Title

NeuroRehabilitation OnLine (NROL): Description of a multidisciplinary group telerehabilitation innovation for stroke and neurological conditions using the TIDieR checklist

Authors

Suzanne Ackerley[1,2] (sackerley@uclan.ac.uk), Neil Wilson[1] (nwilson9@uclan.ac.uk), Paul Boland[1] (pboland2@uclan.ac.uk), Rosemary Peel[3] (rosemary.peel@mbht.nhs.uk , Louise Connell[1,2] (laconnell@uclan.ac.uk).

[1]University of Central Lancashire, Preston, Lancashire, UK

[2] East Lancashire Hospitals NHS Trust, Burnley, Lancashire, UK

[3] University Hospitals of Morecambe Bay NHS Foundation Trust

Corresponding author

Louise Connell, School of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, UK.

Email: laconnell@uclan.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Objective

Providing recommended amounts of rehabilitation for stroke and neurological patients is challenging. Telerehabilitation is viable for delivering rehabilitation and an acceptable adjunct to in-person therapy. NeuroRehabilitation OnLine (NROL) was developed as a pilot and subsequently operationalised as a regional innovation embedded across four National Health Service (NHS) Trusts. The aim of this paper is to describe the NROL innovation to assist future implementation and replication efforts.

Methods

The Template for Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR) checklist, with guidance from the TIDieR-Telehealth extension, was used to describe NROL. The description was developed collaboratively by clinical academics, therapists, managers, and researchers. Updated Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research domains were used to describe the context in which the innovation was delivered.

Results

NROL delivers online group-based real-time neurorehabilitation with technology assistance provided, incorporating multidisciplinary targeted therapy and peer support. Procedures, materials and structure are detailed to demonstrate how NROL is embedded within a healthcare system. NROL uses existing NHS therapy workforce alongside dedicated NROL roles, including an essential technology support role. Selection of NROL groups is dependent on patient need. The NROL innovation is modified over time in response to patient and staff

feedback. NROL described here is situated within a regional stroke and neurorehabilitation network in North-West England, aligns with local and national strategies, and capitalises on an existing clinical-academic partnership.

Conclusion

This comprehensive description of a regional NROL innovation, and clarification of core components, should facilitate other healthcare settings to adapt and implement NROL for their context. Continuous evaluation alongside implementation will ensure maximal impact for neurorehabilitation.

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

- A systematic development process was undertaken using the TIDieR checklist, and TIDieR-Telehealth extension, allowing a principled method for clear and user-friendly description of a complex intervention to assist future implementation and replication efforts.
- Stakeholder engagement was integral to the collaborative development of the NROL innovation and its description, ensuring informed decision-making representing multiple perspectives.
- The TIDieR checklist provides a succinct description of an intervention at a point in time but may not capture the implementation complexities and evolutions. However, the TIDieR checklist allows reporting on modifications and tailoring which can help mitigate these limitations.
- The TIDieR checklist does not include an item on context, which is important to fully understand interventions, hence in this article context it is deliberatively reported to help situate the innovation.

Abstract word count: 258

Manuscript word count: 3966

BACKGROUND

Despite a wealth of evidence that greater amounts of rehabilitation can improve outcomes(1-4) providing stroke and brain injury patients with the recommended amount of therapy remains a clinical and workforce challenge(5). Increasing access to and opportunity for therapy is a critical step to addressing shortfalls in therapy intensity and ideally needs to be feasible with limited workforce resources.

Telerehabilitation, the provision of rehabilitation remotely via telecommunication devices, has developed as a viable complement to in-person therapy and offers one possible solution to help mitigate challenges. It can deliver conventional in-person therapies online with equivalent outcomes(5-10) and with similar attendance levels and acceptability to patients(11). Patients and staff report advantages in terms of saving time, energy and travel(12-14). Although not a new approach, rapid expanded adoption of telerehabilitation in routine practice was spurred by the COVID-19 pandemic(15-18), with further encouraging data collected during this time(14,19-21). In the UK and Ireland, clinical guidelines for stroke rehabilitation now recommend the provision of therapist monitored, patient-centred remote rehabilitation programmes alongside conventional in-person therapy(22).

A group-based real-time telerehabilitation innovation for patients with acquired brain injury was developed and piloted in London, UK, entitled NROL (NeuroRehabilitation OnLine). This standalone version of NROL demonstrated positive impacts on patient-reported outcomes(20). NROL was subsequently adapted and operationalised within the UK National Health Service (NHS) by embedding a recurring six-week multidisciplinary group-based telerehabilitation innovation into an existing service. Implementation with concurrent evaluation at a single NHS Trust level yielded positive results, demonstrating favourable

86 patient and staff opinion, sustainable staffing and resource efficiencies with good clinical
87 outcomes for patients(14). NROL was then expanded into a regional innovation involving
88 four NHS Trusts in the North-West of England aligning to the NHS new Integrated Care
89 System structure to work collaboratively across regions. It remains part of a hybrid
90 approach to service delivery to complement in-person rehabilitation. NROL is acknowledged
91 as an exemplar initiative for delivering remote rehabilitation(22).

92 Despite the successful development of NROL, as yet it has only been described in its
93 standalone version(20) with no descriptive detail available for the current regional, multi-
94 site model. The Template for Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR) checklist
95 specifies criteria for the reporting of complex interventions(23). This article describes the
96 NROL innovation developed for regional use within an existing healthcare service using the
97 TIDieR checklist guidance, with the aim of assisting future implementation and replication
98 efforts.

