1 **Title**

2 NeuroRehabilitation OnLine (NROL): Description of a multidisciplinary group

3 telerehabilitation innovation for stroke and neurological conditions using the TIDieR

4 checklist

5 **Authors**

6 Suzanne Ackerley[1,2] (sackerley@uclan.ac.uk), Neil Wilson[1] (nwilson9@uclan.ac.uk), Paul

7 Boland[1] (pboland2@uclan.ac.uk)**,** Rosemary Peel[3] (rosemary.peel@mbht.nhs.uk , Louise

8 Connell[1,2] (laconnell@uclan.ac.uk).

9 [1]University of Central Lancashire, Preston, Lancashire, UK

10 [2] East Lancashire Hospitals NHS Trust, Burnley, Lancashire, UK

11 [3] University Hospitals of Morecambe Bay NHS Foundation Trust

12 **Corresponding author**

13 Louise Connell, School of Sport and Health Sciences, University of Central Lancashire, UK. E-

14 mail: laconnell@uclan.ac.uk

15

**Abstract**

Background

Providing recommended amounts of rehabilitation for stroke and neurological patients is challenging. Telerehabilitation is viable for delivering rehabilitation and an acceptable adjunct to in-person therapy. NeuroRehabilitation OnLine (NROL) was developed as a pilot and subsequently operationalised as a regional innovation embedded across four National Health Service (NHS) Trusts.

Objective

To describe the NROL innovation to assist future implementation and replication efforts.

Methods

The Template for Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR) checklist, with guidance from the TIDieR-Telehealth extension, was used to describe NROL. The description was developed collaboratively by clinical academics, therapists, managers, and researchers. Updated Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research domains were used to describe the context in which the innovation was delivered.

Results

NROL delivers online group-based real-time neurorehabilitation with technology assistance provided, incorporating multidisciplinary targeted therapy and peer support. Procedures,

DRAFT

materials and structure are detailed to demonstrate how NROL is embedded within a healthcare system. NROL uses existing NHS therapy workforce alongside dedicated NROL roles, including an essential technology support role. Selection of NROL groups is dependent on patient need. The NROL innovation is modified over time in response to patient and staff feedback. NROL described here is situated within a regional stroke and neurorehabilitation network in North-West England, aligns with local and national strategies, and capitalises on an existing clinical-academic partnership.

## Conclusion

This comprehensive description of a regional NROL innovation, and clarification of core components, should facilitate other healthcare settings to adapt and implement NROL for their context. Continuous evaluation alongside implementation will ensure maximal impact for neurorehabilitation.

DRAFT

54 **Background**

55 Despite a wealth of evidence that greater amounts of rehabilitation can improve outcomes
56 (1-4) providing stroke and brain injury patients with the recommended amount of therapy
57 remains a clinical and workforce challenge (5). Increasing access to and opportunity for
58 therapy is a critical step to addressing shortfalls in therapy intensity and ideally needs to be
59 feasible with limited workforce resources.

60 Telerehabilitation, the provision of rehabilitation remotely via telecommunication devices,
61 has developed as a viable complement to in-person therapy and offers one possible solution
62 to help mitigate challenges. It can deliver conventional in-person therapies online with
63 equivalent outcomes (5-10) and with similar attendance levels and acceptability to patients
64 (11). Patients and staff report advantages in terms of saving time, energy and travel (12-14).
65 Although not a new approach, rapid expanded adoption of telerehabilitation in routine
66 practice was spurred by the COVID-19 pandemic (15-18), with further encouraging data
67 collected during this time (14, 19-21). In the UK and Ireland, clinical guidelines for stroke
68 rehabilitation now recommend the provision of therapist monitored, patient-centred remote
69 rehabilitation programmes alongside conventional in-person therapy (22).

70

71 A group-based real-time telerehabilitation innovation for patients with acquired brain injury
72 was developed and piloted in London, UK, entitled NROL (NeuroRehabilitation OnLine). This
73 standalone version of NROL demonstrated positive impacts on patient-reported outcomes
74 (20). NROL was subsequently adapted and operationalised within the UK National Health
75 Service (NHS) by embedding a recurring six-week multidisciplinary group-based

telerehabilitation innovation into an existing service. Implementation with concurrent evaluation at a single NHS Trust level yielded positive results, demonstrating favourable patient and staff opinion, sustainable staffing and resource efficiencies with good clinical outcomes for patients (14). NROL was then expanded into a regional innovation involving four NHS Trusts in the North-West of England aligning to the NHS new Integrated Care System structure to work collaboratively across regions. It remains part of a hybrid approach to service delivery to complement in-person rehabilitation. NROL is acknowledged as an exemplar initiative for delivering remote rehabilitation (22).

Despite the successful development of NROL, as yet it has only been described in its standalone version (20) with no descriptive detail available for the current regional, multi-site model. The Template for Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR) checklist specifies criteria for the reporting of complex interventions (23). This article describes the NROL innovation developed for regional use within an existing healthcare service using the TIDieR checklist guidance, with the aim of assisting future implementation and replication efforts.

