medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Cannabis and Microbiome: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.

May Soe Thu, M.Sc.
Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
Department of Infection Biology & Microbiomes, Faculty of Health and Life Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3GE, UK
mst.maysoethu@gmail.com

Thunnicha Ondee, PhD.
Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
thunnichaon@yahoo.com

Szaye Rawicha Hall
School of Life Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Science, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZB, UK
szayehall01@outlook.com

Tanawin Nopsopon, MD, MPH.
Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
School of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand
Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
tnopsopon@gmail.com

Ananya Jagota
Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
ananyajagota@gmail.com

Nattiya Hirankarn, MD, PhD.
Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
nattiyap@gmail.com

Krit Pongpirul, MD, MPH, PhD.* (Corresponding Author)
Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
Department of International Health, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA
Bumrungrad International Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand
doctorkrit@gmail.com

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Short Summary

As cannabis use is being legalized in more and more countries, it is important to observe how the use of cannabis affects the human body, particularly in those suffering from various clinical conditions. Using the qualitative data collected from the systematic review, it was found that cannabis use in adults with a range of different clinical conditions has associations with altering the human microbiome, a factor that must be considered in future studies of cannabis treatment for beneficial or detrimental effects on patients.

Keywords: Marijuana, Microbiome, Cannabis, THC, Endocannabinoids.

Registration ID: PROSPERO 2022 CRD42022354331

Word count (abstract): 343

Word count (full text): 3379

Abstract

Background: Cannabis is being legalized in many countries for therapeutic and recreational purposes. The cannabinoid compounds are mainly involved in the host metabolism under the endocannabinoid system, and they regulate the intestinal microbiota via an immunomodulatory mechanism leading to obtaining positive effects on the gastrointestinal and immunological systems.

Objective: The review is to systematically evaluate their association and the impact of the microbiome on cannabis treatment to support further clinical trials.

Methods: Utilizing online sources like PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) in *The Cochrane Library*, a literature search was carried out up to July 20, 2022. The search was restricted to adults with clinical abnormalities and the use of English. An examination of predetermined subgroups under various disease conditions was carried out. The data was combined quantitatively.

Result: The study comprised 9 studies with 2526 participants; two were clinical trials and the others were observational studies. One significant factor influencing microbiota variation was marijuana (MJ) usage [R^2=0.01, p=0.14]. In HIV patients who used MJ, there were elevated levels of *Fusobacterium* and *Anaerotruncus* and lower concentrations of *Dorea*. The genus *Rothia* was dominant, but *Capnocytophaga*, *Fusobacterium*, and *Porphyromonas* were low at the tongue site, whereas high *Selenomonas* and low *Streptococcus* were detected at the oral pharynx region in MJ users in oral cancer patients. Following cannabis use, *Lactobacilli* and *S. mutan* were increased in patients with musculoskeletal discomfort. Increases in the circulation of endocannabinoids are associated with an increase in SCFA-producing bacteria and a decrease in the proinflammatory species *Collinsella* in patients with knee arthritis. Lower *Prevotella:Bacteroides* ratios were more frequently linked to higher lifetime MJ consumption in those with cognitive impairments (p=0.052). Increased PEA excretion correlated with decreased microbial diversity, which caused anhedonia/amotivation to worsen. *A. muciniphila* was substantially more prevalent in obese patients after OEA administration.

Conclusion: Data from a systematic review suggest that cannabis usage and supplementation of medical cannabis in a variety of clinical problems had links with altering the human microbiome on gut, oral, rectal, and vaginal discharge. It must be taken into consideration in future research on the therapeutic effects of cannabis on patients.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Marijuana (MJ), or *Cannabis sativa*, has a long history of use both for therapeutic and recreational purposes. Chemical constituents of cannabis consist of the complex mixture of natural cannabinoids containing approximately 500 bioactive detected compounds with 70 different cannabinoids.[1] The most common chemical compounds are cannabidiol (CBD), a non-psychoactive cannabinoid molecule, and the psychoactive cannabinoid, δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) which were discovered in 1963 and 1964, respectively.[2]

Globally, cannabis is the drug that is used most frequently for psychoactive purposes after alcohol and cigarettes.[3] In 2020, the global cannabis user population was over 4% and it is nearly 6% among teenagers.[4] Even though there is little evidence that adult patients consume cannabis, its consumption in an older population aged ≥ 50 years has been elevated from 15.1% in 2014 to 23.6% in 2016 since legalization for medical use encourages former non-users to start using it.[5] In the United States, the utilization of cannabis is increasing for medical and recreational purposes while the potential risk is declining.[6-8] Even though there is little knowledge of the risks and advantages of it, they primarily utilize it to control the symptoms.

Cannabinoids have a well-established proven record as anti-inflammatory drugs with a wide array of immunosuppressive characteristics.[9] It was shown that CBD stimulated myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), which both *in vitro* and *in vivo* inhibited the proliferation of T cells.[10] It has also been demonstrated that THC can stimulate MDSCs without using toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) and then stimulates regulatory T cells (Tregs) that play a role in their differentiation and functions and secrete immunosuppressive cytokines such as interleukin-10 (IL-10) and transforming growth factor β (TGF-β).[11,12]

Cross-sectional research of primary care patients also indicated that those who use medical cannabis have more potential advantages than those who don't, but there are still negative effects.[13] From a systematic review of randomized clinical trials (RCTs) of medical cannabinoids, the serious adverse events are categorized into respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders, gastrointestinal disorders, nervous system disorders, cerebrovascular disorder, general disorders and administration-site conditions, renal and urinary disorders, neoplasm, psychiatric disorders, and others.[14] Furthermore, it is evident that the most frequent physical health reasons are to manage pain (53%), sleep (46%), headaches/migraines (35%), appetite (22%), and nausea/vomiting (21%), while the most prevalent mental health reasons are anxiety (52%), depression (40%), and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)/trauma (17%).[15]