99

100 **METHODS**

101 This article describes NROL using the 12-items of the TIDieR checklist(23) and incorporates
102 guidance from the TIDieR-Telehealth extension(24). Checklist details were developed
103 iteratively and collaboratively, with input from staff involved in NROL implementation and
104 evaluation including clinical academics, therapists, managers, researchers and a patient
105 volunteer. Initially, individual TIDieR checklists describing each NROL group in a high level of
106 detail were produced. These informed development of NROL staff manual and standard
107 operating procedure documents. Finally, we abstracted from these documents to develop a

superordinate TIDieR checklist, aimed at describing NROL as a delivery method within an existing healthcare system for application at a regional level.

Given the extensive interplay between an innovation and context, the settings in which NROL was implemented are described according to the domains of the updated Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR)(25).

Patient and public involvement

NROL has been improved by partnership, with patients, carers and staff feedback shaping the intervention described. The NROL patient volunteer co-produced individual TIDieR checklists.

RESULTS

Item 1: Intervention (innovation) name

NeuroRehabilitation OnLine (NROL)

Item 2: Why

The purpose of NROL is to increase access and opportunity to neurorehabilitation for adult patients actively receiving community stroke and neurorehabilitation services within an existing healthcare system. NROL utilises an online delivery platform offering potential advantages to staff and patients in terms of saving time, energy and travel, and enabling delivery of more therapy to more people using an existing therapy workforce(14). Readily accessible technology assistance to learn how to use the required technology and help

128 overcome barriers is important for optimising inclusivity and meeting the needs of this
129 patient population(26-28).

130 NROL delivers group-based therapy. Group rehabilitation formats can help maximise patient
131 contacts and staffing efficiencies whilst capitalising on the psychosocial benefits of peer
132 support opportunities(20, 29). Peer-based interventions are viewed positively by those with
133 acquired brain injury and neurological conditions(30, 31), and peer support elements are
134 recommended for interventions in stroke(32). Favourable evidence supports the use of
135 group therapy for the aspects of neurorehabilitation included within NROL(29, 33, 34).

136 NROL was embedded within an existing system (NHS), rather than being standalone, to
137 support a sustainable service delivery model that could augment rehabilitation provision.
138 The innovation aligns with strategic priorities at a local and national level. For example, NHS
139 England recommend using data and digital technologies, making the most effective use of
140 resources and establishing collaborative systems, as encompassed by NHS priorities and the
141 National Stroke Service Model(35, 36).

142 This TIDieR checklist describes NROL encompassing a regional approach involving four NHS
143 Trusts in the North-West of England. Cross-organisational working should allow a critical
144 mass of patients for groups where impairment incidence is relatively low (i.e., dysphasia
145 group) and a collective use of workforce. Collaborative working should also enable a
146 community of practice and shared learning.

147 **Item 3: What (materials) and Item 4: What (procedures)**

148 The materials and the procedures for NROL were developed for remote delivery. They will
149 be described together for the entry, delivery and exit of the 6-week NROL programme and

150 are depicted in the NROL process chart (Figure 1). A secure 'NROL hub' collaboration
151 platform (in Microsoft (MS) Teams) was created as a repository for shared NROL
152 information and resources. Key documents include a 'NROL standard operating procedure
153 (SOP)' and relevant organisational and governance approvals (e.g., Data Protection Impact
154 Assessment). NROL was branded with a logo used widely on staff and patient-facing
155 documentation, and t-shirts worn by the NROL team to increase visibility and establish a
156 sense of a cohesive team.

157 *Insert Figure 1 here*

158 NROL entry materials and procedures

159 Patients under the care of stroke and neurorehabilitation services with adequate access to
160 computer hardware and connectivity are eligible for NROL. The NROL staff manual provides
161 guidance to help therapy team members identify suitable patients. This living (continually
162 edited and updated) 'NROL staff manual' provides an overview of NROL, group information
163 and eligibility criteria, and referral process detail (see Supplementary file). Therapy team
164 members discuss NROL with their patient and gain verbal consent for their participation in
165 specified groups. A 'NROL patient leaflet' can be given to patients to complement verbal
166 information. Eligible, consenting patients are referred to NROL using a 'NROL referral form'.
167 Therapy team members submit the NROL referral form to the NROL hub. NROL support staff
168 enter referral form information onto a 'NROL database' (MS Excel), complete timetabling
169 and document technology assistance.

170 Prior to programme start, a NROL staff member contacts new patients to administer 'NROL
171 outcome measures', currently including the EQ-5D-5L for measuring health-related quality

172 of life(37), the Stroke Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (SSEQ) for measuring self-efficacy(38)
173 (modified for use with other neurological conditions), and the Patient Specific Functional
174 Scale (PSFS) for measuring activity performance(39). A NROL technology support staff
175 member completes a technology set-up with each patient to ensure they have the correct
176 equipment (e.g., Information technology (IT) hardware and software (MS Teams, email),
177 connectivity, group-specific requirements) and ability to access NROL, and a suitable home
178 environment to take part in their specified groups. A 'NROL technology support guide' can
179 be provided to patients for written guidance. Patients admitted to physical groups are
180 provided with a 'NROL physical group guide' describing what they need, and how to set up
181 their environment and webcam to safely perform exercises. Patients receive a 'NROL entry
182 email' detailing their personalised NROL 6-week programme, which is also sent to the
183 referrer. Relevant group invites are sent to patients and staff.

