TITLE

Effect of preoperative educational counselling about routine elements of peri-operative care on patient's experience through their first surgical journey: A Randomised Control Trial.

AUTHORS

Dr. Santosh Balakrishnan (corresponding author)

Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery,

MOSC Medical College Kolenchery

Feba Susan Kurian

Student, MOSC Medical College, Kolenchery

Dr. Joicy Els Jojo

PG Resident,

MOSC Medical College, Kolenchery

INTRODUCTION

Preoperative counselling refers to an educational intervention before surgery which aims at improving patient's knowledge, health and outcome [1]. Going through surgery can be a frightening event even when the surgery is relatively minor. Preoperative counselling aims to alleviate the fears of the patients and provide psychological preparation for the treatment experience. In pre-surgical counselling, patients are informed about the potential risks, complications and benefits of the surgery. The aim of our study was to investigate whether educating patients on those aspects of peri-operative care inherent to all surgical procedures but often unaddressed have a role in improving patient's overall surgical experience.

There have been studies looking into the effect of pre-operative counselling on individual aspects internationally like anxiety, BMI, serum cholesterol [3],[4], length of stay [4], pain [3]. However, we were unable to find literature pertaining to the relation between pre-operative counselling about routine elements of perioperative care on patient's experience through their first surgical journey.

ABSTRACT

Background

Preoperative counselling refers to an educational intervention before surgery which aims at improving patient's knowledge, health and outcome [1]. Studies have consistently shown that one of the major causes for patient dissatisfaction is lack of information about what is being done to them. According to Cohen F, Lazarus RS, it has been proven that a significant mode of coping with a stressful event is by obtaining information about it. It allows people to modify, avoid or minimize the impact of the situation, thereby reduces the degree to which it is appraised as stressful [2].

There have been many studies undertaken that has looked into the relation between preoperative counselling and various individual aspects surgical experience like anxiety, length of hospital stays, mobilization, satisfaction etc However, we were unable to find literature that shows relation between pre-operative counselling about routine elements of perioperative care and patient's overall surgical experience through their first surgical journey.

Hence, our study was a novel attempt to find whether educating patients on those aspects of peri-operative care inherent to all surgical procedures but often unaddressed have a role in improving patient's overall surgical experience.

Objective

To study the effect of pre-operative educational counselling about routine elements of peri-operative care on patient's experience through their first surgical journey in a tertiary care centre.

Methodology

IRB and IEC clearance was sought. Trial was registered in CTRI (Clinical Trial Registry -India) and registration number obtained. Patients charted for their first-time surgical procedures, who satisfy our inclusion criteria were enrolled into the Randomised Control Trial. In the absence of a suitable questionnaire in the regional language, one was developed, validated by an expert committee of 9 members (including 6 doctors,2 nurses, and a counsellor) and statistically validated by a qualified bio-statistician (using Cronbach's alpha). A pilot study was run on twenty patients to calculate sample size(n=62). Patients who receive pre-operative educational counselling about routine elements of peri-operative care were grouped as interventional group (group A) and patients who receive standard hospital care were grouped as control group (group B). After 48 hours of surgery, surgical experience questionnaire was administered by blinded assessor. Patient details obtained from medical records. (n=62 with 32 in group A and 30 in group B)

Overall surgical experience score was administered to all participants by blinded assessor using patient's responses on the five-point Likert scale

Statistical analysis of data entered in excel spreadsheet was be done using R software.

Results

It has been observed that there is significant difference between the overall surgical experience score of the interventional (SD =3.2) and control group (SD=6.44). The counselled group is more confident and are more satisfied with the overall surgical experience. Also, the counselled group has higher scores while comparing the individual domain scores that is -preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative surgical experience scores.