A mutually beneficial symbiotic interaction exists between the host and the diverse gastrointestinal microbial population that can be found there.[16] Therefore, the gut microbiota plays a major role in host metabolism and is associated with the regulation of the inflammatory status of the host in the gut but is not limited to other organs like the brain.[17] The intestinal microbiota affects neurological, endocrine, and immunological networks through the gut-brain axis, which is the bilateral communication between the central and enteric nervous systems.[18,19] Recent study reveals that the gut microbiome plays a role in anxiety and depression-like behavior,[20] and clinical research indicates that these disease situations are characterized by an abundance of pro-inflammatory and short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) producing bacterial species.[21] This pathophysiology illustrates that dysbiosis may have either positive or negative consequences on the host's inflammatory condition.

The positive effects of cannabinoids on the gastrointestinal and immunological systems are lowering intestinal permeability, controlling intestinal bacteria, and reducing inflammation, according to earlier

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

preclinical studies.[22,23] Numerous active studies on cannabinoids in the field of natural medicines are underway at the moment since there is evidence to support their potential efficacy in the treatment of cardiovascular disease, cancer, and inflammation.[24-27] On the other hand, it was linked to detrimental impacts on both physical and mental health in a dose-response manner, with daily or nearly daily use being linked to worse results.[28]

The gut-brain axis has recently come to light as a mechanism by which intestinal bacteria can influence the physiology and inflammation of the central nervous system (CNS).[29] These mechanisms are dysregulated and then linked to altered blood-brain barrier permeability and neuroinflammation during dysbiosis.[29] *A. muciniphila*, one of the numerous bacterial species in the gut, contributes significantly to the regulation of the gut barrier and processes roughly 3–5% of the gut microbiota in healthy humans.[30,31] The regulation of intestinal barrier integrity, effects on immunological modulation and the enteroendocrine system, and mediators from the microbiome entering the body could all be implicated in this process.

The amount of endocannabinoids that have been linked to alterations in *Peptostreptococcaceae*, *Veillonellaceae*, and *Akkermansiaceae* has been found to elevate in response to dietary treatments using certain fatty acids.[32] In addition to enhancing the quality of life (QoL), cannabis use can affect endocannabinoids level and promote mucosal healing in people with ulcerative colitis (UC).[33] It has also been documented to favor immune suppression *in vivo* through the modulation of the endocannabinoids system using cannabinoids.[34]

In a mouse model of Staphylococcal enterotoxin B-induced acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), AEA treatment increased the abundance of beneficial bacteria producing short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) like butyrate as well as production of antimicrobial peptides and tight junction proteins, which are essential molecules sustaining epithelial barrier integrity in lung epithelial cells and decreased the pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae and *Pseudomonas.*[34] While examining the effectiveness of THC therapies, *Ruminococcus gnavus*, a good bacterium, was discovered to be more prevalent and pathogenic *A. muciniphila* in the gut and lungs was decreased along with the enrichment of propionic acid.[35] Another mouse study found that combining THC and CBD reduced the signs of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), which was characterized by an increase in anti-inflammatory cytokine production, a decline in pro-inflammatory cytokines, a reduction in mucin-degrading *A. muciniphila*, and a reversal of the high level of lipid polysaccharides.[36] Collectively, these findings indicate that cannabis affects the gut microbiome.

There are growing pieces of evidence that cannabis may promote healthy gut flora, communication between the gut and the brain, and overall strong gut health.[37,38] Cannabinoids can interact with their receptors in the gut and it has been used for hundreds of years to treat the symptoms of inflammation and gastrointestinal diseases, such as abdominal discomfort, cramps, diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting.[39] Hence, the systematic review would be the first to evaluate several observational studies in human and clinical trials using marijuana and cannabinoids on the alteration of the microbiota to treat various diseases, hoping to encourage additional thorough research studies.

Materials and Methods

Protocol and registration

The systematic literature review was registered on PROSPERO ID 2022 CRD42022354331.

Literature search

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

According to the PRISMA declaration guidelines, a thorough literature review and meta-analysis were conducted.[40] The study's inclusion and exclusion criteria were developed using the PICO/PECOs methodology.[41,42] Two of the authors (MT, TO, SH, and AJ) independently assessed each study for inclusion in the systematic review using the PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane databases. Discrepancies were settled through group discussion at each stage. The search was limited to cannabinoids and microbiome-related studies in the English language. Studies that were only conducted on animals or that weren't peer-reviewed were excluded. These databases consider both epidemiology and intervention research, with a focus on the results of any impacts of cannabis treatment and alteration to the microbiome that were extracted up until July 20, 2022.

Study selection

Before completing full-text reviews, two independent reviewers (MT, TO, SH, and AJ) independently evaluated papers for appropriate research using pre-established inclusion and exclusion criteria. All relevant full-text documents were retrieved to perform additional data extraction. Interventions examining the effects of any cannabis treatment and microbiome modification with or without any active or placebo controls were the defined inclusion criteria for the meta-analysis. The following were not taken into account: animal studies, in vitro research, review articles, peer-reviewed publications, protocols, letters, editorials, comments, suggestions, and guidelines. Arguments amongst the authors were resolved by consensus at every stage of the systematic review screening.

Data extraction

Independent reviewers (MT, TO, SH, and AJ) carried out the data extraction for the following variables: 1) Study characteristics, including author names, published year, study period, study type, country, sample size, and age range; 2) Baseline characteristics of the included studies, including participant information, study region, and clinical conditions gathered by patients and controls; 3) Subgroup evidence by distinct diagnostic health problem; and 4) adverse reactions of the MJ use. All relevant text, tables, and figures were examined during the data extraction process, and any discrepancies between writers were resolved through discussion or agreement.