184 NROL delivery materials and procedures

185 Patients join NROL groups according to their personalised programme. Current groups are
186 described in the NROL staff manual (Supplementary file). Targeted therapy groups can
187 include 'physical' groups involving exercise or upper limb rehabilitation led by physiotherapy
188 and occupational therapy staff, and 'talking' groups including cognitive rehabilitation, living
189 well, fatigue management or communication therapy, led by occupational therapy,
190 psychology and speech and language therapy staff. Many of the groups are jointly led by
191 more than one discipline. Targeted therapy groups encompass interactive, educational, and
192 practical elements. In addition, community groups are offered to all patients and include an
193 introduction to NROL (Meet and Greet) and an optional weekly peer support group (Café
194 NROL). Patients are sent a prompt (i.e., text, email) in the first two weeks of their

195 programme to assist attendance. Technology assistance is available to patients and staff
196 during each NROL session. Throughout the programme if a patient is expected in a group
197 but does not join, the NROL technology support staff member makes contact to offer
198 assistance.

199 NROL is delivered online (MS Teams) on existing staff devices. NROL group facilitators and
200 the NROL technology support staff member require webcam and microphone-enabled
201 devices and a stable internet connection to deliver NROL groups. A headset can assist clear
202 communication. For physical groups, a large screen television with a portable microphone is
203 used for monitoring of patients whilst performing exercises. Session content is developed by
204 group facilitators and based on evidence-based practice guidelines, and may include
205 discussions, demonstrations, and shared presentations (MS PowerPoint). Group facilitators
206 and the NROL technology support staff member use the NROL database during sessions for
207 accessing patient details, timetabling information and for recording attendance. Access to a
208 telephone is required in case of adverse events. Group facilitators are required to ensure
209 clinical notes are entered for all patients in attendance at sessions. Weekly NROL staff
210 meetings are held to update and discuss NROL delivery and group facilitators meet
211 separately as required.

212 Patients require internet connectivity, and a webcam and microphone enabled device
213 appropriate to their groups (e.g., patients in physical groups require a large screen device),
214 with MS teams installed. Patients participating in physical groups require equipment for
215 enabling stability when performing exercises, such as a chair, frame or table. Specific
216 equipment may be requested for functional task practice and patients are advised to wear
217 comfortable footwear and clothing and have access to water or a suitable drink. Patients

218 participating in talking groups are advised to have a pen and paper for making notes or
219 practicing cognitive exercises. All patients should have a telephone in case of technology
220 issues or adverse events and should wear their pendant alarm if they have one.

221 NROL exit materials and procedures

222 On programme completion, patients receive a 'NROL exit email' with summary information
223 and resources, which is also sent to the referrer. NROL outcome measures are repeated,
224 and patients also complete a patient satisfaction survey.

225 Evaluation

226 Evaluation occurs alongside NROL delivery and includes analysis of service and patient level
227 information. Data (quantitative and qualitative) are sourced from the NROL database, and
228 outcome measures, and patient satisfaction surveys responses, and relevant analysis is
229 undertaken by the NROL leads. Data is summarised and feedback at multiple clinical levels
230 (delivery, organisational, regional) and to academic audiences.

231 Staff training

232 Meetings are held to discuss NROL evaluation findings. Shared learning sessions are run to
233 optimise learnings across groups. General NROL information is shared with staff across
234 trusts by NROL staff, including NROL leads and nominated NROL champions, during team
235 and service meetings, and via emails and posts on the NROL hub.
236 New staff are introduced to NROL during orientation. Staff can observe NROL sessions for
237 experiential learning, particularly when training to become a group facilitator. Staff training

238 and guidance is available as required and provided remotely by NROL leadership, support or
239 NROL therapy staff depending on training need. This includes training from technology
240 support and a 'NROL staff technology guide' to upskill staff and improve confidence.

241 **Item 5: Who provided**

242 NROL is provided by therapy staff from stroke and neurorehabilitation teams at partnered
243 NHS Trusts. This is supported by a wider NROL team encompassed within a clinical-academic
244 partnership. NROL uses an existing NHS workforce alongside dedicated NROL roles for the
245 operational and administrative oversight, and technology support.

246 Leadership

247 NROL leadership is managed through a clinical-academic partnership team consisting of
248 senior NHS Trust management staff, academic project staff, and a dedicated NROL
249 operational lead.

250 NROL therapy staff

251 NROL delivery is provided by NROL therapy staff comprised of existing NHS therapists and
252 therapy assistants, with student involvement. NROL therapy staff represent physiotherapy,
253 occupational therapy, speech and language therapy and psychology disciplines at a range of
254 seniority. Online groups are staffed by a minimum of two group facilitators (therapists
255 and/or therapy assistants).

256 Support staff

257 NROL delivery is facilitated by support staff. A dedicated NROL technology support staff
258 member provides technology assistance to patients and therapy staff. A NROL administrator
259 assists with processing referrals and patient correspondence.

260 Volunteers

261 Volunteers are previous NROL patients or members of the stroke and neurorehabilitation
262 community who support the running of community groups under guidance from the NROL
263 therapy team.

264 **Item 6: How (mode of delivery)**

265 Mode of delivery overlaps with the materials and procedures (see items 3 and 4). In brief,
266 NROL is a service delivery model providing group-based multidisciplinary rehabilitation as an
267 adjunct to in-person therapy. Sessions and meetings are conducted remotely (MS Teams).
268 NROL is embedded within an existing healthcare system (NHS) and makes use of existing
269 infrastructure (IT hardware and software).

270 **Item 7: Where**

271 For NROL delivery, group facilitators and the NROL technology support staff member attend
272 group sessions from a private, well-lit, quiet workspace. This involves real-time online co-
273 delivery from facilitators based at different geographical locations and NHS trusts. A room
274 with adequate space is required for demonstrating exercises in physical groups. Patients
275 take part in NROL groups from their own home (or suitable alternative) and also require a
276 quiet, well-lit, private room that is appropriate to the needs of the group.