Conclusion

We observed that educating patients on those aspects of peri-operative care inherent to all surgical procedures but often unaddressed have a significant role in improving patient's overall surgical experience. pre-operative counselling elevated patient's confidence and improved their overall surgical experience. Hence, counselling pertaining to peri-operative care could be promoted among patients undergoing surgery which would improve their overall surgical experience.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

To study the effect of pre-operative educational counselling about routine elements of peri-operative care on patient's experience through their first surgical journey in a tertiary care centre.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Flow chart no-1 Study flow diagram (following CONSORT Guidelines)

IRB and IEC clearance was sought. Trial was registered in CTRI (Clinical Trial Registry -India) and registration number obtained. Patients charted for their first-time surgical procedures, who satisfy our inclusion criteria were enrolled into the Randomised Control Trial after taking informed consent. In the absence of a suitable questionnaire in the regional language, one was developed, validated by an expert committee of 9 members (including 6 doctors,2 nurses, and a counsellor) and statistically validated by a qualified bio-statistician (using Cronbach's alpha). A pilot study was run on twenty patients to calculate sample size(n=62).

SAMPLING

Participants in general surgery and urology who satisfied eligibility criteria and those who were undergoing first time surgery were serially recruited from the Operation Theatre register and randomization was done.

RANDOMISATION

Patients were Randomised into two groups by permuted block randomisation with allocation concealment.

Statistical analysis of data entered in excel spreadsheet was done using R software.

Study area: Department of General Surgery and Department of Urology, at a tertiary level teaching hospital in South India.

Study population: Patients undergoing general surgical and urological surgeries for the first time at tertiary level teaching hospital in South India.

Study definition: Pre-operative counselling: Refers to an educational intervention before surgery which aims at improving patient's knowledge, health behaviour, and outcome

Inclusion criteria: Inpatients admitted to surgery and urology wards at the study institute.

a) Age group:18-80 years

b) Patient's undergoing surgical procedures under general and spinal anaesthesia.

Exclusion criteria: a) Patients undergoing major surgeries requiring post-operative intensive care other than immediate recovery from anaesthesia.

b) Patients undergoing minor surgeries under local anaesthesia.

c)Patients undergoing emergency surgical procedures.

d)Patients who have had previous surgical experience other than obstetric procedures.

Sample size:

The sample size was calculated using the formula

$$n = \frac{2\sigma^2 (Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} + Z_{1-\beta})^2}{\mu_d^2}$$

$Z_{1-\alpha/2}$=1.96 [at 5% α]

$Z_{1-\beta}$ =0.84 [at 20% β]

σ = SD

μ_d^2 =clinically significant difference =5, obtained from our pilot study (with sample size=20)

Thus n=62

Study design: A Randomised Control trial.

Randomisation was done by permuted block randomisation with allocation concealment from investigator using opaque envelopes, that were opened only after participants are recruited.

Ethical considerations: Approval was obtained from institutional ethics committee.

- Informed consent was sought from each patient who takes part in this study.
- Confidentiality was strictly maintained regarding the personal details of the patient.
- No conflict of interests was expected to arise during this study

Data analysis:

- All categorical variables were summarized using frequency and percentage.
- Since the data followed normal distribution, quantitative variables of scores achieved were summarized as means and SDs.
- To study the effectiveness of pre-operative counselling about routine elements of peri-operative care on patient's experience through their first surgical journey, independent sample t test was performed, as data followed normality, $p < 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant.
- Statistical analysis performed using R software/SPSS software.

<u>Bias reduction</u>

1.Blinding of recruiter to group allocation till subject was recruited following which allocation envelope opened.

2.Assessment questionnaire delivered by member blinded to allocation.

3.Equal time spent speaking with subjects from both groups after group allocation to avoid subjective bias among recruited subjects on the same ward.

Study instruments

1.Case study form

2.Structured questionnaire

A standard validated questionnaire that would be applicable to our study population, and in the regional language was not available. Hence, a structured questionnaire was developed and validated by constituting an expert committee of nine members, comprising medical, paramedical, and non-medical staff. The questionnaire was statistically validated by checking the internal consistency (using Cronbach's α). Numeric value of the response was adapted as scores to quantitatively analyse response and compare outcomes between the groups.

3.Counselling Proforma

A structured counselling proforma was made that elaborated the matters on which patients were counselled. The headings under which patients were counselled are: -

1.Pre - operative investigations.

2.Explanation about pre-anaesthetic check process.

3.Pre-operative physical preparations.

4.Peri-operative dietary restriction.

5.Explanation about procedures in the morning of surgery.

6.Process of going through anaesthesia.

7.Explanation about immediate post-operative recovery and transfer.

