1 Divergence of wastewater SARS-CoV-2 and reported laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 incident
2 case data coincident with wide-spread availability of at-home COVID-19 antigen tests
3

4 Alexandria B. Boehm[1]*, Marlene K. Wolfe[2], Bradley J. White[3], Bridgette Hughes[3], Dorothea
5 Duong[3]
6
7
8   1. Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, School of Engineering and Doerr
9   School of Sustainability, Stanford University, 473 Via Ortega, Stanford, CA, USA 94305
10   2. Gangarosa Department of Environmental Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory
11   University, 1518 Clifton Rd, Atlanta, GA, USA, 30322
12   3. Verily Life Sciences LLC, South San Francisco, CA, USA, 94080
13
14
15
16 * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: aboehm@stanford.edu, Tel:
17 650-724-9128

18
Abstract

Concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in wastewater settled solids from publicly owned treatment
works (POTWs) historically correlated strongly with laboratory confirmed incident COVID-19
case data. With the increased availability of at-home antigen tests since late 2021 and early
2022, laboratory test availability and test seeking behavior has decreased. In the United States,
the results from at-home antigen tests are not typically reportable to public health agencies and
thus are not counted in case reports. As a result, the number of reported laboratory-confirmed
incident COVID-19 cases has decreased dramatically, even during times of increased test
positivity rates and wastewater concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 RNA. Herein, we tested whether
the correlative relationship between wastewater concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 RNA and
reported laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 incidence rate has changed since 1 May 2022, a point
in time immediately before the onset of the BA.2/BA.5 surge, the first surge to begin after at-
home antigen test availability was high in the region. We used daily data from three POTWs in
the Greater San Francisco Bay Area of California, USA for the analysis. We found that although
there is a significant positive association between wastewater measurements and incident rate
data collected after 1 May 2022, the parameters describing the relationship are different than
those describing the relationship between the data collected prior to 1 May 2022. If laboratory
test seeking or availability continues to change, the relationship between wastewater and
reported case data will continue to change. Results suggests that, assuming SARS-CoV-2 RNA
shedding remains relatively stable among those infected with the virus as different variants
emerge, that wastewater concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 RNA can be used to estimate COVID-
19 cases as they would have been during the time when laboratory testing availability and test
seeking behavior were at a high (here, before 1 May 2022) using the historical relationship
between SARS-CoV-2 RNA and COVID-19 case data.

44
45
Introduction
46
Concentrations of genetic material from various viruses in wastewater solids collected from
publicly owned treatment works (POTWs) correlate well with clinical testing data on disease
occurrence[1–3]. SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations in wastewater solids have been shown to
correlate strongly with laboratory-confirmed cases of COVID-19[4–6] . Similarly, concentrations of
influenza A and B, RSV, metapneumovirus, parainfluenza, rhinovirus, seasonal coronaviruses,
and mpox nucleic acids in POTW wastewater solids correlate well with clinical sample percent
positivity rates and/or reported disease incidence in the contributing communities[2,3,7–9].
54
Wastewater is a less biased approach than clinical testing for gaining insight to community
health as it represents a composite biological sample containing contributions from the entire
contributing population. Human excretions including feces, urine, sputum, saliva, and mucus are
present in wastewater. Viruses and their genetic material adsorb to solids in the wastestream
and as a result, their concentrations are enriched orders of magnitude in the solids compared to
the liquid phase of wastewater [5,9–12]. Therefore, solids represent a natural concentrator of virus
in wastewater and an ideal matrix for measuring their concentrations for wastewater-based
epidemiology.
63
Our and others' previous work showed strong correlations between SARS-CoV-2 RNA
concentrations in wastewater and laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 case data [13–18]. This work
was primarily completed before the emergence of the Omicron variant, and used COVID-19
case data compiled by public health departments, almost entirely reliant on testing of patient
specimens performed in clinical laboratories. The emergence of Omicron occurred at the same
time at-home antigen tests were first made available by the United States federal government
free of charge on 16 January 2022 (at the height of the Omicron BA.1 surge)[19]. The results of
at-home antigen tests are not typically reportable to public health agencies so their positive
results are not recorded in public health databases[20].
73
The goal of the present study is to compare the relationship between SARS-CoV-2 RNA
concentrations measured in POTW wastewater solids to reported laboratory-confirmed COVID-
19 incident cases before and after at-home antigen tests were widely available. Daily
concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 from three large POTWs located in the Greater San Francisco
Bay Area of California, USA are used for the analysis, along with publicly available data on
incident laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 cases and laboratory test positivity rates.
80
81 **Methods**
82
83 The study was reviewed by the IRB at Stanford University and the IRB has determined that this
84 research does not involve human subjects as defined in 45 CFR 46.102(f) and therefore does
85 not require IRB oversight.
86

