medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Maternal obesity, interpregnancy weight changes and congenital heart defects in the offspring: a nationwide cohort study

Gitte HEDERMANN, MD*[1,2,3]; Ida N THAGAARD, MD, PhD[1,4]; Paula L HEDLEY, PhD, MPH[1]; Lone KREBS, MD, DMSc[5,6]; Christian M HAGEN, MSc[1]; Thorkild I A SØRENSEN, MD, DMSc[7,8]; Michael CHRISTIANSEN, MD, FRCPath[1,9]; Charlotte K EKELUND, MD, PhD[6,10]

[1] Department for Congenital Disorders, Danish National Biobank and Biomarkers, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

[2] Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

[3] Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Slagelse Hospital, Denmark

[4] Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Nordsjaellands Hospital, Denmark

[5] Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Amager and Hvidovre Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

[6] Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

[7] Department of Public Health, Section of Epidemiology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

[8] The Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

[9] Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

[10] Center of Fetal Medicine, Department of Obstetrics, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

Disclosures: The authors report no conflict of interest

Funding: This study was supported by The Danish Children Heart Foundation (18-R109-A5193-26043), The A.P. Moller Foundation (19-L-0096), and Aase and Ejnar Danielsen's Foundation (19-10-0493). This research has been conducted using the Danish National Biobank resource supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Paper presentation information: Part of the data has been presented at the 31st World Congress on Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 2021 (Virtual, 15-17 October: https://obgyn.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/uog.23862) and 32nd World Congress on Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynecology 2022 (London, 16-18 September: https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.25154).

Corresponding author: Gitte Hedermann, Department for Congenital Disorders, Danish National Biobank and Biomarkers, Statens Serum Institut, Artillerivej 5, 2300 Copenhagen, Denmark, phone: +45 2081 0261, e-mail: gihc@ssi.dk

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Word count: abstract (492 words); main text (2 848 words)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Condensation

Tweetable statement: The risk of fetal congenital heart defect is associated with high maternal BMI, and it may also be affected by a substantial weight gain between pregnancies.

Short Title: Maternal obesity and CHDs in the offspring

AJOG at a Glance

A. Why was this study conducted?

- None of the large cohort studies on the association between maternal BMI and congenital heart defects (CHDs) have included the proportion of CHDs that are identified in terminated pregnancies.
- No previous studies have investigated the association between interpregnancy weight changes and CHDs.

B. What are the key findings?

- Risk of CHDs became gradually higher with higher maternal BMI when including live births, stillbirths, abortions and terminated pregnancies.
- A trend was found between interpregnancy weight gain and risk of CHDs.

C. What does this study add to what is already known?

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- The association between high maternal BMI and risk of CHDs are not caused by a lower detection rate of fetal CHDs in pregnant women with obesity.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Background: Maternal obesity has been positively associated with increased risk of congenital heart defects in the offspring. However, none of the large studies have included the considerable proportion of congenital heart defects that are identified due to improvements in prenatal diagnostics and terminated in pregnancy. The mechanism behind the association is poorly understood, and a relation to interpregnancy weight changes is to be investigated.

Objectives: To evaluate the association between maternal obesity and congenital heart defects in the offspring when including all pregnancies and to investigate if interpregnancy weight change between the first and second pregnancy influences risk of fetal congenital heart defects.

Study Design: A nationwide cohort study of all singleton pregnancies in Denmark from 2008 to 2018. All data on maternal and offspring characteristics were retrieved from the Danish Fetal Medicine Database. The database included data on postnatal diagnoses of congenital heart defects in live births and prenatal diagnoses of congenital heart defects from ultrasound examinations during pregnancy resulting in live birth, stillbirth, spontaneous abortion after gestational week 12 or termination of pregnancies after gestational week 12. As this cohort encompassed all pregnancies over a 10-year period, it was possible for women to experience multiple pregnancies. Congenital heart defects and severe congenital heart defects were grouped according to European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies' definitions. Children or fetuses with chromosomal aberrations were excluded. Relative risks were calculated using log-linear Poisson models for congenital heart defects overall, severe congenital heart defects and for five of the most prevalent subtypes of congenital heart defects.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Results: Of the 547 178 pregnancies included in the cohort, 5 498 had congenital heart defects (1.0%). Risk of congenital heart defects became gradually higher with higher maternal BMI; for BMI 30-34.9 kg/m^2, adjusted relative risk = 1.23 (95% confidence interval 1.12-1.36), for BMI 35-39.9 kg/m^2, adjusted relative risk = 1.26 (95% confidence interval 1.09-1.46) and for BMI ≥ 40 kg/m^2, adjusted relative risk = 1.81 (95% confidence interval 1.50-2.15). Data was adjusted for maternal age, smoking status and year of estimated due date. The same pattern was seen for the subgroup of severe congenital heart defects. Among the atrioventricular septal defects (n = 245), a particularly strong association with maternal BMI ≥ 40 kg/m^2 was seen, adjusted relative risk = 4.19 (95% confidence interval 2.13-7.42). 107 627 women were identified with their first and second pregnancies in the cohort. Interpregnancy BMI change was positively, albeit not statistically significant, associated with risk of congenital heart defects in the second pregnancy when adjusting for maternal age and BMI, with an adjusted relative risk = 1.27 (95% confidence interval 0.96-1.64) among persons with a BMI increase of ≥ 4 kg/m^2.

