Word count: 4454

Number of references: 48

Number of tables: 05

Number of figures: 00

Factors associated with suffering from domestic violence in the last half year of the pandemic in Honduras- Central America.

Eleonora Espinoza-Turcios[1] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7218-3481

Lysien Ivania Zambrano[1] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9002-5807

Carlos Sosa-Mendoza[2] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9599-4180

Mauricio Gonzales-Romero[1] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9599-4180

Henry Noel Castro Ramos[1] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7679-6602

Dennis Arias-Chávez [3] https://orcid.org/ 0000-0003-1500-8366

Christian R. Mejia [4] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5940-7281

Affiliations:

[1] Institute for Research in Medical Sciences and Right to Health (ICIMEDES)/Scientific Research Unit (UIC), Faculty of Medical Sciences (FCM), National Autonomous University of Honduras (UNAH). Tegucigalpa, Honduras.

[2] Honduran Institute for the Prevention of Alcoholism, Drug Addiction and Drug Dependence (IHADFA) Tegucigalpa, Honduras.

[3] Universidad Continental, Arequipa, Perú

[4] Translational Medicine Research Centre. Universidad Norbert Wiener. Lima, Perú.

Corresponding authors: Eleonora Espinoza Turcios eleonora.espinoza@unah.edu.hn, Instituto de Investigación en Ciencias Médicas y Derecho a la Salud (ICIMEDES)/Unidad de Investigación Científica (UIC), Edificio CM1, FCM, Calle La Salud, contiguo al Hospital Escuela, Tegucigalpa, M. D.C., Honduras, C.A. Código Postal: 11101.

SUMMARY

Introduction: Mental health deterioration had already been reported prior to the pandemic, resulting in domestic violence, but this has not yet been reported in the pandemic in Central America. **Objective:** To determine the factors associated with the occurrence of domestic violence in the last half year of the pandemic in Honduras. **Methodology:** Analytical and retrospective cross-sectional study, carried out through a survey in 17 departments of Honduras, in hospitals and first level health care centers. The main variable was obtained through the question "if you suffered domestic violence in the last 6 months", being the possible answer verbal, physical, psychological. Descriptive and analytical results were obtained. **Results:** Of the 8442 Hondurans surveyed, 4.2% (352) perceived verbal violence, 1.9% (165) physical violence and 1.3% (113) psychological violence. In the multivariate analysis, it was found that women ($p=0.001$), those with a history of alcohol ($p=0.002$) or drug use ($p=0.015$), previous mental illness ($p<0.001$), mild ($p<0.001$), moderate ($p<0.001$) or severe ($p=0.025$) depression ($p<0.001$) had experienced more domestic violence; On the contrary, there was less perception of domestic violence at higher economic income (all p-values were <0.029), among single ($p=0.003$) and married people ($p<0.001$). **Discussion:** Important factors were found to be associated with suffering from violence, especially social factors and a history of mental illness, as well as alcohol and drug use in the home.

Key words: COVID-19, Honduras, Central America, Women, Domestic Violence.

INTRODUCTION

Domestic violence (DV) is defined as "a pattern of behavior that is used to gain or maintain power and control over an intimate partner in a relationship, may include a child or other relative, or any other member of the household". (1). Globally, the lifetime prevalence of intimate partner violence is 26% among married or intimate partner women over the age of 15; estimating that 6% of women over the age of 15 have been subjected to non-partner sexual violence during some point in their lifetime. (2).

At the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, in the first months of 2020, domestic violence increased worldwide, Spain recorded a 20% increase in calls to women's helplines; (3). United Kingdom reported an additional 25% one week after the announcement of the social distancing measures; (4) in France the increase of 36% was reported; (5) in Jingzhou-China the number of reported domestic violence cases was three times higher in February 2020, this compared to the same period last year. (6) This is in addition to the global and public health problems that were known prior to this pandemic that was generated worldwide, where one in three women had suffered in her life some type of physical and/or sexual violence by an intimate partner. (7)

In Honduras, the problem of domestic violence behaves similarly to the rest of the world, and also worsened as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. According to data from the National Demographic and Health Survey (ENDESA /MICS 2019), 20% of Honduran women aged 15-49 years have ever been beaten or physically abused by someone after the age of 15, psychological violence occurs more frequently in urban areas (with 17% compared to 12% of cases in rural areas) and 4% of women aged 15-49 have suffered sexual abuse since the age of 12, being in 12.5% of cases produced by the current boyfriend/ex-boyfriend. (8) And as if this were not enough, according to the University Institute for Democracy, Peace and Security UNAH, there were 636 and 330 deaths of women due to violence in 2013 and 2021, respectively. And from January to October 2022 there were 252 violent deaths of women and/or femicide, that is, every 28 hours and 57 minutes a woman dies in Honduras. (9)

For all these reasons, the objective was to determine the factors associated with domestic violence in the last half year of the pandemic in Honduras.