Study sites. Daily samples between 1 December 2020 and 16 January 2023 of wastewater settled solids were obtained from three publicly owned treatment works (POTWs) San Jose (SJ) Oceanside (OS), and Sacramento (SAC) serving populations in Santa Clara County, San Francisco County, and Sacramento County, California USA, respectively. The plants serve 1.5 million, 250,000, and 1.5 million people, respectively, representing 77%, 30%, and 93% of their county populations, respectively. Detailed methods for sample collection have been presented elsewhere[14,21–24]. In brief, grab samples of solids were collected from the primary clarifier daily in sterile containers and placed at 4°C and couriered to the laboratory where they were processed immediately. A total of 777, 737, and 769 samples were included in this study from SJ, OS, and SAC, respectively. Note that a subset of these data have already been published; in particular data from all three POTWs collected between 1 December 2020 and 31 March 2021 was previously published by Wolfe et al.[14] and data between 1 January 2022 and 13 April 2022 were published by Boehm et al.[25]. Otherwise, the data presented herein has not been previously published.

Laboratory analyses. Samples were processed the same day they were collected in the laboratory to measure concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 N gene and an internal endogenous control pepper mild mottle virus (PMMoV). The methods are detailed in other peer-reviewed publications[14,21] and available on protocols.io[21–23]. The N gene target is conserved across SARS-CoV-2 variants to date. In brief, the samples were centrifuged to dewater the solids, resuspended in a buffer spiked with an exogenous viral control (bovine coronavirus, BCoV), homogenized, and then centrifuged. Nucleic-acids were extracted and purified from the supernatant using a commercial kit and then the RNA extract was subjected to an inhibitor removal step. The resultant RNA was used immediately as template in reverse-transcription polymerase chain reactions (RT-PCR) in a digital droplet format to measure the SARS-CoV-2 N gene, PMMoV, and BCoV. Positive and negative extraction and RT-PCR controls were included in all runs, and we ensured that BCoV recovery was greater than 10% in all samples. Previous work showed that inhibition using this method was not present[24]. Results for SARS-CoV-2 N gene and PMMoV are reported as copies of target per dry weight of solids. Wastewater data are available through the Stanford Digital Repository (https://doi.org/10.25740/xy132dg9314).

Case data. Publicly available data on the laboratory-confirmed incidence rate and test positivity rate for Santa Clara, San Francisco, and Sacramento Counties are available online (https://data.chhs.ca.gov/dataset/covid-19-time-series-metrics-by-county-and-state). Incidence rates for populations served by specific POTWS (for each sewershed) were provided by California Department of Public Health. Incidence and positivity rate data are reported as a function of the "episode date" which is the earliest reported date associated with the specimen (symptom onset, collection, hospitalization, or death; but usually specimen collection date) and include results of nucleic-acid amplification tests (hereafter, "PCR tests"). Seven-day smoothed incidence and positivity rate data are used to represent incidence and positivity rate hereafter, because it is well understood that these data have substantial day-of-week effects[26] .

Statistical analysis. We used Pearson's r to test for linear relationships between sewershed-aggregated and county-aggregated incidence rate data for each POTW (three tests total). We

used Kendall's tau to test for association between county-aggregated positivity and incidence
rates for each POTW (three tests). We used Kendall's tau to test for association between
wastewater and clinical case data sets. We tested for associations between measured N,
N/PMMoV, 5-d trimmed average N, and 5-d trimmed N/PMMoV, and incidence rate and
positivity rates for each POTW resulting in twenty-four Kendall's tau tests. We chose Kendall's
tau as it does not require that data are normally distributed and therefore did not require data
transformation; however, results are unchanged if other tests of association are used.
138
We tested whether the relationship between wastewater measurements of SARS-CoV-2 RNA
and incidence rate differed for data collected before, and after 1 May 2022 using the following
linear model:
$Y = b + m*X + n*D + k*(D*X)$ (Eq. 1)
where Y is $\log_{10}$-transformed 7-day smoothed incidence rate data aggregated at the county
where the POTW is located, X is the $\log_{10}$-transformed 5-d trimmed average N/PMMoV, D is a
categorical, binary variable indicating whether the date is after 1 May 2022 (D = 0 if the date is
before 1 May 2022, and 1 if it is on or after this date), b is the intercept, m is the coefficient on X,
n is the coefficient on D, and k is the coefficient on the interaction term D*X. We chose 1 May
2022 as the date to bifurcate the data since this date occurs at the onset of the BA.2/BA.5
COVID-19 case surge in this region. This represents the first surge that began after at-home
antigen tests became widely available in the region. We confirmed via visual examination that
this date represented approximately when the relationship between incidence rate and
wastewater concentrations appeared to change (see results).
153
The model was applied to each sewershed (model was run three times). We chose to use the 5-
d trimmed average wastewater concentration as it eliminates the influence of intrinsic variability
associated with measurements from a complex, environmental matrix; and we chose to use
N/PMMoV as PMMoV normalization can control for variation in RNA extraction efficiency and
fecal strength of the solids[14,27,28]. However, we explored whether using raw data (not 5-d
trimmed averages) and unnormalized N gene concentrations as X in the model, and results
were generally unchanged.
161
162 Using Eq. 1, we tested whether the relationship between positivity and incidence rate was
163 different for data collected before, and after 1 May 2022. In that application, Y = $\log_{10}$-
164 transformed incidence rate, and X = $\log_{10}$-transformed positivity rate. The model was applied to
165 each sewershed (model was run 3 times).
166
167 We confirmed the Eq. 1 model residuals were approximately normal. All statistical analyses
168 were carried out in R studio (Version 1.4.1106) using R (Version 4.0.5). We used alpha = 0.05
169 which corresponds to a p value of 0.0013 with a Bonferroni correction (0.05/36 tests).
170
171 **Results**
172
Positive and negative RT-PCR and nucleic-acid extraction controls were positive and negative,
respectively, indicating assays performed well and there was no contamination during sample

