On the changing role of individuals in different age groups in propagating the Omicron epidemic waves in France

Edward Goldstein

Massachusetts Eye and Ear, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA edmigo3@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: There is limited information on the role of individuals in different age groups in propagating SARS-CoV-2 epidemics driven by the Omicron variants. In France, vaccination coverage for the 2nd booster for COVID-19 is limited and largely restricted to persons aged over 60y. **Methods**: We examined the role of individuals in different age groups in propagating four waves of the Omicron epidemic in France using previously developed methodology based on the relative risk (RR) statistic that measures, for each age group, the change in the proportion of that age group among all COVID-19 cases before the peak of an epidemic wave vs. after the peak of an epidemic wave. Higher value of the RR statistic for a given age group suggests a disproportionate depletion of susceptible individuals in that age group during the ascent period of the epidemic (due to increased contact rates and/or susceptibility to infection). **Results**: For the Spring wave (March 14 - May 15), the highest RR estimate belonged to children aged 10-19y (RR=1.92 (95% CI (1.18,3.12)), followed by adults aged 40-49y (RR=1.45 (1.09,1.93)) and children aged 0-9y (RR=1.31 (0.98,1.74)). For the Summer wave (June 27 – Aug. 21), the highest RR estimate belong to children aged 0-9y (RR=1.61 (1.13,2.30)) followed by children aged 10-19y (RR=1.46 (0.72,2.93)) and adults aged 20-29y (RR=1.43 (0.91,2.23)). For the Autumn wave (Sep. 18 – Nov. 12), the highest RR estimate belonged to children aged 10-19y (RR=1.64 (0.72,3.73)), followed by adults aged 30-39y (RR=1.35 (0.8,2.27)) and 20-29y (RR=1.21 (0.66,2.22)). For the Autumn-Winter Wave (Nov. 22 – Dec. 29), the highest RR estimate belonged to persons aged 30-39y (RR=1.47 (0.8,2.67)), followed by persons aged 40-

49y (RR= 1.29 (0.81,2.07)) and persons aged over 80y (RR= 1.27 (1.07,1.5)). **Conclusions:** Increasing booster vaccination coverage for COVID-19 for all adults, as possibly for children should help mitigate the spread of Omicron infection in the community. The estimate for the RR statistic in persons aged over 80y for the Autumn-Winter Omicron wave suggests that additional effort should be considered for preventing the spread of Omicron infection in elderly persons, including in Long-Term Care facilities.

Introduction

With the emergence of the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2, the risk of complications, including hospital admission and death in adults became lower compared to the Delta variant, though those relative risks vary with age, with the relative risk for severe outcomes, including death for Omicron vs. Delta being greatest for the oldest adults [1,2]. In addition to changes in severity, emergence of the Omicron variant brought about changes in the tole of individuals in different age groups in the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the community. During the circulation of earlier SARS-CoV-2 variants, the leading role in the acquisition and spread of infection process generally belonged to younger adults (aged 18-35y) and older adolescents [3-6] – in particular, see the temporal data on the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in different age groups in England in [6]. The appearance of the Omicron variant resulted in a greater role of children in the transmission process. For example, findings from REACT-1 study in England for samples tested between January 5-20, 2022 saw the greatest prevalence of infection in children aged 5-11y [7] (which is different from what was observed for the earlier SARS-CoV-2 variants). The role of different age groups during subsequent waves of Omicron epidemics needs a better characterization (e.g. see the Coronavirus Infection Surveys in the UK [8], as well as [9]). Such information could inform effort of booster vaccination, as well as other mitigation efforts aimed at limiting the spread of Omicron infection in the community. We note that the burden of Omicron-associated mortality in France remains significant [10], and that there is evidence that vaccination reduces both the risk of acquisition of Omicron infections [10], as well as the risk of onward transmission of infection [12]. Additionally, vaccination coverage for the

2nd booster for COVID-19 in France is limited and largely restricted to persons aged over 60y [13].

