Vaccine Induction of Heterologous HIV-1 Neutralizing Antibody B Cell Lineages in Humans

By

Wilton B. Williams[1,2,5,17,*&], S. Munir Alam[1,4,*&], Gilad Ofek[3,16,&], Nathaniel Erdmann[9,&], David Montefiori[1,2,&], Michael S. Seaman[12], Kshitij Wagh[14], Bette Korber[14,15], Robert J. Edwards[1,4], Katayoun Mansouri[1], Amanda Eaton[2], Derek W. Cain[1,4], Mitchell Martin[1], Robert Parks[1], Maggie Barr[1], Andrew Foulger[1], Kara Anasti[1], Parth Patel[1], Salam Sammour[1], Ruth J. Parsons[1], Xiao Huang[1], Jared Lindenberger[1], Susan Fetics[1], Katarzyna Janowska[1], Aurelie Niyongabo[3], Benjamin M. Janus[3,16], Anagh Astavans[3], Christopher B. Fox[13], Ipsita Mohanty[1], Tyler Evangelous[1], Yue Chen[1], Madison Berry[1], Helene Kirshner[1], Elizabeth Van Itallie[1], Kevin Saunders[1,2,5,6], Kevin Wiehe[1,4], Kristen W. Cohen[7], M. Juliana McElrath[7], Lawrence Corey[7], Priyamvada Acharya[1,2,10], Stephen R. Walsh[8,*], Lindsey R. Baden[8,*] and Barton F. Haynes[1,4,5,11,*].

[1]Duke Human Vaccine Institute, Duke School of Medicine, Durham, NC 27710

[2]Department of Surgery, Duke School of Medicine, Durham, NC 27710

[3]Department of Cell Biology and Molecular Genetics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742

[4]Department of Medicine, Duke School of Medicine, Durham, NC 27710

[5]Department of Integrative Immunobiology, Duke School of Medicine, Durham, NC 27710

[6]Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Duke School of Medicine, Durham, NC 27710

[7]Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle WA 98109

[8]Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

[9]University of Alabama Medical Center, Birmingham, AL 35223

[10]Department of Biochemistry, Duke School of Medicine, Durham, NC 27710

[11]Duke Global Health Institute, Duke School of Medicine, Durham, NC 27710

[12]Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215

[13]Access to Advanced Health Institute, Seattle, WA, 98102

[14]Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, 87545

[15]New Mexico Consortium, Los Alamos, NM, 87544

[16]Institute for Bioscience and Biotechnology Research, University of Maryland, Rockville, MD 20850

[17]Lead contact

[&]These authors contributed equally to this work.

34   *Correspondence: wilton.williams@duke.edu (W.B.W); munir.alam@duke.edu (S.M.A);
35   barton.haynes@duke.edu (B.F.H); lbaden@bwh.harvard.edu (L.R.B); swalsh@bwh.harvard.edu
36   (S.R.W)
37
Wilton B. Williams, Ph.D.
Associate Professor of Surgery
2 Genome Court
MSRBII Bldg. Room 4076
DUMC 103020
Duke University Medical Center
Durham, NC 27710
Ph: 919-660-1546
Fx: 919-684-5230
wilton.williams@duke.edu
48
S. Munir Alam
Professor in Medicine & Pathology
2 Genome Court
MSRBII Bldg. Room 3009
DUMC 103020
Duke University Medical Center
Durham, NC 27710
Ph: 919-668-6372
Fx: 919-684-5230
munir.alam@duke.edu
59
Lindsey R. Baden, MD
Professor, Harvard Medical School
Brigham and Women's Hospital
70 Francis St,
Boston, MA 02115
Phone: (617) 732-6801
lbaden@bwh.harvard.edu
67
Stephen R. Walsh, MD
Assistant Professor of Medicine
Harvard Medical School
Brigham and Women's Hospital
3 Blackfan Circle
Boston, MA 02115
Ph: 617-735-4548
swalsh@bwh.harvard.edu
76
Barton F. Haynes, M.D.
Director, Duke Human Vaccine Institute
Frederic M. Hanes Professor of Medicine

Professor of Immunology
2 Genome Court
MSRBII Bldg. Room 4090
DUMC 103020
Duke University Medical Center
Durham, NC 27710
Ph: 919-684-5279
Fx: 919-684-5230
barton.haynes@duke.edu
89

90   Summary

A critical roadblock to HIV vaccine development is the inability to induce B cell lineages of

broadly neutralizing antibodies (bnAbs) in humans. In people living with HIV-1, bnAbs take

years to develop. The HVTN 133 clinical trial studied a peptide/liposome immunogen targeting

B cell lineages of HIV-1 envelope membrane proximal external region (MPER) bnAbs

(NCT03934541). Here, we report MPER peptide-liposome induction of polyclonal HIV-1 B cell

lineages of mature bnAbs and their precursors, the most potent of which neutralized 15% of

global tier 2 HIV-1 strains and 35% of clade B strains, with lineage initiation after the second

immunization. Neutralization was enhanced by vaccine-selection of improbable mutations that

increased antibody binding to gp41 and lipids. This study demonstrates proof-of-concept for

rapid vaccine induction of human B cell lineages with heterologous neutralizing activity and

selection of antibody improbable mutations, and outlines a path for successful HIV-1 vaccine

development.

103   Introduction

Development of a preventive HIV-1 vaccine is a global priority. However, in ten HIV-1

vaccine efficacy trials, none showed protection from HIV-1 except the RV144 trial in Thailand

that showed 31.2% estimated efficacy that was insufficient to deploy the vaccine [1-4]. Moreover,

a vaccine similar to that used in RV144 was tested against clade C HIV-1 in South Africa and

showed no efficacy [5]. HIV-1 envelope (Env)-containing vaccines tested to date have only

induced non-neutralizing antibodies (nnAbs) [1, 6], and no previous vaccine trials induced broadly

neutralizing antibodies (bnAbs) [3, 7-9]. With the failure of nnAb vaccines, attention has turned to

developing HIV-1 vaccine regimens that can induce heterologous HIV-1 neutralizing antibodies

as a major priority [7, 8].

Most bnAbs identified to date from people living with HIV-1 (PLWH) have unusual traits, such

as long third heavy chain complementary determinant regions (HCDR3s), high levels of rare,

improbable antibody maturation mutations that are required for neutralization breadth [10-12],

and/or polyreactivity with host or environmental antigens [13-15]. The unusual traits required for

bnAb activity can be disfavored by tolerance mechanisms of the immune system, such as

deletion or anergy [16-19]. Moreover, the HIV-1 Env is heavily glycosylated with host, high

mannose and complex glycans that are poorly immunogenic and shield a major portion of the

Env surface [20].

BnAbs protect monkeys from simian human immunodeficiency virus (SHIV) challenges [21-23],

and protect against susceptible HIV-1 strains in humans [24]. One Env target for bnAb induction

is the gp41 membrane proximal external region (MPER) [25]. MPER targeted antibodies are

among bnAbs with the best neutralization breadth [26-30]. The MPER is physically located near

the virion lipid membrane, and the lipid membrane is a component of the epitopes for both

proximal (with the prototype bnAb 2F5, with a core epitope of ELDKWA, located at Env

positions 662-667 in HXB2) and distal MPER bnAbs (the prototype bnAb was 4E10 with a core

epitope of NWFDIT, located at positions 671-676 in HXB2) [13, 31-34]. Binding to lipids has been

proposed to tether the bnAb on the virion membrane to enable binding to gp41 neutralizing

MPER bnAb epitopes upon their exposure by Env receptor-mediated activation [32]. A

neutralization assay that detects MPER bnAb precursors has been developed that promotes

tethering of MPER-reactive antibodies to cell surface via FcγRI/CD64 expression in the TZM-bl

infection indicator cell line [35-37]. While lipid binding is an essential component for the broad

neutralizing activity of MPER bnAbs, induction of antibodies to self-lipids has been a substantial

roadblock in MPER bnAb elicitation [13, 38].

Potent bnAbs develop in PLWH, but only rarely, and after many months to years after

transmission [11, 12, 39, 40]. A strategy for inducing bnAbs, termed B cell lineage immunogen

design, aims to more rapidly induce bnAbs by selecting Envs that can bind with high affinity to

bnAb lineage naïve or unmutated common ancestor (UCA) B cell lineage precursors, and then

guide their bnAb development from early intermediate to mature bnAbs via sequential

immunizations [3, 15]. Immunogens that bind to CD4-binding site UCA B cell receptors can

expand bnAb precursors in animal models [22, 41-43] and now in humans [44]. A second strategy is

epitope-focused immunogen design whereby a linear peptide such as the HIV-1 fusion domain

is targeted by repeated immunizations [36, 45-47]. Wang and colleagues have shown that such

peptide immunogens can expand HIV-1 fusion domain lineage precursors in humans [48].

However, to date, there has been no success in inducing tier 2 neutralizing heterologous

antibodies in humans. We have designed a MPER peptide-liposome with proximal and distal

MPER bnAb epitopes [47, 49, 50] and demonstrated induction of antibodies in rhesus macaques that

neutralize HIV-1 in the MPER bnAb precursor-sensitive TZM-bl/FcγRI neutralization assay, but

did not neutralize HIV-1 in the more rigorous TZM-bl assay [36]. The TZM-bl/FcγRI assay

identifies MPER bnAb precursors by incorporating CD64 (FcγRI) into TZM-bl cells that binds

MPER antibody via Fc and enhances neutralization potency when the neutralizing antibody

paratope binds the MPER bnAb epitope [32].

An additional factor that limits bnAb development is the requirement for bnAbs to accumulate

high levels of appropriate functional improbable mutations—mutations that are essential for

broad neutralization activity in a given lineage but are not frequently made by somatic

hypermutation [10]. During HIV-1 infection, bnAb B cell lineages only develop after years, due in

part to the requirement of multiple rounds of Env escape from coevolving bnAb lineage

intermediates for selecting B cells with B cell receptors encoding the combination of improbable

and probable mutations that are required to achieve heterologous neutralization breadth [11]. B

cell lineage immunogen design seeks to circumvent this problem by designing immunogens

capable of rapidly selecting for improbable bnAb B cell receptor mutations via vaccination, but to

date this has not been accomplished in humans.

Here, we demonstrate that an MPER peptide-liposome that binds to a bnAb unmutated

ancestor (UA) antibody could induce in humans polyclonal MPER epitope-targeted antibodies

that neutralize heterologous HIV-1 strains. The most potent vaccine-induced MPER clonal B

cell lineage underwent affinity maturation after three immunizations to acquire neutralization of

15% of global or heterologous tier 2 HIV-1 strains, and 35% of clade B HIV-1 strains. Moreover,

we demonstrate that the MPER peptide-liposome rapidly selected antibody functional

improbable mutations that were essential for heterologous HIV-1 neutralization and we

determine the contributions of the individual mutations to MPER and lipid binding for mediating

neutralization breadth and potency.

Results

HVTN 133 Clinical Trial

The immunogen used in the HVTN 133 trial was a MPER peptide-liposome designed to bind

to the UA of prototype proximal MPER bnAb, 2F5 [47, 49, 50]. The HVTN 133 (NCT03934541)

clinical trial tested the MPER peptide-liposome in 20 HIV-1 naïve individuals; five vaccinees in a

500 mcg vaccine low dose group and 15 vaccinees in the 2000 mcg vaccine high dose group [51].

The trial enrolled 20 vaccinees and 4 placebo recipients with the aim of immunizing each

individual four times. However, after 20 vaccinees received two immunizations and five

vaccinees received three immunizations, one vaccinee developed an anaphylaxis reaction 4

hours after their third immunization that required antihistamine and steroid treatment for

symptom resolution [51]. This reaction was determined to be most likely due to allergy to

polyethylene glycol (PEG), because of a vaccine-induced rise in titer to PEG, and sensitization

of a vaccinee's neutrophils by PEG antibodies to degranulate upon exposure to vaccine-

matched peptide-liposomes [51].

Nonetheless, the HVTN 133 clinical trial was successful in inducing a 95% serum response

rate to the immunogen and 100% blood CD4+ T cell response rate after two immunizations [51].

Moreover, 13/20 (65%) vaccinees had serum responses after two immunizations that mapped

to the MPER bnAb epitope [51]. Finally, weak heterologous tier 2 HIV-1 neutralizing activity was

detected in serum of 2 of 5 individuals who received three immunizations before the trial was

stopped (49). Here, we have performed a B cell repertoire analysis in the five individuals in

HVTN 133 that received 3 immunizations and determined the characteristics and mechanisms

of neutralization of induced tier 2 heterologous neutralizing antibodies.

Frequency of MPER-reactive B Cells in Blood

First, we studied the peripheral blood B cell repertoire of the 5 vaccinees and one placebo

recipient who received three immunizations for the presence of MPER-reactive (MPER+) B

cells. We isolated 510 B cells from the 5 HVTN133 vaccine trial participants after the 3rd

immunization via antigen-specific single B cell sorting with fluorophore-labeled MPER-peptide

tetramers (**Figures 1a-c**). After expression of B cell receptors (BCRs) as recombinant IgG1

antibodies, we identified 87 MPER+ antibodies that bound to vaccine-matched MPER656

(^{656}NEQELLELDKWASLWNWFNITNWLW^{680}) or MPER.03

(KKK^{656}NEQELLELDKWASLWNWFDITNWLWYI^{682}RKKK) peptides (**Table S1**). For

identification of antibodies that had the highest probability of membership in bnAb B cell

lineages, we assayed for MPER-differential (Δ) binding antibodies that bound to a wild-type

(WT) MPER.03 peptide and had $\geq$2.5-fold reduction in binding to a mutant MPER.03 peptide

with knockout (KO) mutations of MPER bnAb epitopes (D664A, W672A) [36] (**Tables S1-S3**).

MPERΔ antibodies constituted 86% (75/87) of MPER+ antibodies (**Tables S1-S3**). While all five

vaccinees who received three immunizations (133-23, 133-30, 133-33, 133-35 and 133-39)

were responders with regard to induction of serum responses to the immunizing peptide

liposome [51], only vaccinees 133-23 and 133-39 in the low dose group were high responders

regarding isolation of MPER+ memory B cells (**Tables S1-S2**). Immunization with the MPER

peptide-liposome boosted the frequency of MPER+ antibodies from $\leq$ ~1 in 1,000,000 B cells at

baseline to ~1 in 11,100 B cells in vaccinee 133-23 and to ~1 in 25,000 B cells in vaccinee 133-

39 after three immunizations (**Table S2**).

Vaccine-induced MPER+ Antibodies

The variable heavy (V_H) genes used in MPER+ antibodies isolated from MPER+ B cells post-

3rd immunization were polyclonal and predominantly V_H7-4-1 (31/87, 36%) (V_H usage not

previously described for MPER bnAbs); V_H5-51 (30/87, 34%) (used by prototype proximal

MPER bnAbs, m66 and m66.6) [30]; V_H2-5 (10/87, 11%) (used by prototype proximal MPER

bnAb, 2F5) [52]; and V_H3-49 (9/87 (10%) (not previously described for MPER bnAbs) (**Figures 1d-**

1e; Table S4). These V gene frequencies were higher than those observed in 209,523 paired

IgH sequences of antigen-unbiased antibodies in pre-vaccination blood samples from the same

five HVTN 133 participants (**Figure 1d; Table S5**). A diversity of light chains was also observed

in post-vaccination MPER+ antibodies with the most common Vκ genes being Vκ4-1 (24/87,

28%), Vκ1-39 (17/87, 19%) and Vκ3-20 (16/87, 18%) (**Figure 1d; Table S4**). Additionally, while

vaccine-induced MPER+ antibodies had higher median heavy and light chain mutation

frequencies compared with antigen-unbiased antibodies in the same vaccinees prior to

vaccination (**Figures 1f and S1A**), they were not as mutated as prototype MPER bnAbs (8).

MPER+ antibodies using V_H2-5 and V_H3-49 genes had the shortest HCDR3 lengths of 11 and 9

amino acids (aa), respectively, while those using V_H7-4-1 and V_H5-51 genes had longer HCDR3

lengths ranging from 11 to 26 amino acids (**Figures 1g and S1b**).

Polyreactivity is a common trait of MPER bnAbs [13, 31, 53]. MPER bnAb 2F5 cross-reacts with

human protein kynureninase (KYNU) that contains a linear peptide sequence that matches

identically to the core epitope gp41 sequence of 2F5, [662]ELDKWA[667] [53, 54]. Of 87 MPER+

antibodies isolated after the 3rd immunization, 29/87 (33%) demonstrated binding to either

KYNU, clinical autoantigens and/or cardiolipin (**Table S6**). Thirteen of 16 KYNU-reactive

antibodies demonstrated ≥3-fold reduction in binding to a KYNU-KO (D664E) [54] protein that

disrupts the 2F5 epitope on KYNU (referred to as KYNU-Δ binders), and 10/13 KYNU-Δ

antibodies used V_H2-5 also found in 2F5 (**Table S6**). V_H2-5 MPER+ antibodies were most

polyreactive; 10/10 KYNU-Δ and 7/10 were reactive with either cardiolipin or clinical

autoantigens (**Figure 1e; Table S6**). However, in contrast to the 22 aa length of the 2F5 bnAb,

the V_H2-5-using putative 2F5-like Abs had HCDR3s of only 11 aa (**Figure 1g**) and thus were

unlikely to progress to bnAbs status [3].

The 2F5 UA and mature bnAb target the proximal-MPER epitope that includes [664]DKW[666] in

SP62 peptide ([652]QQEKNEQELLELDKWASLWN[671]) [47]. Based on alanine-substituted peptide

mutation analysis, we found that the majority of MPER+ vaccine-induced antibodies (67/87,

77%) bound this MPER bnAb epitope with heterogeneous patterns of epitope reactivity within

[662]ELDKW[666] (**Table S7**). There were no vaccine-induced antibodies isolated that bound to the

distal-MPER bnAb epitope, [671]NWFNIT[676], although this aa sequence was present in the MPER

peptide-liposome.

HIV-1 Neutralization Activity of MPER+ Antibodies

Next, we assayed MPER+ and MPERΔ recombinant antibodies for their ability to neutralize

both easy-to-neutralize tier 1 (W61D, HXB2) and difficult-to-neutralize tier 2 (JRFL, SC44, and

the transmitted-founder virus WITO) clade B HIV-1 strains in both the TZM-bl/FcγRI and TZM-bl

neutralization assays. **Figure 2a** outlines the maturation pathway of MPER bnAbs and the use

of the TZM-bl/FcγRI and TZM-bl neutralization assays to define precursors with limited breadth

in contrast to mature antibodies with bnAb status. From 87 vaccine-induced MPER+ antibodies

that constituted 38 unique clonal lineages, we selected 80 mAbs representative of the 38 clones

and tested them for HIV-1 neutralization in TZM-bl and TZM-bl/FcγRI cells (**Figure 2b**). Forty-

nine of 80 MPER+ antibodies (61%) neutralized tier 1 and/or tier 2 HIV-1 strains in the TZM-

bl/FcγR1 neutralization assay (**Table S8**). These 49 MPER+ neutralizing antibodies constituted

24 clonal lineages from either vaccinee 133-23 or 133-39 and used six different heavy chain

genes (V_H7-4-1, V_H5-51, V_H3-49, V_H2-5, V_H1-69, and V_H3-73) (**Figures 2c, S2; Tables S8-S9**),

with HCDR3 lengths ranging from 9-26 amino acids (**Figure S1c; Tables S8-S9**). Within the 14

B cell clonal lineages that neutralized tier 1 HIV-1 strains in the TZM-bl assay, two V_H7-4-1

clonal lineages, the single member DH1425 nAb and an 11-member clonal lineage, DH1317,

also neutralized heterologous HIV-1 tier 2 strains in the TZM-bl assay (**Figure 2c; Tables S8-**

S9).

TZM-bl Assay Heterologous Tier 2 HIV-1 Neutralization Activity

V_H7-4-1 is an uncommonly used heavy chain gene expressed at less than 2% in the B cell

repertoire (**Table S5**), although it was the most common V_H used among the MPER+ B cell

receptors (BCRs) elicited (**Figure 1d; Tables S3-S4**). Of two alleles, V_H7-4-1*02 is the most

frequently used, and occurs in ~43% of individuals [55]. Poor expression of the V_H7-4-1*01 allele

is due to an unpaired cysteine at heavy chain gene position 92 that compromises Ab expression

[55]. Of the 5 HVTN 133 vaccinees who received three immunizations, only the two best vaccine-

responders, 133-23 and 133-39, had V_H7-4-1 transcript frequencies >1% suggestive of these

vaccine recipients having V_H7-4-1*02 allele. Both vaccinees 133-23 and 133-39 elicited V_H7-4-

1-using tier 2 virus-neutralizing clonal lineages (**Figure S1d; Table S8**).