pre-analytical and analytical processing. BCoV recovery was greater than 10% (median across
samples from each POTW was 80%), and PMMoV concentrations were fairly consistent across
samples (median ± interquartile range of $9.0x10^8 \pm 4.3x10^8$ cp/g (SAC), $5.2x10^8 \pm 3.4x10^8$ cp/g
(OS), and $1.5x10^9 \pm 6.0x10^8$ cp/g (SJ)) indicating reasonable RNA extraction efficiency and fairly
consistent fecal strength of wastewater (Figure S1). We previously published details related to
the dMIQE[29] and EMMI[30] guidelines for these measurements[14,25].
181
Sewershed-aggregated incidence rates correlate strongly with county-level incidence rates
(Pearson's r of 1.00 for SJ, 0.99 for OS, and 0.93 for SAC, $p<10^{-15}$ for all). We use county-
aggregated incidence rate hereafter to represent sewershed-aggregated incidence rate given
their high correlations, because the county-aggregated data are publicly available and can be
shared readily. Positivity rate aggregated at the sewershed is not available, so we used county-
aggregated positivity rate as a proxy for the sewershed given the high degree of correlation
between county and sewershed-aggregated incidence rate.
189
Across all three sewersheds, SARS-CoV-2 N gene concentrations, and those concentrations
normalized by PMMoV (raw and 5-day trimmed averages) were positively and significantly
correlated to county-aggregated COVID-19 incidence rate, and county-aggregated positivity rate
(Kendall's tau between 0.51 and 0.62, $p<10^{-15}$ for correlations with incidence rate, and Kendall's
tau between 0.68 and 0.80, $p<10^{-15}$ for correlations with positivity rate), consistent with
previously published work[4,6,17,31]. The ranges reported in the previous sentence include tau
calculated with the four considered wastewater variables for the three plants.
197
County-aggregated incidence rate and positivity rate are well correlated (Kendall's tau 0.79 for
SAC, 0.96 for SJ, 0.88 for OS, all $p<10^{-15}$), but there is a change in their relationship starting
approximately 1 May 2022, at the onset of the BA.2/BA.5 surge (Figure S2). A multiple
regression model was used to test whether the relationship between positivity rate and
incidence rate was significantly different before and after 1 May 2022 at each of the considered
counties, and those models indicated that the relationship was significantly different before and
after 1 May 2022 with different slope and/or intercepts (Table S1).
205
When $\log_{10}$-transformed incidence rate and positivity rate are plotted against $\log_{10}$-transformed,
5-d trimmed average wastewater concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 N gene normalized by PMMoV
at the three sewersheds, the data generally fall on a single line (Figures 1 - 3). However, data
collected starting approximately 1 May 2022 fall off the line represented by data collected before
1 May 2022 on the incidence rate - wastewater plot; the same pattern is not evident on the
positivity rate - wastewater plot (Figures 1-3). The relationship between $\log_{10}$-transformed
incidence rate and $\log_{10}$-transformed N/PMMoV concentrations collected after 1 May 2022
appears approximately linear, but it is visually offset from earlier measurements.
214
Using multiple linear regression, we tested whether the linear relationship between $\log_{10}$-
transformed incidence rate and $\log_{10}$-transformed 5-d trimmed average N/PMMoV is the same
for data collected before and after 1 May 2022. All models were statistically significant with
adjusted R^2 values between 0.81 and 0.86 ($p<10^{-15}$). For SAC, the slope of the relationship

between the two variables is not different for data collected before and after 1 May 2022 (slope
= 0.88) but the intercept is different (-0.26 compared to -0.87 for data collected before and after
1 May 2022, respectively). For SJ, the slope of the relationship between the two variables is not
different for data collected before and after 1 May 2022 (slope = 0.96); but the intercept is
different (0.29 compared to -0.66 before and after 1 May 2022, respectively). For OS, both the
slope and the intercept of the relationship between incidence rate and wastewater are different
for data collected before and after 1 May 2022 (slope = 0.65 and 0.35 for data before and after
1 May 2022, respectively; intercept = -1.2 compared to -2.73 before and after 1 May 2022,
respectively). Results reported here are summarized in Table 1. We repeated the modeling
using N (both raw and 5-d trimmed averaged data) and raw N/PMMoV as the dependent
variables (X in equation 1), and results were similar.

