Faster bi-stable visual switching in psychosis

Kyle W. Killebrew[1], Hannah R. Moser[1], Andrea N. Grant[2], Małgorzata Marjanska[2], Scott R. Sponheim[3,1], Michael-Paul Schallmo[1]

[1]Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN

[2]Center for Magnetic Resonance Research, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN

[3]Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Minneapolis, MN

1. Abstract

Bi-stable stimuli evoke two distinct perceptual interpretations that alternate and compete for dominance. Bi-stable perception is thought to be driven at least in part by mutual suppression between distinct neural populations that represent each percept. Abnormal visual perception is observed among people with psychotic psychopathology (PwPP), and there is evidence to suggest that these visual deficits may depend on impaired neural suppression in visual cortex. However, it is not yet clear whether bi-stable visual perception is abnormal among PwPP. Here, we examined bi-stable perception in a visual structure-from-motion task using a rotating cylinder illusion in a group of 65 PwPP, 44 first-degree biological relatives, and 37 healthy controls. Data from a 'real switch' task, in which physical depth cues signaled real switches in rotation direction were used to exclude individuals who did not show adequate task performance. In addition, we measured concentrations of neurochemicals, including glutamate, glutamine, and γ-amino butyric acid (GABA), involved in excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission. These neurochemicals were measured non-invasively in visual cortex using 7 tesla MR spectroscopy. We found that PwPP and their relatives showed faster bi-stable switch rates than healthy controls. Faster switch rates also correlated with significantly higher psychiatric symptom levels across all participants. However, we did not observe any significant relationships across individuals between neurochemical concentrations and SFM switch rates. Our results are consistent with a reduction in suppressive neural processes during structure-from-motion perception in PwPP, and suggest that genetic liability for psychosis is associated with disrupted bi-stable perception.

2. Introduction

Psychotic symptoms, which include hallucinations and delusions, are a defining feature of schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, and bipolar disorder. These symptoms can have a dramatic impact on a person's ability to perform daily tasks ("Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders," 2013; Revheim et al., 2006). In addition to frank hallucinations, distorted perceptions of real stimuli are often reported, most often in the auditory domain, but with visual distortions being common as well (Thomas et al., 2007; Waters et al., 2014). This type of visual dysfunction can be studied using well-established and tested behavioral paradigms that allow the measurement of more subtle behavioral and cognitive disruptions (Waters et al., 2014). Studying visual dysfunction in people with psychotic psychopathology (PwPP) using behavioral paradigms may thus provide insight into the neural basis of psychotic symptoms, and may also inform a better understanding of basic sensory processing (Notredame et al., 2014; Phillips & Silverstein, 2013; Yoon et al., 2013).

Bi-stable perception is a phenomenon that occurs when the same external stimulus evokes two alternating dominant percepts. Since the early 20th century (George, 1936; McDougall, 1906), researchers have studied these bi-stable stimuli by measuring the rate at which participants' subjective percept switches from one interpretation to the other. There are many examples of this phenomenon, including both static and dynamic stimuli. Some static versions include the Necker cube, Rubin's face-vase illusion, and binocular rivalry, whereas dynamic versions include the spinning dancer, point light walker, and the rolling wheel (Leopold & Logothetis, 1999; Martínez & Parra, 2018; Sterzer et al., 2009).

Another dynamic bi-stable percept is the rotating cylinder (Siegel & Andersen, 1990; Treue et al., 1991; Ullman, 1979). In this illusion, dots traverse from left to right and right to left in a rectangular area, speeding up when approaching the middle and slowing down when approaching the edge, which gives the impression that the dots are 'painted' on the surface of a translucent rotating cylinder. The rotating cylinder is an example of structure-from-motion (SFM) perception, which involves integrating many moving elements into one coherent percept, where the perceived object is defined by the movement of the elements (Kourtzi et al., 2008). This stimulus provides an opportunity to study the interaction between the perception of object motion and form.

The rotating cylinder is thought to involve neural processing at multiple levels within the visual hierarchy, including within regions selective for motion and shape processing. Functional magnetic resonance imagining (fMRI) studies have shown that responses in human medial temporal complex (hMT+) are selective for stimulus features including motion direction and coherence (Braddick et al., 2000; Rees et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2006). Additionally, hMT+ shows selectivity for motion in depth and structure-from-motion (Brouwer & van Ee, 2007; Freeman et al., 2012), suggesting that hMT+ may play an important role in the perception of the rotating cylinder illusion. Likewise, the lateral occipital complex (LOC), which plays an important role in object and form processing (Larsson & Heeger, 2006), has been shown to respond more strongly to rotation-in-depth SFM stimuli (versus scrambled or translational motion), indicating a role for LOC in the processing of motion-defined shapes (Freeman et al., 2012; Murray et al., 2002).

Abnormalities in laboratory assessments of visual perception have been reported in psychotic disorders, ranging from low to higher-level processes (Butler et al., 2008; Notredame et al., 2014; Phillips & Silverstein, 2013; Yoon et al., 2013), including both atypical motion perception and visual integration. For example, studies among PwPP have shown impairments in some aspects of visual motion perception (Chen et al., 1999, 2003, 2005, 2006, 2008; Kaliuzhna et al., 2020; Tadin et al., 2006; Zeljic et al., 2021). In general, these findings suggest there may be deficits in global motion perception associated with psychosis, while local motion perception may be relatively intact (Bennett et al., 2016; Carter et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2003). Visual integration is a process by which visual information is combined to create the percept of a more complex whole (Loffler, 2008; Roelfsema & de Lange, 2016). Integration of visual forms also involves processing across multiple levels within the visual hierarchy, and includes visual functions such as center-surround suppression, contour integration, border detection, shape representation, and figure-ground segmentation, all of which have been found to be impaired among PwPP (Chen et al., 2008; Dakin et al., 2005; Foxe et al., 2005; Keane et al., 2012, 2016; Kozma-Wiebe et al., 2006; Pokorny et al., 2020; Robol et al., 2013; Schallmo et al., 2013, 2015; Silverstein et al., 2006, 2009, 2012, 2015; Spencer et al., 2003; Spencer & Ghorashi, 2014; Tadin et al., 2006; Tibber et al., 2013; Wynn et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2013; Yeap et al., 2006). Thus, impairments in visual integration and visual motion perception may both be relevant for SFM perception in PwPP.

One potential explanation for abnormal vision in psychosis is a disruption in excitatory / inhibitory (E/I) balance (Foss-Feig et al., 2017; Lisman, 2012). This has been proposed in PwPP based on evidence showing disruption in glutamate (Javitt, 2004; Moghaddam & Javitt, 2012) and γ-amino butyric acid (GABA) signaling (Gonzalez-Burgos et al., 2010; Volk & Lewis, 2005). Bi-stable visual paradigms

may provide a convenient behavioral marker of E/I balance in visual cortex. Specifically, the rate at which bi-stable stimuli alternate, or the duration for which one percept dominates, has been linked to excitatory (i.e., glutamatergic) and inhibitory (i.e., GABA-ergic) neural processes in humans (Ip et al., 2021; Mentch et al., 2019; Robertson et al., 2016; Sandberg et al., 2016; van Loon et al., 2013). If PwPP show different bi-stable switch rates versus controls, this may indicate an impairment in the neural processes that promote one percept while suppressing the other, which could reflect disrupted E/I balance. Prior research has shown that switch rates during binocular rivalry are slower in PwPP relative to healthy controls (Fox, 1965; Miller et al., 2003; Nagamine et al., 2009; Ngo et al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2019). Additionally, studies using MR spectroscopy in PwPP have found abnormalities in neural metabolite concentrations in visual cortex, including glutamate and GABA (Marsman et al., 2014; Natsubori et al., 2014; Sydnor & Roalf, 2020; Wenneberg et al., 2020; Yoon et al., 2010). However, the specific role of different neurotransmitters during bi-stable perception in psychosis is currently unknown.

Genetics is another factor known to play a significant role in the etiology of psychotic disorders, including schizophrenia and bipolar disorder (Cardno et al., 1999; Cardno & Owen, 2014). One way to study the role of genetic liability for psychosis in perceptual and cognitive dysfunction is to study task performance among unaffected biological relatives of PwPP (Keri et al., 2001; Schallmo et al., 2015; Silverstein et al., 2006; Sponheim et al., 2013). First-degree biological relatives (i.e., parents, siblings, and children) share on average 50% of their genes with PwPP. If abnormal perceptual or cognitive performance is observed among such relatives, this may provide evidence for an endophenotype (Calkins et al., 2008; Gottesman & Gould, 2003; Iacono et al., 2017), which is an anomaly associated with genetic liability for psychosis, rather than with a diagnosed psychotic disorder per se.

