₂ peak in patients with HF_{rEF}: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Enferm*
3 *Clin (Engl Ed)*. 2021.
- 4 19. Fu TC, Yang NI, Wang CH, Cherng WJ, Chou SL, Pan TL, et al. Aerobic Interval Training Elicits
5 Different Hemodynamic Adaptations Between Heart Failure Patients with Preserved and Reduced
6 Ejection Fraction. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2016;95(1):15-27.
- 7 20. Coats AJ, Adamopoulos S, Radaelli A, McCance A, Meyer TE, Bernardi L, et al. Controlled trial of
8 physical training in chronic heart failure. Exercise performance, hemodynamics, ventilation, and
9 autonomic function. *Circulation*. 1992;85(6):2119-31.
- 10 21. Prescott E, Eser P, Mikkelsen N, Holdgaard A, Marcin T, Wilhelm M, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation
11 of elderly patients in eight rehabilitation units in western Europe: Outcome data from the EU-CaRE multi-
12 centre observational study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2020;27(16):1716-29.
- 13 22. Eser P, Marcin T, Prescott E, Prins LF, Kolkman E, Bruins W, et al. Clinical outcomes after cardiac
14 rehabilitation in elderly patients with and without diabetes mellitus: The EU-CaRE multicenter cohort
15 study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol*. 2020;19(1):37.
- 16 23. Marcin T, Eser P, Prescott E, Prins LF, Kolkman E, Bruins W, et al. Training intensity and
17 improvements in exercise capacity in elderly patients undergoing European cardiac rehabilitation - the
18 EU-CaRE multicenter cohort study. *PloS one*. 2020;15(11):e0242503.
- 19 24. Marcin T, Eser P, Prescott E, Prins LF, Kolkman E, Bruins W, et al. Changes and prognostic value of
20 cardiopulmonary exercise testing parameters in elderly patients undergoing cardiac rehabilitation: The
21 EU-CaRE observational study. *PloS one*. 2021;16(8):e0255477.
- 22 25. Eser P, Marcin T, Prescott E, Prins LF, Kolkman E, Bruins W, et al. Predictors for one-year
23 outcomes of cardiorespiratory fitness and cardiovascular risk factor control after cardiac rehabilitation in
24 elderly patients: The EU-CaRE study. *PloS one*. 2021;16(8):e0255472.
- 25 26. Kelly JP, Mentz RJ, Mebazaa A, Voors AA, Butler J, Roessig L, et al. Patient selection in heart
26 failure with preserved ejection fraction clinical trials. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*.
27 2015;65(16):1668-82.
- 28 27. Prescott E, Mikkelsen N, Holdgaard A, Eser P, Marcin T, Wilhelm M, et al. Cardiac rehabilitation in
29 the elderly patient in eight rehabilitation units in Western Europe: Baseline data from the EU-CaRE
30 multicentre observational study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2019;26(10):1052-63.
- 31 28. Marcin T, Eser P, Prescott E, Mikkelsen N, Prins LF, Kolkman EK, et al. Predictors of pre-
32 rehabilitation exercise capacity in elderly European cardiac patients - The EU-CaRE study. *Eur J Prev*
33 *Cardiol*. 2020;27(16):1702-12.
- 34 29. Huber A, Oldridge N, Höfer S. International SF-36 reference values in patients with ischemic
35 heart disease. *Qual Life Res*. 2016;25(11):2787-98.
- 36 30. Satoh T, Okano Y, Takaki H, Matsumoto T, Yasumura Y, Aihara N, et al. Excessive ventilation after
37 acute myocardial infarction and its improvement in 4 months. *Jpn Circ J*. 2001;65(5):399-403.
- 38 31. Laoutaris ID, Adamopoulos S, Manginas A, Panagiotakos DB, Kallistratos MS, Doulaptsis C, et al.
39 Benefits of combined aerobic/resistance/inspiratory training in patients with chronic heart failure. A
40 complete exercise model? A prospective randomised study. *International journal of cardiology*.
41 2013;167(5):1967-72.
- 42 32. Servantes DM, Pelcerman A, Salvetti XM, Salles AF, de Albuquerque PF, de Salles FC, et al. Effects
43 of home-based exercise training for patients with chronic heart failure and sleep apnoea: a randomized
44 comparison of two different programmes. *Clin Rehabil*. 2012;26(1):45-57.
- 45 33. Stigler SM. Regression towards the mean, historically considered. *Stat Methods Med Res*.
46 1997;6(2):103-14.
- 47 34. Prado DM, Rocco EA, Silva AG, Silva PF, Lazzari JM, Assumpção GL, et al. The influence of aerobic
48 fitness status on ventilatory efficiency in patients with coronary artery disease. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)*.
49 2015;70(1):46-51.