As equation 1 describes the relationship between $\log_{10}$-transformed incident rates and 5-d
trimmed N/PMMoV well, it implies that incident rate and N/PMMoV are related by a power law
relationship where incident rate = $10^{intercept}$(N/PMMoV)^{slope}.

Discussion

In the Greater San Francisco Bay Area of California, USA, the BA.2/BA.5 COVID-19 case surge
began around 1 May 2022 and represents the first surge in the region to begin after at-home
antigen tests became widely available. The relationship between wastewater concentrations of
SARS-CoV-2 RNA and laboratory-confirmed incidence rates of COVID-19 in the contributing
community changed after 1 May 2022, likely a result of the change in regional PCR test seeking
behaviors and testing availability. Because case data is likely underestimating the true cases in
the community, this divergence indicates that wastewater may be particularly useful for
obtaining a less biased estimate of community infection.

The relationship between SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentrations and laboratory-confirmed incident
cases has previously been described as a power-law relationship (i.e., it is linear on a log-log
plot)[1]. We found that data collected both before and after 1 May 2022 at the three POTWs follow
power-law relationships albeit with different parameter values. In particular, we found the slope
of the log-log relationship to be similar for data collected before and after 1 May 2022 for two of
the three POTWs, but the intercept to be different at all three. At all three POTWs, the intercept
is smaller for data collected after 1 May 2022. This can be interpreted to mean that for data
considered after 1 May 2022, that a one log-change in wastewater concentration indicates a
log-change (between 0.35 and 0.96 log-change, depending on POTW) in incidence rates that is
similar as to data presented before 1 May 2022 (between 0.65 and 0.96 log-change, depending
on POTW), but a specific wastewater concentration corresponds to a lower observed incidence
rate for data collected after 1 May 2022 than before 1 May 2022. This could be explained by
fewer cases being reported through the public health case reporting system due to the
increased use of at-home antigen tests, or decreased overall testing.

The change in the relationship between wastewater concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 RNA and
reported laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 incidence rates is likely a result of decreased PCR

test-seeking and availability, and increased availability of at-home COVID-19 antigen tests. It
has been confirmed that PCR test seeking behavior has decreased as the availability of at-
home antigen tests has increased[20]. Reduced test seeking behavior will bias the number of
laboratory-confirmed cases downward but would not necessarily change the PCR testing
positivity rate, so long as reduced test-seeking behavior is similar across all individuals including
those who ultimately are infected and not infected with SARS-CoV-2. The similar relationship
between SARS-CoV-2 RNA wastewater concentrations and laboratory-confirmed test positivity
rate for data collected before and after 1 May 2022 would be consistent with this explanation.
271
It is important to note that there are other potential reasons that the relationship between SARS-
CoV-2 RNA wastewater concentrations and laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 incidence rates
could change over time. The duration and magnitude of SARS-CoV-2 RNA shedding via human
excretions that enter wastewater might change as different SARS-CoV-2 variants circulate[32]. To
date, there are limited quantitative, externally valid data (i.e., measurements reported as
concentrations with units of copies per mass or volume of excretion) on SARS-CoV-2 RNA in
excretions among individuals infected with different variants, particularly with the newer variants
that have emerged over the last year [33,34]. There is one study that inferred that the changing
relationship between wastewater SARS-CoV-2 RNA concentration and case data between the
Delta and Omicron surges in Arizona, USA was caused by changes in shedding[35]. Changes in
severity of illness, or occurrence of asymptomatic infections may alter test seeking behavior,
which would change case reporting, and yet is unrelated to the availability of at-home antigen
tests.
285
It is highly likely that the relationship between wastewater concentrations and laboratory-
confirmed COVID-19 incidence rates will continue to change as PCR test seeking and test
availability changes. For example, an official end of the COVID-19 public health emergency in
the United States may make both clinical laboratory and at-home testing unaffordable or more
difficult to obtain for some individuals. The work herein suggests that, assuming SARS-CoV-2
RNA shedding remains relatively stable among those infected with the virus as different variants
emerge, that wastewater concentrations of SARS-CoV-2 RNA can be used estimate COVID-19
cases as they would have been during the time when PCR testing availability and test seeking
behavior were at a high (here, before 1 May 2022) using the historical relationship between
SARS-CoV-2 RNA and case data.
296

Acknowledgements
We thank Allegra Koch and Amanda Bidwell for assistance with literature reviews, and Vikram
Chan-Herur for comments on the final draft. Numerous people contributed to sample collection
and case data acquisition, including Regional San Environmental Laboratory and Scientific
Research Section Personnel (Sac), Payal Sarkar (SJ) and plant operations staff at San Jose-
Santa Clara Regional Wastewater Facility, Lily Chan (OS), and the Oceanside plant operations
and laboratory personnel. This study was performed on the ancestral and unceded lands of the
Muwekma Ohlone people. We pay our respects to them and their Elders, past and present, and
are grateful for the opportunity to live and work here.