METHODOLOGY

An analytical, cross-sectional and retrospective research was generated. It was based on the information collected during the pandemic stage, which included the last third of the year 2021 and the first third of 2022. For the collection of information, a baseline instrument was elaborated, which measured the baseline mental health situation of the Honduran population in 17 of the departments (10). This was carried out in health care centers, both hospitals and first level health care facilities.

In order for a respondent to participate in the research, it was taken into account that he/she was 18 years of age or older, that he/she agreed to participate in the research

and that he/she had answered the main question about the perception of violence. There were no exclusions because all respondents had answered the main question and met the other inclusion criteria. To determine whether the number of respondents was adequate for the main crosstabs, statistical power was calculated, where there was adequate power for the crosstabs of general perception of violence versus sex (power = 100%), according to the amount of family income (power = 100% for all categories), marital status (power = 100% for all categories), alcohol consumption in the last 6 months (power = 98%), drug consumption in the last 6 months (power = 100%), history of mental illness (power = 100%) and versus depression (power = 100% for all categories).

The main variable was obtained through the question "if you have suffered domestic violence in the last 6 months", which was a semi-open question with one of four possible alternatives: no violence, or verbal, physical, psychological violence. For the individual analysis of each of the types of violence, they were crossed with each of the independent variables. But for the final analysis, the perception of violence was considered if one or more of the three categories (verbal, physical or psychological) was perceived, which was also crossed against the other variables. Secondary variables were age (in years completed), sex (male or female), amount of family income (<$400, $400-800, $801-1199, $1200-1600 and >$1600), marital status (common-law/cohabiting, single, married or widowed/divorced), alcohol use in the past 6 months (no or yes), drug use in the past 6 months (no or yes), history of mental illness (no or yes) and versus depression (have not, mild, moderate, severe or very severe).

To proceed with the data analysis, the information was first extracted from the database, where an author did the general filtering of the data to be analyzed. Then a second quality control was carried out by another of the authors, where the variables were categorized, labeled and a glossary was constructed. Then all this information was passed to the statistical program Stata (license obtained by the authors who carried out the analysis). Then a table was created showing the frequencies and percentages of the suffering of the three types of domestic violence, then three tables were generated where these types of violence were crossed according to the socio-pathological variables, where the p-values were obtained with the Wilcoxon test (for the age variable) and the chi-square (for the other variables). Then a final table was generated, where the dependent variable was having suffered at least one type of violence, also being crossed against the socio-pathological variables; in this step the prevalence ratios, 95% confidence intervals and p-values were obtained, this with the use of generalized linear models: Poisson family, log link function and adjusted models for obtaining robust variances). The p-value <0.05 was the criterion for a variable to pass from the bivariate to the multivariate model, as well as to determine statistical significance.

Ethics were always respected, the initial study was approved by a local ethics committee (2019-62), The survey was anonymous, and participants were informed of the objective of the study.

RESULTS

Of the 8442 Hondurans surveyed, 4.2% (352) perceived verbal violence, 1.9% (165) perceived physical violence and 1.3% (113) perceived psychological violence. When the 3 types of perceptions were added together, 97.9% (8265) did not perceive any violence, while 0.1% of respondents perceived all 3 types of violence in their homes during the last six months. Table **1**

Table 1. Frequencies and percentages of experiencing domestic violence in the last half year of the pandemic in Honduras.

Type of violence	Frequency	Percentage
Verbal abuse		
No	8090	95.8
Yes	352	4.2
Physical violence		
No	8277	98.1
Yes	165	1.9
Psychological violence		
No	8329	98.7
Yes	113	1.3
Sum of perceptions		
No violence of any kind	8265	97.9
1 type of violence	126	1.5
2 type of violence	45	0.5
3 type of violence	6	0.1

Verbal domestic violence was associated with gender (p=0.009), family income (p<0.001), marital status (p=0.013), alcohol consumption at home (p<0.001) or drug use (p<0.001), a history of mental illness (p<0.001) and depression (p<0.001). Table 2.

Table 2. Household verbal abuse associated with socio-pathological variables in the last half year of the pandemic in Honduras.

Variables	Verbal abuse		P value
	No n (%)	Yes n (%)	
Age*	35(26-49)	35 (26-48)	0.740
Gender			
Male	2915 (96.6)	103 (3.4)	0.009
Female	5175 (95.4)	249 (4.6)	
Family income (monthly)			
<400.00 ($)	2709 (94.6)	154 (5.4)	<0.001
400.00-800.00 ($)	2134 (95.9)	92 (4.1)	
801.00-1199.00 ($)	999 (97.2)	29 (2.8)	
1200.00-1600.00 ($)	446 (97.6)	11(2.4)	
>1600.00 ($)	570 (97.4)	15 (2.6)	
Marital status			
Unmarried/cohabitating partner	2821 (94.9)	151 (5.1)	0.013
Singles	2635 (96.2)	105 (3.8)	
Married	2269 (96.6)	79 (3.4)	
Widowed or divorced	365 (95.6)	17 (4.4)	
Consumption of alcohol**			
No	5894 (96.5)	212 (3.5)	<0.001
Yes	2196 (94.0)	140 (6.0)	
Consumption of drugs**			
No	7906 (96.1)	322 (3.9)	<0.001
Yes	184 (86.0)	30(14.0)	
Antecedent of mental illness			
No	7547 (96.2)	298 (3.8)	<0.001
Yes	543 (91.0)	54 (9.0)	
Depression***			
None	5972 (97.2)	174 (2.8)	<0.001