In [14-16] we developed a method for assessing the relative role (per average individual) in different subpopulations in the spread of infection during epidemics of infectious diseases. The idea of that method is that subpopulations that play a disproportionate role during the outbreak's ascent due to increased susceptibility to infection and/or contact rates can be related to the relative risk (RR) statistic that evaluates the change in the subpopulation's proportion among all cases in the population before vs. after the epidemic's peak (see Methods). Moreover, we used simulations in the context of influenza epidemics ([15]) to show a relation between a higher value for the RR statistic in a given age group and a higher impact of vaccinating an individual in that age group on reducing the epidemic's growth rate. This method was also used in the context of SARS-CoV-2 epidemics in 2020 and 2021 to show the prominent relative role of younger adults and older adolescents in the transmission process [4,5]. In our companion paper [9], we showed that as time progressed, the leading relative role in propagating the Omicron epidemic waves in England shifter from school-age children to younger adults to adults aged 35-44y and 65-74y. In this paper we use the RR statistic to examine the role of individuals in different age groups during four waves of the Omicron epidemic in France between March 1, 2022 and Dec. 29, 2022. The goal is to characterize the role of individuals in different age groups in the spread of infection during the different waves of the Omicron epidemic beyond the initial (2022 winter) wave to inform vaccination efforts, and other efforts aiming at mitigation of Omicron transmission in the community.

Methods

Data availability

This manuscript is based on aggregate, de-identified publicly available data that can be accessed through refs. [10,17,18]. Data on the rates of ICU admissions for COVID-19 in different age groups in France are available in [10,17]. Data on the age structure of the population in France in

2022 are available in [18]. Those data were combined to evaluate the numbers of ICU admissions during the previous week for each day between March 1, 2022 and Dec. 29, 2022.

Statistical Inference

Based on the data for ICU admissions in all age groups in France (Figure 1), we delineated four epidemic waves between March 1, 2022 and Dec. 17, 2022: The Spring wave (March 14 - May 15, peak day for ICU admissions being April 14), the Summer wave (June 27 – Aug. 21, peak day for ICU admissions being July 21), the Autumn wave (Sep. 18 – Nov. 12, peak day for ICU admissions being Oct. 19), and the Autumn-Winter wave (Nov. 22 – Dec. 29, peak day for ICU admission being Dec. 16). For each epidemic wave, we excluded the 7-day period around the peak day for ICU admissions, and defined the before-the-peak period for that epidemic wave as the period from the start of the epidemic wave to the last day before the 7-day window around the peak day for ICU admissions -- thus, for the Spring epidemic wave between March 14 - May 15, with the peak day for ICU admissions being April 14, the before-the-peak period of the wave is March 14 – April 10, etc. We defined the after-the-peak period of the epidemic wave as the period starting from the first day after the 7-day window around the peak of ICU admissions to the end of the epidemic wave --- thus, for the Spring epidemic wave between March 14 - May 15, with the peak day for ICU admissions being April 14, the after-the-peak period of the wave is April 18 – May 15, etc.

We considered 9 age groups in our analyses: 0-9y, 10-19y, 20-29y, 30-39y, 40-49y, 50-59y, 60-69y, 70-79y, 80+y. For each epidemic wave, and each age group g, let $B(g)$ be the number of ICU admissions for COVID-19 in persons in age group g during the before-the-peak-period, and let $A(g)$ be the number of ICU admissions for COVID-19 in persons in age group g during the after-the-peak-period. The proportion of cases belonging to the age group g among all ICU admissions for COVID-19 during the before-the-peak period is therefore

$$\frac{B(g)}{\sum_{Age\ group\ h} B(h)}$$

The relative risk (RR) statistic measures the change in the group's proportion among all cases admitted to ICU before vs. after the peak of the epidemic wave. The point estimate for the relative risk $RR(g)$ in an age group g is:

$$RR(g) = \frac{B(g)}{\sum_{Age\ group\ h} B(h)} \Big/ \frac{A(g)}{\sum_{Age\ group\ h} A(h)} \qquad (1)$$

Higher value of the RR statistic for a given age group suggests a disproportionate depletion of susceptible individuals in that age group during the epidemic's ascent (due to increased contact rates and/or susceptibility to infection), resulting in the decline in the share of that age group among all cases during the epidemic's descent. We assume that the numbers of reported cases are sufficiently high so that the logarithm ln(RR(g)) of the relative risk RR in the age group g is approximately normally distributed [19]. Under this approximation, the 95% confidence interval for RR (g) is exp(ln(RR(g)) ± 1.96 · SE(g)), where ln(RR(g)) is estimated via eq. 1, and the standard error SE(g) is ([19]):

$$SE(g) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{B(g)} + \frac{1}{A(g)} - \left(\frac{1}{\sum_h B(h)} + \frac{1}{\sum_h A(h)}\right)} \qquad (2)$$