- 1 35. Witte KK, Thackray SD, Nikitin NP, Cleland JG, Clark AL. Pattern of ventilation during exercise in
2 chronic heart failure. *Heart*. 2003;89(6):610-4.
- 3 36. Aimo A, Saccaro LF, Borrelli C, Fabiani I, Gentile F, Passino C, et al. The ergoreflex: how the
4 skeletal muscle modulates ventilation and cardiovascular function in health and disease. *European*
5 *journal of heart failure*. 2021;23(9):1458-67.
- 6 37. Lavie CJ, De Schutter A, Alpert MA, Mehra MR, Milani RV, Ventura HO. Obesity paradox,
7 cachexia, frailty, and heart failure. *Heart Fail Clin*. 2014;10(2):319-26.
- 8 38. Giannoni A, Gentile F, Buoncristiani F, Borrelli C, Sciarrone P, Spiesshoefer J, et al. Chemoreflex
9 and Baroreflex Sensitivity Hold a Strong Prognostic Value in Chronic Heart Failure. *JACC Heart Fail*. 2022.
- 10 39. Matsumoto A, Itoh H, Eto Y, Kobayashi T, Kato M, Omata M, et al. End-tidal CO₂ pressure
11 decreases during exercise in cardiac patients: association with severity of heart failure and cardiac
12 output reserve. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2000;36(1):242-9.
- 13 40. Arena R, Peberdy MA, Myers J, Guazzi M, Tevald M. Prognostic value of resting end-tidal carbon
14 dioxide in patients with heart failure. *International journal of cardiology*. 2006;109(3):351-8.
- 15 41. Mazur A, Brat K, Homolka P, Merta Z, Svoboda M, Bratova M, et al. Ventilatory efficiency is
16 superior to peak oxygen uptake for prediction of lung resection cardiovascular complications. *PloS one*.
17 2022;17(8):e0272984.
- 18 42. Apostolo A, Agostoni P, Contini M, Antonioli L, Swenson ER. Acetazolamide and inhaled carbon
19 dioxide reduce periodic breathing during exercise in patients with chronic heart failure. *Journal of*
20 *cardiac failure*. 2014;20(4):278-88.
- 21 43. Lorenzi-Filho G, Rankin F, Bies I, Douglas Bradley T. Effects of inhaled carbon dioxide and oxygen
22 on cheyne-stokes respiration in patients with heart failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1999;159(5 Pt
23 1):1490-8.
- 24 44. Steens RD, Millar TW, Su X, Biberdorf D, Buckle P, Ahmed M, et al. Effect of inhaled 3% CO₂ on
25 Cheyne-Stokes respiration in congestive heart failure. *Sleep*. 1994;17(1):61-8.
- 26 45. Giannoni A, Baruah R, Willson K, Mebrate Y, Mayet J, Emdin M, et al. Real-time dynamic carbon
27 dioxide administration: a novel treatment strategy for stabilization of periodic breathing with potential
28 application to central sleep apnea. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2010;56(22):1832-7.
- 29 46. Giannoni A, Emdin M, Bramanti F, Iudice G, Francis DP, Barsotti A, et al. Combined increased
30 chemosensitivity to hypoxia and hypercapnia as a prognosticator in heart failure. *Journal of the*
31 *American College of Cardiology*. 2009;53(21):1975-80.
- 32 47. Parati G, Malfatto G, Boarin S, Branzi G, Caldara G, Giglio A, et al. Device-guided paced breathing
33 in the home setting: effects on exercise capacity, pulmonary and ventricular function in patients with
34 chronic heart failure: a pilot study. *Circulation Heart failure*. 2008;1(3):178-83.
- 35 48. Lachowska K, Bellwon J, Narkiewicz K, Gruchala M, Hering D. Long-term effects of device-guided
36 slow breathing in stable heart failure patients with reduced ejection fraction. *Clinical research in*
37 *cardiology : official journal of the German Cardiac Society*. 2019;108(1):48-60.
- 38 49. Agostoni P, Apostolo A, Cattadori G, Salvioni E, Berna G, Antonioli L, et al. Effects of beta-
39 blockers on ventilation efficiency in heart failure. *American heart journal*. 2010;159(6):1067-73.
- 40 50. Contini M, Apostolo A, Cattadori G, Paolillo S, Iorio A, Bertella E, et al. Multiparametric
41 comparison of CARvedilol, vs. NEbivolol, vs. Bisoprolol in moderate heart failure: the CARNEBI trial.
42 *International journal of cardiology*. 2013;168(3):2134-40.
- 43 51. Guazzi M, Melzi G, Marenzi GC, Agostoni P. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition facilitates
44 alveolar-capillary gas transfer and improves ventilation-perfusion coupling in patients with left
45 ventricular dysfunction. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1999;65(3):319-27.