The V_H7-4-1 neutralizing B cell clonal lineage DH1317 contained 11 IgG MPER+ antibodies

with a 15 aa-long HCDR3 and nucleotide mutation frequencies of ~2-6%, paired with the Vκ1-

12 gene (**Figure S2a; Table S9**). Analysis of the DH1317 clonal lineage members using the

SP62 MPER alanine scanning peptide set demonstrated binding dependence on the D^{664} of the

^{662}ELDKWA^{667} epitope with >50% reduction in binding to D664A peptide (**Figure 3a; Tables S7**

and S10). Five of eleven DH1317 mature clonal lineage members were polyreactive for host

antigens (**Table S6**).

In the standard HIV-1 pseudovirus assay in TZM-bl cells, we found that 8/11 DH1317 B cell

lineage member antibodies and 5 inferred intermediate antibodies neutralized both tier 1 and 2

heterologous HIV-1 strains (**Table S11**), with neutralization potency and breadth enhanced in

TZM-bl/FcγRI cells by 2 orders of magnitude (**Figures 2c-2d, 3b; Table S11**). The DH1317

UCA and 5 additional intermediate antibodies neutralized tiers 1 and 2 HIV-1 strains in TZM-

bl/FcγRI cells, but not in TZM-bl cells (**Table S11**). Thus, the stages of vaccine-induced affinity

maturation of proximal-MPER neutralizing antibody activity include primary acquisition of the

ability to neutralize tier 1 and tier 2 HIV-1 in the TZM-bl/FcγRI assay, followed by neutralization

of tier 1 HIV-1 in the standard TZM-bl assay, and then acquisition of neutralization in the

standard TZM-bl assay of tier 2 HIV-1 strains (**Figure 2a**).

DH1317.4 and DH1317.9 Abs demonstrated the most potent neutralization of tier 2 clade B

HIV-1 strains in TZM-bl cells (**Figure 2c; Table S11**). DH1317.4 neutralized 29/197 (15%)

multiclade HIV-1 strains in the standard TZM-bl assay, including 35% of clade B HIV-1 strains

(**Figures 2e and S3**). Of 125 non-clade B HIV-1 strains, DH1317.4 neutralized two clade G

viruses and one recombinant clade CD virus (**Figure S3**).

To determine if lack of neutralization of non-clade B strains by antibodies DH1317.4 and

DH1317.9 in the standard TZM-bl neutralization assay was due to lack of MPER binding to the

antibody fragment of antigen binding (Fab) paratope or to insufficient virion lipid binding, we

tested neutralizing antibodies DH1317.4 and DH1317.9 for their ability to neutralize non-clade B

viruses in the TZM-bl/FcγRI assay [32]. Both DH1317.4 and DH1317.9 neutralized 7/19 HIV-1

strains in the TZM-bl/FcγRI assay selected for their neutralization resistance in the TZM-bl

assay (**Figure 3b**). The tier 2 HIV-1 strains neutralized in the TZM-bl/FcγRI assay included

clades A (1/2), C (1/3), recombinant AG (2/3) and B (3/5) pseudoviruses, the latter from placebo

recipients who acquired HIV-1 infection in the recent Antibody Mediated Protection (AMP) trials

(NCT02716675, NCT02568215)] (**Figure 3b**). These data demonstrated that the paratope of

DH1317 neutralizing antibodies was in a conformation capable of binding to the neutralizing

gp41 epitope in a subset of resistant HIV-1 strains, but lacked sufficient antibody reactivity with

virion lipid for TZM-bl cells to neutralize these viruses.

One additional single member V_H7-4-1-using lineage, DH1425, was also isolated that

neutralized the tier 2 HIV-1 JRFL strain in the TZM-bl assay with IC50 of 37 µg/ml (**Figure 2c**).

DH1425 has 14 aa-long HCDR3 and uses a Vκ3-20 light chain (**Table S9**). These data

indicated that while bnAb maturation in vaccinated humans in the HVTN 133 trial had

proceeded further towards bnAb breadth and potency than in any previous study in humans, full

neutralizing antibody B cell maturation after three immunizations was incomplete.

Mechanisms of Neutralization By Vaccine-induced Antibodies

The effect of HIV-1 diversity. When compared to prototype bnAbs m66.6 and 2F5,

DH1317.4 had similar neutralization profiles both in terms of correlation of neutralization

potency as well as with overlap of commonly sensitive or resistant viruses (**Figure S4**). An

analysis of Env amino acid signatures of neutralization of 197 HIV-1 strains by DH1317.4

compared to the prototype proximal MPER bnAb, 2F5, demonstrated that DH1317.4 had similar

patterns of neutralization resistance as 2F5, but that 2F5 tolerated more amino acid diversity

within the MPER compared to DH1317.4 (**Figures S5a-S5d**). While 2F5 could neutralize more

viruses containing amino acids [658]K, [659]D, [662]A, [667]N and [668]N than DH1317.4, both bnAbs could

not neutralize viruses with [665]S or [667]K (**Figure S5d**). DH1317 neutralization resistance to non-

clade B strains (**Figure S5e**) could in part be explained by the inability of DH1317 to recognize

MPER bnAb epitope amino acid variability since these clades were enriched for DH1317.4

resistant amino acid signatures (**Figure S5c**). For example, the most common amino acid in

each of these positions in the B clade, which was most sensitive, were the amino acids which

matched the immunogen; Q658, E659, E662, A667, and S668, while the most common amino

acids in the C clade in these positions all differ, and were: K658, D659, A662, N667 and N668.

B clade viruses were most sensitive to 2F5 and DH1317 antibodies, while C clade viruses were

resistant (**Figure S5b**) [56]. Analyzing the impact of sequence diversity at the above signature

sites in the more sensitive TZM-bl/FcγRI assay, we found that while viruses with resistance

mutations D659, A662 and N668 could be neutralized by DH1317.4 and DH1317.9, viruses with

K658, S665 and K667 could not. Notably, all 12 viruses resistant to DH1317.4 or DH1317.9

carried the resistance signature K658, while all 7 sensitive viruses carried the sensitivity

signature Q658 or N658 (p=1.9×10^{-5}, Fisher's exact test) (**Figure S5f**). Similarly, for 2F5 and

m66.6, resistance in the TZM-bl/FcγRI assay was perfectly associated with absence of the

sensitivity signature K665 (p=0.0003, Fisher's exact test). These results together suggest that,

like the prototypical bnAb 2F5, sequence diversity poses a roadblock for DH1317 neutralization

of some major HIV-1 clades, and that some, but not all, of the underlying resistant variants can

be overcome by improved lipid binding (as mimicked in the TZM-bl/FcγRI assay).

The effect of lipid binding. Virion lipid reactivity is a feature of MPER+ bnAbs, with a role for

the CDRH3 hydrophobic loop in lipid binding and neutralization [32]. Crystallographic analysis of

4E10 bnAb bound to lipids led to the identification of a CDRH1 binding motif (CDRH1 site 1) that

interacted with lipid head groups, in addition to the utilization of CDRH3 in binding to the

hydrophobic acyl chain [33]. Examination of the CDRH1 residues in DH1317 lineage, as well as

in other V_H7-4-1 neutralizing antibody members, revealed that the putative lipid head group-

binding CDRH1 sequences were similar (**Figure S6a**). However, the V_H7-4-1 antibodies,

including members of DH1317 lineage, did not bind equally to liposomes containing

phosphatidylglycerol (PG) (**Figures 4a and S6b**), a lipid ligand for the MPER bnAb, 4E10 [33]. It

is notable that antibodies with weaker PG-lipid binding had negatively charged residues

adjacent to the putative CDRH1 lipid head group binding site (**Figure S6a**) that potentially could

impede lipid binding due to charge hindrance. For the heterologous neutralizing antibody clonal

lineage, DH1317, a significant correlation was observed between PG-lipid binding and HIV-1

strain W61D neutralization potency (Kendall's Tau correlation coefficient =0.6363, P=0.0054)

(**Figure 4b**).

We next computed lipid insertion propensity scores (ΔG_{wif}) [36] of amino acids in the CDRs of

each mature DH1317 lineage neutralizing antibody, as well as inferred antibody intermediates

and UCA in the DH1317 lineage (**Table S12**). The ΔG_{wif} scores corresponding to more

favorable lipid insertion propensity were also associated with higher HIV W61D pseudovirus

neutralization potency (**Figure 4c**). We also observed more favorable ΔG_{wif} lipid insertion

scores in the overall set of W61D neutralizing Abs (n=27) compared to non-neutralizing

antibodies (n=56) (**Figure 4d; Table S13**). Regarding ΔG_{wif} total CDR scores, HVTN 133

induced antibodies were more similar to the prototype MPER bnAb, m66.6 than to MPER bnAb

2F5 (**Table S13**).

Each of the DH1317 neutralizing antibodies bound to MPER peptide-liposomes, and were

able to recognize MPER epitopes in the context of the lipid membrane (**Figure S7**). However,

the mode of binding to MPER peptide-liposomes were different when DH1317.4 that neutralized

tier 2 viruses was compared to the DH1317.8 antibody that neutralized only tier 1 viruses in the

TZM-bl assay. Binding of DH1317.4 to MPER liposomes could be fit to a sequential 2-step

conformational change model, a binding profile previously described for MPER bnAbs that

interact sequentially with lipids and MPER epitopes [28, 31, 32, 36], whereas the DH1317.8 could not

(**Figure 4e**). Thus, the heterologous tier 2 neutralizing antibody, DH1317.4, displayed a two-

step binding model similar to that previously reported for prototype proximal and distal MPER

bnAbs (2F5, 4E10, DH511 and 10E8) [28, 50].

Vaccine selection of functional improbable antibody mutations. A major roadblock to bnAb

development is the need for improbable bnAb lineage mutations [10]. We computationally

reconstructed the clonal tree of the DH1317 B cell lineage and identified improbable mutations

(<2% probability of occurrence in germinal centers prior to antigenic selection) acquired during

its maturation. We found that the MPER-peptide liposome selected for a number of improbable

mutations in the DH1317 clone resulting in tier 2 heterologous HIV-1 neutralizing activity in most

members of the DH1317 B cell lineage (**Figure S8**).

To assess the functional relevance of DH1317 clonal lineage mutations, we tested

neutralization of mutant antibodies generated by introducing each individual mutation into the

preceding intermediate (**Figure S9**). No neutralization was observed by the DH1317UCA in the

TZM-bl assay prior to the second intermediate (DH1317I3) in the maturation pathway (**Figure

S9; Tables 1 and S11**). We therefore measured neutralization from the UCA to the first

intermediate (DH1317I4) branch using the TZM-bl/FcγRI assay (**Figure S9; Table 1**). There

were two mutations going from the UCA to the first intermediate antibody; alanine to threonine

at position 51 (A51T) and threonine to proline at position 99 (T99P) in the light chain, and both

were estimated to be improbable. The introduction of A51T or T99P into DH1317 UCA

(DH1317UCA+A51T and DH1317UCA+T99P) resulted in improvement in neutralization potency

for HIV-1 W61D, HXB2 and JRFL strains compared to the UCA alone, with improvement the

greatest with T99P (**Figure S9; Table 1**). The combination of both A51T and T99P (equivalent

to DH1317I4) resulted in neutralization of all three strains tested in the TZM-bl assay as well as

enhanced neutralization in the TZM-bl/FcγRI assay (**Figure S9; Table 1**). The emergence of

the improbable mutation from tyrosine to serine at position 60 (Y60S) near the CDRH2 in the

second intermediate (DH1317I3) resulted in enhanced neutralization of W61D, HXB2 and JRFL

in the TZM-bl and TZM-bl FcγRI assays, thus demonstrating the critical role of Y60S for

acquisition of HIV-1 neutralization breadth. While no single mutation present in the third

intermediate, termed DH1317I2, (S77N, K114T, and A50G) substantially improved

neutralization potency, we observed >2-fold higher potency in DH1317I2 for two out of three

HIV-1 strains tested in the TZM-bl assay, indicating that smaller individual contributions of the

mutations along this branch in the maturation pathway combined to increase potency (**Table 1**).

Of the fourteen mutations between I2 and DH1317.4, only the heavy chain improbable mutation

L72V increased neutralization potency by greater than two-fold for any of the viruses tested

(**Figure S9; Table 1**). Thus, these data demonstrated that vaccination with the MPER peptide-

liposome with three immunizations could select for functional improbable mutations (A51T and

T99P in the light chain, and Y60S and L72V in the heavy chain) required for the development of

heterologous HIV-1 neutralization by DH1317.4 bnAb.

Next the roles of lipid versus protein epitope binding in DH1317.4 bnAb development were

determined. We found that the light chain A51T only minimally increased the binding K_D of the

DH1317 UCA Fab to MPER.03 peptide from 49.9 nM to 24.8 nM, while it enhanced binding

responses to phosphatidylglycerol (PG)-containing lipids (POPC-DOPG liposomes) by

approximately an order of magnitude (**Table 1; Figures S10-S11**). In contrast, light chain T99P

increased the K_D of binding to MPER.03 peptide by 7.6-fold (**Table 1; Figure S10**). The heavy

chain mutations Y60S in I3 and the L72V in DH1317.4 improved binding to MPER peptide, but

not to lipid when compared to that of A51T UCA mutant. However, each intermediate antibody

bound more strongly to PG-lipid than the UCA (**Table 1; Figure S11**). Binding to immunogen

MPER liposomes remained weak for the A51T mutant, while T99P mutant bound more strongly,

and the binding improved in the intermediate antibodies (**Figure S12**). Thus, the predominant

functional role of early light chain mutation, A51T, was for lipid binding while the roles of T99P,

Y60S and L72V were for polypeptide epitope binding demonstrating the critical ability of the

MPER-liposome immunogen to select for functional improbable mutations mediating the two

essential recognition components shared among all MPER bnAbs: MPER peptide and viral lipid

binding.

Structural Analyses of DH1317 Neutralizing Antibodies

We next performed negative-stained electron microscopy (NSEM) on 7 neutralizing B cell

clonal lineages [DH1317, DH1319, DH1322 and DH1346 (V_H7-4-1); DH1321 (V_H5-51); DH1318

(V_H3-49); and DH1316 (V_H2-5)] and 2 prototype bnAbs (m66.6 and 2F5) that mapped to

residues in the MPER bnAb epitope [662]ELDKWA[667] (**Figures 3c, S13 and S14**). We prepared

complexes with a detergent micelle-bound trimer encoding MPER (JRFL SOSIP.683) (**Figure**

S13) [57], and the Fab fragments of the MPER-directed antibodies, and visualized the Fab-trimer

complexes by NSEM (**Figure 3c**). Both the prototypic bnAbs and HVTN 133 antibodies bound

to the MPER epitope in the JRFL trimer in a similar manner. Although considerable flexibility

was observed in the binding of the MPER-directed antibodies to the epitope, the DH1321.1 V_H5-

51 neutralizing antibody appeared similar to the bnAb m66.6, while the DH1318 V_H3-49

neutralizing antibody was the most similar in orientation to the prototype bnAb 2F5. Both m66.6

and 2F5 prototype bnAbs have long HCDR3s [30]. Similar orientations of the most potent

neutralizing antibody, DH1317.4 were seen when NSEM was performed on JRFL Env with both

transmembrane and cytoplasmic domain regions (**Figure S15**).

Next, we used cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) to determine a higher resolution structure

of the heterologous neutralizing antibody DH1317.4 in complex with a stabilized, full-length

JRFL Env trimer that included the ectodomain, as well as the transmembrane and cytoplasmic

domains (**Figure S16 and Table S14**). The full-length Env was purified from the cell membrane

of HEK293 GNT1- cells following detergent solubilization, with VRC01 Fab and PGT145 IgG

added during the purification by binding to a Protein A column, followed by on-column

proteolysis to remove the PGT145 Fc and release the Env bound to VRC01 and PGT145 Fabs

(see methods for details). Cryo-EM structures were determined for DH1317.4 bound to the

purified JRFL Env complex. Reference free 2D classification yielded diverse views of the

complex and revealed classes that showed DH1317.4 Fab moieties bound to the Env, along

with VRC01 bound at the CD4 binding site and PGT145 bound to the Env trimer apex. Three

distinct populations of the Env complex were identified in the cryo-EM dataset, each differed in

the relative order of the bound DH1317.4 observed at each of the three binding sites. All three

binding sites were occupied by DH1317.4 in the trimer populations observed. We did not

observe any Env trimer populations where DH1317.4 was not bound. These results

demonstrate the compatibility of DH1317.4 binding to a pre-fusion closed HIV-1 Env SOSIP

trimer. Some of the bound DH1317.4 Fabs were well-ordered with the entire Fab clearly visible

in the cryo-EM reconstruction, whereas others were less well ordered farther from the epitope,

with some binding sites where only the epitope proximal part of the bound DH1317.4 Fab was

visible. These cryo-EM reconstructions were indicative of differential mobility and flexibility

between the Env ectodomain and the membrane-proximal regions, which is consistent with

reports that describe tilting of the Env ectodomain in the context of the lipid membrane [58].

A cryo-EM reconstruction of DH1317.4 Fab bound to the HIV-1 Env trimer (also bound to

VRC01 and PGT145) was resolved to 6.7 Å. The bound DH1317.4 showed a considerable

interface with the transmembrane/micelle region, which appeared as a disordered fuzzy density

near the trimer base, where the viral membrane would be located in a membrane-bound HIV-1

Env trimer. The approach of the bound DH1317.4 revealed proximity to the gp41 glycan N611,

which would have been in the way of the approaching Fab and was displaced from its canonical

position and redirected to allow binding of DH1317.4

To obtain high resolution definition of the structural basis for the epitope recognition of

MPER-directed antibodies elicited in this study, we co-crystallized Fab fragments of neutralizing

antibody lineages DH1317, DH1322 and DH1346 V_H7-4-1 in complex with gp41 MPER peptides

(**Figures 5 and S17; Table S15**). Structures of DH1317.8 and DH1322.1 Fabs were

determined to 2.4 and 2.0 Å resolution, respectively, in complex with proximal-MPER peptides

spanning gp41 residues 652-671 and 651-671, respectively. The structure of clone DH1346

was determined to 2.0 Å resolution in complex with an extended MPER peptide spanning gp41

residues 656-683 (**Figures 5-6 and S17-S18; Tables S15-S16**).

All three V_H7-4-1 Abs recognized a similar helical conformation of the gp41 proximal-MPER

epitope (**Figures 5a, 6a and S17**). Ordered regions of the bound peptides commenced with

residues N656 or E657 and extended to residues W670, N671, and K683 for DH1317.8,

DH1322.1, and DH1346, respectively. In total, buried interfaces on MPER ranged from 709-865

$Å^2$, while those on the antibodies ranged from 615-798 $Å^2$, with the heavy and light chains

accounted for 70-80% and 20-30% of the interfaces, respectively **(Figures 5f and 6d-6e; Table**

S16). Superposition of the three complexes by aligning their common V_H7-4-1 regions revealed

that the three neutralizing antibodies approached MPER from similar angles and recognized the

same face of the proximal-MPER helix, one that included residue D664 (**Figure 5d**). Closer

examination of D664 interactions revealed that it nestled into a pocket formed between the

antibody heavy and light chains in all three neutralizing antibodies **(Figure S18a)**. D664

interactions within this pocket were anchored by a salt bridge in all three complexes, although in

each case mediated by distinct antibody arginine residues: HCDR3 R97 in DH1317.8, LCDR3

R95a in DH1322.1, and LCDR3 R91 in DH1346 **(Figures 5d-5e, and S18a)**.

