

Anxiety, depression, and insomnia among nurses during the full liberalization of COVID-19: A multicenter cross-sectional analysis of the high-income region in China

Julan Xiao^{1,2}, Lili Liu³, Yueming Peng^{4,2}, Yi Wen^{1,2}, Xia Lv^{5,2}, Lijun Liang^{4,2}, Yi Fan^{4,2}, Jie Chen^{6,2}, Yanru Chen^{7,2}, Hongying Hu^{4,2}, Weisi Peng^{4,2}, Haiyan Wang^{4,2}, Weixiang Luo^{4,2*}

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Shenzhen People's Hospital (The Second Clinical Medical College, Jinan University; The First Affiliated Hospital, Southern University of Science and Technology), Shenzhen 518020, Guangdong, China.

²Shenzhen Clinical Research Centre for Geriatrics, Shenzhen People's Hospital, Shenzhen 518020, Guangdong, China.

³Department of Psychology, School of Public Health, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China.

⁴Department of Nursing, Shenzhen People's Hospital (The Second Clinical Medical College, Jinan University; The First Affiliated Hospital, Southern University of Science and Technology), Shenzhen 518020, Guangdong, China.

⁵Department of Nursing, Longhua Branch of Shenzhen People's Hospital (The Second Clinical Medical College, Jinan University; The First Affiliated Hospital, Southern University of Science and Technology), Shenzhen 518020, Guangdong, China.

⁶Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Shenzhen People's Hospital (The Second Clinical Medical College, Jinan University; The First Affiliated Hospital, Southern University of Science and Technology), Shenzhen 518020, Guangdong, China.

⁷Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Shenzhen People's Hospital (The Second Clinical Medical College, Jinan University; The First Affiliated Hospital, Southern University of Science and Technology), Shenzhen 518020, Guangdong, China.

Abstract

Aims and objectives: This study demonstrates the impact of the full liberalization of COVID-19 on the psychological issues and the prevalence rate and associated factors of depressive symptoms, anxiety, and insomnia among frontline nurses.

Background: It has been demonstrated that frontline nurses fighting against the epidemic were under great psychological stress. However, there is a lack of studies assessing the prevalence rates of anxiety, depression, and insomnia among frontline nurses after the full liberalization of COVID-19 in China.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Methods: Of 1766 frontline nurses were invited to complete a self-reported online questionnaire by convenience sampling. The survey included six main sections: the Patient Health Questionnaire, the

Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale, the Insomnia Severity Index, the Perceived Stress Scale, sociodemographic information, and work information. Multiple logistic regression analyses were applied to identify the potential risk factors for psychological issues. Reporting of this research according to the STROBE checklist.

Results: 90.83% of frontline nurses were infected with COVID-19, and 33.64% had to work while infected COVID-19. The overall prevalence of depressive symptoms, anxiety and insomnia among frontline nurses was 69.20%, 62.51%, and 76.78%, respectively. Multiple logistic analyses revealed that job satisfaction, attitude toward the current pandemic management, and perceived stress were associated with depressive symptoms, anxiety, and insomnia.

Conclusions: This study demonstrated that the full liberalization of COVID-19 had a significant psychological impact on frontline nurses. Early detection of mental health issues and preventive and promotive interventions should be implemented according to the associated factors to improve mental health of nurses.

Relevance to clinical practice: This study highlighted that nurses were suffering from varying degrees of depressive symptoms, anxiety, and insomnia, which needed early screening and preventive and promotive interventions for preventing a more serious psychological impact on frontline nurses.

Patient or Public Contribution: No Patient or Public Contribution.

Keywords: Anxiety; depressive symptoms; insomnia; perceived stress; nurses; epidemiology; full liberalization of COVID-19

1 | INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a rapidly spreading disease with high infectivity and high morbidity and mortality rates worldwide caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome-novel coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) (Jakovljevic et al., 2020). The pandemic has caused a global threat to health and life. By the end of April 2020, approximately 90,000 health care workers worldwide were infected, and more than 600 nurses died (Dawn News, 2020). As such, nurses who care for COVID-19 patients are at a high risk of infection. To combat COVID-19, in affected countries such as China, measures have been implemented to prevent the spread of the virus, such as quarantine, daily nucleic acid testing, temperature screening and screening of patients with COVID-19, and setting up fever clinics and isolation units in many hospitals (National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China, 2023). On December 27, 2022, the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China issued a circular on further optimizing prevention and control measures against COVID-19 and suddenly adopted a policy of

full liberalization, called daily nucleic acid testing or temperature screening of patients with COVID-19 infection. In a very short time, most people, whether they were medical staff or the general population, were infected. There was a lack of preparation for defeating COVID-19 due to sudden full liberalization, and nurses faced challenges and difficulties such as the huge increase in the number of critically ill COVID-19 patients. Many nurses were infected and had to work while ill, and nursing work stress increased. Nurses were physically and psychologically exhausted from intensive, heavy workloads and long-term usage of personal protective equipment (Liu et al., 2020; Doo et al., 2020).

2 | BACKGROUND

Nurses constitute the largest proportion of hospital health care professionals (United States Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2023), spend more time caring for patients than other health care workers, and play an essential role in the treatment, care, and control of patients during disease outbreaks, disasters, and emergency situations (Doo et al., 2020; Labrague & de Los Santos, 2021) . In addition, nurses have the closest proximity to COVID-19 patients worldwide. Previous research has shown that frontline health care providers have a high risk of mental health conditions during the COVID-19 pandemic, mainly fear, anxiety, depression, and insomnia. Approximately 91.2% of 1837 nurses working

in Wuhan, China, showed fear of infection and death as well as fear of nosocomial spreading to their loved ones (Hu et al., 2020). A systematic review and meta-analysis of global health care professionals showed that the pooled prevalence of psychological problems for anxiety, depression, and distress was 40%, 37%, and 37%, respectively (Saragih et al., 2021). A cross-sectional study reported that the prevalence of anxiety, depression, insomnia, and nonspecific distress symptoms in Chinese frontline health care workers was 44.6%, 50.4%, 34.0%, and 71.5%, respectively (Lai et al., 2020). Therefore, it is necessary to pay special attention to the psychological health of frontline nurses.