## Methods

This article describes NROL using the 12-items of the TIDieR checklist (23) and incorporates guidance from the TIDieR-Telehealth extension, providing telehealth-specific criteria for effective description of telehealth interventions (24). Checklist details were developed iteratively and collaboratively, with input from staff involved in NROL implementation and evaluation including clinical academics, therapists, managers, and researchers. Initially, individual TIDieR checklists describing each NROL group in a high level of detail were produced. These informed development of NROL staff manual and standard operating procedure documents. Finally, we abstracted from these documents to develop a

superordinate TIDieR checklist, aimed at describing NROL as a delivery method within an existing healthcare system for application at a regional level.

Given the extensive interplay between an innovation and context, the settings in which NROL was implemented are described according to the domains of the updated Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) (25).

## Results

### *Item 1: Intervention (innovation) name*

NeuroRehabilitation OnLine (NROL)

### *Item 2: Why*

The purpose of NROL is to increase access and opportunity to neurorehabilitation for adult patients actively receiving community stroke and neurorehabilitation services within an existing healthcare system. NROL utilises an online delivery platform offering potential advantages to staff and patients in terms of saving time, energy and travel, and enabling delivery of more therapy to more people using an existing therapy workforce (14). Readily accessible technology assistance to learn how to use the required technology and help overcome barriers is important for optimising inclusivity and meeting the needs of this patient population (26-28).

NROL delivers group-based therapy. Group rehabilitation formats can help maximise patient contacts and staffing efficiencies whilst capitalising on the psychosocial benefits of peer support opportunities (20, 29). Peer-based interventions are viewed positively by those with

acquired brain injury and neurological conditions (30, 31), and peer support elements are recommended for interventions in stroke (32). Favourable evidence supports the use of group therapy for the aspects of neurorehabilitation included within NROL (29, 33, 34).

NROL was embedded within an existing system (NHS), rather than being standalone, to support a sustainable service delivery model that could augment rehabilitation provision. The innovation aligns with strategic priorities at a local and national level. For example, NHS England recommend using data and digital technologies, making the most effective use of resources and establishing collaborative systems, as encompassed by NHS priorities and the National Stroke Service Model (35, 36).

This TIDieR checklist describes NROL encompassing a regional approach involving four NHS Trusts in the North-West of England. Cross-organisational working should allow a critical mass of patients for groups where impairment incidence is relatively low (i.e., dysphasia group) and a collective use of workforce. Collaborative working should also enable a community of practice and shared learning.

***Item 3: What (materials) and Item 4: What (procedures)***

The materials and the procedures for NROL were developed for remote delivery. They will be described together for the entry, delivery and exit of the 6-week NROL programme and are depicted in Figure 1. A secure 'NROL hub' collaboration platform (in MS Teams) was created as a repository for shared NROL information and resources. Key documents include a 'NROL standard operating procedure (SOP)' and relevant organisational and governance approvals

(e.g., Data Protection Impact Assessment). NROL was branded with a logo used widely on staff and patient-facing documentation, and t-shirts worn by NROL group facilitators and technology support to increase visibility and establish a sense of a cohesive team.

**Figure 1**

DRAFT


Procedure
Staff Material
Patient Material
Referral: Stroke & Neurorehabilitation team
Patient identified for NROL
Therapist identifies patient need and ensures they meet eligibility criteria for NROL and relevant groups
Consent gained
Therapist discusses NROL and suitable groups with the patient, confirms IT hardware and internet connectivity access, and gains verbal consent
Referral submission
Therapists completes referral form including group-specific outcome measures and uploads to NROL hub
NROL staff manual
NROL referral form
NROL hub
(Collaboration platform)
NROL patient leaflet
IT hardware
webcam/microphone enabled, with internet connectivity
Entry: NROL lead & support staff
Referral processing
NROL support enter referral information onto database and perform group timetabling with lead oversight
Patient set-up
Completion of outcome measures and technology set-up
Patient programmes
Patients emailed their NROL programmes and relevant group invites emailed to patients and staff
NROL database
NROL outcome measures
IT software
(MS Teams, Email)
NROL technology support guide
NROL physical group guide
NROL entry email
NROL group invites
Delivery: NROL therapy & support staff
Clinical notes
Meet and Greet
Talking
Physical
Community
Weekly MDT Meetings
NROL regional SOP
IT hardware, software, internet connectivity, patient data system, group-specific equipment & telephone
NROL staff technology guide
NROL database
Telephone/pendant alarm
Physical: environmental & therapy equipment as detailed by group facilitators
Talking: Pen and paper
Exit: NROL Support staff
Patient exit
Completion of outcome measure & patient satisfaction survey
Patients sent exit email with group specific summary information and resources
NROL outcome measures
NROL exit email
NROL patient satisfaction survey
Group specific resources

149 **NROL entry materials and procedures**

150 Patients under the care of stroke and neurorehabilitation services with adequate access to
151 computer hardware and connectivity are eligible for entry to NROL. The NROL staff manual
152 provides guidance to help therapy team members identify suitable patients. This living
153 (continually edited and updated) 'NROL staff manual' provides an overview of NROL, group
154 information and eligibility criteria, and referral process detail (see Supplementary file).
155 Therapy team members discuss NROL with their patient and gain verbal consent for their
156 participation in specified groups. A 'NROL patient leaflet' can be given to patients to
157 complement verbal information. Eligible, consenting patients are referred to NROL using a
158 'NROL referral form'.