Since the disparate light chains and HCDR3 loops of DH1317.8, DH1322.1 and DH1346 did

not alter their common modes of MPER recognition, we next assessed the contribution of their

shared V_H7-4-1 genes to epitope recognition, and found a nearly identical set of residues across

the three antibodies (**Figure 5f**). These interfacial residues fell predominantly within V_H7-4-1-

encoded HCDR1 and HCDR2 loops, and exhibited similar patterns of residue buried surface

areas (**Figure 5f**). While ~4 out of 9 of these V_H7-4-1 residue positions exhibited somatic

mutations, contacts between them and gp41 were nonetheless conserved (**Figures 5f-5g**).

Specifically, HCDR1 residues contacted gp41 residues E657 and Q658 in all three complexes,

while HCDR2 residues commonly contacted gp41 residues Q658, L661, E662, and K665 in all

three neutralizing antibodies (**Figure 5g**). At these sites, common amino acid variants Q658K,

E662A, and K665S were associated with resistance to DH1317 bNAbs (**Figure S5**). Thus, the

x-ray crystallographic structural analysis of a polyclonal set of V_H7-4-1-using neutralizing

antibodies revealed a novel shared mode of proximal-MPER recognition that is permissive for

use of diverse light chains, and diverse heavy chain-encoded CDR3 loops.

We next compared the modes of proximal-MPER recognition of the V_H7-4-1-using

neutralizing antibodies against those of previously characterized antibodies that target this

region; an MPER bnAb-precursor antibody, DH570, derived from a rhesus macaque immunized

with the MPER peptide-liposome that neutralized HIV-1 in the TZM-bl/FcγRI assay (PDB 5DD0)

[36], and the prototype proximal MPER bnAbs m66 (PDB 4NRX) and 2F5 (PDB 1TJI) (**Figure 6**)

[30, 59, 60]. DH570-bound MPER adopted an exclusively helical conformation, similar to that of V_H7-

4-1-using neutralizing antibodies, while m66-bound MPER adopted a structurally hybrid

conformation that is helical between residues 658-664 but a coil otherwise (**Figure 6a**) (PDB

4NRX) [59]. 2F5-bound MPER exclusively adopted an extended coil conformation (**Figure 6a**)

(PDB 1TJI) [60]. Superposition of the helical regions of DH570- and m66-bound epitopes to that

of V_H7-4-1 neutralizing antibody DH1322.1, which was used as a reference, revealed that

DH570 approached from a similar direction as the V_H7-4-1 using neutralizing antibodies, while

m66 approached a face of the proximal-MPER helix that was opposite to the V_H7-4-1 using

neutralizing antibodies **(Figures 6b-c)**. Alignment of structurally homologous regions of bound

2F5 peptide to bound m66 peptide (namely residues 664-666) suggested that 2F5 approached

the gp41 MPER from a direction similar to that of m66 when oriented in this manner (**Figures**

6a-c). Indeed, analysis of contact buried surface areas (BSA) along each MPER residue for the

three V_H7-4-1-using neutralizing antibodies as well as for prototype proximal-MPER antibodies

DH570, m66, and 2F5 revealed the three V_H7-4-1-using neutralizing antibodies mediated

interactions with a nearly identical set of residues on gp41 and with similar degrees of buried

surface per residue, while MPER interface residues of DH570, m66, and 2F5 exhibited a pattern

of interaction distinct from that observed for the V_H7-4-1-using neutralizing antibodies (**Figures**

6d-6e). Thus, while DH570 and m66 were similar to V_H7-4-1 antibodies in that they recognized

fully or partially helical conformations of proximal MPER, and in the case of DH570 also

approached from a similar direction, the two antibodies, as well as 2F5, interacted with sets of

residues on MPER that were distinct from those bound by V_H7-4-1-using neutralizing antibodies

(**Figure 6d-6e**).

Thus, our structural studies provide a comprehensive visualization across different resolution

scales for how the MPER-directed antibodies that were elicited by vaccination in this study

interact with their Env epitope.

Lineage Tracing of MPER+ Neutralizing B Cells

Of the 24 vaccine-induced MPER+ neutralizing B cell clones detected post-3rd immunization

in the two vaccine responders 133-23 and 133-39 (**Table S9**), we found clonal relatives for 20 of

these clones over time via Illumina NGS (**Tables S17-S18**). Two clones were detected as early

as post-1st immunization (visit 3), 11 clones were detected post-2nd immunization (visit 5) and 7

clones were detected only post-3rd immunization (visit 7) (**Table S18**). In vaccinee 133-23, we

found a total of 66 unique VH reads that were clonally-related to DH1317 at both post 2nd and

3rd immunizations (**Table S18**). Of 66 DH1317-like VH reads, 45 (68%) had Y60S, and 2 (3%)

had L72V after three immunizations, improbable mutations that were associated with DH1317.4

bnAb maturation (**Table S19**). These data demonstrated that vaccine-induced MPER+ bnAb B

cell lineages such as DH1317 can be initiated after two immunizations.

Discussion

Here, we have demonstrated that a vaccine containing HIV-1 gp41 MPER peptide-liposomes

induced in humans a polyclonal MPER-directed B cell repertoire response made up of both

heterologous neutralizing antibody B cell clones and their precursors. Each of the neutralizing B

cell clones were active against heterologous tiers 1 or 2 HIV-1 strains in TZM-bl/FcγRI cells and

58% (14/24) of these neutralizing clonal lineages also were active against heterologous HIV-1

strains in the standard TZM-bl neutralization assay. In one of five individuals who received 3

immunizations before the trial was stopped due to a PEG-associated anaphylaxis reaction, the

most potent B cell clonal lineage was primarily targeted to clade B with 35% of clade B viruses

neutralized in the TZM-bl assay (**Figure S3**). Lack of neutralization of clade A, C, and AG HIV-1

strains (**Figure 3b**) was due to either insufficient lipid tethering or to MPER sequence diversity.

Thus, in the HVTN 133 clinical trial, the induced MPER bnAb lineages were at early to late

intermediate stages of MPER HIV-1 bnAb development (**Figure 2a**).

There are multiple important implications from this study. We and others have previously

demonstrated in MPER UA or mature bnAb knock-in (KI) mice that full maturation of MPER

bnAbs is limited by immune tolerance, both in the bone marrow and in peripheral B cell

development stages [19, 36, 38, 53]. While the distal-MPER bnAb 4E10 maturation in 4E10 KI mouse

was limited by tolerance to lipids, the proximal MPER bnAb in 2F5 was limited by tolerance to

the tryptophan enzyme, kynureninase [662]ELDKWA[667] sequence [36, 53, 54]. That MPER antibodies

that bound to lipids were induced in HVTN 133 suggests that vaccine-induced lipid-reactive

antibodies are not controlled by tolerance to lipids at least to the stage of maturation of

neutralizing antibody development reported here. Thus, one hypothesis is that reformulation of

the MPER peptide-liposome without PEG and performance of additional boosting may increase

neutralizing antibody affinity and acquisition of additional heterologous neutralization breadth

and potency. Reformulation without PEG has been accomplished and clinical trial preparations

are underway.

The MPER peptide-liposome was designed to target the 2F5 UA to induce 2F5-like MPER

bnAbs [47]. While 11% of MPER+ antibodies selected by the MPER peptide-liposome used the

V_H2-5 gene also used by bnAb 2F5 and some cross-reacted with kynureninase, none had

HCDR3s longer than 11 aa, directly demonstrating that vaccine induced V_H2-5, 2F5-like Abs

with long HCDR3s were disfavored. In contrast, V_H7-4-1 heterologous neutralizing antibodies

were positively selected by the MPER peptide-liposome. That tier 2 virus-neutralizing V_H7-4-1 B

cell clonal lineages had a degree of lipid membrane binding that correlated with neutralization

potency, raised the hypothesis that lipids may be required to be in subsequent boosting

immunogens. Only a subset of individuals are predicted to make V_H7-4-1 utilizing MPER bnAb

lineages [55], thus it is important that the MPER peptide-liposome induced a polyclonal

neutralizing antibody response utilizing multiple heavy chain genes.

The field of HIV-1 vaccine development is working with several UCA-targeting immunogens

to induce putative precursors of bnAbs [41, 44, 61, 62] in order to use sequential boosting

immunogens [15] to select for improbable mutations [10] and induce full bnAb maturation. However,

since only putative precursors have been induced thus far in these cases, it will not be certain

such precursors have the capability to mature to potent and broad heterologous neutralization

capacity until this has been accomplished in humans. In contrast, here we demonstrate that the

putative MPER bnAb precursors in the DH1317 B cell clonal lineage that neutralized only in the

TZM-bl/FcγRI assay, underwent affinity maturation to neutralize tier 2 heterologous HIV-1 in the

TZM-bl assay, thus proving their bnAb precursor status (**Figure 2a**).

Implications for future vaccine design. This study has important implications for future

vaccine design. First, it has provided insights into the sequence of events that transpire when

MPER bnAbs develop. Second, given the concern that MPER bnAbs are autoreactive and have long HCDR3 regions and thus will be disfavored for their induction and lineage maturation, it was encouraging to observe that while very autoreactive antibodies with long HCDR3 regions were not induced, other heterologous neutralizing B cell lineages were readily induced. Third, it has provided templates upon which to design new immunogens to boost such MPER bnAbs once induced, since the induced bnAbs in this study, while neutralizing tier 2 HIV-1 strains, do so with less breadth and potency than that will be needed by a successful HIV-1 vaccine. Thus, work is underway to design mRNAs that encode an MPER immunogen that can bind to the DH1317 lineage members and thus are likely to boost such memory B cells. Fourth, this study demonstrated the clinical limitations of the use of PEG to stabilize MPER peptide-liposomes and has stimulated the development of PEG-less immunogen versions that will be used to prime B cell responses in a new clinical trial. Fifth, prototype bnAbs 2F5, m66.6, and the antibodies induced in HVTN 133 shared breadth limitations and clade specificity, because of the distinctive variability of this epitope in different clades. The Env resistance signatures identified in this study provide a roadmap for design of boost immunogens that can improve upon the breadth of currently induced responses by exposing such resistance signatures in sequential immunogens. Alternatively, separate lineages of proximal MPER targeting antibodies may need to be induced tailored to the very distinctive form of the epitope in the C clade that dominates much of the HIV-1 pandemic, or this proximal MPER region epitope can be used in conjunction with strategies that also induce antibodies to the distal MPER region epitope that confers more global breadth. Finally, a major roadblock to induction of mature bnAbs is the length of time it takes for a HIV-1 induced bnAb B cell lineage to acquire the functional improbable mutations required to achieve bnAb breadth in the setting of HIV-1 infection. Here, we have demonstrated that a vaccine can indeed select for functional improbable mutations in bnAb B cell lineages and do so after only 2-3 immunizations. Thus, this study has provided important insights into the design and feasibility of HIV-1 vaccine development efforts to induce bnAbs.

Limitations of the study. This study was limited by the interruption of the HVTN 133 trial

and thus by the limited number of trial participants who received three immunizations.

Secondly, only 2 of the 5 vaccinees were high responders to the vaccine, and they both had the

VH7-4-1*02 allele that allowed them to make VH7-4-1 neutralizing antibody B cell lineages.

Thus, it appears that not every vaccinee will be able to make a VH7-4-1 MPER bnAb response.

Another potential limitation of the HVTN 133 clinical trial was that in a companion paper, we only

observed weak serum neutralizing antibody responses that required affinity purification of the

MPER+ antibodies [51]. However, this is not necessarily a negative finding, given the necessity of

keeping Env-stimulated memory B cells in germinal centers for long periods of time for

accumulation of levels of mutations required for neutralizing antibody breadth and potency,

rather than stimulating B cell end stage differentiation to plasma cells with secretion of high

levels of serum antibody.

In summary, HIV-1 envelope-reactive B cell lineages with heterologous neutralizing breadth

have been induced in humans by an MPER-targeted HIV-1 immunogen. While most of the

induced neutralizing antibodies did not broadly neutralize tier 2 HIV-1 strains, some antibodies

unequivocally neutralized tier 2 heterologous HIV-1, thus proving that such antibodies can

indeed be induced in humans by vaccination. Moreover, these data suggested that a similar

lipid-gp41 complex may be designed to complete bnAb maturation and provides a pathway for

iterative development of HIV-1 vaccine immunogens that can induce broad and potent MPER

bnAbs.

Acknowledgments. Supported by the HHS, NIH, NIAID, Division of AIDS, Center for HIV/AIDS

Vaccine Immunology-Immunogen Discovery (CHAVI-ID) grant AI100645, by the HHS, NIH,

NIAID, Division of AIDS Consortia for HIV/AIDS Vaccine Discovery (CHAVD) grant AI144371,

by the HHS, NIH, NIAID, Center for HIV Structural Biology grant U54 AI170752, and by a

Collaboration for AIDS Vaccine Development (CAVD) grant, OPP1094352/INV-007688, from

the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. We thank the DHVI program and finance teams for grant

management, including Kelly Cuttle, Jordan Cocchiaro, Daniel Tonkin and Whitney Edwards-

Beck. We would like to thank Ken Cronin for technical support with Fab preparation, SPR

epitope mapping and affinity measurements; Jongln Hwang, Aria Arus-Altuz, Xiaozhi Lu, and

Dapeng Li for technical support with flow cytometry B cell isolation and PCR amplification of Abs

from sorted B cells; Sommer Holmes for technical support on recombinant Ab expression; and

Ryan Tuck for support with making figures and tables. BCR repertoire sequencing (10X

Genomics) was done by the DHVI Viral Sequencing Analysis Core facility, and Duke University

Genomic and Computational Biology Core Facility. X-ray crystallography data were collected at

Southeast Regional Collaborative Access Team (SER-CAT) 22-ID beamline at the Advanced

Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. Use of the Advanced Photon Source was

supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy

Sciences, under Contract No. W-31-109-Eng-38. Use of the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation

Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, was supported by the U.S. Department of

Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Contract No. DE-AC02-

76SF00515.

Author Contributions. BFH conceived study, designed immunogen, reviewed all data, and

wrote the paper; SMA designed immunogen, performed experiments, and edited the paper; WW

oversaw isolation of Abs and BCR sequencing and repertoire analysis, collated data from

across different labs, and wrote the paper; GO oversaw crystallography structural analysis; PA

oversaw cryo-EM structural analyses and Env protein production for NSEM and cryo-EM; NE,

SRW, and LRB were PIs of HVTN 133; DM, MS, and AE performed neutralization assays; RJE

and KM performed NSEM; DWC and MM performed assays for B cell isolation; IM, TE and YC

performed assays for BCR sequencing; RP, MB, and AF performed serum experiments and/or

characterized Abs for binding and epitope mapping; KA performed immunogen antigenicity

testing, preparation of phospholipid containing liposomes, and SPR/BLI measurements and

analysis of Ab binding to lipids and to MPER liposomes; PP prepared the Fabs and performed

the affinity measurements curve fitting analyses. SS, XH, JL and SF produced Envs for NSEM

and cryoEM analysis; RP and KJ performed specimen optimization and screening, and

collected cryo-EM data; AN, BMJ, and AA performed x-ray crystallography; MB, HK, EV and

KW performed computational analysis; KOS oversaw recombinant protein expression; CBF

provided PEGylated liposome for vaccine immunogen; KWC, MJE and LC oversaw the clinical

trial and lab analyses by the HVTN.

Conflicts of interest: BFH, SMA, KOS and BK are on patents related to MPER peptide

immunogens. CBF is an inventor on patents associated with PEGylated liposome compositions.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. HVTN 133 Clinical Trial Elicited a Polyclonal MPER+ Antibody Response. (a)
Immunization (IMM) schedule for HVTN 133. The timeline for IMMs in months (M) is indicated.

Peripheral blood was collected from the participants at two weeks after each IMM for

characterization of vaccine-induced responses. B cell repertoire analyses reported in this study

was done on peripheral blood cells (PBMCs) collected 2 weeks post-3rd IMM. The trial was

halted after one vaccine recipient developed an anaphylactic reaction 4 hours post-3rd IMM. All

participants received the 2nd IMM, but only five vaccine recipients received the 3rd IMM. **(b)**

Vaccine recipients were divided into two groups based on Alum dosing of 500 or 2000 mcg.

There were four placebo recipients across both groups. **(c)** Schema for B cell repertoire analysis

performed on PBMCs from 5 HVTN 133 vaccinees who received three IMMs. Boxed area:

MPER+ B cells were flow sorted and Ig VH and VL genes recovered from each single sorted B

cells to generate recombinant antibodies (Abs) for binding and functional screens. The top 4 V$_H$

genes used by MPER+ Abs were V_H2-5, V_H3-49, V_H5-51 and V_H7-4-1, characteristic of a

polyclonal response. **(d)** Frequency of immunoglobulin (Ig) genes used by MPER+ Abs isolated

from blood in HVTN 133 vaccine recipients post-3rd IMM. For comparison, we studied antigen-

unbiased B cell receptor (BCR) repertoire pre-IMM in all five vaccinees. Data shown reflect

individual Ig gene usages; unpaired heavy and light chain genes. From our reference dataset of

antigen-naïve total BCR repertoires, we report only the Ig genes used by MPER+ Abs in the

HVTN 133 vaccinees. The total number of Abs studied per group is listed in the figure key. Not

shown: immunogenetics of two MPER+ Abs at baseline from 2/5 vaccinees studied (133-30 and

133-23). **(e)** Tally of Abs isolated post-3rd immunization that were categorized based on

predominant V_H gene used by MPER+ Abs, and frequency of MPER+ Abs tested for different

binding specificities; MPERΔ bnAb precursor phenotype and cross-reactivity to non-HIV

antigens (Kynu, Cardiolipin and autoantigens) – see also Tables S3 and S6. All Abs were tested

for MPER binding phenotypes and Kynu-reactivity, and a majority of the Abs were tested for

cross-reactivity with cardiolipin and autoantigens based on Ab availability. **(f)** Mutation

frequency of the predominant V_H genes used by MPER+ Abs. Each dot represents a single Ab.

Error bars represent the median and interquartile range (GraphPad Prism, v9.0). **(g)** CDR3

length distributions of predominant V_H genes used by MPER+ Abs. HCDR3 length is reported in

amino acids (x-axis) and the frequency (%) of Abs with the indicated amino acid length is shown

on the y-axis.

Figure 2. Neutralization Breadth Profile of Vaccine-induced DH1317 BnAb. (a) Schematic

outlining maturation pathway for MPER bnAbs. **(b)** Flowchart summarizing the neutralization

screens for MPER+ recombinant Abs isolated from HVTN133 vaccine recipients post-3rd

immunization. Eighty unique Abs representative of 83 total MPER+ Abs were tested for

neutralization. Three additional MPER+ Abs were not tested for neutralization due to low Ab

yields following 293i cell transfections. **(c)** Neutralization profile of 49/80 MPER+ Abs that

neutralized tier 1 or 2 clade B HIV-1 strains in TZM-bl/FcγRI or TZM-bl assays. Neutralization

titers were measured in IC50 (µg/ml). **(d)** Neutralization curves against tier 1 and 2 clade B HIV-

1 strains for representative DH1317 lineage Abs; DH1317.4 and DH1317.9. Neutralization was

performed in the TZM-bl and TZM-bl/FcgRI assays. Data shown are from single or duplicate

experiments; the mean titers are shown for results from duplicate experiments. **(e)** Summary of

neutralization breadth for one representative member (DH1317.4) of a V_H7-4-1-using DH1317

clonal lineage of Abs that demonstrated neutralization of heterologous tier 1 and 2 HIV-1 strains

in panel c.

Figure 3. MPER+ NAbs Mapped to Proximal BnAb Epitopes. (a) *(Left)* A summary of

neutralization profile and heavy chain gene used by the MPER+ neutralizing antibodies (nAbs).

(Right) Mapping of MPER+ nAbs to proximal MPER bnAb epitope in SP62 peptides. Binding

was measured in ELISA and reported as Log AUC as shown in the heatmap. Binding sensitivity

or epitope mapping to a specific residue in SP62 tested were based on ≥50% reduction in

binding to single alanine-scanned mutant peptides compared to the wild-type. **(b)** Neutralization

titers of DH1317.4, DH1317.9 and MPER proximal bnAbs m66.6 and 2F5 tested against a panel

of clade B HIV-1 strains from the AMP trial and non-clade B HIV-1 strains. Neutralization titers

shown were measured in the TZM-bl/FcγRI assay and titers reported as IC50 and IC80 (µg/ml).

