Full Title: Maternal adverse childhood experiences on child growth and development in

rural Pakistan: an observational cohort study

Short Title: Maternal adverse childhood experiences on child growth and development

in rural Pakistan

Authors: Esther O. Chung[1,2*], Elissa Scherer[1,#a], Katherine LeMasters[1,2], Lisa Bates[3],

Ashley Hagaman[4], Brooke S. Staley[1], Lauren Zalla[1,#b], Siham Sikander[5,6], Joanna

Maselko[1,2]

10
11 **Affiliations**:
12 [1] Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of
13 North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States
14
15 [2] Carolina Population Center, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States
16
17 [3] Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University,
18 New York, New York, United States
19
20 [4] Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, School of Medicine, Yale University,
21 New Haven, Connecticut, United States
22
23 [5] Global Institute of Human Development, Shifa Tameer-e-Millat University, Islamabad,
24 Pakistan
25
26 [6] Department of Primary Care and Mental Health, University of Liverpool, Liverpool,
27 United Kingdom
28
29
30 [#a] Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Cambridge,
31 Massachusetts, United States
32
33 [#b] Department of Epidemiology, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins
34 University, Baltimore, Maryland, United States
35
36
37 * Corresponding author
38 Email: echung@unc.edu (EC)

Abstract

Maternal adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) have been associated with negative impacts on children. However, not all types or levels of adversity are similarly deleterious and research from diverse contexts is needed to better understand why and how intergenerational transmission of adversity occurs. We examined the role of maternal ACEs on child growth and development at 36 months postpartum in rural Pakistan. We used data from 877 mother-child dyads in the Bachpan Cohort, a birth cohort study. Maternal ACEs were captured using an adapted version of the ACE-International Questionnaire. Outcomes included child growth, fine motor and receptive language development, and socioemotional and behavioral development at 36 months of age. To estimate the associations between maternal ACEs and child outcomes, we used multivariable generalized linear models with inverse probability weights to account for sampling and loss to follow-up. Over half of mothers in our sample (58%) experienced at least one ACE. Emotional abuse, physical abuse, and emotional neglect were the most commonly reported. We found null relationships between the number of maternal ACEs and child growth. Maternal ACEs were associated with higher fine motor and receptive language development and worse socioemotional and behavioral outcomes. Maternal ACE domains had similarly varying relationships with child outcomes. Our findings highlight the complexity of intergenerational associations between maternal ACEs and children's growth and development. Further work is necessary to examine these relationships across cultural contexts and identify moderating factors to mitigate potential negative intergenerational effects.

Introduction

Adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) refer to severely stressful exposures or experiences that occur in childhood, such as abuse, neglect, violence between caregivers, and peer or community violence [1]. The effects of ACEs start early in life and continue throughout the lifecourse, beginning with delayed child development and progressing to poor psychological and physical health outcomes in adulthood [2,3]. ACEs have been linked to numerous adult health outcomes such as cardiometabolic disease, cancer, mortality [4–6], and several negative psychological outcomes including depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, anxiety, and suicide [7–10]. Severe early life stressors can lead to observable changes in brain structure and function, which has potentially permanent effects on development [11,12], and can alter physiological systems, such as the stress response axes, immune functioning and inflammation [13].

While there is considerable evidence of the harmful impact of accumulated ACEs across an individual's life course, intergenerational effects are an understudied area of concern. The intergenerational transmission of maternal childhood adversity may occur through biological embedding during pregnancy as well as through maternal mental health and parenting related pathways [14]. For example, stress-induced epigenetic alterations during a mother's childhood can alter the maternal-placental-fetal endocrine, immune, and inflammatory stress biology. This, in turn, may affect the fetal brain and ultimately, the child's physical, cognitive, and socioemotional developmental trajectories. Maternal ACEs may also impact the quality of the postnatal environment [14] and, indeed, maternal anxiety, depression, and parenting practices are key mediators between maternal ACEs and child socioemotional development [15–18].

In relation to parental ACEs and early childhood development, the most studied

domain is socioemotional development. Worse socioemotional functioning, evident

through greater externalizing and internalizing problems, has been shown to exist for

children born to mothers with greater exposure to ACEs in high-income countries

[15,19–21]. These socioemotional problems include higher anxiety, aggression,

hyperactivity, and negative affect in the first three years of life [9,22,23].

Less research has been conducted examining the impact of maternal childhood

adversity and the next generation's physical growth and cognitive development. Of the

existing research, maternal ACEs have been linked to low birth weight and shorter

gestational age [24], lower overall development at 12 months [25], lower parental-rated

physical health at 18 months [26], and decreased problem solving, gross motor, fine

motor, and communication skills at 24 months [27]. All of these studies were conducted

in the United States (US) or Canada, and few studies have examined the

intergenerational effects of maternal ACE exposure in low- and middle- income

countries (LMICs). Addressing ACEs in LMICs is critically important for several reasons.

Adversity in childhood is more prevalent in LMICs, resources to address ACEs in LMICs

are limited, and the majority of the world's population resides in LMICs [28]. Finally,

understanding the intergenerational effects of maternal ACEs across cultural contexts

will help to identify vulnerable populations for intervention. To our knowledge, we are

only aware of three studies assessing the intergenerational effects of maternal ACEs in

LMICs. In one recent study, researchers reported that maternal ACEs were associated

with poor fetal attachment during pregnancy across eight LMICs; however, the effects

varied across cultural contexts [29]. Researchers reported positive effect estimates of

maternal ACEs on fetal attachment in Jamaica, Pakistan, Philippines, and South Africa,

no effect in Sri Lanka, and negative effect estimates in Ghana, Romania, and Vietnam.