Competing interests. Bradley J. White, Dorothea Duong, and Bridgette Hughes are employees
of Verily Life Sciences, LLC. There are no other competing interests.
308
309 **References**
310

(1) Wolfe, M. K.; Archana, A.; Catoe, D.; Coffman, M. M.; Dorevich, S.; Graham, K. E.; Kim,
S.; Grijalva, L. M.; Roldan-Hernandez, L.; Silverman, A. I.; Sinnott-Armstrong, N.; Vugia, D.
J.; Yu, A. T.; Zambrana, W.; Wigginton, K. R.; Boehm, A. B. Scaling of SARS-CoV-2 RNA
in Settled Solids from Multiple Wastewater Treatment Plants to Compare Incidence Rates
of Laboratory-Confirmed COVID-19 in Their Sewersheds. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.*
2021. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.1c00184.
(2) Hughes, B.; Duong, D.; White, B. J.; Wigginton, K. R.; Chan, E. M. G.; Wolfe, M. K.;
Boehm, A. B. Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) RNA in Wastewater Settled Solids
Reflects RSV Clinical Positivity Rates. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2022**, *9* (2), 173–178.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.1c00963.
(3) Wolfe, M. K.; Duong, D.; Bakker, K. M.; Ammerman, M.; Mortenson, L.; Hughes, B.; Arts,
P.; Lauring, A. S.; Fitzsimmons, W. J.; Bendall, E.; Hwang, C. E.; Martin, E. T.; White, B.
J.; Boehm, A. B.; Wigginton, K. R. Wastewater-Based Detection of Two Influenza
Outbreaks. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2022**, *9* (8), 687–692.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00350.
(4) Peccia, J.; Zulli, A.; Brackney, D. E.; Grubaugh, N. D.; Kaplan, E. H.; Casanovas-Massana,
A.; Ko, A. I.; Malik, A. A.; Wang, D.; Wang, M.; Warren, J. L.; Weinberger, D. M.; Omer, S.
B. SARS-CoV-2 RNA Concentrations in Primary Municipal Sewage Sludge as a Leading
Indicator of COVID-19 Outbreak Dynamics. *Nature Biotechnology* **2020**, *38*, 1164–1167.
(5) Graham, K. E.; Loeb, S. K.; Wolfe, M. K.; Catoe, D.; Sinnott-Armstrong, N.; Kim, S.;
Yamahara, K. M.; Sassoubre, L. M.; Mendoza Grijalva, L. M.; Roldan-Hernandez, L.;
Langenfeld, K.; Wigginton, K. R.; Boehm, A. B. SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Settled
Solids Is Associated with COVID-19 Cases in a Large Urban Sewershed. *Environ. Sci.*
Technol. **2021**, *55* (1), 488–498. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c06191.
(6) D'Aoust, P. M.; Mercier, E.; Montpetit, D.; Jia, J.-J.; Alexandrov, I.; Neault, N.; Baig, A. T.;
Mayne, J.; Zhang, X.; Alain, T.; Langlois, M.-A.; Servos, M. R.; MacKenzie, M.; Figeys, D.;
MacKenzie, A. E.; Graber, T. E.; Delatolla, R. Quantitative Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 RNA
from Wastewater Solids in Communities with Low COVID-19 Incidence and Prevalence.
Water Research **2021**, *188*, 116560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116560.
(7) Mercier, E.; D'Aoust, P. M.; Thakali, O.; Hegazy, N.; Jia, J.-J.; Zhang, Z.; Eid, W.; Plaza-
Diaz, J.; Kabir, M. P.; Fang, W.; Cowan, A.; Stephenson, S. E.; Pisharody, L.; MacKenzie,
A. E.; Graber, T. E.; Wan, S.; Delatolla, R. Municipal and Neighbourhood Level
Wastewater Surveillance and Subtyping of an Influenza Virus Outbreak. *Scientific Reports*
2022, *12* (1), 15777. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-20076-z.
(8) Boehm, A. B.; Hughes, B.; Doung, D.; Chan-Herur, V.; Buchman, A.; Wolfe, M. K.; White,
B. J. Wastewater Surveillance of Human Influenza, Metapneumovirus, Parainfluenza,
Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV), Rhinovirus, and Seasonal Coronaviruses during the
COVID-19 Pandemic. *medRxiv* **2022**, 2022.09.22.22280218.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.09.22.22280218.
(9) Wolfe, M. K.; Yu, A. T.; Duong, D.; Rane, M. S.; Hughes, B.; Chan-Herur, V.; Donnelly, M.;
Chai, S.; White, B. J.; Vugia, D. J.; Boehm, A. B. Use of Wastewater for Mpox Outbreak
Surveillance in California. *N Engl J Med* **2023**. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2213882.
(10) Kim, S.; Kennedy, L. C.; Wolfe, M. K.; Criddle, C. S.; Duong, D. H.; Topol, A.; White, B. J.;
Kantor, R. S.; Nelson, K. L.; Steele, J. A.; Langlois, K.; Griffith, J. F.; Zimmer-Faust, A. G.;
McLellan, S. L.; Schussman, M. K.; Ammerman, M.; Wigginton, K. R.; Bakker, K. M.;

Boehm, A. B. SARS-CoV-2 RNA Is Enriched by Orders of Magnitude in Primary Settled Solids Relative to Liquid Wastewater at Publicly Owned Treatment Works. *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.* **2022**. https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EW00826A.