Conclusions: When including both pre- and postnatally diagnosed congenital heart defects, this study showed a positive dose-response association between maternal BMI and risk of congenital heart defects in the offspring. However, only a non-significant trend was seen between interpregnancy BMI changes and risk of congenital heart defects in the second pregnancies.

Keywords: atrioventricular septum defect; coarctation of the aorta; epidemiological study; maternal BMI; prenatal congenital heart defects; register-based study; severe congenital heart defects; The Danish Fetal Medicine database; Tetralogy of Fallot; transposition of the great arteries; univentricular heart

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Introduction

Obesity among women of reproductive age has been increasing over the last three decades.[1] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimated that 40% of women aged 20-39 years old in the United States were obese (body mass index [BMI] ≥ 30 kg/m^2) in 2017-2018.[2] Maternal obesity is a risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes as well as for long-term health consequences for both the mother and child.[3,4] Furthermore, maternal obesity is positively associated with risk of having a child with congenital malformations.[5]

Congenital heart defects (CHDs) remain the leading cause of infant death from congenital malformations in the United States.[6] Believed to be the most common congenital malformations, CHDs have a global prevalence of nine per 1000 live births with geographical differences.[7] The causes of CHDs are unknown in most cases, but are associated with maternal chronic conditions, viral infections and fetal exposures to teratogenic drugs.[8-10] Conflicting evidence of an association between maternal age and fetal CHDs persist, but a recent large European study found a positive association between both young- and older maternal age and total prevalence of CHDs.[11] With improvements in genetic and genomic analytical techniques an increasing number of genetic associations/causes have been identified in up to 30% of the cases.[12]

The association between maternal obesity and infants born with congenital malformations has been reported to include CHDs. However, none of the large studies have included the proportion of CHDs that are identified and terminated in pregnancy. During the last two decades, the prenatal identification of CHDs have increased dramatically, consequently, an analysis of the association between maternal risk factors and CHDs should include data on prenatally identified cases. Meta-analyses suggest a moderate association between maternal obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m^2) and CHDs in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

the offspring with an odds ratio (OR) = 1.2 (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.1–1.2)[13] or an OR = 1.3 (95% CI 1.2–1.4).[14] A recent systematic review on the topic demonstrated great heterogeneity among the studies with respect to design, exposure definition, outcome definition, choice of covariates, and only populations of Northern European or Chinese descent were examined to a reasonable extent.[15]

Some studies have found an association between maternal interpregnancy BMI changes and adverse pregnancy- and perinatal outcomes that were linearly related to the amount of weight gain.[16,17] So far, a few small studies suggest that this might be relevant for certain congenital malformations (spina bifida, gastroschisis and oral cleft),[16,18-20] however, no data is available in these studies for fetal CHDs. It is of great importance to identify any modifiable risk factors for CHDs. If weight gain, defined as interpregnancy BMI change, is associated with CHDs, this could be added to the etiology of the association and the justification of preventive initiatives as to stress weight stability, and for some women weight loss.

This study aims to assess the risk of fetal CHDs, severe CHDs or five of the most frequently identified subtypes of CHDs according to early-pregnancy BMI. The study population comprises all CHDs found among live births, stillbirths, abortions and terminated pregnancies in Denmark. The study also investigates if changes in maternal BMI from the beginning of the first pregnancy to the beginning of the second pregnancy were associated with risk of CHDs in the second pregnancy.

Materials and Methods

This cohort study was performed on prospectively collected data retrieved from a nationwide cohort based on The Danish Fetal Medicine Database.[21] The Danish Fetal Medicine Database includes data on pregnancies with prenatal screening results from all obstetric and gynecological

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

departments in Denmark from January 1, 2008.[21] All women in Denmark are offered a first trimester screening for chromosomal abnormalities (gestational week 12) and a second trimester anomaly scan, for which the uptake rate is high; 95% of pregnant women participate. The database does not include data on outcomes of pregnancies before the first trimester scan. The primary source of information is the local fetal medicine databases used nationwide. The Danish Fetal Medicine Database includes data on maternal characteristics including weight and height, data from ultrasound examinations and pregnancy outcomes.[21] Furthermore, the database includes data from other Danish registers: the Danish Cytogenetic Central Register,[22] the Danish National Patient Register,[23] and the Danish Medical Birth Register.[24] All Danish residents are assigned a unique personal identification number enabling linkage of data between national registers and other data sources.[25] In Denmark, health care is free and and it is standard practice to offer genetic testing by chorionic villus sampling or amniocentesis when a CHD is diagnosed prenatally.[26] The prenatal detection rate of major CHD is high and the majority of parents opt for further testing.[27,28] A gradual transition from conventional karyotyping to chromosomal microarray was observed over the course of the study period. Postnatal genetic testing primarily by chromosomal microarray is performed in all children with syndromic suspicion. The Danish Fetal Medicine database is updated once a year with information on postnatal diagnosed congenital malformations and karyotypes.[21] The International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision code system (ICD-10) is used to code malformations in the fetus and in the infant.[21]

The cohort included singleton pregnancies in Denmark with an estimated due date, from ultrasound scan, between June 1, 2008 and June 1, 2018. Each woman can have multiple pregnancies during the study period. Pregnancies with a fetus or child with a chromosomal aberration were excluded from the cohort. Only pregnant women with a registered early-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

pregnancy weight and a height from 120 through 200 cm were included. Maternal BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters (kg/m^2) and BMI values are reported in that unit. Extreme observations defined as BMI < 12 and BMI > 60 were excluded to avoid registration errors.