Two other studies found maternal ACEs were associated with worse socioemotional

development among older children (aged 2-18 years) in Kenya [17,18]. The

heterogeneity of results from these studies underscore the importance of studying the

intergenerational effects of maternal childhood adversity across diverse contexts. These

studies also did not investigate how maternal ACEs affected early child growth and

development. Therefore, the present study examined the role of maternal ACEs in child

growth and development at 36 months postpartum in rural Pakistan.

Methods

Study Population and Participants

We used data from the Bachpan Cohort, a birth cohort study based in Kallar

Syedan, a rural subdistrict in Rawalpindi, Punjab Province [30,31]. Kallar Syedan has a

population of roughly 200,000 people and the average household size is six individuals

[32]. Literacy rates for men and women in rural Punjab province are 70% and 53%,

respectively. Women in our sample had higher educational attainment compared to the

Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) rates in rural areas of the Punjab province (50%

in our sample achieved secondary school or higher vs. 20% in the DHS) [33].

Pregnant women in their third trimester were invited for depression screening

from 2014-2016. Women who screened positive for depression were invited to

participate in the trial portion of the study, and a random sample of a third of women

who screened negative for depression were asked to participate in the cohort only

portion, which created a population-representative sample. Mother-child dyads were

enrolled in their third trimester and followed up at 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months

postpartum. Of the 1,154 women assessed at baseline, 265 were lost to follow-up by 36

months and 12 were missing outcome measurements, resulting in 877 mother-child

dyads with complete data.

Exposure

We measured maternal ACEs using an adapted version of the ACE-International

Questionnaire (ACE-IQ) [34], a self-reported retrospective measure that has been

validated in other low-resource contexts (S1 Table) [35,36]. The ACE-IQ was adapted

from the original ACE measure developed in the US [37] to include items on peer

violence, exposure to collective violence and witnessing community violence. Due to

potential risks to the participant and expected underreporting, we removed the sexual

abuse questions. At 36 months postpartum, mothers retrospectively reported their

experiences of 12 adverse exposures in childhood.

We operationalized maternal ACEs in multiple ways. We created a summed

score ranging from 0-12, a categorical variable with the number of experiences (0, 1, 2,

3, 4 or more), and domain-specific indicators for neglect (emotional and physical), family

psychological distress (alcohol and/or drug abuser in the household; incarcerated

household member; someone depressed, mentally ill, institutionalized or suicidal), home

violence (physical abuse; emotional abuse; household member treated violently), and

community violence (bullying; community violence; collective violence). For each

domain-specific indicator, women received a "Yes" if they experienced any of the ACEs

within each domain. Twenty women responded "Do not remember" to some ACE

questions and these were recoded as "No".

Outcomes

Our main outcomes were child growth and development (fine motor, receptive

language, and socioemotional) at 36 months. We used the World Health Organization's

standards to measure physical growth z-scores using length-for-age (LAZ), weight-for-

age (WAZ), and weight-for-length (WLZ) [38]. We measured fine motor and receptive

language development using the Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development [39].

Scaled scores were calculated using a reference population in the US by the child's age

group; scores range from 1-19 with a mean of 10 and standard deviation of three.

Socioemotional development was captured using the Ages and Stages Questionnaire:

Socioemotional (ASQ:SE) [40]. The ASQ:SE is a 30-item parent-reported measure,

ranging from 0-270, with higher scores indicating worse child socioemotional

development. Behavioral outcomes were assessed using the parent-reported Strengths

and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) [41]. The SDQ covers 25 questions in five

domains: hyperactivity, emotional, conduct, and peer problems, and pro-social

behaviors. A total score is calculated by summing the first four domains and ranges

from 0-40, with higher scores indicating worse behavioral outcomes.

Confounders

We included the following baseline confounders informed by a directed acyclic

graph (DAG) [42,43] to estimate the total effect of maternal ACEs on early child growth

and development: maternal age, education, and family history of mental illness. We

aimed to estimate the total effect of maternal ACEs on child outcomes; therefore, we did

not control for potential variables, such as maternal depression or child health, which we

identified as mediators using the DAG. We used maternal education as a proxy for

maternal childhood socioeconomic status and operationalized it as a categorical

variable (none, primary or middle school, secondary education or higher). We asked

mothers to report if anyone in her immediate natal family had an existing mental illness

and used it as a proxy for the mother's family history of mental illness.

Statistical Analysis

We constructed multivariable generalized linear models to assess the

relationship between maternal ACEs and child growth and development. In addition to

confounders, we also included child gender, trial arm, and assessor in all models to

improve precision [44]. We used cluster robust standard errors to account for clustering

by village, and cluster-specific sampling weights to account for unequal sampling

probabilities by baseline depression. In a given cluster, all non-depressed women were

weighted by the inverse of their sampling fraction (i.e., the proportion that were

screened for depression at recruitment and subsequently enrolled), and depressed

women were each given a weight of 1. Therefore, non-depressed women were

upweighted to account for their lower probability of selection into the study.

To account for informative censoring between baseline and 36 months

postpartum, we used stabilized inverse probability of censoring weights (IPCW) [45].

IPCW are estimated as the inverse of the probability that a woman was not censored at

36 months, based on observed characteristics. Women who were not loss to follow-up

were upweighted to represent those who were lost to follow-up. In the IPCW model, we

included baseline confounders (maternal age, education, and family history of mental

illness) and baseline characteristics associated with censoring using a p-value <0.10

(maternal depression, child's grandmother co-residence, number of people per room,

and nuclear vs. joint family), with household asset scores included to increase precision

[46]. IPCW were stabilized by using the marginal probability of being observed in the

numerator. Sampling weights and IPCW were multiplied to create a final weight used in

all models [47]. The final weight was also used to estimate means and frequencies in

descriptive tables; numbers were unweighted. Analyses were conducted in Stata 14 and

R.

Ethics approval

The Bachpan Cohort study received ethical approval from the institutional review

boards at the Human Development Research Foundation (IRB/1017/2021), Duke

University, and the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (#20-1433). Written

informed consent, or witnessed informed consent if the participant was illit- erate, was

obtained before study participation.

