TITLE

"But Nothing Happened. The disease was not cured, so how could one be satisfied?" : A Qualitative Descriptive Research on the Barriers to Basic Lymphedema Management and Quality Of Life in Lymphatic Filariasis Patients in a Rural Block of Eastern India

SHORT TITLE

Barriers to Lymphedema Management and Quality of Life in Lymphatic Filariasis patients

AUTHORS AND AFFILIATIONS

Pragya Kumar[1¶], Shamshad Ahmad[2¶], Ditipriya Bhar[3&], Ria Roy[4¶*], Bhavna Singh[5&]

[1]Additional Professor, Department of Community and Family Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar, India

[2]Associate Professor, Department of Community and Family Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar, India

[3]Assistant Professor, Santiniketan Medical College, Rampurhat, West Bengal, India

[4]Senior Resident, Department of Community and Family Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar, India

[5]Junior Resident, Department of Community and Family Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Patna, Bihar, India

*Corresponding Author
ria1606roy@gmail.com (RR)

[¶]PK and SA are leading authors. The Authors contributed equally to this work in data collection, analysis and manuscript drafting.

[&]The authors contributed equally to this work in data analysis and manuscript reviewing.

ABSTRACT

Background: Chronic Lymphatic Filariasis cases in Bihar, India needs management of lymphedema to live a life free of disability. For the patients who have recurrent attacks of acute dermato-lymphangio-adenitis (ADLA), WHO has recommended simple home-based measures that include maintaining hygiene, skin care and limb movement. But patients in our rural practice area are unable to adopt them, resulting in vicious cycle of ADLA attacks. So there might be multiple realities from the patients' and healthcare workers' perspectives which are unexplored. We deemed a qualitative research best suitable to identify the barriers in practicing home-based lymphedema practices, which

are adversely affecting the quality of life. Methods: The Qualitative Descriptive study was conducted in two villages in the rural field practice area under a tertiary care hospital in Bihar. We purposively selected 10 participants including patients affected with lymphedema, their caregivers, the grassroot healthcare workers and the Block Health Manager. In-depth interviews were conducted using semi-structured interview guide. Data was entered into QDA Miner Lite where we did Attribute, In-vivo, Process, Descriptive, Emotion and Holistic coding, followed by content analysis, where categories and themes emerged from the codes. Results: Three themes emerged, namely Inherent nature of disease, Patient related factors and Healthcare system related factors. Besides Low awareness and adherence, low health-seeking behaviour and poor personal hygiene, categories like Signs and symptoms, Seasonal factors, Hampered activities of daily living, Hopelessness from not getting cured, Psychosocial difficulty, Lack of capacity building and receipt of incentives by healthcare workers, Unavailability of lab diagnosis and management of complications at facility, Inconsistent drug supply, and No financial assistance were the identified barriers. Conclusion: These grassroot level problems are essential for understanding the impact that lymphedema and ADLA have on patients' quality of life, and highlight what to really address to increase the home-based care for Filariasis.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Neglected Tropical Diseases (NTDs) are a major source of chronic diseases in India besides Non-communicable Diseases like Diabetes and Hypertension. People afflicted with such diseases usually live in poor socio-economic conditions where they rarely get access to sanitation and hygienic facilities. Majority of the NTDs are vector-borne and water-borne diseases, which are prevalent in such unsanitary settings. On top of that, the disability which affects people with Filariasis or Leishmaniasis needs special care. All patients cannot be managed at the health facilities alone due to high caseload, due to which home-based care has been recommended for patients to limit the difficulties they face on daily basis. Certain Indian states like Kerala have Morbidity Management clinics which train both field workers and patients in this care, but Bihar with majority of the caseload have yet to institutionalise this measure. Apart from the reasons assumed, the authors set to find out what more could be behind the low adoption of home-based care for Lymphedema, which have not yet been included in the framework of barriers.

INTRODUCTION

Lymphatic filariasis (LF), a neglected tropical disease, is endemic in 257 districts of India, putting 650 million population at risk. They include all 38 districts of the state of Bihar.[1] Lymphedema is the commonest morbidity among the chronic cases. For achieving elimination of LF, two-pronged strategies are used: Annual Mass Drug Administration (MDA) with Diethylcarbamazine and Albendazole, and morbidity management of lymphedema.[1,2] Monitoring and evaluation of the LF Elimination programme mandates effective rounds to determine coverage and drug efficacy of MDA,

along with the endemicity of the areas, while ensuring there is adherence to the regimen.[3,4] The huge burden of chronic cases in Bihar needs management of lymphedema to live a life free of disability, yet these patients are not effectively being followed up under the present Elimination program of LF. Only 7155 Morbidity management and disability prevention (MMDP) kits had been distributed among the patients from the block health centres in the state, and 129 hydrocelectomies were done in 2021.[5] For the patients who have recurrent attacks of acute dermato-lymphangio-adenitis (ADLA) in their limbs, WHO has recommended simple home-based measures that include maintaining hygiene, skin care and limb movement. Patients can do compressive bandaging, elevation of leg while resting, and careful washing with soap and water. But patients in our rural practice area are unable to adopt them, resulting in vicious cycle of ADLA attacks.[6] In unsanitary conditions, the Microfilaremia rate is > 1%.[7,8] This is where the water, sanitation and hygiene (WaSH) practices also become essential in the elimination of Lymphatic Filariasis.[6] Low health-seeking behaviour, poorer socio-economic conditions, and lesser adoption of personal protection are some of the other known reasons behind decreased lymphedema management practices. But there might be multiple realities from the patients' and healthcare workers' perspectives, which were unexplored. Finding out the challenges and building a comprehensive picture of the barriers will help both the patients and the healthcare system in addressing ADLA in rural areas of Bihar. Based on this background, we deemed a qualitative research best suitable to identify the barriers in practicing home-based lymphedema practices in the rural area of Bihar, which are adversely affecting the quality of life in Lymphatic filariasis patients.