8.Information about tubes, drains and dressings.

9.Confidence to move and walk with the advice of surgeon.

Flow chart number - 1

Study flow diagram (following CONSORT guidelines)

Institutional Review Board, Ethics Committee approval and permission to conduct the study and use the hospital medical records was obtained.

ENROLLMENT

Patients charted for their first-time surgical procedures who satisfied our inclusion criteria were interviewed for consent.(n=120)

Assessed for eligibility. (n=120) .

Excluded(n=56)

Not meeting inclusion criteria.

Socio demographic details were collected. The overall surgical experience was measured using five-point Likert's scale. Patients were randomised into two groups by permuted block randomization. **(n=64)**

ALLOCATION

GROUP A-Interventional

Patients who received pre-operative educational counselling about routine elements of peri-operative care in addition to standard hospital care. (n=32)

GROUP B –Comparator

Patients who received standard hospital care. (32)

FOLLOW UP

After 48 hours of surgery, surgical experience questionnaire was administered by blinded assessor using patient's responses on the five-point Likert scale. Patient details obtained from medical records.

Lost to follow up. (n=0)

Lost to follow up. (n=2)

Patient discharge before questionnaire was given.

ANALYSIS

Analysed (n=**32**)

Analysed (n=**30**)

- All categorical variables were summarized using frequency and percentage.
- Since the data followed normal distribution, quantitative variables of scores achieved were summarized as means and SDs.
- To study the effectiveness of pre-operative counselling about routine elements of peri-operative care on patient's experience through their first surgical journey, independent sample t test was performed, as data followed normality, $p < 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant.
- Statistical analysis performed using R software/SPSS software.

OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

Comparison of demographic variables, type of surgery and comorbidities between the counselled(trial) and uncounselled(control) groups.

Chi-square test/Fischer's exact test was performed to check is there any significant difference in the proportion of demographic variables (gender, education, profession, socioeconomic status), type of surgery, comorbidity between counselled and uncounselled to check comparability of the groups. No significant difference was noted and both groups were found to be comparable in all aspects other than the intervention under study. Since the data followed normal distribution, quantitative variables of scores achieved were summarised as means and Standard deviation (SDs).

Table 1

VARIABLES		GROUPS		Chi-square/Fishers exact test	p value
		counselled	uncounselled		
SEX					
	Male	21(59.4%)	19(63.4%)	0(chi-square test)	1
	Female	11(34.3%)	11(36.5%)		
EDUCATION					
	Graduate/ postgraduate	15(46.9%)	8(26.7%)	4.34(chi-square test)	0.23
	Higher secondary school	3(9.4%)	4(13.3%)		
	High school	7(21.9%)	13(43.3%)		
	Primary school	5(15.6%)	4(13.3%)		
	No formal education	2(6.2%)	1(3.3%)		
OCCUPATION					
	Employed	10(31.2%)	11(36.6%)	Fishers exact test	0.100
	Professional	5(15.6%)	2(6.7%)		
	Retired	3(9.4%)	0		
	Self employed	1(3.1%)	6(20%)		
	Unemployed	13(40.6%)	11(36.7%)		
SURGICAL SPECIALITY					
	General surgery	27(84.4%)	19(63.3%)	2.570	0.110
	Urology	5(15.6%)	11(36.7%)		
TYPE OF SURGERY					
	Lap	5(15.6%)	7(23.3%)	0.007	0.929
	Minimally invasive	18(56.2%)	19(63.3%)		
	Open	9(28.1%)	11(36.7%)		
CO-MORBIDITIES					
	Absent	24(75%)	20(67%)	0.522	0.470
	Present	8(25%)	10(33%)		
RURAL/URBAN					
	Rural	23(71.9%)	19(63.3%)	0.199	0.655
	Urban	9(28.1%)	11(36.7%)		

<u>Comparison of overall surgical experience score between counselled(trial) and uncounselled group(control).</u>

We performed independent sample t test to check is there any significant difference in the total surgical experience score as well as the domain scores that is domain 1=presurgical experience score, domain 2=intra surgical experience score, domain 3=postsurgical experience score between experimental and control group, as data follows normality. It is observed that surgical experience score of the experimental group is around 72 (SD =3.2) and in the control group 63(SD = 6.44). Hence it is observed that there is a 9-unit difference in the surgical experience score between the groups.