Mild	1668 (92.5)	136 (7.5)
Moderate	310 (91.7)	28 (8.3)
Severe	87 (89.7)	10 (10.3)
Highly severe	53 (93.0)	4 (7.0)

*Quantitative variable (median and interquartile ranges are shown). **Household consumption in the last six months. ***Measured with Hamilton's test. P values were obtained with Wilcoxon test (age) and chi-square.

Associated with physical violence at home were home use of alcohol (p=0.004) or drugs (p<0.001). history of mental illness (p<0.001) and depression (p<0.001). Table 3

Table 3. Physical violence at home associated with socio-pathological variables in the last half year of the pandemic in Honduras.

Variables	**Physical violence**		**P value**
	No n (%)	Yes **n (%)**	
Age*	35 (26-49)	36 (27-46)	0.741
Gender			
Male	2968 (98.3)	50 (1.7)	0.140
Female	5309 (97.9)	115(2.1)	
Family income (monthly)			
<400.00 ($)	2794 (97.6)	69 (2.4)	0.256
400.00-800.00 ($)	2177 (97.8)	49 (2.2)	
801.00-1199.00 ($)	1008 (98.1)	20 (1.9)	
1200.00-1600.00 ($)	452 (98.9)	5 (1.1)	
>1600.00 ($)	577 (98.6)	8 (1.4)	
Marital status			
Unmarried/cohabitating partner	2910 (97.9)	62 (2.1)	0.465
Singles	2691 (98.2)	49 (1.8)	
Married	2305 (98.2)	43 (1.8)	
Widows or divorced	371 (97.1)	11 (2.9)	
Consumption of alcohol**			
No	6003 (98.3)	103 (1.7)	0.004

Yes	2274 (97.4)	62 (2.6)	
Consumption of drugs**			
No	8078 (98.2)	150 (1.8)	<0.001
Yes	199 (93.0)	15 (7.0)	
Antecedent of mental illness			
No	7713 (98.3)	132 (1.7)	<0.001
Yes	564 (94.5)	33 (5.5)	
Depression ***			
None	6061 (98.6)	85 (1.4)	<0.001
Mild	1751 (97.1)	53 (2.9)	
Moderate	322(95.3)	16 (4.7)	
Severe	92 (94.9)	5 (5.1)	
Highly severe	51 (89.5)	6 (10.5)	

*Quantitative variable (median and interquartile ranges are shown). **Household consumption in the last six months. ***Measured with Hamilton's test. P values were obtained with Wilcoxon test (age) and chi-square.

Family income (p=0.031). home consumption of alcohol (p<0.001) or drugs (p<0.001). history of mental illness (p<0.001) and depression (p<0.001) were associated with verbal violence at home. Table 4

Table 4. Domiciliary sexual violence associated with socio-pathological variables in the last half year of the pandemic in Honduras.

Variables	Sexual violence		P value
	No n (%)	Si n (%)	
Age	35 (26-49)	36 (29-47)	0.808
Gender			
Male	2985 (98.9)	33 (1.1)	0.144
Female	5344 (98.5)	80 (1.5)	
Family income (monthly)			
<400.00 ($)	2810 (98.2)	53 (1.8)	0.031

400.00-800.00 ($)	2200 (98.8)	26 (1.2)	
801.00-1199.00 ($)	1017 (98.9)	11 (1.1)	
1200.00-1600.00 ($)	456 (99.8)	1 (0.2)	
>1600.00 ($)	576 (98.5)	9 (1.5)	
Marital status			
Unmarried/cohabitating partner	2927 (98.5)	45 (1.5)	0.554
Singles	2710 (98.9)	30 (1.1)	
Married	2316 (98.6)	32 (1.4)	
Widows or divorced	376 (98.4)	6 (1.6)	
Consumption of alcohol**			
No	6042 (99.0)	64 (1.0)	<0.001
Yes	2287 (97.9)	49 (2.1)	
Consumption of drugs**			
No	8124 (98.7)	104 (1.3)	<0.001
Yes	205 (95.8)	9 (4.2)	
Antecedent of mental illness			
No	7760 (98.9)	85 (1.1)	<0.001
Yes	569 (95.3)	28 (4.7)	
Depression***			
None	6098 (99.2)	48 (0.8)	<0.001
Mild	1753 (97.2)	51 (2.8)	
Moderate	328 (97.0)	10 (3.0)	
Severe	95 (97.9)	2 (2.1)	
Highly severe	55 (96.5)	2 (3.5)	

*Quantitative variable (median and interquartile ranges are shown). **Household consumption in the last six months. ***Measured with Hamilton's test. P values were obtained with Wilcoxon test (age) and chi-square.