Risk of bias

The independent two authors (MT, TO, SH, and AJ) assessed the risk of bias (ROB) in the extracted intervention studies. If the studies are non-randomized trials, ROBINS-I (Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized Studies - of Interventions) tool was applied to assess ROB.[43] For included cohort and case-control studies, the two independent authors performed the ROB assessment using the Newcastle - Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS) that was developed from an ongoing collaboration between the Universities of Newcastle, Australia, and Ottawa, Canada for quality assessment in meta-analysis.[44]

Subgroup analysis

The subgroup analysis of observational studies was performed by different types of clinical abnormalities and adverse events qualitatively.

Statistical analysis

The prevalence of the baseline characteristics was described by total number and percentage by the group. The overall mean age of included studies was calculated using combined mean and standard deviation (SD) techniques. For outcomes related to microbiome diversity in intervention trials, mean differences (MD) and a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

95% confidence interval (95% CI) between groups were provided. The clinical and methodological variability of participant characteristics, study time, study type, and study site were also evaluated qualitatively.

Results

Study selection

1,222 duplicate articles were deleted before screening the 4,022 articles that were found during the literature search across different databases. After analyzing the titles and abstracts of the first 2,800 studies, 2,766 publications were eliminated based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria. After that, we collected 30 articles for full-text screening and determined whether or not they qualified for a systematic review. 21 studies were among them that were disqualified for the reasons listed below: One editorial, one letter to the editor, one protocol, six incorrect study designs, and twelve non-peer-reviewed studies make up these papers. The systematic literature analysis finally included 9 studies (Figure 1).

Study characteristics

Among the included studies, it accounts for 1 case-control, 6 cohorts, and 2 clinical trials, with a total participation of 2,526 people spanning the research period from 1983 to 2021 (Table 1). It was contributed by 4 countries as the United States of America (USA), the Islamic Republic of Iran (Iran), the State of Israel, and the United Kingdom (UK). The study period was taken around 1-3 years. The age range of the population was 17-101 years.

Subject characteristics

We discovered that 43% of participants played a role as patients, whose ages ranged from 17 to 101, as opposed to control groups, whose ages ranged from 18 to 87. The controls had a lower mean age of 28.4 years, whereas the patients' average age is 56.5 years. Women make up more than 85% of the participants, with the USA contributing the most. Patients are more likely to suffer cognitive impairment (76.6%) than HIV infection cases (11.1%) and others (Table 2).

Risk of bias

The review includes 7 observational studies that were evaluated for ROB using the NOS assessment technique (Figure 2). Only one study, by Minichino et al., had a very high risk of biases since there is no description of the non-exposed cohort. Furthermore, the outcome assessors cannot be made blind, and no comment regarding follow-up was provided. In previous cohort studies conducted by Newman et al. and Vallejo et al., the inability to blind the outcome assessment and the lack of a description of the follow-up timeline were also discovered. The lack of a statement of case and control selection and exposure contributed to the significant risk of bias in case-control research by Kagen et al.

Because Payahoo et al. did not include the lipid profile analysis that was specified in their protocol, selection bias was present in the reported results of the RCT (Figure 3). The risk assessment to the non-RCT by Habib et al was performed well (Figure 4)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Qualitative analysis

We qualitatively analyzed the microbiota changes in the patients having different clinical conditions on the use of MJ/medical cannabis and supplementation of its compounds, also covering the microbial diversity and associating factors (Table 3).[45-53]

HIV infection

Male groups were studied by Fulcher et al. concerning the impact of MJ usage in HIV patients,[47] whereas female groups were studied by Vallejo et al.[52] In the first research, the same patients underwent 2 visits, during which time 49% of them used the MJ and 30% did likewise. The trichomonas infection found in rectal samples decreased throughout the 6 months from 14% to 5% (p=0.08) while gonorrhea and syphilis infections increased from 8% to 11% (p=0.66) and 0% to 5% (p=0.16), respectively. In the subsequent cohort research, only 15% of the 1380 female participants used the MJ, and 80 of them were treated as patients because they had recurrent bacterial vaginosis (BV). Between the participants with or without recurrent BV, sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) like trichomonas, genital herpes, gonorrhea, syphilis, chlamydia, and human papillomavirus (HPV) were evaluated. According to the assessment, there is a significant difference between the prevalence of gonorrhea in the BV group and other groups, which is 5% versus 1.3% (p=0.03).

Inflammation

Using data from a 6-week exercise intervention, Vijay et al. investigated the functional relationships between the endocannabinoid system and the gut microbiome in regulating inflammatory markers.[45] They discovered that changes in AEA were positively connected with butyrate, and increases in AEA and PEA were correlated with decreases in TNF-α and IL-6, statistically mediating one-third of the effect of the SCFAs on these cytokines. The fact that the EC system plays a role in the anti-inflammatory actions of SCFAs suggests that there may be more pathways involved in the control of the immune system by the gut microbiota.

Obesity

Obesity is characterized as an excessive buildup of fat that can be unhealthy for health and cause an inflammatory response.[54] Currently, herbal remedies are gaining popularity in the treatment of obesity and its co-morbidities, and *Cannabis sativa* derivatives are receiving a lot of attention. At the randomized double-blind controlled clinical trial, it was discovered that energy intake, fat, protein, and carbohydrate declined significantly ($p < 0.001$) in the OEA group.[50]

Cognitive deficits

Both mitochondrial (mt) dysfunction and gut dysbiosis also affect cognition. From the preliminary findings from Panee et al,[46] mitochondrial functions correlated positively with scores of Fluid Cognition and Flanker Inhibitory Control and Attention in MJ users, but not in non-users (interaction p= 0.0018–0.08).