We identified fetuses and infants with CHDs (Table S1) by using either the prenatal or/and the postnatal diagnoses. In all live births, postnatal CHD diagnoses were considered gold standard. The CHD diagnoses were defined by the European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies (EUROCAT)[29] (Table S1) and severe CHDs (EUROCAT, Table S1). Severe CHDs include the following 17 diagnoses: truncus arteriosus, double outlet right ventricle, transposition of the great arteries (TGA), univentricular heart (UVH), atrioventricular septum defect (AVSD), Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF), pulmonary atresia, tricuspid valve stenosis, Ebstein's anomaly, hypoplastic right heart syndrome, aortic valve stenosis, mitral valve stenosis, mitral insufficiency, hypoplastic left heart syndrome, coarctation of the aorta (CoA), aortic atresia, and total anomalous pulmonary venous return. Irrespective of the number of CHD diagnoses in a particular patient, the patient was only registered once as having CHDs. Furthermore, offspring with five of the most frequent subtypes of severe CHDs were identified (Table S2). These were ranked as defined by Lytzen et al.[27] with the most severe first (UVH > TGA > AVSD > CoA > ToF). If offspring had combinations of these subtypes, they were only registered once with the most severe diagnosis. ICD-10 codes for severe CHDs have been validated against hospital records with very good agreement in the Danish National Patient Register.[30] Prenatal diagnoses of 12 severe CHDs have been shown to have a very high diagnostic precision.[28]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

For the calculations of interpregnancy BMI changes, a sub-cohort including women with first and second pregnancies and more than 50 weeks between estimated due dates was compiled. Maternal height was defined as the height registered in the first pregnancy. Interpregnancy BMI changes were calculated as the difference between BMI at the beginning of the first and BMI at the beginning of the second pregnancy. Differences were categorized into six groups < -2; -2 to < -1; -1 to < 1; 1 to < 2; 2 to < 4; and ≥4 BMI units. The category -1 to < 1 was defined as stable weight and used as reference.

Associations between maternal BMI and offspring risk of CHDs were calculated as risk ratios (RRs) with 95% CI using log-linear Poisson regression models. The models were adjusted for maternal age (<20, 20–24, 25–29, 30–34, 35–39, ≥40 years), maternal smoking status (yes/no/stopped), and year of estimated due date (1-year groups). The model was not adjusted for potential multiple pregnancies for each woman during the study period. Associations between interpregnancy BMI changes and risk of CHDs were calculated as RRs with 95% CI using log-linear Poisson regression models. Maternal BMI in first pregnancy and maternal age at second pregnancy were considered possible confounders and were adjusted for in the multivariate model. Statistical analyses were run in R version 4.2.1. Statens Serum Institut has approval from the Danish Data Protection Agency to conduct register-based studies, and the project has been approved (journal no. 19/03354 and 20/09279).

Results

The study cohort consisted of 547 178 singleton pregnancies with estimated due dates between June 1, 2008 and June 1, 2018 when pregnancies with chromosomal aberrations and missing data were excluded as detailed in Figure 1. A total of 5 498 (1.0%) offsprings had CHDs. Of these 1 227

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

were defined as severe CHDs (0.2%). Clinical and demographic data are available in Table 1. Information on parity was not registered and therefore missing in the dataset from 2008-2011 corresponding to 25% of the pregnancies. The study cohort comprised 534 478 live births (97.7%), 1 623 stillbirths (0.3%), 5 073 abortions or terminated pregnancies (0.9%), and 6 004 pregnancies with missing outcomes (1.1%). In total, 35% of the women had an early-pregnancy BMI ≥ 25, and 13% were obese (BMI ≥ 30). The distribution of maternal BMI among the different groups and covariates can be seen in Table 1.

Maternal overweight was associated significantly with higher risk of CHDs in the offspring increasing with higher maternal BMI: for BMI 25-29.9, adjusted relative risk (aRR) = 1.17 (95% CI 1.09-1.25), for BMI 30-34.9, aRR = 1.23 (95% CI 1.12-1.36), for BMI 35-39.9, aRR = 1.26 (95% CI 1.09-1.46) and for BMI ≥ 40, aRR = 1.81 (95% CI 1.50-2.15) compared to women with an early-pregnancy BMI 18.5-24.9 (Table 2). Similar results were seen for maternal BMI and severe CHDs: for BMI 25-29.9, aRR = 1.20 (95% CI 1.04-1.38), for BMI 30-34.9, aRR = 1.28 (95% CI 1.04-1.56), for BMI 35-39.9, aRR = 1.47 (95% CI 1.09-1.96) and for BMI ≥ 40, aRR = 1.85 (95% CI 1.23-2.67) compared to women with an early-pregnancy BMI 18.5-24.9 (Table 2). A slightly higher risk of CHDs, when only including live births compared to all pregnancies, was seen for maternal BMI ≥ 30 (aRR 1.24-1.87; data available in Table S3).