Results

Descriptive statistics

There were 877 women and children in our analytic sample (Table 1). After

applying weights, on average, women were 27 years old and half had completed

secondary school education or higher at baseline. Roughly 28% of mothers were

diagnosed with depression at baseline and 9% reported having a family history of

mental illness. The majority of households were joint or extended families and 68% of

children were co-residing with a grandmother. Almost half of the children were female

and mean LAZ and WAZ were -0.99 (SD=1.10) and -0.96 (SD=0.99), respectively.

Mean fine motor and receptive language scaled scores were slightly higher than the

average of 10 (10.43 [2.79]; 11.45 [4.03], respectively). Mean ASQ-SE and SDQ scores

were 38.83 (SD=17.03) and 14.02 (SD=6.30), respectively.

Table 1. Sample characteristics, Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan, n=877

	Mean or N	SD or %	Range
Maternal characteristics (baseline)	26.60	4.29	18 - 45
Maternal age			
Maternal education			
None	124	13.60	
Primary or middle school	338	36.27	
Secondary school or higher	413	50.14	
Natal family history of mental illness	95	8.93	
Depression diagnosis (SCID)	314	27.56	
Household characteristics (baseline)			
People per room	2.37	1.87	0 - 23
Nuclear family (vs. joint or extended)	111	13.16	
Grandmother coresidence	609	68.29	
Trial arm: Intervention (vs. control)	426	51.68	
Household assets			
Lowest	165	17.58	
Lower middle	176	18.37	
Middle	184	19.68	
Upper middle	173	21.99	
Highest	177	22.38	
Child characteristics (36 months)			
Gender: Female	432	48.37	
Length-for-age z-score	-0.99	1.10	-4.59 - 2.87
Weight-for-age z-score	-0.96	0.99	-4.64 - 4.23
Weight-for-height z-score	-0.61	1.12	-5.08 - 5.65
BSITD receptive language scaled score	10.43	2.79	5 - 19
BSITD fine motor scaled score	11.45	4.03	2 - 19
ASQ-SE total score	38.83	17.03	10 - 170
SDQ total difficulties score	14.02	6.30	3 - 34

* Sampling probability and inverse probability weights were used to calculate means, SDs, and percentages.
Abbreviations: Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development (BSITD); Ages and Stages Questionnaire-Socioemotional (ASQ-SE); Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ)

After applying weights, over 58% of women (n=512) experienced at least one

ACE (Table 2). Among the 12 ACE questions, emotional abuse (n=290, 32%), physical

abuse (n=206, 23%), emotional neglect (n=131, 15%), and witnessing a household

member being treated violently (n=128, 15%) were the most common. With respect to

ACE domains, more than one in three women were exposed to violence in their

childhood home (n=344, 39%) and one in five experienced emotional or physical

neglect during their childhood (n=170, 19%). Roughly 16% (n=141) experienced family

psychological distress. Community violence was far less common (n=63, 6.9%).

Table 2. Maternal Adverse Childhood Experiences (n=877)*

	N	%
Number of ACEs		
None	363	41.49
1	235	26.86
2	137	15.66
3	81	9.26
4 or more	59	6.74
Neglect		
Physical neglect	49	5.60
Emotional neglect	131	14.97
Family psychological distress		
One or no parents, parental separation or divorce	96	10.97
Alcohol/drug abuser in the household	23	2.63
Someone chronically depressed, mentally ill	21	2.40
Incarcerated household member	16	1.83
Home violence		
Household member treated violently	128	14.63
Physical abuse	206	23.54
Emotional abuse	290	33.14
Community violence		
Bullying	12	1.37
Community violence	59	6.74
Collective violence	4	0.46
ACE domains**		
Neglect	170	19.43
Family psychological distress	141	16.11
Home violence	344	39.31
Community violence	63	7.20
	Mean	**SD**
Total number of ACEs (range: 0-10)	2.37	1.38

* Sampling probability weights and inverse probability weights were used.

** Domains were binary categories describing experience of any individual ACEs.

245   We highlight the effects of maternal ACEs on child growth and development

246   below. Full estimates and precision are presented in S2 and S3 Tables.

Growth outcomes

Maternal ACEs and child growth were not strongly associated (Fig 1, S2 Table);

our estimates were noisy, however, we found some patterns. There were small,

negative relationships between maternal ACEs and child LAZ (Fig 1A). We found a

negative trend with child LAZ as maternal ACEs increased with four or more maternal

ACEs were associated with a 0.13 SD decrease in child LAZ (95% CI: -0.42, 0.15).

Except for the neglect domain, family distress, home violence, and community violence

had negative associations with child LAZ (Fig 1A). We found largely null relationships

between maternal ACEs and child WAZ (Fig 1B); however, neglect was positively

associated with WAZ (0.25, 95% CI: -0.04, 0.53). Maternal ACEs and WLZ were slightly

positively associated (Fig 1C). Any maternal ACE compared to none was associated

with a 0.15 SD increase in WLZ (95% CI: -0.02, 0.32). There was no clear stepwise

trend between incremental ACEs and WLZ; however, four or more maternal ACEs were

associated with a 0.23 SD higher WLZ (95% CI: -0.06, 0.52). Maternal childhood

experience of neglect and community violence were also associated with a 0.23

increase in child WLZ (95% CI: 0.01, 0.45; -0.05, 0.52, respectively).

Fig 1. Maternal ACEs and child growth, Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan (n=877). We

used weighted generalized linear models with cluster robust standard errors. Sampling

and inverse probability censoring weights were combined. All models controlled for

baseline maternal age, maternal education, trial arm, assessor, and child gender.