Marijuana or Medical Cannabis

The dried leaves, flowers, stems, and seeds of the *Cannabis sativa* or *Cannabis indica* plant are referred to as marijuana.[55] The plant includes THC, a substance that alters perception, as well as other related substances. People used it in a variety of ways, including via smoking, inhaling, and as cannabis extracts.

Adverse events

Six patients (21.4%) reported symptoms including coughing and wheezing after using MJ cigarettes, while one patient (3.5%) suffered drowsiness, night sweats, systemic aspergillosis, and coughing bouts. Steven et al. reported these negative consequences, all of which went away quickly after quitting smoking MJ.[51]

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Discussion

The comprehensive study supported the link between cannabis usage and microbiota in a variety of patients suffering from oral illness, obesity, systemic aspergillosis, pain/inflammation, and HIV infection. The utilization of MJ was performed by substance use, oral capsules, or cigarette smoking, and the supplements used were the endocannabinoids such as 2-Arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG), N-arachidonoylethanolamine (AEA), Oleoylethanolamide (OEA), and Palmitoylethanolamide (PEA).

Approximately 77% of HIV patients were lifetime MJ users while the proportion of uninfected counterparts was 44.5% in a nationally representative sample.[56] Research in this area suggests that cannabinoids are anti-inflammatory in the setting of HIV through the effect of the endocannabinoid system in the gut and through stabilization of gut-blood barrier integrity.[57] Fulcher et al. revealed that MJ use in HIV patients is positively correlated with the increase of *Fusobacterium* and *Anaerotruncus* and negatively associated with the decrease in the abundance of *Dorea* organism.[47] Typically, MJ users in HIV patients engage in higher-risk sexual conduct or practices leading to bacterial vaginosis (BV) which is found to be characterized by the lack of *Lactobacilli* and the overgrowth of facultative anaerobic organisms.[52]

Musculoskeletal pain is the most common cause of chronic non-cancer pain, and the perceptions of these patients are that cannabis can help to relieve the pain with only minor adverse effects and improve psychological well-being.[58] In a clinical trial on patients with musculoskeletal pain using medical cannabis treatment, it found an elevation of *S. mutan* and *Lactobacilli* at 4th week even though the first was low at the first week.[49] It was unexpected to see levels of *S. mutans* or *Lactobacilli* rise after that. It is possible that cannabis either had a favorable or negative effect on these particular bacteria, promoting the growth of these two oral bacteria.

Newman et al found that genera are earlier shown to be enriched on head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) mucosa such as *Capnocytophaga*, *Fusobacterium*, and *Porphyromonas*, were at low levels at the tongue site in MJ users, while *Rothia*, which is found at depressed levels on HNSCC mucosa, was high.[53] At the oral pharynx site, differences in bacteria were distinct, with higher levels of *Selenomonas* and lower levels of *Streptococcus* which is what is seen in HNSCC. In samples taken from the lateral border of the tongue and the oral pharynx, which are both different when it comes to the MJ-linked microbiome, it was found that daily/almost daily inhalation of MJ over the previous month correlates with differently abundant taxa of the oral microbiome. The use of MJ is correlated with changes in bacteria levels, but it has not been established that it is the cause of how normal tissue develops into disease and then SCC. Furthermore, these changes were not consistent with malignancy. Lateral tongue sites demonstrated microbiological changes with MJ usage.

Moreover, the use of MJ is associated with alterations in gut microbiota and mitochondrial (mt) function, leading to further cognitive deficits.[46] It was associated with lower fruit and vegetable consumption and greater animal-based food consumption in both adults and adolescents. It also found that a larger lifetime MJ use tended to associate with a lower *Prevotella:Bacteriodes* ratio. The authors suggested that MJ use and associated dietary change contribute to microbiome alteration along with lower dietary intake of antioxidants and fibers.[46] In a recent microbial study between Alzheimer's disease (AD) and healthy subject, it revealed that different bacterial abundance in the patients was found at the genus level, such as *Anaerostipes*, *Mitsuokella*,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Prevotella, Bosea, Fusobacterium, Anaerotruncus, Clostridium, and *Coprobacillus.*[59] Therefore, the lower *Prevotella:Bacteriodes* ratio in adults with cognitive deficits could be likely associated with the use of MJ.

Obesity is obtained from an imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure and is recognized as chronic low-grade inflammation.[60,61] At the review, we found that OEA supplement use significantly decreased the energy and carbohydrate intake of obese participants, and *A. muciniphila* bacterium increased significantly in the OEA group compared to the placebo group, suggesting that OEA could be used as a supplement for obese people.[58] Furthermore, a clinical trial supported that this supplement could be effective to improve inflammation and oxidative stress in obese communities.[62]

Increases in SCFA-producing bacteria and decreases in the proinflammatory genus *Collinsella* are correlated with increases in endocannabinoid circulating levels.[45] Endocannabinoid system and SCFAs were increased with exercise and further revealed the strong correlation between elevation in endocannabinoids and reduction in proinflammatory cytokines. It is possible therefore that improved endocannabinoids induced by exercise may be mediating the shift in the gut microbiota to increased SCFA producers, thereby increasing the SCFA production without a dietary change.

Conclusion

Our goal was to compile a comprehensive picture of the microbiome associated with MJ use. Since the acquired data was limited by a variety of pathological conditions, the meta-analysis was not able to be conducted. In the systematic review, it was reported that both the MJ use or endocannabinoid supplements and the GI microbiota can individually contribute to the manifestation of pain and others. Despite the limited literature dedicated to these interactions to date, this should be anticipated for further work in this exciting research field.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Abbreviations

CENTRAL: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials; CIs: Confidence intervals; GRADE: Grading of Recommendation Assessment, Development and Evaluation; NOS: Newcastle - Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale; PRISMA-P: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-analysis Protocols; RCTs: Randomized controlled trials; ROBINS-I: Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized Studies - of Interventions; US: United States.