The proportion of CHD cases with one of the five specific CHD diagnoses were as follows: univentricular heart (UVH; 4.4%), transposition of the great arteries (TGA; 3.2%), atrioventricular septum defect (AVSD; 4.5%), coarctation of the aorta (CoA; 4.6%), and Tetralogy of Fallot (ToF; 2.3%). The association between maternal BMI and these specific five CHD diagnoses are shown in

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure 2. No significant associations were seen for UVH, TGA, CoA and ToF. However, maternal BMI ≥ 30 was associated with a significantly higher risk of AVSD in the offspring (Figure 2).

The sub-cohort included 107 627 women who had a first and second consecutive singleton pregnancies between June 1, 2008 and June 1, 2018 (Table 3). Mean BMI in first pregnancy was 24.9 vs. 25.8 in second pregnancy. In total, 998 had an offspring with CHDs (0.9%) in their second pregnancy. The prevalence of CHDs in the second pregnancy became higher with increased weight gain between pregnancies (0.8% to 1.3%). Increase in maternal BMI between pregnancies were significantly associated with higher risk of CHDs in second pregnancy. However, when adjusted for maternal age in the second pregnancy and maternal BMI in the first pregnancy, only a trend remained towards higher risks of any CHDs with weight gain between pregnancies (Table 3).

Comment

Principal Findings

When including both pre- and postnatally diagnosed CHDs, this study showed a dose-response association between high maternal BMI and risk of CHDs in the offspring. However, only a non-significant trend was seen between interpregnancy BMI changes between first and second pregnancies and risk of fetal CHDs in the second pregnancies.

Results in the Context of What is Known

This prospective nationwide cohort included 547 178 live births, stillbirths, abortions and terminated pregnancies in Denmark from 2008 to 2018 and showed that maternal overweight and obesity were significantly associated with a moderately higher risk of CHDs (aRR = 1.17-1.81) and severe CHDs (aRR = 1.20-1.85) in the offspring compared to women with a BMI in the normal

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

range (BMI 18.5-24.9). The study validates previous findings of a positive association between high maternal BMI and risk of CHDs in the offspring[13,14,31,32] and thereby rejects any hypothesis of this association to be caused by lower prenatal detection rates of CHDs in pregnant women with obesity. Most other studies have been limited to live births.[15,31] A large nationwide Swedish study with two million live born children found a positive association between high maternal BMI and CHDs (BMI 30-34.9: OR = 1.2, 95% CI 1.2–1.3; BMI ≥ 40: OR = 1.6, 95% CI 1.4–1.8).[31] When restricting our analysis to live births (Table S3), we found significant associations of a similar magnitude between maternal BMI ≥ 25 and CHDs. Results from five specific subtypes of CHDs (UVH, TGA, AVSD, CoA and ToF) showed no significant association with maternal BMI except for AVSD (Figure 2), which was significantly associated with increased risks when maternal BMI ≥ 30 (BMI 30 to ≥ 40: aRR = 1.65-4.19). Persson et al. found a non-significant trend between maternal BMI and AVSD in women with BMI ≥ 30,[5] and the same pattern was observed in other studies.[9,33,34] The lack of statistical significance in other studies might be due to few cases in each BMI group or different study designs. We examined the interpregnancy weight changes between the first and second pregnancies in 107 627 women and found a trend towards higher risks of CHDs in second pregnancies preceded by a substantial BMI gain but this was not significant when data was adjusted for maternal BMI in first pregnancy and maternal age in second pregnancy (Table 3) as a result of the correlation between maternal BMI and interpregnancy weight changes. The investigations of the association between interpregnancy weight changes and risk of fetal CHDs are sparse.[16] A few studies have looked at other congenital malformations and found a RR = 2.3 for isolated cleft palate when maternal BMI increased ≥ 3 units,[20] a positive association between spina bifida and interpregnancy BMI gain,[18] and a significant decrease in OR = 0.62 (95% CI 0.42-0.94) for gastroschisis when maternal BMI increased with ≥ 3 units.[19]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Clinical Implications

Lifestyle interventions to reduce risk of fetal CHDs have been suggested to be introduced before or between pregnancies.[35] Although our results only indicate a trend between interpregnancy weight changes and risk of CHDs, it does not dismiss a positive effect of weight reduction in women with obesity before pregnancy. In a setting with pre-pregnancy counseling, it is still important to advise women about the importance of BMI as a risk factor for congenital malformations,[5] obstetric and perinatal complications.[3,4]

Reseach Implications

Prenatal detection rates of congenital malformations decreases with increasing maternal BMI since image quality is lower in women with obesity.[36,37] Consequently, studies only including live births could be biased towards a higher postnatal prevalence of CHDs in women with obesity if severe fetal CHDs were not diagnosed and possibly terminated during pregnancy (Table 1). Thus, this phenomenon may at least partly explain a positive association between high BMI and prevalence of CHDs in live borns.[5] Prenatal detection rates of CHDs have improved substantially in the last decades, and in countries with prenatal screening with high detection rate of CHDs as in Denmark,[28] it is more important to include terminated pregnancies in prevalence and association studies as the rate of terminated pregnanciess with the most severe CHDs likely will be higher as seen in Table 1.