Nurses were at the forefront of defeating the pandemic and were therefore at risk of developing high levels of stress and physical and psychological depletion. They were affected by a variety of stressors in their workplaces because they were confronted with a complex psychological conflict between their responsibility, dedication, and mission as nurses to provide health and care for patients with a very dangerous virus and their right to protect themselves from the virus (Hu et al., 2020; Kim, 2018). After the sudden full liberalization of COVID-19, nurses experienced acute and exacerbated stress (e.g., more COVID-19 patients, direct exposure to diseases, longer workload and work shifts, and high rates of infection by COVID-19), whereas there was a shortage of resources (e.g., a lack of protective equipment, insufficient

facilities, insufficient nursing staff, lack of training or experience in caring for seriously ill COVID-19 patients) (Lorente, Vera & Peiró, 2021). In a systematic review of the psychology of frontline health care staff caring for COVID-19 patients, the prevalence of stress was 45% (Salari et al., 2020). Stress is the most common issue and an integral part of human life. Hospital staff in charge of admitting and directly caring for COVID-19 patients were subjected to pressure that adversely impacted their health and job satisfaction (Salari et al., 2020). Stress can increase anxiety and depression, jeopardize physical, mental, and social health, and even lead to suicidal thoughts (Kapur et al., 2016). More importantly, poor psychological health among nurses not only has been linked to negative outcomes such as psychological distress, depression, anxiety, and burnout but also hinders professional performance, in turn leading to the deterioration of the quality of patients' care (Lorente, Vera & Peiró, 2021). Thus, frontline nurses should maintain excellent physical and psychological health.

Nurses working during the global pandemic were physically and psychologically exhausted and experienced severe anxiety, depression, and stress. However, there is a lack of studies exploring anxiety, depression, perceived stress, and sleep disorders among nurses during the sudden full liberalization of COVID-19 in China. In particular, most medical staff were also infected during this specific period. In addition to

psychological health problems, some physical symptoms and manifestations of the virus, such as pain, fatigue, cough, expectoration, sore throat, and weakness, caused additional workload to health care systems.

This study aimed to determine the prevalence rates of anxiety, depression, and insomnia among frontline nurses during the full liberalization of COVID-19 in Shenzhen, China, and to explore risk factors and protective measures to provide a theoretical basis for the early identification and intervention of negative emotions for these professionals. We hypothesize that perceived stress, job satisfaction, turnover intention, attitude toward current pandemic management, and perceived health status are associated with the risk of depression, anxiety, and insomnia. To our knowledge, this is the first study to determine the prevalence of mental health issues after the full liberalization of COVID-19. Consequently, our study will make a positive contribution to the literature on organizing preventive mental health services and help plan emergency responses to future infectious disease outbreaks.

3 | METHOD

3.1 | Study Design, Setting, and Participants

This was a multicenter cross-sectional study conducted from December 27, 2022, to January 7, 2023, in six tertiary hospitals in Shenzhen. Convenience sampling was used for the recruitment strategy, and 1833

nurses volunteered to participate. The online questionnaire was shared via “Wenjuan Xing”, a professional questionnaire survey platform that is widely used in China (Zheng et al., 2021). The online survey was first disseminated through an instant messaging system, the WeChat group, to nursing managers at Shenzhen hospitals, who were encouraged to pass it on to other frontline nurses at Shenzhen hospitals. The inclusion criteria for participants were as follows: (1) nurses aged 18 and above; (2) normal language expression and understanding and the ability to understand the investigation content and cooperate with the research; and (3) the ability to give voluntary informed consent. The exclusion criteria were (1) history of mental illness and (2) serious physical diseases. Screening criteria included a response time of fewer than 200 seconds (Trauzettel-Klosinski, Dietz & IReST Study Group, 2012) to complete the survey and the deletion of incomplete or repeated answers. While reviewing the participants’ data, sixty-seven nurses completed the questionnaire in less than 200s or had missing data. In total, 1766 frontline nurses completed the questionnaire (response rate of 96.34%).

3.2 | Procedures

This study was conducted in Shenzhen, which is one of the highest-income cities in China. All investigators received unified training on the questionnaire survey. The unified guidance on the questionnaire explained the purpose and completion method of this research. Each

question was a mandatory item and could only be answered once by the same IP address. In addition, the nurses were told that the survey could be stopped at any time, and anonymity would be guaranteed. The online questionnaire began with informed consent. Frontline nurses needed to read the informed consent and chose the “agree” option to begin filling out the questionnaire; otherwise, the questionnaire could not be completed. To test the feasibility and suitability of the questionnaire, online pilot test including 15 frontline nurses was conducted. The nurses who participated were also asked for advice on questionnaire modification. Pilot test data were not used for the final statistics. The trained authors of this article distributed the final version of the questionnaires to the frontline nurses for data collection.

3.3 | Variables and measurement

3.3.1 | Demographic characteristics

A general information questionnaire (created by the authors in line with the literature) included two parts. The first part collected data on the participants’ gender, age, educational background, working years, marital status, income per year, staff type, professional technical titles, night shifts per month, hospital type, and hospital department. The second part included job satisfaction, turnover intention, COVID-19 vaccination status, infection with COVID-19, working status, and compared with the outbreak period, the attitude toward the current epidemic.

3.3.2 | Perceived Stress

The 10-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-10) is based on the theory of psychological stress and was developed in 1983 by Cohen et al (Cohen, Kamarck & Mermelstein, 1983). The PSS-10 is used to measure how stressful an individual perceives events in daily life. The scale has previously shown good reliability and validity (Cohen, Kamarck & Mermelstein, 1983). The PSS-10 is a 10-item self-report scale; six items measure crisis perception and four items measure coping ability. Each item is rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale ranging from 0 indicating “never” to 4 indicating “very often”. The total score on the PSS-10 ranges from 0-40. Higher scores indicate that the individual’s perceived stress level is high. In the current study, the Cronbach’s alpha for the PSS-10 was 0.72, the Guttman Split-Half Coefficient was 0.88.

3.3.3 | Depressive symptoms

The severity of depression was assessed using the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), which is a self-report validated screening instrument for use among patients and general populations. The questionnaire is based on the nine symptoms of depression in the US Diagnostic Standard for Mental Illness (DSM-IV) (Spitzer, Kroenke & Williams, 1999). Participants rate their experiences in the past 2 weeks, scoring each item from 0 to 3 (0=not at all, 1=several days, 2=more than half the days, and 3=nearly every day). Total scores on the PHQ-9 range

from 0 (not at all) to 27 (extremely severe depression) (Kroenke, Spitzer & Williams, 2001) , the higher the score, the more obvious the state of depression. Regarding severity, PHQ-9 comprises five categories as follows: minimal/no depression (0-4), mild depression (5-9), moderate depression (10-14), or severe depression (15-21) (Kocalevent, Hinz & Brähler, 2013). In our study, the Cronbach's alpha for the PHQ-9 was 0.93.

3.3.4 | Anxiety

We used the 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) to evaluate the severity of anxiety, which is a self-rated scale with good reliability and validity (Kang et al; 2020). Each item was scored based on a 4-point Likert scale, where 0 and 3 points indicated 'never' and 'almost every day', respectively. Higher scores indicated higher exposure to anxiety. The total scores are categorized as follows: minimal/no anxiety (0-4), mild anxiety (5-9), moderate anxiety (10-14), or severe anxiety (15-21). Cronbach's alpha in the present study was 0.97.

