1	TITLE PAGE
2	
3	1. Title
4	- A Multi-layer Perceptron Neural Network for Predicting the Osteoporosis in Women Using Physical
5	Activity Factors
6	
7	2. Corresponding author:
8	Jun-hee Kim (JH Kim), PhD, PT
9	Address: 1, Yeonsedae-gil, Maeji-ri, Heungeop-myeon, Wonju-si, Gangwon-do, 26493, Laboratory of
10	KEMA AI Research (KAIR), Department of Physical Therapy, College of Software and Digital
11	Healthcare Convergence, Yonsei University, Wonju, South Korea.
12	
13	TEL: 82-33-760-2497 / FAX: 82-33-760-2496 / E-mail: move@yonsei.ac.kr
14	

15

#### ABSTRACT

16 Introduction: Osteoporosis (OP) is a bone disease caused by a decrease in bone mineral density 17 (BMD). OP is common in women because BMD gradually decreases after age 35. OP due to 18 decreased BMD is highly likely to cause fatal traumatic injuries such as hip fracture. The purpose of 19 this study was developed and evaluated a multi-layer perceptron neural network model that predicts 20 OP using physical characteristics and activity factors of adult women over the age of 35 whose BMD 21 begins to decline.

Materials and Methods: Data from KNHANES were used to develop a multi-layer perceptron model for predicting OP. Data preprocessing included variable selection and sample balancing, and LASSO was used for feature selection. The model used 5 hidden layers, dropout and batch normalization and was evaluated using evaluation scores such as accuracy and recall score calculated from a confusion matrix.

**Results**: Models were trained and evaluated to predict OP using selected features including age,
quality of life index, weight, grip strength and average working hours per week. The model achieved
76.8% accuracy, 74.5% precision, 80.5% recall, 77.4% F1 score, and 74.8% ROC AUC.

30 **Conclusion**: A multi-layer perceptron neural network for predicting OP diagnosis using physical 31 characteristics and activity factors in women aged 35 years or older showed relatively good 32 performance. Since the selected variables can be easily measured through surveys, assessment tool, 33 and digital hand dynamometer, this model will be useful for screening elderly women with OP or not 34 in areas with poor medical facilities or difficult access.

35

36 Keywords: Osteoporosis, predictive model, multi-layer perceptron, deep learning, physical activity

#### 38 Introduction

39 Osteoporosis (OP) is a bone disease that occurs when bone mineral density (BMD) and bone mass 40 decrease [1]. In other words, a decrease in BMD below a certain level is regarded as the onset of OP 41 [1]. In women, rapid BMD and skeletal growth occur from menarche, when the secretion of sex 42 steroids, including estrogen, increases [2]. BMD in adult women peaks between the ages of 25 and 35, 43 after which women experience a gradual decline throughout their lives [2]. A decrease in BMD is 44 prominent from menopause, when female hormones decrease, resulting in a higher rate of OP than in 45 men [3, 4]. In particular, estrogen is thought to be important for maintaining BMD, and it has been 46 reported that bone loss may occur as estrogen levels drop during menopause, resulting in a much 47 higher rate of OP [5, 6].

48 Patients diagnosed with OP have a high risk of fracture due to trauma compared to normal people 49 [7–9]. In particular, the femur, which makes up the hip joint, is a part where fractures commonly 50 occur due to OP, and hip fracture can cause great difficulties in independent activities of daily life, and 51 in severe cases, can be life threatening [10]. The 1-year mortality rate due to hip fracture increases by 52 2% every year, and in the case of women, the 10-year mortality rate after hip fracture is reported to be 53 16% [11]. In addition, once a fracture occurs, the risk of a second fracture increases more than twice, 54 and when a second fracture occurs, the patient's mortality rate is much higher [12]. The mortality 55 within 42 months after a second hip fracture was 57.2% [13]. Because the mortality rate of patients 56 with hip fractures is high, it is important to predict or diagnose OP early to prevent hip fractures from 57 occurring.

58 BMD is measured using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans of the spine and hip to 59 diagnose OP [14]. For postmenopausal women, BMD is determined by T-score compared to reference 60 values of race- and sex-matched young adults [14]. However, one of the disadvantages of diagnosing 61 OP through DXA scan is that measurement errors may occur due to surrounding soft tissue [15]. 62 Therefore, recently, studies applying machine learning such as deep learning to predict OP with high 63 performance or to predict OP with a simpler X-ray imaging technique have been actively conducted. 64 Learning hip radiographs and clinical data through deep learning can improve diagnostic performance

65 for OP classification and prediction even without using the DXA scans [16]. Similarly, diagnosis 66 using deep learning based on lumbar radiographs had the potential to screen for OP and osteopenia 67 even without using DXA scans [17]. In addition, The CT image-based deep learning diagnosis method 68 showed higher accuracy and could output higher specificity or sensitivity for OP evaluation [18].