OBJECTIVES

1) To identify the barriers of basic lymphedema management in lymphatic filariasis patients in rural area
2) To understand how the barriers affect the quality of life of the lymphatic filariasis patients in rural area

METHODOLOGY

Research members: The research was led by Pragya Kumar and Shamshad Ahmad, who are senior faculty at the Department of Community and Family Medicine at a tertiary care institution of national importance in India. Both authors are well trained and experienced for carrying out qualitative research methods. The other members of the team, Ditipriya Bhar, Ria Roy and Bhavna Singh, were trained in interviewing techniques, transcription, translation and coding procedures in qualitative research. All the members are fluent in the regional language, and were involved in conducting in-depth interviews with the study participants.

Study design: It is a Qualitative descriptive study.

Study setting: The study was conducted in two villages, Chakiyapar and Maharajganj, in the rural field practice area (Naubatpur block) under a tertiary care hospital in the state of Bihar. All 38 districts of Bihar are highly endemic for Filariasis, and has the highest caseload in India. In 2021, as many as 89970 lymphedema and 19566 hydrocele cases were reported by NVBDCP in Bihar.[5] So we selected these villages under the rural field practice area which have highly reported caseloads.

Study population: The patients of lymphatic filariasis affected with lymphedema, their caregivers, and the healthcare workers working in the rural field practice area were selected as our study population. The caregivers are unpaid members of the patients' family or social network, who help them with the activities of daily living, and live within an hour reach from their homes. One cadre of healthcare workers considered here are grassroot healthcare workers, namely Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHA) in these villages, with one worker looking after the basic health assistance of 1000 rural population. They are the first point of contact for Healthcare delivery system in the area. The other cadre is the Block Health Manager who looks after the overall service delivery related to Lymphatic Filariasis in Naubatpur block, including the villages in the study. All the MMDP kits and MDA drugs are supervised by the Block Health Manager.

Inclusion criteria of the study:
1) Patients more than 18 years old, living with lymphedema for more than one year
2) Caregivers of filariasis patients with lymphedema who are present at the patients' residence during the study
3) Block Health Manager and grassroot healthcare workers of the rural field practice area

Study tool: A semi-structured in-depth interview guide was developed by the senior researchers for each stakeholder. The interview guide was formed initially with a deductive framework, but with room to include any new emergent theme during the process of data collection or data analysis. The questions were asked in order for the first patient and caregiver, but later modified according to severity of the health condition or any new theme detected.

Study Technique, Data collection and Analysis: Following the informed consent, we conducted in-depth interviews using the interview guide. Each interview lasted around 8-10 minutes and their responses were further probed. The team organically explored new areas of inquiry in the interviews as they emerged from the answers. The audiotape of each interview was played several times, paying close attention to remove any unnecessary phrase. The audiotapes were first transcribed with exact verbatim by listening to a clip every 10 seconds before transcribing it. Each audiotape took around 40 minutes to transcribe in local language (Hindi), and then 1 hour to translate to English on the same

day after the interview. This helped in capturing any hesitation or emotion behind the words spoken by the participants. The names of interviewees (and of other people mentioned during their interviews) were removed from the transcripts. The researchers re-read the transcripts several times, and important aspects, which were immediately striking, were marked.

Data was collected from 10 participants: 4 patients suffering from lymphedema, 3 caregivers of lymphatic filariasis patients, 2 grassroot healthcare workers, and the Block Health Manager of the rural area. The transcripts were entered into QDA Miner Lite software, where two of the researchers independently performed mainly Attribute, In-vivo, Process, Descriptive, Emotion and Holistic coding. If any coding process hit a block, the researchers listened to the audiotapes again to understand the intended meanings of the participants. The data collection and data analysis happened concurrently, to revise the interview guide and draw upon the emergent areas of inquiry. The codes were shared between the researchers, and disagreements were resolved. We continued to purposively sample the patients, caregivers and healthcare workers until saturation of the codes was reached by agreement among all the researchers. Then we did a content analysis, where categories and themes emerged from the codes. In this way, we identified the categories pertaining to lymphedema management, and uncovered the relationships between categories by iterative reading. We harmonized the themes and reconciled any matter of disagreement. Finally, a thematic codebook was made as the final coding template, that was re-applied to the data to check consistency.

Ethical consideration: Ethical approval was taken from the Institutional Ethical Committee of AIIMS Patna. Informed consent was obtained from all participants for their interview to be recorded before beginning the conversation. The audiotapes and transcripts were stored on a password-protected computer, which were only accessible to the researchers. Any report, presentation or publication resulting from this research do not contain any information such as names that could identify the participants or their relatives.