We note that the relative magnitudes of the RR statistic in different age groups do not depend on the proportion of cases of Omicron infection in different age groups that end up in an ICU. The reason for using ICU admissions for COVID-19 rather than hospitalizations for COVID-19 or detected cases of COVID-19 is that under-detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection is much less pronounced in symptomatic ICU admissions compared to hospitalizations and ambulatory/community testing of cases.

Results

Figure 1 plots the numbers of ICU admissions for COVID-19 in France during the previous week between March 1, 2022 - Dec. 29, 2022, including the four epidemic waves used in our study (March 14 - May 15, June 27 – Aug. 21, Sep. 18 – Nov. 12, Nov. 22 - Dec. 29). Plots of the rates of ICU admissions in different age groups are contained in [10].



Figure 1: ICU admissions for COVID-19 in France during the previous week, March 1, 2022 - Dec. 29, 2022, and the four epidemic waves (March 14 - May 15, June 27 – Aug. 21, Sep. 18 – Nov. 12, Nov. 22 - Dec. 29).

Table 1 gives the estimates of the relative risk (RR) statistic in different age groups during the Four epidemic waves considered in our study. For the Spring wave (March 14 - May 15), the

highest RR estimate belonged to children aged 10-19y (RR=1.92 (95% CI (1.18,3.12)), followed by adults aged 40-49y (RR=1.45 (1.09,1.93)) and children aged 0-9y (RR=1.31 (0.98,1.74)). For the Summer wave (June 27 – Aug. 21), the highest RR estimate belong to children aged 0-9y (RR=1.61 (1.13,2.30)) followed by children aged 10-19y (RR=1.46 (0.72,2.93)) and adults aged 20-29y (RR=1.43 (0.91,2.23)). For the Autumn wave (Sep. 18 – Nov. 12), the highest RR estimate belonged to children aged 10-19y (RR=1.64 (0.72,3.73)), followed by adults aged 30-39y (RR=1.35 (0.8,2.27)) and 20-29y (RR=1.21 (0.66,2.22)). For the Autumn-Winter Wave (Nov. 22 – Dec. 29), the highest RR estimate belonged to persons aged 30-39y (RR=1.47 (0.8,2.67)), followed by persons aged 40-49y (RR= 1.29 (0.81,2.07)) and persons aged over 80y (RR= 1.27 (1.07,1.5)).

Age group	Spring wave (Mar. 14 – May 15)	Summer Wave (June 27 – Aug. 21)	Autumn Wave (Sep. 18 – Nov. 12)	Autumn-Winter Wave (Nov. 22 – Dec. 29)
0-9y	1.31 (0.98,1.74)	1.61 (1.13,2.30)	0.81 (0.54,1.22)	1.1 (0.73,1.64)
10-19y	1.92 (1.18,3.12)	1.46 (0.72,2.93)	1.64 (0.72,3.73)	0.81 (0.32,2.04)
20-29y	0.93 (0.62,1.39)	1.43 (0.91,2.23)	1.21 (0.66,2.22)	0.94 (0.44,2.02)
30-39y	0.96 (0.68,1.36)	0.93 (0.64,1.34)	1.35 (0.8,2.27)	1.47 (0.8,2.67)
40-49y	1.45 (1.09,1.93)	1.01 (0.74,1.39)	0.92 (0.6,1.39)	1.29 (0.81,2.07)
50-59y	1.16 (0.97,1.39)	0.89 (0.72,1.1)	1 (0.76,1.33)	0.9 (0.69,1.16)
60-69y	0.85 (0.76,0.97)	0.9 (0.77,1.05)	1.03 (0.86,1.23)	0.87 (0.73,1.03)
70-79y	0.94 (0.85,1.03)	1.02 (0.91,1.15)	0.88 (0.77,1)	0.91 (0.8,1.03)
80+y	0.99 (0.88,1.11)	0.98 (0.85,1.12)	1.11 (0.96,1.3)	1.27 (1.07,1.5)