Acknowledgements

None

Authors' contributions

MST: Conceptualization (supporting); Methodology (lead); Writing – original draft (lead); Writing – review and editing (supporting); Formal analysis (lead); Software (lead). TO: Conceptualization (supporting); Writing – review and editing (supporting). SR: Conceptualization (supporting); Writing – original draft (supporting); Writing – review and editing (supporting); Formal analysis (supporting). TN: Conceptualization (supporting); Resources (equal). AJ: Conceptualization (supporting); Formal analysis (supporting). NH: Conceptualization (lead); Writing – review and editing (supporting). KP: Conceptualization (lead); Resources (equal); Writing – review and editing (lead); Supervision (lead). KP is the guarantor of the review.

Funding

This study was funded by the Department of Thai Traditional and Alternative Medicine (DTAM).

Availability of data and materials

All data produced in the present work are contained in the manuscript as supplementary files.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

References

1. Elsohly MA, Slade D. Chemical constituents of marijuana: the complex mixture of natural cannabinoids. Life Sci. 2005;78(5):539-48.
2. Pertwee RG. Cannabinoid pharmacology: the first 66 years. Br J Pharmacol. 2006;147 Suppl 1:S163-71.
3. UN Office on Drugs and Crime. World drug report 2021: United Nations publication, Sales No. E.21.XI.8; 2021.
4. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. World drug report 2022 2022 [updated 2022; cited 2022. Available from: https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/data-and-analysis/wdr2022_annex.html.
5. Subbaraman MS, Kerr WC. Cannabis use frequency, route of administration, and co-use with alcohol among older adults in Washington state. J Cannabis Res. 2021;3(1):17.
6. Compton WM, Han B, Jones CM, et al. Marijuana use and use disorders in adults in the USA, 2002-14: analysis of annual cross-sectional surveys. Lancet Psychiatry. 2016;3(10):954-64.
7. Hasin DS. US Epidemiology of Cannabis Use and Associated Problems. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2018;43(1):195-212.
8. Compton WM, Han B, Hughes A, et al. Use of Marijuana for Medical Purposes Among Adults in the United States. JAMA. 2017;317(2):209-11.
9. Giacobbe J, Marrocu A, Di Benedetto MG, et al. A systematic, integrative review of the effects of the endocannabinoid system on inflammation and neurogenesis in animal models of affective disorders. Brain Behav Immun. 2021;93:353-67.
10. Elliott DM, Singh N, Nagarkatti M, et al. Cannabidiol Attenuates Experimental Autoimmune Encephalomyelitis Model of Multiple Sclerosis Through Induction of Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells. Front Immunol. 2018;9:1782.
11. Hegde VL, Nagarkatti M, Nagarkatti PS. Cannabinoid receptor activation leads to massive mobilization of myeloid-derived suppressor cells with potent immunosuppressive properties. Eur J Immunol. 2010;40(12):3358-71.
12. Berg BB, Soares JS, Paiva IR, et al. Cannabidiol Enhances Intestinal Cannabinoid Receptor Type 2 Receptor Expression and Activation Increasing Regulatory T Cells and Reduces Murine Acute Graft-versus-Host Disease without Interfering with the Graft-versus-Leukemia Response. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2021;377(2):273-83.
13. Matson TE, Carrell DS, Bobb JF, et al. Prevalence of Medical Cannabis Use and Associated Health Conditions Documented in Electronic Health Records Among Primary Care Patients in Washington State. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4(5):e219375.
14. Wang T, Collet JP, Shapiro S, et al. Adverse effects of medical cannabinoids: a systematic review. CMAJ. 2008;178(13):1669-78.
15. Leung J, Chan G, Stjepanovic D, et al. Prevalence and self-reported reasons of cannabis use for medical purposes in USA and Canada. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2022;239(5):1509-19.
16. Yan F. Mechanistic Understanding of the Symbiotic Relationship Between the Gut Microbiota and the Host: An Avenue Toward Therapeutic Applications. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;10(4):853-4.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