159

160 Therapy team members submit the NROL referral form to the NROL hub. NROL support staff
161 enter referral form information onto a 'NROL database' (MS Excel), a document used to
162 collate patient demographic and service information, complete timetabling and document
163 technology assistance.

164

165 Prior to programme start, a NROL staff member contacts new patients to administer 'NROL
166 outcome measures', currently including the EQ-5D-5L for measuring health-related quality of
167 life (37), the Stroke Self-Efficacy Questionnaire (SSEQ) for measuring self-efficacy (38)
168 (modified for use with other neurological conditions), and the Patient Specific Functional
169 Scale (PSFS) for measuring activity performance (39). A NROL technology support staff
170 member completes a technology set-up with each patient to ensure they have the correct
171 equipment (e.g., IT hardware and software (MS Teams, email), connectivity, group-specific
172 requirements) and a suitable home environment to take part in their specified groups. A

173 ‘NROL technology support guide’ can be provided to patients for written guidance. Patients
174 admitted to physical groups are provided with a ‘NROL physical group guide’ describing what
175 they need, and how to set up their environment and webcam to safely perform exercises.
176 Patients receive a ‘NROL entry email’ detailing their personalised NROL 6-week programme,
177 which is also sent to the referrer. Relevant group invites are sent to patients and staff.
178
179 **NROL delivery materials and procedures**

180 Patients join NROL groups according to their personalised programme. Current groups are
181 described in the NROL staff manual (Supplementary file). Targeted therapy groups can include
182 ‘physical’ groups involving exercise or upper limb rehabilitation led by physiotherapy and
183 occupational therapy staff, and ‘talking’ groups including cognitive rehabilitation, living well,
184 fatigue management or communication therapy, led by occupational therapy, psychology and
185 speech and language therapy staff. Many of the groups are jointly led by more than one
186 discipline. Targeted therapy groups encompass interactive, educational, and practical
187 elements. In addition, community groups are offered to all patients and include an
188 introduction to NROL (Meet and Greet) and an optional weekly peer support group (Café
189 NROL). Patients are sent a prompt (i.e., text, email) in the first two weeks of their programme
190 to assist attendance. Technology assistance is available to patients and staff during each NROL
191 session. Throughout the programme if a patient is expected in a group but does not join, the
192 NROL technology support staff member makes contact to offer assistance.
193

194 NROL is delivered online (MS Teams video conferencing application) on existing staff devices.
195 NROL group facilitators and the NROL technology support staff member require webcam and

196 microphone-enabled devices and a stable (preferably wired) internet connection to deliver
197 NROL groups. A headset can assist clear communication. For physical groups, a large screen
198 television with a portable microphone is used for monitoring of patients whilst performing
199 exercises. Session content is developed by group facilitators and based on evidence-based
200 practice guidelines, and may include discussions, demonstrations, and shared presentations
201 (MS Powerpoint). Group facilitators and the NROL technology support staff member use the
202 NROL database during sessions for accessing patient details, timetabling information and for
203 recording attendance. Access to a telephone is required in case of adverse events. Group
204 facilitators are required to ensure clinical notes are entered for all patients in attendance at
205 sessions. Weekly NROL staff meetings are held to update and discuss NROL delivery and group
206 facilitators meet separately as required.

207 Patients require internet connectivity, and a webcam and microphone enabled device
208 appropriate to their groups (e.g., patients in physical groups require a large screen device),
209 with MS teams installed. Patients participating in physical groups require equipment for
210 enabling stability when performing exercises, such as a chair, frame or table. Specific
211 equipment may be requested for functional task practice and patients are advised to wear
212 comfortable footwear and clothing and have access to water or a suitable drink. Patients
213 participating in talking groups are advised to have a pen and paper for making notes or
214 practicing cognitive exercises. All patients should have a telephone in case of technology
215 issues or adverse events and should wear their pendant alarm if they have one.

216 **NROL exit materials and procedures**

DRAFT

217 On programme completion, patients receive a 'NROL exit email' with summary information
218 and resources, which is also sent to the referrer. NROL outcome measures are repeated, and
219 patients also complete a patient satisfaction survey.