RESULTS

We tried to elucidate the factors responsible for causing decrease in quality of life of the Lymphatic filariasis patients in our study by In-depth interviews. Three themes have emerged in our study which provides detailed description of our findings, namely "**Inherent nature of disease**", "**Patient related factors**" and "**Healthcare system related factors**". In Table 1, the inherent nature of disease plays the major part of difficulty faced by participants, as evident by the frequency of its appearance in the transcripts. The codes under Signs and symptoms occurred 55 times (35.3%), while seasonal factors also accounted for 14 (9%) codes among participants. Following the category of Not maintaining personal hygiene (18, 11.5%), Low awareness (14, 9%) and Low adherence had the most number of mentions among patients (12, 7.7%). In Figure 1, the factors which were already assumed in our

epistemology such as low awareness and adherence, low health-seeking behaviour, and not maintaining personal hygiene, either due to poverty or non-use of WaSH facilities, are shown differently than the newer categories which emerged under patient-related factors. Again in Table 1, we can see that the healthcare worker cadres complained about the Lack of capacity building (3, 1.9%) and twice as much about Lack of receipt of regular incentives (4, 2.6%). However, majority of participants complained about Unavailability of Laboratory diagnosis and No financial assistance (6, 3.8%). Inconsistent drug supply has been brought to notice at least 4 times (2.6%) by both patients and healthcare workers.

Figure 1: Categories and Themes from the Qualitative Descriptive analysis

Table 1: Content analysis of the categories in the study

Categories	n (%) of total codes
Symptoms and signs of the disease	55 (35.3)
Seasonal factors	14 (9.0)
Low Awareness in patients and relatives	14 (9.0)
Low Adherence	12 (7.7)
Low Health-seeking Behaviour in Modern Medicine	5 (3.2)
Hopelessness from not getting cured	3 (1.9)
Not maintaining personal hygiene	18 (11.5)
Hampered Activities of Daily Living	5 (3.2)
Psychosocial difficulty	5 (3.2)
Lack of capacity building for Grassroot workers	3 (1.9)
Lack of receipt of regular incentives for Grassroot workers	4 (2.6)
Unavailability of laboratory diagnosis of filariasis at Health facility	6 (3.8)
Unavailability of management of complications of filariasis at Health facility	2 (1.3)

Inconsistent Drug supply in the community and health facility	4 (2.6)
No financial assistance	6 (3.8)
TOTAL	**156 (100)**

I) <u>Inherent nature of disease</u>

1) Symptoms and signs of the disease:

Patients have experienced fever, pain and discomfort in their whole body; pain, burning sensation and swelling in lower limbs including ankles; red eyes, vomiting, weakness and complications such as hydrocele. Thus these multiple symptoms make the patients unable to maintain their health and increase their hardships in life, as quoted by two participants below:

"In a month, fever comes four times. Only on sweating it becomes alright." (**Patient, Homemaker in her 40s**)

"Once fever came. It was so severe that my legs were swelled up, it was even more severe compared to current swelling. And blisters appeared ... it was like blisters that appear after burn.... There was severe burning sensation, that I couldn't sleep due to this." (**Patient, Anganwadi worker for 15 years**)

All these symptoms not only have a great effect on the quality of life, but have portrayed symptoms that cause severe suffering due to ADLA. Their persistence over multiple years have added to the difficulty faced during the disease. Sometimes the disease is resistant to the usual treatment and this makes the condition chronic over the years, as quoted by the patient:

"In 2013, I went to see the doctor (at) hospital... they prescribed an injection from there. I do not remember the name. They gave 12 injections daily at morning and evening. At that time some swelling diminished, but after that gradually swelling appeared (again)." (**Patient, Anganwadi worker for 15 years**)

2) Seasonal factors:

Bihar has very high temperature of around 40 degrees Celcius during the summer season, heavy monsoon during the months of June till September, and harsh winter ranging from November till February. A wide variation in the occurrence of ADLA have been noted, with difficulty caused mainly during summer.

"In winter discomfort used to be less, but in summer the discomfort increases. Swelling also increases in summer. The burning sensation in my legs become more severe and sometimes my whole body becomes swollen... in one leg, swelling persists throughout the year but in another leg, swelling occurs only in the summer. During winter season, this leg (showing her leg that swells in summer and

currently swollen) becomes normal, but in summer it becomes like this (by showing her other swollen leg).” (Patient, Anganwadi worker for 15 years)

There is reporting of high fever and flare-up of swelling in the lower limbs in two patients during winter. Some factors unfavourable for development of microfilariae, may be favourable for breeding conditions of the vector, which is usually during cold weather, and vice versa. This may explain why these patients in our study experienced more discomfort during winter.

“(Swelling of leg) creates more problem for him in winter, and less in summer... He doesn’t have any problem doing anything in summer. But if he overexerts himself, then everything swells up.” (Caregiver of Vegetable seller in her 30s)

II) **Patient related factors**
   1) **Low Awareness in patients and relatives:**
The participants had some basic knowledge about the nature of the disease, however around half of the respondents did not have awareness about prevention and treatment to be availed in the community and the health facilities were lacking. One respondent has reasoned that she was not formally educated, even though she was an Anganwadi worker.

“Not much information about filaria... Nowadays, social media is there. Like, whenever pain is there, I open YouTube and see, what could be done.” (Caregiver, a Hospital attendant)

The caregiver is also unaware about how to maintain hygiene of the affected limb of the patient:
“Whenever I tell her to wear slippers, she turns a deaf ear. She never listens... (I know) nothing regarding cleaning her leg.”

Even if some respondents are aware about the MDA programme, their low trust in Government services has led them to find other options, one of them being buying over-the-counter medicines and self-medicating without any doctor’s prescription. They have been slowly discouraged to take the MDA supply because of false information about their effectiveness, which has clearly deterred the implementation of the programme.