Fine motor and receptive language outcomes

We found positive associations between maternal ACEs and children's fine motor

and receptive language scores (Fig 2, S3 Table). Any maternal experience of ACEs was

associated with both higher fine motor and receptive language scores compared to no

maternal ACE. There was not a clear stepwise trend in incremental maternal ACE

exposure and fine motor scores (Fig 2A). In contrast, we saw a small stepwise trend

where, compared to no maternal ACEs, experience of two, three, and four or more

ACEs was associated with incremental increases in children's receptive language

scores (Fig 2B). Maternal experiences of neglect and family distress during her

childhood were associated with higher child fine motor and receptive language scores

(Fig 2). Home violence was not associated with fine motor or receptive language

scores. Community violence was associated with decreased fine motor scores and

increased receptive language scores; however, these estimates were imprecise with

large confidence limit differences (Fig 2).

Fig 2. Maternal ACEs and child fine motor and receptive language, Bachpan

Cohort, Pakistan (n=877). We used weighted generalized linear models with cluster

robust standard errors. Sampling and inverse probability censoring weights were

combined. All models controlled for baseline maternal age, maternal education, trial

arm, assessor, and child gender.

Socioemotional outcomes

Maternal ACEs were associated with worse child socioemotional and behavioral

development; higher ASQ:SE and SDQ scores suggest greater socioemotional

problems (Fig 3, S3 Table). Compared to children of mothers who experienced no ACE,

children whose mothers experienced any ACE scored 3.30 points higher on the

ASQ:SE (95% CI: 0.98, 5.62). We found a similar effect on SDQ; however, the mean

difference was substantially smaller (Fig 2B, MD=0.59, 95% CI: -0.27, 1.44). There was

an increasing trend between incremental maternal ACE exposure on ASQ:SE, but not

for SDQ (Fig 3A and 3B). Maternal experience of all four domains (neglect, family

distress, home violence, and community violence) were associated with higher ASQ:SE

scores; however, our confidence intervals were wide with confidence limit differences

ranging from 3.95 to 7.43 (Fig 3A). For SDQ, maternal childhood experience of home

violence was associated with higher scores, while maternal childhood experience of

neglect and family distress had small negative associations with child SDQ.

Fig 3. Maternal ACEs and child socioemotional and behavioral outcomes,

Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan (n=877). We used weighted generalized linear models with

cluster robust standard errors. Sampling and inverse probability censoring weights were

combined. All models controlled for baseline maternal age, maternal education, trial

arm, assessor, and child gender.

Discussion

The goal of our analysis was to estimate the effects of maternal ACEs on her

child's development at 36 months of age. Over half of the mothers in our sample in rural

Pakistan experienced at least one ACE. Maternal ACEs were not associated with child

growth. We found an unexpected relationship between maternal ACEs and child fine

motor and receptive language development, where maternal childhood experience of

adversity was associated with more positive child development. Maternal ACEs were

associated with worse child socioemotional and behavioral outcomes.

Mothers most commonly reported experiences of emotional abuse, physical

abuse, emotional neglect, or seeing a household member treated violently during their

childhood. The prevalence of any maternal ACEs in our sample (58%) was lower than in

other LMICs using the same measure (ACE-IQ, roughly 80% in Saudi Arabia, Vietnam,

Tunisia, and Lebanon) [48]. Other studies in Pakistan have also reported lower levels of

ACEs. For example, one study of Pakistani university students found the mean number

of ACEs among women was 0.40 (SD=0.92) [49], compared with our mean of 2.37

(SD=1.38). The authors of that study emphasized that in a collectivist and conservative

context like Pakistan, the role of the family is critical even throughout adulthood, making

it especially difficult to disclose sensitive topics, such as child maltreatment and family

distress. Another study of pregnant women in Pakistan also reported a similar mean

number of maternal ACEs in Pakistan (3.07, SD=2.37) as our study (2.37, SD=1.38)

[29]. This study also found a wide range of mean ACEs across eight LMICs (from 2.54

in Vietnam to 6.42 in South Africa) [29], thus underscoring the importance of examining

diverse cultural and contextual factors when assessing ACEs. Specific to measurement,

another reason for the lower prevalence of ACEs in our study may be due to our

exclusion of the sexual abuse questions, which was done given potential risks to

participants and expected underreporting of disclosure. Overall, cross-country variation

in mean number of reported ACEs may therefore be due to both differential reporting

and true variation in ACE exposures.

In our study, maternal ACEs were not associated with child growth outcomes at

36 months. If a key mechanism through which maternal ACEs influence growth is

through the intrauterine environment in pregnancy, it is possible that any such effects

would be observed closer to birth. The potential negative effects of maternal ACEs on

children's physical development could have also been remedied between birth and 36

months through moderating factors such as improved nutrition. During the first three

years of life, other external factors might have stronger influences on child growth. In

low-resource contexts, other forms of early life adversity not captured by ACEs, such as

extreme poverty, may have a greater effect on children's physical development than

maternal ACEs.

Notably, maternal ACEs were associated with better children's fine motor and

receptive language scores. There is limited literature examining the intergenerational

transmission of maternal ACEs on children's overall developmental outcomes. Existing

research from high-income countries are mixed. In two studies from the US and

Canada, maternal ACEs were associated with worse overall development and greater

risk of developmental delay [25,27], while another US study found no relationship

between maternal ACEs and child development [50]. We found no prior study

investigating maternal ACEs and overall child development in LMICs. While more

research is needed to replicate these findings, the idea of post-traumatic growth may, in

theory, explain a positive relationship between maternal ACEs and better child

development. Post-traumatic growth is defined as a positive psychological change

following traumatic events [51–53]. Prior work has found that individuals who

experience past adversity have increased empathy and altruism for others [54–56]. In

support of this hypothesis, Brown et al (2021) found a positive effect of maternal ACEs

on fetal attachment among Pakistani mothers, although they did not examine child

development. Importantly, the exact mechanisms through which this would be linked to

improved developmental outcomes remains unknown. Assessing the ways in which

post-traumatic growth among mothers who experienced ACEs affects health and social

behaviors across the lifecourse would be invaluable.