3.3.5 | Insomnia

The 7-item Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) was used to evaluate the severity of insomnia in the past week. The ISI is a measure of insomnia severity that has been shown to be valid and reliable. The total scores are categorized as follows: normal (0-7), subthreshold (8-14), moderate insomnia (15-21), or severe insomnia (22-28) (Morin, Belleville, Bé

langer & Ivers, 2011). Cronbach's alpha of the scale was 0.93 in this study.

3.6 | Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS version 26.0. Descriptive statistics, including frequencies and central tendencies, were calculated to characterize the sample's demographic profile. Binary and multiple logistic regression analyses were performed to explore the potential factors influencing depression, anxiety and insomnia after the sudden full liberalization of COVID-19. Perceived stress was divided into four groups using quartiles, indicating the position of the score in the sample. The first quartile was 0-25%, the second was 25%-50%, the third was 50%-75%, and the fourth was 75%-100%. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were obtained from the logistic regression models. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3.7 | Ethical considerations

This study was in line with ethical principles, and the contents of the questionnaire didn't involve private and sensitive topics such as names. More importantly, the research was approved by the ethics committee of China People's Hospital (Approval NO. LL-KY-2022004-01). All samples were given information about introducing the study and notified about their own right to withdraw at any time, and informed consent was sought from all eligible participants, which illustrated that they had

understood the study in its entirety.

4 | RESULTS

4.1 | Sociodemographic and characteristics of the participants

The demographic characteristics of the 1766 participants are summarized in Table 1. Most of the participants (n=1694, 95.92%) were female, and the majority (n=1342, 75.99%) were younger than 40 years of age. A total of 30.81% (n=544) of respondents had less than 5 years of work experience, while 45.36% (n=801) reported working in hospitals for more than 10 years. A total of 81.99% (n=1448) of the sample were employed as contract staff with a primary title (n=1092, 61.83%). More than half of the nurses (n=1000, 56.63%) were married.

Regarding the characteristics of the nurses' working situations, 30.69% (n=542) of nurses had no night shifts, while 13.59% (n=240) had 11 or more night shifts per month. The majority (n=1476, 83.58%) stated that they worked in general hospitals. Among the frontline nurses, 4.3% (n=76) worked in the emergency department and 3.34% (n=59) in the intensive care unit (ICU); 48.47% (n=856) were satisfied with their current nursing work, and only 16.54% (n=292) were not satisfied with their work. Furthermore, 37.66% (n=665) had turnover intentions. Overall, 90.83% (n=1604) were infected with COVID-19, and 33.64% (n=594) had to work while infected COVID-19, while 68.74% (n=1214) did not accept their return to duty as soon as a fever was not present after

infection.

4.2 | Prevalence of depressive symptoms, anxiety, and insomnia

The prevalence rates of depression, anxiety, and insomnia in the survey sample are shown in Table 2. The overall prevalence of depressive symptoms, anxiety and insomnia among frontline nurses was 69.20%, 62.51%, and 76.78%, respectively. Specifically, the participants indicated mild (n=538, 30.46%), moderate (n=352, 19.93%), and severe (n=332, 18.80%) depressive symptoms. Similarly, the participants reported mild (n=637, 36.07%), moderate (n=143, 8.10%), and severe (n=324, 18.35%) anxiety. The majority of the nurses reported mild (n=745, 42.19%) and moderate (n= 443, 25.08%) insomnia (Fig.1).

4.3 | Risk factors associated with depressive symptoms

The results from the binary logistic regression analysis are presented in Table 3. Nurses with a primary technical title and intermediate nurses had a higher level of depression than those with a senior title. Nurses who were single (*OR*, 1.58; 95% *CI*, 1.12-2.22), had 11 or more night shifts per month (*OR*, 1.70; 95% *CI*, 1.08-2.67), had turnover intentions (*OR*, 1.69; 95% *CI*, 1.29-2.21) were at greater risk of depression. Nurses were dissatisfied or had neutral satisfaction with their current nursing work were at greater risk of depression than those who were satisfied. A negative attitude toward the current pandemic management and nurses with higher total PSS-10 scores also had a higher risk of depression.

4.4 | Risk factors associated with anxiety symptoms

Longer working years increased the risk of anxiety. Nurses who were dissatisfied (*OR*, 3.51; 95% *CI*, 2.33-5.29) and average (*OR*, 1.71; 95% *CI*, 1.24-2.37) with their current nursing work had a higher level of anxiety than those who were satisfied. Those who had a lack of acceptance (*OR*, 1.59; 95% *CI*, 1.12-2.26) or felt neutral (*OR*, 1.78; 95% *CI*, 1.20-2.63) about returning to duty as soon as fever was not present after infection were also at greater risk of anxiety than those who accepted this. A negative attitude toward the current pandemic management and nurses with higher total PSS-10 scores also had an increased risk of anxiety (Table 3).

4.5 | Risk factors associated with insomnia symptoms

The factor significantly associated with insomnia was turnover intention (*OR*, 1.38; 95% *CI*, 1.07-1.77). Nurses with 11 or more night shifts per month (*OR*, 1.75; 95% *CI*, 1.06-2.89) were at greater risk of developing insomnia than those without night shifts. The insomnia risk was higher among those working in the ICU (*OR*, 2.88; 95% *CI*, 1.03-8.04). Nurses who were dissatisfied (*OR*, 2.07; 95% *CI*, 1.30-3.30) with their current work had a higher level of insomnia than those who were satisfied. Nurses who did not accept (*OR*, 1.36; 95% *CI*, 1.02-1.80) or felt neutral (*OR*, 1.40; 95% *CI*, 1.03-1.89) about returning to duty as soon as fever was not present after infection also had a higher level of insomnia than

those who accepted this. A negative attitude toward the current pandemic management and nurses with higher total PSS-10 scores also had a higher risk of insomnia (Table 3).

5 | DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, the current study represents the first attempt to examine frontline nurses' epidemiology and correlates of psychological health during the full liberalization of COVID-19 in China using a multicenter large-scale cross-sectional design. The strengths of this survey included the multicentered sampling, the large sample size, and the use of standardized evaluation tools for depression, anxiety, perceived stress and insomnia. We conducted our research in six hospitals with large-scale treatment of COVID-19 patients, which made our sample relatively representative of frontline nurses.

In this study, it was determined that the majority of respondents were female, were aged less than 40 years, were married, had bachelor's degrees, worked in general hospitals with a primary technical title, and had a working period between 1 and 20 years. Moreover, the present study identified certain independent risk factors associated with depressive symptoms, anxiety, and insomnia among Shenzhen frontline nurses. Our research was conducted during the critical period of full liberalization of the pandemic in China, from the COVID-19 pandemic in December 2019 to the current stage in January 2023, which was China's

first phase of comprehensive epidemic liberalization. Moreover, the study targeted participants in Shenzhen, China, which is a large population and high-income region in China. Consequently, our findings might have certain implications and reference value for frontline nurses in regions and countries currently experiencing the full liberalization of COVID-19.