DH1317.4 and DH1317.9 did not neutralize these viruses with titers <50µg/ml in the TZM-bl

assay (see Figure S3). **(c)** NSEM maps for 11 MPER-directed Abs shown in side and bottom

views. The Env trimer, excluding the MPER domain is colored gray, the MPER region with

partial Fab density is colored green, and the MPER Fabs are colored according to their heavy

chain gene as follows: V_H5-51 = pink; V_H2-5 = sky blue; V_H3-49 = gold, and V_H7-4-1 = brown.

Figure 4. Lipid Reactivity Profiles of DH1317 Lineage Antibodies. (a) Binding of each

indicated antibody (Ab) at 100µg/mL to POPC:DOPG (25:75) liposomes were measured by

surface plasmon resonance (SPR) and plotted data show binding responses in response units

(RU) normalized to control surface (lipophilic linker) and capture level of liposomes. Values and

error bars represent the average and standard deviation of three measurements. **(b)** Plot of

phosphatidylglycerol lipid (PC:PG) binding response in RU versus W61D pseudovirus

neutralization IC80 values of each of the DH1317 lineage Abs. DH1317 UCA IC80 of >50 µg/mL

is plotted with a given value of 100 µg/mL. Phosphatidylgycerol lipid containing binary liposomes

were prepared using phospholipids POPC and DOPG as described in methods and binding

response to DH1317 Abs were measured using SPR analysis. The plotted PC:PG binding

responses are mean values of three independent experiments. Kendall's Tau correlation

coefficient =0.6363, P=0.0054 for both IC80 and IC50 values. **(c)** Computed lipid insertion

propensity scores ΔG_{wif} of HCDR1, 2 and 3 (summed) versus IC50 (µg/mL) W61D neutralization

in the TZM-bl assay. Computed lipid insertion propensity scores ΔG_{wif} (kcal/mol) for HCDR1, 2

and 3 (summed) is positively correlated with log W61D neutralization potency for DH1317 clonal

members (R = 0.61, P = 0.0043, Pearson correlation). Lower ΔG_{wif} scores correspond to more

favorable lipid insertion propensity. Isolated members of the DH1317 clone are shown in blue,

inferred intermediate Abs in yellow, and inferred UCA in red. DH1317I6 and I7 appear as a

single dot due to identical ΔG_{wif} scores (-0.99) and almost identical neutralization values (0.598

and 0.595, respectively). Comprehensive ΔG_{wif} scores of all antibodies within the DH1317 clonal

lineage are detailed in Table S12, and corresponding neutralization values are available in

Table S11. **(d)** Computed lipid insertion propensity scores ΔG_{wif} (kcal/mol) for HCDR1, 2 and 3

(summed) are significantly higher (less favorable for lipid insertion) in W61D nnAbs (blue) than

nAbs (red). ** p<0.01; Mann-Whitney test. The ΔG_{wif} score is based on free energy of binding,

where negative free energy is more favorable. **(e)** Biolayer interferometry (BLI) binding of

DH1317.4 heterologous tier 2 nAb (top) or DH1317.8 (bottom) tier 1 only nAb Fabs to MPER

liposomes fitted to the 2-step conformational change model. SPR binding curve (black) in each

panel is overlaid with resolved component curves representing the encounter (first step,

green) and the docked complexes (2nd step, blue).

Figure 5. Structural Analysis of the Polyclonal V$_H$7-4-1 Antibody Response to the MPER Peptide-Liposome in Vaccinee 133-23. (a) Structures of neutralizing antibodies (nAbs) DH1317.8, DH1322.1, and DH1346 in complex with MPER peptides, with variable regions shown in two orientations. **(b)** Helical wheel representations of MPER with nAb contacting faces shown in red. **(c).** Overlay of Ab complexes based on alignment of common V$_H$7-4-1 regions, shown in same orientations and colors as in panel a. **(d)** Salt bridge interactions between MPER residue D664 and nAbs DH1317.8, DH1322.1, and DH1346. **(e)** Paratope interfaces on DH1317.8, DH1322.1, and DH1346 mapped orange on heavy chains and dark blue on light chains, shown in same orientation as in panel a (top). **(f)** Sequence alignment of the heavy chain regions of DH1317.4, DH1317.8, DH1322.1, and DH1346 against V$_H$7-4-1 germline gene, with interface residue BSAs plotted as bars above. **(g)** V$_H$7-4-1-encoded HCDR1 and HCDR2 loops in DH1317.8, DH1322.1, and DH1346 adopt similar conformations and interact with the same set of MPER residues, underpinning common modes of Ab recognition.

Figure 6. Structural Comparison of Antibody Recognition of Proximal Gp41 MPER. (a) DH1317.8, DH1322.1, DH1346, DH570 (5DD0), m66 (4NRX), and 2F5 (1TJI)-bound conformations of proximal gp41 MPER (yellow), oriented based on Ca alignments to reference DH1322.1-bound MPER. R.m.s.d. values shown for residue ranges used in the alignments. The epitope of 2F5 is shown based on alignment to residues 664-666 of m66-bound MPER. **(b)** Antibody (Ab) variable region structures bound to MPER, orientated based on bound MPER peptides as shown in panel a. **(c)** Overlay of MPER-bound Ab variable region structures shown in same orientations and colors as in panel b (top), and rotated ~90° (bottom). **(d)** Plots of interface residue buried surface areas (BSA) along proximal MPER for DH1317.8, DH1322.1, and DH1346 (top) and DH570, m66, and 2F5 (bottom). **(e)** Pairwise correlation analysis of buried surface areas (BSA) of shared MPER interface residues between V$_H$7-4-1-using nAbs themselves (upper panels) and with reference Abs DH570, m66, and 2F5 (lower panels).

804 **Tables**

805 **Table 1. Functional Improbable Mutation Analysis in the DH1317 B Cell Clonal Lineage**

Antibodies Tested	Neutralization Titer (IC50, µg/ml)				Binding Analysis	
	TZM-bl		TZM-bl/ FcγRI		MPER peptide (KD, nM)	POPC-DOPG Liposome (Response units)
	HXB2	W61D	HXB2	W61D		
DH1317 UCA	>50	>50	1.1	>50	49.9	0.03
DH1317 UCA A5IT	>50	>50	1.4	10	24.8	0.21
DH1317 UCA T99P	29	>50	0.01	0.02	6.61	0.06
DH1317 I4	36	35	0.015	1.4	3.26	0.15
DH1317 I3	12	10	0.006	0.013	1.12	0.11
DH1317 I2	3.7	3.1	0.002	0.012	1.57	0.22
DH1317 I2 L72V	2.8	1.1	0.0002	0.0008	0.69	0.18
DH1317.4	0.9	0.15	0.002	0.0018	0.25	0.18

Shown in the table are the results from the assessment of mutations that mediated DH1317 B

cell clonal lineage maturation for heterologous HIV-1 neutralizing activity and binding kinetics.

For DH1317 Ab lineage binding to phosphatidylglycerol (PG)-containing lipids (POPC-DOPG

liposomes), binding of each indicated mAb at 100ug/mL to POPC:DOPG (25:75) liposomes

were measured by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) and plotted data show binding responses

in RU normalized to control surface (lipophilic linker) and capture level of liposomes. Values

represent the average of two measurements.

STAR Methods

Vaccine Participants

In the HVTN 133 clinical trial (NCT03934541) twenty-four participants, including 20 vaccine and

4 placebo recipients, were enrolled and scheduled to receive four immunizations of the MPER-

656 peptide/liposome immunogen. Vaccinees received either a low (group T1, 500 mcg) or

high (group T2, 2000 mcg) MPER peptide-liposome dose regimen (**Figures 1A-1B**). Before

completion of the scheduled vaccinations, however, the study was halted due to safety

concerns. 20 participants received 2 MPER peptide liposome doses, and 5 received 5 MPER

peptide-liposome doses prior to trial being halted. Informed consent was obtained by all

participants and the trial was approved by the Patient Review Safety Committee at NIAID.

Ethical oversight - All ethical oversight and monitoring for implementation/running of the trial

itself was through HVTN and Sponsor (DAIDS) standard operating procedures. The protocol

was reviewed and approved by the institutional review boards at all sites (Brigham and

Women's Hospital, Fenway, Columbia University, New York Blood Center, University of

Alabama Birmingham, and Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center) and written informed

consent was obtained from each participant prior to enrollment. When the trial was halted, the

event was reviewed by the Protocol Safety Review Team (PSRT)—which includes the

investigators (protocol Chairs), protocol leadership, and clinical safety specialists, as well as by

the HVTN Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB).

Sample/patient identifiers - The numbers provided in the publication are not sample or

patient IDs. They are specifically HVTN-provided "PubID" ("Publication ID") numbers-generated

by HVTN as an extra layer of coding, beyond the already coded PTID #s. They are intended for

use in presentations and publications.

Flow Cytometry Sorting of MPER+ B Cells

B Cell Sorting. Sorts of MPER-specific memory B cells were conducted as previously described

[36, 63]. Briefly, cryopreserved PBMCs were thawed and counted. Cells were labeled with

optimized concentrations of the following fluorochrome-mAb conjugates: PerCP-Cy5.5 anti-

human IgM (clone G20-127, BD Biosciences), PE anti-human IgD (clone IA6-2, BD

Biosciences), PE-CF594 anti-human CD10 (clone HI10A, BD Biosciences), PE-Cy5 anti-human

CD3 (HIT3a, BD Biosciences), PE-Cy5 anti-human CD235a (clone GA-R2, BD Biosciences),

PE-Cy7 anti-human CD27 (clone O323, ThermoFisher), Alexa Fluor 700 anti-human CD38

(clone HB7, BD Biosciences), APC-Cy7 anti-human CD19 (clone SJ25C1, BD Biosciences),

BV570 anti-human CD16 (clone 3G8, Biolegend), and BV605 anti-human CD4 (clone M5E2,

Biolegend). Cells were also labeled with fluorochrome-labeled antigen baits, prepared as 4:1

molar mixtures of biotinylated MPR.03 peptide and Streptavidin-VioBright 515 (Miltenyi Biotec)

or Streptavidin-Alexa Fluor 647 (ThermoFisher). Labeling with LIVE/DEAD Aqua

(ThermoFisher) allowed identification and exclusion of dead cells. The gating strategy identify to

memory B cells was: Aqua$^-$CD14$^-$CD16$^-$CD3$^-$CD235a$^-$CD19$^+$IgD$^-$. Memory B cells that bound

both MPR.03-VB515 and MPR.03-AF647 baits were sorted as single cells into wells of a 96-well

plate containing PCR lysis buffer. Cells were sorted on a FACSAria IIu (BD Biosciences) using

FACSDiva software version 8. Data were analyzed using FlowJo version 10 (BD Biosciences).

Fluorophor-conjugated MPER-peptide production. In order to produce enough conjugated

peptide to stain at least 10 aliquots of 5 million cells each with excess for quality testing, 200µL

of 0.2mg/mL biotinylated MPER.03 peptide

(KKK^{656}NEQELLELDKWASLWNWFDITNWLWYIRK^{684}KK) (MW = 4678 Da) was measured out

and divided into two parts (1.07nmol or 100µL each) to be conjugated to either VB515

streptavidin fluorophore (MW = 61,040 Da, commercially obtained from Millitenyi Biotec Cat#:

130-108-993) or AF647 streptavidin fluorophore (MW = 59,485 Da, commercially obtained from

ThermoFisher Cat#: S21374) at a 4:1 molar ratio. To achieve a 4:1-part molar ratio of peptide to

fluorophore, 53.5pmol (16.3µL) per addition of VB515 was measured for a total of 265pmol

(81.5µL) and 53.5pmol (12.7µL) per addition of AF647 for a total of 265pmol (63.6µL). In an

amber Eppendorf tube, the biotinylated MPER.03 peptide was incubated for 15 minutes on a

continuous mixing device at room temperature with one addition of fluorophore. After the

incubation, subsequent additions of fluorophore were added with 15-minute continuous mixing

in between until all 5 additions were added. The conjugated peptide mixture was then diluted

using 1X PBS +0.02% NaN$_3$ to a concentration of 3000nM. Similarly, the biotinylated

MPER.03_D664AW672A mutant peptide was conjugated to the BV421 streptavidin fluorophore

(MW = 133,400 Da, commercially obtained from BioLegend, Cat#: 405225). The amount of

BV421 used was 73.8μL split into 5 additions of 14.8μL. The three conjugated peptides were

stored at 4°C. To confirm usability for flow studies, the peptides were tested for binding to

antibody-coated beads against mixes of 20% positive beads (2F5, 13H11) and 80% negative

beads (CH65). The conjugated peptides were serially diluted, mixed with the bead mixtures,

incubated for 30 minutes at 4°C, gently aspirated and washed a total of 3 times using 1X PBS

+0.02%NaN$_3$ and assessed for binding via flow cytometry.

PCR Isolation of Antibodies from Antigen-specific B Cell Sorts

Single sorted B cells were obtained from HVTN133 vaccine recipients using previously

described flow cytometry-based sorts [36, 63]. Cells were individually sorted into 96 well plates

containing lysis buffer and immediately stored at -80. Human V_HDJ_H and V_LJ_L segments were

isolated by single-cell PCR [22, 63, 64]. Antibody sequences were analyzed using a custom-built

bioinformatics pipeline for base-calling, contig assembly, quality trimming, immunogenetic

annotation with Cloanalyst (https://www.bu.edu/computationalimmunology/research/software/),

VDJ sequence quality filtering, functionality assessment, and isotyping as described [65]. The

sequences of the heavy and light chain variable regions for the MPER+ antibodies isolated from

antigen-specific B cell sorts were provided in **Table S4**.

Recombinant Antibody Production

Following amplification of antibody heavy and light chain genes per B cell in our high-throughput

flow cytometry sorts, we used an aliquot of the purified heavy and light chain PCR amplicon per

B cell to generate a linear expression cassette bearing each amplicon as described [22, 63]. The

expression cassettes were transfected into Expi293F cells (ThermoFisher, Cat No. A14527)

with Expifectamine (ThermoFisher, Cat No. A14526) to generate microgram quantities of IgG.

Three days after transfection, cell culture media was cleared of cells and secreted recombinant

antibodies in the cell culture media were tested for binding to HIV-1 envelope via ELISA. In this

initial binding screen, our positivity cutoff was $OD_{450} \geq 0.5$. From the ELISA binding screen,

antibodies were selected for gene synthesis in milligram quantities.

Recombinant antibodies were generated in large quantities by using commercially-obtained

(GeneScript, Piscataway, NJ) plasmids with antibody heavy and light chain genes to transfect

Expi 293i cells using ExpiFectamine 293 transfection reagents (Life Technologies, GIBCO;

Cat#A14524) as described [11]. Briefly, the synthesized heavy and light chain genes were cloned

into gamma, kappa, or lambda expression vectors (GenScript). Plasmids were prepared for

transient transfection of Expi293F cells using the MididPrep or MegaPrep plasmid plus kit

(Qiagen). The purified recombinant antibodies were stored at 4°C in a citrate buffer (pH = 6.0).

All recombinant antibodies were expressed from plasmids containing a human or macaque IgG

constant region and were QC'ed in Western Blot and Coomassie SDS PAGE for appropriate

heavy and/or light chain protein expression.

From the initial binding screen, we identified 87 MPER+ antibodies. We expressed 83

recombinant mAb that were representative of the 87 MPER+ antibodies, given that we

expressed a single mAb where two antibodies had identical amino acid sequences.

Site-Directed Mutagenesis

Fifty nanograms of antibody heavy or light chain plasmid was mutated using the QuikChange

Lightning Multi Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent). DNA oligonucleotide primers introducing

site-specific mutations were designed with the QuikChange Primer Design Tool (Agilent) and

synthesized and purified via standard desalting by Integrated DNA Technologies. The

manufacturer's protocol was followed to mutate each plasmid except DpnI digestion was

conducted for 1 hour. XL-10 Gold cells were transformed per the manufacturer's protocol with 1

microliter of the mutagenesis reaction. Mutated plasmids were purified by MidiPrep (Qiagen)

and Sanger sequenced to confirm introduction of mutations. Purified plasmids were used for

transient transfection of 100 mL of Expi293F cells (ThermoFisher) using the Expifectamine

transfection system.

VDJ Sequencing of Single B Cells from Peripheral Blood

VDJ library construction and sequencing. Single Cell VDJ library construction was processed on

10X Chromium Controller (PN-1000204) using the Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 5□ Kit v2,

(PN-1000263) and BCR Amplification Kit (PN-1000253) following the manufacturer's protocols.

In brief, between 16,000 and 18,000 sorted B cells were loaded onto the Chromium controller to

target the recovery ~10,000 cells for each sample. Final libraries were quantified using a

TapeStation 2200 (Agilent) and Qubit 3 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher). For BCR repertoire

sequencing at baseline in HVTN133 vaccine recipients, we performed negative B cell

enrichment from ~30M PBMCs in each experiment using a commercially available B cell

enrichment kit according to manufacturer's protocol (StemCell Technologies; Vancouver, BC,

Canada). The VDJ libraries were sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform with

Novaseq S4 Reagent kit (200 cycle kit) using the read parameters: 26 cycles for Read1, 10

cycles for i7 index, 10 cycles for i5 index, and 150 cycles for Read 2. We targeted 5,000

reads/cell for the VDJ libraries.

VDJ analysis. Illumina NGS generated BCR sequencing data was processed using the Cell

Ranger single cell gene expression software provided by 10X Genomics. Reads were

demultiplexed and quality filtered, followed by assembly and annotation using Cell Ranger 6.0.0

with the GRCh38 human V(D)J gene segment reference provided by 10X Genomics. Contigs

assembled by Cell Ranger were re-annotated and analyzed using Cloanalyst

(https://www.bu.edu/computationalimmunology/research/software/) with the default human Ig

library to determine B cell immunogenetics and clonality as previously described [65].

The softwares used for computational analysis of B cell receptor sequencing includes MPEX

version v3.3.0, ANARCI (https://github.com/oxpig/ANARCI), Cloanalyst version 1.0.6419.16890,

Figtree version 1.4.4, ARMADiLLO (https://github.com/WieheLab/ARMADiLLO) and

Cell Ranger version 6.0.0. Custom script used in this manuscript for single B cell VDJ

sequencing analysis has been deposited to GitHub (https://github.com/WieheLab/HVTN133).

Next Generation Deep-Sequencing and Analysis of Antibody Genes

Illumina NextSeq sequencing of antibody heavy chain VDJ sequences was performed on

peripheral blood cells using the commercially available ArcherDX BCR Repertoire kit (ARCHER,

Boulder, Colorado). Removal of duplicated sequences and error correction were initially

performed via Archer Analytical pipeline (https://analysis.archerdx.com/). Subsequently, paired-

end sequences were merged using FLASH [66], quality filtered (Q score ≥30 for ≥95% of

sequence) and deduplicated. V, D, and J gene segment, clonal relatedness testing and

reconstruction of clonal lineage trees were performed using the Cloanalyst software package [67].

Immunogenetics information of human antibody sequences were assigned by Cloanalyst using

Cloanalyst's default libraries of human immunoglobulin genes

(https://www.bu.edu/computationalimmunology/research/software/). B cell clonality was

determined based on similar heavy chain rearrangements and CDR3 length as described [68].

Indirect Binding ELISA

Antibody binding was measured by ELISA in 384 well ELISA plates (Costar #3700) coated with

2mcg/ml streptavidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Cat. No. S-888) or protein antigen in 0.1M

sodium bicarbonate overnight at 4C. Plates were washed with PBS/0.1% Tween-20 and

blocked for one hour with assay diluent (PBS containing 4%(w/v) whey protein/15% Normal

Goat Serum/0.5% Tween-20/ 0.05% Sodium Azide). Streptavidin coated plates were washed

and followed by 10ul biotinylated peptide at 2mcg/ml in assay diluent for one hour. All plates

were then washed and antibodies added in 10µl volumes for 1 hour in three-fold serial dilutions

beginning at 100mcg/ml. Plates were washed and 10µl HRP conjugated goat anti-human

secondary Ab (Jackson ImmunoResearch C:109-035-008) diluted to 1:15,000 in assay diluent

without azide was incubated at for 1 hour, washed again and detected with 20µl SureBlue

Reserve (Seracare 5120-0081) for 15 minutes. The antibody binding reactions were stopped

with the addition of 20µl HCL stop solution and plates read at 450nm. ELISA binding data were

collected by Spectramax Plus384 plate reader, analyzed using Softmax Pro version 5.3, and

collated or graphed using excel and GraphPad Prism version 9.