In the multivariate analysis, it was found that women (PRa: 1.92; 95%CI: 1.33-2.77; p-value=0.001), those with a history of alcohol (PRa: 1.77; 95%CI: 1.24-2.52; p-value=0.002) or drug use (PRa: 2.08; 95%CI: 1.15-3.75; p-value=0.015), previous mental

illness (PRa: 2.94; 95%CI: 2.00-4.31; p-value<0.001), mild (RPa: 2.48; 95%CI: 1.75-3.53; p-value<0.001), moderate (RPa: 3.24; 95%CI: 1.85-5.70; p-value<0.001) or severe depression (RPa: 3.11; 95%CI: 1.15-8.39; p-value=0.025); on the contrary, there was less perception of domestic violence at higher economic income (all p-values were <0.029), among single (p=0.003) and married (p<0.001). **Table 5**

Table 5. Bivariate and multivariate analysis of factors associated with experiencing domestic violence in the last half year of the pandemic in Honduras.

Variable	Bivariate analysis	Multivariate analysis
Age*	0.998 (0.988-1.008) 0.685	Not entered in the final model
Gender female	1.68 (1.20-2.36) 0.003	1.92 (1.33-2.77) 0.001
Family income (monthly)		
<400.00 ($)	Comparison category	Comparison category
400.00-800.00 ($)	0.63 (0.44-0.90) 0.012	0.65 (0.45-0.93) 0.018
801.00-1199.00 ($)	0.39 (0.21-0.71) 0.002	0.40 (0.21-0.75) 0.004
1200.00-1600.00 ($)	0.36 (0.15-0.89) 0.027	0.36 (0.14-0.89) 0.028
>1600.00 ($)	0.34 (0.15-0.78) 0.010	0.30 (0.13-0.68) 0.004
Marital status		
Unmarried/cohabitating partner	Comparison category	Comparison category
Singles	0.51 (0.36-0.72) <0.001	0.56 (0.38-0.82) 0.003
Married	0.37 (0.24-0.56) <0.001	0.43 (0.27-0.68) <0.001
Widows or divorced	0.65 (0.32-1.32) 0.234	0.56 (0.25-1.26) 0.160
Consumption of alcohol**	1.52 (1.12-2.05) 0.007	1.77 (1.24-2.52) 0.002
Consumption of drugs**	3.05 (1.76-5.27) <0.001	2.08 (1.15-3.75) 0.015
Antecedent of mental illness	3.71 (2.63-5.25) <0.001	2.94 (2.00-4.31) <0.001
Depression***		
None	Comparison category	Comparison category
Mild	2.92 (2.14-3.98) <0.001	2.48 (1.75-3.53) <0.001
Moderate	3.03 (1.73-5.28) <0.001	3.24 (1.85-5.70) <0.001
Severe	3.77 (1.56-9.09) 0.003	3.11 (1.15-8.39) 0.025
Highly severe	2.57 (0.65-10.18) 0.180	1.40 (0.22-8.70) 0.721

*Quantitative variable. **Household consumption in the last six months. ***Measured with Hamilton's test. Prevalence ratios are shown to the left of the parentheses, 95% confidence intervals within the parentheses and p-values to the right (all obtained with generalized linear models: Poisson family, log link function and models adjusted for robust variances).

DISCUSIÓN

The objective of this study was to determine the factors associated with suffering from domestic violence during the pandemic in Honduras, since, Honduras does not have updated national studies, the 2017 Chavarria-Mejia study was conducted in two communities in Honduras, where they found that 79% of the 153 women over 16 years of age with a partner, had some type of domestic violence and 40% presented economic violence. Concluding that domestic violence in Honduras involves multiple factors, such as socioeconomic, cultural, religious, and represents a serious social problem with serious consequences. (11)

In another study on domestic violence in women in five communities in Honduras, the overall prevalence was 45% (737), the main type of violence was verbal 52% (383), but psychological violence was also important, 4% (31), sexual violence 19% (140) and physical violence 21% (151), predominantly in women between 20-39 years of age (12).

The 2019 ENDESA reported among women aged 15-49 years that 16% have experienced some type of violence (psychological, physical or sexual) by a husband/partner, 15% have suffered psychological violence, 6%, physical violence and 2% sexual violence. (8) This is aggravated by the report that practically once a day a woman dies in this country. (9).

As can be seen in the three previous studies, the report of violence has been obtained in different populations in Honduras, but above all among women and prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, therefore, this was obtained in a population that attends health facilities, which are generally those who have more access to health care and have other underlying diseases. In addition, the results we present are important to be able to have a current statistic and that is given after one of the most important events for public health in recent decades. (13).

The latter being very important because during the confinement that generated COVID-19, domestic violence increased due to the continuous contact between perpetrators and victims; an increase in cases has been reported in North America, Europe, Asia, the Pacific and Africa, especially during the first week of the blockade in each country. (14). A systematic review showed an increase in domestic violence following lockdowns. (15).