277 **Item 8: When and how much**

278 NROL delivery is structured into recurring 6-week 'NROL' blocks. Blocks facilitate integration
279 of NROL into the existing service as they account for the need for patient flow.

280 NROL groups run during the working week (Monday to Friday). A NROL introductory group
281 (Meet and Greet) runs at the start of each block. All further group sessions are scheduled for
282 60 minutes, with most groups run weekly. The NROL timetable is modified over time
283 according to patient need and staffing capacity. Sessions can be rescheduled due to
284 unforeseen circumstances or bank holidays.

285 The maximum number of patients within a group is determined by the group facilitators and
286 decided pragmatically to ensure the best experience for patients and staff (see NROL staff
287 manual for more detail). In the event of too few or too many referrals for a group, deferral
288 to the next block or the running additional groups are considered.

289 **Item 9: Tailoring**

290 Tailoring is required at patient, group and block levels.

291 There is flexibility regarding when NROL is integrated within a patient's rehabilitation, and at
292 present optimal timing is unknown. Patients joining NROL are referred to appropriate
293 targeted therapy groups and a personalised programme created. Clinical reasoning should
294 determine how NROL fits with a patient's overall rehabilitation package of care. Patients can
295 attend more than one NROL block if clinically indicated and providing they remain under the
296 active care of their stroke or neurorehabilitation team.

297 The needs of patients in groups will be nuanced over time requiring a responsive approach.
298 All referrals are screened by group facilitators to ensure session content is tailored for the
299 current needs. NROL delivery should be considerate that language used is appropriate for
300 patients with a variety of neurological diagnoses (sudden, intermittent and progressive
301 conditions) and chronicities.

302 The structure of blocks, in terms of groups offered and frequency, actively considers patient
303 and workforce availability. The groups provided in each block is a balance between patient
304 demand and staff capacity. Some groups run all blocks, whilst others run intermittently.
305 There is an attempt to minimise groups running over holiday periods. Resourceful use of
306 available workforce is encouraged and the remote nature of NROL enables staff to deliver
307 NROL whilst working when from home or requiring work adjustments. Student involvement
308 can be incorporated to support groups.

309 **Item 10: Modifications**

310 This article describes the NROL innovation developed for regional use. It was modified from
311 a standalone version (20) and expanded from a project implemented at a single NHS trust
312 (14). The core components retained include provision of online real-time
313 neurorehabilitation with technology assistance, incorporating multidisciplinary targeted
314 therapy and community groups whilst embodying peer support. Adaptations for integration
315 within an existing healthcare system included inclusion of stroke and other neurological
316 conditions with varying chronicity, delivering as an adjunct to complement existing
317 rehabilitation, use of existing workforce and being run as recurring 6-week NROL blocks to
318 manage patient flow.

319 NROL continues to be modified over time. New groups are created to meet patient need or
320 to capitalise on changing staff skill sets. Groups can also be deferred, discontinued or
321 replaced based on patient and staff feedback.

322 **Item 11: How well planned & Item 12: How well delivered (including fidelity)**

323 Communication, resources and technology assistance are provided to optimise NROL entry
324 and participation (See *Items 3 & 4)*. Service data are obtained and reviewed to monitor
325 performance.

326 A detailed mixed-methods evaluation of NROL within a single trust is available(14).
327 Implementation and evaluation of the regional NROL programme in the North-West of
328 England is ongoing. This multi-trust regional innovation has to date delivered 4 x 6-week
329 NROL blocks, with 1082 patient contacts over 172 sessions provided to the 126 patients
330 referred to NROL. Withdrawal prior to programme start (17%) and drop-out (15%) are
331 reasonably low. The median number of patients participating in a NROL block is 32
332 (range=29-36). Ten different groups are currently offered across a range of therapies, with
333 typically nine groups running per block. Therapy staffing efficiencies (ratio 0.4) are
334 demonstrated compared to one-to-one delivery (ratio 1.0) and currently over 40 staff across
335 the North-West are involved with group delivery. Overall NROL patient attendance is good
336 (70%), with mechanisms in place to facilitate attendance (e.g., patients receive text/email
337 prompts in the first two weeks of their programme). Attendance to the weekly peer support
338 group (Café NROL) is optional however this opportunity is taken up by many patients (58%).
339 NROL outcome measure evaluation indicates improvements for many patients in health-
340 related quality of life and activity performance over the time of their NROL programme,

341 acknowledging that NROL is only one part of their overall rehabilitation. Overall patient
342 satisfaction is high, with 99% of patients reporting they would recommend NROL to another
343 person.

344 **Context**

345 The NROL innovation detailed in this article is delivered within a context that can be
346 described using the domains of inner and outer settings(25).

347 The inner setting is defined as the four NHS Trusts that are situated within the Lancashire
348 and South Cumbria Integrated Stroke and Neurorehabilitation Delivery Network that
349 provide community-based stroke and neurorehabilitation care for the region. The region has
350 a population of 1.8 million and covers a large geographical footprint with urban and rural
351 settlements, and ethnic diversity. Deprivation and poor health affect many, with differences
352 in life expectancy and quality of life varying significantly(40). In some neighbourhoods,
353 healthy life expectancy is 46.5 years.

354 Aligning with national strategies and policies, the Lancashire and South Cumbria region has
355 a vision to work collaboratively to share skills and expertise, data and best practice across
356 Trusts(41). A challenge is that Trusts have varied service remits (stroke, neurological or both)
357 and infrastructure (e.g. physical, staffing levels, technology systems, governance processes).