“I have not been to any doctor. (I have taken) medicines, like, these small tablets, something like that.” (Patient, Farmer in his 40s)
“No, I didn’t take it. Earlier where I used to live, I had taken the medicines. I remember. I ate once or twice the drug for Filaria. You know, ASHA and ANMs; they only gave the drugs.” (Caregiver, a Hospital attendant)

*"Some people go to medical shops and show their drug there and that shop owner says that the drug is of no use and he gives another drug telling his drug would work better." (**Health care worker**)*

2) Low Adherence:

There is consequently low adherence to the preventive chemotherapy such as MDA. There is lack of trust in the Government supply of free MDA drugs. Some have revealed straightaway that they did not consume the medicine given to them biannually by healthcare workers. This problem has been shown from a patient's, a relative's and a health care worker's perspectives:

*"I was taking another medication, how could I take another? When they gave medicine (MDA), I thought I will take, but the same night I got fever and chills." (**Patient, Homemaker in her 70s**)*

*"He doesn't listen (to anyone). He says it (MDA) doesn't work. Taking only those medicines (from private) work. If it's for deworming, then he takes (from Government supply)." (**Caregiver of Vegetable seller in her 30s**)*

*"When I meet those people, I ask them whether they took the drug or not... few reply yes while rest say no...most people don't take it in front of me, and I don't know what they did with the drug." (**Health care worker**)*

3) Low Health-seeking Behaviour in Modern Medicine:

There have been an overall low health-seeking behaviour among the patients. It could be due to self-medication as mentioned before. It can also be rooted in some barriers of receiving adequate treatment such as <u>high cost in availing private healthcare services</u>. The management of complications such as hydrocele in a patient gives an insight to how these factors can affect their decision to avail healthcare services related to Lymphadenitis.

*"He never used to go for consultation. I forcefully took him, and they did an ultrasound. He was diagnosed with ripe filaria. Then only we went for surgery, it took around forty to fifty thousand rupees. Then he took 6 months rest. Then only (the treatment) worked." (**Caregiver of Vegetable seller in her 30s**)*

Some patients have tried alternative therapy for filariasis. But they eventually faced <u>failure in getting cured</u>, which has further aggravated their suffering:

*"I tried in ayurvedic, allopathy, homeopathy. Everywhere, but it wasn't successfully treated... no medicines are available. I don't have such information (about MDA)." (**Caregiver, a Hospital attendant**)*

4) Hopelessness from not getting cured:

Because of all these barriers affecting the patients' suffering, they can experience strong emotions of dissatisfaction about never getting cured from filariasis and ADLA. Different modes of therapy have failed in the end to give a better quality of life for some of the patients.

"There was a Homeopathic doctor in the colony. Many people used to say that the treatment is effective, but it didn't work for me. There was another doctor who used to give some Zaributi medicine, he told not take food throughout the day after taking the medicine, and to take curd in the evening. The whole day I had to starve. But nothing happened. Then I became tired of it and left it… the disease was not cured, so how one could be satisfied?" **(Patient, Anganwadi worker for 15 years)**

This can adversely impact their mental well-being, affecting even the caregivers those who are spend a great proportion of their time caring for the disabled individuals. They witness the pain and suffering of their loved ones, which renders them disgruntled and hopeless about the whole situation.

"See, some disease has no cure, like AIDS. (It's possible) only if you take precautions. I know this disease (Filariasis) can't be cured. But treatment must cure the pain. Like, pain occurs as if it may kill you. So, to avoid that, government should do something, to reduce the pain." **(Caregiver, a Hospital attendant)**

Hopelessness leads to expectations for a better intervention from the government. This situation points to the existing gap between guidelines and reach of successful ground-level interventions of the MDA program, which has not managed to prevent the occurrence of Filariasis in the community.

5) **Not maintaining personal hygiene:**

Some patients in the community are not using soap to clean the affected limbs even though provision of water supply is present at their household. Instead, they are using ashes to care for the infected leg. Taking adequate rest is also a necessity. But most of them are either involved in doing work without any break, or not fulfilling the basic requirements of foot care, skin care, exercise, and leg elevation.

"It becomes very difficult to do farming in the cold… I go without (slippers)." **(Patient, Farmer in his 40s)**

"This thing, I always tell her. That whenever you sit, wherever you sit, always have your legs raised up… She never listens." **(Caregiver, a Hospital attendant)**

Maintaining hygiene is the most important need in ADLA patients who are suffering for prolonged duration. This aspect has been very much neglected in most of the respondents, which continues to add to the healthcare costs and burden of filariasis in the community.

6) **Hampered Activities of Daily Living:**

Patients have reported difficulty in maintaining balance, walking, standing up or sitting down, or doing the basic household chores like cooking, washing or cleaning. The main culprits are pain and swelling of the lower limbs, which hamper the normal movements of the patients. One of the patients have expressed her plight in facing severe difficulty in doing chores at home, with no one to help her at all:

"I am not able to do cold related work. What else! ...Like, work related with water, have to do it irrespective of other family members at home or not. Even if I worry, what can I do! If there are no daughters or daughter-in-law at home, one has to do it. At least cooking and cleaning have to be done, who will do all these things? No one is here." **(Patient, Homemaker in her 70s)**

7) Psychosocial difficulty:

Few respondents have reported that they have decreased socializing in their neighbourhood because of physical difficulty. One respondent has talked of financial difficulty with filariasis in the past while his children were still dependent. Even though there is no stigma in the study community regarding filariasis and ADLA, some patients seem to go into recluse or even delve into addiction once they are known to be afflicted with this disease.