69 Deep learning models that predict OP using these medical diagnostic devices have been studied, but 70 deep learning models that predict OP according to data that can be acquired without professional 71 knowledge, such as physical characteristics or activity levels, have not been studied. Bone is an organ 72 that continuously undergoes remodeling processes of resorption and formation, and BMD is formed 73 by the balance of these processes [19]. According to the physical stress theory, bone can remain 74 constant in an environment given an appropriate amount of stress [20]. In other words, factors that 75 regulate stress on bones, such as physical activity, are important in controlling BMD [20]. Low 76 activity level was considered a risk factor for OP, and vigorous physical activity such as strength 77 training or aerobic exercise was recommended to prevent OP [21, 22]. However, despite the benefits 78 of moderate loads for BMD, too much activity due to overtraining at elite sports levels can have 79 negative consequences in BMD.[23] Moderate intensity physical activity may help prevent OP, but 80 excessive intensity physical activity may increase the risk of OP [24, 25]. Therefore, data related to 81 physical activity will be able to be trained through deep learning as factors for predicting OP.

The purpose of this study was to create a multi-layer perceptron neural network model that predicts the diagnosis of OP in adult women with a high risk of OP using physical characteristics and physical activity-related factors, and to evaluate the performance of the created multi-layer perceptron deep learning model.

86

#### 88 Materials and Methods

### 89 1. Data Source

90	This study used data samples obtained from the 6th to 8th KNHANES (2015-2019), the national
91	statistics of the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency. From a total of 39,759 data samples,
92	data were extracted from women aged 35 years or older, the age at which bone density begins to
93	decline. Data samples with missing values were excluded, and 11,929 samples were finally selected.
94	The selected data samples were classified into 9915 data samples of normal subjects and 2014 data
95	samples of subjects diagnosed with OP (Figure 1). This study was approved by the Public Institutional
96	Review Board Designated by Ministry of Health and Welfare (approval number: P01-202303-01-003)

97

98 [Figure 1. Flowchart of the study]

99

#### 100 2. Data Preprocessing and Feature Selection

101 The physical characteristics and activity variables of the subjects were extracted from the data for 102 analysis. Age, weight, height, waist circumference, grip strength, body mass index, quality of life, 103 average working hours per week, walking days per week, and strength training days per week were 104 selected as continuous variables. Activity restriction, occupational activity, work-related moderate-105 intensity physical activity, work-related moderate-intensity physical activity, leisure-related high-106 intensity physical activity, leisure-related moderate-intensity physical activity, and weight change 107 were selected as categorical variables (Table 1).

To address potential imbalances in the data between diagnosed osteoporosis (OP) and normal samples, a RandomUnderSampler function was used. This technique randomly selected an equivalent number of normal samples to match the number of OP samples. Additionally, continuous variables were scaled using the StandardScaler function, while categorical variables were transformed using one-hot encoding methods. These steps were taken to ensure that the data was prepared appropriately

for subsequent analysis. To avoid overfitting due to many variables, LASSO rules were used to select			
appropriate variables before generating the multi-layer perceptron model [26]. Alpha representing the			
degree of regularization was set at 0.01. After the data was preprocessed, it was split into two sets:			
train and test data. The ratio of the train data to the test data was 7:3. This division allowed for the			
model to be trained on a portion of the data and then tested on a separate set to evaluate its			
performance.			
[Table 1. Description of Variables]			
3. Multi-layer Perceptron Neural Network			
A multi-layer perceptron is a distributed information processing structure composed of nodes. Each			
layer consists of one or more nodes. The input layer receives a signal from the outside and passes the			
output to the hidden layer through a weighted connection. It is then computed in the hidden layer and			
passed to the output layer to perform computations and generate predictions. The multi-layer			

127 perceptron model created in this study consists of one input layer, one output layer, and five hidden 128 layers. The five layers consisted of 128, 64, 32, 16, and 8 nodes, respectively. The ReLU activation 129 function was applied to the 5 hidden layers, and the sigmoid activation function was applied to the 130 output layer to predict the OP. To prevent overfitting, the dropout ratio was set to 0.25 and batch 131 normalization was performed. For the gradient descent algorithm to find the minimum loss function, 132 Adam optimizer was used. The ratio of data split and used for validation while the model was being 133 trained was 0.2. In order to reduce the learning time for building the model, the EarlyStopping 134 function was used to terminate learning when the validation loss value did not improve for 20 epochs.