In the current study, we tested the hypothesis that PwPP have impaired visual integration of local motion cues into a global percept. Specifically, we examined bi-stable perception in PwPP, their close biological relatives, and healthy controls using a SFM rotating cylinder task. We also measured metabolite concentrations in visual cortex using MR spectroscopy at 7 tesla, including glutamate, GABA, and glutamine levels, to examine the potential role of E/I balance during SFM perception in PwPP. Based on previous studies of binocular rivalry (Fox, 1965; Xiao et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2019), we expected to find slower switch rates during SFM perception in PwPP. Contrary to our prediction, we in fact observed *faster* switch rates during SFM perception in both PwPP and their biological relatives, compared to healthy controls. Our findings suggest an impairment in bi-stable visual motion and form perception that is linked to genetic liability for psychosis.

3. Methods

This study was conducted as part of a larger series of experiments in the Psychosis Human Connectome Project (pHCP). The essential details of our experimental approach are described below. For a full description of all pHCP study methods, please see (Demro et al., 2021; Schallmo et al., 2022).

3.1 Participants

Participants were recruited as part of the pHCP study at the University of Minnesota (Table 1). Data were collected as part of a series of experiments focused on visual perception, which included fMRI and MR spectroscopy at 7 tesla (Schallmo et al., 2022).

Table 1. Subject group demographics, clinical symptom levels, and cognitive measures. Data are presented as mean (standard deviation), unless otherwise specified. Estimated IQ was measured using the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (WAIS-IV; Wechsler, 2008). Visual acuity was measured using a Snellen visual acuity test

(Snellen, 1862). The Snellen fraction is reported (e.g., 1 indicates 20/20 vision). The clinical tests administered were: BPRS (Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale - Total Score; Overall & Gorham, 1962), SPQ (Schizotypal Personality Questionnaire; Raine, 1991), and BACS (Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia – Z-score; Keefe et al., 2004). The statistics column shows the test statistics and *p*-values calculated across the three groups for each measure. Highlighted entries indicate significant group differences at $p < 0.05$. For any measure in which normality and / or homogeneity of variance were not observed, non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests (X^2) were used in place of ANOVAs (F).

	Healthy Controls (n = 37)	**First-degree Relatives** (n = 44)	**PwPP** (n = 65)	**Statistics**
Age (years)	39 (11.37)	43.46 (13.03)	39.24 (11.72)	$F_{(2,188)} = 2.189$ $p = 0.115$
Sex assigned at birth (% female)	47%	68%	46%	**$X^2_{(2)} = 6.52$ $p = 0.038$**
Years of education	16.09 (1.95)	15.52 (2.09)	14.27 (2.29)	**$F_{(2,188)} = 12.58$ $p < 0.001$**
Estimated IQ	107.51 (10.06)	103.93 (9.76)	97.24 (10.64)	**$F_{(2,188)} = 17.24$ $p < 0.001$**
Visual acuity (Snellen fraction)	0.919 (0.271)	0.966 (0.309)	0.951 (0.462)	$F_{(2,137)} = 0.104$ $p = 0.902$
# Re-test sessions	9	0	31	
Days between sessions (median, range)	266 (49-918)	N/A	127 (36-1173)	
BPRS (total)	24.92 (1.79)	31.33 (6.47)	42.85 (11.38)	**$X^2_{(2)} = 68.44$, $p < 0.001$**
SPQ (total)	8.7 (7.67)	13.91 (13.31)	32.31 (14.73)	**$X^2_{(2)} = 59.33$, $p < 0.001$**
BACS composite Z-scores	0.44 (0.53)	0.06 (0.73)	-0.69 (0.7)	**$F_{(2,143)} = 37.77$, $p < 0.001$**
SGI (total)	33.65 (18)	49.93 (29.04)	67.82 (32.53)	**$X^2_{(2)} = 28.14$, $p < 0.001$**
Diagnoses				
Schizophrenia	0	0	36	
Schizoaffective	0	0	9	
Bipolar disorder	0	0	20	
Other (e.g., MDD)	3	19	0	

None	34	25	0

A total of 146 participants participated in the SFM task. Participants were divided into three groups: people with a history of psychotic psychopathology (PwPP hereafter), their first-degree biological relatives (relatives hereafter), and healthy controls (see Table 1 for detailed demographics). Of the 146 participants initially tested, 40 returned for a second (re-test) session (Table 1). The median number of days participants returned after their initial session was 211 (range: 36-1173 days; see Supplemental Figure 1).

All participants were between 18-65 years of age, spoke English as their primary language, provided written and informed consent, had not been diagnosed with any learning disability, did not have an IQ of less than 70, nor any current or past central nervous system disease. Participants in the psychosis group had a history of a disorder with psychotic psychopathology (i.e., schizophrenia, schizoaffective, bipolar), whereas relatives were a biological parent, sibling, or offspring of the individuals with psychotic psychopathology. All participants had Snellen visual acuity (with correction, if used) of 20/40 or better. Individuals with poorer than 20/40 acuity were excluded. A total of 3 participants were excluded based on the criteria above (0 controls, 2 relatives, 1 PwPP) and are not included in Table 1. As part of the pHCP participants participated in two 3 T fMRI scanning sessions and one or two 7 T scanning sessions. Details of the clinical assessments and the 3 T scanning protocol are included in our recent publication (Demro et al., 2021). SFM task data were collected outside of the scanner, in a separate psychophysics room, prior to 7 T scanning on the same day. All participants provided written informed consent prior to participating and were compensated $20 per hour. All procedures were in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the University of Minnesota IRB.

3.2 ***Apparatus***

Psychophysical data were collected at the Center for Magnetic Resonance Research at the University of Minnesota. Data were collected on an Apple Mac Pro using an Eizo FlexScan SX2462W monitor with a 60 Hz refresh rate (mean luminance = 61.2 cd/m^2). A Bits# stimulus processor (Cambridge Research Systems) was connected but not used for this particular experiment. Luminance values were linearized using a PR655 spectrophotometer (Photo Research). Head position was stabilized using an adjustable chin rest positioned at a viewing distance of 70 cm. Stimuli were generated and responses were collected using PsychoPy (Peirce et al., 2019).

3.3 ***Stimuli***

The visual stimuli used were standard structure-from-motion rotating cylinders (Treue et al., 1991; Ullman, 1979). The rotating cylinder is a classic illusion in which small moving elements (here, black and white squares) move back and forth across a rectangular area in order to induce the perception of a cylinder rotating in 3-dimensional space. The rotating cylinder stimuli used here were composed of 400 small black and white squares (each 0.25° of visual angle; 200 black and 200 white) that alternated between moving from the left to right and right to left across the width of a rectangular area and each positioned pseudo-randomly along the height of the rectangular region (height = 10°, width = 7°). The squares sped up as they approached the center of the rectangle and slowed down as they approached each edge. This was done to simulate the perceived speed of the squares as if they were positioned on a transparent cylinder rotating in the depth plane (simulated rotation speed of 90°/s).

Two versions of the stimuli with subtle but important differences were used for the two different task conditions, referred to as the real switch and bi-stable tasks. In both tasks, the same black and white squares moved back and forth across the rectangular area with speed, size, and position being constant across the two tasks. In the bi-stable task, a small red fixation point (0.6° diameter) was positioned in front of all squares in the center of the rectangular area (Figure 1A). When dots collided in this task, they occluded one another randomly in order to remove this potential depth cue. In the absence of inherent depth cues, the direction of motion is ambiguous, and perception spontaneously alternates between the front surface rotating to the left and to the right.



Figure 1. Stimulus and task design. Static examples of (A) the bi-stable and (B) real switch task stimuli. C) Hypothetical time course of rotation switches during the bi-stable task. Figure reproduced from Schallmo et al., 2022.

In the real switch task, we simulated physical switches of the rotation direction in depth by changing which set of dots (i.e., those rotating left to right or right to left, surface #1 and surface #2 of the cylinder) were presented in the front or back. To do so, we used the same red fixation dot overlaid on a larger blue circle (1.8° diameter) and alternated which set of dots passed in front of or behind the larger fixation circle (Figure 1B). This provided an explicit depth cue, thus biasing one percept (e.g., front rotating to the left) to become dominant. Switches occurred every 9-13 seconds with a total of 11 real switches per block (order and timing was pseudorandomized, but fixed and identical for all subjects). The real switch task was added to the experiment in November 2017, thus data in this condition were not collected from a number of the early participants (6 controls, 0 relatives, 2 PwPP). Because the real switch task data were not available to assess data quality (see below), bi-stable task data from these participants are excluded from our analyses.

3.4 ***Experimental procedure and task design***

In both the real switch and bi-stable tasks, participants were asked to fixate on the small red central circle and use their peripheral vision to determine the direction of rotation of the front surface of the cylinder, either left or right. Participants were then instructed to respond using the left or right arrow keys to indicate which direction of rotation they perceived. Importantly, they were told to respond immediately at the beginning of the stimulus presentation to their initial percept, and then again each time their perception changed. Each participant first completed a short 30 s practice version of the real switch task to familiarize themselves with the task. They then ran one block of the real switch task and 5 blocks of the bi-stable task. Each block was 2 min long; the rotating cylinder was presented for the entirety of the block.