Our study revealed that a significantly high proportion of frontline nurses were afflicted with depressive symptoms (69.20%), anxiety (62.51%), and insomnia (76.78%). In the survey, approximately 38.73% nurses showed moderate to severe depressive symptoms, 26.45% had moderate to severe anxiety, and 34.59% had moderate to severe insomnia. Frontline nurses revealed more severe psychological symptoms on all measurements.

Most previous studies concentrated on nurses' psychological health at the early stage of the COVID-19 pandemic, when the psychological impacts of COVID-19 on nurses were already apparent. A cross-sectional study using the same scales as our study demonstrated that the prevalence of depression, anxiety, and insomnia among frontline health care workers in China exposed to COVID-19 was 50.4%, 44.6%, and 34.0% (Lai et al., 2020), respectively, which was lower than that in the present study. Approximately 43.61% of frontline nurses in emergency departments treating COVID-19 patients experienced depression (An et al., 2020). In research during the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak,

a previous infectious disease, 38.5% of nurses working in high-risk situations showed psychological symptoms (Su et al., 2007). Compared to the Singapore and India study populations during the COVID-19 outbreak, our cohort showed a higher prevalence rate of moderate to severe depressive symptoms (38.73% versus 5.30%) and anxiety (26.45% versus 8.72%) (Chew et al., 2020). However, compared to the previous Chinese study population, our research indicated a higher prevalence rate of depressive symptoms (38.73% versus 16.51%) and a slightly lower rate of anxiety (26.45% versus 28.83%) (Wang et al., 2020). Thus, public health emergencies, whether SARS or COVID-19, lead to a strong psychological impact on health care professionals, especially frontline nurses (Nie, Su, Zhang, Guan & Li, 2020). However, compared with these findings, the incidence of depressive symptoms, anxiety, and insomnia in frontline nurses in our study was particularly high. This phenomenon may be attributed to the stage of sudden full liberalization control of COVID-19, which caused a rapid increase in admissions and presentations to hospitals and consequently increased the workload of nurses. In addition, nurses working as frontline health care professionals who had direct involvement with COVID-19 patients were an independent risk factor for all psychological health symptoms (Lai., 2020). Overall, 90.83% of frontline nurses in our study were infected with COVID-19, and 33.64% had to work with COVID-19-infected

patients. A previous study demonstrated that the most commonly reported physical symptoms of COVID-19 were headache (31.90%), throat pain (33.55%), anxiety (26.71%), lethargy (26.60%), and insomnia (20.97%) (Chew et al., 2020). There is a bidirectional significant association between the prevalence of physical symptoms and psychological issues, where uncomfortable physical symptoms exacerbate psychological issues and vice versa (Chew et al., 2020). Furthermore, despite being infected with COVID-19, frontline nurses still have to work, which poses a threat to their mental health. Among all health care professionals, frontline nurses have been the main force in fighting against public health crises and emergencies, and their psychological health is crucial. These results highlight the requirement for early identification and the significance of effectively assessing and treating milder or moderate symptoms before they turn into more severe and complex mental health issues.

This study revealed that frontline nurses with primary or intermediate technical titles had a higher level of depression than those with senior technical titles, which agrees with previous findings (Lai et al., 2020). A possible contributing factor was that 61.83% of frontline nurses had primary technical titles and 31.94% had intermediate titles, indicating that many nurses had fewer years of work experience (Lai et al., 2020), and particularly lacked experience caring for COVID-19 patients and critically ill patients, compared with seniors. Another possible reason

may be that nurses with lower technical titles had increased odds of mental health issues and PTSD during the period of caring for the sharply increasing number of positive patients (Zheng et al., 2021). Therefore, this population should be given special attention to reduce depressive symptoms.

Our results indicated that depressive symptoms and insomnia were related to night shifts per month; frontline nurses with 11 or more night shifts per month were more likely to develop depressive symptoms and insomnia than those without night shifts. Some previous studies have demonstrated that rotating night shift work may produce circadian disruption, sleep disturbances, and health behavioral changes (Kervezee, Kosmadopoulos & Boivin, 2020), resulting in an increased risk of chronic diseases (Wu et al., 2022; Vetter et al., 2016) psychological disorders (Torquati et al., 2019), cognition impairment and mortality (Jørgensen et al., 2017). Excess night shift work is a significant health concern that may result in the deteriorating overall health of frontline nurses (Shi et al., 2022), which may explain why frequently rotating night shift work was associated with more depression and insomnia during the special period of full liberalization control of COVID-19.

This study showed that turnover intention, job satisfaction, current working status, attitude toward current pandemic management, and perceived stress scores were associated with frontline nurses' depression,

anxiety, and insomnia. During the full liberalization control of COVID-19, frontline nurses, who made enormous efforts by risking their lives in emergency departments, intensive isolation units, intensive care units, and COVID-19 patient wards, demonstrated their commitment and responsibility to their profession and their patients. Nurses who treated COVID-19-positive patients tended to be exposed to the highest risk of virus infection due to their close, frequent contact with patients and intensive work. Nurses constantly suffer from stressful situations that lead to emotional exhaustion while managing the complex care and treatment processes of COVID-19 patients. Particularly during the special period of full liberalization control of COVID-19, working for a long time in a hectic environment with a high level of stress and increasing workload caused nurses to experience burnout more rapidly (Murat, Köse & Savaşer, 2021). Moreover, the incompatibility between the ideal expectations of the nursing profession and the situations encountered in real life gives rise to turnover intention and dissatisfaction with current nursing work (Woo et al., 2020) . The long duration of uncertainty during sudden public events such as emergencies and/or disasters also affects the stress level of individuals (Murat, Köse & Savaşer, 2021).

Our study highlighted that higher stress levels were a risk factor that influenced nurses' mental health. The acceptance of returning to duty as soon as a high fever was not present after infection was an essential risk

factor associated with anxiety and insomnia. This finding was consistent with previous studies (Zheng et al., 2021). Frontline nurses constantly face a number of work-related stressors, including but not limited to heavy workloads, long work hours, meeting patients' requirements, and irregular working schedules (Maharaj, Lees & Lal, 2018). Previous studies have noted that the ongoing stress faced by these health care professionals can have negative effects on their psychological well-being (Maharaj, Lees & Lal, 2018), especially when they face a greater threat of public emergencies. It is widely understood that perceived stress is linked to psychological status; nurses who suffer from perceived stress are more inclined to develop depressive symptoms, anxiety, insomnia, and health-related concerns. Due to the large number of infection cases, frontline nurses worried about becoming infected through asymptomatic transmission (Chen et al., 2006), a lack of effective treatment, and stigmatization (Xiang et al., 2020). In this study, 90.83% of frontline nurses were infected with COVID-19, and 33.64% had to work while infected COVID-19. It was confirmed that COVID-19 affects all human body systems physiopathologically, especially the immune and respiratory systems, which also results in negative psychological effects (Badahdah et al., 2021). Thus, during the health crises of full liberalization control of COVID-19, nurses may have had no fever and went to work after contracting the virus, but they may have had cough,

sputum, and sore throat. Nurses who experienced physical discomfort due to COVID-19 might not have sufficient time to become better adjusted to caring for affected patients and may have become more concerned about personal and family health during full liberalization control.