Table 1: Estimates for the relative risk RR (eq. 1) for data on ICU admissions in different age groups during four Omicron waves in France in 2022: March 14 - May 15, June 27 – Aug. 21, Sep. 18 – Nov. 12, Nov. 22 - Dec. 29, 2022

Discussion

The appearance of the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 resulted not only in changes in the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infections [1,2], but also in changes in the contribution of individuals in different age groups to the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infection in the community. During the circulation of earlier SARS-CoV-2 variants, the leading role in the spread of infection generally belonged to younger adults (aged 18-35y) and older adolescents [3-6]. During the first wave of the Omicron epidemic, infection rates in children (relative to other age groups) were higher compared to the earlier variants of SARS-CoV-2 (e.g. [7] vs. [6]). The role of individuals in different age groups during the subsequent waves of Omicron epidemics is less studied. In our companion paper [9], we showed that as time progressed, the leading relative role in propagating the Omicron epidemic waves in England shifted from school-age children to younger adults to adults aged 35-44y and 65-74y. In this paper, we applied the previously developed methodology [14-16,3,4] to study the relative role of individuals in different age groups during the Spring, Summer, Autumn and Autumn-Winter waves of the 2022 Omicron epidemic in France. We found that children aged 10-19y played the greatest relative role in propagating Spring, Summer and the first Autumn waves of the Omicron epidemics, particularly when schools were open, followed by children aged 0-9y and adults aged 20-29y, as well as adults aged 30-49y. We note that several studies have documented large SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in the school setting under limited mitigation [20-22], which is generally pertinent to the Omicron period compared to earlier periods when different mitigation (including social distancing) measures in schools were put in place. For the Autumn-Winter Omicron epidemic wave in France, the greatest depletion of susceptible individuals during the ascent period of the epidemic was in persons aged 30-49y, followed by persons age over 80y, with the change compared to the previous epidemic waves presumably having to do with the built-up of immunity to Omicron in children and younger adults during those previous epidemic waves. Overall, our results suggest that increase in booster vaccination coverage in all adults, and possibly in children, should help limit the spread of infection during future Omicron epidemics in the community. We note that there is evidence that vaccination, including the use of boosters reduces the risk of acquisition of Omicron infections [11], as well as the likelihood of the onward spread of infection [12]. At the same time, vaccination coverage for the 2nd booster in France in still limited and largely restricted to persons aged over 60y [10,13]. Additionally, active depletion of susceptible individuals in persons aged over 80y during the ascent period of the Autumn-Winter wave of the Omicron epidemic in

France in 2022 suggests that further efforts should be undertaken for limiting the spread of infection in the elderly, including in Long-Term Care Facilities.

Our results have some limitations. Inconsistency in testing/detection of Omicron infections would affect the estimates of the relative risk (RR) statistic. We used data on ICU admissions for COVID-19 rather than hospitalizations for COVID-19 or detected cases of COVID-19 in the community since under-detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection is much less pronounced in symptomatic ICU admissions compared to hospitalizations and ambulatory/community testing of cases. There is uncertainty regarding the relation between the RR statistic and the role that individuals in different age groups play in propagating epidemics. We used simulations in the context of influenza epidemics ([14]) to show a relation between a higher value for the RR statistic in a given age group and a higher impact of vaccinating an individual in that age group on reducing the epidemic's initial growth rate. For adults aged over 80y, the relatively high estimate of the RR statistic during the Autumn-Winter wave of the Omicron epidemic in France likely suggests active spread of Omicron infection in elderly individuals, possibly in part in Long-Term Care facilities.

Conclusions: As time progressed, the leading relative roles (per individual) in propagating Omicron epidemic waves in France shifted from children (particularly children aged 10-19y) and younger adults to persons aged 30-49y and those aged over 80y during the Autumn-Winter Omicron wave in 2022. Increasing booster vaccination coverage for COVID-19 for all adults, and possibly for children should help mitigate the spread of Omicron infection in the community [11,12]. Additionally, further efforts should be considered for preventing the spread of Omicron infection in elderly persons, including in Long-Term Care facilities.