358 With regards to the outer setting, the impetus for starting NROL was the global pandemic,
359 which also influenced sociocultural values of staff and patients to increase the worthiness
360 and openness to use of remote technology. NROL also aligned with wider policy and
361 strategies(42-44), benefitted from an already established clinical-academic partnership
362 between the NHS and a university, and had funding from an external entity(45).

363

364 **DISCUSSION**

365 NROL utilises an online delivery platform, with dedicated technology assistance, to provide
366 multidisciplinary real-time group therapy to patients actively receiving community stroke
367 and neurorehabilitation. Telerehabilitation is recognised as having a vital role to play in
368 future healthcare delivery(46) but as yet there are limited details of how to do this(47). To
369 address this need, the TIDieR checklist is used as a structured method to provide a
370 comprehensive description of a regional NROL innovation embedded within a healthcare
371 system. The actual process of completing the TIDieR was time intensive but did provide the
372 impetus for the team to clearly describe NROL, agreeing the core components.
373 Consideration was given to the necessary balance of information to ensure comprehensive
374 detail but attempting not to overwhelm. Further documentation is available as a
375 supplementary file and by contacting the corresponding author. This description details an
376 exemplar of telerehabilitation using NROL delivered in one region, and it is acknowledged
377 that NROL will likely need adapting for other areas given the significant interaction between
378 an innovation and its context. This article should facilitate other healthcare settings to adapt
379 and implement NROL for their context.

380 Optimal adaptation of an innovation requires an understanding of the core components
381 that cannot be changed versus the adaptable periphery that can be changed(25, 48, 49). The
382 core components of NROL include provision of online real-time neurorehabilitation with
383 technology assistance, incorporating multidisciplinary targeted therapy and community
384 groups whilst embodying peer support. It is proposed that these core components should
385 be consistently implemented for NROL but that the processes to achieve them are adapted

386 to fit local conditions. Examples include the use of MS Teams to deliver groups but other
387 online platforms are available; use of recurring 6-week NROL blocks but other timings may
388 suit other services; the number and types of targeted therapy groups will need to reflect
389 workforce capability and capacity. It is known innovations that have adaptability are more
390 likely to be used in clinical practice(50, 51). Further examination of the adaptive
391 components of NROL will help discern how it can be upscaled for use in a variety of
392 contexts.

393 Context is everything(52). A limitation of the TIDieR checklist is that it does not include an
394 item on context. Arguably to understand the innovation fully and guide future adaptations
395 an understanding of the context is required. This is because innovations are inextricably
396 linked to the context in which they are delivered, and achieving a good fit between these is
397 important to ensure the innovation works as intended(53). In this article, context is
398 deliberatively reported to help situate the innovation. NROL did take resources, time and
399 effort to implement as a regional initiative and details on the implementation will be
400 reported elsewhere. The need for resources to enable implementation is not
401 unexpected(49, 54, 55) and current healthcare systems are often not set-up to facilitate this
402 upfront effort. Influential contextual factors included leadership buy-in and commitment, a
403 clinical-academic partnership and fit with the local and broader strategic landscape.

404 Inevitably NROL will continue to evolve. The TIDieR checklist does allow for reporting on
405 modifications and tailoring. To date, the checklist has been primarily used for reporting
406 interventions in trials(56, 57) and there are limited examples of its use for adapted
407 interventions over time. This article documents current NROL delivery and captures its
408 retrospective modifications and tailoring. Going forward, transparent reporting of new

409 iterations of NROL together with descriptions of their context should be undertaken to aid
410 comparisons.

411

412 **CONCLUSION**

413 A hybrid approach incorporating telerehabilitation, to complement in-person therapy, is
414 required for a future-proof service that follows policy and guidelines. This comprehensive
415 description of a regional NROL innovation gives an example of successful implementation
416 within an existing healthcare system. It provides a platform for others to reduce duplication
417 of effort and help facilitate the use of telerehabilitation in clinical practice. Adapted
418 versions of NROL are expected when implementing in different contexts. Transparent
419 reporting and continuous evaluation alongside NROL implementation are encouraged and
420 will ensure maximal impact for neurorehabilitation delivery.

421 **FIGURE LEGEND**

422 **Figure 1**

423 **NROL process chart.** The key NROL procedures and staff and patient materials are outlined
424 over four stages; Referral, Entry, Delivery and Exit, with the staff involved indicated. This
425 chart can be used by the wider stroke and neurorehabilitation teams to help plan and
426 organise participation for suitable patients and understand the resources available and
427 needed from both a staff and patient perspective to support this. MS, Microsoft; IT,
428 information technology.

429 **Availability of data/ resources**

430 Digital copies of NROL materials are available on request by contacting Professor Louise
431 Connell (laconnell@uclan.ac.uk).

432 **Funding**

433 This work was supported by a generous donation from the charity SameYou (Charity
434 number 1170102). The funders had no role in writing the manuscript.

435 **Competing interests**

436 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

437 **Author contributions**

438 SA and LC conceived the study. All authors (SA, LC, NW, PB, RP) were involved in the
439 planning and conduct of the work described in the paper. SA, LC and NW drafted the initial
440 manuscript. All authors were involved in revising the manuscript. All authors have given final
441 approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the
442 work.