369   In contrast to the findings with overall development, maternal ACEs in our

sample were associated with worse child socioemotional development and behavioral

outcomes. This finding is more consistent with the existing literature. In a systematic

review, authors found maternal ACEs were associated with child externalizing behavior

problems and the majority of included studies found relationships with child internalizing

problems [57]. Similar results have been found in Kenya among older aged children (2-

18 years) [17,18]. These links can potentially be explained through both biological and

psychological pathways. Potential biological mechanisms suggest maternal experience

of childhood adversity may influence maternal HPA-axis functioning during pregnancy,

leading to changes in the gestational environment and the development of fetal stress

response systems [58,59]. The hormonal changes during pregnancy may themselves,

in turn, influence the woman's mental health and health behaviors [60,61], which can

then affect infant stress regulation and behavioral difficulties [62]. Psychosocial

mechanisms posit that maternal ACEs can lead to poor attachment and development of

healthy relationships between mothers and children [57]. Mothers who experience

childhood trauma may be predisposed to mental health conditions such as anxiety or

depression, and poor maternal mental health has been linked to increased parenting

stress, impaired mother-child interactions, and harsher maternal parenting practices

[63]. Furthermore, in the context of LMICs, economic and parenting resources may be

scarce and this may exacerbate stress in the home environment. This, in turn, may lead

to suboptimal caregiving (lack of warmth and responsiveness) and ultimately, worse

child socioemotional development and behavior outcomes.

Finally, maternal ACE domains (Neglect, Family distress, Home violence, and

Community violence) had varying relationships with child development. Maternal

childhood exposure to neglect was associated with better child growth, fine motor, and

receptive language development, but worse child socioemotional development.

Maternal childhood experience of family distress, home violence, and community

violence had varied relationships with child outcomes. It is important to note that

deprivation (absence of cognitive and social inputs) and threat (presence of threatening

experiences) likely have distinct influences on neural development [64], and research

has shown differential impacts on cognitive and emotional outcomes in children as well

as pregnant women [65–67]. As previously mentioned, post-traumatic growth and

compensatory parenting practices may help to explain the positive relationships of past

maternal neglect on her own child's outcomes [51,52,68]. Positive experiences, such as

supportive relationships and prosocial activities, can help to mitigate the impact of ACEs

by serving as a promotive resilience factor [68]. Prior research demonstrates that adults

who had positive childhood experiences are more likely to have resilient functioning and

more compensatory behaviors (e.g. less harsh parenting attitudes, greater affection)

even if they experienced ACEs [69–73]. Mothers who experienced neglect in their

childhood and had positive experiences concurrently or later in childhood may develop

resiliency and in turn provide more resources and improved parenting for their children.

Future research in LMICs should focus on identifying differential impacts of ACE

domains on the next generation and examine whether and how positive experiences

can mitigate the intergenerational transmission.

Although such explorations are beyond the scope of the current paper, important

factors, such as maternal depression and parenting practices, may mediate the

relationship between maternal ACEs and child outcomes. Previous work from our group

demonstrated that ACEs were associated with greater depressive symptoms and major

depressive episodes [74]. Studies in Kenya found that maternal mental health mediated

the relationship between maternal ACEs and child mental health problems [17,18]. A

study among pregnant mothers across eight LMICs found prenatal depression fully

mediated the effect of maternal ACEs on fetal attachment using the full sample [29].

However, at the country-level, direct effects varied; in Vietnam, maternal ACEs directly

and negatively affected fetal attachment and maternal prenatal depression did not

mediate the relationship [29]. Other caregivers, such as fathers and grandmothers, are

also important to consider. Their contributions may buffer any negative effects of

maternal ACEs on children by improving maternal social support.

Strengths and limitations

Our study had several strengths. We used standard, validated measures of child

outcomes that have been previously used in our study context. Moreover, we estimated

the total effect of maternal ACEs on the next generation's development as opposed to

controlling for potential mediators, such as adult socioeconomic status or depression

status, which may lead to biased estimates. Our study also had limitations that require

discussion. First, although we used the ACE-IQ to capture maternal ACEs, it is a

retrospective measure, which is vulnerable to recall bias. In the context of this study, it

may be that depressed women are more likely to report ACEs in order to understand

their depressive symptoms, resulting in potential differential misclassification. Moreover,

the ACE-IQ may not fully measure adversities during childhood in this context. Second,

while we controlled for maternal childhood SES and maternal family history of mental

illness, we used proxies (maternal education and natal family mental illness history,

respectively), and residual confounding is possible. Third, 265 women and children

were lost to follow-up at 36 months postpartum; however, we used stabilized IPCW to

account for informative censoring. When we excluded these weights, the estimates and

precision did not substantially change, indicating that our results were not sensitive to

weighting (S4 Table). Finally, there may be unmeasured confounders that affect this

relationship, such as how mothers were raised (i.e., the caregiving practices of the

mother's parents).

Conclusion

Our findings suggest the intergenerational transmission of childhood adversity is

complex and may differentially influence physical, cognitive, and socioemotional

domains of child development. To improve child health and development, it is necessary

to understand maternal life histories, including her childhood experiences, rather than

only capturing her experiences during pregnancy and postpartum. Future work should

examine the intergenerational relationship of childhood adversity across cultural

contexts in order to understand specific risk and protective factors and develop tailored

interventions. Identifying moderating factors, such as promoting maternal responsive

caregiving and improving maternal social support via other caregivers, can help inform

strategies to disrupt the intergenerational cycle of adversity that is responsive to

traumatic histories.