3.5 ***Behavioral task analysis***

3.5.1 Real Switch Task

Data from the real switch task were used to exclude subjects who had trouble detecting real stimulus direction switches from further analyses (i.e., of their bi-stable task data). We defined correct responses in the real switch task as those that matched the stimulus rotation direction and occurred within 4 s after a direction change. This was based on our examination of the average reaction time for correct responses (Supplemental Figure 2), the average percept durations towards and away from

the physical rotation direction (Supplemental Figure 3), the distribution of reaction times during the real switch task (Supplemental Figure 4), and the total number of reported switches (Supplemental Figure 5). We defined poor real switch task performance as having 6 or fewer correct responses (≤ 63.6% accuracy) made within 4 s of a stimulus direction change (Supplemental Figure 4B). We chose these thresholds after visually inspecting the data and determining that the large majority of participants and responses fell above these thresholds. Of the 146 total participants, including datasets from both sessions, we excluded a total of 30 individuals who did not meet the above *post-hoc* criteria (6 controls, 7 relatives, 17 PwPP; a total of 45 out of 191 datasets, including re-test: 7 control, 7 relative, 31 PwPP datasets).

3.5.2 Bi-stable Task

In order to assess the stability of ambiguous motion direction percepts in this task, we compared the distribution of durations for all reported percepts across the three groups using two sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. This allows us to examine how the durations of reported percepts may have differed between groups.

Next, we calculated the switch rate (switches reported per second) and average percept duration (average length of percept dominance) during each task for each subject. To quantify switch rates, we measured the total number of switches in each block and divided by the total time (2 min per block). Because the switch rate data were highly skewed (based upon visual inspection), we then normalized the data by performing a $\log_{10}$ transformation. As it is possible to not respond during a 2 min block in the bi-stable task, some participants can have switch rates of 0 Hz, which when normalized using $\log_{10}$, become values of negative infinity. Therefore, we replaced values of 0 switches per block with 0.5 switches / 120 s when normalizing. This occurred for a total of 2 participants (1 PwPP, 1 control). Although we measured both switch rate and percept duration, both of these measures displayed the same trends, and were highly correlated with one another. Therefore, to avoid repetition, we present results of the switch rate analysis in the main text, while percept duration data are presented in the Supplemental Information. We chose to examine both metrics, because it is possible for two individuals to have different mean percept durations but the same switch rates. For example, two participant's percept duration data may have the same total number of switches per unit time, but may be skewed differently, leading to different average switch rates. As the data in both the real switch and bi-stable tasks did not have equal variance across groups and were skewed (even after $\log_{10}$ transformation), we performed a Kruskal-Wallis one-way non-parametric ANOVAs to assess group differences in switch rates during the real switch task.

We also measured the stability of bi-stable perception dynamics over time by examining longitudinal variability in a subset of our participants. To do so, we compared switch rates in the bi-stable task measured across two different experimental sessions (months apart) within the same individuals. Information about the amount of time between testing sessions and number of participants who completed a second test session is provided in Table 1. We calculated the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC(3,k)) between switch rates for the first and second sessions (Shrout & Fleiss, 1979).

Finally, we sought to identify any differences between clinical diagnoses within our PwPP group. Specifically, we compared participants with schizophrenia (n = 36) and bipolar disorder (n = 20) to healthy controls (n = 37). People with schizoaffective disorder were excluded from this analysis, due to a smaller sample size (n = 9).

3.6 Association between clinical symptom level and switch rate

We used a set of measures to assess psychiatric symptoms and cognitive functioning, which included the Schizotypal Personality Questionnaire (SPQ; Raine, 1991), The Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia; (BACS; Keefe et al., 2004), The Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS; Overall & Gorham, 1962), and The Sensory Gating Inventory (SGI; Bailey et al., 2021; Hetrick et al., 2012). Out of a variety of clinical assessments administered as a part of the pHCP (Demro et al., 2021), we

chose these as they provide measures of overall psychiatric symptom levels, schizotypy, and cognitive functions, and previous work has found relationships between these factors and visual dysfunction in people with psychotic disorders (Schmack et al., 2015a; Weilnhammer et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2018). These measures were collected for participants in all three groups (unlike other measures that were collected only from PwPP). In addition to the four primary measures mentioned here, we also chose to include the cognitive-perceptual subscale from the SPQ (SPQ-CP) and a disorganization factor from the BPRS (BPRS-D; Wilson & Sponheim, 2014), as they are particularly relevant to our analyses and hypotheses.

To investigate relationships between symptom severity and percept switch rate, we first correlated individual symptom measures with average switch rates across all three groups. We used Spearman rank correlations to avoid assuming linear relationships. Data from repeated sessions were excluded from these correlations, to avoid including non-independent data points (i.e., test and re-test data).

3.7 ***Quantification and analysis of neurotransmitter concentrations from MR spectroscopy***

Neurochemical concentrations in the mid-occipital lobe were collected as part of a 7 T magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) scan on the same day as behavioral SFM data. For full scanning details, see (Schallmo et al., 2022). Data were acquired on a Siemens MAGNETOM 7 T scanner with a custom surface radio frequency head coil using a STEAM sequence (Marjańska et al., 2017) with the following parameters: TR = 5000 ms, TE = 8 ms, volume size = 30 mm (left-right) x 18 mm (anterior-posterior) x 18 mm (inferior-superior), 3D outer volume suppression interleaved with VAPOR water suppression (Tka´c et al., 2001), 2048 complex data points with a 6000 Hz spectral bandwidth, chemical shift displacement error = 4% per ppm. B_0 shimming was performed using FAST(EST) MAP to ensure a linewidth of water within the occipital voxel ≤ 15 Hz (Gruetter, 1992).

We processed our MRS data using the *matspec* toolbox (github.com/romainVala/matspec) in MATLAB, including frequency and phase correction. Concentrations for 18 different metabolites including glutamate, glutamine, and GABA were quantified in each scanning session using LCModel. We scaled metabolite concentrations relative to an unsuppressed water signal reference, after correcting for differences in gray matter, white matter, and CSF fractions within each subject's MRS voxel, the proportion of water in these different tissue types, and the different T_1 and T_2 relaxation times of the different tissue types (Schallmo et al., 2022). Tissue fractions within the voxel were quantified in each subject using individual gray matter and white matter surface models from FreeSurfer (Fischl, 2012). MRS data sets were excluded based on the following data quality criteria: H_2O line width > 15, LCModel spectrum line width > 5 Hz or LCModel SNR < 40. Out of a total of 203 datasets (54 controls, 43 relatives, and 78 PwPP), 10 sets (1 control, 4 relatives, 5 PwPP) were excluded in this way. In addition to subjects excluded due to SFM results this left a total of 108 participants with usable SFM and MRS data (31 controls, 33 relatives, and 44 PwPP).

In order to identify neural markers of impaired E/I balance, we examined relationships between neurotransmitter concentrations and our bi-stable SFM behavioral measures. Specifically, we used Spearman rank correlation to test for correlated metabolite levels from MRS with average switch rates across participants from all three groups.

4. Results

4.1 ***Individuals with psychotic psychopathology reliably detect real switches in rotation direction***

To ensure that all participants understood the task and were able to detect real changes in rotation direction, we used a real switch task in which physical depth cues were present. This allowed us to measure detection of real rotation direction switches.

We examined the distributions of the number of responses made in the real switch task in each group (Supplemental Figure 5; panel A shows all responses, B shows responses with reaction time < 4 s), to ensure participants were responding to the majority of physical switches. The large majority of participants responded to ≥ 63.4% of the physical switches or 7/11 total switches with a reaction time < 4 s (83.3% controls, 81.4% relatives, and 70.7% PwPP). We excluded a total of 30 individual participants who did not meet the above *post-hoc* criteria (6 controls, 7 relatives, 17 PwPP; and a total of 45 out of 191 datasets including re-test; 7 control, 7 relative, 31 PwPP datasets). To determine whether exclusion rates differed across groups, we performed a contingency table analysis. The rate of excluded participants across groups was not significantly different from what would be expected by chance ($X^2_{(2)} = 0.836$, $p = 0.658$).



Figure 2. Real switch task results. Box plots depict the median accuracy (middle line) as well as the 25th and 75th quartiles (box), 1.5 x the interquartile range (whiskers), and outliers (plus) for the real switch task for each group (controls: green, relatives: blue, psychosis: red). Data plotted represent detection accuracy for all correct responses (those that matched the real rotation direction, and that were made within 4 s of the direction change). The dashed horizontal line represents the exclusion cutoff value of ≥ 63.6% accuracy.

As can be seen in Figure 2, PwPP did not differ significantly in real switch task accuracy ($X^2_{(2)} = 5.36$, $p = 0.069$), as compared to healthy controls or first-degree biological relatives of PwPP. However, there was a significant difference in reaction time in the real switch task ($X^2_{(2)} = 9.26$, $p = 0.01$; Supplemental Figure 2), with PwPP tending to respond most slowly. Importantly, our analyses of the real switch task data demonstrate that participants in this study, including PwPP, can accurately detect real switches in direction for the rotating cylinder. This suggests that participants in each group generally understood the task instructions, could integrate the local stimulus elements into a global rotational motion percept, and could correctly respond to physical changes in depth cues (i.e., occlusion) to disambiguate the cylinder's rotation direction.