As a first important result, less than 5% of the respondents perceived some type of violence. These results are similar to those described in Germany, where an online survey of 3545 volunteers reported that 5% of all participants (5.1% of all women and

4.1% of all men) reported having experienced interpersonal violence (IPV), verbal (98% of all women and 100% of all men who experienced IPV), physical (38.4% of all women and 63.6% of all men who experienced IPV), or sexual (26.5% of all women and 50% of all men who experienced IPV) (16). This is relevant because of the large number of people affected globally, as calculated in the United States of America, and it affects the victim, families, co-workers and the community, causes a decrease in psychological and physical health, a decrease in quality of life and a decrease in productivity. (17) Therefore, these results should be considered exploratory, since the objective was not to extrapolate the data to the entire Honduran population, but they are important because they were taken from a large population in the most important departments of the country and at a time when the pandemic was still present among the respondents.

Another important result was that women had a higher frequency of perceived domestic violence. Knowing that there are global reports indicating that an estimated 243 million women and adolescents aged 15-49 years have been victims of physical and/or sexual violence at the hands of an intimate partner (18). A study conducted in 2020 in the United States reported that the prevalence of domestic violence during the COVID-19 pandemic was 18%; and that changes in income and employment increased the incidence of domestic violence by 1.63 times (19). In another study, also from 2020 in the USA, it was reported that the incidence of physical domestic violence against women was 1.8 times higher than before (20).

This is in addition to other important results that have been reported in other realities, such as in Peru in 2020 where a 48% increase in the number of calls made to the Domestic Violence Control and Assistance Unit was reported (21). A meta-analysis showing an increase in psychological/emotional as well as verbal violence in the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic (22). The World Vision report on domestic violence hotline calls during the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic shows that there was an increase in domestic violence cases worldwide; more specifically, in Argentina (25%), Bosnia and Herzegovina (22%), Brazil (18%), Chile (75%), Cyprus (47%), France (30%), India (32%); Lebanon (50%), Mexico (25%), Montenegro (27%), Singapore (33%), Spain (12%) and in the United States (22%); which were mainly related to intimate partner violence (23).

In South Africa, it was found that the fear and stress associated with pandemics can exacerbate intimate partner violence through control, manipulation and coercion tactics, as well as confinement measures that give abusers greater freedom to act without inspection or consequences (24). Latin America and the Caribbean experienced increases in unemployment, poverty, food insecurity, etc (25). The prevalence of mental health symptoms during the COVID-19 crisis in Latin America reported that anxiety levels were significantly higher than in other regions, such as China (25%; $p < 0.001$) (26). There was also an increase in reported cases of domestic violence, particularly toward child abuse and intimate partner violence against women (27). exacerbating the high rates of violence in the region, which were reported to be three times the global average before the pandemic (28). And at the national level, Honduras lives in a normalized environment of

violence, from the political violence that the country suffers, which is also influenced by living in a conservative environment and finding 60% of low educational level and 8% with no education; where it can be assumed that violence may not be identified or women are not allowed to talk about it (29). All these cases give us a picture of how we can be in an environment that generates greater abuse for women and other vulnerable populations, which should be evaluated with greater emphasis in future research.

We also found that the higher the level of family income, the less domestic violence there was. Kebede et al. analyzed Demographic and Health Survey data from 187716 women with partners aged 10-59 years from 20 low- and middle-income countries; where the findings suggest that the relationship between wealth and violence varies considerably in low- and middle-income countries (30). This is in addition to the fact that the economic and labor crisis created by the pandemic could increase global unemployment by almost 25 million, according to a new assessment by the International Labor Organization (ILO) (31). On the other hand, during the time of confinement, an increasing number of poor families do not have a source of daily income, which generates frustration and feelings of helplessness, all of which creates conditions for increased violence (32).

This is reinforced by the finding that a structured questionnaire on intimate partner violence found that wealthier and more empowered women reported less intimate partner violence, and that younger women and those living in rural areas tended to be more exposed to intimate partner violence (33). That, if added to the other factors that were generated by movement restrictions, increases in child abuse, Internet addiction, domestic violence and divorce consultations, as well as suicide rates (34). All of this together tells us of a large social component that is closely linked to the whole picture of violence, which should be addressed in more detail by sociologists, psychologists, and other professionals.

We also found in this study that the consumption of alcohol or drugs in the home was associated with a higher frequency of domestic violence, it should be noted that the relationship between the consumption of alcohol and psychoactive substances with domestic violence is already well established (35, 36). Having found clear evidence of a temporal association between episodes of alcohol consumption and subsequent episodes of occurrence of verbal and physical aggression (37). In addition, the acceptance of alcohol consumption by men normalizes consumption and amplifies other behaviors tolerated in macho cultures, such as the use of violence (38). This may also be due to the accumulation of stressful events, which, in the context of the current pandemic was precipitated by isolation, which favored the intensification of alcohol consumption within the family environment (39). A systematic review reported that frequent alcohol consumption by men increased the risk of perpetration of violence (40). Another meta-analysis showed that people at risk for domestic violence and substance abuse are chronically exposed to elevated levels of anxiety, highly stressful environments, and unfavorable economic situations (41). Because of all this evidence, it is important to

screen families who may have alcohol, drug, or other problems that are closely related to domestic violence.