443 **Acknowledgements:**

444 We would like to express our gratitude to the individuals and services that contribute to
445 NROL, and have contributed to this work, from East Lancashire Hospitals NHS Trust,
446 University Hospitals of Morecambe Bay NHS Foundation Trust, Blackpool Teaching Hospitals
447 NHS Foundation Trust and Lancashire and South Cumbria NHS Foundation Trust. Special

448 thanks go to Ian Grimshaw for providing technological support for patients and staff, as well
449 as supporting administrative and organisational operations. We extend our appreciation to
450 the UCL N-ROL team for their sharing of expertise and experience of online delivery and
451 valuable discussions. Many thanks to the SameYou team for their generous fundraising and
452 support which have made NROL possible.

453

454 **REFERENCES**

455 1. Lohse KR, Lang CE, Boyd LA. Is more better? Using metadata to explore dose-response
456 relationships in stroke rehabilitation. Stroke. 2014;45(7):2053-8.10.1161/strokeaha.114.004695
457 2. French B, Thomas LH, Leathley MJ, Sutton CJ, McAdam J, Forster A, et al. Repetitive Task
458 Training for Improving Functional Ability After Stroke. Stroke. 2009;40(4):e98-
459 e9.10.1161/strokeaha.108.519553
460 3. Schneider EJ, Lannin NA, Ada L, Schmidt J. Increasing the amount of usual rehabilitation
461 improves activity after stroke: a systematic review. Journal of Physiotherapy. 2016;62(4):182-
462 7.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphys.2016.08.006
463 4. Kwakkel G, Van Peppen R, Wagenaar RC, Wood Dauphinee S, Richards C, Ashburn A, et al.
464 Effects of Augmented Exercise Therapy Time After Stroke. Stroke. 2004;35(11):2529-
465 39.10.1161/01.str.0000143153.76460.7d
466 5. Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme. The Road to Recovery. The Ninth SSNAP Annual
467 Report. [Internet] 2022. Available from:
468 https://www.strokeaudit.org/Documents/National/Clinical/Apr2021Mar2022/Apr2021Mar2022-
469 AnnualReport.aspx [accessed 30 March 2023]
470 6. Cramer SC, Dodakian L, Le V, McKenzie A, See J, Augsburger R, et al. A Feasibility Study of
471 Expanded Home-Based Telerehabilitation After Stroke. Front Neurol.
472 2020;11:611453.10.3389/fneur.2020.611453
473 7. Laver KE, Adey-Wakeling Z, Crotty M, Lannin NA, George S, Sherrington C. Telerehabilitation
474 services for stroke. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.
475 2020;1(1):Cd010255.10.1002/14651858.CD010255.pub3
476 8. Stroke Association. A New Era for Stroke. [Internet] 2016. Available from:
477 https://www.stroke.org.uk/sites/default/files/anefs_report_web.pdf [accessed 30 March 2023]
478 9. Parrott D, Ibarra S. Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing a Telemedicine Brain Injury
479 Coping Skills (BICS) group intervention to traditional in-person BICS for Brain Injury Patients and
480 Caregivers. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation.
481 2021;102(10):e15.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2021.07.434
482 10. Khan F, Amatya B, Kesselring J, Galea M. Telerehabilitation for persons with multiple
483 sclerosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015(4):CD010508.10.1002/14651858.CD010508.pub2
484 11. Rietdijk R, Power E, Attard M, Togher L. Acceptability of telehealth-delivered rehabilitation:
485 Experiences and perspectives of people with traumatic brain injury and their carers. Journal of
486 Telemedicine and Telecare. 2022;28(2):122-34.10.1177/1357633x20923824
487 12. Hargreaves DL, D. Stroke, GIRFT Programme National Specialty Report. [Internet] 2022.
488 Available from: https://gmnisdn.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Stroke-GiRFT-report-Apr-
489 22.pdf) [accessed
490 13. Chartered Society of Physiotherapy. National Evaluation of Remote Physiotherapy Services:
491 the findings. [Internet] 2022. Available from: https://www.csp.org.uk/professional-
492 clinical/professional-guidance/remote-consultations/national-evaluation-remote-0 [accessed 30
493 March 2023]
494 14. Ackerley S, Wilson N, Boland P, Reed J, Connell L. Implementation of neurological group-
495 based telerehabilitation within existing healthcare: a mixed methods evaluation. BMC Health
496 Services Research journal. Under review.
497 15. Prvu Bettger J, Resnik LJ. Telerehabilitation in the Age of COVID-19: An Opportunity for
498 Learning Health System Research. Phys Ther. 2020;100(11):1913-6.10.1093/ptj/pzaa151
499 16. Wosik J, Fudim M, Cameron B, Gellad ZF, Cho A, Phinney D, et al. Telehealth transformation:
500 COVID-19 and the rise of virtual care. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association.
501 2020;27(6):957-62.10.1093/jamia/ocaa067