4.2 ***Faster switch rates in individuals with psychotic psychopathology***

We hypothesized that integration of local information into a global percept is impaired for individuals with psychotic psychopathology, which results in abnormal bi-stable switch rates. To examine this, we first looked at histograms of the distributions of reported percept durations in each group, in order to test whether the three groups reported similar switch dynamics. As shown in Figure 3A & B, although the distributions of percept durations from the three groups follow similar trends, they are significantly different (Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test real switch task – controls vs. relatives: $D_{(31,37)} = 0.144$, $p < 0.001$; controls vs. PwPP: $D_{(37,48)} = 0.278$, $p < 0.001$; relatives vs. PwPP: $D_{(31,48)} = 0.147$, $p < 0.001$; K-S test bi-stable task - controls vs. relatives: $D_{(31,37)} = 0.068$, $p < 0.001$; controls vs. PwPP: $D_{(37,48)} = 0.137$, $p < 0.001$; relatives vs. PwPP: $D_{(36,48)} = 0.075$, $p < 0.001$). For the real switch task (Figure 3A), this indicates that participants in all 3 groups could detect the real switches occurring at intervals of 9, 11, and 13 s, but that relatives, and especially PwPP, tended to report shorter percept durations. For the bi-stable task (Figure 3B), distributions were also shifted toward shorter percept durations for PwPP versus controls, with relatives showing an intermediate pattern.

In order to assess whether variability in percept duration differed across groups, we calculated the coefficient of variance (i.e., standard deviation of percept duration divided by the mean) for both task types within each participant. We found no significant difference in percept variability between groups

(real switch: $X^2_{(2)} = 5.004$, $p = 0.082$; bi-stable: $X^2_{(2)} = 5.105$, $p = 0.078$; see Supplemental Figure 6), suggesting that the variability of percept durations was generally comparable across groups (despite faster overall switch rates among PwPP versus controls).

Next, we examined switch rates for both the real switch and bi-stable tasks (Figure 4). The real switch task data allowed us to assess how well participants could detect real switches in rotation direction based on explicit depth cues, whereas the bi-stable task probed spontaneous percept switches in the absence of such cues.



Figure 3. Histograms of percept durations for (A) the real switch and (B) bi-stable tasks. Shown are normalized distributions of percept durations, defined as times between reported switches, across the three groups (controls – green, relatives – blue, psychosis – red). Distributions were normalized by dividing by the total number of responses across all participants within each group.

During the real switch task PwPP, relatives, and controls tended to report perceptual switches at similar rates (Figure 4A). As described in the Methods section, the real switch task had physical switches overtly implemented that occurred at an average rate of 0.09 Hz (i.e., every 9-13 s). There was no significant group difference in switch rates in the real switch task ($X^2_{(2)} = 4.841$, $p = 0.089$), and median values across participants were close to the true physical switch rate, indicating that participants in each group generally could detect real switches in rotation direction in this task. This suggests that all participant groups were able to integrate local motion signals into an unambiguous global percept of rotational motion given some explicit depth cues.

During the bi-stable task, we observed a significant difference in switch rates across groups ($X^2_{(2)} = 6.47$, $p = 0.039$), with PwPP and their relatives having faster switch rates overall versus controls (Figure 4B). Post-hoc comparisons showed that switch rates among PwPP were significantly higher than in controls ($X^2_{(1)} = 7.026$, $p = 0.008$). This suggests that the ability to integrate ambiguous motion stimuli into a global percept in the absence of contextual depth information may be disrupted among PwPP. We measured both switch rates and percept durations; both of these measures displayed the same trends across groups (group difference in percept duration, $X^2_{(2)} = 8.42$, $p = 0.015$; controls vs. PwPP: $X^2_{(1)} = 7.46$, $p = 0.006$) and were highly correlated with one another. We show the average percept duration results in Supplemental Figure 7.

Switch rates for the relative group also differed significantly from controls but not from PwPP (controls vs. relatives: $X^2_{(1)} = 4.004$, $p = 0.045$; PwPP vs. relatives: $X^2_{(1)} = 0.515$, $p = 0.472$). This suggests that genetic risk for psychotic disorders, as expressed by unaffected first-degree relatives, may be associated with an attenuated disruption in bi-stable motion perception during our SFM task.

Additionally, we found a main effect of time (i.e., block number: $F_{(113,2)} = 31.74$, $p < 0.001$) in the bi-stable task, indicating a slight overall decrease in percept switch rates across the five 2-min task blocks. As can be seen in Supplemental Figure 8, the pattern of differences in switch rates between the three groups remained consistent across blocks. Changes in switch rates over time did not differ significantly between groups ($F_{(113,2)} = 0.225$, $p = 0.799$). The effect of slower switch rates during later task blocks may reflect an effect of practice, learning, or adaptation during bi-stable task performance.

Lastly, we analyzed differences in bi-stable perception across different clinical diagnoses. We compared switch rates among people with schizophrenia, people with bipolar disorder, and healthy controls (Supplemental Figure 9). Unlike in the full group comparisons, we did see significant differences between these diagnostic groups for the real switch task ($X^2_{(2)} = 6.29$, $p = 0.043$). Post-hoc comparisons between the individual groups showed a significant difference between the control and bi-polar groups (controls vs. bipolar disorder: $X^2_{(1)} = 4.05$, $p = 0.044$). This indicates that patients with bipolar disorder may have had particular difficulty in performing the task. However, we did not see any differences between the schizophrenia group and healthy controls (controls vs. schizophrenia: $X^2_{(1)} = 1.28$, $p = 0.258$) or between the two psychosis groups (schizophrenia vs. bipolar disorder: $X^2_{(1)} = 0.818$, $p = 0.366$). For the bi-stable task we once again saw a significant effect of group, where people with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder switched at a faster rate than controls ($X^2_{(2)} = 11.21$, $p = 0.004$). Post-hoc comparisons showed no differences between people with bipolar disorder and healthy controls (controls vs. bipolar disorder: $X^2_{(1)} = 2.76$, $p = 0.097$) or between the two psychosis groups (schizophrenia vs. bipolar disorder: $X^2_{(1)} = 0.086$, $p = 0.769$). However, there was a significant difference between people with schizophrenia and healthy controls (controls vs. schizophrenia: $X^2_{(1)} = 5.52$, $p = 0.019$). As can be seen in Supplemental Figure 9, these results suggest that differences in switch rates between the diagnostic sub-groups and controls may be smaller / less reliable than for the psychosis groups as a whole. Alternatively, we may have had insufficient statistical power to detect diagnostic group differences, given the smaller number of participants in each sub-group.



Figure 4. Switch rates for (A) the real switch task and (B) bi-stable task. Box plots show median (middle line), 25%-75% quartiles (box), 1.5 x the interquartile range (whiskers) and outliers (plus) for the three groups. Gray dots show average switch rates from individual participants. Dashed line in A shows average switch rate for physical stimulus changes in the real switch task.

4.3 ***Switch rates remain consistent over months***

Next, to examine the stability of bi-stable perception dynamics over a long period of time, we re-tested a subset of participants in a second experimental session. Table 1 and Supplemental Figure 1 show the median and range of the time between the first and second sessions in each group (median = 133 days). Across participants, the inter-class correlation for switch rates during the first and second session was high (ICC(3,k)=0.88; Figure 5), indicating that switch rates remained rather consistent within participants over a period of several months. Additionally, this provides evidence that abnormalities in bi-stable perception among the psychosis group are relatively stable over a long time period.

4.4 ***Association between bi-stable perception and clinical symptoms***

We next examined the relationships between measures of clinical psychopathology and bi-stable perception. To do so, we quantified Spearman correlations between clinical scores and bi-stable switch rates (Supplemental Figure 10). We found no significant relationships between switch rates and measures of cognitive functioning (BACS; $r_{(113)} = -0.165$, $p = 0.078$), schizotypy (SPQ; $r_{(114)} = 0.174$, $p = 0.061$), or sensory gating (SGI; $r_{(114)} = 0.164$, $p = 0.078$). However, we did find a significant correlation between higher overall psychiatric symptom scores and faster switch rates (BPRS; $r_{(100)} = 0.217$, $p = 0.029$). We also compared the cognitive-perceptual subscale of the SPQ and a

disorganization factor from the BPRS (Wilson & Sponheim, 2014) as these measures both indicate greater cognitive / perceptual dysfunction. We found significant relationships for both measures (BPRS-D; $r_{(100)} = 0.251$, $p = 0.011$; SPQ-CP; $r_{(114)} = 0.205$, $p = 0.027$). This indicates that across all groups, individuals who had overall higher levels of psychiatric symptoms also showed greater perceptual abnormalities in terms of faster bi-stable switch rates. However, none of these correlations would survive multiple comparisons corrections, and thus should be considered preliminary and in need of confirmation.



Figure 5. Longitudinal variability of bi-stable switch rates. Plotted are switch rates (Hz) for session 1 (x-axis) and session 2 (y-axis) for all subjects who participated in two sessions. Red dots show data from PwPP (n = 31), green dots show data from controls (n = 9).