(11) Ye, Y.; Ellenberg, R. M.; Graham, K. E.; Wigginton, K. R. Survivability, Partitioning, and Recovery of Enveloped Viruses in Untreated Municipal Wastewater. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (10), 5077–5085. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00876.

(12) Yin, Z.; Voice, T.; Tarabara, V.; Xagoraraki, I. Sorption of Human Adenovirus to Wastewater Solids. *Journal of Environmental Engineering* **2018**, *144* (11), 06018008. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0001463.

(13) Gonzalez, R.; Curtis, K.; Bivins, A.; Bibby, K.; Weir, M. H.; Yetka, K.; Thompson, H.; Keeling, D.; Mitchell, J.; Gonzalez, D. COVID-19 Surveillance in Southeastern Virginia Using Wastewater-Based Epidemiology. *Water Research* **2020**, *186*, 116296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116296.

(14) Wolfe, M. K.; Topol, A.; Knudson, A.; Simpson, A.; White, B.; Duc, V.; Yu, A.; Li, L.; Balliet, M.; Stoddard, P.; Han, G.; Wigginton, K. R.; Boehm, A. High-Frequency, High-Throughput Quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Wastewater Settled Solids at Eight Publicly Owned Treatment Works in Northern California Shows Strong Association with COVID-19 Incidence. *mSystems* **2021**, *6* (5), e00829-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00829-21.

(15) Ahmed, W.; Bertsch, P. M.; Angel, N.; Bibby, K.; Bivins, A.; Dierens, L.; Edson, J.; Ehret, J.; Gyawali, P.; Hamilton, K. A.; Hosegood, I.; Hugenholtz, P.; Jiang, G.; Kitajima, M.; Sichani, H. T.; Shi, J.; Shimko, K. M.; Simpson, S. L.; Smith, W. J. M.; Symonds, E. M.; Thomas, K. V.; Verhagen, R.; Zaugg, J.; Mueller, J. F. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Commercial Passenger Aircraft and Cruise Ship Wastewater: A Surveillance Tool for Assessing the Presence of COVID-19 Infected Travellers. *Journal of Travel Medicine* **2020**, *27* (taaa116). https://doi.org/10.1093/jtm/taaa116.

(16) Whitney, O. N.; Kennedy, L. C.; Fan, V. B.; Hinkle, A.; Kantor, R.; Greenwald, H.; Crits-Christoph, A.; Al-Shayeb, B.; Chaplin, M.; Maurer, A. C.; Tjian, R.; Nelson, K. L. Sewage, Salt, Silica, and SARS-CoV-2 (4S): An Economical Kit-Free Method for Direct Capture of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from Wastewater. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55* (8), 4880–4888. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c08129.

(17) Duvallet, C.; Wu, F.; McElroy, K. A.; Imakaev, M.; Endo, N.; Xiao, A.; Zhang, J.; Floyd-O'Sullivan, R.; Powell, M. M.; Mendola, S.; Wilson, S. T.; Cruz, F.; Melman, T.; Sathyanarayana, C. L.; Olesen, S. W.; Erickson, T. B.; Ghaeli, N.; Chai, P.; Alm, E. J.; Matus, M. Nationwide Trends in COVID-19 Cases and SARS-CoV-2 RNA Wastewater Concentrations in the United States. *ACS EST Water* **2022**, *2* (11), 1899–1909. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestwater.1c00434.

(18) Hopkins, L.; Persse, D.; Caton, K.; Ensor, K.; Schneider, R.; McCall, C.; Stadler, L. B. Citywide Wastewater SARS-CoV-2 Levels Strongly Correlated with Multiple Disease Surveillance Indicators and Outcomes over Three COVID-19 Waves. *Science of The Total Environment* **2023**, *855*, 158967. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158967.

(19) The White House. *Background Press Call on the Rollout of 500 Million Free Tests to American Homes.* https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/press-briefings/2022/01/14/background-press-call-on-the-rollout-of-500-million-free-tests-to-american-homes/ (accessed 2023-02-07).

(20) Radar, B.; Gertz, A.; Iuliano, A. D.; Gilmer, M.; Wronski, L.; Astley, C. M.; Sewalk, K.; Varrelman, T.; Cohen, J.; Parikh, R.; Reese, H. E.; Reed, C.; Brownstein, J. S. Use of At-Home COVID-19 Tests — United States, August 23, 2021–March 12, 2022. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* **2022**, *71*, 489–494. http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7113e1.