A caregiver reported that he does not consume MDA given by ASHA and only takes medicine from private facility, although his whole family takes that preventive chemotherapy. However, the main problem since he had filariasis, was his addiction to alcohol:

"He stays outside, goes to village. Now he goes astray, and then drinks (alcohol). Now when he drinks, only that can bring some relief. This is the main problem, nothing else. If only his alcohol addiction was managed, then there would be no problem." **(Caregiver of Vegetable seller in her 30s)**

Perhaps the consumption of alcohol in this patient reflects a much deeper problem in this setting. Feeling of never getting out of the 'abyss' of filariasis can negatively impact the quality of life of whole families, not just the patients.

III) <u>Healthcare system related factors</u>
1) Lack of capacity building for Grassroot workers:

The reason behind healthcare workers not taking adequate care of the patients can be attributed to infrequent training regarding the disease. One grassroot healthcare worker, when asked if she had attended any meeting or training on filariasis, she replied that she had attended only one meeting regarding managing filariasis in the community:

"Yes, long time ago, but I don't remember much about it now... I tell them (patients) to keep wet warm cloth over the limb, but it has not been told how long they should do it." **(Health care worker)**

There had been unfortunately no formal training program for capacity building of the healthcare workers on management of filariasis and ADLA in the community. The only time 'meetings' have been held were on "*survey regarding how many patients are positive*" for filariasis.

2) **Lack of receipt of regular incentives for Grassroot workers:**

One of the major problems in managing patients with filariasis is lack of motivation and disappointment, which stems from the fact that healthcare workers are not getting any incentives for taking filariasis patients to health facility:

*"Yes, if we will get something for filariasis obviously we would pay more attention to those patients and will bring them to PHC." (**Health care worker**)*

*"No incentive for taking patient to hospital. We get incentive during program, for house to house, mass drug administration in people starting from more than two year old." (**Health care worker**)*

On the contrary, the **Block Health Manager** of the same block told a different story:

"Yes, a hundred rupees for bringing patient… yesterday all ASHA who brought patient, they all got hundred rupees. They get incentives for all work."

Because of the lack of regular incentives for the healthcare workers, some patients have reported very few visits from the grassroot workers. Even if they mobilize the patients, all they do is give the MMDP kits to the patients at the center every Monday. They do not have any information on how to clean the ADLA-affected limbs so as to decrease the chances of ADLA attacks.

3) **Unavailability of laboratory diagnosis of filariasis at Health facility:**

The investigation for filariasis by microscopic examination is not available at any nearby PHC or even the CHC, while management of the disease is based mainly on clinical diagnosis here. The need for laboratory diagnosis and advice for management of the disease sequelae is very much felt at the village level by the patients and their relatives.

*"No such test was there. As you people are saying, there is no treatment for Filaria. Doctor said, there's no any internal problem. So, we didn't do anything else. They didn't tell anything as such (about taking care of swollen leg)." (**Caregiver, a Hospital attendant**)*

4) **Unavailability of management of complications of filariasis at Health facility:**

Treatment of complications are not available at the CHC of the study area. In OPD visits, they are not given advice regarding how to take care of the affected limb at home. Thus patients have to travel long distances to a tertiary health centre for availing such services, which makes even the healthcare workers apprehensive:

*"Most people don't go to block, even at my home there are two patients of filariasis but they don't go to hospital. It would be better if more facilities for treatment will be provided at the centre!" (**Health care worker**)*

This is a dangerous precedent to the growing burden of complications in the community. An urgent need is felt to scale up the services and initiate minor surgical and dressing procedures in the peripheral health facilities of the area for care of the affected limbs and complications like ADLA and hydrocele.

5) Inconsistent Drug supply in the community and health facility:

The treatment component of filaria is mostly symptomatic among the respondents. DEC, Ivermectin and Albendazole have not been administered to many patients because of their inconsistent availability at the health centre. A relative recounts that, besides not getting tested from a laboratory, the patient also did not receive the main chemotherapeutic management of the disease from a tertiary hospital, which was quite alarming:

*"One year back, I sought treatment (for patient). We got only calcium. Nothing else. Calcium and BP." (**Caregiver, a Hospital attendant**)*

The **Block Health Manager** though, recounted differently:

"Filariasis is very common here. Yesterday we distributed kits, as many patients came. Patient presents with fever, cough, swelling of limbs. Even if slight swelling is there, we start DEC 6mg/kg. Suppose 70kg male presents with swelling then dose will be 420mg. So we give 100mg thrice daily with Levocetirizine which prevents drug allergy."

In conclusion, the healthcare workers take the patients to the nearest peripheral health facility, where they receive adequate treatment only when there is supply of DEC and Albendazole. There could be certain areas in the community which had been missed during the MDA implementation too. One patient in the study area complained about not receiving the MDA drugs from the healthcare workers during the biannual deworming days.

6) No financial assistance:

Most of the patients had to buy preventive measures like Long Lasting Insecticide Nets (LLINs) from shops, although they are supposed to receive them from the Public Distribution System or from the Health facility under National Vector Borne Disease Control Programme. But both the healthcare workers and the Block Health Manager have corroborated that the block health facility do not receive any supply for the LLINs.

*"Mosquito nets they (patients) have to purchase." (**Health care worker**)*

"They do not get LLINs from here. They get from government supply. They just get medicines, bath tub, mug, antifungal, soap and povidone iodine from here." **(Block Health Manager)**

This is an added burden for those who cannot even afford three meals every day, as majority of the filariasis patients in the study area belong to very poor socioeconomic class. Both patients and grassroot workers complained that the afflicted are not benefited by any means by attending the PHC or CHC. Because of the dearth of so many services in the Government health facility, some patients are forced to resort to treatment in the private sector:

"We went (to doctor) in 2016 only. Did ultrasound, and then did operation (for hydrocele). Yes it's private. We take medicines from private. They give medicine of hundred or fifty rupees, only then he becomes fine, otherwise not." **(Caregiver of Vegetable seller in her 30s)**

All these factors contribute to increased Out of Pocket Expenditure. Households with patients of Lymphatic Filariasis can incur huge cost, especially if they are in the low income group or if they are seeking medical care in the Private sector instead of a Government health facility.