4.5 Neurotransmitter concentrations and perceptual bi-stability

We quantified concentrations in the medial occipital lobe using 7 T ^{1}H-MRS for a number of metabolites, including GABA, glutamate, and glutamine. We chose to focus our analyses on these 3 metabolites as they are putative markers of E/I balance and have, in the case of GABA, previously been shown to correlate with SFM switch rates among healthy adults (van Loon et al., 2013). First, we compared concentrations across our three groups for each of these three metabolites (Supplemental Figure 11). We did not observe any significant differences across the three groups for these three metabolites (GABA: $X^2_{(2)} = 0.87$, $p = 0.65$; glutamate: $X^2_{(2)} = 0.82$, $p = 0.66$; glutamine: $X^2_{(2)} = 1.18$, $p = 0.55$). We then quantified correlations between metabolite concentrations and switch rates from the bi-stable task across all participants, excluding repeated scan data (Supplemental Figure 12). We found no significant relationship between switch rates and occipital concentrations of glutamate ($r_{(106)} = -0.073$, $p = 0.45$) or glutamine (Spearman's: $r_{(106)} = 0.04$, $p = 0.72$). We did see a non-significant trend between higher occipital GABA levels and slower SFM switch rates ($r_{(106)} = -0.15$, $p = 0.12$), which is in the same direction as a relationship observed in healthy adults that has been reported previously (van Loon et al., 2013). However, as our result does not reach statistical significance, this finding should be interpreted with caution. Correlations between GABA levels and switch rates within each group were not significant for both controls ($r_{(29)} = -0.099$, uncorrected $p = 0.59$) or PwPP ($r_{(42)} = -0.091$, uncorrected $p = 0.558$). There was a correlation between higher GABA levels and slower switch rates within the relatives group, but it did not survive correction for multiple comparisons ($r_{(31)} = -0.367$, uncorrected $p = 0.036$, Bonferroni corrected $p = 0.11$). Thus, we did not find strong evidence from MRS to support a relationship between markers of E/I balance in visual cortex and switch rates from our bi-stable SFM task.

5. Discussion

We examined visual motion and form integration across the psychosis spectrum using a bi-stable SFM perception task among PwPP, their first-degree relatives, and healthy controls. In our bi-stable task, we found significantly faster switch rates among PwPP compared to healthy controls, with

relatives showing an intermediate pattern of results. Faster switch rates in these groups suggest that SFM percepts were less robust or stable during the task. The level of instability tended to be consistent across experimental sessions held on different days several months apart. There were no significant group differences in switch rates during our real switch task, indicating a similar ability to detect actual physical changes in rotation direction. Across participants, faster switch rates correlated with significantly higher psychiatric symptom levels, implying that this perceptual abnormality co-varied with the degree of mental health symptoms. Together, our findings indicate that current psychotic illness is associated with abnormal perception of the bi-stable rotating cylinder illusion, while unexpressed genetic liability for psychosis may also be associated with this perceptual abnormality.

Prior research has shown that processing of the rotating cylinder requires processing of both object shape (Freeman et al., 2012; Murray et al., 2002) and complex rotational motion (Braddick et al., 2000; Rees et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2006), and involves brain regions implicated in both processes, such as LOC and hMT+. Therefore, differences in switch rate among PwPP may be caused by impaired integration of local motion cues into a larger rotating object percept. Consistent with this notion, previous studies have found that visual functions involving integration (including center-surround suppression, contour integration, border detection, object and shape representation, figure-ground segmentation, and structure-from-motion) are also impaired in PwPP (Carter et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2003, 2005, 2008; Dakin et al., 2005; Keane et al., 2012; Pokorny et al., 2020; Robol et al., 2013; Schallmo et al., 2013, 2015; Silverstein et al., 2006; Tadin et al., 2006).

Our results suggest that the faster switch rates we observed in our SFM task among PwPP may not be attributed solely to impaired local motion detection, but rather involve impairments in the ability to combine those local motion signals into one of two stable competing percepts of a rotating cylinder. Performance in our real switch task depends on the ability to integrate local motion cues to detect rotation direction, and the explicit depth information facilitates the perception of an unambiguous global motion percept. Although we observed differences across groups in the distributions of percept durations during our real switch task (i.e., greater numbers of shorter percepts in PwPP), switch rates in the real switch task did not differ significantly between groups. Reaction times were significantly longer among PwPP during the real switch task, but this does not appear sufficient to explain our observations of faster switch rates among PwPP, as slower reaction times alone would not be expected to yield a greater number of reported switches. Thus, relatively normal performance in the real switch task among PwPP suggests that their ability to detect changes in local motion direction was not dramatically impaired, in agreement with previous work (Bennett et al., 2016; Carter et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2006).

Instead, our results suggest that PwPP experienced less stable global SFM percepts, as switch rates during the bi-stable task were significantly faster than for control participants. Models of bi-stable perception suggest that reciprocally connected neural populations with different tuning properties (e.g., selectivity for rightward vs. leftward rotation in depth) may compete when viewing ambiguous bi-stable stimuli, with perception alternating as one population suppresses the other, and then is suppressed in return (Said & Heeger, 2013; Tong et al., 2006; H. R. Wilson, 2003). Our results may suggest that the depth of suppression between competing populations may be weaker among PwPP during SFM perception; weaker suppression might be expected to yield faster switch rates, as the dominant population would be less able to sustain suppression of the competing percept. This would appear consistent with other reports of weaker perceptual suppression in PwPP (e.g., center-surround suppression, temporal masking; da Cruz et al., 2020; Dakin et al., 2005; Herzog & Brand, 2015; Schallmo et al., 2015; Sponheim et al., 2013).

A pair of recent studies examined bi-stable perception among people with schizophrenia using a rotating sphere illusion (Schmack et al., 2015a, 2017). Those studies used methods to bias perception towards one rotation direction by stopping and starting the stimulus (continuation bias) or

through repeated presentation of unambiguous stimuli that tended to rotate more in one direction (learning bias). Their goal was to examine bi-stable SFM perception under the framework of predictive coding. They found that people with schizophrenia showed less-biased perception in both their continuation and learning paradigms. Although bi-stable switch rates were not reported in these previous studies, our current findings appear generally consistent with their notion of weaker sensory predictions during bi-stable SFM perception in PwPP.

We predicted switch rates would be slower among PwPP compared to controls, based on results in the literature showing slower switch rates during binocular rivalry among people with schizophrenia (Fox, 1965; Miller et al., 2003; Nagamine et al., 2009; Ngo et al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2019). Contrary to this prediction, we found switch rates in our SFM task were in fact faster among PwPP when compared to healthy controls. There are a number of factors that may explain this apparent discrepancy. Previous studies used a different bi-stable paradigm, binocular rivalry, in which participants are shown two dissimilar stimuli (one in each eye, e.g., orthogonal gratings) that compete for perceptual dominance. This task is thought to particularly engage neural populations selective for low-level image features (e.g., orientation, color), such as those in V1, to drive interocular suppression and perceptual rivalry (Leopold & Logothetis, 1999; Tong & Engel, 2001). Thus, binocular rivalry may depend more strongly on suppression at an earlier stage of neural processing compared to bi-stable SFM. Indeed, a recent study by Cao and colleagues (Cao et al., 2018) showed that switch rates from rotating cylinder and binocular rivalry tasks were only weakly correlated across individuals.

In addition, previous studies have focused specifically on people with schizophrenia *or* bipolar disorder, whereas we examined bi-stable visual perception across the psychosis spectrum. Although we saw significantly faster switch rates among PwPP versus controls overall, and significant differences in the post-hoc comparison between schizophrenia and controls, post-hoc tests between bipolar and control groups were not significant. This may reflect a lack of statistical power due to the smaller sample sizes within these diagnostic sub-groups. Our schizophrenia and bipolar sub-groups showed very similar switch rates to one another, suggesting that bi-stable SFM perception was not dramatically different across different diagnostic categories within the psychosis spectrum.

We hypothesized that abnormal switch rates during bi-stable SFM perception might be related to impaired E/I balance in psychosis. Dysfunction in both excitatory glutamate (Javitt, 2004; Moghaddam & Javitt, 2012) and inhibitory GABA functioning (Gonzalez-Burgos et al., 2010; Volk & Lewis, 2005) have been reported in psychotic disorders. Other studies suggest that bi-stable perception, including in the rotating cylinder illusion, may be linked to E/I balance in visual cortex among healthy adults (Mentch et al., 2019; Robertson et al., 2016; van Loon et al., 2013). Although we observed a relationship between higher inhibitory GABA levels and slower SFM switch rates that matched previous reports (van Loon et al., 2013), this correlation did not reach statistical significance, and we saw no significant relationships between SFM switch rates and excitatory glutamate or glutamine. Because we saw no significant group differences in GABA levels, nor any significant correlations within groups between GABA and switch rates (after correction for multiple comparisons), we cannot draw any strong conclusions about role of GABAergic inhibition in abnormally fast switch rates among PwPP. A limitation of our study is that MRS data were acquired only in a small region of the mid-occipital lobe, which generally encompasses early visual areas (e.g., V1) with peripheral retinotopic selectivity. Thus, it is not clear to what extent neurochemical concentrations in other brain regions (e.g., those with greater foveal selectivity, and / or higher visual areas such as hMT+) may be linked to perception of bi-stable SFM.