Polyreactivity Binding Assays

AtheNA. MAb reactivity to nine autoantigens was measured using the AtheNA Multi-Lyte ANA

kit (Zeus scientific, Inc, #A21101). Abs were serially diluted for four 2-fold steps starting at

300µg/mL. The Abs were then diluted 1:6 in assay beads resulting in a final serial dilution of four

2-fold steps starting at 50 µg/mL in 50ul of beads. The kit SOP was followed for the remainder

of the assay. Samples were analyzed using AtheNA software. An individual well was positive if

>120 AU (Athena Units), negative if <100 and considered indeterminate if between 100-120.

Any given Ab needed to be positive for two consecutive wells (i.e. to at least 25µg/ml) to be

considered positive for autoreactivity; see values with yellow fill in **Table S6**.

Anti-cardiolipin reactivity. MAb reactivity to cardiolipin was measured using the Quanta Lite

ACA IgG III kit (Inova Diagnostics, Inc.) by diluting Abs to final concentrations of 100, 50, 25 and

12.5µg/ml in sample diluent. 100ul of each sample was added to assay plates along with kit

controls and the kit SOP was followed for the remainder of the assay. IgG phospholipid units

(GPL) were calculated against a linear standard curve per kit instructions. An individual well was

positive if GPL was >20, negative if <15 and indeterminate if between 15-20. Any given antibody

needed to be positive for two consecutive wells (i.e. to at least 50µg/ml) to be considered

cardiolipin positive; see values with yellow fill in **Table S6**.

Neutralization Assays

Neutralizing antibodies were measured in either TZM-bl cells [69] or TZM-bl/FcγRI cells [35] as a

function of reductions in luciferase (Luc) reporter gene expression as described [70]. The NIH

AIDS Reagent Program provided TZM-bl cells. In both assays, a pre-titrated dose of virus was

incubated with serial 3-fold or 5-fold dilutions of either serum (heat-inactivated 56°C, 30

minutes), purified IgG or mAbs in duplicate in a total volume of 150 µl for 1 hr at 37°C in 96-well

flat-bottom culture plates. Freshly trypsinized cells (10,000 cells in 100 µl of growth medium

containing 75 µg/ml DEAE dextran) were added to each well. One set of 8 control wells received

cells + virus (virus control) and another set of 8 wells received cells only (background control).

After 48 hours of incubation, 100 µl of cells was transferred to a 96-well black solid plate for

measurements of luminescence using the Bright-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega).

Neutralization titers are the dilution (serum samples) or concentration (purified IgG and mAbs)

at which relative luminescence units (RLU) were reduced by 50% or 80% compared to virus

control wells after subtraction of background RLUs. Assay stocks of molecularly cloned Env-

pseudotyped viruses were prepared by transfection in 293T/17 cells (American Type Culture

Collection) and titrated in TZM-bl cells as described [70]. The software used to collect data for

neutralization assays is Promega GloMax Navigato. The software used to analyze neutralization

data is LabKey Server NAb Tool.

Forty-nine MPER+ neutralizing antibodies constituted 24 clonal lineages from either

vaccinee 133-23 or 133-39 (**Table S8**). The 24 neutralizing B cell clonal lineages neutralized

heterologous tier 1 HIV-1 in the TZM-bl/FcγRI assay with geometric mean IC50 neutralization

titers for W61D (0.18 µg/ml) and HXB2 (0.05 µg/ml), as well as heterologous tier 2 HIV-1 in the

in the TZM-bl/FcγRI neutralization assay with geometric mean IC50 neutralization titers for JRFL

(0.11 µg/ml), WITO (0.11 µg/ml) and SC422661.8 (0.09 µg/ml). Of the 24 B cell clonal lineages

that neutralized in the TZM-bl/FcγRI neutralization assay, 14 clones also neutralized

heterologous tier 1 HIV-1 in the standard TZM-bl assay with geometric mean IC50 neutralization

titers for W61D (4.2 µg/ml) and HXB2 (10 µg/ml). Geometric mean titers were calculated in

excel using the positive neutralization values shown in **Table S8**; geometric mean titers were

calculated for each virus, separately.

Signature Analyses and Neutralization Profile Comparison

Env neutralization signature analyses for DH1317.4 and DH1317.9 were performed as

previously described [56]. Briefly, binary phenotypes of DH1317.4 and DH1317.9 sensitivity or

resistance (IC50 >50 or <50µg/ml) were used. To correct for multiple testing, a false discovery

rate (q-value) of <0.2 was used, and to correct for clade effects, phylogenetically corrected

signatures were also calculated. Robust signature sites were identified by using the criteria that

signatures at these sites had q < 0.2 and such sites were either in epitope sites (658 to 668) or

had a phylogenetically corrected signature, or both. Signatures were visualized using sequence

logos for the sensitive vs. resistant group of viruses (**Figure S5**) calculated using AnalyzeAlign

tool on the Los Alamos HIV Databases

(https://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/ANALYZEALIGN/analyze_align.html). For

calculating inter-subtype variation at key signature sites in database strains, the "Filtered web"

sequence alignment in AnalyzeAlign was used. For comparison of neutralization profiles

between bNAbs, linear regression and Fisher's exact test modules from SciPy were used

(www.scipy.org) [71]. The 20 HVTN 704 subtype B pseudoviruses used for the analysis reported

in **Figure S5c** were from Gilbert *et al.* [72].

Antibody Binding to Binary Phospholipids

PC-PG binary lipids were prepared by mixing 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-glycero-3-phosphocholine

(POPC, Avanti) with 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phospho-(1'-rac-glycerol) (18:1 (Δ9-Cis) PG,

Avanti) that had been solubilized in chloroform to a concentration of 10mM with a 25:75 ratio of

PC to PG. The PC-PG lipid mixture was dried under a steady stream of nitrogen and then

placed in a vacuum for 3-4 hours to remove any trace amounts of chloroform. The lipids were

rehydrated in 500uL of PBS 1X pH7.4 and incubated at 37°C for 45 minutes. After incubation,

the lipid preparation was vortexed and sonicated for 45s followed by extrusion through a 0.1um

polycarbonate membrane using a 1-mL mini-extruder (Avanti).

The binding of Abs was performed by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) using the

Biacore3000 platform (Cytiva) in PBS 1X pH7.4 running buffer. A lipophilic L1 chip (Cytiva) was

docked and prepared by immobilizing BSA 1% to a level of 2000-3000RU to reduce non-specific

binding of mAbs. A 1:5 dilution of PC-PG in PBS 1X was prepared and then manually captured

on the flow cells of the L1 chip at 5uL/min to a level of 400-500RU. At least one flow cell had no

captured lipid and would be used for reference subtraction. After a series of wash steps, mAb

diluted down to 100ug/mL was injected over the sensor surface at 20uL/min for 2 minutes

followed by a dissociation of at least 5 minutes. 13H11 and 2F5 were used as negative and

positive control mAbs, respectively. The lipid was then regenerated from the sensor using a 60s

pulse of 40mM OGP at 100uL/min. Results were analyzed using BiaEvaluation Software

(Cytiva). Binding to blank flow cells with no lipid capture was used for reference subtraction and

to account for non-specific binding. Binding values were measured at the end of association

period and then normalized with respect to the variable lipid capture values. Reported results

are an average of at least two measurements.

Lipid Insertion Propensity Scores

Hydropathy analysis tool MPEx [73] (Membrane Protein Explorer) v3.3.0 with the water-interface

scale was used to calculate lipid insertion propensity scores as the sum of ΔG_{wif}, the free energy

of transfer of an amino acid from water to POPC interface [74], over all amino acids in the CDR

regions of both light and heavy chains. CDR amino acid positions were defined using the

software ANARCI [75] with the IMGT scheme. Custom R scripts were used to compute scores for

all CDRs individually. The custom script used in this manuscript for Ab lipid propensity scoring

calculations has been deposited to GitHub (https://github.com/WieheLab/HVTN133). Calculation

and significance test for the Pearson correlation coefficients were performed using the R

function stat_corr from package *ggpubr;* https://rpkgs.datanovia.com/ggpubr/).

Antibody and Fab Binding and Titrations against MPER Liposome Immunogen

The binding of antibodies to the MPER656 peptide-liposome immunogen (IDRI, Lot: 18P001)

was performed using biolayer interferometry (BLI) and the OctetRed 96 system (Sartorius) in

PBS 1X pH7.4 buffer. The sequence of MPER656-GTH1 peptide used in the MPER peptide-

liposome is ^{656}NEQELLELDKWASLWNWFNITNWLWYIK^{683}YKRWIILGLNKIVRMYS). MPER

peptide-liposomes were prepared at a 1:200 dilution in PBS and captured using APS

(aminopropysilane) sensor tips to a level of approximately 1.0-1.5nm. The duration of liposome

capture was 600s. The liposome loaded sensor tips were then coated with 0.01% BSA for 600s

to block any non-specific interaction of the antibody with the sensor tips. The sensor tips were

then washed with PBS for 120s. After washing with PBS 1x, the sensor tips were submerged

into the mAbs (or Fabs) diluted down to 100µg/mL for an association length of 600. 13H11 was

used as a negative control reference mAb while 2F5 and 2F5UA were used at positive control

mAbs. Antibody binding analysis was performed using the ForteBio Data Analysis 10.0 software

(Sartorius). The Y-axis was aligned to the baseline from 175s to 179.8s and the inter-step

correction was aligned to dissociation. 13H11 binding was subtracted from the binding of each

antibody for reference subtraction and to account for any non-specific binding. Binding curves

are representative of one dataset.

For the Fab and mAb titrations against MPER656 peptide-liposomes, the same BLI

procedure was performed as in the screening analysis. However, the samples were diluted from

1.25ug/mL to 50ug/mL and then individual MPER peptide-liposome captured sensors were

submerged into these wells. Curve fitting analyses were performed in the BiaEvaluation

Software (Cytiva) after 13H11 subtraction using the 1:1 model for most samples. For DH1317.4

Fab and DH1317.8 Fab, the two state conformational change model was used for fitting. Kinetic

results and affinities are representative of one dataset.

F(ab) Preparation from Purified IgG Samples.

F(ab) preparation was performed using a modified protocol previously described [76]. Purified IgG

samples were dialyzed into 20mM sodium phosphate, 10mM EDTA, pH 7.0 and then

concentrated to 20mg/ml using centrifugal filtration (Amicon). IgGs were then digested using

papain-agarose resin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in 20mM sodium phosphate, 10mM EDTA,

20mM cysteine, pH7.4 for 5 hours at 37°C. A few select mAbs were digested with Lys-C instead

of papain-agarose. F(ab) fragments were separated from undigested IgG and Fc fragments

through a 1 hour incubation with rProtein A Sepharose Fast Flow (Cytiva). Finally, cysteine was

removed by centrifugal filtration (Amicon) and buffer exchange to 1x PBS, pH 7.4.

Quality of F(ab) was measured via SDS-PAGE and size exclusion chromatography (SEC).

SDS-PAGE was conducted by loading 2ug protein/lane on a 4% to 15% TGX stain-free gel (Bio-

Rad) under reducing and nonreducing conditions. Loaded gels were run at 200V in Tris-glycine-

SDS buffer. Bands were visualized using Gel Doc EZ imager (Bio-Rad), and band size was

assessed with a protein standard ladder (Bio-Rad). SEC was performed by loading 15ug protein

on to a Superdex 200 increase 10/300 column using a 100-µl loop and run at 0.5ml/min using

an Äkta Pure system (Cytiva). F(ab) peaks were analyzed with the system's Unicorn 7.6.0

software. Molecular weight was estimated using a linear regression calculated by running a mix

of known-molecular-weight protein standards (Cytiva) on the same column.

Titrations of F(ab) to SP62 Peptide and Mutants

Affinities of F(ab)s to SP62 peptide ([652]QQEKNEQELLELDKWASLWN[671]) and alanine-

substituted mutants were measured through Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) using a

BIAcore S200 instrument (Cytiva) in HBS-EP+ 1x running buffer at 25°C. Biotinylated SP62

peptides and mutants were diluted to 20 ug/ml and injected over a streptavidin immobilized CM3

or CM4 chip surface at 5 uL/min. 80 – 120 response units (RU) of ligand was captured over flow

cells 2 – 4. Flow cell 1 was used as a blank for reference subtraction of nonspecific binding to

chip surface and streptavidin. Ligand capture was followed with long dissociation period of 30

mins or until response stabilized. F(ab)s were diluted to various concentration ranges between

0.25 nM and 400 nM and injected at a flow rate of 50 uL/min over flow cells 1 – 4 using single-

cycle kinetics injection type. Six 120 s injections of F(ab) at increasing concentrations with 720 s

dissociation after final injection. Chip surfaces were regenerated with Glycine, pH 2.0 for 30 s

between cycles. Blank running buffer titration was used to account for signal drift in addition to

the blank flow cell for double reference subtraction. Curve fitting of results was performed

through BIAcore S200 evaluation software (Cytiva). Fitting made use of either heterogenous

ligand model or 1:1 Langmuir model with global Rmax. Titration curves are representative of 2

data sets.

Protein Expression and Purification

Plasmid encoding JRFL.SOSIP.v6.683 or JRFL.SOSIP.v6.delCT or full-length JRFL.SOSIP.v6

was mixed with plasmid encoding furin in 1:4 ratio. This plasmid mixture was transfected in

GnTI- cells (ATCC) at density of $2x10^6$ cells/ml using 293Fectin (Thermo Fisher) according to

manufacturer's protocol. The cells were harvested 38-40 hours following transfection spinning

down the transfected cell culture for 30 min at 3400 x g, and the supernatant was discarded.

The cell pellet was solubilized with 200 ml lysis buffer (0.5% v/v Triton X-100, 50 mM Tris pH

7.4, 150 mM NaCl) with the addition of 2mg of PGT145 IgG and Pierce protease inhibitor tablets

(Thermo Fisher) and incubated at 4°C for 30 min. The cell debris were spun down again at 4347

x g for 1 hour followed by filtration through a 0.8 μm filter. The supernatant was incubated

overnight with 1 ml Ultra Protein A resin (Thermo Fisher) and 2 mg of VRC01 IgG at 4°C. On

day 2 of the purification, the unbound cell lysate was removed by passing the resin containing

supernatant over a gravity column. The resin was washed with 10 CV of each of the following

buffers, buffer 1 (0.1% w/v CHAPS, 50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.03 mg/mL sodium

deoxycholate), buffer 2 (0.1% w/v *n*-Dodecyl β-D-maltoside (DDM), 50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 500 mM

NaCl, 0.03 mg/mL sodium deoxycholate), and buffer 3 (0.1% w/v DDM, 50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150

mM NaCl, 0.03 mg/mL sodium deoxycholate, 2 mM EDTA) successively. The complex of Env

with the IgG bound to the Protein A resin was digested on-column using Lys-C (1 µg Lys-C for

20 µg IgG) in wash buffer 3 at room temperature for 4 hours. Following digestion, the flow

through containing the Fab-bound Env followed by a 5 CV wash of the resin with the SEC buffer

(0.1% w/v DDM, 50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.03 mg/mL sodium deoxycholate), and

concentrated using 100,000 MWCO concentrator to ~500 µL and further purified by size

exclusion chromatography (SEC) using Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL column (Cytiva)

preequilibrated in SEC buffer.

Surface Plasmon Resonance Measurement of Env Trimer Binding

Binding experiments for Fabs were performed using SPR on a Biacore T-200 (Cytiva, MA) with

HBS buffer supplemented with 3 mM EDTA and 0.05% surfactant P-20 (HBS-EP+, Cytiva, MA).

All binding assays were performed at 25 °C. DH1317.4 Fab binding to JRFL.SOSIP.v6.683 was

assessed using a Series S CM5 chip (Cytiva, MA) which was coated with anti-human IgG (fc)

Ab using a Human Ab Capture Kit (Cytiva, MA). 2G12 IgG was captured on the anti-human Fc

chip by flowing over a 200 nM solution for 120s at 5 µL/min flow rate, followed by Env at 200 nM

(120s at 5 µL/min). DH1317.4 Fab was injected at concentrations ranging from 12.5 nM to 200

nM (prepared in a 2-fold serial dilution manner) over the chip surface using the single cycle

kinetics mode with 5 concentrations per cycle. The surface was regenerated three pulses of a 3

M $MgCl_2$, pH 7.0 solution for 10 seconds at 100µL/min. Sensogram data were analyzed using

the BiaEvaluation software (Cytiva, MA).

Protein Crystallization

Fragments of antigen binding (Fabs) of antibodies DH1317.8, DH1322.1, and DH1346 were

buffer exchanged into 50 mM Sodium Acetate pH 5.2 and loaded onto a Mono S 5/50 ion

exchange column (Cytiva) equilibrated in the same buffer. Loaded Fabs were eluted on a

continuous gradient using 50 mM Sodium Acetate pH 5.2 supplemented with 500 mM Sodium

Chloride, followed by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300

column (Cytiva) equilibrated in gel filtration buffer (GFB) composed of 2.5 mM Tris Cl pH 7.5,

150 mM Sodium Chloride, and 0.002% sodium azide.

For purified DH1317.8 Fab, complexes were prepared with MPER peptides MPR.03,

KKK^{656}NEQELLELDKWASLWNWFDITNWLWYIRK^{684}KK, and SP62,

^{652}QQEKNEQELLELDKWASLWN^{671}, at 3-fold molar excess. Sitting drop vapor diffusion

crystallization screens were set up with a Mosquito Nanoliter Liquid Handling System (SPT

Labtech, Concord, CA) using a total of 768 conditions (384 unique conditions for each complex)

obtained from commercially available screens (Rigaku Reagents, Woodlands, TX, and Hampton

Research, Aliso Viejo, CA). Diffracting crystals of the DH1317.8 Fab-SP62 peptide complex

were obtained in condition composed of 20.1% Isopropanol, 10.05% PEG 8000, and 0.1M

Imidazole/Hydrochloric acid pH 6.5 which were optimized and cryoprotected in (2R,3R)-(−)-2,3-

Butanediol prior to flash freezing in liquid nitrogen for data collection.

For purified DH1322.1 Fab, complexes were prepared with peptide

K^{651}NQQEKNEQELLELDKWASLWN^{671}K at 3-fold molar excess. Sitting drop vapor diffusion

crystallization screens were set up with a Mosquito Nanoliter Liquid Handling System (SPT

Labtech, Concord, CA) using a total of 672 conditions obtained from commercially available

screens (Rigaku Reagents, Woodlands, TX, and Hampton Research, Aliso Viejo, CA).

Diffracting crystals were obtained in a condition composed of 25% PEG 1500 and 0.1M SPG

buffer pH 8.5, which were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for data collection.

For purified DH1346 Fab, complexes were prepared with peptide MPR.03 (see sequence

above) at 3-fold molar excess. Sitting drop vapor diffusion crystallization screens were set up

using Mosquito Nanoliter Liquid Handling System (SPT Labtech, Concord, CA) using a total of

672 conditions obtained from commercially available screens (Rigaku Reagents, Woodlands,

TX, and Hampton Research, Aliso Viejo, CA). Diffracting crystals were obtained in a condition

composed of 25% PEG 1500, 0.1M Sodium acetate/acetic acid pH4.5, 30% MPD, and 30%

dextran sulfate sodium salt, which were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for data collection.

X-ray crystallography

X-ray diffraction data were collected at the ID-22 beamline (SERCAT) at the Advance Photon

Source (Arognne, Il) or at the BM12-2 beamline at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation

Lightsource (Menlo Park, CA). Collected datasets were processed using HKL-2000 or XDS [77, 78].

Structures were solved by molecular replacement using PHASER, with search model PDB

4NRX for DH1317.8, and the resulting DH1317.8 structure as a search model for DH132.1 and

DH1346 [79]. Refinement was carried out using PHENIX with manual iterative model building in

Coot [80, 81]. Protein interfaces and residue buried surface areas were determined using Protein

Interfaces, Surfaces and Assemblies (PISA) [82]. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System and

GraphPad PRISM were used to produce graphical images and plots.