Furthermore, the insomnia risk was higher among frontline nurses working in the ICU. The ICU is a constantly changing, highly regulated workplace for patients in critical situations. In addition, excessive workload, shift work, resuscitation and death are proven risk factors for insomnia (Morrison & Joy, 2016). Consistent with a previous study (Lai et al., 2020), our research revealed that longer working years increased the risk of anxiety, which was possibly related to the fact that nurses with longer working experience were routinely assigned to treat and care for more patients and/or more severe patients in hospitals in Shenzhen, further increasing their anxiety. Consequently, this population should be given special attention to reduce symptom severity.

5.1 | LIMITATIONS

This study has limitations in the generalization of the results. First, the cross-sectional nature of the study merely provided information at one time point and was unable to interpret causality. Second, the lack of follow-up data on frontline nurses' psychological health made it difficult to understand their mental health status over time. Third, the participants completed the questionnaires using the Wenjuanxing application and

mobile devices, which might result in self-selection bias. Finally, the psychological variable measures in this study were based on a self-report questionnaire. Accordingly, longitudinal studies and prospective controlled studies are suggested for future studies to provide more in-depth and useful information about the psychological health of frontline nurses, both in China and in other parts of the world.

6 | CONCLUSION

Our findings demonstrate that the full liberalization of COVID-19 had significant psychological impacts on frontline nurses. Job satisfaction, attitude toward current pandemic management, and perceived stress were associated with depressive symptoms, anxiety, and insomnia. Furthermore, frontline nurses with 11 or more night shifts per month and turnover intentions were associated with higher depressive symptoms and insomnia. Nurses who did not accept their return to duty as soon as high fever was not present after infection were associated with higher anxiety and insomnia. Therefore, it is essential for hospitals and health care institutions to implement early and effective psychosocial support and intervention for nurses to prevent the further impact of the full liberalization of COVID-19.

7 | IMPLICATIONS FOR CLINICAL PRACTICE

This is the first study to examine frontline nurses' epidemiology and correlates of psychological health during the full liberalization of

COVID-19 in China using a multicenter, large-scale, cross-sectional design. This study highlighted that frontline nurses suffered from varying degrees of depressive symptoms, anxiety, and insomnia. Early screening and preventive and promotive interventions are required to prevent a more serious psychological impact on frontline nurses.

References

- An, Y., Yang, Y., Wang, A., Li, Y., Zhang, Q., Cheung, T., Ungvari, G. S., Qin, M. Z., An, F. R., & Xiang, Y. T. (2020). Prevalence of depression and its impact on quality of life among frontline nurses in emergency departments during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Journal of affective disorders*, 276, 312–315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.047>
- Badahdah, A., Khamis, F., Al Mahyijari, N., Al Balushi, M., Al Hatmi, H., Al Salmi, I., Albulushi, Z., & Al Noomani, J. (2021). The mental health of health care workers in Oman during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The International journal of social psychiatry*, 67(1), 90–95. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764020939596>
- Chen, R., Chou, K. R., Huang, Y. J., Wang, T. S., Liu, S. Y., & Ho, L. Y. (2006). Effects of a SARS prevention programme in Taiwan on nursing staff's anxiety, depression and sleep quality: a longitudinal survey. *International journal of nursing studies*, 43(2), 215–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2005.03.006>
- Chew, N. W. S., Lee, G. K. H., Tan, B. Y. Q., Jing, M., Goh, Y., Ngiam, N. J. H., Yeo, L. L. L., Ahmad, A., Ahmed Khan, F., Napoleon Shanmugam, G., Sharma, A. K., Komalkumar, R. N., Meenakshi, P. V., Shah, K., Patel, B., Chan, B. P. L., Sunny, S., Chandra, B., Ong, J. J. Y., Paliwal, P. R., ... Sharma, V. K. (2020). A multinational, multicentre study on the psychological outcomes and associated physical symptoms amongst healthcare workers during COVID-19 outbreak. *Brain, behavior, and immunity*, 88, 559–565. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.049>
- Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. *Journal of health and social behavior*, 24(4), 385–396.
- Dawn News.(2020). Fighting on the front line, at least 253 healthcare workers infected with covid-19 in Pakistan. Retrieved from <https://www.dawn.com/news/1551853/fighting-onthe-front-line-at-least-253-healthcare-workers-infected-with-covid-19-in-pakistan-so-far>.
- Doo, E. Y., Kim, M., Lee, S., Lee, S. Y., & Lee, K. Y. (2021). Influence of anxiety and resilience on depression among hospital nurses: A comparison of nurses working with confirmed and suspected patients in the COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 units. *Journal of clinical nursing*, 30(13-14), 1990–2000. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15752>
- Hu, D., Kong, Y., Li, W., Han, Q., Zhang, X., Zhu, L. X., Wan, S. W., Liu, Z., Shen, Q., Yang, J., He, H. G., & Zhu, J. (2020). Frontline nurses' burnout, anxiety, depression, and fear statuses and their associated factors during the COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan, China: A