Acknowledgements

The authors are deeply grateful to the women, children, and communities of the Bachpan cohort. We would also like to thank the team at the Human Development Research Foundation (HDRF) including Rakshanda Liaqat, Tayyiba Abbasi, Maria Sharif, Samina Bilal, Quratul-Ain, Anum Nisar, Amina Bibi, Shaffaq Zufi- qar, Sonia Khan, Ahmed Zaidi, Ikhlaq Ahmad, and Najia Atif for their meaningful contributions to the study's design and implementation. We also thank the larger Bachpan and SHARE CHILD study teams. We are grateful for Paul Zivich's advice and guidance for implementing inverse probability weights.

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preventing Adverse Childhood Experiences. Atlanta, GA: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2019.

2. Cprek SE, Williamson LH, McDaniel H, Brase R, Williams CM. Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) and Risk of Childhood Delays in Children Ages 1–5. Child Adolesc Soc Work J. 2020;37(1):15–24.

3. Shonkoff JP, Garner AS, Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of C, Family H, Committee on Early Childhood A, Dependent C, et al. The lifelong effects of early childhood adversity and toxic stress. Pediatrics. 2012 Jan;129(1):e232-46.

4. Brown DW, Anda RF, Tiemeier H, Felitti VJ, Edwards VJ, Croft JB, et al. Adverse childhood experiences and the risk of premature mortality. Am J Prev Med. 2009 Nov;37(5):389–96.

5. Brown MJ, Thacker LR, Cohen SA. Association between adverse childhood experiences and diagnosis of cancer. PloS One. 2013;8(6):e65524.

6. Jakubowski KP, Cundiff JM, Matthews KA. Cumulative childhood adversity and adult cardiometabolic disease: A meta-analysis. Health Psychol Off J Div Health Psychol Am Psychol Assoc. 2018 Aug;37(8):701–15.

7. Atzl VM, Narayan AJ, Rivera LM, Lieberman AF. Adverse childhood experiences and prenatal mental health: Type of ACEs and age of maltreatment onset. J Fam

489  Psychol JFP J Div Fam Psychol Am Psychol Assoc Div 43. 2019 Apr;33(3):304–

490  14.

491 8. Chapman DP, Whitfield CL, Felitti VJ, Dube SR, Edwards VJ, Anda RF. Adverse

492  childhood experiences and the risk of depressive disorders in adulthood. J Affect

493  Disord. 2004 Oct 15;82(2):217–25.

494 9. Letourneau N, Dewey D, Kaplan BJ, Ntanda H, Novick J, Thomas JC, et al.

495  Intergenerational transmission of adverse childhood experiences via maternal

496  depression and anxiety and moderation by child sex. J Dev Orig Health Dis. 2019

497  Feb;10(1):88–99.

498 10. Pournaghash-Tehrani SS, Zamanian H, Amini-Tehrani M. The Impact of Relational

499  Adverse Childhood Experiences on Suicide Outcomes During Early and Young

500  Adulthood. J Interpers Violence. 2019 May 29;886260519852160.

501 11. Juster RP, McEwen BS, Lupien SJ. Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and

502  impact on health and cognition. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2010;35(1):2–16.

503 12. McEwen BS, Gianaros PJ. Central role of the brain in stress and adaptation: links

504  to socioeconomic status, health, and disease. Ann N Acad Sci. 2010;1186:190.

505 13. Berens AE, Jensen SKG, Nelson CA. Biological embedding of childhood adversity:

506  from physiological mechanisms to clinical implications. BMC Med. 2017 Jul

507  20;15(1):135.

14. Buss C, Entringer S, Moog NK, Toepfer P, Fair DA, Simhan HN, et al.

Intergenerational Transmission of Maternal Childhood Maltreatment Exposure:

Implications for Fetal Brain Development. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry.

2017 May;56(5):373–82.

15. Cooke JE, Racine N, Plamondon A, Tough S, Madigan S. Maternal adverse

childhood experiences, attachment style, and mental health: Pathways of

transmission to child behavior problems. Child Abuse Negl. 2019 Jul;93:27–37.

16. Shih EW, Ahmad SI, Bush NR, Roubinov D, Tylavsky F, Graff C, et al. A path

model examination: maternal anxiety and parenting mediate the association

between maternal adverse childhood experiences and children's internalizing

behaviors. Psychol Med. 2021 May 18;1–11.

17. Kumar M, Amugune B, Madeghe B, Wambua GN, Osok J, Polkonikova-Wamoto A,

et al. Mechanisms associated with maternal adverse childhood experiences on

offspring's mental health in Nairobi informal settlements: a mediational model

testing approach. BMC Psychiatry. 2018 Dec;18(1):1–10.

18. Rieder AD, Roth SL, Musyimi C, Ndetei D, Sassi RB, Mutiso V, et al. Impact of

maternal adverse childhood experiences on child socioemotional function in rural

Kenya: Mediating role of maternal mental health. Dev Sci. 2019 Sep;22(5):e12833.

19. McDonnell CG, Valentino K. Intergenerational Effects of Childhood Trauma:

Evaluating Pathways Among Maternal ACEs, Perinatal Depressive Symptoms, and

Infant Outcomes. Child Maltreat. 2016 Nov;21(4):317–26.

20. Hetherington E, McDonal S, Tough S. Maternal Adverse Childhood Experiences

(ACEs) and child development at 5 years. Paediatr Child Health.

2019;24(Supplement_2):e36–e36.

21. Schickedanz A, Halfon N, Sastry N, Chung PJ. Parents' adverse childhood

experiences and their children's behavioral health problems. Pediatrics.

2018;142(2):e20180023.

22. McDonald SW, Madigan S, Racine N, Benzies K, Tomfohr L, Tough S. Maternal

adverse childhood experiences, mental health, and child behaviour at age 3: The

all our families community cohort study. Prev Med. 2019 Jan;118:286–94.