135

136 [Figure 2. Schematic diagram of multi-layer perceptron neural network]

## 138 4. Model evaluation

139	The model's performance was assessed by generating a confusion matrix using the test data, which
140	classified the predicted class and the actual class as TP (true positive), FP (false positive), FN (false
141	negative), and TN (true negative). The accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and ROC AUC were
142	calculated from the confusion matrix to evaluate the model's overall performance.
143	
144	Results
145	1. Feature Selection
146	The variables selected using LASSO regularization were age, quality of life index, weight, grip
147	strength, and average working hours per week.
148	
149	2. Multi-layer Perceptron Neural Network Model Training
150	The multi-layer perceptron neural network model created using the selected features showed the
151	following accuracy and loss (Figure 3). Training of the model was completed at the 39th epoch. The
152	average accuracy of the top 5 models built in the process of model training was 77.34%.
153	
154	[Figure 3. Training accuracy and loss curve]
155	
156	

#### 157 3. Model Performance

158	The accuracy score, which represents the number of correctly predicted data among the entire test
159	data by the model composed of multi-layer perceptron layer neural networks, was 76.8%. The
160	precision score for indicating actual OP among test data samples that were predicted to have OP was
161	74.5%. The recall score representing the prediction of having an OP diagnosis among test data
162	samples with an actual OP diagnosis was 80.5%. The F1 score, the harmonized mean of precision and
163	recall, was 77.4%. The ROC AUC score, which indicates how well the multi-layer perceptron neural
164	network model can classify each class, was 74.8%.
165	

166 [Figure 4. Confusion matrix for osteoporosis prediction]

167

## 168 Discussion

In this study, a multi-layer perceptron neural network model was created using factors related to the physical characteristics of women over the age of 35 whose bone density begins to decrease. The factors selected to create the model included not only age, weight, and grip strength, but also the quality of life and average working hours per week. All the evaluation indicators evaluating the overall performance of the model created with the above factors exceeded 74%. Among the evaluations, the recall score, which means the sensitivity of OP diagnosis, exceeded 80%.

175 The factors selected to model of this study may have been related to BMD, which is the criterion 176 for diagnosis of OP. Lee et al. [27] conducted a study on the relationship between BMD and age based 177 on the 4th KNHANES survey data between 2008 and 2009, similar to the subjects in the data sample 178 of this study. In this study, women's BMD was highest in the 10-19 year age group and declined at a 179 rate of 0.66 to 1.08% per year until age 80 years [27]. Additionally, moderate physical stress can 180 stimulate bone remodeling and increase density [28]. Therefore, factors related to physical stress, such 181 as muscle strength and weight, appear to be related to BMD as factors that can be controlled, unlike 182 factors such as age [28, 29]. Luo et al. [30] analyzed the relationship between grip strength and BMD

183 based on a sample of NHANES 2013–2014 survey data of Americans, adjusting for all other factors 184 that could affect BMD, such as age, body mass index, use of female hormones, and physical activity. 185 Grip strength has been shown to be associated with increased femoral neck and total lumbar spine 186 BMD in premenopausal and postmenopausal women [30]. Kim et al. [31] conducted a study on the 187 relationship between women's body weight and BMD.[31] In this study, they found a significant 188 difference in weight between the abnormal group with a BMD T-score of less than -1 and the normal 189 group with a T-score of -1 or greater. Additionally, a weak correlation existed between body weight 190 and T-score, with each 1 kg increase in weight reducing the risk of abnormal BMD by 3.7%.[31]

191 The EQ-5D used to measure the quality of life variable is a tool to evaluate health-related quality of 192 life at three levels for a total of five items: motor ability, self-management, daily life, pain and 193 discomfort, and anxiety and depression [32]. In other words, the quality of life variable through the 194 EQ-5D index can be seen as a variable that includes physical activity. The average working hours per 195 week selected in the model of this study may be seen as a factor that quantitatively reflects the degree 196 of physical activity [29, 33]. However, there is no study that directly conducted the relationship 197 between working hours and OP, and rather, the results of other studies on the relationship between OP 198 and work can refute this view. It has been hypothesized that endocrine disorders caused by night shifts 199 may indirectly affect the bone physiology of night shift workers [34]. In addition, excessive physical 200 activity may cause menstrual disorders in women, resulting in decreasing BMD [35]. Nevertheless, 201 epidemiological evidence suggests that an active lifestyle through physical activity may reduce the 202 incidence of osteoporotic hip fractures in the elderly population, suggesting that physical activity 203 during working hours may be an important determinant of OP diagnosis [33, 36, 37].