- large-scale cross-sectional study. *EClinicalMedicine*, 24, 100424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100424>
- Jakovljevic, M., Bjedov, S., Jaksic, N., & Jakovljevic, I. (2020). COVID-19 Pandemia and Public and Global Mental Health from the Perspective of Global Health Securit. *Psychiatria Danubina*, 32(1), 6–14. <https://doi.org/10.24869/psyd.2020.6>
- Jørgensen, J. T., Karlsen, S., Stayner, L., Hansen, J., & Andersen, Z. J. (2017). Shift work and overall and cause-specific mortality in the Danish nurse cohort. *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*, 43(2), 117–126. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3612>
- Kang, L., Ma, S., Chen, M., Yang, J., Wang, Y., Li, R., Yao, L., Bai, H., Cai, Z., Xiang Yang, B., Hu, S., Zhang, K., Wang, G., Ma, C., & Liu, Z. (2020). Impact on mental health and perceptions of psychological care among medical and nursing staff in Wuhan during the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak: A cross-sectional study. *Brain, behavior, and immunity*, 87, 11–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.03.028>
- Kapur, N., Ibrahim, S., While, D., Baird, A., Rodway, C., Hunt, I. M., Windfuhr, K., Moreton, A., Shaw, J., & Appleby, L. (2016). Mental health service changes, organisational factors, and patient suicide in England in 1997-2012: a before-and-after study. *The lancet. Psychiatry*, 3(6), 526–534. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(16\)00063-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(16)00063-8)
- Kervezee, L., Kosmadopoulos, A., & Boivin, D. B. (2020). Metabolic and cardiovascular consequences of shift work: The role of circadian disruption and sleep disturbances. *The European journal of neuroscience*, 51(1), 396–412. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.14216>
- Kim Y. (2018). Nurses' experiences of care for patients with Middle East respiratory syndrome-coronavirus in South Korea. *American journal of infection control*, 46(7), 781–787. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2018.01.012>
- Kocalevent, R. D., Hinz, A., & Brähler, E. (2013). Standardization of the depression screener patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9) in the general population. *General hospital psychiatry*, 35(5), 551–555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2013.04.006>
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., & Williams, J. B. (2001). The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *Journal of general internal medicine*, 16(9), 606–613. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x>
- Labrague, L. J., & de Los Santos, J. A. A. (2021). Fear of COVID-19, psychological distress, work satisfaction and turnover intention among frontline nurses. *Journal of nursing management*, 29(3), 395–403. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jonm.13168>
- Lai, J., Ma, S., Wang, Y., Cai, Z., Hu, J., Wei, N., Wu, J., Du, H., Chen, T., Li, R., Tan, H., Kang, L., Yao, L., Huang, M., Wang, H., Wang, G., Liu, Z., & Hu, S. (2020). Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019. *JAMA network open*, 3(3), e203976. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976>
- Liu, Q., Luo, D., Haase, J. E., Guo, Q., Wang, X. Q., Liu, S., Xia, L., Liu, Z., Yang, J., & Yang, B. X. (2020). The experiences of health-care providers during the COVID-19 crisis in China: a qualitative study. *The Lancet. Global health*, 8(6), e790–e798. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(20\)30204-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30204-7)
- Lorente, L., Vera, M., & Peiró, T. (2021). Nurses' stressors and psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic: The mediating role of coping and resilience. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 77(3), 1335–1344. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.14695>

- Maharaj, S., Lees, T., & Lal, S. (2018). Prevalence and Risk Factors of Depression, Anxiety, and Stress in a Cohort of Australian Nurses. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 16(1), 61. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16010061>.
- Morin, C. M., Belleville, G., Bélanger, L., & Ivers, H. (2011). The Insomnia Severity Index: psychometric indicators to detect insomnia cases and evaluate treatment response. *Sleep*, 34(5), 601–608. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/34.5.601>
- Morrison, L. E., & Joy, J. P. (2016). Secondary traumatic stress in the emergency department. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 72(11), 2894–2906. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13030>
- Murat, M., Köse, S., & Savaşer, S. (2021). Determination of stress, depression and burnout levels of front-line nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International journal of mental health nursing*, 30(2), 533–543. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inm.12818>
- National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China.(2023). A circular on further optimizing and implementing the prevention and control measures of the novel coronavirus outbreak. Retrieved from <http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/zhengcwj/202212/8278e7a7aee34e5bb378f0e0fc94e0f0.shtml>
- Nie, A., Su, X., Zhang, S., Guan, W., & Li, J. (2020). Psychological impact of COVID-19 outbreak on frontline nurses: A cross-sectional survey study. *Journal of clinical nursing*, 29(21-22), 4217–4226. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jocn.15454>
- Salari, N., Khazaie, H., Hosseini-Far, A., Khaledi-Paveh, B., Kazemina, M., Mohammadi, M., Shohaimi, S., Daneshkhan, A., & Eskandari, S. (2020). The prevalence of stress, anxiety and depression within front-line healthcare workers caring for COVID-19 patients: a systematic review and meta-regression. *Human resources for health*, 18(1), 100. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-020-00544-1>
- Saragih, I. D., Tonapa, S. I., Saragih, I. S., Advani, S., Batubara, S. O., Suarilah, I., & Lin, C. J. (2021). Global prevalence of mental health problems among healthcare workers during the Covid-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *International journal of nursing studies*, 121, 104002. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2021.104002>
- Shi, H., Huang, T., Schernhammer, E. S., Sun, Q., & Wang, M. (2022). Rotating Night Shift Work and Healthy Aging After 24 Years of Follow-up in the Nurses' Health Study. *JAMA network open*, 5(5), e2210450. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.10450>
- Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., & Williams, J. B. (1999). Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD: the PHQ primary care study. Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders. Patient Health Questionnaire. *JAMA*, 282(18), 1737–1744. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.282.18.1737>
- Su, T. P., Lien, T. C., Yang, C. Y., Su, Y. L., Wang, J. H., Tsai, S. L., & Yin, J. C. (2007). Prevalence of psychiatric morbidity and psychological adaptation of the nurses in a structured SARS caring unit during outbreak: a prospective and periodic assessment study in Taiwan. *Journal of psychiatric research*, 41(1-2), 119–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2005.12.006>
- Torquati, L., Mielke, G. I., Brown, W. J., Burton, N. W., & Kolbe-Alexander, T. L. (2019). Shift Work and Poor Mental Health: A Meta-Analysis of Longitudinal Studies. *American journal of public health*, 109(11), e13–e20. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2019.305278>
- Trauzettel-Klosinski, S., Dietz, K., & IReST Study Group (2012). Standardized assessment of reading performance: the New International Reading Speed Texts IReST. *Investigative*

- ophthalmology & visual science*, 53(9), 5452–5461. <https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.11-8284>
- United States Bureau of Labor Statistics.(2023). Occupational outlook handbook: Registered nurses. Retrieved from <https://www.bls.gov/ooh/healthcare/registered-nurses.htm>
- Vetter, C., Devore, E. E., Wegrzyn, L. R., Massa, J., Speizer, F. E., Kawachi, I., Rosner, B., Stampfer, M. J., & Schernhammer, E. S. (2016). Association Between Rotating Night Shift Work and Risk of Coronary Heart Disease Among Women. *JAMA*, 315(16), 1726–1734. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.4454>
- Wang, C., Pan, R., Wan, X., Tan, Y., Xu, L., McIntyre, R. S., Choo, F. N., Tran, B., Ho, R., Sharma, V. K., & Ho, C. (2020). A longitudinal study on the mental health of general population during the COVID-19 epidemic in China. *Brain, behavior, and immunity*, 87, 40–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.028>
- Woo, T., Ho, R., Tang, A., & Tam, W. (2020). Global prevalence of burnout symptoms among nurses: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of psychiatric research*, 123, 9–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2019.12.015>
- Wu, Q. J., Sun, H., Wen, Z. Y., Zhang, M., Wang, H. Y., He, X. H., Jiang, Y. T., & Zhao, Y. H. (2022). Shift work and health outcomes: an umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses of epidemiological studies. *Journal of clinical sleep medicine : JCSM : official publication of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine*, 18(2), 653–662. <https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.9642>
- Xiang, Y. T., Yang, Y., Li, W., Zhang, L., Zhang, Q., Cheung, T., & Ng, C. H. (2020). Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. *The lancet. Psychiatry*, 7(3), 228–229. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(20\)30046-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30046-8)
- Zheng, R., Zhou, Y., Fu, Y., Xiang, Q., Cheng, F., Chen, H., Xu, H., Fu, L., Wu, X., Feng, M., Ye, L., Tian, Y., Deng, R., Liu, S., Jiang, Y., Yu, C., & Li, J. (2021). Prevalence and associated factors of depression and anxiety among nurses during the outbreak of COVID-19 in China: A cross-sectional study. *International journal of nursing studies*, 114, 103809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2020.103809>