Our results reported that less domestic violence was found among single and married people. This is important to analyze within Honduran society, which, like other Latin American countries, has an important machista component, where gender roles influence individual behavior and relationships, due to the power and control that men try to impose on the entire family (42). Therefore, what was reported is important as a starting point to determine the role that men, women and other members of the household play in the violence that may be perceived.

Yuan K, reported that women showed a higher prevalence of depression, anxiety and insomnia, women younger than 40 years or in the upper income level had a lower prevalence of depression and anxiety (43). Having a history of mental illness was also associated with a higher frequency of domestic violence. COVID-19 may have a substantial impact on mental health and well-being. Jung et al showed that 25% of participants reported pre-existing mental health problems and showed more depression and anxiety, less well-being, less sense of coherence, and worse coping skills in terms of the pandemic and actions taken (16). Thus, intimate partner violence against women is frequently and strongly associated with mental health problems (44). Vai reported that female sex, having a prior psychiatric diagnosis, and psychopathology at 1-month follow-up were moderators of depression in the post-COVID-19 syndrome (45).

Finally, depression was associated with suffering from domestic violence, Robinson 2022 reported that there was a small increase in mental health symptoms shortly after the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic in symptoms of depression and mood disorders, which tended to be higher and remained significantly elevated in May-July (46) A systematic review found that suggested risk factors for violence against women and girls after disasters include increased life stressors, law enforcement failure, exposure to high-risk environments, exacerbation of existing gender inequalities, and unequal social norms (47). Which is increased when there is domestic conflict and violence, financial loss, anxiety and depression, and pre-existing mental health conditions, all of which should be considered to prevent suicide attempts and deaths secondary to the COVID 19 pandemic (48).

Limitations

The main limitation was selection bias, since the data obtained cannot be extrapolated to the entire Honduran population; however, by obtaining good statistical power, the associations and results can be used to generate important conclusions that can be used for the elaboration of research that aims to have more associated variables, with a larger sample size and representativeness, as well as with more advanced designs. In addition, there could have been a possible bias due to the fact that some may have hidden or changed their answers out of fear or embarrassment; however, it is believed that, if it occurred, it was minimal, since the anonymous nature of the survey helped them to have the confidence to answer truthfully.

Conclusions:

From all the findings it is concluded that women had a higher frequency of domestic violence, the consumption of alcohol or drugs in the home, having a history of mental illnesses such as depression, likewise, there was less frequency of domestic violence according to a higher economic income in the home, between single and married people.

The inequality of opportunities, the responsibility of Honduran households that falls on women as heads of household, extreme poverty, and low schooling make women more vulnerable to domestic violence. Therefore, it is important to continue with this line of research in order to have real data at the national level and make decisions to address this problem.

Author Contributions: Study design: CM, EET, LIZ, and CASM Data collection: CM, RMGR and HNCR Data analysis: CM, DAC Writing: CM, EET, LIZ, CASM, RMGR, DAC, and HNCR, all authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The current article processing charges (publication fees) were funded by the Facultad de Ciencias Médicas (FCM) (2-03-01-01), Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras (UNAH), Tegucigalpa, MDC, Honduras, Central America (granted to Dra. Espinoza).

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted under the Declaration of Helsinki. This research's preparation and execution fully complied with the fundamental ethical principles of autonomy, justice, beneficence, and non-maleficence. The Act Number (2019062), approved by the Ethics Committee in Biomedical Research (CEIB) of the National Autonomous University of Honduras (UNAH), meeting of December 02, 2021.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: To all MSS of the cohort who agreed to participate in the project, from September to March 2021-2022 and from October-April 2021-2022.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Literature Cited

1. Organizacion de las naciones Unidas. ¿Qué es el abuso doméstico?; consultado 20 de 2022. Available from: URL: https://www.un.org/en/coronavirus/what-is-domestic-abuse.

2. WHO. Violence Against Women Prevalence Estimates,2018; 2021. Available from: URL: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/341337/9789240022256-eng.pdf?sequence=1.

3. El periodico. Las llamadas de víctimas del machismo suben el 20%; 2020 consultado 2022. Available from: URL:

https://www.elperiodico.com/es/sociedad/20200325/violencia-machista-aumento-coronavirus-7902723.

4. Kelly J MT. Coronavirus: Domestic abuse calls up 25% since lockdown, charity says; 2020 consultado 22 de 2022. Available from: URL: https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-52157620.

5. Radio France Internationale (RFI). Covid-19: Women trapped between a deadly virus and a deadly partner; 2020 consultado 22 de 2022. Available from: URL: Covid-19: Women trapped between a deadly virus and a deadly partner.

6. Allen-Ebrahimian B. China's domestic violence epidemic; 2020; consultado 2022. Available from: URL: https://www.axios.com/2020/03/07/china-domestic-violence-coronavirus-quarantine.