Recently, models for determining OP, predicting T-score, or predicting BMD built using deep learning such as convolutional neural networks using CT and X-ray images have been proposed [38– 40]. Yasaka et al. [38] built a model using 1665 CT images of 183 patients, predicted BMD values with a correlation coefficient of 0.84-0.85 with actual BMD values, and showed OP prediction performance of over 96%. Ho et al. [39] built a model with data collected through a total of 3472 pairs of pelvic X-ray and DXA examinations and predicted BMD values only with femur bone x-ray. The

210 predicted BMD value showed a correlation r value of 0.85 with the actual value, and OP diagnosis 211 through the model showed an accuracy of 88% [39]. In addition, Sato et al. [40] constructed a model 212 to predict T-score with chest x-ray, which is the most common, accessible, and inexpensive 213 measurement. The correlation coefficient between the BMD value predicted by the model and the 214 BMD value of the hip was 0.75, and the correlation coefficient of the BMD value of the lumbar spine 215 was 0.63 [40]. ROC AUC score, a performance indicator of the model that classified normal, 216 osteopenia, and OP by predicting T-score, was 0.89, 0.70, and 0.84, respectively [40]. The model 217 constructed in our study did not predict the BMD value, and the predictive performance for OP was 218 about 75-80%, which was relatively low compared to previous studies. Nevertheless, the advantage of 219 this model in our study is that it can predict OP with simple physical factors without imaging 220 techniques such as CT or X-ray. The variables for using this model were easily measurable by 221 surveying, evaluation tool, digital hand dynamometer, and did not require very high skill.

222 There are several limitations to this study. First, in this study, since the model was created using 223 only female data, it is inappropriate to use male data for OP prediction. Second, it is difficult to 224 quantitatively describe the influence of variables for OP prediction because the hidden layer of the 225 deep learning model makes it difficult to know the exact contribution of the variables to how they 226 arrived at the OP prediction. Therefore, it is unclear whether OP can be prevented, or symptoms 227 improved by controlling for the variables chosen to build this model. Future studies will need to 228 update the model with additional data or variables to improve predictive performance or to build a 229 model for the entire population, including males. Along with this, verification of the variables selected 230 to build this model should be performed by adjusting the influence of other extrinsic variables.

## 232 Conclusion

233	In this study, a multi-layer perceptron neural network structure was created to predict the diagnosis
234	of OP in women aged 35 years or older by using personal characteristics and physical factors as
235	variables. The variables used to build the model were age, weight, grip strength, quality of life index,
236	and average working hours per week, which could be easily measured through survey, assessment tool,
237	and digital hand dynamometer. Therefore, our model will be of great help in performing OP screening
238	without medical equipment in areas with poor medical facilities or elderly women who have difficulty
239	accessing hospitals.
240	
241	

#### 243 References

- Lorentzon M, Cummings SR (2015) Osteoporosis: the evolution of a diagnosis. J Intern Med
   277:650–661
- 246 2. Clarke BL, Khosla S (2010) Female reproductive system and bone. Arch Biochem Biophys
- 247 503:118–128
- 3. Bruno AG, Broe KE, Zhang X, et al (2014) Vertebral size, bone density, and strength in men
  and women matched for age and areal spine BMD. J Bone Miner Res 29:562–569
- 4. Khosla S, Melton III LJ, Atkinson EJ, et al (1998) Relationship of serum sex steroid levels and
- bone turnover markers with bone mineral density in men and women: a key role for
- bioavailable estrogen. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 83:2266–2274
- 5. Felson DT, Zhang Y, Hannan MT, et al (1993) The effect of postmenopausal estrogen therapy
  on bone density in elderly women. N Engl J Med 329:1141–1146
- 255 6. Popat VB, Calis KA, Vanderhoof VH, et al (2009) Bone mineral density in estrogen-deficient
  256 young women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 94:2277–2283
- 257 7. Lu Y, Genant HK, Shepherd J, et al (2001) Classification of osteoporosis based on bone
- 258 mineral densities. J Bone Miner Res 16:901–910
- Marshall D, Johnell O, Wedel H (1996) Meta-analysis of how well measures of bone mineral
   density predict occurrence of osteoporotic fractures. Bmj 312:1254–1259
- Seeman E (1994) Reduced bone density in women with fractures: contribution of low peak
  bone density and rapid bone loss. Osteoporos Int 4:S15–S25
- Alarcón T, González-Montalvo JI, Gotor P, et al (2011) Activities of daily living after hip
  fracture: profile and rate of recovery during 2 years of follow-up. Osteoporos Int 22:1609–
  1613
- 266 11. Guzon-Illescas O, Perez Fernandez E, Crespí Villarias N, et al (2019) Mortality after