Statistical correlations between interface residue buried surface areas of shared MPER

epitope residues between the V_H7-4-1-using nAbs themselves and against other proximal

MPER-targeting antibodies were determined by testing the null hypothesis that they were

independent. The null hypothesis was that the correlations were zero (no correlation), and the

alternative hypothesis was that correlations were non-zero. For the three V_H7-4-1-using nAbs

tested against each other, the null hypothesis was rejected by a significant *p*-value, supporting

the possibility they had a positive correlation with each other. For the correlation of

representative V_H7-4-1 nAb DH1317.8 against DH570, m66, and 2F5, the results did not reject

the null hypothesis, suggesting they had no correlation. Statistical tests and results for the

analysis were generated in GraphPad PRISM using the Pearson correlation function.

Negative Stain Electron Microscopy (NSEM)

For forming complexes, 1-5 µg JR-FL SOSIP.683 trimer was mixed with 6 molar concentration

of Fab and incubated at 4 °C overnight. A portion of complex was then diluted to 20-50 µg/ml of

SOSIP with buffer containing 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl and 0.02 g/dL Ruthenium

Red. A 5-µl drop of diluted sample was then applied to a glow-discharged, carbon-coated EM

grid for 8-10 second, blotted, consecutively rinsed with 2 drops of dilute buffer containing 1 mM

HEPES, pH 7.4 and 7.5 mM NaCl, and immediately blotted, stained with 2 g/dL

uranyl formate for 1 min, blotted, and air-dried. Grids were examined on a Philips EM420

electron microscope operating at 120 kV and nominal magnification of 49,000x,

and ~120 images for each sample were collected on a 76 Mpix CCD camera at a nominal

calibration of 2.2 Å/pixel. Images were analyzed and 3D reconstructions calculated with Relion

3.0 [83]. Data flow for symmetry expansion and focused 3D classification of the MPER Fabs is

described in **Figure S14**. NSEM data were collected with software provided for the camera

(Scientific Instruments and Applications, Inc) and analyzed using Relion 3.0, and UCSF

Chimera.

Cryo-Electron Microscopy (cryo-EM)

DH1317.4 Fab was added at a 6-fold molar excess to the SEC-purified complex of full-length

JR-FL Env bound to PGT145 and VRC01 Fabs, and the sample was incubated for 30 minutes.

2.5 µl of the sample was deposited on a glow-discharged QUANTIFOIL holey carbon grid

(R1.2/1.3 Cu 300 mesh). After a 30 second incubation in 90-95% relative humidity, excess

protein was blotted away with a Whatmann filter paper. The grid was plunge frozen in liquid

ethane using an EM GP2 plunge freezer (Leica, Microsystems). A total of 46,998 movies with a

dose rate ranging from 63-76 e⁻/ $Å^2$ Cryo-EM data were collected using a 300 kV FEI Titan Krios

electron microscope (ThermoFisher) equipped with a K3 camera (Gatan) with a pixel size of

1.08 Å with a defocus range between -1 and -3 μm. Gatan Latitude software was used to collect

data. All data processing steps were carried out in cryoSparc

(https://www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.4169). Movie frames were aligned and dose weighted,

followed by CTF estimation, particle picking, 2D classifications, 3D classifications using ab initio

and heterogenous classifications, followed by 3D refinement. Cryo-EM data collection and map

refinement statistics are shown in **Table S14**.

Reagent Authentication

Expi293F and TZM-bl cell lines were provided with a certificate of analysis from their sources.

Cell identity is verified by morphology or fluorescent markers expressed. Positive control abs

were used in the TZM-bl neutralization assay to distinguish the cell lines and for comparison

between lots when new vials of cells are cultured.

Data Availability

The data supporting the findings of this study are available within the main article and

supplementary information. Any further data relevant to the study will be made available upon

reasonable request to the corresponding authors.

Code Availability

Custom scripts used in this manuscript for single B cell VDJ sequencing analysis and Ab lipid

propensity scoring calculations have been deposited to GitHub

(https://github.com/WieheLab/HVTN133). Additional softwares and codes used for data

collection and analyses were outlined in the methods.

Accession codes: PDB IDs for x-ray crystal structures – 8G8A, 8G8C and 8G8D.

1262

References

1264   1.   Haynes BF, Gilbert PB, McElrath MJ, Zolla-Pazner S, Tomaras GD, Alam SM, Evans DT, Montefiori DC, Karnasuta C, Sutthent R, Liao HX, DeVico AL, Lewis GK, Williams C, Pinter A, Fong Y, Janes H, DeCamp A, Huang Y, Rao M, Billings E, Karasavvas N, Robb ML, Ngauy V, de Souza MS, Paris R, Ferrari G, Bailer RT, Soderberg KA, Andrews C, Berman PW, Frahm N, De Rosa SC, Alpert MD, Yates NL, Shen X, Koup RA, Pitisuttithum P, Kaewkungwal J, Nitayaphan S, Rerks-Ngarm S, Michael NL, Kim JH. Immune-correlates analysis of an HIV-1 vaccine efficacy trial. N Engl J Med. 2012;366(14):1275-86. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1113425. PubMed PMID: 22475592; PMCID: PMC3371689.

2.   Rerks-Ngarm S, Pitisuttithum P, Nitayaphan S, Kaewkungwal J, Chiu J, Paris R, Premsri N, Namwat C, de Souza M, Adams E, Benenson M, Gurunathan S, Tartaglia J, McNeil JG, Francis DP, Stablein D, Birx DL, Chunsuttiwat S, Khamboonruang C, Thongcharoen P, Robb ML, Michael NL, Kunasol P, Kim JH, Investigators M-T. Vaccination with ALVAC and AIDSVAX to prevent HIV-1 infection in Thailand. N Engl J Med. 2009;361(23):2209-20. Epub 2009/10/20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0908492. PubMed PMID: 19843557.

3.   Haynes BF, Wiehe K, Borrrow P, Saunders KO, Korber B, Wagh K, McMichael AJ, Kelsoe G, Hahn BH, Alt F, Shaw GM. Strategies for HIV-1 vaccines that induce broadly neutralizing antibodies. Nat Rev Immunol. 2022. Epub 20220812. doi: 10.1038/s41577-022-00753-w. PubMed PMID: 35962033; PMCID: PMC9372928.

4.   Desrosiers RC. The Failure of AIDS Vaccine Efficacy Trials: Where to Go from Here. J Virol. 2023;97(3):e0021123. Epub 20230314. doi: 10.1128/jvi.00211-23. PubMed PMID: 36916947; PMCID: PMC10062124.

5.   Gray GE, Bekker LG, Laher F, Malahleha M, Allen M, Moodie Z, Grunenberg N, Huang Y, Grove D, Prigmore B, Kee JJ, Benkeser D, Hural J, Innes C, Lazarus E, Meintjes G, Naicker N, Kalonji D, Nchabeleng M, Sebe M, Singh N, Kotze P, Kassim S, Dubula T, Naicker V, Brumskine W, Ncayiya CN, Ward AM, Garrett N, Kistnasami G, Gaffoor Z, Selepe P, Makhoba PB, Mathebula MP, Mda P, Adonis T, Mapetla KS, Modibedi B, Philip T, Kobane G, Bentley C, Ramirez S, Takuva S, Jones M, Sikhosana M, Atujuna M, Andrasik M, Hejazi NS, Puren A, Wiesner L, Phogat S, Diaz Granados C, Koutsoukos M, Van Der Meeren O, Barnett SW, Kanesa-Thasan N, Kublin JG, McElrath MJ, Gilbert PB, Janes H, Corey L, Team HS. Vaccine Efficacy of ALVAC-HIV and Bivalent Subtype C gp120-MF59 in Adults. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(12):1089-100. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2031499. PubMed PMID: 33761206; PMCID: PMC7888373.

6.   Ehrenberg PK, Shangguan S, Issac B, Alter G, Geretz A, Izumi T, Bryant C, Eller MA, Wegmann F, Apps R, Creegan M, Bolton DL, Sekaly RP, Robb ML, Gramzinski RA, Pau MG, Schuitemaker H, Barouch DH, Michael NL, Thomas R. A vaccine-induced gene expression signature correlates with protection against SIV and HIV in multiple trials. Sci Transl Med. 2019;11(507). doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaw4236. PubMed PMID: 31462510; PMCID: PMC7383941.

7.   Haynes BF, Burton DR. Developing an HIV vaccine. Science. 2017;355(6330):1129-30. Epub 2017/03/16. doi: 10.1126/science.aan0662. PubMed PMID: 28302812.

8.   Haynes BF, Burton DR, Mascola JR. Multiple roles for HIV broadly neutralizing antibodies. Sci Transl Med. 2019;11(516). doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaz2686. PubMed PMID: 31666399; PMCID: PMC7171597.

9.   Sok D, Burton DR. Recent progress in broadly neutralizing antibodies to HIV. Nat Immunol. 2018;19(11):1179-88. Epub 20181017. doi: 10.1038/s41590-018-0235-7. PubMed PMID: 30333615; PMCID: PMC6440471.

10.   Wiehe K, Bradley T, Meyerhoff RR, Hart C, Williams WB, Easterhoff D, Faison WJ,
Kepler TB, Saunders KO, Alam SM, Bonsignori M, Haynes BF. Functional Relevance of
Improbable Antibody Mutations for HIV Broadly Neutralizing Antibody Development. Cell Host
Microbe. 2018;23(6):759-65.e6. Epub 2018/05/31. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2018.04.018. PubMed
PMID: 29861171; PMCID: PMC6002614.
11.   Bonsignori M, Kreider EF, Fera D, Meyerhoff RR, Bradley T, Wiehe K, Alam SM,
Aussedat B, Walkowicz WE, Hwang KK, Saunders KO, Zhang R, Gladden MA, Monroe A,
Kumar A, Xia SM, Cooper M, Louder MK, McKee K, Bailer RT, Pier BW, Jette CA, Kelsoe G,
Williams WB, Morris L, Kappes J, Wagh K, Kamanga G, Cohen MS, Hraber PT, Montefiori DC,
Trama A, Liao HX, Kepler TB, Moody MA, Gao F, Danishefsky SJ, Mascola JR, Shaw GM,
Hahn BH, Harrison SC, Korber BT, Haynes BF. Staged induction of HIV-1 glycan-dependent
broadly neutralizing antibodies. Sci Transl Med. 2017;9(381). doi:
10.1126/scitranslmed.aai7514. PubMed PMID: 28298420.
12.   Bonsignori M, Zhou T, Sheng Z, Chen L, Gao F, Joyce MG, Ozorowski G, Chuang GY,
Schramm CA, Wiehe K, Alam SM, Bradley T, Gladden MA, Hwang KK, Iyengar S, Kumar A, Lu
X, Luo K, Mangiapani MC, Parks RJ, Song H, Acharya P, Bailer RT, Cao A, Druz A, Georgiev
IS, Kwon YD, Louder MK, Zhang B, Zheng A, Hill BJ, Kong R, Soto C, Mullikin JC, Douek DC,
Montefiori DC, Moody MA, Shaw GM, Hahn BH, Kelsoe G, Hraber PT, Korber BT, Boyd SD,
Fire AZ, Kepler TB, Shapiro L, Ward AB, Mascola JR, Liao HX, Kwong PD, Haynes BF,
Program NCS. Maturation Pathway from Germline to Broad HIV-1 Neutralizer of a CD4-Mimic
Antibody. Cell. 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.02.022. PubMed PMID: 26949186.
13.   Haynes BF, Fleming J, St Clair EW, Katinger H, Stiegler G, Kunert R, Robinson J,
Scearce RM, Plonk K, Staats HF, Ortel TL, Liao HX, Alam SM. Cardiolipin polyspecific
autoreactivity in two broadly neutralizing HIV-1 antibodies. Science. 2005;308(5730):1906-8.
doi: 10.1126/science.1111781. PubMed PMID: 15860590.
14.   Haynes BF, Shaw GM, Korber B, Kelsoe G, Sodroski J, Hahn BH, Borrow P, McMichael
AJ. HIV-Host Interactions: Implications for Vaccine Design. Cell Host Microbe. 2016;19(3):292-
303. Epub 2016/02/25. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2016.02.002. PubMed PMID: 26922989; PMCID:
PMC4823811.
15.   Haynes BF, Kelsoe G, Harrison SC, Kepler TB. B-cell-lineage immunogen design in
vaccine development with HIV-1 as a case study. Nat Biotechnol. 2012;30(5):423-33. doi:
10.1038/nbt.2197. PubMed PMID: 22565972; PMCID: PMC3512202.
16.   Bradley T, Kuraoka M, Yeh CH, Tian M, Chen H, Cain DW, Chen X, Cheng C, Ellebedy
AH, Parks R, Barr M, Sutherland LL, Scearce RM, Bowman CM, Bouton-Verville H, Santra S,
Wiehe K, Lewis MG, Ogbe A, Borrow P, Montefiori D, Bonsignori M, Anthony Moody M,
Verkoczy L, Saunders KO, Ahmed R, Mascola JR, Kelsoe G, Alt FW, Haynes BF. Immune
checkpoint modulation enhances HIV-1 antibody induction. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):948. Epub
2020/02/19. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-14670-w. PubMed PMID: 32075963; PMCID:
PMC7031230.
17.   Verkoczy L, Chen Y, Bouton-Verville H, Zhang J, Diaz M, Hutchinson J, Ouyang YB,
Alam SM, Holl TM, Hwang KK, Kelsoe G, Haynes BF. Rescue of HIV-1 broad neutralizing
antibody-expressing B cells in 2F5 VH x VL knockin mice reveals multiple tolerance controls. J
Immunol. 2011;187(7):3785-97. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1101633. PubMed PMID: 21908739;
PMCID: PMC3192533.
18.   Verkoczy L, Chen Y, Zhang J, Bouton-Verville H, Newman A, Lockwood B, Scearce RM,
Montefiori DC, Dennison SM, Xia SM, Hwang KK, Liao HX, Alam SM, Haynes BF. Induction of
HIV-1 broad neutralizing antibodies in 2F5 knock-in mice: selection against membrane proximal
external region-associated autoreactivity limits T-dependent responses. J Immunol.
2013;191(5):2538-50. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1300971. PubMed PMID: 23918977; PMCID:
PMC3870053.

19.   Verkoczy L, Diaz M, Holl TM, Ouyang YB, Bouton-Verville H, Alam SM, Liao HX, Kelsoe G, Haynes BF. Autoreactivity in an HIV-1 broadly reactive neutralizing antibody variable region heavy chain induces immunologic tolerance. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107(1):181-6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0912914107. PubMed PMID: 20018688; PMCID: PMC2806760.

20.   Seabright GE, Doores KJ, Burton DR, Crispin M. Protein and Glycan Mimicry in HIV Vaccine Design. J Mol Biol. 2019;431(12):2223-47. Epub 2019/04/24. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2019.04.016. PubMed PMID: 31028779; PMCID: PMC6556556.

21.   Pauthner MG, Nkolola JP, Havenar-Daughton C, Murrell B, Reiss SM, Bastidas R, Prévost J, Nedellec R, von Bredow B, Abbink P, Cottrell CA, Kulp DW, Tokatlian T, Nogal B, Bianchi M, Li H, Lee JH, Butera ST, Evans DT, Hangartner L, Finzi A, Wilson IA, Wyatt RT, Irvine DJ, Schief WR, Ward AB, Sanders RW, Crotty S, Shaw GM, Barouch DH, Burton DR. Vaccine-Induced Protection from Homologous Tier 2 SHIV Challenge in Nonhuman Primates Depends on Serum-Neutralizing Antibody Titers. Immunity. 2019;50(1):241-52.e6. Epub 2018/12/11. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.11.011. PubMed PMID: 30552025; PMCID: PMC6335502.

22.   Saunders KO, Edwards RJ, Tilahun K, Manne K, Lu X, Cain DW, Wiehe K, Williams WB, Mansouri K, Hernandez GE, Sutherland L, Scearce R, Parks R, Barr M, DeMarco T, Eater CM, Eaton A, Morton G, Mildenberg B, Wang Y, Rountree RW, Tomai MA, Fox CB, Moody MA, Alam SM, Santra S, Lewis MG, Denny TN, Shaw GM, Montefiori DC, Acharya P, Haynes BF. Stabilized HIV-1 envelope immunization induces neutralizing antibodies to the CD4bs and protects macaques against mucosal infection. Sci Transl Med. 2022;14(661):eabo5598. Epub 20220907. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abo5598. PubMed PMID: 36070369.

23.   Pegu A, Borate B, Huang Y, Pauthner MG, Hessell AJ, Julg B, Doria-Rose NA, Schmidt SD, Carpp LN, Cully MD, Chen X, Shaw GM, Barouch DH, Haigwood NL, Corey L, Burton DR, Roederer M, Gilbert PB, Mascola JR. A Meta-analysis of Passive Immunization Studies Shows that Serum-Neutralizing Antibody Titer Associates with Protection against SHIV Challenge. Cell Host Microbe. 2019;26(3):336-46.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2019.08.014. PubMed PMID: 31513771; PMCID: PMC6755677.

24.   Corey L, Gilbert PB, Juraska M, Montefiori DC, Morris L, Karuna ST, Edupuganti S, Mgodi NM, deCamp AC, Rudnicki E, Huang Y, Gonzales P, Cabello R, Orrell C, Lama JR, Laher F, Lazarus EM, Sanchez J, Frank I, Hinojosa J, Sobieszczyk ME, Marshall KE, Mukwekwerere PG, Makhema J, Baden LR, Mullins JI, Williamson C, Hural J, McElrath MJ, Bentley C, Takuva S, Gomez Lorenzo MM, Burns DN, Espy N, Randhawa AK, Kochar N, Piwowar-Manning E, Donnell DJ, Sista N, Andrew P, Kublin JG, Gray G, Ledgerwood JE, Mascola JR, Cohen MS, Teams HHaHHS. Two Randomized Trials of Neutralizing Antibodies to Prevent HIV-1 Acquisition. N Engl J Med. 2021;384(11):1003-14. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2031738. PubMed PMID: 33730454.

25.   Caillat C, Guilligay D, Sulbaran G, Weissenhorn W. Neutralizing Antibodies Targeting HIV-1 gp41. Viruses. 2020;12(11). Epub 2020/10/23. doi: 10.3390/v12111210. PubMed PMID: 33114242; PMCID: PMC7690876.

26.   Stiegler G, Kunert R, Purtscher M, Wolbank S, Voglauer R, Steindl F, Katinger H. A potent cross-clade neutralizing human monoclonal antibody against a novel epitope on gp41 of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2001;17(18):1757-65. doi: 10.1089/08892220152741450. PubMed PMID: 11788027.

27.   Zwick MB, Labrijn AF, Wang M, Spenlehauer C, Saphire EO, Binley JM, Moore JP, Stiegler G, Katinger H, Burton DR, Parren PW. Broadly neutralizing antibodies targeted to the membrane-proximal external region of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 glycoprotein gp41. J Virol. 2001;75(22):10892-905. doi: 10.1128/JVI.75.22.10892-10905.2001. PubMed PMID: 11602729; PMCID: PMC114669.

28.   Williams LD, Ofek G, Schätzle S, McDaniel JR, Lu X, Nicely NI, Wu L, Lougheed CS, Bradley T, Louder MK, McKee K, Bailer RT, O'Dell S, Georgiev IS, Seaman MS, Parks RJ,

Marshall DJ, Anasti K, Yang G, Nie X, Tumba NL, Wiehe K, Wagh K, Korber B, Kepler TB, Munir Alam S, Morris L, Kamanga G, Cohen MS, Bonsignori M, Xia SM, Montefiori DC, Kelsoe G, Gao F, Mascola JR, Moody MA, Saunders KO, Liao HX, Tomaras GD, Georgiou G, Haynes BF. Potent and broad HIV-neutralizing antibodies in memory B cells and plasma. Sci Immunol. 2017;2(7). doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aal2200. PubMed PMID: 28783671; PMCID: PMC5905719.

29.   Huang J, Ofek G, Laub L, Louder MK, Doria-Rose NA, Longo NS, Imamichi H, Bailer RT, Chakrabarti B, Sharma SK, Alam SM, Wang T, Yang Y, Zhang B, Migueles SA, Wyatt R, Haynes BF, Kwong PD, Mascola JR, Connors M. Broad and potent neutralization of HIV-1 by a gp41-specific human antibody. Nature. 2012;491(7424):406-12. Epub 2012/11/16. doi: 10.1038/nature11544. PubMed PMID: 23151583; PMCID: PMC4854285.