References

[1] Nyberg T, et al. Comparative analysis of the risks of hospitalization and death associated with SARS-CoV-2 omicron (B.1.1.529) and delta (B.1.617.2) variants in England: a cohort study. The Lancet 2022; 399(10332): 1303-1312

[2] Auvigne V, et al. Severe hospital events following symptomatic infection with Sars-CoV-2 Omicron and Delta variants in France, December 2021-January 2022: A retrospective, population-based, matched cohort study. EClinicalMedicine. 2022;48:101455
[3] Goldstein E, Cevik M, Lipsitch M. On the Effect of Age on the Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Households, Schools, and the Community. J Infect Dis. 2021;223(3):362-369
[4] Goldstein E, Lipstich M. Temporal rise in the proportion of younger adults and older adolescents among coronavirus disease (COVID-19) cases following the introduction of physical distancing measures, Germany, March to April 2020, Euro Surveill. 2020;25(17):2000596
[5] Stern D, et al. On the increasing incidence of SARS-CoV-2 in older adolescents and younger adults during the epidemic in Mexico. Salud Publica Mex. 2021;63:422-428.
[6] Pritchard E, et al. Monitoring populations at increased risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection in the community using population-level demographic and behavioural surveillance. Lancet Reg Health Eur. 2022;13:100282
[7] UK Health Security Agency. REACT-1 study of coronavirus transmission: January 2022 final results. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/react-1-study-of-coronavirus-transmission-december-2021-final-results/react-1-study-of-coronavirus-transmission-december-2021-final-results
[8] Office of National Statistics. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey, UK: 11 November 2022. Available from: https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/healthandsocialcare/conditionsanddiseases/bulletins/coronaviruscovid19infectionsurveypilot/11november2022
[9] Goldstein E. On temporal changes in the role of different age groups in propagating the Omicron epidemic waves in England. MedRxiv 2022. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.12.30.22283949v2
[10] Santé publique France. InfoCovidFrance: Chiffres clés et évolution de la COVID-19 en France et dans le Monde. 2022. Available from: https://www.santepubliquefrance.fr/dossiers/coronavirus-covid-19/coronavirus-chiffres-cles-et-evolution-de-la-covid-19-en-france-et-dans-le-monde
[11] Chin ET, Leidner D, Lamson L, Lucas K, Studdert DM, Goldhaber-Fiebert JD, et al. Protection against Omicron from Vaccination and Previous Infection in a Prison System. N Engl J Med. 2022 Nov 10;387(19):1770-1782

[12] Tan ST, Kwan AT, Rodríguez-Barraquer I, Singer BJ, Park HJ, Lewnard JA, et al. Infectiousness of SARS-CoV-2 breakthrough infections and reinfections during the Omicron wave. MedRxiv 2022. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.08.08.22278547v6

[13] Gouvernement de la République française. Vaccins (COVID-19). 2022. Available from: https://www.gouvernement.fr/info-coronavirus/vaccins

[14] Worby CJ, et al. On the relative role of different age groups in influenza epidemics. Epidemics 2015;13:10-16.

[15] Goldstein E, et al. On the relative role of different age groups during epidemics associated with respiratory syncytial virus. J Infect Dis. 2018;217(2):238-244

[16] Mahmud AS, Lipsitch M, Goldstein E. On the role of different age groups during pertussis epidemics in California, 2010 and 2014. Epidemiol Infect. 2019;147:e184

[17] Santé publique France. Données hospitalières relatives à l'épidémie de COVID-19. Available from: https://www.data.gouv.fr/fr/datasets/donnees-hospitalieres-relatives-a-lepidemie-de-covid-19/

[18] Institut national de la statistique et des études économiques (INSEE). Pyramide des âges. Données annuelles 2022. Available from: https://www.insee.fr/fr/statistiques/2381472#graphique-Donnes

[19] Altman DG (1991) Practical Statistics for Medical Research. London: Chapman and Hall.

[20] Fontanet A, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection in schools in a northern French city: a retrospective serological cohort study in an area of high transmission, France, January to April 2020. Euro Surveill. 2021;26(15):2001695.

[21] Torres JP, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Antibody Prevalence in Blood in a Large School Community Subject to a Coronavirus Disease 2019 Outbreak: A Cross-sectional Study. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;73(2):e458-e465

[22] Stein-Zamir C, et al. A large COVID-19 outbreak in a high school 10 days after schools' reopening, Israel, May 2020. Euro Surveill 2020; 25:2001352.