502 17. Taylor A, Caffery L, Gesesew H, King A, Bassal A-r, Ford K, et al. How Australian Health Care
503 Services Adapted to Telehealth During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Survey of Telehealth Professionals.
504 Frontiers in Public Health. 2021;9.10.3389/fpubh.2021.648009
505 18. Ross MH, Nelson M, Parravicini V, Weight M, Tyrrell R, Hartley N, et al. Staff perspectives on
506 the key elements to successful rapid uptake of telerehabilitation in medium-sized public hospital
507 physiotherapy departments. Physiotherapy Research International. 2022.10.1002/pri.1991
508 19. Yang CL, Waterson S, Eng JJ. Implementation and Evaluation of the Virtual Graded Repetitive
509 Arm Supplementary Program (GRASP) for Individuals With Stroke During the COVID-19 Pandemic
510 and Beyond. Phys Ther. 2021;101(6).10.1093/ptj/pzab083
511 20. Beare B, Doogan CE, Douglass-Kirk P, Leff AP, Ward N. Neuro-Rehabilitation OnLine (N-ROL):
512 description and evaluation of a group-based telerehabilitation programme for acquired brain injury.
513 Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry. 2021;92(12):1354.10.1136/jnnp-2021-326809
514 21. Buckingham S, Anil K, Demain S, Gunn H, Jones RB, Kent B, et al. Telerehabilitation for
515 people with physical disabilities and movement impairment: development and evaluation of an
516 online toolkit for practitioners and patients. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2022:1-
517 8.10.1080/09638288.2022.2074549
518 22. Royal College of Physicians. National Clinical Guideline for Stroke for the United Kingdom
519 and Ireland. [Internet] 2022. Available from: https://uploads-
520 ssl.webflow.com/62c3d8070eda8516a8ba9475/6380a7e6a9a3db745a8e8315_2023%20National%2
521 0Clinical%20Guideline%20for%20Stroke%20consultation%20document.pdf [accessed 30 March
522 2023]
523 23. Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I, Milne R, Perera R, Moher D, et al. Better reporting of
524 interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide.
525 BMJ. 2014;348(mar07 3):g1687-g.10.1136/bmj.g1687
526 24. Rhon DI, Fritz JM, Kerns RD, McGeary DD, Coleman BC, Farrokhi S, et al. TIDieR-telehealth:
527 precision in reporting of telehealth interventions used in clinical trials - unique considerations for the
528 Template for the Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR) checklist. BMC Medical Research
529 Methodology. 2022;22(1).10.1186/s12874-022-01640-7
530 25. Damschroder LJ, Reardon CM, Widerquist MAO, Lowery J. The updated Consolidated
531 Framework for Implementation Research based on user feedback. Implementation Science.
532 2022;17(1).10.1186/s13012-022-01245-0
533 26. Mace RA, Mattos MK, Vranceanu A-M. Older adults can use technology: why healthcare
534 professionals must overcome ageism in digital health. Translational Behavioral Medicine.
535 2022;12(12):1102-5.10.1093/tbm/ibac070
536 27. Tsai H-YS, Shillair R, Cotten SR. Social Support and "Playing Around". Journal of Applied
537 Gerontology. 2017;36(1):29-55.10.1177/0733464815609440
538 28. Doorley JD, Mace RA, Popok PJ, Grunberg VA, Ragnhildstveit A, Vranceanu AM. Feasibility
539 Randomized Controlled Trial of a Mind-Body Activity Program for Older Adults With Chronic Pain and
540 Cognitive Decline: The Virtual "Active Brains" Study. Gerontologist. 2022;62(7):1082-
541 94.10.1093/geront/gnab135
542 29. Miller MJ, Pak SS, Keller DR, Barnes DE. Evaluation of Pragmatic Telehealth Physical Therapy
543 Implementation During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Phys Ther. 2021;101(1).10.1093/ptj/pzaa193
544 30. Aterman S, Ghahari S, Kessler D. Characteristics of peer-based interventions for individuals
545 with neurological conditions: a scoping review. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2022:1-
546 32.10.1080/09638288.2022.2028911
547 31. Hughes R, Fleming P, Henshall L. Peer support groups after acquired brain injury: a
548 systematic review. Brain Injury. 2020;34(7):847-56.10.1080/02699052.2020.1762002
549 32. Clark E, Maccrosain A, Ward NS, Jones F. The key features and role of peer support within
550 group self-management interventions for stroke? A systematic review. Disability and Rehabilitation.
551 2020;42(3):307-16.10.1080/09638288.2018.1498544