267		osteoporotic hip fracture: incidence, trends, and associated factors. J Orthop Surg Res 14:1-9
268	12.	Omsland TK, Holvik K, Meyer HE, et al (2012) Hip fractures in Norway 1999–2008: time
269		trends in total incidence and second hip fracture rates. A NOREPOS study. Eur J Epidemiol
270		27:807–814
271	13.	Solou K, Tyllianakis M, Kouzelis A, et al (2022) Morbidity and mortality after second hip
272		fracture with and without nursing care program. Cureus 14:
273	14.	Blake GM, Fogelman I (2007) The role of DXA bone density scans in the diagnosis and
274		treatment of osteoporosis. Postgrad Med J 83:509-517
275	15.	Lochmüller E-M, Krefting N, Bürklein D, et al (2001) Effect of fixation, soft-tissues, and scan
276		projection on bone mineral measurements with dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA).
277		Calcif Tissue Int 68:
278	16.	Yamamoto N. Sukegawa S. Kitamura A. et al (2020) Deep learning for osteoporosis
279		classification using hip radiographs and patient clinical covariates. Biomolecules 10:1534
280	17	Zhang P. Vu K. Ning Z. at al (2020) Deep learning of lumber spine Y. roy for acteopopie and
281	17.	osteoporosis screening. A multicenter retrospective cohort study. Bone 140:115561
201		osteoporosis sereening. It manieenter retrospective conort stady. Done 140.110001
282	18.	Pickhardt PJ, Nguyen T, Perez AA, et al (2022) Improved CT-based Osteoporosis Assessment
283		with a Fully Automated Deep Learning Tool. Radiol Artif Intell 4:e220042
284	19.	Manolagas SC (2000) Birth and death of bone cells: basic regulatory mechanisms and
285		implications for the pathogenesis and treatment of osteoporosis. Endocr Rev 21:115-137
286	20.	Mueller MJ, Maluf KS (2002) Tissue adaptation to physical stress: a proposed "Physical Stress
287		Theory" to guide physical therapist practice, education, and research. Phys Ther 82:383-403
288	21.	Sinaki M, Pfeifer M, Preisinger E, et al (2010) The role of exercise in the treatment of
289		osteoporosis. Curr Osteoporos Rep 8:138-144
290	22.	Schmitt NM, Schmitt J, Dören M (2009) The role of physical activity in the prevention of

291		osteoporosis in postmenopausal women-an update. Maturitas 63:34-38		
292	23.	Herbert AJ, Williams AG, Hennis PJ, et al (2019) The interactions of physical activity,		
293		exercise and genetics and their associations with bone mineral density: implications for injury		
294		risk in elite athletes. Eur J Appl Physiol 119:29–47		
295	24.	Wolman RL (1994) ABC of sports medicine: Osteoporosis and exercise. BMJ 309:400		
296	25.	Voss LA, Fadale PD, Hulstyn MJ (1998) Exercise-induced loss of bone density in athletes. J		
297		Am Acad Orthop Surg Glob Res Rev 6:349–357		
298	26.	Muthukrishnan R, Rohini R (2016) LASSO: A feature selection technique in predictive		
299		modeling for machine learning. In: 2016 IEEE international conference on advances in		
300		computer applications (ICACA). IEEE, pp 18–20		
301	27.	Lee EY, Kim D, Kim KM, et al (2012) Age-related bone mineral density patterns in Koreans		
302		(KNHANES IV). J Clin Endocrinol Metab 97:3310-3318		
303	28.	Morel J, Combe B, Francisco J, Bernard J (2001) Bone mineral density of 704 amateur		
304		sportsmen involved in different physical activities. Osteoporos Int 12:152-157		
305	29.	Cadore EL, Brentano MA, Kruel LFM (2005) Effects of the physical activity on the bone		
306		mineral density and bone remodelation. Rev Bras Med do Esporte 11:373-379		
307	30.	Luo Y, Jiang K, He M (2020) Association between grip strength and bone mineral density in		
308		general US population of NHANES 2013–2014. Arch Osteoporos 15:1–9		
309	31.	Kim SJ, Yang W-G, Cho E, Park E-C (2012) Relationship between weight, body mass index		
310		and bone mineral density of lumbar spine in women. J bone Metab 19:95-102		
311	32.	Devlin NJ, Brooks R (2017) EQ-5D and the EuroQol group: past, present and future. Appl		
312		Health Econ Health Policy 15:127–137		
313	33.	Düppe H, Gärdsell P, Johnell O, et al (1997) Bone mineral density, muscle strength and		
314		physical activity: a population-based study of 332 subjects aged 15-42 years. Acta Orthop		