17. Dopkins N, Nagarkatti PS, Nagarkatti M. The role of gut microbiome and associated metabolome in the regulation of neuroinflammation in multiple sclerosis and its implications in attenuating chronic inflammation in other inflammatory and autoimmune disorders. Immunology. 2018;154(2):178-85.
18. Lu J, Hou W, Gao S, et al. The Role of Gut Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis in Perioperative Neurocognitive Dysfunction. Front Pharmacol. 2022;13:879745.
19. Carabotti M, Scirocco A, Maselli MA, et al. The gut-brain axis: interactions between enteric microbiota, central and enteric nervous systems. Ann Gastroenterol. 2015;28(2):203-9.
20. Simpson CA, Diaz-Arteche C, Eliby D, et al. The gut microbiota in anxiety and depression - A systematic review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2021;83:101943.
21. Tian P, Wang G, Zhao J, et al. Bifidobacterium with the role of 5-hydroxytryptophan synthesis regulation alleviates the symptom of depression and related microbiota dysbiosis. J Nutr Biochem. 2019;66:43-51.
22. Tanasescu R, Constantinescu CS. Cannabinoids and the immune system: an overview. Immunobiology. 2010;215(8):588-97.
23. Nasser Y, Woo M, Andrews CN. Cannabis in Gastroenterology: Watch Your Head! A Review of Use in Inflammatory Bowel Disease, Functional Gut Disorders, and Gut-Related Adverse Effects. Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol. 2020;18(4):519-30.
24. Ligresti A, Moriello AS, Starowicz K, et al. Antitumor activity of plant cannabinoids with emphasis on the effect of cannabidiol on human breast carcinoma. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2006;318(3):1375-87.
25. Zuardi AW. Cannabidiol: from an inactive cannabinoid to a drug with wide spectrum of action. Braz J Psychiatry. 2008;30(3):271-80.
26. Wilkinson JD, Williamson EM. Cannabinoids inhibit human keratinocyte proliferation through a non-CB1/CB2 mechanism and have a potential therapeutic value in the treatment of psoriasis. J Dermatol Sci. 2007;45(2):87-92.
27. National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine (U.S.). Committee on the Health Effects of Marijuana: an Evidence Review and Research Agenda. The health effects of cannabis and cannabinoids : the current state of evidence and recommendations for research. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2017. xviii, 468 pages p.
28. Memedovich KA, Dowsett LE, Spackman E, et al. The adverse health effects and harms related to marijuana use: an overview review. CMAJ Open. 2018;6(3):E339-E46.
29. Rutsch A, Kantsjo JB, Ronchi F. The Gut-Brain Axis: How Microbiota and Host Inflammasome Influence Brain Physiology and Pathology. Front Immunol. 2020;11:604179.
30. Derrien M, Vaughan EE, Plugge CM, et al. Akkermansia muciniphila gen. nov., sp. nov., a human intestinal mucin-degrading bacterium. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2004;54(Pt 5):1469-76.
31. Belzer C, de Vos WM. Microbes inside--from diversity to function: the case of Akkermansia. ISME J. 2012;6(8):1449-58.
32. Castonguay-Paradis S, Lacroix S, Rochefort G, et al. Dietary fatty acid intake and gut microbiota determine circulating endocannabinoidome signaling beyond the effect of body fat. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):15975.
33. Tartakover Matalon S, Azar S, Meiri D, et al. Endocannabinoid Levels in Ulcerative Colitis Patients Correlate With Clinical Parameters and Are Affected by Cannabis Consumption. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2021;12:685289.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

34. Sultan M, Wilson K, Abdulla OA, et al. Endocannabinoid Anandamide Attenuates Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome through Modulation of Microbiome in the Gut-Lung Axis. Cells. 2021;10(12).
35. Mohammed A, Alghetaa HK, Zhou J, et al. Protective effects of Delta(9) -tetrahydrocannabinol against enterotoxin-induced acute respiratory distress syndrome are mediated by modulation of microbiota. Br J Pharmacol. 2020;177(22):5078-95.
36. Al-Ghezi ZZ, Busbee PB, Alghetaa H, et al. Combination of cannabinoids, delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) and cannabidiol (CBD), mitigates experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) by altering the gut microbiome. Brain Behav Immun. 2019;82:25-35.
37. Kalaydina R-V, Qorri B, Szewczuk MR, editors. Preventing Negative Shifts in Gut Microbiota with Cannabis Therapy: Implications for Colorectal Cancer2017.
38. Karoly HC, Mueller RL, Bidwell LC, et al. Cannabinoids and the Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis: Emerging Effects of Cannabidiol and Potential Applications to Alcohol Use Disorders. Alcohol Clin Exp Res. 2020;44(2):340-53.
39. Ahmed W, Katz S. Therapeutic Use of Cannabis in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y). 2016;12(11):668-79.
40. Page MJ, Moher D, Bossuyt PM, et al. PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n160.
41. Huang X, Lin J, Demner-Fushman D. Evaluation of PICO as a knowledge representation for clinical questions. AMIA Annu Symp Proc. 2006:359-63.
42. Morgan RL, Whaley P, Thayer KA, et al. Identifying the PECO: A framework for formulating good questions to explore the association of environmental and other exposures with health outcomes. Environ Int. 2018;121(Pt 1):1027-31.
43. Sterne JA, Hernan MA, Reeves BC, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ. 2016;355:i4919.
44. GA Wells, B Shea, D O'Connell, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses Ottawa Hospital Research Institute: Ottawa Hospital Research Institute; 2021 [cited 2021. Available from: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp.
45. Vijay A, Kouraki A, Gohir S, et al. The anti-inflammatory effect of bacterial short chain fatty acids is partially mediated by endocannabinoids. Gut Microbes. 2021;13(1):1997559.
46. Panee J, Gerschenson M, Chang L. Associations Between Microbiota, Mitochondrial Function, and Cognition in Chronic Marijuana Users. J Neuroimmune Pharmacol. 2018;13(1):113-22.
47. Fulcher JA, Hussain SK, Cook R, et al. Effects of Substance Use and Sex Practices on the Intestinal Microbiome During HIV-1 Infection. J Infect Dis. 2018;218(10):1560-70.
48. Minichino A, Jackson MA, Francesconi M, et al. Endocannabinoid system mediates the association between gut-microbial diversity and anhedonia/amotivation in a general population cohort. Mol Psychiatry. 2021;26(11):6269-76.
49. Habib G, Steinberg D, Jabbour A. The impact of medical cannabis consumption on the oral flora and saliva. PLoS One. 2021;16(2):e0247044.
50. Payahoo L, Khajebishak Y, Alivand MR, et al. Investigation the effect of oleoylethanolamide supplementation on the abundance of Akkermansia muciniphila bacterium and the dietary intakes in people

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

with obesity: A randomized clinical trial. Appetite. 2019;141((Payahoo L.; Khajebishak Y.) Assistant Professor of Nutrition Sciences, Maragheh University of Medical Sciences, Maragheh, Iran(Alivand M.R.) Department of Medical Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran(Soleiman).