(21) Topol, A.; Wolfe, M.; White, B.; Wigginton, K.; Boehm, A. High Throughput Pre-Analytical Processing of Wastewater Settled Solids for SARS-CoV-2 RNA Analyses. *protocols.io* **2021**.

(22) Topol, A.; Wolfe, M.; White, B.; Wigginton, K.; Boehm, A. High Throughput SARS-COV-2, PMMOV, and BCoV Quantification in Settled Solids Using Digital RT-PCR. *protocols.io* **2021**.

(23) Topol, A.; Wolfe, M.; Wigginton, K.; White, B.; Boehm, A. High Throughput RNA Extraction and PCR Inhibitor Removal of Settled Solids for Wastewater Surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 RNA. *protocols.io* **2021**.

(24) Huisman, J. S.; Scire, J.; Caduff, L.; Fernandez-Cassi, X.; Ganesanandamoorthy, P.; Kull, A.; Scheidegger, A.; Stachler, E.; Boehm, A. B.; Hughes, B.; Knudson, A.; Topol, A.; Wigginton, K. R.; Wolfe, M. K.; Kohn, T.; Ort, C.; Stadler, T.; Julian, T. R. Wastewater-Based Estimation of the Effective Reproductive Number of SARS-CoV-2. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **2022**, *130* (5), 057011–1.

(25) Boehm, A. B.; Hughes, B.; Wolfe, M. K.; White, B. J.; Duong, D.; Chan-Herur, V. Regional Replacement of SARS-CoV-2 Variant Omicron BA.1 with BA.2 as Observed through Wastewater Surveillance. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2022**, *9* (6), 575–580. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00266.

(26) Bergman, A.; Sella, Y.; Agre, P.; Casadevall, A. Oscillations in U.S. COVID-19 Incidence and Mortality Data Reflect Diagnostic and Reporting Factors. *mSystems* **2020**, *5* (4), e00544-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00544-20.

(27) McClary-Gutierrez, J. S.; Aanderud, Z. T.; Al-faliti, M.; Duvallet, C.; Gonzalez, R.; Guzman, J.; Holm, R. H.; Jahne, M. A.; Kantor, R. S.; Katsivelis, P.; Kuhn, K. G.; Langan, L. M.; Mansfeldt, C.; McLellan, S. L.; Mendoza Grijalva, L. M.; Murnane, K. S.; Naughton, C. C.; Packman, A. I.; Paraskevopoulos, S.; Radniecki, T. S.; Roman, F. A.; Shrestha, A.; Stadler, L. B.; Steele, J. A.; Swalla, B. M.; Vikesland, P.; Wartell, B.; Wilusz, C. J.; Wong, J. C. C.; Boehm, A. B.; Halden, R. U.; Bibby, K.; Delgado Vela, J. Standardizing Data Reporting in the Research Community to Enhance the Utility of Open Data for SARS-CoV-2 Wastewater Surveillance. *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.* **2021**, *7* (9), 1545–1551. https://doi.org/10.1039/D1EW00235J.

(28) Simpson, A.; Topol, A.; White, B.; Wolfe, M. K.; Wigginton, K.; Boehm, A. B. Effect of Storage Conditions on SARS-CoV-2 RNA Quantification in Wastewater Solids. *PeerJ* **2021**, *9*, e11933. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11933.

(29) The dMIQE Group; Huggett, J. F. The Digital MIQE Guidelines Update: Minimum Information for Publication of Quantitative Digital PCR Experiments for 2020. *Clinical Chemistry* **2020**, *66* (8), 1012–1029. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvaa125.

(30) Borchardt, M. A.; Boehm, A. B.; Salit, M.; Spencer, S. K.; Wigginton, K. R.; Noble, R. T. The Environmental Microbiology Minimum Information (EMMI) Guidelines: QPCR and DPCR Quality and Reporting for Environmental Microbiology. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *55* (15), 10210–10223. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c01767.

(31) Feng, S.; Roguet, A.; McClary-Gutierrez, J. S.; Newton, R. J.; Kloczko, N.; Meiman, J. G.; McLellan, S. L. Evaluation of Sampling, Analysis, and Normalization Methods for SARS-CoV-2 Concentrations in Wastewater to Assess COVID-19 Burdens in Wisconsin Communities. *ACS ES&T Water* **2021**, *1* (8), 1955–1965. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsestwater.1c00160.

(32) Puhach, O.; Meyer, B.; Eckerle, I. SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load and Shedding Kinetics. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **2022**. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-022-00822-w.