315		Scand 68:97–103
316	34.	Bukowska-Damska A, Skowronska-Jozwiak E, Peplonska B (2019) Night shift work and
317		osteoporosis: evidence and hypothesis. Chronobiol Int 36:171-180
318	35.	Rutherford OM (1999) Is there a role for exercise in the prevention of osteoporotic fractures?
319		Br J Sports Med 33:378–386
320	36.	Jordan KM, Cooper C (2002) Epidemiology of osteoporosis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol
321		16:795–806
322	37.	Nguyen T V, Sambrook PN, Eisman JA (1998) Bone loss, physical activity, and weight
323		change in elderly women: the Dubbo Osteoporosis Epidemiology Study. J bone Miner Res
324		13:1458–1467
325	38.	Yasaka K, Akai H, Kunimatsu A, et al (2020) Prediction of bone mineral density from
326		computed tomography: application of deep learning with a convolutional neural network. Eur
327		Radiol 30:3549–3557
328	39.	Ho C-S, Chen Y-P, Fan T-Y, et al (2021) Application of deep learning neural network in
329		predicting bone mineral density from plain X-ray radiography. Arch Osteoporos 16:1-12
330	40.	Sato Y, Yamamoto N, Inagaki N, et al (2022) Deep learning for bone mineral density and T-
331		score prediction from chest X-rays: A multicenter study. Biomedicines 10:2323
332		



Figure 1. Flowchart of the study



Figure 2. Schematic diagram of multi-layer perceptron neural network



Figure 3. Training accuracy and loss curve



Figure 4. Confusion matrix for osteoporosis prediction

KNHANES	Description	Osteoporosis	Normal
Age	Age	68.69 <u>+</u> 8.39	54.75 ± 12.17
HE_wt	Weight	55.88 <u>+</u> 8.43	58.91 <u>+</u> 9.25
HE_ht	Height	152.77 <u>+</u> 5.93	157.12 <u>+</u> 6.15
HE_wc	Waist circumference	82.79 <u>+</u> 9.26	80.73 <u>+</u> 9.59
GS	Grip strength	18.91 ± 5.03	22.13 ± 5.13
BMI	Body mass index	23.93 <u>+</u> 3.29	23.87 ± 3.55
EQ5D	Quality of life	0.86 <u>+</u> 0.16	0.94 <u>±</u> 0.11
EC_wht_23	Average working hours per week	12.36 <u>+</u> 19.79	21.96 ± 22.37
BE3_31	Walking days per week	4.41 ± 2.72	4.75 ± 2.6
BE5_1	Strength training days per week	1.38 <u>+</u> 1.17	$1.52 \pm 1.31$
LQ4_00	Activity restriction	Yes: 410 No: 1604	Yes: 742 No: 9173
EC1_1	Occupational activity	Yes: 673 No: 1341	Yes: 5328 No: 4587
BE3_71	Work-related moderate-intensity physical activity	Yes: 12 No: 2002	Yes: 53 No: 9862
BE3_81	Work-related moderate-intensity physical activity,	Yes: 77 No: 1937	Yes: 512 No: 9403
BE3_75	Leisure-related high-intensity physical activity	Yes: 30 No: 1984	Yes: 633 No: 9282
BE3_85	Leisure-related moderate-intensity physical activity	Yes: 222 No: 1792	Yes: 2049 No: 7866
BO1_1	Weight change	No: 1420 Increase: 310 Decrease: 284	No: 6318 Increase: 1094 Decrease: 2503

## Table 1. Description of Variables