51. Kagen SL, Kurup VP, Sohnle PG, et al. Marijuana smoking and fungal sensitization. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1983;71(4):389-93.
52. Vallejo V, Shan W, Ilagan JG, et al. Marijuana use in women of reproductive age and recurrent bacterial Vaginosis. Journal of Reproductive Medicine. 2021;66(9-10):256-62.
53. Newman T, Krishnan LP, Lee J, et al. Microbiomic differences at cancer-prone oral mucosa sites with marijuana usage. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):12697.
54. Cavalheiro E, Costa AB, Salla DH, et al. Cannabis sativa as a Treatment for Obesity: From Anti-Inflammatory Indirect Support to a Promising Metabolic Re-Establishment Target. Cannabis Cannabinoid Res. 2022;7(2):135-51.
55. NIDA. Cannabis (Marijuana) DrugFacts [Internet]. National Institutes of Health; 2019 [cited 2022. Available from: https://nida.nih.gov/publications/drugfacts/cannabis-marijuana.
56. Shiau S, Arpadi SM, Yin MT, et al. Patterns of drug use and HIV infection among adults in a nationally representative sample. Addict Behav. 2017;68:39-44.
57. Ellis RJ, Wilson N, Peterson S. Cannabis and Inflammation in HIV: A Review of Human and Animal Studies. Viruses. 2021;13(8).
58. Furrer D, Kroger E, Marcotte M, et al. Cannabis against chronic musculoskeletal pain: a scoping review on users and their perceptions. J Cannabis Res. 2021;3(1):41.
59. Wang Y, Li L, Zhao X, et al. Intestinal Microflora Changes in Patients with Mild Alzheimer's Disease in a Chinese Cohort. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease. 2022;88:563-75.
60. Calder PC, Ahluwalia N, Brouns F, et al. Dietary factors and low-grade inflammation in relation to overweight and obesity. Br J Nutr. 2011;106 Suppl 3:S5-78.
61. Fallah-Fini S, Rahmandad H, Huang TT, et al. Modeling US adult obesity trends: a system dynamics model for estimating energy imbalance gap. Am J Public Health. 2014;104(7):1230-9.
62. Payahoo L, Khajebishak Y, Asghari Jafarabadi M, et al. Oleoylethanolamide Supplementation Reduces Inflammation and Oxidative Stress in Obese People: A Clinical Trial. Adv Pharm Bull. 2018;8(3):479-87.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the included studies

#	Author	Published year	Study period	Study type	Country	Sample size	Age range	Ref.
1	Fulcher	2018	2014 - 2016	Cohort study (Retrospective)	USA	37	28-39	(50)
2	Habib	2021	2019 - 2020	Non-randomized clinical trial	Israel	16	27-78	(52)
3	Kagen	1983	1982	Case control study	USA	38	17 - 36	(54)
4	Minichino	2021	-	Cohort study	UK	786	18 -101	(51)
5	Newman	2019	-	Cohort study	USA	39	18 - 58	(56)
6	Panee	2018	-	Cohort study	USA	39	21 - 36	(49)
7	Payahoo	2019	2016 - 2018	Randomized double-blind clinical trial	Iran	56	18 - 59	(53)
8	Vallejo	2021	2019	Cohort study (Retrospective)	USA	1380	20-34	(55)
9	Vijay	2021	2018 - 2020	Cohort study (Longitudinal)	UK	78	>45	(48)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Baseline characteristics of the included clinical trials

Characteristics	Patients	Controls
Participants, n (%)	1051 (43)	1418 (57)
Age range	17-101	18-87
Age, mean (SD)	56.5 (14.3)	28.4 (11.3)
Gender, n (%)		
Male	154 (14.7)	47 (3.3)
Female	897 (85.3)	1361 (96)
NA	0 (0)	10 (0.7)
Region, n (%)		
USA	201 (18.8)	1389 (96.6)
Iran	28 (2.6)	10 (0.7)
Israel	37 (3.5)	20 (1.4)
UK	806 (75.2)	19 (1.3)
Clinical consideration, n (%)		
HIV infection	117 (11.1)	
Pain/Inflammation	54 (5.1)	
Systemic aspergillosis	28 (2.7)	
Obesity	27 (2.6)	
Cognitive deficits	805 (76.6)	
Oral disease	20 (1.9)	

Footnote: n (%): number (Percent), SD: standard deviation, NA: Not available.