7. UN Women. The Shadow Pandemic: Violence against women during COVID-19; consultado 22 de 2022. Available from: URL: https://www.unwomen.org/es/news/in-focus/in-focus-gender-equality-in-covid-19-response/violence-against-women-during-covid-19.

8. Instituto Nacional de Estadísticas (INE). ENCUESTA NACIONAL DE DEMOGRAFÍA Y SALUD / ENCUESTA DE INDICADORES MÚLTIPLES POR CONGLOMERADOS (ENDESA/MICS 2019); 2019 consultado 2022. Available from: URL: https://www.ine.gob.hn/V3/imag-doc/2021/10/Informe-ENDESA-MICS-2019.pdf.

9. UNAH-ONV-IUDPAS. MUERTE VIOLENTA de mujeres y femicidios en Honduras [Boletín Infográfico]. Tegucigalpa, Honduras: Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Honduras; 2022.

10. Eleonora Espinoza Turcios* René Mauricio Gonzales Romero, Carlos Antonio Sosa Mendoza, Manuel Antonio Sierra Santos, Henry Noel Castro Ramos, Lysien Ivania Zambrano, José Armada and Christian R Mejia. Factors associated with hopelessness, depression and anxiety in the Honduran Central America population during the COVID-19 pandemic. IN PRESS; 2023.

11. Chavarria-Mejia J, Calix-Pineda D, Vasquez-Bonilla WO. CARACTERIZACIÓN DE VIOLENCIA DOMÉSTICA EN MUJERES MAYORES DE 16 AÑOS CON COMPAÑERO EN COMUNIDAD DE HONDURAS 2016. CIMEL 2017; 22(1).

12. Zavala GL, Montoya-Reales DA. Violencia contra la mujer en la relación de pareja; caracterización en cinco comunidades de Honduras. Rev. fac. cienc. méd. (Impr.) 2017:16–27. Available from: URL: https://pesquisa.bvsalud.org/portal/resource/pt/biblio-882646.

13. Arora T, Grey I, Östlundh L, Lam KBH, Omar OM, Arnone D. The prevalence of psychological consequences of COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. J Health Psychol 2022; 27(4):805–24.

14. Kourti A, Stavridou A, Panagouli E, Psaltopoulou T, Spiliopoulou C, Tsolia M et al. Domestic Violence During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review. Trauma Violence Abuse 2021:15248380211038690.

15. Piquero AR, Jennings WG, Jemison E, Kaukinen C, Knaul FM. Domestic violence during the COVID-19 pandemic - Evidence from a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Crim Justice 2021; 74:101806.

16. Jung S, Kneer J, Krüger THC. Mental Health, Sense of Coherence, and Interpersonal Violence during the COVID-19 Pandemic Lockdown in Germany. J Clin Med 2020; 9(11).

17. Houseman B, Semien G. StatPearls: Florida Domestic Violence. Treasure Island (FL); 2022.

18. UN woman. COVID-19 and Ending Violence Against Women and Girls; consultado 20 de 2022. Available from: URL: https://www.unwomen.org/sites/default/files/Headquarters/Attachments/Sections/Library/Publications/2020/Issue-brief-COVID-19-and-ending-violence-against-women-and-girls-en.pdf.

19. Jetelina KK, Knell G, Molsberry RJ. Changes in intimate partner violence during the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic in the USA. Inj Prev 2021; 27(1):93–7.

20. Gosangi B, Park H, Thomas R, Gujrathi R, Bay CP, Raja AS et al. Exacerbation of Physical Intimate Partner Violence during COVID-19 Pandemic. Radiology 2021; 298(1):E38-E45.

21. Agüero JM. COVID-19 and the rise of intimate partner violence. World Dev 2021; 137:105217.

22. Thiel F, Büechl VCS, Rehberg F, Mojahed A, Daniels JK, Schellong J et al. Changes in Prevalence and Severity of Domestic Violence During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review. Front Psychiatry 2022; 13:874183.

23. World Vision. Repercusions del COVID-19 UNA TORMENTA PERFECTA: MÁS MILLONES DE NIÑOS CORREN EL RIESGO DE SUFRIR VIOLENCIA DURANTE EL CONFINAMIENTO Y LA "NUEVA NORMALIDAD"; 2020. Available from: URL: https://www.wvi.org/sites/default/files/2020-06/COVID-19%20aftershocks_SP.pdf.

24. Bianca Dekel NA. 'I will rather be killed by corona than by him…': Experiences of abused women seeking shelter during South Africa's COVID-19 lockdown. Plos One 2021. Available from: URL: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0259275.

25. ECLAC. The recovery paradox in Latin America and the Caribbean Growth amid persisting structural problems: inequality, poverty and low investment and productivity; 2021. Available from: URL: https://www.cepal.org/en/publications/47059-recovery-paradox-latin-america-and-caribbean-growth-amid-persisting-structural.

26. Zhang SX, Batra K, Xu W, Liu T, Dong RK, Yin A et al. Mental disorder symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic in Latin America - a systematic review and meta-analysis. Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci 2022; 31:e23.