DISCUSSION

Filariasis is a debilitating disease that is most responsible for permanent disfigurement in the afflicted, making it the second-most common cause of long-term disability worldwide. The early stage of the disease consist of adenolymphangitis and attacks of ADLA, where there are fever, chills, headache, vomiting and inflammation with tenderness of the limb. ADLA recurs several times a year, not by parasitic entry but due to secondary bacterial infections through the interdigital spaces, eczema, wounds or fissures in the feet.[9] Even the male genitalia and upper limbs are affected.[10] Thus patients repeatedly suffer in agony, as expressed to us. Moreover these symptoms vary with temperature and humidity. A study in Ghana showed that majority of the symptoms occurred in the rainy season, as the maximum infection of filariasis happens in the period of minimum vector density due to flushing of the breeding places, whereas the attacks are less prevalent in the dry season.[8,11] Similarly in the Northern Indian states, several factors increase the probability of filarial transmission in summer. Tropical climate, poorly maintained drainage, increased vector-human contact attributed to using fewer clothes and sleeping in open or outside the room at night are responsible.[12] Only two of our respondents suffered in winter compared to five in summer. But a study done in Tamil Nadu, South India has shown no significant variation between summer and winter seasons.[13] The survival of the vector *Culex quinquefasciatus* was less in summer, and infective stage larvae were not encountered till early monsoon. The parous mosquitoes have been recorded highest in winter months (November-March) in this area leading to maximum transmission that declined with rise in temperature.[14]

Geographical differences seems to be playing an important role, however our respondents showed mixed variation.

The best way to prevent lymphatic filariasis is to avoid mosquito bites, followed by the biannual MDA program.[15] In our study, the respondents had low awareness regarding treatment and prevention of the disease, resulting in low MDA adherence. Besides this, increased community participation about WaSH needs to gain traction. The Water Sanitation and Hygiene strategy has been increasingly recognized, which underscores its crucial importance for the secondary prevention of morbidity and disability for Filariasis-related lymphedema.[6] In an endemic town in Nigeria, 85% did not know about MDA, and 36% did not know its role in preventing Lymphatic Filariasis.[16] Joseph et al. conducted a study among health workers in Karnataka, India, where they found poor awareness scores in 37.2%, average in 57.7%, and good scores in only 5.1% participants.[17] The patients might fear its side effects, remain absent during the time of distribution, not consume the drug as they forget or misplace it, or suffer from a concurrent illness.[18] They also feel mounting hopelessness that lymphedema is incurable, so there is no use of diligently following management practices once they contract filariasis. Patricia Maritim et al. have concluded that adherence and health-seeking behaviour was even driven by negative beliefs and myths about the disease, and lack of awareness about available homecare morbidity kits.[19]

In Nepal, even though patients suffering from Lymphedema had home-based care as their first treatment, their first point of contact were the traditional healers, only later seeking Ayurvedic or Modern hospital-based treatments during complications.[20] There should be good and effective communication between villagers and community leaders which can increase MDA uptake for disease prevention. Misconceptions and rumours can spread like wildfire, obstructing the program and community participation in MDA approach.[21] Even unfavourable interactions between the provider and the client reduce drug acceptability. But health personnel who provide detailed instructions on how the drug should be administered, have increased MDA adherence and patient satisfaction.[22]

The social stigma of lymphedema can cause barriers both to adherence and health-seeking behaviour as seen in several studies[6], though we did not experience such complaints. The main problems we found are that patients and caregivers are unable to work productively, leading to loss of earnings from reduced work hours, absenteeism, catastrophic and inappropriate health costs leading to debt, not able to pay for schooling of children in family, and an overall reduced societal role. Patients whose lymph vessels are already damaged experience lymphedema and ADLA episodes for the rest of their lives. The younger the cohort, the greater the economic losses accumulate over in their years of productivity.[23] Patients can undergo high travel costs in some cases, coupled with lost productivity

in both paid and unpaid labour.[24] These surely affect their mental health, as evidenced by filariasis being related to depression and other psychosocial difficulty.[25]

Filariasis Elimination Program had faced severe implementation challenges for years. The innovative efficiency was initially slow, and the disease impact on endemic regions rarely focused on. Thus the WHO expanded its technical support to address these issues throughout 2017 till 2019. The monitoring process reported by Bhupendra Tripathi showed improvement in adherence and a significant decline in the number of 'missed areas', the houses where MDA was not offered.[26] Microplanning and social mobilization might have improved in case of MDA dispensing, but training about home-based care was still lacking. The two healthcare workers in our study had attended only refresher meetings held on annual surveys, or before the distribution of MDA. There was no formal training on teaching how to manage lymphedema. It seems the state health authorities have put more importance on transmission of microfilariae, but sidelined how to improve the quality of life in the patients with severely affected lymphatics and cutaneous inflammation. For them, consuming MDA would not help. Once established, the disease is irreversible even after treatment or spontaneous death of the microfilariae which promotes insidious progression.[27] Initial ADLA attacks due to bacterial proliferation worsen the lymphedema leading to elephantiasis. This creates a vicious cycle favoring more such attacks due to lack of local hygiene.[28] Implementation at the administrative level is only strengthening the MDA administration and not the second pillar of elimination strategy, which is improving condition of morbidity.[29] Many studies have elaborated on the physical, social, psychological, sexual and economical problems not only caused by the deformities but from the acute febrile episodes.[30–32]