220 **Evaluation**

221 Evaluation occurs alongside NROL delivery and includes analysis of service and patient level
222 information. Data (quantitative and qualitative) are sourced from the NROL database, and
223 outcome measures, and patient satisfaction surveys responses, and relevant analysis is
224 undertaken by the NROL leads. Data is summarised and feedback at multiple clinical levels
225 (delivery, organisational, regional) and to academic audiences.

226 **Staff training**

227 Meetings are held to discuss NROL evaluation findings. Shared learning sessions are run to
228 optimise learnings across groups. General NROL information is shared with staff across trusts
229 by NROL staff, including NROL leads and nominated NROL champions, during team and
230 service meetings, and via emails and posts on the NROL hub.
231 New staff are introduced to NROL during orientation. Staff can observe NROL sessions for
232 experiential learning, particularly when training to become a group facilitator. Staff training
233 and guidance is available as required and provided remotely by NROL leadership, support or
234 NROL therapy staff depending on training need. This includes training from technology
235 support and a 'NROL staff technology guide' to upskill staff and improve confidence.
236

237 ***Item 5: Who provided***

DRAFT

238 NROL is provided by therapy staff from stroke and neurorehabilitation teams at partnered
239 NHS Trusts. This is supported by a wider NROL team encompassed within a clinical-academic
240 partnership. NROL uses an existing NHS workforce alongside dedicated NROL roles for the
241 operational and administrative oversight, and technology support.

242 **Leadership**

243 NROL leadership is managed through a clinical-academic partnership team consisting of
244 senior NHS Trust management staff, academic project staff, and a dedicated NROL
245 operational lead.

246 **NROL therapy staff**

247 NROL delivery is provided by NROL therapy staff comprised of existing NHS therapists, therapy
248 assistants and students. NROL therapy staff represent physiotherapy, occupational therapy,
249 speech and language therapy and psychology disciplines at a range of seniority. Online groups
250 are staffed by a minimum of two group facilitators (therapists and/or therapy assistants).

251 **Support staff**

252 NROL delivery is facilitated by support staff. A dedicated NROL technology support staff
253 member provides technology assistance to patients and therapy staff. A NROL administrator
254 assists with processing referrals and patient correspondence.

255 **Volunteers**

256 Volunteers are previous NROL patients or members of the stroke and neurorehabilitation
257 community who support the running of community groups under guidance from the NROL
258 therapy team.

DRAFT

***Item 6: How (mode of delivery)***

Mode of delivery overlaps with the materials and procedures (see items 3 and 4). In brief, NROL is a service delivery model providing group-based rehabilitation as an adjunct to in-person therapy. Sessions and meetings are conducted remotely (MS Teams). NROL is embedded within an existing healthcare system (NHS) and makes use of existing infrastructure (IT hardware and software).

***Item 7: Where***

For NROL delivery, group facilitators and the NROL technology support staff member attend group sessions from a private, well-lit, quiet workspace. This involves real-time online co-delivery from facilitators based at different geographical locations and NHS trusts. A room with adequate space is required for demonstrating exercises in physical groups. Patients take part in NROL groups from their own home (or suitable alternative) and also require a quiet, well-lit, private room that is appropriate to the needs of the group.

***Item 8: When and how much***

NROL delivery is structured into recurring 6-week 'NROL' blocks. Blocks facilitate integration of NROL into the existing service as they account for the need for patient flow.

NROL groups run during the working week (Monday to Friday). A NROL introductory group (Meet and Greet) runs at the start of each block. All further group sessions are scheduled for 60 minutes, with most groups run weekly. The NROL timetable is modified over time

281 according to patient need and staffing capacity. Sessions can be rescheduled due to
282 unforeseen circumstances or bank holidays.

283 The maximum number of patients within a group is determined by the group facilitators and
284 decided pragmatically to ensure the best experience for patients and staff (see NROL staff
285 manual for more detail). In the event of too few or too many referrals for a group, deferral
286 to the next block or the running additional groups are considered.

287

288 ***Item 9: Tailoring***

289 Tailoring is required at patient, group and block levels.

290 There is flexibility regarding when NROL is integrated within a patient's rehabilitation, and at
291 present optimal timing is unknown. Patients joining NROL are referred to appropriate
292 targeted therapy groups and a personalised programme created. Clinical reasoning should
293 determine how NROL fits with a patient's in-person overall rehabilitation package of care.
294 Patients can attend more than one NROL block if clinically indicated and the patients remains
295 under the active care of their stroke or neurorehabilitation team.

296 The needs of patients in groups will be nuanced over time requiring a responsive approach.
297 All referrals are screened by group facilitators to ensure session content is tailored for the
298 current needs. NROL delivery should be considerate that language used is appropriate for
299 patients with a variety of neurological diagnoses (sudden, intermittent and progressive
300 conditions) and chronicities.