30.   Zhu Z, Qin HR, Chen W, Zhao Q, Shen X, Schutte R, Wang Y, Ofek G, Streaker E, Prabakaran P, Fouda GG, Liao HX, Owens J, Louder M, Yang Y, Klaric KA, Moody MA, Mascola JR, Scott JK, Kwong PD, Montefiori D, Haynes BF, Tomaras GD, Dimitrov DS. Cross-reactive HIV-1-neutralizing human monoclonal antibodies identified from a patient with 2F5-like antibodies. J Virol. 2011;85(21):11401-8. Epub 2011/09/02. doi: 10.1128/JVI.05312-11. PubMed PMID: 21880764; PMCID: PMC3194990.

31.   Alam SM, McAdams M, Boren D, Rak M, Scearce RM, Gao F, Camacho ZT, Gewirth D, Kelsoe G, Chen P, Haynes BF. The role of antibody polyspecificity and lipid reactivity in binding of broadly neutralizing anti-HIV-1 envelope human monoclonal antibodies 2F5 and 4E10 to glycoprotein 41 membrane proximal envelope epitopes. J Immunol. 2007;178(7):4424-35. PubMed PMID: 17372000; PMCID: PMC2262928.

32.   Alam SM, Morelli M, Dennison SM, Liao HX, Zhang R, Xia SM, Rits-Volloch S, Sun L, Harrison SC, Haynes BF, Chen B. Role of HIV membrane in neutralization by two broadly neutralizing antibodies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(48):20234-9. Epub 20091111. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0908713106. PubMed PMID: 19906992; PMCID: PMC2787149.

33.   Irimia A, Sarkar A, Stanfield RL, Wilson IA. Crystallographic Identification of Lipid as an Integral Component of the Epitope of HIV Broadly Neutralizing Antibody 4E10. Immunity. 2016;44(1):21-31. Epub 20160105. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.12.001. PubMed PMID: 26777395; PMCID: PMC4720917.

34.   Buchacher A, Predl R, Strutzenberger K, Steinfellner W, Trkola A, Purtscher M, Gruber G, Tauer C, Steindl F, Jungbauer A. Generation of human monoclonal antibodies against HIV-1 proteins; electrofusion and Epstein-Barr virus transformation for peripheral blood lymphocyte immortalization. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 1994;10(4):359-69. PubMed PMID: 7520721.

35.   Perez LG, Costa MR, Todd CA, Haynes BF, Montefiori DC. Utilization of immunoglobulin G Fc receptors by human immunodeficiency virus type 1: a specific role for antibodies against the membrane-proximal external region of gp41. J Virol. 2009;83(15):7397-410. Epub 20090520. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00656-09. PubMed PMID: 19458010; PMCID: PMC2708617.

36.   Zhang R, Verkoczy L, Wiehe K, Munir Alam S, Nicely NI, Santra S, Bradley T, Pemble CW, Zhang J, Gao F, Montefiori DC, Bouton-Verville H, Kelsoe G, Larimore K, Greenberg PD, Parks R, Foulger A, Peel JN, Luo K, Lu X, Trama AM, Vandergrift N, Tomaras GD, Kepler TB, Moody MA, Liao HX, Haynes BF. Initiation of immune tolerance-controlled HIV gp41 neutralizing B cell lineages. Sci Transl Med. 2016;8(336):336ra62. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf0618. PubMed PMID: 27122615; PMCID: PMC5006673.

37.   Montefiori DC, Filsinger Interrante MV, Bell BN, Rubio AA, Joyce JG, Shiver JW, LaBranche CC, Kim PS. The high-affinity immunoglobulin receptor FcγRI potentiates HIV-1 neutralization via antibodies against the gp41 N-heptad repeat. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(3). doi: 10.1073/pnas.2018027118. PubMed PMID: 33431684; PMCID: PMC7826338.

38.   Chen Y, Zhang J, Hwang KK, Bouton-Verville H, Xia SM, Newman A, Ouyang YB, Haynes BF, Verkoczy L. Common tolerance mechanisms, but distinct cross-reactivities associated with gp41 and lipids, limit production of HIV-1 broad neutralizing antibodies 2F5 and

4E10. J Immunol. 2013;191(3):1260-75. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1300770. PubMed PMID: 23825311; PMCID: PMC3725147.

39.	Liao HX, Lynch R, Zhou T, Gao F, Alam SM, Boyd SD, Fire AZ, Roskin KM, Schramm CA, Zhang Z, Zhu J, Shapiro L, Mullikin JC, Gnanakaran S, Hraber P, Wiehe K, Kelsoe G, Yang G, Xia SM, Montefiori DC, Parks R, Lloyd KE, Scearce RM, Soderberg KA, Cohen M, Kamanga G, Louder MK, Tran LM, Chen Y, Cai F, Chen S, Moquin S, Du X, Joyce MG, Srivatsan S, Zhang B, Zheng A, Shaw GM, Hahn BH, Kepler TB, Korber BT, Kwong PD, Mascola JR, Haynes BF, Program NCS. Co-evolution of a broadly neutralizing HIV-1 antibody and founder virus. Nature. 2013;496(7446):469-76. doi: 10.1038/nature12053. PubMed PMID: 23552890; PMCID: PMC3637846.

40.	Landais E, Moore PL. Development of broadly neutralizing antibodies in HIV-1 infected elite neutralizers. Retrovirology. 2018;15(1):61. Epub 20180905. doi: 10.1186/s12977-018-0443-0. PubMed PMID: 30185183; PMCID: PMC6125991.

41.	Saunders KO, Wiehe K, Tian M, Acharya P, Bradley T, Alam SM, Go EP, Scearce R, Sutherland L, Henderson R, Hsu AL, Borgnia MJ, Chen H, Lu X, Wu NR, Watts B, Jiang C, Easterhoff D, Cheng HL, McGovern K, Waddicor P, Chapdelaine-Williams A, Eaton A, Zhang J, Rountree W, Verkoczy L, Tomai M, Lewis MG, Desaire HR, Edwards RJ, Cain DW, Bonsignori M, Montefiori D, Alt FW, Haynes BF. Targeted selection of HIV-specific antibody mutations by engineering B cell maturation. Science. 2019;366(6470). doi: 10.1126/science.aay7199. PubMed PMID: 31806786.

42.	Williams WB, Zhang J, Jiang C, Nicely NI, Fera D, Luo K, Moody MA, Liao HX, Alam SM, Kepler TB, Ramesh A, Wiehe K, Holland JA, Bradley T, Vandergrift N, Saunders KO, Parks R, Foulger A, Xia SM, Bonsignori M, Montefiori DC, Louder M, Eaton A, Santra S, Scearce R, Sutherland L, Newman A, Bouton-Verville H, Bowman C, Bomze H, Gao F, Marshall DJ, Whitesides JF, Nie X, Kelsoe G, Reed SG, Fox CB, Clary K, Koutsoukos M, Franco D, Mascola JR, Harrison SC, Haynes BF, Verkoczy L. Initiation of HIV neutralizing B cell lineages with sequential envelope immunizations. Nat Commun. 2017;8(1):1732. Epub 2017/11/23. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01336-3. PubMed PMID: 29170366; PMCID: PMC5701043.

43.	Saunders KO, Verkoczy LK, Jiang C, Zhang J, Parks R, Chen H, Housman M, Bouton-Verville H, Shen X, Trama AM, Scearce R, Sutherland L, Santra S, Newman A, Eaton A, Xu K, Georgiev IS, Joyce MG, Tomaras GD, Bonsignori M, Reed SG, Salazar A, Mascola JR, Moody MA, Cain DW, Centlivre M, Zurawski S, Zurawski G, Erickson HP, Kwong PD, Alam SM, Levy Y, Montefiori DC, Haynes BF. Vaccine Induction of Heterologous Tier 2 HIV-1 Neutralizing Antibodies in Animal Models. Cell Rep. 2017;21(13):3681-90. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.028. PubMed PMID: 29281818; PMCID: PMC5777169.

44.	Leggat DJ, Cohen KW, Willis JR, Fulp WJ, deCamp AC, Kalyuzhniy O, Cottrell CA, Menis S, Finak G, Ballweber-Fleming L, Srikanth A, Plyler JR, Schiffner T, Liguori A, Rahaman F, Lombardo A, Philiponis V, Whaley RE, Seese A, Brand J, Ruppel AM, Hoyland W, Yates NL, Williams LD, Greene K, Gao H, Mahoney CR, Corcoran MM, Cagigi A, Taylor A, Brown DM, Ambrozak DR, Sincomb T, Hu X, Tingle R, Georgeson E, Eskandarzadeh S, Alavi N, Lu D, Mullen TM, Kubitz M, Groschel B, Maenza J, Kolokythas O, Khati N, Bethony J, Crotty S, Roederer M, Karlsson Hedestam GB, Tomaras GD, Montefiori D, Diemert D, Koup RA, Laufer DS, McElrath MJ, McDermott AB, Schief WR. Vaccination induces HIV broadly neutralizing antibody precursors in humans. Science. 2022;378(6623):eadd6502. Epub 20221202. doi: 10.1126/science.add6502. PubMed PMID: 36454825.

45.	Xu K, Acharya P, Kong R, Cheng C, Chuang GY, Liu K, Louder MK, O'Dell S, Rawi R, Sastry M, Shen CH, Zhang B, Zhou T, Asokan M, Bailer RT, Chambers M, Chen X, Choi CW, Dandey VP, Doria-Rose NA, Druz A, Eng ET, Farney SK, Foulds KE, Geng H, Georgiev IS, Gorman J, Hill KR, Jafari AJ, Kwon YD, Lai YT, Lemmin T, McKee K, Ohr TY, Ou L, Peng D, Rowshan AP, Sheng Z, Todd JP, Tsybovsky Y, Viox EG, Wang Y, Wei H, Yang Y, Zhou AF, Chen R, Yang L, Scorpio DG, McDermott AB, Shapiro L, Carragher B, Potter CS, Mascola JR,

Kwong PD. Epitope-based vaccine design yields fusion peptide-directed antibodies that neutralize diverse strains of HIV-1. Nat Med. 2018;24(6):857-67. Epub 2018/06/04. doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0042-6. PubMed PMID: 29867235; PMCID: PMC6358635.

46.   Ou L, Kong WP, Chuang GY, Ghosh M, Gulla K, O'Dell S, Varriale J, Barefoot N, Changela A, Chao CW, Cheng C, Druz A, Kong R, McKee K, Rawi R, Sarfo EK, Schön A, Shaddeau A, Tsybovsky Y, Verardi R, Wang S, Wanninger TG, Xu K, Yang GJ, Zhang B, Zhang Y, Zhou T, Arnold FJ, Doria-Rose NA, Lei QP, Ryan ET, Vann WF, Mascola JR, Kwong PD, Program VP. Preclinical Development of a Fusion Peptide Conjugate as an HIV Vaccine Immunogen. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):3032. Epub 2020/02/20. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-59711-y. PubMed PMID: 32080235; PMCID: PMC7033230.

47.   Alam SM, Liao HX, Dennison SM, Jaeger F, Parks R, Anasti K, Foulger A, Donathan M, Lucas J, Verkoczy L, Nicely N, Tomaras GD, Kelsoe G, Chen B, Kepler TB, Haynes BF. Differential reactivity of germ line allelic variants of a broadly neutralizing HIV-1 antibody to a gp41 fusion intermediate conformation. J Virol. 2011;85(22):11725-31. Epub 2011/09/14. doi: 10.1128/JVI.05680-11. PubMed PMID: 21917975; PMCID: PMC3209283.

48.   Wang S, Matassoli F, Zhang B, Liu T, Shen CH, Bylund T, Johnston T, Henry AR, Teng IT, Tripathi P, Becker JE, Changela A, Chaudhary R, Cheng C, Gaudinski M, Gorman J, Harris DR, Lee M, Morano NC, Novik L, O'Dell S, Olia AS, Parchment DK, Rawi R, Roberts-Torres J, Stephens T, Tsybovsky Y, Wang D, Van Wazer DJ, Zhou T, Doria-Rose NA, Koup RA, Shapiro L, Douek DC, McDermott AB, Kwong PD. HIV-1 neutralizing antibodies elicited in humans by a prefusion-stabilized envelope trimer form a reproducible class targeting fusion peptide. Cell Rep. 2023;42(7):112755. Epub 20230711. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112755. PubMed PMID: 37436899.

49.   Dennison SM, Sutherland LL, Jaeger FH, Anasti KM, Parks R, Stewart S, Bowman C, Xia SM, Zhang R, Shen X, Scearce RM, Ofek G, Yang Y, Kwong PD, Santra S, Liao HX, Tomaras G, Letvin NL, Chen B, Alam SM, Haynes BF. Induction of antibodies in rhesus macaques that recognize a fusion-intermediate conformation of HIV-1 gp41. PLoS One. 2011;6(11):e27824. Epub 20111130. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0027824. PubMed PMID: 22140469; PMCID: PMC3227606.

50.   Dennison SM, Stewart SM, Stempel KC, Liao HX, Haynes BF, Alam SM. Stable docking of neutralizing human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gp41 membrane-proximal external region monoclonal antibodies 2F5 and 4E10 is dependent on the membrane immersion depth of their epitope regions. J Virol. 2009;83(19):10211-23. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00571-09. PubMed PMID: 19640992; PMCID: PMC2748034.

51.   Erdmann N, Williams WB, Walsh SR, Grunenberg N, Edlefsen P, Goepfert PA, Maenza J, Mayer K, Tieu HV, Sobieszczyk ME, Swann E, Lu H, De Rosa SC, Parks R, Sagawa Z, Moody MA, Ferrari G, Tomaras GD, Montefiori DC, Gilbert PB, McElrath MJ, Haynes BF, Baden LR, Group NHS. A Gp41 Liposome Vaccine Elicits HIV-1 MPER-Specific Antibody Responses in Healthy Humans. In preparation. 2023.

52.   Muster T, Guinea R, Trkola A, Purtscher M, Klima A, Steindl F, Palese P, Katinger H. Cross-neutralizing activity against divergent human immunodeficiency virus type 1 isolates induced by the gp41 sequence ELDKWAS. J Virol. 1994;68(6):4031-4. PubMed PMID: 7514684; PMCID: PMC236911.

53.   Finney J, Yang G, Kuraoka M, Song S, Nojima T, Verkoczy L, Kitamura D, Haynes BF, Kelsoe G. Cross-Reactivity to Kynureninase Tolerizes B Cells That Express the HIV-1 Broadly Neutralizing Antibody 2F5. J Immunol. 2019;203(12):3268-81. Epub 20191115. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1900069. PubMed PMID: 31732530; PMCID: PMC6904439.

54.   Yang G, Holl TM, Liu Y, Li Y, Lu X, Nicely NI, Kepler TB, Alam SM, Liao HX, Cain DW, Spicer L, VandeBerg JL, Haynes BF, Kelsoe G. Identification of autoantigens recognized by the 2F5 and 4E10 broadly neutralizing HIV-1 antibodies. J Exp Med. 2013;210(2):241-56. doi: 10.1084/jem.20121977. PubMed PMID: 23359068; PMCID: PMC3570098.

55.   Ohlin M. Poorly Expressed Alleles of Several Human Immunoglobulin Heavy Chain Variable Genes are Common in the Human Population. Front Immunol. 2020;11:603980. Epub 20210224. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.603980. PubMed PMID: 33717051; PMCID: PMC7943739.

56.   Bricault CA, Yusim K, Seaman MS, Yoon H, Theiler J, Giorgi EE, Wagh K, Theiler M, Hraber P, Macke JP, Kreider EF, Learn GH, Hahn BH, Scheid JF, Kovacs JM, Shields JL, Lavine CL, Ghantous F, Rist M, Bayne MG, Neubauer GH, McMahan K, Peng H, Chéneau C, Jones JJ, Zeng J, Ochsenbauer C, Nkolola JP, Stephenson KE, Chen B, Gnanakaran S, Bonsignori M, Williams LD, Haynes BF, Doria-Rose N, Mascola JR, Montefiori DC, Barouch DH, Korber B. HIV-1 Neutralizing Antibody Signatures and Application to Epitope-Targeted Vaccine Design. Cell Host Microbe. 2019;25(1):59-72.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2018.12.001. PubMed PMID: 30629920; PMCID: PMC6331341.

57.   Alam SM, Cronin K, Parks R, Anasti K, Ding H, Go EP, Desaire H, Eaton A, Montefiori D, Sodroski J, Kappes J, Haynes BF, Saunders KO. Antigenicity and Immunogenicity of HIV-1 Envelope Trimers Complexed to a Small-Molecule Viral Entry Inhibitor. J Virol. 2020;94(21). Epub 2020/10/14. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00958-20. PubMed PMID: 32817216; PMCID: PMC7565611.

58.   Rantalainen K, Berndsen ZT, Antanasijevic A, Schiffner T, Zhang X, Lee WH, Torres JL, Zhang L, Irimia A, Copps J, Zhou KH, Kwon YD, Law WH, Schramm CA, Verardi R, Krebs SJ, Kwong PD, Doria-Rose NA, Wilson IA, Zwick MB, Yates JR, Schief WR, Ward AB. HIV-1 Envelope and MPER Antibody Structures in Lipid Assemblies. Cell Rep. 2020;31(4):107583. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107583. PubMed PMID: 32348769; PMCID: PMC7196886.

59.   Ofek G, Zirkle B, Yang Y, Zhu Z, McKee K, Zhang B, Chuang GY, Georgiev IS, O'Dell S, Doria-Rose N, Mascola JR, Dimitrov DS, Kwong PD. Structural basis for HIV-1 neutralization by 2F5-like antibodies m66 and m66.6. J Virol. 2014;88(5):2426-41. Epub 2013/12/18. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02837-13. PubMed PMID: 24335316; PMCID: PMC3958054.

60.   Ofek G, Tang M, Sambor A, Katinger H, Mascola JR, Wyatt R, Kwong PD. Structure and mechanistic analysis of the anti-human immunodeficiency virus type 1 antibody 2F5 in complex with its gp41 epitope. J Virol. 2004;78(19):10724-37. Epub 2004/09/16. doi: 10.1128/JVI.78.19.10724-10737.2004. PubMed PMID: 15367639; PMCID: PMC516390.

61.   Medina-Ramírez M, Garces F, Escolano A, Skog P, de Taeye SW, Del Moral-Sanchez I, McGuire AT, Yasmeen A, Behrens AJ, Ozorowski G, van den Kerkhof TLGM, Freund NT, Dosenovic P, Hua Y, Gitlin AD, Cupo A, van der Woude P, Golabek M, Sliepen K, Blane T, Kootstra N, van Breemen MJ, Pritchard LK, Stanfield RL, Crispin M, Ward AB, Stamatatos L, Klasse PJ, Moore JP, Nemazee D, Nussenzweig MC, Wilson IA, Sanders RW. Design and crystal structure of a native-like HIV-1 envelope trimer that engages multiple broadly neutralizing antibody precursors in vivo. J Exp Med. 2017;214(9):2573-90. Epub 20170828. doi: 10.1084/jem.20161160. PubMed PMID: 28847869; PMCID: PMC5584115.

62.   Tian M, Cheng C, Chen X, Duan H, Cheng HL, Dao M, Sheng Z, Kimble M, Wang L, Lin S, Schmidt SD, Du Z, Joyce MG, Chen Y, DeKosky BJ, Normandin E, Cantor E, Chen RE, Doria-Rose NA, Zhang Y, Shi W, Kong WP, Choe M, Henry AR, Laboune F, Georgiev IS, Huang PY, Jain S, McGuire AT, Georgeson E, Menis S, Douek DC, Schief WR, Stamatatos L, Kwong PD, Shapiro L, Haynes BF, Mascola JR, Alt FW. Induction of HIV Neutralizing Antibody Lineages in Mice with Diverse Precursor Repertoires. Cell. 2016;166(6):1471-84.e18. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.07.029. PubMed PMID: 27610571; PMCID: PMC5103708.

63.   Liao HX, Levesque MC, Nagel A, Dixon A, Zhang R, Walter E, Parks R, Whitesides J, Marshall DJ, Hwang KK, Yang Y, Chen X, Gao F, Munshaw S, Kepler TB, Denny T, Moody MA, Haynes BF. High-throughput isolation of immunoglobulin genes from single human B cells and expression as monoclonal antibodies. J Virol Methods. 2009;158(1-2):171-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2009.02.014. PubMed PMID: 19428587; PMCID: PMC2805188.