Table 3. Qualitative analysis of microbial changes on the use of cannabis

Author (Year)	Study design	Clinical background	Cannabis Treatment/ Consumption	Methodology	Microbiome changes	Summary
Fulcher et al. (2018)	Retrospective cohort study	HIV-1 infection	Self-reported Marijuana (MJ) use in 2 visits separated by 6 months	Illumina sequencing on rectal sponge specimens	Positive association: *Clostridium_IV, Solobacterium, Fusobacterium, Ruminococcus*. Negative association: *Acidaminococcus, Prevotella, Dialister, Anaerostipes, Dorea*	MJ use were among the most important drivers of microbiome variation [R^2=0.01, p=0.14] and was associated with overabundant *Fusobacterium* and *Anaerotruncus* and a decreased abundance of *Dorea* organisms.
Vallejo et al (2021)	Retrospective cohort study	HIV infection with vaginal discharge	Self-reported MJ use	Affirm Vaginal Pathogens DNA Direct Probe on vaginal discharge	Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is characterized by the lack of *Lactobacilli* and the overgrowth of facultative anaerobic organisms (such as *Gardnerella vaginalis, Prevotella, Bacteroides*, and *Peptostreptococcus*).	MJ use in reproductive-age women increases the odds of developing recurrent BV by two-fold (aOR 2.05; 95% CI 1.19–3.44), adjusting for confounders including age, ethnicity, use of birth control, insurance type, and asthma. History of asthma was shown to be a statistically significant confounder for MJ use in recurrent BV.
Habib et al (2021)	Non-randomized Clinical trial	Musculo-skeletal pain	Medical cannabis treatment at week 0, 1, and 4	Caries risk test bacteria kit on saliva	The growth score of *S. mutan* was decreased at week 1 and raised at week 4. The *Lactobacilli* growth score was increased at both timepoints.	Medical cannabis use has no effect on saliva volume or pH, but may be associated with levels of oral microbiome organisms in saliva.
Vijay et al (2021)	Longitudinal cohort study	knee arthritis	Boosting 2-Arachido-noylglycerol (2-AG), N-arachidonoyl-ethanolamine (AEA), Oleoylethanolamide (OEA), and Palmi-toylethanolamide (PEA) in the circula-tion by exercises	illumina 16s rRNA gene sequencing on fecal samples	At baseline, AEA and OEA were positively associated with α-diversity significantly and with SCFA producing bacteria such as *Bifidobacterium* (2-AG, $P < 0.01$; PEA, $P < 0.01$ respectively), *Coprococcus* 3 and *Faecalibacterium* (PEA, $P < 0.01$) and negatively associated with *Collinsella* (AEA, $P = 0.004$).	Using an exercise intervention, an increase in SCFA-producing bacteria and decrease in the proinflammatory genus *Collinsella* are correlated with increases in endocannabinoid circulating levels. Approximately one third of the anti-inflammatory downstream effects of SCFAs are statistically mediated by endocannabinoid but approximately two thirds of the effect of SCFAs on cytokines appears not to be related to it.
Kagen et al (1983)	Case control study	Systemic aspergillosis	MJ smokers from 6 months to 14 years	Microbial culture from sputum and nasal secretions	Fungi found in MJ users: *A. fumigutus, A. flavus, A. niger, Mucor, Penicillium, Thermoactinomyces candidus*, and *Thermoactinomyces vulgaris*.	MJ smoking can enhance fungal sensitivity, which raises the risk of exposure to and illness from fungal sources. Both lit and unlit, *A. fumigutus* passed through the MJ cigarettes without any issues.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Payahoo et al (2019)	Randomized double-blind clinical trial	Obesity	2 capsules of OEA or placebo for 8 weeks	qRT-PCR on fecal samples	After 8 weeks, the abundance of *A. muciniphila* increased significantly for OEA group compared to placebo group.	In the OEA group, energy intake (fat, protein, CHO) was decreased significantly.
Minichino et al (2021)	Cohort study	anhedonia/ amotivation	MJ users with PEA excretion data	illumina 16s rRNA gene sequencing on fecal samples	Reduced microbial α-diversity was significantly associated with higher PEA excretion, which in turn significantly caused more severe anhedonia/ amotivation. β-diversity was independently correlated with either faecal PEA levels or anhedonia/ amotivation, but not with serum PEA.	Faecal PEA levels have a significant positive association with anhedonia/ amotivation.
Panee et al (2018)	Cohort study	Cognitive deficits	MJ users with THC positive	illumina 16s rRNA gene sequencing on fecal samples	All participants showed a negative correlation between *Prevotella* and *Bacteroides* abundance (p=0.012). The lower *Prevotella:Bacteroides* ratio was more frequently associated with higher lifetime MJ consumption (p=0.052).	As anticipated, the ratio was almost 13-fold greater in nonusers than in users (p=0.34).
Newman et al (2019)	Cohort study	Oral cancer/ disease	MJ smokers	illumina 16s rRNA gene sequencing on oral swab samples	Genera including *Capnocytophaga*, *Fusobacterium*, and *Porphyromonas* were low and *Rothia* was prominent at the tongue location in MJ users. *Selenomonas* levels were greater and *Streptococcus* levels were lower at the oral pharynx location.	This study showed that MJ smokers who smoked frequently have different surface oral mucosal microbiome. No variations at the lateral tongue location. Differences, however, were more in line with the cancer condition in the oral pharyngeal location.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.31.22284080; this version posted January 3, 2023. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .


Identification of studies via databases and registers
Identification
Records identified from:
PubMed (n = 168)
Embase (n = 2220)
CENTRAL (n = 116)
Registers (n = 0)
Records removed before screening:
Duplicate records removed (n = 1222)
Records marked as ineligible by automation tools (n = 0)
Records removed for other reasons (n = 0)
Screening
Records screened (n = 2000)
Records excluded (n = 2796)
Reports sought for retrieval (n = 30)
Reports not retrieved (n = 0)
Reports assessed for eligibility (n = 30)
Reports excluded:
Non peer reviewed (n = 12)
Wrong study design (n = 6)
Editorial (n = 1)
Letter to editor (n = 1)
Protocol (n = 1)
Included
Studies included in review (n = 9)
Reports of included studies (n = 9)


Risk of Bias using NOS Assessment
Fulcher (2015)
Vallejo (2021)
NOS Scale
Category
Comparability
Exposure/Outcome
Selection


Risk of bias domains
D1
D2
D3
D4
D5
Overall
Study
Payahoo (2019)
Domains:
D1: Bias arising from the randomization process.
D2: Bias due to deviations from intended intervention.
D3: Bias due to missing outcome data.
D4: Bias in measurement of the outcome.
D5: Bias in selection of the reported result.
Judgement
Some concerns
Low


Risk of bias domains
D1
D2
D3
D4
D5
D6
D7
Overall
Study
Habib (2021)
Domains:
D1: Bias due to confounding.
D2: Bias due to selection of participants.
D3: Bias in classification of interventions.
D4: Bias due to deviations from intended interventions.
D5: Bias due to missing data.
D6: Bias in measurement of outcomes.
D7: Bias in selection of the reported result.
Judgement
Low