27. WHO. ADDRESSING VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN, WOMEN AND OLDER PEOPLE DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC: KEY ACTIONS; 2020.

Available from: URL: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/332458/WHO-2019-nCoV-Violence_actions-2020.1-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y.

28. PAHO. Violence in the Americas. What must be done?; 2020. Available from: URL: https://www.paho.org/en/documents/infographic-violence-americas-what-must-be-done.

29. Valladares D, Martínez Linares R, Pérez Ramirez I, Moncada G. Prevalencia de trastornos mentales en relación con violencia, resiliencia y estrategias de afrontamiento en Yoro, Choluteca y Cortés, junio, 2016. Portal de la Ciencia 2018:139–57.

30. Kebede S, van Harmelen A-L, Roman-Urrestarazu A. Wealth Inequality and Intimate Partner Violence: An Individual and Ecological Level Analysis Across 20 Countries. J Interpers Violence 2022; 37(17-18):NP15568-NP15593.

31. International labour organization. Almost 25 million jobs could be lost worldwide as a result of COVID-19, says ILO; consultado 21 de 2022. Available from: URL: https://www.ilo.org/global/about-the-ilo/newsroom/news/WCMS_738742/lang--en/index.htm.

32. Singh S, Roy D, Sinha K, Parveen S, Sharma G, Joshi G. Impact of COVID-19 and lockdown on mental health of children and adolescents: A narrative review with recommendations. Psychiatry Res 2020; 293:113429.

33. Coll CVN, Ewerling F, García-Moreno C, Hellwig F, Barros AJD. Intimate partner violence in 46 low-income and middle-income countries: an appraisal of the most vulnerable groups of women using national health surveys. BMJ Glob Health 2020; 5(1):e002208.

34. Saeko Kamoshida, Naoto Nihonmatsu, Koubun Wakashima. The relationship between family variables and family social problems during the COVID-19 pandemic. Plos One 2022. Available from: URL: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0270210.

35. Fonseca AM, Galduróz JCF, Tondowski CS, Noto AR. Alcohol-related domestic violence: a household survey in Brazil. Rev Saude Publica 2009; 43(5):743–9.

36. A violência doméstica a partir do discurso de mulheres agredidas; 2013. Available from: URL: http://pepsic.bvsalud.org/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=s1679-494x2013000100007.

37. Testa M, Derrick JL. A daily process examination of the temporal association between alcohol use and verbal and physical aggression in community couples. Psychol Addict Behav 2014; 28(1):127–38.

38. Bonnevie E, Kigozi G, Kairania R, Ssemanda JB, Nakyanjo N, Ddaaki WG et al. Alcohol use in fishing communities and men's willingness to participate in an alcohol, violence and HIV risk reduction intervention: qualitative findings from Rakai, Uganda. Cult Health Sex 2020; 22(3):275–91.

39. Campbell AM. An increasing risk of family violence during the Covid-19 pandemic: Strengthening community collaborations to save lives. Forensic Science International: Reports 2020; 2:100089.

40. Wright MMM, Kankkunen PM, Jokiniemi KS. Prevention interventions for interpersonal violence occurring under the influence of alcohol: A mixed method systematic review. J Adv Nurs 2022.

41. Abdo C, Miranda EP, Santos CS, Júnior JdB, Bernardo WM. Domestic violence and substance abuse during COVID19: A systematic review. Indian J Psychiatry 2020; 62(Suppl 3):S337-S342.

42. Mancera BM, Dorgo S, Provencio-Vasquez E. Risk Factors for Hispanic Male Intimate Partner Violence Perpetration. Am J Mens Health 2017; 11(4):969–83.

43. Yuan K, Zheng Y-B, Wang Y-J, Sun Y-K, Gong Y-M, Huang Y-T et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis on prevalence of and risk factors associated with depression, anxiety and insomnia in infectious diseases, including COVID-19: a call to action. Mol Psychiatry 2022; 27(8):3214–22.

44. Hameed M, O'Doherty L, Gilchrist G, Tirado-Muñoz J, Taft A, Chondros P et al. Psychological therapies for women who experience intimate partner violence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2020; 7(7):CD013017.

45. Vai B, Mazza MG, Delli Colli C, Foiselle M, Allen B, Benedetti F et al. Mental disorders and risk of COVID-19-related mortality, hospitalisation, and intensive care unit admission: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Psychiatry 2021; 8(9):797–812.

46. Robinson E, Sutin AR, Daly M, Jones A. A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal cohort studies comparing mental health before versus during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020. J Affect Disord 2022; 296:567–76.

47. Thurston AM, Stöckl H, Ranganathan M. Natural hazards, disasters and violence against women and girls: a global mixed-methods systematic review. BMJ Glob Health 2021; 6(4).

48. Pathirathna ML, Nandasena HMRKG, Atapattu AMMP, Weerasekara I. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on suicidal attempts and death rates: a systematic review. BMC Psychiatry 2022; 22(1):506.