301 The structure of blocks, in terms of groups offered and frequency, actively considers
302 workforce availability. The groups provided in each block is a balance between patient

demand and staff capacity. Some groups run all blocks, whilst others run intermittently. There is an attempt to minimise groups running over holiday periods. Resourceful use of available workforce is encouraged and the remote nature of NROL enables staff to deliver NROL whilst working when from home or requiring work adjustments. Student involvement can be incorporated to support groups.

***Item 10: Modifications***

This article describes the NROL innovation developed for regional use. It was modified from a standalone version (20) and expanded from a project implemented at a single NHS trust (14). The core components retained include provision of online real-time neurorehabilitation with technology assistance, incorporating multidisciplinary targeted therapy and community groups whilst embodying peer support. Adaptations for integration within an existing healthcare system included inclusion of stroke and other neurological conditions with varying chronicity, delivering as an adjunct to complement existing rehabilitation, use of existing workforce and being run as recurring 6-week NROL blocks to manage patient flow.

NROL continues to be modified over time. New groups are created to meet patient need or to capitalise on changing staff skill sets. Groups can also be deferred, discontinued or replaced based on patient and staff feedback.

***Item 11: How well planned & Item 12: How well delivered (including fidelity)***

DRAFT

323 Communication, resources and technology assistance are provided to optimise NROL entry
324 and participation (See *Items 3 & 4)*. Service data are obtained and reviewed to monitor
325 performance.

326 A detailed mixed-methods evaluation of NROL within a single trust is available *(Ackerley et al*
327 *23).* Implementation and evaluation of the regional NROL programme in the North-West of
328 England is ongoing. This multi-trust regional innovation has to date delivered 4 x 6-week NROL
329 blocks, with 1082 patient contacts over 172 sessions provided to the 126 patients referred to
330 NROL. Withdrawal prior to programme start (17%) and drop-out are reasonably low (15%).
331 The median number of patients participating in a NROL block is 32 (range = 29-36). Ten
332 different groups are currently offered across a range of therapies, with typically nine groups
333 running per block. Therapy staffing efficiencies (ratio 0.4) are demonstrated compared to
334 one-to-one delivery (ratio 1.0) and currently over 40 staff across the North-West are involved
335 with group delivery. Overall NROL patient attendance is good (70%), with mechanisms in
336 place to facilitate attendance (e.g., patients receive text/email prompts in the first two weeks
337 of their programme). Attendance to the weekly peer support group (Café NROL) is optional
338 however this opportunity is taken up by many patients (58%). Patient NROL outcome measure
339 evaluation indicates improvements for many patients in health-related quality of life and
340 activity performance over the time of their NROL programme, acknowledging that NROL is
341 only one part of their overall rehabilitation. Overall patient satisfaction is high, with 99% of
342 patients reporting they would recommend NROL to another person.

343

344 ***Context***

345 The NROL innovation detailed in this article is delivered within a context that can be described
346 using the domains of inner and outer settings (25).

347 The inner setting is defined as the four NHS Trusts that are situated within the Lancashire and
348 South Cumbria Integrated Stroke and Neurorehabilitation Delivery Network that provide
349 community-based stroke and neurorehabilitation care for the region. The region has a
350 population of 1.8 million and covers a large geographical footprint with urban and rural
351 settlements, and ethnic diversity. Deprivation and poor health affect many, with differences
352 in life expectancy and quality of life varying significantly (40). In some neighbourhoods,
353 healthy life expectancy is 46.5 years.

354 Aligning with national strategies and policies, the Lancashire and South Cumbria region has a
355 vision to work collaboratively to share skills and expertise, data and best practice across Trusts
356 (41). A challenge is that Trusts have varied service remits (stroke, neurological or both) and
357 infrastructure (e.g. physical, staffing levels, technology systems, governance processes).

358 With regards to the outer setting, the impetus for starting NROL was the global pandemic,
359 which also influenced sociocultural values of staff and patients to increase the worthiness and
360 openness to use of remote technology. NROL also aligned with wider policy and strategies
361 (42-44), benefitted from an already established clinical-academic partnership between the
362 NHS and a university, and had funding from an external entity (45).

363

364

365

366 **Discussion**

367 NROL utilises an online delivery platform, with dedicated technology assistance, to provide
368 multidisciplinary real-time group therapy to patients actively receiving community stroke and
369 neurorehabilitation. Telerehabilitation is recognised as having a vital role to play in future
370 healthcare delivery (46) but as yet there are limited details of how to do this (47). To address
371 this need, the TIDieR checklist is used as a structured method to provide a comprehensive
372 description of a regional NROL innovation embedded within a healthcare system. The actual
373 process of completing the TIDieR was time intensive but did provide the impetus for the team
374 to clearly describe NROL, agreeing the core components. Consideration was given to the
375 necessary balance of information to ensure comprehensive detail but attempting not to
376 overwhelm. Further documentation is available as supplementary files and by contacting the
377 corresponding author. This description details an exemplar of telerehabilitation using NROL
378 delivered in one region, and it is acknowledged that NROL will likely need adapting for other
379 areas given the significant interaction between an innovation and its context. This article
380 should facilitate other healthcare settings to adapt and implement NROL for their context.