It is also observed that there is a significant difference in the average score between the control group and experimental group in all the 3 domain scores which is presurgical, intra surgical and postsurgical experience score.

Therefore, it is observed that there is a statistically significant difference in the surgical experience score between the groups. (p<0.001)

It is concluded that the intervention is effective in its objective.

Details are provided in the table below.

Table 2

Variable	**group**	**n**	**MEAN**	**SD**	**t STATISTIC**	**p value**
Overall surgical experience score	Counselled group	32	71.78	3.250	6.8	<0.001*
	Uncounselled group	30	62.93	6.443		
Presurgical experience score	Counselled group	32	33.3125	2.65716	3.76	<0.001*
	Uncounselled group	30	30.2667	3.65715		
Experience score during surgery	Counselled group	32	14.4688	.71772	5.75	<0.001*
	Uncounselled group	30	12.3000	2.00258		
Postsurgical experience score	Counselled group	32	24.0000	1.10716	7.93	<0.001*
	Uncounselled group	30	20.3667	2.32651		

(Significant p<0.005)


comparison of responses to positively framed questions regarding experience with surgical treatment.
120
100
80
60
40
20
0
counselled
uncounselled
q1
q2
q3
q5
q6
q7
q8
q9
q12
q14
q15
strongly agree
agree
neutral
disagree
strongly disagree


comparison of responses to negetively framed questions regarding experience with surgical treatrment.
120
100
80
60
40
20
0
counselled
uncounselled
q4
q10
q11
q13
strongly agree
agree
neutal
disagree
strongly disagree

DISCUSSION

Patients admitted for planned surgery are usually informed in detail about the procedure, it's need, benefits and risks as part of the consenting procedure. Very little information is provided to them about what to expect in terms of other routine elements of peri-operative hospital care and processes inherent to any surgical treatment journey, until they are actually subject to those processes. These processes include pre-operative investigations, explanation about pre-anaesthetic check process-operative physical preparations, peri-operative dietary restriction, explanation about procedures in the morning of surgery, process of going through anaesthesia, explanation about immediate post-operative recovery and transfer, information about tubes, drains and dressings, confidence to move and walk with the advice of the surgeon. The lack of knowledge of this routine process or their need could be a source of anxiety especially to patients going through a surgical treatment journey for the first time. While literature exist on the benefits of providing procedure related information to the patient on their treatment outcomes and care experiences, no literature was available on the impact of information about the routine perioperative care processes on a patient's confidence and experience with the surgical treatment process.

The aim of our research was to investigate whether educating patients on those aspects of peri-operative care inherent to all surgical procedures but often unaddressed have a role in improving patient's overall surgical experience. There is evidence for the positive effects of preoperative counselling on different variables post operatively [17],[18],[19].Since there were no literatures pertaining to measure effect of preoperative educational counselling about routine elements of peri-operative care on patient's experience through their first surgical journey, this was a novel attempt to calculate the difference in overall surgical experience score between counselled and uncounselled groups.

It was observed from our study that the mean surgical experience score of the counselled group was around 72 (SD =3.2) and in the uncounselled group it is 63(SD = 6.44). Hence it is observed that there is a significant difference of 9-units in the surgical experience score between the groups. ($p<0.05$). There is also a significant difference in the average domain scores between the trial group and control group all the in 3 domains. (Domain 1= presurgical, Domain 2= intra surgical and Domain 3= postsurgical experience score). It was also observed that the sum of overall agreement (agree + strongly agree) and disagreement (disagree + strongly disagree) of the patients in the 2 groups are almost equal. But when we consider strong agreement and strong disagreement, significant difference is observed between the 2 groups.96.8% of the trial group strongly agreed to the statement that they felt confident in going ahead with the surgery, while the strong agreement to the statement among the uncounselled population is 66.7%. It was notable to observe that the total number of patients in overall agreement to the same statement (agree + strongly agree) among the trial group was 100% while that in the control group was 93.4%, with the difference failing to reach statistical significance($p<0.05$), and the total disagreement (disagree +strongly disagree) in both the groups is 0%.Our findings suggest that such benefit can be enhanced in a patient's first surgical experience through pre-operative counselling about routine peri-operative processes in addition to the details about surgical treatment process and consent.