1 Figure legends

2 Figure 1: Study flow.

3

4 Figure 2: Predicted means with 95% confidence intervals based on the mixed linear models for
5 ventilation (a), breathing frequency (b), and tidal volume (c) at rest and at peak exercise (d-f) adjusted
6 for age, sex, and body mass index, with patients nested within centres as random intercepts.

7

8 Figure 3: Predicted means with 95% confidence intervals based on the mixed linear models for VE/VCO₂
9 slope (a), peak VO₂ (b), and end-tidal carbon dioxide partial pressure at rest (c) and at peak exercise (d)
10 adjusted for age, sex, and body mass index, with patients nested within centres as random intercepts.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of patients without vs. with LVD. Parameters are indicated as mean \pm SD, n (%), or median [1st, 3rd quartile].

Group (n)	No LVD (667)	LVD (151)	p-value
Age [yrs]	72.3 \pm 5.4	73.3 \pm 5.4	0.0340
Female sex	156 (23.4)	21 (13.9)	0.0145
BMI [kg/m ²]	27.7 \pm 4.0 ^c	26.8 \pm 3.9 ^a	0.0036
Forced vital capacity [l]	3.31 \pm 0.84 ^c	3.17 \pm 0.87 ^a	0.0952
Forced vital capacity/BSA [l/m ²]	1.14 \pm 0.24 ^c	1.08 \pm 0.24 ^a	0.0067
Forced expiratory volume in first second [l/s]	2.59 \pm 0.69 ^c	2.49 \pm 0.64 ^a	0.0705
<i>Patient reported outcomes</i>			
NYHA class I/II/III	574/73/17 ^a	130/18/3	0.8776
SF36 physical component score	47.5 [41.1, 52.6] ^e	44.3 [38.8, 49.4] ^d	0.0004
Days with >30 min physical activity	3 [1, 7] ^b	4 [2, 7] ^a	0.2366
<i>Cardiac diseases</i>			
Indication for exCR ACS	516 (77.4)	129 (85.4)	0.0373
PCI during index event	598 (89.7)	140 (92.7)	0.3215
Previous ACS	141 (21.1) ^a	42 (27.8)	0.1028
Chronic heart failure	3 (0.4)	10 (6.6)	0.0000
Atrial fibrillation	33 (4.9)	12 (7.9)	0.2069
<i>CV risk factors</i>			
Smoking (active/former/never)	69/111/485 ^a	14/25/112	0.9163
Hypertension	438 (65.7)	100 (66.2)	0.9901
Hypercholesterolemia	453 (67.9)	94 (62.3)	0.1974
Diabetes mellitus	152 (22.8)	43 (28.5)	0.1751
Family history of CVD	162 (24.3)	35 (23.2)	0.9056
<i>Comorbidities</i>			
Nephropathy	47 (7.0)	17 (11.3)	0.1102
Peripheral arterial disease	44 (6.6)	12 (7.9)	0.6630
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	41 (6.1)	14 (9.3)	0.2199
Obstructive sleep apnea	22 (3.3)	2 (1.3)	0.3076
Anaemia	116 (29.0) ^f	42 (49.4) ^g	0.0179
<i>Medication</i>			
Beta blockers	510 (76.5)	140 (92.7)	0.0001
Statins	637 (95.5)	144 (95.4)	0.9999
ACE inhibitors or ARBs	501 (75.1)	130 (86.1)	0.0056