381

382 Optimal adaptation of an innovation requires an understanding of the core components that
383 cannot be changed versus the adaptable periphery that can be changed (48, 49). The core
384 components of NROL include provision of online real-time neurorehabilitation with
385 technology assistance, incorporating multidisciplinary targeted therapy and community
386 groups whilst embodying peer support. It is proposed that these core components should be
387 consistently implemented for NROL but that the processes to achieve them are adapted to fit
388 local conditions. Examples include the use of MS Teams to deliver groups but other online
389 platforms are available; use of recurring 6-week NROL blocks but other timings may suit other

DRAFT

390 services; the number and types of targeted therapy groups will need to reflect workforce
391 capability and capacity. It is known innovations that have adaptability are more likely to be
392 used in clinical practice (50, 51). Further examination of the adaptive components of NROL
393 will help discern how it can be upscaled for use in a variety of contexts.

394

395 Context is everything (52). A limitation of the TIDieR checklist is that it does not include an
396 item on context. Arguably to understand the innovation fully and guide future adaptations
397 an understanding of the context is required. This is because innovations are inextricably linked
398 to the context in which they are delivered, and achieving a good fit between these is
399 important to ensure the innovation works as intended (53). In this article, context is
400 deliberatively reported to help situate the innovation. NROL did take resources, time and
401 effort to implement as a regional initiative and details on the implementation will be reported
402 elsewhere. The need for resources to enable implementation is not unexpected (49, 54, 55)
403 and current healthcare systems are often not set-up to facilitate this upfront effort. Influential
404 contextual factors included leadership buy-in and commitment, a clinical-academic
405 partnership and fit with the local and broader strategic landscape.

406

407 Inevitably NROL will continue to evolve. The TIDieR checklist does allow for reporting on
408 modifications. To date, the checklist has been primarily used for reporting interventions in
409 trials (56, 57) and there are limited examples of its use for adapted interventions over time.
410 This article documents current NROL delivery and captures its retrospective modifications.
411 Going forward, transparent reporting of new iterations of NROL together with descriptions of
412 their context should be undertaken to aid comparisons.

## Conclusion

A hybrid approach incorporating telerehabilitation, to complement in-person therapy, is required for a future-proof service that follows policy and guidelines. This comprehensive description of a regional NROL innovation gives an example of successful implementation within an existing healthcare system. It provides a platform for others to reduce duplication of effort and help facilitate the use of telerehabilitation in clinical practice. Adapted versions of NROL are expected when implementing in different contexts. Transparent reporting and continuous evaluation alongside NROL implementation are encouraged and will ensure maximal impact for neurorehabilitation delivery.

Availability of data/ resources

Digital copies of NROL materials are available on request by contacting Professor Louise Connell (laconnell@uclan.ac.uk).

*Competing interests:*

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

*Funding:*

DRAFT

NROL was supported by a generous donation from the charity SameYou (Charity number 1170102). The funders had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data or in writing the manuscript.