This study confirms the association between high maternal BMI and risk of fetal CHDs. Knowledge about the etiology is still limited[35] and future research should focus on combinations of other related maternal metabolic disorders linked to insulin resistance as suggested in a recent review.[15]

Strengths and Limitations

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

The strength of this study is the large size of the cohort and prospectively, nationwide data collection including prenatal information. Some limitation must be considered. The database did not include data on pregestational diabetes, which is known to be strongly associated with CHDs, and therefore this confounder was not included as a covariate in the analyses.[15,38] Persson et al. excluded all women with pregestational diabetes and found a moderate association similar to the results of the present study.[31] Nor did the data include information about family history of CHDs,[39] maternal infections or teratogenic medicine intake in pregnancy that have been associated with higher risk of CHDs.[8] Since the study draws conclusions from register data, there is a risk of reporting bias and all CHD diagnoses are not validated against hospital records.

Conclusions

High maternal BMI is associated with risk of fetal CHDs, and while substantial weight gain between pregnancies may impact the risk, it does not appear to have a significant effect on it.

Acknowledgements

The study group wishes to thank sonographers and medical doctors, who have and continuously are collecting data for the Danish Fetal Medicine Database.

An earlier version of the article is available at a preprint server, MedRxiv (https://medrxiv.org/cgi/content/short/2023.02.12.23285811v1).

Glossary

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

AVSD, atrioventricular septum defect

CHDs, congenital heart defects

CoA, coarctation of the aorta

EUROCAT, European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies

TGA, transposition of the great arteries

ToF, Tetralogy of Fallot

UVH, univentricular heart

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

References

1. Poston L, Caleyachetty R, Cnattingius S, et al. Preconceptional and maternal obesity: epidemiology and health consequences. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2016;4:1025-36.

2. Hales CM, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Prevalence of Obesity and Severe Obesity Among Adults: United States, 2017-2018. NCHS Data Brief 2020;(360):1-8.

3. Godfrey KM, Reynolds RM, Prescott SL, et al. Influence of maternal obesity on the long-term health of offspring. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2017;5:53-64.

4. Catalano PM, Shankar K. Obesity and pregnancy: mechanisms of short term and long term adverse consequences for mother and child. BMJ 2017;356:j1.

5. Persson M, Cnattingius S, Villamor E, et al. Risk of major congenital malformations in relation to maternal overweight and obesity severity: cohort study of 1.2 million singletons. BMJ 2017;357:j2563.

6. Benjamin EJ, Virani SS, Callaway CW, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2018 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. Circulation 2018;137:e67-e492.

7. Liu Y, Chen S, Zuhlke L, et al. Global birth prevalence of congenital heart defects 1970-2017: updated systematic review and meta-analysis of 260 studies. Int J Epidemiol 2019;48:455-63.

8. Jenkins KJ, Correa A, Feinstein JA, et al. Noninherited risk factors and congenital cardiovascular defects: current knowledge: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young: endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatrics. Circulation 2007;115:2995-3014.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

9. Liu S, Joseph KS, Lisonkova S, et al. Association between maternal chronic conditions and congenital heart defects: a population-based cohort study. Circulation 2013;128:583-9.

10. Ye Z, Wang L, Yang T, et al. Maternal Viral Infection and Risk of Fetal Congenital Heart Diseases: A Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. J Am Heart Assoc 2019;8:e011264.

11. Mamasoula C, Bigirumurame T, Chadwick T, et al. Maternal age and the prevalence of congenital heart defects in Europe, 1995-2015: A register-based study. Birth Defects Res 2023;115:583-94.

12. Pierpont ME, Brueckner M, Chung WK, et al. Genetic Basis for Congenital Heart Disease: Revisited: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation 2018;138:e653-e711.

13. Zhu Y, Chen Y, Feng Y, Yu D, Mo X. Association between maternal body mass index and congenital heart defects in infants: A meta-analysis. Congenit Heart Dis 2018;13:271-81.

14. Zheng Z, Yang T, Chen L, et al. Increased maternal Body Mass Index is associated with congenital heart defects: An updated meta-analysis of observational studies. Int J Cardiol 2018;273:112-20.

15. Hedermann G, Hedley PL, Thagaard IN, et al. Maternal obesity and metabolic disorders associate with congenital heart defects in the offspring: A systematic review. PLoS One 2021;16:e0252343.