LVD, left ventricular dysfunction; BMI, body mass index; NYHA, New York Heart Failure Association; SF36, Short-Form Health Survey; CV, cardiovascular; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CVD, cardiovascular disease; ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme ; ARB, angiotensin receptor blockers

^a, missing data ≥ 1 and ≤ 5 ; ^b, missing data ≥ 6 and ≤ 10 ; ^c, missing data ≥ 11 and ≤ 15 ; ^d, missing data = 17; ^e, missing data = 40; ^f, missing data = 151; ^g, missing data = 29

Table 2: Ventilatory and circulatory parameters at rest, during ramp exercise (at first ventilatory threshold) and at peak exercise for patients without vs. patients with LVD before start of exCR as well as change until completion of CR. Shown are medians and first and third quartiles in round brackets. Relative difference between groups is derived from group effect from adjusted models and is relative to values from group without LVD. P-values are derived from mixed linear models adjusted for age, sex and body mass index and with patients nested within centres as random intercepts.

Group (n)	Patients without LVD (667)	Patients with LVD (151)	Relative difference [%]	p-value for group main effect	Change with CR in patients without LVD (609)	Change with CR in patients with LVD (141)	p-value for group x time interaction
<i>Resting</i>							
Ventilation [l/min]	12.4 (10.2, 14.9)	13.1 (11.6, 16.2)	8.1	0.0019	0.12 (-1.63, 1.83)	-0.93 (-2.96, 1.13)	0.0018
Breathing frequency [min^{-1}]	17.2 (14.5, 20.5)	18.0 (14.9, 21.3)	5.8	0.0126	0.03 (-2.17, 2.13)	-0.72 (-3.29, 2.03)	0.1346
Tidal volume [ml/ breath]	736 (570, 895)	739 (604, 905)	0.0	0.9543	1.30 (-133, 141)	-15.1 (-171, 106)	0.3896
P _{ET} CO ₂ [mmHg]	29.2 (26.7, 32.2)	27.4 (24.6, 30.3)	-2.3	0.0537	0.14 (-1.68, 1.99)	0.11 (-1.68, 2.22)	0.5562
Heart rate [bpm]	63 (58, 70)	65 (60, 74)	3.8	0.0245	-2 (-8, 4)	-3 (-9, 3)	0.9148
<i>At first ventilatory threshold</i>							
VO ₂ [ml/kg/min]	10.9 (9.25, 12.6)	9.7 (8.6, 11.7)	-7.5	0.0024	1.13 (-0.26, 2.83)	0.92 (-0.50, 2.33)	0.6841
Ventilation [ml/min]	341 (288, 403)	333 (280, 411)	-1.5	0.2477	37 (-13, 92)	26 (-29, 80)	0.6843
Breathing frequency [min^{-1}]	20.5 (18.3, 24.4)	21.4 (18.3, 24.4)	5.1	0.0441	0.57 (-1.37, 2.59)	-0.03 (-2.09, 2.50)	0.3010
Tidal volume [ml/ breath]	1338 (1126, 1627)	1239 (998, 1523)	-6.7	0.0120	110 (-48, 268)	65 (-111, 282)}	0.9715
P _{ET} CO ₂ [mmHg]	34.4 (31.7, 37.3)	32.2 (28.4, 35.1)	-5.1	0.0000	0.35 (-1.42, 1.88)	1.32 (-0.55, 3.25)	0.0620
<i>During ramp exercise</i>							
VE/VCO ₂ slope	32.1 (28.5, 37.2)	36.6 (31.0, 43.1)	11.0	0.0000	0.09 (-3.28, 2.88)	-1.45 (-4.45, 1.56)	0.3327
Nadir VE/VCO ₂	33.7 (30.8, 37.7)	36.7 (33.5, 42.8)	8.8	0.0000	-0.09 (-1.96, 1.51)	-1.21 (-3.42, 1.00)	0.0407
<i>At peak exercise</i>							
VO ₂ [ml/kg/min]	17.1 (14.1, 20.1)	15.4 (12.8, 18.0)	-12.6	0.0000	1.29 (-0.06, 3.08)	1.39 (0.05, 2.87)	0.6422
Ventilation [l/min]	54.2 (42.7, 68.5)	53.7 (41.9, 65.0)	-5.5	0.0175	5.13 (-0.54, 11.58)	6.22 (-2.30, 12.15)	0.6222
Breathing frequency [min^{-1}]	32.5 (28.3, 36.8)	32.9 (28.7, 37.4)	1.0	0.6166	1.75 (-1.18, 4.86)	1.96 (-0.49, 4.72)	0.5397
Tidal volume [l/min]	1.90 (1.54, 2.33)	1.76 (1.43, 2.18)	-7.9	0.0005	0.08 (-0.07, 0.19)	0.08 (-0.09, 0.21)	0.3680
Maximal P _{ET} CO ₂ [mmHg]	35.7 (32.7, 38.6)	33.6 (29.7, 36.6)	-5.4	0.0000	0.18 (-1.24, 1.76)	0.97 (-0.50, 2.81)	0.0055
RER (VCO ₂ /VO ₂)	1.08 (1.01, 1.14)	1.04 (0.97, 1.12)	-1.8	0.0266	0.01 (-0.04, 0.07)	0.04 (-0.02, 0.10)	0.0284
Heart rate [bpm]	114 (103, 130)	109 (97, 125)	-1.7	0.2608	2.8 (-3.9, 9.8)	5.7 (-2.5, 14.0)	0.1691