Examining SFM task performance among first-degree relatives allowed us to explore the role of genetic liability for psychosis DURING bi-stable SFM perception. Across our analyses, results from relatives tended to fall in between controls and PwPP. This pattern of results can be seen both in the average switch rates (Figure 4) and the distribution of percept durations (Figure 3). These results are

consistent with the notion of attenuated visual dysfunction among unaffected relatives that falls on a continuum between healthy controls and PwPP (Pokorny et al., 2020), and suggest that faster-than-normal switch rates during bi-stable SFM perception may be linked in part to genetic liability for psychosis.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by funding from the National Institutes of Health (U01 MH108150). Salary support for MPS was provided in part by K01 MH120278. Salary support for KWK was provided in part by T32DA037183. Support for MR scanning at the University of Minnesota Center for Magnetic Resonance Research was provided by P41 EB015894 and P30 NS076408. This work used tools from the University of Minnesota Clinical and Translational Science Institute that were supported by UL1 TR002494.

We would like to thank and acknowledge Kimberly B. Weldon, Li Shen Chong, Marisa J. Sanchez, Rohit S. Kamath, Samantha A. Montoya, Victoria Espensen-Sturges for contributing to data collection and management, as well as Caroline Demro for help with data processing, and Cheryl A. Olman for supporting the study design.

6. References

Bailey, A. J., Klein, S. D., Sponheim, S. R., & Hetrick, W. P. (2021). The Sensory Gating Inventory-Brief. *Schizophrenia Bulletin Open*, *2*(1). https://doi.org/10.1093/schizbullopen/sgab019

Bennett, D., Dluzniak, A., Cropper, S. J., Partos, T., Sundram, S., & Carter, O. (2016). Selective impairment of global motion integration, but not global form detection, in schizophrenia and bipolar affective disorder. *Schizophrenia Research: Cognition*, *3*, 11–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scog.2015.11.003

Braddick, O. J., O'Brien, J. M. D., Wattam-Bell, J., Atkinson, J., & Turner, R. (2000). Form and motion coherence activate independent, but not dorsal/ventral segregated, networks in the human brain. *Current Biology*, *10*(12), 731–734. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(00)00540-6

Brouwer, G. J., & van Ee, R. (2007). Visual cortex allows prediction of perceptual states during ambiguous structure-from-motion. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *27*(5), 1015–1023. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4593-06.2007

Butler, P. D., Silverstein, S. M., & Dakin, S. C. (2008). Visual Perception and Its Impairment in Schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry*, *64*(1), 40–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2008.03.023

Calkins, M. E., Iacono, W. G., & Ones, D. S. (2008). Eye movement dysfunction in first-degree relatives of patients with schizophrenia: A meta-analytic evaluation of candidate endophenotypes. *Brain and Cognition*, *68*(3), 436–461. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2008.09.001

Cao, T., Wang, L., Sun, Z., Engel, S. A., & He, S. (2018). The independent and shared mechanisms of intrinsic brain dynamics: Insights from bistable perception. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *9*(APR), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00589

Cardno, A. G., Jane Marshall, E., Coid, B., Macdonald, A. M., Ribchester, T. R., Davies, N. J., Venturi, P., & Jones, L. A. (1999). Heritability Estimates for Psychotic Disorders The Maudsley Twin Psychosis Series. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *56*, 162–168. https://jamanetwork.com/

Cardno, A. G., & Owen, M. J. (2014). Genetic relationships between schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, and schizoaffective disorder. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *40*(3), 504–515. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbu016

Carter, O., Bennett, D., Nash, T., Arnold, S., Brown, L., Cai, R. Y., Allan, Z., Dluzniak, A., McAnally, K., Burr, D., & Sundram, S. (2017). Sensory integration deficits support a dimensional view of psychosis and are not limited to schizophrenia. *Translational Psychiatry*, *7*(5), 2–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2017.69

Chen, Y., Bidwell, L. C., & Holzman, P. S. (2005). Visual motion integration in schizophrenia patients, their first-degree relatives, and patients with bipolar disorder. *Schizophrenia Research*, *74*(2–3), 271–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2004.04.002

Chen, Y., Levy, D. L., Sheremata, S., & Holzman, P. S. (2006). Bipolar and schizophrenic patients differ in patterns of visual motion discrimination. *Schizophrenia Research*, *88*(1–3), 208–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2006.06.004

Chen, Y., Nakayama, K., Levy, D. L., Matthysse, S., & Holzman, P. S. (2003). Processing of global, but not local, motion direction is deficient in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, *61*(2–3), 215–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-9964(02)00222-0

Chen, Y., Norton, D., & Ongur, D. (2008). Altered Center-Surround Motion Inhibition in Schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry*, *64*(1), 74–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.11.017

Chen, Y., Palafox, G. P., Nakayama, K., Levy, D. L., Matthysse, S., & Holzman, P. S. (1999). Motion perception in schizophrenia. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *56*(2), 149–154. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.56.2.149

da Cruz, J. R., Shaqiri, A., Roinishvili, M., Favrod, O., Chkonia, E., Brand, A., Figueredo, P., & Herzog, M. H. (2020). Neural compensation mechanisms of siblings of schizophrenia patients as revealed by high-density EEG. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *46*(4), 1009–1018.

Dakin, S., Carlin, P., & Hemsley, D. (2005). Weak suppression of visual context in chronic schizophrenia [2]. *Current Biology*, *15*(20), 822–824. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2005.10.015

Demro, C., Mueller, B. A., Kent, J. S., Burton, P. C., Olman, C. A., Schallmo, M. P., Lim, K. O., & Sponheim, S. R. (2021). The psychosis human connectome project: An overview. In *NeuroImage* (Vol. 241). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118439

Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. (2013). In *American Psychiatric Association* (Fifth Edit, Vol. 17, Issue 7). American Psychiatric Association.

Fischl, B. (2012). FreeSurfer. *NeuroImage*, *62*(2), 774–781. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.01.021

Foss-Feig, J. H., Adkinson, B. D., Ji, J. L., Yang, G., Srihari, V. H., McPartland, J. C., Krystal, J. H., Murray, J. D., & Anticevic, A. (2017). Searching for Cross-Diagnostic Convergence: Neural Mechanisms Governing Excitation and Inhibition Balance in Schizophrenia and Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Biological Psychiatry*, *81*(10), 848–861. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.03.005

Fox, R. (1965). Rate of binocular rivalry alternation in psychotic and nonpsychotic patients. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *70*(1), 34–37. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0021684

Foxe, J. J., Murray, M. M., & Javitt, D. C. (2005). Filling-in in schizophrenia: A high-density electrical mapping and source-analysis investigation of illusory contour processing. *Cerebral Cortex*, *15*(12), 1914–1927. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhi069

Freeman, E. D., Sterzer, P., & Driver, J. (2012). fMRI correlates of subjective reversals in ambiguous structure-from-motion. *Journal of Vision*, *12*(6), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1167/12.6.35

George, R. W. (1936). The significance of the fluctuations experienced in observing ambiguous figures and in binocular rivalry. *Journal of General Psychology*, *15*(1), 39–61. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221309.1936.9917904

Gonzalez-Burgos, G., Hashimoto, T., & Lewis, D. A. (2010). Alterations of cortical GABA neurons and network oscillations in schizophrenia. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, *12*(4), 335–344. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-010-0124-8

Gottesman, I. I., & Gould, T. D. (2003). The Endophenotype Concept in Psychiatry: Etymology and Strategic Intentions. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, *160*(4), 636–645.

Gruetter, R. (1992). Automatic, Localized in Vivo Adjustment of All First- and Secon-Order Shim Coils. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *29*, 804–811.

Herzog, M. H., & Brand, A. (2015). Visual masking and schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research: Cognition*, *2*, 64–71.

Hetrick, W. P., Erickson, M. A., & Smith, D. A. (2012). Phenomenological dimensions of sensory gating. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *38*(1), 178–191. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbq054

Iacono, W. G., Malone, S. M., & Vrieze, S. I. (2017). Endophenotype best practices. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, *111*, 115–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2016.07.516

Ip, I. B., Emir, U. E., Lunghi, C., Parker, A. J., & Bridge, H. (2021). GABAergic inhibition in the human visual cortex relates to eye dominance. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-95685-1

Javitt, D. C. (2004). *Glutamate as a therapeutic target in psychiatric disorders*. 984–997.