16. Bogaerts A, Van den Bergh BRH, Ameye L, et al. Interpregnancy weight change and risk for adverse perinatal outcome. Obstet Gynecol 2013;122:999-1009.

17. Villamor E, Cnattingius S. Interpregnancy weight change and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes: a population-based study. The Lancet 2006;368:1164-70.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

18. Benjamin RH, Ethen MK, Canfield MA, Hua F, Mitchell LE. Association of interpregnancy change in body mass index and spina bifida. Birth Defects Res 2019;111:1389-98.

19. Benjamin RH, Ethen MK, Canfield MA, Mitchell LE. Change in prepregnancy body mass index and gastroschisis. Ann Epidemiol 2020;41:21-7.

20. Villamor E, Sparen P, Cnattingius S. Risk of oral clefts in relation to prepregnancy weight change and interpregnancy interval. Am J Epidemiol 2008;167:1305-11.

21. Ekelund CK, Kopp TI, Tabor A, Petersen OB. The Danish Fetal Medicine database. Clin Epidemiol 2016;8:479-83.

22. Videbech P, Nielsen J. Electronic data processing in the Danish cytogenetic central register and EDP problems of registers in general. Clin Genet 1979;15:137-46.

23. Lynge E, Sandegaard JL, Rebolj M. The Danish National Patient Register. Scand J Public Health 2011;39:30-3.

24. Knudsen LB, Olsen J. The Danish Medical Birth Registry. Dan Med Bull 1998;45:320-3.

25. Pedersen CB, Gotzsche H, Moller JO, Mortensen PB. The Danish Civil Registration System. A cohort of eight million persons. Dan Med Bull 2006;53:441-9.

26. Vedel C, Hjortshoj TD, Jorgensen DS, et al. Prevalence of chromosomal disorders in cases with congenital heart defect: registry-based study from Denmark between 2008 and 2018. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2023;61:40-48.

27. Lytzen R, Vejlstrup N, Bjerre J, et al. Live-Born Major Congenital Heart Disease in Denmark: Incidence, Detection Rate, and Termination of Pregnancy Rate From 1996 to 2013. JAMA Cardiology 2018;3:829-37.

28. Vedel C, Tabor A, Jorgensen FS, et al. Prenatal detection rate of major congenital heart defects in Copenhagen from 2015 to 2018. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2021;58:324-5.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

29. Greenlees R, Neville A, Addor MC, et al. Paper 6: EUROCAT member registries: organization and activities. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 2011;91 Suppl 1:S51-S100.

30. Agergaard P, Hebert A, Bjerre J, Sorensen KM, Olesen C, Ostergaard JR. Children diagnosed with congenital cardiac malformations at the national university departments of pediatric cardiology: positive predictive values of data in the Danish National Patient Registry. Clin Epidemiol 2011;3:61-6.

31. Persson M, Razaz N, Edstedt Bonamy AK, Villamor E, Cnattingius S. Maternal Overweight and Obesity and Risk of Congenital Heart Defects. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;73:44-53.

32. Cai GJ, Sun XX, Zhang L, Hong Q. Association between maternal body mass index and congenital heart defects in offspring: a systematic review. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2014;211:91-117.

33. Block SR, Watkins SM, Salemi JL, et al. Maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index and risk of selected birth defects: evidence of a dose-response relationship. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2013;27:521-31.

34. Agopian AJ, Moulik M, Gupta-Malhotra M, Marengo LK, Mitchell LE. Descriptive epidemiology of non-syndromic complete atrioventricular canal defects. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2012;26:515-24.

35. Helle E, Priest JR. Maternal Obesity and Diabetes Mellitus as Risk Factors for Congenital Heart Disease in the Offspring. J Am Heart Assoc 2020;9:e011541.

36. Best KE, Tennant PW, Bell R, Rankin J. Impact of maternal body mass index on the antenatal detection of congenital anomalies. BJOG 2012;119:1503-11.

37. Uhden M, Knippel AJ, Stressig R, et al. Impact of maternal obesity and maternal overweight on the detection rate of fetal heart defects and the image quality of prenatal echocardiography. Ultraschall Med 2011;32 Suppl 2:E108-14.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

38. Oyen N, Diaz LJ, Leirgul E, et al. Prepregnancy Diabetes and Offspring Risk of Congenital Heart Disease: A Nationwide Cohort Study. Circulation 2016;133:2243-53.

39. Brodwall K, Greve G, Leirgul E, Tell GS, Vollset SE, Oyen N. Recurrence of congenital heart defects among siblings-a nationwide study. Am J Med Genet A 2017;173:1575-85.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Tables

Table 1. *Maternal, pregnancy and offspring characteristics in singleton pregnancies in Denmark 2008-2018*.