The similarity in general agreement or disagreement between the trial and control group can be attributed to the fact that patients had consented to surgery because of acceptance that they need to go through the procedure with all its inherent benefits despite the explained standard risks. The statistically significant difference in the levels of strong agreement or strong disagreement to questions pertaining to experiences during the treatment journey between the groups reflects the benefit conferred on the trial group by the intervention under study in improving confidence and treatment experience.

One of the most important determinants of patient satisfaction as stated by Padberg RM and Harris J is information or patient education. [5,6]. Studies have shown that satisfied patients have better health outcomes because they tend to the obey doctor's advices, refrain from malpractice litigations, comply with treatment regimens offered to him, attend follow up appointments, and ask for medical advices when required. [13,14,15]. A meta-analysis of 68 studies undertaken by Hathway indicates that patients who receive preoperative education have 20 percent favourable postoperative outcomes of physiological variables, (length of stay, sedatives used,

recovery, complications) and psychological variables (observed ratings of cooperation, scores of self-reported anxiety inventories, etc) compared with those patients who did not receive preoperative education. [8]. Our study too found favourable experience outcomes among the counselled group in various preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative period.

Advantages of our intervention for the patient, was reduction in treatment related stress and achieving greater confidence in the treatment process and care provided. Since attenuation of patient's stress response could aid faster recovery, we hypothesise that this could translate also to achievement of higher level of confidence and satisfaction among the treating team. The student researcher achieved greater understanding of patient experience in surgical care, found opportunity to develop communication skills through counselling and training in research methodology and scientific paper writing by undertaking this research project.

CONCLUSION

Preoperative educational counselling about routine elements of peri-operative care has a significant role in improving patient's experience through their first surgical journey. It has been observed that patients counselled about routine elements of peri-operative care in addition to actual surgical process demonstrate increased confidence and improved overall surgical experience through their first surgical journey. Hence, counselling regarding peri-operative care could be promoted among patients undergoing surgery to improve their overall surgical experience.

ACNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to my guide and the mentors in the department of general surgery, without whose help I could not have completed this study.

I am grateful to the Dean, Management and the Research department of my alma mater for enabling me to do a research study during my student days.

.

REFERENCES

1.Handoll HHG, Parker MJ. Conservative versus operative treatment for hip fractures in adults. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2008, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD000337. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000337.pub2

2.Cohen F, & Lazarus, R.S. (1973). Active coping processes, coping dispositions, and recovery from surgery. Psychosomatic Medicine ,35(5),375-389.Doi:10.1097/00006842-197309000-00002

3.Guo P. Preoperative education interventions to reduce anxiety and improve recovery among cardiac surgery patients: a review of randomised controlled trials. J Clin Nurs. 2015 Jan;24(1-2):34-46. doi: 10.1111/jocn.12618. Epub 2014 Jun 3. PMID: 24894181.

4.Goodman, H., Parsons, A., Davison, J., Preedy, M., Peters, E., Shuldham, C., et al. (2008) A Randomised Controlled Trial to Evaluate a Nurse-Led Programme of Support and Lifestyle Management for Patients Awaiting Cardiac Surgery "Fit for Surgery: Fit for Life" Study. European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 7, 189-195.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcnurse.2007.11.001.

5. Padberg RM, Padberg LF. Strengthening the effectiveness of patient education: applied principles of adult education. ONF 1990; 17:65.

6. Harris J. You can't ask if you don't know what to ask: a survey of the information needs and resources of hospital outpatients. N Z Med J 1992; 105:199–202.

7.HATHAWAY, DONNA Effect of Preoperative Instruction on Postoperative Outcomes, Nursing Research: September 1986 - Volume 35 - Issue 5 - p 269-275

8. Cupples SA. Effects of timing and reinforcement of preoperative education on knowledge and recovery of patients having coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Heart Lung 1991; 20:654–60.

9. Devine EC. Effects of psychoeducational care for adult surgical patients: a meta-analysis of 191 studies. Patient Educ Couns 1992; 19:129–42.