Kaliuzhna, M., Stein, T., Sterzer, P., & Seymour, K. J. (2020). Examining motion speed processing in schizophrenia using the flash lag illusion. *Schizophrenia Research: Cognition*, *19*(October 2019), 100165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scog.2019.100165

Keane, B. P., Paterno, D., Kastner, S., & Silverstein, S. M. (2016). Supplemental Material for Visual Integration Dysfunction in Schizophrenia Arises by the First Psychotic Episode and Worsens With Illness Duration. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *125*(4), 543–549. https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000157.supp

Keane, B. P., Silverstein, S. M., Barch, D. M., Carter, C. S., Gold, J. M., Kovács, I., MacDonald, A. W., Ragland, J. D., & Strauss, M. E. (2012). The spatial range of contour integration deficits in schizophrenia. *Experimental Brain Research*, *220*(3–4), 251–259. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-012-3134-4

Keefe, R. S. E., Goldberg, T. E., Harvey, P. D., Gold, J. M., Poe, M. P., & Coughenour, L. (2004). The Brief Assessment of Cognition in Schizophrenia: Reliability, sensitivity, and comparison with a standard neurocognitive battery. *Schizophrenia Research*, *68*(2–3), 283–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2003.09.011

Keri, S., Kelemen, O., Benedek, G., & Janka, Z. (2001). Different trait markers for schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: A neurocognitive approach. *Psychological Medicine*, *31*, 915–922.

Kourtzi, Z., Krekelberg, B., & van Wezel, R. J. A. (2008). Linking form and motion in the primate brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *12*(6), 230–236. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2008.02.013

Kozma-Wiebe, P., Silverstein, S. M., Fehér, A., Kovács, I., Ulhaas, P., & Wilkniss, S. M. (2006). Development of a world-wide web based contour integration test. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *22*(6), 971–980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2004.03.017

Larsson, J., & Heeger, D. J. (2006). Two retinotopic visual areas in human lateral occipital cortex. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *26*(51), 13128–13142. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1657-06.2006

Leopold, D. A., & Logothetis, N. K. (1999). Multistable phenomena: Changing views in perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *3*(7), 254–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(99)01332-7

Lisman, J. (2012). Excitation, inhibition, local oscillations, or large-scale loops: What causes the symptoms of schizophrenia? *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *22*(3), 537–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2011.10.018

Loffler, G. (2008). Perception of contours and shapes: Low and intermediate stage mechanisms. *Vision Research*, *48*(20), 2106–2127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2008.03.006

Marjańska, M., McCarten, J. R., Hodges, J., Hemmy, L. S., Grant, A., Deelchand, D. K., & Terpstra, M. (2017). Region-specific aging of the human brain as evidenced by neurochemical profiles measured noninvasively in the posterior cingulate cortex and the occipital lobe using 1H magnetic resonance spectroscopy at 7 T. *Neuroscience*, *354*, 168–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.04.035

Marsman, A., Mandl, R. C. W., Klomp, D. W. J., Bohlken, M. M., Boer, V. O., Andreychenko, A., Cahn, W., Kahn, R. S., Luijten, P. R., & Hulshoff Pol, H. E. (2014). GABA and glutamate in schizophrenia: A 7 T 1H-MRS study. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, *6*, 398–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2014.10.005

Martínez, G. A. R., & Parra, H. C. (2018). Bistable perception: Neural bases and usefulness in psychological research. *International Journal of Psychological Research*, *11*(2), 63–76. https://doi.org/10.21500/20112084.3375

McDougall, W. (1906). Physiological Factors of the Attention-Process (IV .). *Mind*, *15*(59), 329–359.

Mentch, J., Spiegel, A., Ricciardi, C., & Robertson, C. E. (2019). GABAergic inhibition gates perceptual awareness during binocular rivalry. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *39*(42), 8398–8407. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0836-19.2019

Miller, S. M., Gynther, B. D., Heslop, K. R., Liu, G. B., Mitchell, P. B., Ngo, T. T., Pettigrew, J. D., & Geffen, L. B. (2003). Slow binocular rivalry in bipolar disorder. *Psychological Medicine*, *33*(4), 683–692. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291703007475

Moghaddam, B., & Javitt, D. (2012). From revolution to evolution: The glutamate hypothesis of schizophrenia and its implication for treatment. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, *37*(1), 4–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2011.181

Murray, S. O., Olshausen, B. A., & Woods, D. L. (2002). Processing shape, motion, and three-dimensional shape-from-motion in the human cortex. *Journal of Vision*, *2*(7), 508–516. https://doi.org/10.1167/2.7.303

Nagamine, M., Yoshino, A., Miyazaki, M., & Nomura, S. (2009). Difference in binocular rivalry rate between patients with bipolar I and bipolar II disorders. *Bipolar Disorders*, *11*, 539–546.

Natsubori, T., Inoue, H., Abe, O., Takano, Y., Iwashiro, N., Aoki, Y., Koike, S., Yahata, N., Katsura, M., Gonoi, W., Sasaki, H., Takao, H., Kasai, K., & Yamasue, H. (2014). Reduced frontal glutamate + glutamine and N-acetylaspartate levels in patients with chronic schizophrenia but not in those at clinical high risk for psychosis or with first-episode schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *40*(5), 1128–1139. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbt124

Ngo, T. T., Mitchell, P. B., Martin, N. G., & Miller, S. M. (2011). Psychiatric and genetic studies of binocular rivalry: An endophenotype for bipolar disorder? In *Acta Neuropsychiatrica* (Vol. 23,

Issue 1, pp. 37–42). Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5215.2010.00510.x

Notredame, C. E., Pins, D., Deneve, S., & Jardri, R. (2014). What visual illusions teach us about schizophrenia. *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience*, *8*(AUG), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2014.00063

Overall, J. E., & Gorham, D. R. (1962). The brief psychiatric rating scale (BPRS) and the nurses' observation scale for inpatient evaluation (NOSIE) in the evalution of positive and negative symptoms. *Psychological Reports*, *10*, 799–812.

Peirce, J., Gray, J. R., Simpson, S., MacAskill, M., Höchenberger, R., Sogo, H., Kastman, E., & Lindeløv, J. K. (2019). PsychoPy2: Experiments in behavior made easy. *Behavior Research Methods*, *51*(1), 195–203. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-018-01193-y

Phillips, W. A., & Silverstein, S. M. (2013). The coherent organization of mental life depends on mechanisms for context-sensitive gain-control that are impaired in schizophrenia. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *4*(MAY), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00307

Pokorny, V. J., Espensen-Sturges, T. D., Burton, P. C., Sponheim, S. R., & Olman, C. A. (2020). Aberrant Cortical Connectivity During Ambiguous Object Recognition Is Associated With Schizophrenia. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2020.09.018

Raine, A. (1991). The SPQ: A Scale for the Assessment of Schizotypal Personality Based on DSM-III-R Criteria.pdf. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *17*(4), 555–564.

Rees, G., Friston, K. J., & Koch, C. (2000). A direct quantitative relationship between the functional properties of human and macaque V5. *Nature Neuroscience*, *3*(7), 716–723. https://www.nature.com/articles/nn0700_716.pdf

Revheim, N., Butler, P. D., Schechter, I., Jalbrzikowski, M., Silipo, G., & Javitt, D. C. (2006). Reading impairment and visual processing deficits in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, *87*(1–3), 238–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2006.06.022

Robertson, C. E., Ratai, E. M., & Kanwisher, N. (2016). Reduced GABAergic Action in the Autistic Brain. *Current Biology*, *26*(1), 80–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.019

Robol, V., Tibber, M. S., Anderson, E. J., Bobin, T., Carlin, P., Shergill, S. S., & Dakin, S. C. (2013). Reduced Crowding and Poor Contour Detection in Schizophrenia Are Consistent with Weak Surround Inhibition. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(4). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0060951

Roelfsema, P. R., & de Lange, F. P. (2016). Early Visual Cortex as a Multiscale Cognitive Blackboard. *Annual Review of Vision Science*, *2*, 131–151. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-vision-111815-114443

Said, C. P., & Heeger, D. J. (2013). A Model of Binocular Rivalry and Cross-orientation Suppression. *PLoS Computational Biology*, *9*(3). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002991

Sandberg, K., Blicher, J. U., del Pin, S. H., Andersen, L. M., Rees, G., & Kanai, R. (2016). Improved estimates for the role of grey matter volume and GABA in bistable perception. *Cortex*, *83*, 292–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2016.08.006

Schallmo, M. P., Sponheim, S. R., & Olman, C. A. (2013). Abnormal Contextual Modulation of Visual Contour Detection in Patients with Schizophrenia. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(6), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0068090

Schallmo, M. P., Sponheim, S. R., & Olman, C. A. (2015). Reduced contextual effects on visual contrast perception in schizophrenia and bipolar affective disorder. *Psychological Medicine*, *45*(16), 3527–3537. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715001439

Schallmo, M. P., Weldon, K. B., Kamath, R. S., Moser, H. R., Montoya, S. A., Killebrew, K. W., Demro, C., Grant, A. N., Marjańska, M., Sponheim, S. R., & Olman, C. A. (2022). The Psychosis Human Connectome Project: Design and rationale for studies of visual neurophysiology. *MedRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.09.16.22280014

Schmack, K., Rothkirch, M., Priller, J., & Sterzer, P. (2017). Enhanced predictive signalling in schizophrenia. *Human Brain Mapping*, *38*(4), 1767–1779. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23480

Schmack, K., Schnack, A., Priller, J., & Sterzer, P. (2015a). Perceptual instability in schizophrenia: Probing predictive coding accounts of delusions with ambiguous stimuli. *Schizophrenia Research: Cognition*, *2*(2), 72–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scog.2015.03.005

Schmack, K., Schnack, A., Priller, J., & Sterzer, P. (2015b). Perceptual instability in schizophrenia: Probing predictive coding accounts of delusions with ambiguous stimuli. *Schizophrenia Research: Cognition*, *2*(2), 72–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scog.2015.03.005

Shrout, P. E., & Fleiss, J. L. (1979). Intraclass correlations: Uses in assessing rater reliability. *Psychological Bulletin*, *86*(2), 420–428. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.86.2.420

Siegel, R. M., & Andersen, R. A. (1990). The perception of structure from visual motion in monkey and man. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *2*(4), 306–319. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.1990.2.4.306

Silverstein, S. M., Berten, S., Essex, B., Kovács, I., Susmaras, T., & Little, D. (2009). An fMRI examination of contour integration in Schizophrenia. *Journal of Vision*, *9*, 904–904.