23. Treat AE, Sheffield-Morris A, Williamson AC, Hays-Grudo J. Adverse childhood

experiences and young children's social and emotional development: the role of

maternal depression, self-efficacy, and social support. Early Child Dev Care

[Internet]. 2019 Jan 1 [cited 2020 Dec 16]; Available from:

https://scholars.okstate.edu/en/publications/adverse-childhood-experiences-and-

young-childrens-social-and-emot

24. Smith MV, Gotman N, Yonkers KA. Early Childhood Adversity and Pregnancy

Outcomes. Matern Child Health J. 2016 Apr;20(4):790–8.

25. Racine N, Plamondon A, Madigan S, McDonald S, Tough S. Maternal Adverse

Childhood Experiences and Infant Development. Pediatrics.

2018;141(4):e20172495.

26. Madigan S, Wade M, Plamondon A, Maguire JL, Jenkins JM. Maternal Adverse

Childhood Experience and Infant Health: Biomedical and Psychosocial Risks as

Intermediary Mechanisms. J Pediatr. 2017 Aug;187:282-289.e1.

27. Folger AT, Eismann EA, Stephenson NB, Shapiro RA, Macaluso M, Brownrigg ME,

et al. Parental Adverse Childhood Experiences and Offspring Development at 2

Years of Age. Pediatrics. 2018;141(4):e20172826.

28. Mikton C, Butchart A. Current state of the global public health response to child

maltreatment and intimate partner violence. In 2013.

29. Brown RH, Eisner M, Walker S, Tomlinson M, Fearon P, Dunne MP, et al. The

impact of maternal adverse childhood experiences and prenatal depressive

symptoms on foetal attachment: Preliminary evidence from expectant mothers

across eight middle-income countries. J Affect Disord. 2021 Dec 1;295:612–9.

30. Turner EL, Sikander S, Bangash O, Zaidi A, Bates L, Gallis J, et al. The

effectiveness of the peer-delivered Thinking Healthy PLUS (THPP+) Program for

maternal depression and child socioemotional development in Pakistan: study

protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2016 Sep 8;17(1):442.

31. Maselko J, Sikander S, Turner E, Bates L, Ahmad I, Atif N, et al. Effectiveness of a

peer-delivered, psychosocial intervention on maternal depression and child

development at 3 years postnatal: a cluster randomised trial in Pakistan. Lancet

Psychiatry. 2020 Sep 1;7:775–87.

32. Government of Pakistan. Pakistan Bureau of Statistics Census. 2017.

33. National Institute of Population Studies, ICF. Pakistan Demographic and Health

Survey 2017-18 [Internet]. Islamabad, Pakistan: NIPS/Pakistan and ICF; 2019.

Available from: http://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR354/FR354.pdf

34. World Health Organization. Adverse Childhood Experiences International

Questionnaire (ACE-IQ) [Internet]. 2018. Available from:

https://www.who.int/violence_injury_prevention/violence/activities/adverse_childho

od_experiences/en/.

35. Kidman R, Smith D, Piccolo LR, Kohler HP. Psychometric evaluation of the

Adverse Childhood Experience International Questionnaire (ACE-IQ) in Malawian

adolescents. Child Abuse Negl. 2019 Jun;92:139–45.

36. Kazeem OT. A Validation of the Adverse Childhood Experiences Scale in Nigeria.

Sex Abuse. 2015;7.

37. Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, Williamson DF, Spitz AM, Edwards V, et al.

Relationship of Childhood Abuse and Household Dysfunction to Many of the

Leading Causes of Death in Adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE)

Study. Am J Prev Med. 1998 May 1;14(4):245–58.

38. WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group. WHO Child Growth Standards

based on length/height, weight and age. Acta Paediatr Suppl. 2006 Apr;450:76–85.

39. Bayley N. Bayley Scales of Infant Development-III. Psychological Corporation;

2006.

40. Squires J, Bricker D. Ages & stages questionnaires, (ASQ-3). Parent-Complet

Child Monit Syst 3rd Ed Baltim MD Brookes. 2009;

41. Goodman R. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note. J Child

Psychol Psychiatry. 1997 Jul;38(5):581–6.

42. Glymour MM, Greenland S. Causal diagrams. In: Modern Epidemiology. Third.

Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008.

43. Shrier I, Platt RW. Reducing bias through directed acyclic graphs. BMC Med Res

Methodol. 2008;8:1–15.

44. Schisterman EF, Cole SR, Platt RW. Overadjustment bias and unnecessary

adjustment in epidemiologic studies. Epidemiol Camb Mass. 2009 Jul;20(4):488–

95.

45. Seaman SR, White IR. Review of inverse probability weighting for dealing with

missing data. Stat Methods Med Res. 2013 Jun;22(3):278–95.

46. Brookhart MA, Schneeweiss S, Rothman KJ, Glynn RJ, Avorn J, Sturmer T.

Variable selection for propensity score models. Am J Epidemiol. 2006 Jun

15;163(12):1149–56.

47. Dugoff EH, Schuler M, Stuart EA. Generalizing observational study results:

applying propensity score methods to complex surveys. Health Serv Res. 2014

Feb;49(1):284–303.

48. Solberg MA, Peters RM. Adverse Childhood Experiences in Non-Westernized

Nations: Implications for Immigrant and Refugee Health. J Immigr Minor Health.

2020 Feb;22(1):145–55.

49. Bokhari M, Badar M, Naseer U, Waheed A, Safdar F. Adverse Childhood

Experiences & Impulsivity in Late Adolescence & Young Adulthood of Students of

University of the Punjab Lahore. 2015;14.

50. Brown AL, McKenna BG, Cohen MF, Dunlop AL, Corwin EJ, Brennan PA. Maternal

childhood adversity and early parenting: Implications for infant neurodevelopment.

Int Public Health J. 2018;10(4):445–54.

51. Tedeschi RG, Park CL, Calhoun LG. Posttraumatic growth: Positive changes in the

aftermath of crisis. Routledge; 1998.