64.   Morris L, Chen X, Alam M, Tomaras G, Zhang R, Marshall DJ, Chen B, Parks R, Foulger A, Jaeger F, Donathan M, Bilska M, Gray ES, Abdool Karim SS, Kepler TB, Whitesides J,

Montefiori D, Moody MA, Liao HX, Haynes BF. Isolation of a human anti-HIV gp41 membrane proximal region neutralizing antibody by antigen-specific single B cell sorting. PLoS One. 2011;6(9):e23532. Epub 2011/10/08. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023532. PubMed PMID: 21980336; PMCID: PMC3184076.

65.   Williams WB, Meyerhoff RR, Edwards RJ, Li H, Manne K, Nicely NI, Henderson R, Zhou Y, Janowska K, Mansouri K, Gobeil S, Evangelous T, Hora B, Berry M, Abuahmad AY, Sprenz J, Deyton M, Stalls V, Kopp M, Hsu AL, Borgnia MJ, Stewart-Jones GBE, Lee MS, Bronkema N, Moody MA, Wiehe K, Bradley T, Alam SM, Parks RJ, Foulger A, Oguin T, Sempowski GD, Bonsignori M, LaBranche CC, Montefiori DC, Seaman M, Santra S, Perfect J, Francica JR, Lynn GM, Aussedat B, Walkowicz WE, Laga R, Kelsoe G, Saunders KO, Fera D, Kwong PD, Seder RA, Bartesaghi A, Shaw GM, Acharya P, Haynes BF. Fab-dimerized glycan-reactive antibodies are a structural category of natural antibodies. Cell. 2021. Epub 2021/05/18. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.042. PubMed PMID: 34019795; PMCID: PMC8135257.

66.   Magoč T, Salzberg SL. FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(21):2957-63. Epub 2011/09/07. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507. PubMed PMID: 21903629; PMCID: PMC3198573.

67.   Kepler TB. Reconstructing a B-cell clonal lineage. I. Statistical inference of unobserved ancestors. F1000Res. 2013;2:103. Epub 2013/04/03. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.2-103.v1. PubMed PMID: 24555054; PMCID: PMC3901458.

68.   Kepler TB, Munshaw S, Wiehe K, Zhang R, Yu JS, Woods CW, Denny TN, Tomaras GD, Alam SM, Moody MA, Kelsoe G, Liao HX, Haynes BF. Reconstructing a B-Cell Clonal Lineage. II. Mutation, Selection, and Affinity Maturation. Front Immunol. 2014;5:170. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00170. PubMed PMID: 24795717; PMCID: PMC4001017.

69.   Platt EJ, Wehrly K, Kuhmann SE, Chesebro B, Kabat D. Effects of CCR5 and CD4 cell surface concentrations on infections by macrophagetropic isolates of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Virol. 1998;72(4):2855-64. doi: 10.1128/JVI.72.4.2855-2864.1998. PubMed PMID: 9525605; PMCID: PMC109730.

70.   Montefiori DC. Measuring HIV neutralization in a luciferase reporter gene assay. Methods Mol Biol. 2009;485:395-405. doi: 10.1007/978-1-59745-170-3_26. PubMed PMID: 19020839.

71.   Virtanen P, Gommers R, Oliphant TE, Haberland M, Reddy T, Cournapeau D, Burovski E, Peterson P, Weckesser W, Bright J, van der Walt SJ, Brett M, Wilson J, Millman KJ, Mayorov N, Nelson ARJ, Jones E, Kern R, Larson E, Carey CJ, Polat İ, Feng Y, Moore EW, VanderPlas J, Laxalde D, Perktold J, Cimrman R, Henriksen I, Quintero EA, Harris CR, Archibald AM, Ribeiro AH, Pedregosa F, van Mulbregt P, Contributors S. SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. Nat Methods. 2020;17(3):261-72. Epub 20200203. doi: 10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2. PubMed PMID: 32015543; PMCID: PMC7056644.

72.   Gilbert PB, Huang Y, deCamp AC, Karuna S, Zhang Y, Magaret CA, Giorgi EE, Korber B, Edlefsen PT, Rossenkhan R, Juraska M, Rudnicki E, Kochar N, Carpp LN, Barouch DH, Mkhize NN, Hermanus T, Kgagudi P, Bekker V, Kaldine H, Mapengo RE, Eaton A, Domin E, West C, Feng W, Tang H, Seaton KE, Heptinstall J, Brackett C, Chiong K, Tomaras GD, Andrew P, Mayer BT, Reeves DB, Sobieszczyk ME, Garrett N, Sanchez J, Gay C, Makhema J, Williamson C, Mullins JI, Hural J, Cohen MS, Corey L, Montefiori DC, Morris L. Neutralization titer biomarker for antibody-mediated prevention of HIV-1 acquisition. Nat Med. 2022;28(9):1924-32. Epub 20220822. doi: 10.1038/s41591-022-01953-6. PubMed PMID: 35995954; PMCID: PMC9499869.

73.   Snider C, Jayasinghe S, Hristova K, White SH. MPEx: a tool for exploring membrane proteins. Protein Sci. 2009;18(12):2624-8. doi: 10.1002/pro.256. PubMed PMID: 19785006; PMCID: PMC2821280.

74.   Wimley WC, White SH. Experimentally determined hydrophobicity scale for proteins at
membrane interfaces. Nat Struct Biol. 1996;3(10):842-8. doi: 10.1038/nsb1096-842. PubMed
PMID: 8836100.
75.   Dunbar J, Deane CM. ANARCI: antigen receptor numbering and receptor classification.
Bioinformatics. 2016;32(2):298-300. Epub 20150930. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv552.
PubMed PMID: 26424857; PMCID: PMC4708101.
76.   Bianchi M, Turner HL, Nogal B, Cottrell CA, Oyen D, Pauthner M, Bastidas R, Nedellec
R, McCoy LE, Wilson IA, Burton DR, Ward AB, Hangartner L. Electron-Microscopy-Based
Epitope Mapping Defines Specificities of Polyclonal Antibodies Elicited during HIV-1 BG505
Envelope Trimer Immunization. Immunity. 2018;49(2):288-300.e8. Epub 20180807. doi:
10.1016/j.immuni.2018.07.009. PubMed PMID: 30097292; PMCID: PMC6104742.
77.   Otwinowski Z, Minor W. Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation
mode. Methods Enzymol. 1997;276:307-26. Epub 1997/01/01. doi: 10.1016/S0076-
6879(97)76066-X. PubMed PMID: 27754618.
78.   Kabsch W. Xds. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2010;66(Pt 2):125-32. Epub
2010/02/04. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909047337. PubMed PMID: 20124692; PMCID:
PMC2815665.
79.   Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, Headd JJ, Hung
LW, Kapral GJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW, Oeffner R, Read RJ,
Richardson DC, Richardson JS, Terwilliger TC, Zwart PH. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-
based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr.
2010;66(Pt 2):213-21. Epub 2010/02/04. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909052925. PubMed PMID:
20124702; PMCID: PMC2815670.
80.   Emsley P, Cowtan K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr
D Biol Crystallogr. 2004;60(Pt 12 Pt 1):2126-32. Epub 2004/12/02. doi:
10.1107/S0907444904019158. PubMed PMID: 15572765.
81.   Adams PD, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Hung LW, Ioerger TR, McCoy AJ, Moriarty NW,
Read RJ, Sacchettini JC, Sauter NK, Terwilliger TC. PHENIX: building new software for
automated crystallographic structure determination. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr.
2002;58(Pt 11):1948-54. Epub 2002/10/24. doi: 10.1107/s0907444902016657. PubMed PMID:
12393927.
82.   Krissinel E, Henrick K. Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. J
Mol Biol. 2007;372(3):774-97. Epub 2007/08/08. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2007.05.022. PubMed
PMID: 17681537.
83.   Zivanov J, Nakane T, Forsberg BO, Kimanius D, Hagen WJ, Lindahl E, Scheres SH.
New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure determination in RELION-3. Elife.
2018;7. Epub 20181109. doi: 10.7554/eLife.42166. PubMed PMID: 30412051; PMCID:
PMC6250425.

1701

Figure 6

(a)

gp41 (DH1317.8) Cα RMSD$_{656-669}$=0.97Å

gp41 (DH1322.1) (RMSD reference)

gp41 (DH1346) Cα RMSD$_{656-669}$=0.64Å

gp41 (DH570) Cα RMSD$_{660-669}$=0.15Å

gp41 (m66) Cα RMSD$_{658-664}$=0.96Å

gp41 (2F5) Cα RMSD= N/A

Figure 5

Figure 4

Figure 3

(a)

Neutralization profile			V$_H$ gene	HCDR3 length (aa)	mAb ID	WT	E662A	L663A	D664A	K665A	W666A	S668A	L669A
TZM-bl/ FcγRI (Tier 1/2)	TZM-bl (Tier 1)	TZM-bl (Tier 2)											
+	+	+	V$_H$2-5	24	2F5	12.7	12.5	12.7	0.5	8.7	0	12.6	12.2
+	+	+	V$_H$5-51	21	m66.6	13.5	14.0	0.4	6.1	0.3	0.9	13.3	13.7
+	-	-	V$_H$2-5	11	DH1316.8	18.4	18.3	16.9	0.9	16.9	16.8	18.1	18.1
+	+	-	V$_H$3-49	9	DH1318.2	16.2	16.3	14.7	1.2	15.7	16.7	16.8	15.9
+	-	-	V$_H$5-51	15	DH1321.1	16.6	16.7	6.3	14.0	0.8	13.3	16.4	16.1
+	+	-	V$_H$7-4-1	23	DH1322.1	14.7	14.1	14.0	6.5	15.2	13.3	15.3	15.1
+	+	-	V$_H$7-4-1	20	DH1346	15.8	15.9	15.5	15.4	14.4	15.2	15.5	15.6
+	+	-	V$_H$7-4-1	18	DH1319.1	16.3	16.5	16.5	6.4	15.7	16.5	15.9	15.8
+	+	-	V$_H$7-4-1	15	DH1317.8	16.1	16.1	16.4	3.9	15.5	16.1	15.6	15.3
+	+	+	V$_H$7-4-1	15	DH1317.9	17.0	17.2	17.1	2.0	16.9	16.9	16.8	17.0
+	+	+	V$_H$7-4-1	15	DH1317.4	15.5	16.7	16.1	5.9	15.9	16.0	14.7	14.8

SP62 peptides

Binding titers (Log AUC)

(b) *DH1317 neutralization of multiclade HIV in TZM-bl/FcγRI assay*

IC50, µg/mL	<0.1	0.1-1	1-10	10-50	>50

Virus Name	Clade	DH1317.9 IC50	DH1317.9 IC80	DH1317.4 IC50	DH1317.4 IC80	m66.6 IC50	m66.6 IC80	2F5 IC50	2F5 IC80
HIV H704_2684_181_RE_p001s	B	<0.02	0.6	<0.02	0.8	>50	>50	>50	>50
H704_1535_030sN	B	<0.02	>50	0.1	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
H704_0026_231_RE_pbsga001_s	B	>50	>50	>50	>50	<0.02	0.07	<0.02	0.06
V704_0372_250_RE_pblib001_s	B	<0.02	0.09	<0.02	0.05	<0.02	0.04	<0.02	<0.02
H704_0855_080_EsN	B	>50	>50	>50	>50	<0.02	0.9	<0.02	0.07
6644.v2.c33	C	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
TV1.21	C	>50	>50	>50	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.04
3168.v4.c10	C	>50	>50	>50	>50	<0.02	>50	<0.02	11
ZM197M.PB7	C	<0.02	2.6	<0.02	>50	<0.02	0.1	<0.02	>50
CAP206.1.B5	C	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
CNE5	AE	>50	>50	>50	>50	<0.02	0.2	<0.02	0.3
CNE59	AE	>50	>50	>50	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
T242-14	AG	>50	>50	>50	>50	<0.02	0.5	<0.02	0.05
T257-31	AG	<0.02	>50	<0.02	>50	<0.02	0.6	<0.02	0.3
T253-11	AG	<0.02	33	<0.02	14	<0.02	0.1	<0.02	0.05
Q23.17	A	>50	>50	>50	>50	<0.02	0.1	<0.02	0.1
MS208.A1	A	0.09	>50	0.03	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
92UG024.2	D	>50	>50	>50	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.03
T247-23	D	>50	>50	>50	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.02
SVA-MLV	negative	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50

Neutralization titers in TZM-bl/FcγRI assay

Figure 3 (cont.d) – next page

Figure 3

(c)

Figure 2

(a) *MPER bnAb maturation pathway*

Unmutated Ancestor
- Binds ELDKWA epitope

Early Intermediate
- Binds ELDKWA epitope
- Neutralization Tier 1 HIV in TZM-bl/FcγRI assay

Late Intermediate
- Binds ELDKWA epitope
- Neutralization Tier 2 HIV in TZM-bl/FcγRI assay
- Neutralization Tier 1 HIV in TZM-bl assay

BnAb
- Binds ELDKWA epitope
- Neutralization Tier 2 HIV in TZM-bl/FcγRI assay
- Neutralization Tier 2 HIV in TZM-bl assay

(b) *Screen for MPER NAbs (HVTN133)*

HVTN133 vaccine recipients; Post-3rd Immunization (N=5)

↓

87 MPER+ Abs = 83 unique Abs (38 clonal B cell lineages)

↓ *Neutralization screen; 80/83 Abs tested*

49/80 Neutralizing MPER+ mAbs with heterologous HIV-1 neutralization in TZM-bl/ FcγRI assay (24 clonal B cell lineages)

↓

26/49 Neutralizing MPER+ Abs with heterologous HIV-1 neutralization in the TZM-bl assay (14 clonal B cell lineages)

(d) *DH1317 neutralization curves, Clade B HIV-1*

DH1317.4

Legend:
- ■ W61D (Tier 1)
- ▲ HXB2 (Tier 1)
- ▼ WITO (Tier 2)
- ◆ JRFL (Tier 2)
- ● SC422661.8 (Tier 2)

} Clade B HIV-1

(e) *Neutralization breadth; DH1317.4 Ab*

TZM-bl neutralization of V_H7-4-1-using DH1317.4 bnAb	IC50	IC80
Geomean, µg/ml	13.1	18.9
# of HIV-1 strains neutralized	29	12
Breadth, Multiclade (N=197)	15%	6.1%
Breadth, Clade B (N=72)	35% (25/72)	12.5% (9/72)
Breadth, Clade B Tier 2/ 3 & AMP Trial strains (N=64)	31% (20/64)	11% (7/64)

Figure 2c, cont.d – next page

Figure 2

(c) *Clade B, HIV-1 neutralization; MPER+ nAbs (n=49)*

IC50, µg/mL	<0.1	0.1-1	1-10	10-50	>50

		Neutralization titers, IC50 µg/ml) - Tier 1 and 2 Clade B HIV-1 strains										
		TZM-bl/FcγRI						TZM-bl				
Ab ID	**IGHV gene**	MuLV	W61D	HXB2	WITO	JRFL	SC422661.8	MuLV	W61D	HXB2	WITO	JRFL	SC422661.8
		n/a	Tier 1	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 2	Tier 2	TZM-bl	TZM-bl	TZM-bl	TZM-bl	TZM-bl	TZM-bl
DH1355	IGHV1-69	>50	0.85	0.91	>50	>50	>50	>50	18	14	>50	>50	>50
DH1316.3	IGHV2-5	>50	9.0	7.2	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1316.8	IGHV2-5	>50	4.0	0.64	failed	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1318.2	IGHV3-49	>50	0.10	<0.02	1.1	0.09	0.15	>50	4.4	30	>50	>50	>50
DH1318.3_7	IGHV3-49	>50	0.04	<0.02	<0.02	1.1	0.43	>50	3.9	19	>50	>50	>50
DH1318.4	IGHV3-49	>50	28	2.5	>50	36	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1318.5	IGHV3-49	>50	3.9	<0.02	0.26	1.1	0.40	>50	24	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1318.6	IGHV3-49	>50	0.38	<0.02	0.04	0.26	0.12	>50	5.5	15	>50	>50	>50
DH1318.8	IGHV3-49	>50	30	25.3	>50	1.6	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1318.9	IGHV3-49	>50	2.2	<0.02	1.7	0.91	3.0	>50	19	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1353	IGHV3-73	>50	<0.02	<0.02	>50	<0.02	0.02	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1321.1	IGHV5-51	>50	2.6	<0.02	23	0.02	0.11	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1321.2	IGHV5-51	>50	>50	0.08	>50	0.13	0.16	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1321.4	IGHV5-51	>50	>50	failed	>50	0.71	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1352.1	IGHV5-51	>50	>50	<0.02	37	0.24	14	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1352.2	IGHV5-51	>50	>50	<0.02	>50	0.13	2.7	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1354	IGHV5-51	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0.03	>50	15	31	>50	>50	>50
DH1360	IGHV5-51	>50	<0.02	<0.02	0.004	0.08	>50	>50	46.2	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1362.2	IGHV5-51	>50	>50	<0.02	3.3	0.12	0.11	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1362.3	IGHV5-51	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	>50	6.4	27	>50	>50	>50
DH1362.4	IGHV5-51	>50	>50	<0.02	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1363.2	IGHV5-51	>50	>50	<0.02	0.15	0.24	0.96	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1420	IGHV5-51	>50	<0.02	<0.02	0.05	0.04	0.03	>50	36	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1421	IGHV5-51	>50	0.23	<0.02	0.18	0.05	<0.02	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1423	IGHV5-51	>50	<0.02	<0.02	0.07	0.06	0.05	>50	3.5	25	>50	>50	>50
DH1317.1	IGHV7-4-1	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	>50	0.24	2.5	>50	20	28
DH1317.2	IGHV7-4-1	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	>50	0.26	2.3	>50	26	50
DH1317.3	IGHV7-4-1	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	>50	0.45	4.3	>50	21	23
DH1317.4	IGHV7-4-1	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	>50	0.14	1.2	41	13	16
DH1317.6	IGHV7-4-1	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	>50	1.0	3.7	>50	23	35
DH1317.7	IGHV7-4-1	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	>50	0.46	5.1	>50	22	41
DH1317.8	IGHV7-4-1	>50	<0.02	<0.02	0.10	<0.02	<0.02	>50	1.7	5.1	>50	>50	>50
DH1317.9	IGHV7-4-1	>50	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	>50	3.0	3.4	46	12	11
DH1319.1	IGHV7-4-1	>50	<0.02	<0.02	>50	<0.02	<0.02	>50	6.5	37	>50	>50	>50
DH1319.2	IGHV7-4-1	>50	<0.02	<0.02	>50	0.06	0.05	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1322.1	IGHV7-4-1	>50	0.65	2.1	>50	0.18	1.5	>50	34	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1346	IGHV7-4-1	>50	1.6	<0.02	>50	<0.02	0.03	>50	39	16	>50	>50	>50
DH1347.1	IGHV7-4-1	>50	>50	<0.02	>50	0.97	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1347.2	IGHV7-4-1	>50	0.03	<0.02	>50	<0.02	<0.02	>50	16	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1347.3	IGHV7-4-1	>50	2.2	<0.02	>50	<0.02	0.17	>50	15	31	>50	>50	>50
DH1349.1_2	IGHV7-4-1	>50	0.47	0.17	>50	1.8	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1349.3	IGHV7-4-1	>50	0.07	<0.02	>50	<0.02	0.07	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1350	IGHV7-4-1	>50	<0.02	<0.02	>50	<0.02	0.06	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1351.1	IGHV7-4-1	>50	>50	0.11	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1351.2	IGHV7-4-1	>50	32	<0.02	>50	<0.02	0.76	>50	>50	27	>50	>50	>50
DH1361.1	IGHV7-4-1	>50	1.3	1.1	>50	0.31	failed	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1361.2	IGHV7-4-1	>50	>50	0.70	>50	3.1	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1413	IGHV7-4-1	>50	>50	>50	>50	43	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50	>50
DH1425	IGHV7-4-1	>50	<0.02	<0.02	>50	<0.02	<0.02	>50	>50	20	>50	37	>50

Figure 1