LVD, left ventricular dysfunction; PetCO₂, end-tidal partial pressure of CO₂; VO₂, oxygen uptake, VE, ventilation; VCO₂, carbon dioxide production

Table 3: Association of MACE with CPET parameter. Shown are estimates and 95% CI for MACE from the mixed linear models with the respective CPET parameter as dependent variable and covariates age and sex, and with site as random factor. Models were performed for patients with and without LVD and for the total population to test for a group interaction.

	LVD (n=151, 25 with MACE)		No LVD (n=667, 104 with MACE)		Interaction MACE × LVD
	Beta	95%CI	Beta	95%CI	p-value
VO ₂ peak [ml/kg/min]	-1.150	-2.842, 0.520	-1.249	-2.136, -0.354	0.7608
VE/VCO ₂ slope	3.448	-0.092, 7.046	2.244	0.757, 3.739	0.3159
Nadir VE/VCO ₂	2.843	0.071, 5.615	1.189	0.091, 2.294	0.1022
P _{ET} CO ₂ resting [mmHg]	-0.951	-2.573, 0.653	-0.250	-1.093, 0.593	0.3158
P _{ET} CO ₂ peak [mmHg]	-1.880	-3.759, -0.019	-0.404	-1.328, 0.515	0.0860
VE resting [l/min]	0.882	-0.853, 2.632	-0.795	-1.613, 0.027	0.0742
VE peak [l/min]	2.644	-3.855, 9.105	-2.302	-5.390, 0.803	0.3411
BF resting [min ⁻¹]	2.985	0.639, 5.418	-0.688	-2.097, 0.714	0.0086
BF peak [min ⁻¹]	2.928	-0.265, 6.122	1.200	-0.506, 2.888	0.5638
VT resting [l]	-0.055	-0.165, 0.054	-0.018	-0.073, 0.038	0.4746
VT peak [l]	-0.155	-0.334, 0.021	-0.075	-0.173, 0.023	0.2658

LVD, left ventricular dysfunction; MACE, major adverse cardiac event; VO₂, oxygen uptake; VE/VCO₂, ventilation/carbon dioxide production; P_{ET}CO₂, endtidal carbon dioxide partial pressure; BF, breathing frequency; VT, tidal volume

Breathing pattern and pulmonary gas exchange in elderly patients with and without LVD - modification with exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation and prognostic value

Legend

— LVD — No LVD ★ Associated with MACE and significantly different between groups
— CR-e: CR entry — CR-d: CR Discharge 1 Y: 1 year follow-up

LVD: left ventricular dysfunction
CR: exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation
ACS/CCS: acute/chronic coronary syndrome

EU-CaRE Study


7 European countries

818 patients with ACS/CCS


22% women

Mean age 73 years


Ventilatory efficiency (nadir VE/VCO₂)







Breathing frequency (BF) [breaths/min]




End-expiratory carbon dioxide pressure (P_{ET} CO₂) [mmHg]









PEAK





CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; LVD, left ventricular dysfunction (ejection fraction<45%); CPET, cardiopulmonary exercise testing; CR, cardiac rehabilitation

● No LV dysfunction ▲ LV dysfunction



—●— No LV dysfunction -▲- LV dysfunction