10. Sjöling M, Nordahl G, Olofsson N, Asplund K. The impact of preoperative information on state anxiety, postoperative pain and satisfaction with pain management. Patient Educ Couns 2003; 51:169 –76.

11. Gocen Z, Sen A, Unver B, Karatosun V, Gunal I. The effect of preoperative physiotherapy and education on the outcome of total hip replacement: a prospective Randomised controlled trial. Clin Rehabil 2004; 18:353–8.

12. Asilioglu K, Celik SS. The effect of preoperative education on anxiety of open cardiac surgery patients. Patient Educ Couns 2004; 53:65–70.

13. Aharony L, Strasser S. Patient satisfaction: what we know about and what we still need to explore. Med Care Rev 1993; 50:49–79.

14. Zeithaml VA, Parasuraman A, Berry LL. Delivering quality service: balancing customer perceptions and expectations. New York: The Free Press, 1990.

15. Angelopoulou P, Kangis P, Babis G. Private and public medicine: a comparison of quality perceptions. International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance Incorporating Leadership in Health Services 1998; 11:14–20.

16. A randomized controlled trial by Giraudet-Le Quintrec JS, Coste J, Vastel L, et al found that preoperative education decreased preoperative anxiety and pain in patients undergoing hip surgery[13].

17. Prouty A, Cooper M, Thomas P, et al. Multidisciplinary patient education.

18. Spalding NJ. Reducing anxiety by pre-operative education: make the future familiar. Occup Ther Int 2003; 10:278 –93.

19. Cheung LH, Callaghan P, Chang AM. A controlled trial of psychoeducational interventions in preparing Chinese women for elective hysterectomy. Int J Nurs Stud 2003; 40:207–16.

INFORMED CONSENT FORM

Patient ID No.

I/ (mother/father/guardian), residing at…… give my free and voluntary consent for the clinical

Study titled: "Effect of pre-operative counselling about routine elements of peri-operative care on patients experience through their first surgical journey "I have been informed in detail, to my full satisfaction, the nature and purpose of the above study in my own language. I understand that I have to give adequate and proper details regarding the questions. I have been explained that I can withdraw from the study at any time of my own will without affecting the treatment in any way. I have been explained about risks, benefits & confidentiality of the study and the need to give consent for access of my records and the publication of the results of the study.

I agree to give consent to participate as subject in this study.

Date and time..... Place.....

Patient's name..... Signature....

Mother/father/guardian name..... Signature.....

Name of the witness..... Signature.....

Name of the doctor.....

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION SHEET

I am (name of student investigator), doing a study on" Effect of pre-operative counselling about routine elements of peri-operative care on patient's experience through their first surgical journey" at a rural teaching hospital in Kerala. We would like you to participate in our study. By participating in this study, we will gather information related to your illness through personal interviews, clinical examination and by assessing your investigations. The objective of the study is to know more about the patient's overall experience of surgery after preoperative counselling at a rural teaching hospital in Kerala. No investigation will be done other than which are done as part of your treatment. There will not be any financial loss from you due to this study. Your participation is purely voluntary and you have the right to quit from the study at any time without affecting your treatment. The information collected for the study will be looked at by responsible persons (principal investigator, ethics committee members/ regulatory authorities) and will be kept confidential. You can contact me if you need more information or help. After completion of the study, the data will be used for publication.

- (Principal investigator) (mobile number)
- (Guide) (mobile number)

CASE STUDY FORM

1.NAME :
2.AGE :
3.SEX :
4.MARITAL STATUS:
5.EDUCATION :

Graduate or postgraduate	
Higher secondary school	
High school	
Primary school	
No formal education	

6.OCCUPATION;

Professional	
Employed	
Self employed	
Unemployed	
Retired	

7.TYPE OF SURGERY:
8.ANY COMORBIDITIES:
9.HOSPITAL NUMBER:
10.TYPE OF ANAESTHESIA:

SURGICAL EXPERIENCE QUESTIONNAIRE

METHOD: TRIAL NO.: DATE:

1.	I felt confident about going ahead with surgery				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
2.	I felt empowered to ask the questions and clear my doubts during pre-operative anaesthetic assessment				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
3.	I could ask about the details and the type of anaesthesia that would be given to me during pre-anaesthetic check-up.				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
4.	I felt apprehensive about signing the documents needed before surgery.				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
5.	I felt comfortable with preoperative fasting and diet restriction around surgery				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
6.	I was comfortable with pre operative epilation at the surgical site and other parts of my body.				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
7.	I was comfortable with nursing preparations which include giving enemas and laxatives preoperatively.				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
8.	I was confident that I would be well looked after during my period of surgery.				