Characteristics	Pregnancies with congenital heart defects (EUROCAT), n = 5 498	Severe congenital heart defects (EUROCAT), n = 1 227	Pregnancies without congenital heart defects, n = 541 680
Body mass index, kg/m2	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
< 18.5	371 (6.8)	79 (6.4)	35 792 (6.6)
18.5-<25	2 968 (54.0)	656 (53.5)	318 498 (58.8)
25-<30	1 299 (23.6)	289 (23.6)	117 372 (21.7)
30-<35	531 (9.7)	123 (10.0)	45 927 (8.5)
35-<40	203 (3.7)	53 (4.3)	16 633 (3.1)
≥40	126 (2.3)	27 (2.2)	7 458 (1.4)
Maternal age at conception, years			
<20	125 (2.3)	31 (2.5)	9 371 (1.7)
20-24	773 (14.1)	174 (14.2)	70 701 (13.1)
25-29	1 871 (34.0)	404 (32.9)	184 442 (34.1)
30-34	1 745 (31.7)	403 (32.8)	181 879 (33.6)
35-39	843 (15.3)	188 (15.3)	81 370 (15.0)
≥40	141 (2.6)	27 (2.2)	13 917 (2.8)
Ethnicity			
Caucasian	5 056 (92.0)	1 134 (92.4)	492 378 (90.9)
Non-Caucasian	315 (5.7)	73 (5.9)	35 846 (6.6)
Missing	127 (2.3)	20 (1.6)	13 456 (2.5)
Smoking status			
No	4 724 (85.9)	1 080 (88.0)	478 823 (88.4)
Yes	627 (11.4)	115 (9.4)	50 238 (9.3)
Stopped	92 (1.7)	20 (1.6)	8 765 (1.6)
Missing	55 (1.0)	12 (1.0)	3 854 (0.7)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Conception			
Spontaneous	4 950 (90.0)	1 117 (91.0)	497 936 (91.9)
Assisted	463 (8.4)	97 (7.9)	36 516 (6.8)
Unknown	85 (1.5)	13 (1.1)	7 228 (1.3)
Parity			
0	2 046 (37.2)	416 (33.9)	181 065 (33.4)
1	1 571 (28.6)	367 (29.9)	153 479 (28.3)
2	540 (9.8)	133 (10.8)	53 959 (10.0)
≥3	203 (3.7)	38 (3.1)	16 653 (3.1)
Missing	1 138 (20.7)	273 (22.2)	136 524 (25.2)
Year of estimated due date			
2008	216 (3.9)	57 (4.6)	25 266 (4.7)
2009	477 (8.7)	126 (10.3)	56 468 (10.4)
2010	550 (10.0)	131 (10.7)	57 687 (10.7)
2011	528 (9.7)	120 (9.8)	53 630 (9.9)
2012	534 (9.7)	137 (11.2)	53 512 (9.9)
2013	508 (9.2)	120 (9.8)	51 331 (9.5)
2014	590 (10.7)	141 (11.5)	52 609 (9.7)
2015	642 (11.7)	137 (11.2)	54 215 (10.0)
2016	607 (11.0)	110 (9.0)	57 402 (10.6)
2017	543 (9.9)	101 (8.2)	56 569 (10.4)
2018	303 (5.5)	47 (3.8)	22 991 (4.2)
Outcome pregnancy			
Live births	5 187 (94.3)	1 011 (82.4)	529 291 (97.7)
Stillbirths	41 (0.7)	26 (2.1)	1 582 (0.3)
Abortions and terminated pregnancies after gestational week 12	245 (4.5)	175 (14.3)	4 828 (0.9)
Missing	25 (0.5)	15 (1.2)	5 979 (1.1)
Offspring sex			

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Male	2 771 (50.4)	624 (50.9)	271 571 (50.1)
Female	2 416 (43.9)	387 (31.5)	257 720 (47.6)
Unknown	311 (5.7)	216 (17.6)	12 389 (2.3)

Abbreviations: EUROCAT, European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies (diagnoses listed in Table S1)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 2. *Relative risks of congenital heart defects by maternal BMI in 547 178 offsprings (live births, stillbirths, abortions and terminated pregnancies), Denmark 2008-2018*.

Maternal BMI (kg/m2)	No CHDs	CHDs					Severe CHDs				
	n = 541 680	n = 5 498					n = 1 227				
	Total	Total	Crude RR	95% CI	aRR*	95% CI	Total	Crude RR	95% CI	aRR*	95% CI
< 18.5	35 800	371	1.11	1.00-1.24	1.07	0.95-1.19	79	1.07	0.84-1.34	1.02	0.79-1.30
18.5 - 24.9	318 489	2 968	1.00	ref	1.00	ref	656	1.00	ref	1.00	ref
25 - 29.9	117 373	1 299	1.19	1.11-1.27	1.17	1.09-1.25	289	1.19	1.04-1.37	1.20	1.04-1.38
30 - 34.9	45 926	531	1.24	1.13-1.36	1.23	1.12-1.36	123	1.30	1.07-1.57	1.28	1.04-1.56
35 - 39.9	16 635	203	1.31	1.13-1.50	1.26	1.09-1.46	53	1.55	1.15-2.02	1.47	1.09-1.96
≥ 40	7 457	126	1.80	1.50-2.14	1.81	1.50-2.15	27	1.76	1.17-2.52	1.85	1.23-2.67

Abbreviations: aRR, adjusted relative risk; BMI, body mass index; CHD, congenital heart defects; CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk.