Even then the administration had to face several problems. During the rollout of IDA round-1 in Bihar in 2019-2020, there was a local strike by ASHAs at booths established in Anganwadi centers and schools. Only 58–61% of total population had consumed the triple drug regimen, but was soon interrupted when Anganwadi workers also joined the strike.[26] During our study period, we have seen subsequent such strikes occurring at Block health center due to non-payment of any incentives by the Government over a prolonged period. This demotivates the grassroot healthcare workers who are already burdened with mobilization and drug distribution under different community health programs.

The Global Program to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis (GPELF) has long approved the Alere Filariasis Test Strip (FTS), a point-of-care diagnostic tool which can be used even by the Doctors or healthcare workers to detect *Wuchereria bancrofti* antigen in human blood, serum, or plasma.[33] But in our field visits, we saw no awareness of FTS among healthcare personnel and patients alike, besides absence of laboratory confirmation setup. Same could be said for managing complications like hydrocele. Hydrocelectomy surgery is not conducted at the CHC, which forced one patient to private

clinic that resulted in catastrophic expenditure. There, only MMDP kits were distributed every Monday, with no training on how to use them to prevent ADLA. Lessons can be learned from Kerala state regarding this. The State Health Administration of Kerala conducted intensive training sessions to doctor and staff nurse from each Taluk hospital to provide care for lymphedema patients, and were given training in MMDP, including information, hands-on demonstrations and specific lymphedema care plans. This increased the registration of previously unidentified lymphedema patients. Undertaking a policy decision to achieve elimination with MMDP in addition to MDA is the key component of that strategy, which should be the goal for endemic districts in whole India.[34]

CONCLUSION

The main barriers which affect quality of life of lymphedema in lymphatic filariasis patients are inherent nature of the disease including symptoms and seasonality, patient related factors, and factors due to healthcare system delivery. Low awareness, adherence, health-seeking behaviour, not maintaining hygiene, hampered activities of daily living, hopelessness from not getting cured and psychosocial difficulty are the emergent categories under patient related factors. Meanwhile, healthcare delivery factors included lack of capacity building and receipt of incentives by healthcare workers, unavailability of lab diagnosis and management of complications at facility, inconsistent drug supply and no financial assistance. These grassroot level problems are essential for understanding the impact that lymphedema and ADLA have on patients' quality of life, and highlight what to really address to increase the home-based care for Lymphatic Filariasis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

Authors acknowledge the support of Department of Community and Family Medicine, AIIMS Patna, especially Mr. Nitin Kumar Singh, Medical Social Service Officer, for field coordination during the study.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS:

There are no competing interests.

REFERENCES:

1. FILARIA ENDEMIC DISTRICTS :: National Center for Vector Borne Diseases Control (NCVBDC) [Internet]. [cited 2022 Nov 21]. Available from: https://nvbdcp.gov.in/index4.php?lang=1&level=0&linkid=453&lid=3733

2. Hussain MA, Sitha AK, Swain S, Kadam S, Pati S. Mass drug administration for lymphatic filariasis elimination in a coastal state of India: a study on barriers to coverage and compliance. Infect Dis Poverty. 2014 Sep 1;3(1):31.

3. Stolk WA, Swaminathan S, van Oortmarssen GJ, Das PK, Habbema JDF. Prospects for elimination of bancroftian filariasis by mass drug treatment in Pondicherry, India: a simulation study. J Infect Dis. 2003 Nov 1;188(9):1371–81.

4. Ramaiah KD, Das PK, Appavoo NC, Ramu K, Augustin DJ, Vijay Kumar KN, et al. A programme to eliminate lymphatic filariasis in Tamil Nadu state, India: compliance with annual single-dose DEC mass treatment and some related operational aspects. Trop Med Int Health. 2000;5(12):842–7.

5. NCVBDC. VL/LF UPDATE. India; 2022 Apr p. 2. Report No.: 4.

6. Stocks ME, Freeman MC, Addiss DG. The Effect of Hygiene-Based Lymphedema Management in Lymphatic Filariasis-Endemic Areas: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015 Oct 23;9(10):e0004171.

7. Ramaiah KD. Lymphatic filariasis elimination programme in India: progress and challenges. Trends Parasitol. 2009 Jan;25(1):7–8.

8. Raghavan NGS. EPIDEMIOLOGY OF FILARIASIS IN INDIA. Bull Wld Hlth Org. 1957;16:553–79.

9. Shenoy RK. Clinical and Pathological Aspects of Filarial Lymphedema and Its Management. Korean J Parasitol. 2008 Sep;46(3):119–25.

10. Palumbo E. Filariasis: diagnosis, treatment and prevention. Acta Bio-Medica Atenei Parm. 2008 Aug;79(2):106–9.

11. Kwarteng A, Arthur YD, Yamba JK, Sylverken AA, Kini P, Ahuno ST, et al. Influence of seasonal variation on reported filarial attacks among people living with lymphedema in Ghana. BMC Infect Dis. 2019 May 20;19(1):442.

12. Paramanik M, Chandra G. Studies on seasonal fluctuation of different indices related to filarial vector, Culex quinquefasciatus around foothills of Susunia of West Bengal, India. Asian Pac J Trop Med. 2010 Sep 1;3:727–30.