Table1 Demographic characteristics of the study subjects (n = 1766).

Variables	Category	n/mean	%/SD
Gender	Male	72	4.08%
	Female	1694	95.92%
Age, years	18-29	763	43.20%
	30-39	579	32.79%
	≥40	424	24.01%
Educational level	Technical secondary school	25	1.42%
	Junior college	451	25.54%
	Bachelor	1270	71.91%
	Master or higher	20	1.13%
Working years	Less than 1 year	75	4.25%
	1-5	469	26.56%
	6-10	421	23.84%
	11-20	453	25.65%
	21 or above	348	19.71%
Income per year, CNY	≤4999	105	5.95%
	5000-9999	686	38.84%
	10000-14999	686	38.84%
	15000 or higher	289	16.36%
Staff type	Permanent staff	318	18.01%
	Contract staff	1448	81.99%
Professional technical titles	Primary technical title	1092	61.83%
	Intermediate technical title	564	31.94%
	Senior technical title	110	6.23%
Marital status	married	1000	56.63%
	single	726	41.11%
	divorced or widowed	40	2.27%
Night shift per month	None	542	30.69%
	1-5	514	29.11%
	6-10	470	26.61%
	11 or more	240	13.59%
Hospital type	General hospital	1476	83.58%
	Specialist hospital	290	16.42%

Variables	Category	n/mean	%/SD
Hospital department	Surgery	578	32.73%
	Medicine	420	23.78%
	Emergency	76	4.30%
	Intensive care unit	59	3.34%
	Out-patient	241	13.65%
	Others	392	22.20%
Job satisfaction	Satisfied	856	48.47%
	Neutral satisfied	618	34.99%
	Not satisfied	292	16.53%
Turnover Intentions	Yes	665	37.66%
	No	1101	62.34%
Whether completed vaccination	Yes	1660	94.00%
	No	106	6.00%
How long has the vaccine been administered?	< 3 month	112	6.34%
	3-6 month	152	8.61%
	7-12 month	519	29.39%
	more than a year	983	55.66%
	Infected with the COVID-19	Yes	1604
	No	162	9.17%
Working status	Stay at home	295	16.70%
	Infected, working	594	33.64%
	Rehabilitated, working	715	40.49%
	Uninfected, working	162	9.17%
Resting time after Infected with the COVID-19	Uninfected	162	9.17%
	1-3 days	484	27.41%
	4-7 days	832	47.11%
	More than a week	166	9.40%
	Still resting	122	6.91%
Self-evaluated severity of the infection (1-10)		7.36	1.81
Whether family member's infection have impact on you	Yes	1450	82.11%
	Neutral	144	8.15%
	No	97	5.49%
	Family member uninfected	75	4.25%
Acceptance of returning to duty as soon as a fever was not present after infection	Accepted	552	31.26%
	Neutral	387	21.91%
	Not accepted	827	46.83%
Your attitude towards the current pandemic management	Very panic, anxiety	357	20.22%
	Mildly nervous, worried	500	28.31%
	Normal mindset	854	48.36%
	Feel nothing	55	3.11%

Table2 Prevalence of depressive symptoms, anxiety and insomnia among nurses during the early open up phase of the COVID-19 pandemic.

	Depressive symptoms		Anxiety		insomnia	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Absence of symptoms	544	30.80%	662	37.49%	410	23.22%
Mild	538	30.46%	637	36.07%	745	42.19%
Moderate	352	19.93%	143	8.10%	443	25.08%
Severe	332	18.80%	324	18.35%	168	9.51%




Fig.1. Number of participants experiencing depressive symptom, anxiety and insomnia (N=1766).

Variables	Category	Depressive Symptoms				Anxiety				insomnia			
		Crude OR	95%CI	Adj OR	95%CI	Crude OR	95%CI	Adj OR	95%CI	Crude OR	95%CI	Adj OR	95%CI
per month	1-5	1.01	(0.78, 1.31)	0.81	(0.57, 1.15)	1.17	(0.88, 1.56)	0.95	(0.64, 1.41)	1.19	(0.93, 1.54)	1.08	(0.78, 1.49)
	6-10	1.50	** (1.16, 1.94)	1.11	(0.76, 1.62)	1.35	* (1.01, 1.80)	0.93	(0.60, 1.43)	1.55	** (1.19, 2.03)	1.23	(0.86, 1.76)
	11 or more	3.26	*** (2.38, 4.47)	1.70	* (1.08, 2.67)	2.67	*** (1.92, 3.71)	1.19	(0.72, 1.95)	3.40	*** (2.28, 5.06)	1.75	* (1.06, 2.89)
Hospital	Specialist hospital												
	General hospital	1.31	* (1.01, 1.71)	0.95	(0.68, 1.31)	1.39	* (1.03, 1.88)	0.94	(0.64, 1.36)	1.40	* (1.08, 1.83)	1.07	(0.79, 1.45)
Hospital department	Surgery												
	Medicine	1.18	(0.91, 1.53)	1.03	(0.76, 1.4)	1.10	(0.83, 1.47)	0.89	(0.63, 1.25)	1.44	* (1.08, 1.91)	1.36	(1.00, 1.87)
	Emergency	1.64	* (1.01, 2.65)	1.45	(0.81, 2.58)	1.08	(0.63, 1.85)	0.85	(0.44, 1.64)	0.99	(0.59, 1.65)	0.89	(0.50, 1.59)
	Intensive care unit	2.97	*** (1.70, 5.19)	1.47	(0.74, 2.91)	2.39	** (1.38, 4.11)	1.08	(0.54, 2.17)	4.92	*** (1.94, 12.52)	2.88	* (1.03, 8.04)
	Out-patient	0.81	(0.59, 1.12)	1.29	(0.84, 1.96)	0.78	(0.54, 1.11)	1.12	(0.69, 1.82)	0.85	(0.62, 1.17)	1.27	(0.85, 1.88)
Job satisfaction	Other	0.84	(0.64, 1.10)	1.16	(0.84, 1.61)	0.94	(0.70, 1.27)	1.23	(0.85, 1.78)	0.87	(0.66, 1.14)	1.19	(0.87, 1.64)
	Satisfied												
	Average	2.47	*** (1.98, 3.08)	1.55	** (1.18, 2.05)	2.37	*** (1.83, 3.07)	1.71	** (1.24, 2.37)	1.98	*** (1.58, 2.49)	1.22	(0.93, 1.61)
Turnover Intention	Not satisfied	6.11	*** (4.58, 8.15)	2.14	*** (1.47, 3.13)	7.78	*** (5.76, 10.50)	3.51	** (2.33, 5.29)	5.63	*** (3.79, 8.37)	2.07	** (1.30, 3.30)
	No												
Working status	Yes	2.94	*** (2.37, 3.64)	1.69	*** (1.29, 2.21)	2.65	*** (2.08, 3.39)	1.31	(0.96, 1.80)	2.31	*** (1.88, 2.85)	1.38	* (1.07, 1.77)
	Uninfected, working												
	Stay at home	1.07	(0.71, 1.61)	0.85	(0.43, 1.66)	1.13	(0.72, 1.78)	0.83	(0.39, 1.78)	1.42	(0.94, 2.15)	1.78	(0.92, 3.42)
Working status	Infected,	2.03	*** (1.41, 2.92)	1.39	(0.73, 2.65)	1.93	** (1.28, 2.90)	1.14	(0.55, 2.33)	1.75	** (1.2, 2.54)	1.80	(0.96, 3.39)