DRAFT

## References


1. Lohse KR, Lang CE, Boyd LA. Is more better? Using metadata to explore dose-response relationships in stroke rehabilitation. Stroke. 2014;45(7):2053-8.
2. French B, Thomas LH, Leathley MJ, Sutton CJ, McAdam J, Forster A, et al. Repetitive Task Training for Improving Functional Ability After Stroke. Stroke. 2009;40(4):e98-e9.
3. Schneider EJ, Lannin NA, Ada L, Schmidt J. Increasing the amount of usual rehabilitation improves activity after stroke: a systematic review. Journal of Physiotherapy. 2016;62(4):182-7.
4. Kwakkel G, Van Peppen R, Wagenaar RC, Wood Dauphinee S, Richards C, Ashburn A, et al. Effects of Augmented Exercise Therapy Time After Stroke. Stroke. 2004;35(11):2529-39.
5. Sentinel Stroke National Audit Programme. The Road to Recovery. The Ninth SSNAP Annual Report 2022 [Available from: https://www.strokeaudit.org/Documents/National/Clinical/Apr2021Mar2022/Apr2021Mar2022-AnnualReport.aspx.
6. Cramer SC, Dodakian L, Le V, McKenzie A, See J, Augsburger R, et al. A Feasibility Study of Expanded Home-Based Telerehabilitation After Stroke. Front Neurol. 2020;11:611453.
7. Laver KE, Adey-Wakeling Z, Crotty M, Lannin NA, George S, Sherrington C. Telerehabilitation services for stroke. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2020;1(1):Cd010255.
8. Stroke Association. A New Era for Stroke2016. Available from: https://www.stroke.org.uk/sites/default/files/anefs_report_web.pdf.
9. Parrott D, Ibarra S. Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing a Telemedicine Brain Injury Coping Skills (BICS) group intervention to traditional in-person BICS for Brain Injury Patients and Caregivers. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. 2021;102(10):e15.
10. Khan F, Amatya B, Kesselring J, Galea M. Telerehabilitation for persons with multiple sclerosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015(4):CD010508.
11. Rietdijk R, Power E, Attard M, Togher L. Acceptability of telehealth-delivered rehabilitation: Experiences and perspectives of people with traumatic brain injury and their carers. Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare. 2022;28(2):122-34.
12. Hargreaves DL, D. Stroke, GIRFT Programme National Specialty Report2022. Available from: https://gmnisdn.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Stroke-GiRFT-report-Apr-22.pdf)
13. Chartered Society of Physiotherapy. National Evaluation of Remote Physiotherapy Services: the findings 2022 [Available from: https://www.csp.org.uk/professional-clinical/professional-guidance/remote-consultations/national-evaluation-remote-0.
14. Ackerley S, Wilson N, Boland P, Reed J, Connell L. Implementation of neurological group-based telerehabilitation within existing healthcare: a mixed methods evaluation. BMC Health Services Research journal. Under review.
15. Prvu Bettger J, Resnik LJ. Telerehabilitation in the Age of COVID-19: An Opportunity for Learning Health System Research. Phys Ther. 2020;100(11):1913-6.
16. Wosik J, Fudim M, Cameron B, Gellad ZF, Cho A, Phinney D, et al. Telehealth transformation: COVID-19 and the rise of virtual care. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association. 2020;27(6):957-62.
17. Taylor A, Caffery L, Gesesew H, King A, Bassal A-r, Ford K, et al. How Australian Health Care Services Adapted to Telehealth During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Survey of Telehealth Professionals. Frontiers in Public Health. 2021;9.
18. Ross MH, Nelson M, Parravicini V, Weight M, Tyrrell R, Hartley N, et al. Staff perspectives on the key elements to successful rapid uptake of telerehabilitation in medium-sized public hospital physiotherapy departments. Physiotherapy Research International. 2022.
19. Yang CL, Waterson S, Eng JJ. Implementation and Evaluation of the Virtual Graded Repetitive Arm Supplementary Program (GRASP) for Individuals With Stroke During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Beyond. Phys Ther. 2021;101(6).

20. Beare B, Doogan CE, Douglass-Kirk P, Leff AP, Ward N. Neuro-Rehabilitation OnLine (N-ROL): description and evaluation of a group-based telerehabilitation programme for acquired brain injury. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry. 2021;92(12):1354.
21. Buckingham S, Anil K, Demain S, Gunn H, Jones RB, Kent B, et al. Telerehabilitation for people with physical disabilities and movement impairment: development and evaluation of an online toolkit for practitioners and patients. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2022:1-8.
22. Royal College of Physicians. National Clinical Guideline for Stroke for the United Kingdom and Ireland.2022. Available from: https://uploads-ssl.webflow.com/62c3d8070eda8516a8ba9475/6380a7e6a9a3db745a8e8315_2023%20National%20Clinical%20Guideline%20for%20Stroke%20consultation%20document.pdf.
23. Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I, Milne R, Perera R, Moher D, et al. Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. BMJ. 2014;348(mar07 3):g1687-g.
24. Rhon DI, Fritz JM, Kerns RD, McGeary DD, Coleman BC, Farrokhi S, et al. TIDieR-telehealth: precision in reporting of telehealth interventions used in clinical trials - unique considerations for the Template for the Intervention Description and Replication (TIDieR) checklist. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2022;22(1).
25. Damschroder LJ, Reardon CM, Widerquist MAO, Lowery J. The updated Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research based on user feedback. Implementation Science. 2022;17(1).
26. Mace RA, Mattos MK, Vranceanu A-M. Older adults can use technology: why healthcare professionals must overcome ageism in digital health. Translational Behavioral Medicine. 2022;12(12):1102-5.
27. Tsai H-YS, Shillair R, Cotten SR. Social Support and “Playing Around”. Journal of Applied Gerontology. 2017;36(1):29-55.
28. Doorley JD, Mace RA, Popok PJ, Grunberg VA, Ragnhildstveit A, Vranceanu AM. Feasibility Randomized Controlled Trial of a Mind-Body Activity Program for Older Adults With Chronic Pain and Cognitive Decline: The Virtual "Active Brains" Study. Gerontologist. 2022;62(7):1082-94.
29. Miller MJ, Pak SS, Keller DR, Barnes DE. Evaluation of Pragmatic Telehealth Physical Therapy Implementation During the COVID-19 Pandemic. Phys Ther. 2021;101(1).
30. Aterman S, Ghahari S, Kessler D. Characteristics of peer-based interventions for individuals with neurological conditions: a scoping review. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2022:1-32.
31. Hughes R, Fleming P, Henshall L. Peer support groups after acquired brain injury: a systematic review. Brain Injury. 2020;34(7):847-56.
32. Clark E, Maccrosain A, Ward NS, Jones F. The key features and role of peer support within group self-management interventions for stroke? A systematic review. Disability and Rehabilitation. 2020;42(3):307-16.
33. Fleming V, Brownsett S, Krason A, Maegli MA, Coley-Fisher H, Ong Y-H, et al. Efficacy of spoken word comprehension therapy in patients with chronic aphasia: a cross-over randomised controlled trial with structural imaging. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry. 2021;92(4):418-24.
34. Ward NS, Brander F, Kelly K. Intensive upper limb neurorehabilitation in chronic stroke: outcomes from the Queen Square programme. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry. 2019;90(5):498-506.
35. NHS England. National Stroke Service Model: Integrated Stroke Delivery Networks2021. Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/stroke-service-model-may-2021.pdf.
36. Stroke Association. Shaping stroke research to rebuild lives2021. Available from: https://www.stroke.org.uk/sites/default/files/research/stroke_priority_setting_partnership_full_report.pdf.