*aRR adjusted for maternal age at conception, smoking status (yes/no/stopped) and year of estimated due date comparing CHD risk in women with early-pregnancy BMI < 18.5 kg/m^2 or BMI ≥ 25 kg/m^2 with women with early-pregnancy BMI in the normal range (BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m^2).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table 3. *Relative risks of congenital heart defects in second pregnancy by interpregnancy maternal BMI changes in 107 627 women with two consecutive singleton pregnancies, Denmark 2008-2018.*

BMI change from 1st to 2nd pregnancy		1st pregnancy	2nd pregnancy	Risk of CHDs in 2nd pregnancy					
Units, kg/m2	No. of women with 1st and 2nd pregnancy (%)	Mean BMI	Mean BMI	n/N	Prevalence of CHDs (%)	Crude RR	95% CI	aRR*	95% CI
<-2	5 941 (5.5)	27.3	24.3	50/5 891	0.8	0.95	0.70-1.26	0.84	0.61-1.12
-2 to <-1	11 154 (10.4)	24.2	22.8	90/11 064	0.8	0.91	0.72-1.14	0.88	0.70-1.09
-1 to <1	54 154 (50.3)	22.8	22.9	479/53 675	0.9	1.00	ref	1.00	ref
1 to <2	16 940 (15.7)	23.8	25.2	156/16 784	0.9	1.04	0.87-1.24	1.01	0.84-1.21
2 to <4	14 010 (13.0)	25.0	27.8	155/13 855	1.1	1.25	1.04-1.50	1.17	0.97-1.40
≥4	5 428 (5.0)	26.3	31.4	68/5 360	1.3	1.42	1.09-1.81	1.27	0.96-1.64
Total	107 627	24.9	25.8	998/106 629	1.0				

Abbreviations: aRR, adjusted relative risk; BMI, body mass index; CHDs, congenital heart defects; CI, confidence interval; n, number of women with their first pregnancy without CHDs and second pregnancy with CHDs in the offspring; N, number of women with first and second pregnancies without CHDs; RR, relative risk.

*aRR adjusted for maternal age at conception at second pregnancy and early-pregnancy BMI at 1st pregnancy.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. *Flowchart*.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CHDs, congenital heart defects

Figure 2. *Crude relative risks for five subtypes of congenital heart defects by maternal BMI, singleton pregnancies in Denmark 2008-2018*.

Body mass index 18.5-24.9 kg/m^2 was considered as the normal range and used as a reference.

Abbreviations: CHDs, congenital heart defects; CI, confidence interval; RR, relative risk; N, number of events


Pregnancies identified from the Danish Fetal Medicine Database, 2008 - 2018:
622 332
75 154 excluded
• 67 duplicates (pregnancies with estimated due date <30 days apart in same woman were collapsed)
• 14 097 multiple pregnancies
• 35 140 with estimated due date before or after study period
• 5 226 pregnancies with a fetus or child with chromosomal anomalies
• 20 518 with missing height or weight data
• 49 women with a height below 120 cm or above 200 cm
• 57 women with a BMI < 12 or > 60 kg/m2
Singleton pregnancies with an estimated due date between June 1, 2008 - June 1, 2018 included in the study cohort:
547 178
(CHDs: 5 498; severe CHDs: 1 227)
Sub-cohort: Singleton pregnancies with their first and second pregnancies
107 627
(CHDs: 998)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.02.12.23285811; this version posted May 19, 2023. The Copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Body mass index	N	RR	95% CI
Univentricular heart			
< 18.5	12	1.25	0.73-2.39
18.5 - 24.9	100	1.00	
25 - 29.9	55	1.40	0.78-2.74
30 - 34.9	26	1.69	0.87-3.47
35 - 39.9	10	1.79	0.76-4.16
≥ 40	3	1.26	0.29-3.96
Transposition of the great arteries			
< 18.5	11	1.00	0.56-1.98
18.5 - 24.9	98	1.00	
25 - 29.9	45	1.25	0.67-2.54
30 - 34.9	14	0.99	0.45-2.24
35 - 39.9	6	1.17	0.40-3.08
≥ 40	0		
Atrioventricular septal defects			
< 18.5	16	1.21	0.69-1.97
18.5 - 24.9	118	1.00	
25 - 29.9	58	1.33	0.97-1.82
30 - 34.9	28	1.65	1.07-2.44
35 - 39.9	14	2.27	1.25-3.81
≥ 40	11	4.17	2.12-7.39
Coactation of the aorta			
< 18.5	14	0.94	0.52-1.57
18.5 - 24.9	133	1.00	
25 - 29.9	65	1.33	0.98-1.78
30 - 34.9	23	1.20	0.75-1.83
35 - 39.9	9	1.30	0.61-2.40
≥ 40	7	2.36	0.998-4.67
Tetralogy of Fallot			
< 18.5	10	1.24	0.60-2.28
18.5 - 24.9	72	1.00	
25 - 29.9	24	0.90	0.56-1.41
30 - 34.9	13	1.25	0.66-2.18
35 - 39.9	8	2.13	0.94-4.15
≥ 40	2	1.25	0.20-3.96