(33) Daou, M.; Kannout, H.; Khalili, M.; Almarei, M.; Alhashami, M.; Alhalwachi, Z.; Alshamsi, F.; Tahseen Al Bataineh, M.; Azzam Kayasseh, M.; Al Khajeh, A.; Hasan, S. W.; Tay, G. K.; Feng, S. F.; Ruta, D.; Yousef, A. F.; Alsafar, H. S.; on behalf of the UAE COVID-19 Collaborative Partnership. Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 Viral Loads in Stool Samples and Nasopharyngeal Swabs from COVID-19 Patients in the United Arab Emirates. *PLOS ONE* **2022**, *17* (9), e0274961. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0274961.

(34) Anjos, D.; Fiaccadori, F. S.; Servian, C. do P.; da Fonseca, S. G.; Guilarde, A. O.; Borges,

M. A. S. B.; Franco, F. C.; Ribeiro, B. M.; Souza, M. SARS-CoV-2 Loads in Urine, Sera
and Stool Specimens in Association with Clinical Features of COVID-19 Patients. *Journal*
of Clinical Virology Plus **2022**, *2* (1), 100059. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcvp.2021.100059.
(35) Prasek, S. M.; Pepper, I. L.; Innes, G. K.; Slinski, S.; Betancourt, W. Q.; Foster, A. R.;
Yaglom, H. D.; Porter, W. T.; Engelthaler, D. M.; Schmitz, B. W. Variant-Specific SARS-
CoV-2 Shedding Rates in Wastewater. *Science of The Total Environment* **2023**, *857*,
159165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159165.

Figure 1. Top panel. Sacramento (SAC) time series of concentrations of N and N/PMMoV in wastewater, incidence rates, and positivity rates between 1 December 2020 until 16 January 2023. The solid lines represent 5-d trimmed averages for the wastewater data, and 7-d moving averages for the incidence and positivity rates. The county-aggregated and sewershed-aggregated incidence rate data fall almost directly on top of each other, obscuring the view of both. The scales for incidence and positivity rates are on the left and right axes, respectively. Middle and bottom panels. County-aggregated (Sacramento County, SAC) positivity rate and incidence rate versus 5-d trimmed N/PMMoV; white symbols are data collected on and after 1 May 2022.

Figure 2. Top panel. Oceanside (OS) time series of concentrations of N and N/PMMoV in wastewater, incidence rates, and positivity rates between 1 December 2020 until 16 January 2023. The solid lines represent 5-d trimmed averages for the wastewater data, and 7-d moving averages for the incidence and positivity rates. The county-aggregated and sewershed-aggregated incidence rate data fall almost directly on top of each other, obscuring the view of both. The scales for incidence and positivity rates are on the left and right axes, respectively. Middle and bottom panels. County-aggregated (San Francisco County, SF) positivity rate and incidence rate versus 5-d trimmed N/PMMoV; white symbols are data collected on and after 1 May 2022.

Figure 3. Top panel. San Jose (SJ) time series of concentrations of N and N/PMMoV in wastewater, incidence rates, and positivity rates between 1 December 2020 until 16 January 2023. The solid lines represent 5-d trimmed averages for the wastewater data, and 7-d moving averages for the incidence and positivity rates. The county-aggregated and sewershed-aggregated incidence rate data fall almost directly on top of each other, obscuring the view of both. The scales for incidence and positivity rates are on the left and right axes, respectively. Middle and bottom panels. County-aggregated (Santa Clara County, SC) Positivity rate and incidence rate versus 5-d trimmed N/PMMoV; white symbols are data collected on and after 1 May 2022.

Table 1. Coefficients for the model Y = b + m*X + n*D + k*(D*X) presented as equation 1 in the text where X is $\log_{10}$-transformed 5-d trimmed N/PMMoV and Y is $\log_{10}$-transformed incidence rate. Adjusted R-square values and p-values for the model are provided, as well as the F statistic (and the degrees of freedom, DF). Coefficient values with standard errors are reported as well as p-values in parentheses. With Bonferroni corrections, p must be less than 0.0013 for alpha = 0.05. If n is significantly different from 0, then the intercept for the linear relationship between X and Y before and after 1 May 2022 is different and equal to b + n. If k is significantly different from 0, then the slope for the linear relationship between X and Y is different before and after 1 May 2022 and equal to m + k.

POTW	b	m	n	k	Adjusted R^2	F-statistic
SJ	0.29±0.07 (1.3×10^{-5})	0.96±0.02 ($<10^{-100}$)	-0.96±0.18 ($<10^{-7}$)	-0.12±0.05 (0.014)	0.86 (10^{-15})	1545 on 3 and 773 DF
OS	-1.22±0.05 ($<10^{-100}$)	0.65±0.01 ($<10^{-100}$)	-1.51±0.22 ($<10^{-11}$)	-0.29 ±0.06 ($<10^{-5}$)	0.81 ($<10^{-15}$)	1070 on 3 and 733 DF
SAC	-0.26±0.06 (2.9×10^{-5})	0.88±0.02 ($<10^{-100}$)	-0.61±0.15 (6.7×10^{-5})	-0.067±0.04 (0.10)	0.83 ($<10^{-15}$)	1270 on 3 and 765 DF