13. Ramaiah KD, Ramu K, Kumar KNV, Guyatt H. Epidemiology of acute filarial episodes caused by Wuchereria bancrofti infection in two rural villages in Tamil Nadu, south India. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1996 Nov;90(6):639–43.

14. Ramaiah KD, Das PK. Seasonality of adult Culex quinquefasciatus and transmission of bancroftian filariasis in Pondicherry, South India. Acta Trop. 1992 Apr 1;50(4):275–83.

15. Prevention CC for DC and. CDC - Lymphatic Filariasis - Prevention & Control [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 Nov 8]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/lymphaticfilariasis/prevent.html

16. Jaiyeola TM, Udoh EE, Adebambo AB. Knowledge, attitude, and practice towards lymphatic filariasis among inhabitants of an endemic town in Oyo State, Nigeria [Internet]. medRxiv; 2022 [cited 2022 Nov 8]. p. 2022.05.30.22275754. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.05.30.22275754v1

17. Joseph N, Subba S, Jain A, Unnikrishnan B, Nagaraj K, Kotian S. Awareness of health personnel about lymphatic filariasis and mass drug administration in Karnataka state of South India. Australas Med J. 2011 Feb 28;4(2):87–93.

18. Roy RN, Sarkar AP, Misra R, Chakroborty A, Mondal TK, Bag K. Coverage and Awareness of and Compliance with Mass Drug Administration for Elimination of Lymphatic Filariasis in Burdwan District, West Bengal, India. J Health Popul Nutr. 2013 Jun;31(2):171–7.

19. Maritim P, Silumbwe A, Zulu JM, Sichone G, Michelo C. Health beliefs and health seeking behavior towards lymphatic filariasis morbidity management and disability prevention services in Luangwa District, Zambia: Community and provider perspectives. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021 Feb 22;15(2):e0009075.

20. Adhikari R, Sherchand J, Mishra SR, Ranabhat K, Pokharel A, Devkota P, et al. Health-Seeking Behaviors and Self-Care Practices of People with Filarial Lymphoedema in Nepal: A Qualitative Study. J Trop Med. 2015 Jan 28;2015:6.

21. Gyapong JO, Owusu IO, Vroom FB da-Costa, Mensah EO, Gyapong M. Elimination of lymphatic filariasis: current perspectives on mass drug administration. Res Rep Trop Med. 2018 Mar 6;9:25–33.

22. S Kim. Medication Adherence of Elderly with Hypertension and/Or Diabetes-Mellitus and Its' Influencing Factors. Korean J Clin Pharm. 2011;21:81–9.

23. Stillwaggon E, Sawers L, Rout J, Addiss D, Fox L. Economic Costs and Benefits of a Community-Based Lymphedema Management Program for Lymphatic Filariasis in Odisha State, India. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2016 Oct 5;95(4):877–84.

24. Sawers L, Stillwaggon E. Economic Costs and Benefits of Community-Based Lymphedema-Management Programs for Lymphatic Filariasis in India. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2020 Jul;103(1):295–302.

25. Ton TGN, Mackenzie C, Molyneux DH. The burden of mental health in lymphatic filariasis. Infect Dis Poverty. 2015 Jul 30;4:34.

26. Tripathi B, Roy N, Dhingra N. Introduction of Triple-Drug Therapy for Accelerating Lymphatic Filariasis Elimination in India: Lessons Learned. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2022 May 11;106(5_Suppl):29–38.

27. Freedman DO, Bui T, De Almeida Filho PJ, Braga C, Maia e Silva MC, Maciel A, et al. Lymphoscintigraphic assessment of the effect of diethylcarbamazine treatment on lymphatic damage in human bancroftian filariasis. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1995 Mar;52(3):258–61.

28. R K Shenoy, T K Suma, K Rajan, V Kumaraswami. Prevention of acute adenolymphangitis in brugian filariasis: comparison of the efficacy of ivermectin and diethylcarbamazine, each combined with local treatment of the affected limb - PubMed. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 1998 Jul;92(5):587–94.

29. Sabesan S, Vanamail P, Raju KHK, Jambulingam P. Lymphatic filariasis in India: Epidemiology and control measures. J Postgrad Med. 2010 Jul 1;56(3):232.

30. Pani SP, Yuvaraj J, Vanamail P, Dhanda V, Michael E, Grenfell BT, et al. Episodic adenolymphangitis and lymphoedema in patients with bancroftian filariasis. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1995;89(1):72–4.

31. Ramaiah KD, Ramu K, Guyatt H, Kumar KN, Pani SP. Direct and indirect costs of the acute form of lymphatic filariasis to households in rural areas of Tamil Nadu, south India. Trop Med Int Health TM IH. 1998 Feb;3(2):108–15.

32. Ramaiah KD, Das PK, Michael E, Guyatt H. The economic burden of lymphatic filariasis in India. Parasitol Today Pers Ed. 2000 Jun;16(6):251–3.

33. Chesnais CB, Vlaminck J, Kunyu-Shako B, Pion SD, Awaca-Uvon NP, Weil GJ, et al. Measurement of Circulating Filarial Antigen Levels in Human Blood with a Point-of-Care Test Strip and a Portable Spectrodensitometer. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2016 Jun 1;94(6):1324–9.

34. Krishnasastry ST, Mackenzie CD, Sadanandan R. Scaling-up filariasis lymphoedema management into the primary health care system in Kerala State, Southern India: a case study in healthcare equity. Infect Dis Poverty. 2022 Dec;11(1):1–8.