Silverstein, S. M., Harms, M. P., Carter, C. S., Gold, J. M., Keane, B. P., MacDonald, A., Daniel Ragland, J., & Barch, D. M. (2015). Cortical contributions to impaired contour integration in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychologia*, *75*, 469–480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.07.003

Silverstein, S. M., Keane, B. P., Barch, D. M., Carter, C. S., Gold, J. M., Kovács, I., MacDonald, A., Ragland, J. D., & Strauss, M. E. (2012). Optimization and validation of a visual integration test for schizophrenia research. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *38*(1), 125–134. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbr141

Silverstein, S. M., Uhlhaas, P. J., Essex, B., Halpin, S., Schall, U., & Carr, V. (2006). Perceptual organization in first episode schizophrenia and ultra-high-risk states. *Schizophrenia Research*, *83*(1), 41–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2006.01.003

Smith, A. T., Wall, M. B., Williams, A. L., & Singh, K. D. (2006). Sensitivity to optic flow in human cortical areas MT and MST. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *23*(2), 561–569. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.04526.x

Snellen, H. (1862). *Probebuchstaben zur Bestimmung der Sehschärfe*.

Spencer, K. M., & Ghorashi, S. (2014). Oscillatory dynamics of Gestalt perception in schizophrenia revisited. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *5*(FEB). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00068

Spencer, K. M., Nestor, P. G., Niznikiewicz, M. A., Salisbury, D. F., Shenton, M. E., & Mccarley, R. W. (2003). Brief Communication Abnormal Neural Synchrony in Schizophrenia. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *23*(19), 7407–7411. http://paos.colorado.edu/research/wavelets/

Sponheim, S. R., Sass, S. M., Noukki, A. L., & Hegeman, B. M. (2013). Fragile early visual percepts mark genetic liability specific to schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *39*(4), 839–847. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbs041

Sterzer, P., Kleinschmidt, A., & Rees, G. (2009). The neural bases of multistable perception. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *13*(7), 310–318. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2009.04.006

Sydnor, V. J., & Roalf, D. R. (2020). A meta-analysis of ultra-high field glutamate, glutamine, GABA and glutathione 1HMRS in psychosis: Implications for studies of psychosis risk. *Schizophrenia Research*, *226*, 61–69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2020.06.028

Tadin, D., Kim, J., Doop, M. L., Gibson, C., Lappin, J. S., Blake, R., & Park, S. (2006). Weakened center-surround interactions in visual motion processing in schizophrenia. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *26*(44), 11403–11412. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2592-06.2006

Thomas, P., Mathur, P., Gottesman, I. I., Nagpal, R., Nimgaonkar, V. L., & Deshpande, S. N. (2007). Correlates of hallucinations in schizophrenia: A cross-cultural evaluation. *Schizophrenia Research*, *92*(1–3), 41–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2007.01.017

Tibber, M. S., Anderson, E. J., Bobin, T., Antonova, E., Seabright, A., Wright, B., Carlin, P., Shergill, S. S., & Dakin, S. C. (2013). Visual surround suppression in schizophrenia. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *4*(FEB), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00088

Tka´c, I., Andersen, P., Adriany, G., Merkle, H., Uurbil, K., & Gruetter, R. (2001). In vivo 1H NMR spectroscopy of the human brain at 7 T. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *46*(3), 451–456. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.1213

Tong, F., & Engel, S. A. (2001). Interocular rivalry revealed in the human cortical blind-spot representation. *Journal of Vision*, *1*(3), 195–199. https://doi.org/10.1167/1.3.130

Tong, F., Meng, M., & Blake, R. (2006). Neural bases of binocular rivalry. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *10*(11), 502–511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.09.003

Treue, S., Husain, M., & Andersen, R. A. (1991). Human Perception of Structure From Motion. *Vision Research*, *31*(1), 59–75.

Ullman, S. (1979). The interpretation of structure from motion. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Containing Papers of a Biological Character. Royal Society (Great Britain)*, *203*(1153), 405–426. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1979.0006

van Loon, A. M., Knapen, T., Scholte, H. S., st. John-Saaltink, E., Donner, T. H., & Lamme, V. A. F. (2013). GABA shapes the dynamics of bistable perception. *Current Biology*, *23*(9), 823–827. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.03.067

Volk, D. W., & Lewis, D. A. (2005). GABA Targets for the Treatment of Cognitive Dysfunction in Schizophrenia. *Current Neuropharmacology*, *3*(1), 45–62. https://doi.org/10.2174/1570159052773396

Waters, F., Collerton, D., Ffytche, D. H., Jardri, R., Pins, D., Dudley, R., Blom, J. D., Mosimann, U. P., Eperjesi, F., Ford, S., & Laroi, F. (2014). Visual hallucinations in the psychosis spectrum and comparative information from neurodegenerative disorders and eye disease. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *40*(SUPPL. 4), 233–245. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbu036

Wechsler, D. (2008). *Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, 4th Ed.* (4th ed.). Pearson Assessment.

Weilnhammer, V., Röd, L., Eckert, A. L., Stuke, H., Heinz, A., & Sterzer, P. (2020). Psychotic experiences in schizophrenia and sensitivity to sensory evidence. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *46*(4), 927–936. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbaa003

Wenneberg, C., Glenthøj, B. Y., Hjorthøj, C., Buchardt Zingenberg, F. J., Glenthøj, L. B., Rostrup, E., Broberg, B. V., & Nordentoft, M. (2020). Cerebral glutamate and GABA levels in high-risk of psychosis states: A focused review and meta-analysis of 1H-MRS studies. *Schizophrenia Research*, *215*, 38–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2019.10.050

Wilson, H. R. (2003). Computational evidence for a rivalry hierarchy in vision. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *100*(SUPPL. 2), 14499–14503. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2333622100

Wilson, S., & Sponheim, S. R. (2014). Dimensions underlying psychotic and manic symptomatology: Extending normal-range personality traits to schizophrenia and bipolar spectra. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, *55*(8), 1809–1819. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsych.2014.07.008

Wynn, J. K., Roach, B. J., Lee, J., Horan, W. P., Ford, J. M., Jimenez, A. M., & Green, M. F. (2015). EEG findings of reduced neural synchronization during visual integration in schizophrenia. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(3). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119849

Xiao, G., He, K., Chen, X., Wang, L., Bai, X., Gao, L., Zhu, C., & Wang, K. (2018). Slow Binocular Rivalry as a Potential Endophenotype of Schizophrenia. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *12*(SEP), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2018.00634

Yang, E., Tadin, D., Glasser, D. M., Hong, S. W., Blake, R., & Park, S. (2013). Visual context processing in schizophrenia. *Clinical Psychological Science*, *1*(1), 5–15. https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702612464618

Ye, X., Zhu, R. L., Zhou, X. Q., He, S., & Wang, K. (2019). Slower and less variable binocular rivalry rates in patients with bipolar disorder, OCD, major depression, and schizophrenia. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *13*(MAY), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2019.00514

Yeap, S., Kelly, S. P., Sehatpour, P., Magno, E., Javitt, D. C., Garavan, H., Thakore, J. H., & Foxe, J. J. (2006). Early visual sensory deficits as endophenotypes for Schizophrenia. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *63*, 1180–1188.

Yoon, J. H., Maddock, R. J., Rokem, A., Silver, M. A., Minzenberg, M. J., Ragland, J. D., & Carter, C. S. (2010). GABA concentration is reduced in visual cortex in schizophrenia and correlates with orientation-specific surround suppression. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *30*(10), 3777–3781. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6158-09.2010

Yoon, J. H., Sheremata, S. L., Rokem, A., & Silver, M. A. (2013). Windows to the soul: vision science as a tool for studying biological mechanisms of information processing deficits in schizophrenia. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *4*(October), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00681

Zeljic, K., Xiang, Q., Wang, Z., Pan, Y., Shi, Y., Zhou, Z., Wang, Z., & Liu, D. (2021). Heightened perception of illusory motion is associated with symptom severity in schizophrenia patients. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, *104*(February 2020), 110055. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.110055