52. Tranter H, Brooks M, Khan R. Emotional resilience and event centrality mediate

posttraumatic growth following adverse childhood experiences. Psychol Trauma

Theory Res Pract Policy. 2021;13(2):165–73.

53. Greenberg DM, Baron-Cohen S, Rosenberg N, Fonagy P, Rentfrow PJ. Elevated

empathy in adults following childhood trauma. PLoS One. 2018;13(10):e0203886.

54. Staub E, Vollhardt J. Altruism born of suffering: The roots of caring and helping

after victimization and other trauma. Am J Orthopsychiatry. 2008;78(3):267–80.

55. Vollhardt JR. Altruism Born of Suffering and Prosocial Behavior Following Adverse

Life Events: A Review and Conceptualization. Soc Justice Res. 2009 Mar

1;22(1):53–97.

56. Lim D, DeSteno D. Suffering and compassion: The links among adverse life

experiences, empathy, compassion, and prosocial behavior. Emotion.

2016;16(2):175–82.

57. Cooke JE, Racine N, Pador P, Madigan S. Maternal Adverse Childhood

Experiences and Child Behavior Problems: A Systematic Review. Pediatrics

[Internet]. 2021 Sep 1 [cited 2021 Sep 12];148(3). Available from:

http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/148/3/e2020044131

58. Monk C, Lugo-Candelas C, Trumpff C. Prenatal Developmental Origins of Future

Psychopathology: Mechanisms and Pathways. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2019 May

7;15:317–44.

59. Thomas JC, Letourneau N, Campbell TS, Giesbrecht GF, Apron Study Team.

Social buffering of the maternal and infant HPA axes: Mediation and moderation in

the intergenerational transmission of adverse childhood experiences. Dev

Psychopathol. 2018 Aug;30(3):921–39.

60. Racine N, Devereaux C, Cooke JE, Eirich R, Zhu J, Madigan S. Adverse childhood

experiences and maternal anxiety and depression: a meta-analysis. BMC

Psychiatry. 2021 Jan 11;21(1):28.

61. Racine N, McDonald S, Chaput K, Tough S, Madigan S. Pathways from Maternal

Adverse Childhood Experiences to Substance Use in Pregnancy: Findings from the

All Our Families Cohort. J Womens Health 2002. 2021 Feb 1;

62. Dunkel Schetter C. Psychological Science on Pregnancy: Stress Processes,

Biopsychosocial Models, and Emerging Research Issues. Annu Rev Psychol. 2011

Jan 10;62(1):531–58.

63. Herba CM, Glover V, Ramchandani PG, Rondon MB. Maternal depression and

mental health in early childhood: an examination of underlying mechanisms in low-

income and middle-income countries. Lancet Psychiatry. 2016;3(10):983–92.

64. McLaughlin KA, Sheridan MA, Lambert HK. Childhood Adversity and Neural

Development: Deprivation and Threat as Distinct Dimensions of Early Experience.

Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2014 Nov;47:578–91.

65. Machlin L, Miller AB, Snyder J, McLaughlin KA, Sheridan MA. Differential

Associations of Deprivation and Threat With Cognitive Control and Fear

Conditioning in Early Childhood. Front Behav Neurosci. 2019;13:80.

66. Greene CA, McCoach DB, Briggs-Gowan MJ, Grasso DJ. Associations among

childhood threat and deprivation experiences, emotion dysregulation, and mental

health in pregnant women. Psychol Trauma Theory Res Pract Policy. 2021

May;13(4):446–56.

67. Johnson D, Policelli J, Li M, Dharamsi A, Hu Q, Sheridan MA, et al. Associations of

Early-Life Threat and Deprivation With Executive Functioning in Childhood and

Adolescence: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2021 Jul

26;e212511.

68. Narayan AJ, Lieberman AF, Masten AS. Intergenerational transmission and

prevention of adverse childhood experiences (ACEs). Clin Psychol Rev. 2021 Apr

1;85:101997.

69. Bellis MA, Hughes K, Ford K, Hardcastle KA, Sharp CA, Wood S, et al. Adverse

childhood experiences and sources of childhood resilience: a retrospective study of

their combined relationships with child health and educational attendance. BMC

Public Health. 2018;18(1):1–12.

70. Bethell C, Jones J, Gombojav N, Linkenbach J, Sege R. Positive childhood

experiences and adult mental and relational health in a statewide sample:

Associations across adverse childhood experiences levels. JAMA Pediatr.

2019;173(11):e193007–e193007.

71. Crouch E, Radcliff E, Strompolis M, Srivastav A. Safe, stable, and nurtured:

Protective factors against poor physical and mental health outcomes following

exposure to adverse childhood experiences (ACEs). J Child Adolesc Trauma.

2019;12(2):165–73.

72. Morris AS, Hays-Grudo J, Zapata MI, Treat A, Kerr KL. Adverse and Protective

Childhood Experiences and Parenting Attitudes: the Role of Cumulative Protection

in Understanding Resilience. Advers Resil Sci. 2021 Sep 1;2(3):181–92.

73.   Floyd K, Morman MT. Affection received from fathers as a predictor of men's

affection with their own sons: Tests of the modeling and compensation hypotheses.

Commun Monogr. 2000 Dec 1;67(4):347–61.

74.   LeMasters K, Bates LM, Chung EO, Gallis JA, Hagaman A, Scherer E, et al.

Adverse childhood experiences and depression among women in rural Pakistan.

BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):1–11.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Adapted Adverse Childhood Experiences International Questionnaire (ACEs-IQ)

S2 Table. Maternal ACEs and child growth, Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan (n=877)

S3 Table. Maternal ACEs and child development, Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan (n=877)

S4 Table. Maternal ACEs and child growth and development without censoring weights, Bachpan Cohort, Pakistan (n=877

Adjusted (n=877)

Fig 1

Fig 2

Fig 3