552 33. Fleming V, Brownsett S, Krason A, Maegli MA, Coley-Fisher H, Ong Y-H, et al. Efficacy of
553 spoken word comprehension therapy in patients with chronic aphasia: a cross-over randomised
554 controlled trial with structural imaging. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry.
555 2021;92(4):418-24.10.1136/jnnp-2020-324256
556 34. Ward NS, Brander F, Kelly K. Intensive upper limb neurorehabilitation in chronic stroke:
557 outcomes from the Queen Square programme. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry.
558 2019;90(5):498-506.10.1136/jnnp-2018-319954
559 35. NHS England. National Stroke Service Model: Integrated Stroke Delivery Networks. [Internet]
560 2021. Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/stroke-service-
561 model-may-2021.pdf [accessed 30 March 2023]
562 36. Stroke Association. Shaping stroke research to rebuild lives. [Internet] 2021. Available from:
563 https://www.stroke.org.uk/sites/default/files/research/stroke_priority_setting_partnership_full_rep
564 ort.pdf [accessed 30 March 2023]
565 37. EuroQol Research Foundation. EQ-5D-3L User Guide. [Internet] 2018. Available from:
566 https://euroqol.org/publications/user-guides [accessed 30 March 2023]
567 38. Riazi A, Aspden T, Jones F. Stroke Self-efficacy Questionnaire: a Rasch-refined measure of
568 confidence post stroke. J Rehabil Med. 2014;46(5):406-12.10.2340/16501977-1789
569 39. Stratford P, Gill C, Westaway M, Binkley J. Assessing Disability and Change on Individual
570 Patients: A Report of a Patient Specific Measure. Physiotherapy Canada. 1995;47(4):258-
571 63.10.3138/ptc.47.4.258
572 40. Lancashire Independent Economic Review. Deep Dive: Health,Wealth & Wellbeing.
573 [Internet] 2021. Available from: https://www.lancashireier.org/wp-
574 content/uploads/2021/12/LIER_Health_Wealth_and_Wellbeing_2021_v1.pdf [accessed 30 March
575 2023]
576 41. Lancashire and South Cumbria Provider Collaborative. Our vision. [Internet] 2022. Available
577 from: https://lscprovidercollaborative.nhs.uk/about-us/our-vision [accessed 16 February 2023]
578 42. World Health A. Digital health. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2018 2018.
579 https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/279505
580 43. World Health Assembly. eHealth. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2005 2005.
581 https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/20378
582 44. NHS England and NHS Inprovement. 2022/23 priorities and operational planning guidance.
583 [Internet] 2022. Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-
584 content/uploads/2022/02/20211223-B1160-2022-23-priorities-and-operational-planning-guidance-
585 v3.2.pdf [accessed 30 March 2023]
586 45. SameYou. NROL. [Internet] 2022. Available from: https://www.sameyou.org/nrol [accessed
587 16 February 2023]
588 46. NHS Health Education England. The Topol Review. [Internet] 2023. Available from:
589 https://topol.hee.nhs.uk/the-topol-review/ [accessed 16 February 2023]
590 47. English C, Ceravolo MG, Dorsch S, Drummond A, Gandhi DB, Halliday Green J, et al.
591 Telehealth for rehabilitation and recovery after stroke: State of the evidence and future directions.
592 International Journal of Stroke. 2022;17(5):487-93.10.1177/17474930211062480
593 48. Haynes A, Brennan S, Redman S, Williamson A, Gallego G, Butow P. Figuring out fidelity: a
594 worked example of the methods used to identify, critique and revise the essential elements of a
595 contextualised intervention in health policy agencies. Implementation Science.
596 2015;11(1).10.1186/s13012-016-0378-6
597 49. Greenhalgh T, Papoutsi C. Spreading and scaling up innovation and improvement. BMJ.
598 2019:l2068.10.1136/bmj.l2068
599 50. Rogers E. Diffusion of innovations: 5th Edition. New York: Free Press; 2003.
600 51. Gustafson DH, Sainfort F, Eichler M, Adams L, Bisognano M, Steudel H. Developing and
601 Testing a Model to Predict Outcomes of Organizational Change. Health Services Research.
602 2003;38(2):751-76.10.1111/1475-6773.00143

603 52. Bate P. The Health Foundation: Perspectives on context. [Internet] 2014. Available from:
604 https://www.health.org.uk/sites/default/files/PerspectivesOnContextBateContextIsEverything.pdf
605 [accessed 30 March 2023]
606 53. Hawe P. Lessons from Complex Interventions to Improve Health. Annual Review of Public
607 Health. 2015;36(1):307-23.10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031912-114421
608 54. Standing C, Standing S, McDermott M-L, Gururajan R, Kiani Mavi R. The Paradoxes of
609 Telehealth: a Review of the Literature 2000-2015. Systems Research and Behavioral Science.
610 2018;35(1):90-101.10.1002/sres.2442
611 55. Signal N, Martin T, Leys A, Maloney R, Bright F. Implementation of telerehabilitation in
612 response to COVID-19: Lessons learnt from neurorehabilitation clinical practice and education. New
613 Zealand Journal of Physiotherapy. 2020;48:117-26.10.15619/NZJP/48.3.03
614 56. Tume LN, Blackwood B, McAuley DF, Morris K, Peters MJ, Jordan J, et al. Using the TIDieR
615 checklist to describe the intervention of the Sedation and Weaning in Children(SANDWICH) trial.
616 Nursing in Critical Care. 2022.10.1111/nicc.12810
617 57. Carlsson H, Rosén B, Björkman A, Pessah-Rasmussen H, Brogårdh C. SENSory re-learning of
618 the UPPer limb (SENSUPP) after stroke: development and description of a novel intervention using
619 the TIDieR checklist. Trials. 2021;22(1).10.1186/s13063-021-05375-6

620

	Procedure	Staff Material	Patient Material
Referral: Stroke & Neurorehabilitation team	**Patient identified for NROL** Therapist identifies patient need and ensures they meet eligibility criteria for NROL and relevant groups	NROL staff manual	NROL patient leaflet
	Consent gained Therapist discusses NROL and suitable groups with the patient, confirms IT hardware and internet connectivity access, and gains verbal consent	NROL referral form	IT hardware (webcam/microphone enabled) and internet connectivity
	Referral submission Therapists completes referral form including group-specific outcome measures and uploads to NROL hub	NROL hub (Collaboration platform)	
Entry: NROL lead & support staff	**Referral processing** NROL support enter referral information onto database and perform group timetabling with lead oversight	NROL database NROL outcome measures	IT software (MS Teams, Email) NROL technology support guide NROL physical group guide
	Patient set-up Completion of outcome measures and technology set-up		
	Patient programmes Patients emailed their NROL programmes and relevant group invites emailed to patients and staff	NROL entry email NROL group invites	
Delivery: NROL therapy & support staff	**Meet and Greet** Talking, Physical, Community	NROL regional SOP IT hardware, software, internet connectivity, patient data system, group-specific equipment & telephone NROL staff technology guide NROL database	Telephone/pendant alarm Physical: environmental & therapy equipment as detailed by group facilitators Talking: Pen and paper
	Clinical notes		
	Weekly MDT Meetings		
Exit: NROL Support staff	**Patient exit** Completion of outcome measure & patient satisfaction survey Patients sent exit email with group specific summary information and resources	NROL outcome measures NROL exit email NROL patient satisfaction survey	Group specific resources