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
9.	The process of anaesthetisation was as per my expectations.				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
10.	The last-minute checking of my name, ID number and final detail confirmation by the nurses and Dr's before anaesthesia as I lay on the operation table made me anxious.				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
11.	I did not know that I could ask for pain medications if pain persists after surgery.				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
12.	I felt confident that I could move and walk after surgery when advised by my surgeon.				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
13.	I felt anxious after noticing the dressings, tubes and drains attached to my body after surgery.				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
14.	I felt that I was provided enough information about events that would happen before, around the time of surgery and during recovery from surgery.				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
15.	I am satisfied with the care I received in connection with my surgery and post operative treatment.				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree

COUNSELLING PROFORMA

1.Preoperative investigations.

Explanation about preoperative evaluation which includes measuring of height, weight and vital signs, reviewing medication list with recommendations for how to take medications prior to surgery, reviewing medical, surgical and family history, physical examination, additional preoperative testing following your exam if deemed necessary. This may include, blood or urine tests, Xrays or an electrocardiogram.

2. Explanation about preanesthetic check process.

Enlightening the patient about arrival of anaesthetist and the process which includes taking affirmative history, estimation of blood haemoglobin, blood loss estimation, blood availabity. Educating about different types of anaesthesia [spinal /epidural/general], analgesics available during post operative Period and their right to ask for additional doses while in pain .We would also empower them to clarify their doubts and inform any known drug allergies or use of drugs during preoperative anaesthetic assessment .We would also inform them about the process of giving consent prior to induction of anaesthesia would sensitize them about the arrival of anaesthetist who would decide on the type of anaesthesia after taking the interest of patient into consideration ,after explaining the pros and cons of the procedure .Information about consent if blood transfusion is required is given to the patient .

3. Preoperative physical preparations. Information about preoperative epilation and its role in reducing surgical site infections will be explained to the patient. The patient would also be made aware of the chance of epilation in other areas away from the surgical site if necessary. Bowel preparations with laxatives or enemas will be explained to the patient.

4. Perioperative dietary restriction.

The patient is made aware of the reasons for having an empty stomach before any surgery or procedure that require anaesthesia such as to prevent nausea and to keep any food or liquid from entering into lungs.

5. Explanation about processes in the morning of surgery.

A brief idea about the processes in the morning of surgery will be explained to our patient right from arrival of trolly, preoperative epilation, verification of the details at gate, WHO callout and placing the patient on the OT table before anaesthesia, insertion of IV canula, premedication, induction of anaesthesia is given to the patient.

6. Process of going through anaesthesia. Information about types of anaesthesia in general, sedatives and analgesics, insertion of IV canula, and basic information regarding induction of anaesthesia is given to the patient.

7. Explanation about immediate postoperative recovery and transfer.

A brief idea about recovery and postoperative ICU setup, Oxygen supply through tubes in nose or mask is given to the patient. Continuous monitoring of BP and vitals will be done. Empowerment to ask for analgesic while in pain is given more times to the patient.

8. Information about tubes, drains, and dressings.

The patient will be counselled about the possibility of finding tubes or drains coming out of the body to assist with prevention of collecting fluid, blood or pus at the surgical site. They will also be counselled about the potential need for urinary catheter and its rationale. They are also informed that initial dressing may be large and it has no bearing on the intraoperative success or outcome of the operation.

9. Confidence to move and walk with the advice of surgeon

The patient is made aware that earlier he/she get out of bed and start walking, eating and drinking after the operation the better. Rehabilitation programs and other methods of recovery are introduced. Empowering patients to move and walk as advised by the surgeon and reassure them that no harm will come from such activity as advised by the treating doctor.