Variables	Category	Depressive Symptoms				Anxiety				insomnia									
		Crude OR	95% CI	Adj OR	95% CI	Crude OR	95% CI	Adj OR	95% CI	Crude OR	95% CI	Adj OR	95% CI						
	working																		
	Rehabilitated,	1.01		(0.70, 1.45)	1.09		(0.58, 2.06)	0.91		(0.60, 1.38)	0.86		(0.42, 1.76)	0.95		(0.66, 1.36)	1.60		(0.87, 2.96)
	working																		
Severity of symptoms of COVID-19 (1-10)	Q1(<= 6)																		
	Q2(7-8)	2.00	***	(1.55, 2.57)	0.60	*	(0.40, 0.90)	1.92	***	(1.44, 2.57)	0.71		(0.45, 1.11)	2.16	***	(1.69, 2.76)	0.50	**	(0.33, 0.75)
	Q3(9-9)	2.48	***	(1.75, 3.54)	1.00		(0.69, 1.45)	2.31	***	(1.57, 3.41)	1.02		(0.69, 1.52)	4.02	***	(2.61, 6.18)	0.83		(0.56, 1.24)
	Q4(10)	2.69	***	(1.94, 3.74)	0.98		(0.62, 1.54)	2.82	***	(1.97, 4.04)	0.88		(0.54, 1.45)	2.95	***	(2.04, 4.25)	1.28		(0.74, 2.20)
Whether family member's infection have impact on you	None																		
	Yes	1.70	*	(1.08, 2.67)	1.01		(0.59, 1.73)	2.83	***	(1.53, 5.24)	1.57		(0.76, 3.25)	2.23	***	(1.47, 3.38)	1.34		(0.83, 2.18)
	Neutral	0.95		(0.54, 1.68)	0.74		(0.38, 1.43)	1.42		(0.67, 2.99)	1.13		(0.48, 2.68)	1.25		(0.74, 2.1)	1.04		(0.58, 1.88)
	Family member	1.16		(0.60, 2.23)	0.62		(0.26, 1.52)	2.08		(0.92, 4.67)	1.09		(0.37, 3.25)	2.23	*	(1.17, 4.23)	1.56		(0.69, 3.53)
Acceptance of returning to duty as soon as fever is not present after infection	Accepted																		
	Neutral	1.69	***	(1.27, 2.24)	1.36		(0.98, 1.89)	2.06	***	(1.48, 2.88)	1.78	**	(1.20, 2.63)	1.69	***	(1.28, 2.22)	1.40	*	(1.03, 1.89)
	Not accepted	2.80	***	(2.21, 3.54)	1.31		(0.98, 1.76)	3.38	***	(2.56, 4.48)	1.59	**	(1.12, 2.26)	2.63	***	(2.07, 3.33)	1.36	*	(1.02, 1.80)
Your attitude towards the current pandemic	Normal mindset																		
	Very panic, anxiety	3.57	***	(2.76, 4.62)	1.70	**	(1.24, 2.33)	4.27	***	(3.25, 5.60)	1.95	**	(1.40, 2.73)	3.29	***	(2.39, 4.51)	1.46	*	(1.02, 2.10)

Variables	Category	Depressive Symptoms				Anxiety				insomnia			
		Crude OR	95% CI	Adj OR	95% CI	Crude OR	95% CI	Adj OR	95% CI	Crude OR	95% CI	Adj OR	95% CI
management	Mildly nervous, worried	1.53	*** (1.21, 1.93)	1.32	* (1.00, 1.73)	1.67	*** (1.28, 2.17)	1.43	* (1.05, 1.95)	1.76	*** (1.38, 2.24)	1.52	** (1.16, 1.99)
PSS-10	Feel nothing	2.33	** (1.35, 4.03)	2.19	* (1.15, 4.18)	1.93	* (1.05, 3.54)	1.68	(0.80, 3.53)	0.99	(0.56, 1.73)	0.80	(0.42, 1.53)
	Q1												
	Q2	2.13	*** (1.56, 2.90)	1.86	*** (1.34, 2.59)	2.28	*** (1.50, 3.47)	2.01	** (1.30, 3.11)	1.87	*** (1.46, 2.4)	1.58	** (1.21, 2.06)
	Q3	6.37	*** (4.57, 8.89)	4.90	*** (3.43, 7.01)	7.22	*** (4.74, 11.00)	5.11	** (3.28, 7.97)	4.14	*** (2.98, 5.75)	2.95	** (2.07, 4.20)
	Q4	14.16	*** (9.96, 20.13)	9.35	*** (6.37, 13.71)	21.64	*** (14.18, 33.03)	13.8	** (8.80, 21.66)	8.42	*** (5.64, 12.57)	4.88	** (3.17, 7.51)

Note: *** $P < 0.001$, ** $P < 0.01$, * $P < 0.05$. PSS-10 represents the total score of the Perceived Stress Scale. Q1 represents the first quartile; Q2 represents the second quartile; Q3 represents the third quartile; and Q4 represents the fourth quartile.