DRAFT

37. EuroQol Research Foundation. EQ-5D-3L User Guide 2018 [Available from: https://euroqol.org/publications/user-guides.
38. Riazi A, Aspden T, Jones F. Stroke Self-efficacy Questionnaire: a Rasch-refined measure of confidence post stroke. J Rehabil Med. 2014;46(5):406-12.
39. Stratford P, Gill C, Westaway M, Binkley J. Assessing Disability and Change on Individual Patients: A Report of a Patient Specific Measure. Physiotherapy Canada. 1995;47(4):258-63.
40. Lancashire Independent Economic Review. Deep Dive: Health,Wealth & Wellbeing2021. Available from: https://www.lancashireier.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/LIER_Health_Wealth_and_Wellbeing_2021_v1.pdf.
41. Lancashire and South Cumbria Provider Collaborative. Our vision 2022 [16 February 2023]. Available from: https://lscprovidercollaborative.nhs.uk/about-us/our-vision
42. World Health A. Digital health. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018.
43. World Health Assembly. eHealth. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2005.
44. NHS England and NHS Inprovement. 2022/23 priorities and operational planning guidance2022. Available from: https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/20211223-B1160-2022-23-priorities-and-operational-planning-guidance-v3.2.pdf
45. SameYou. NROL 2022 [16 February 2023]. Available from: https://www.sameyou.org/nrol.
46. NHS Health Education England. The Topol Review 2023 [16 February 2023]. Available from: https://topol.hee.nhs.uk/the-topol-review/
47. English C, Ceravolo MG, Dorsch S, Drummond A, Gandhi DB, Halliday Green J, et al. Telehealth for rehabilitation and recovery after stroke: State of the evidence and future directions. International Journal of Stroke. 2022;17(5):487-93.
48. Haynes A, Brennan S, Redman S, Williamson A, Gallego G, Butow P. Figuring out fidelity: a worked example of the methods used to identify, critique and revise the essential elements of a contextualised intervention in health policy agencies. Implementation Science. 2015;11(1).
49. Greenhalgh T, Papoutsi C. Spreading and scaling up innovation and improvement. BMJ. 2019:l2068.
50. Rogers E. Diffusion of innovations: 5th Edition. New York: Free Press; 2003.
51. Gustafson DH, Sainfort F, Eichler M, Adams L, Bisognano M, Steudel H. Developing and Testing a Model to Predict Outcomes of Organizational Change. Health Services Research. 2003;38(2):751-76.
52. Bate P. The Health Foundation: Perspectives on context. Available from: https://www.health.org.uk/sites/default/files/PerspectivesOnContextBateContextIsEverything.pdf.
53. Hawe P. Lessons from Complex Interventions to Improve Health. Annual Review of Public Health. 2015;36(1):307-23.
54. Standing C, Standing S, McDermott M-L, Gururajan R, Kiani Mavi R. The Paradoxes of Telehealth: a Review of the Literature 2000-2015. Systems Research and Behavioral Science. 2018;35(1):90-101.
55. Signal N, Martin T, Leys A, Maloney R, Bright F. Implementation of telerehabilitation in response to COVID-19: Lessons learnt from neurorehabilitation clinical practice and education. New Zealand Journal of Physiotherapy. 2020;48:117-26.
56. Tume LN, Blackwood B, McAuley DF, Morris K, Peters MJ, Jordan J, et al. Using the TIDieR checklist to describe the intervention of the Sedation and Weaning in Children( SANDWICH) trial. Nursing in Critical Care. 2022.
57. Carlsson H, Rosén B, Björkman A, Pessah-Rasmussen H, Brogårdh C. SENSory re-learning of the UPPer limb (SENSUPP) after stroke: development and description of a novel intervention using the TIDieR checklist. Trials. 2021;22(1).

DRAFT