# Glycoprotein Acetyls and Depression: testing for directionality and potential causality

## using longitudinal data and Mendelian randomization analyses

Daisy C P Crick <sup>1, 2</sup>, Eleanor Sanderson <sup>1, 2</sup>, Hannah Jones <sup>1,2</sup>, Neil Goulding <sup>1,2</sup>, Maria Carolina Borges <sup>1,2</sup>, Gemma Clayton <sup>1,2</sup>, Alice R Carter <sup>1,2</sup>, Sarah Halligan <sup>3,4</sup>, Deborah A Lawlor <sup>1,2</sup>,

Golam M Khandaker §,1,2,5,6 & Abigail Fraser §,1,2

- 1. Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Bristol, UK 2.
- 3. Department of Psychology, University of Bath, Bath, UK
- 4. Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, South Africa
- 5. NIHR Bristol Biomedical Research Centre, Bristol, UK
- 6. Avon and Wiltshire Mental Health Partnership NHS Trust, Bristol, UK
- <sup>§</sup> Both authors contributed equally to this work

Author for correspondence: Daisy Crick, University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, Bristol BS8 2BN. Email: dc15053@bristol.ac.uk

Word Count: Abstract: 244/250, Main Body: 3500/3500

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

**Background:** Inflammation is implicated in depression, but the issue of causality remains unclear.

**Objectives:** To investigate potential causality and direction of effect between inflammation and depression.

**Methods:** Using data from the ALSPAC birth cohort (n=4021), we used multivariable regression to investigate bidirectional longitudinal associations of GlycA and depression symptoms score and diagnosis, assessed at ages 18y and 24y.

We used two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) to investigate potential causality and directionality. Genetic variants for GlycA were obtained from UK Biobank (UKBB) (N=115,078); for depression from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium and UKBB (N=500,199); and for depressive symptoms (N=161,460) from the Social Science Genetic Association Consortium. In addition to the Inverse Variance Weighted (IVW) method, we used sensitivity analyses to strengthen causal inference. We conducted multivariable MR adjusting for body mass index (BMI) due to known genetic correlation between inflammation, depression and BMI.

**Results:** After adjusting for potential confounders we found no association between GlycA and depression symptoms score or *vice versa*. We observed an association between GlycA and depression diagnosis (OR=1.18, 95% CI: 1.03-1.36).

MR suggested no causal effect of GlycA on depression, but there was evidence of a causal effect of depression on GlycA (mean difference in GlycA = 0.09; 95% CI: 0.03-0.16), which was maintained in some, but not all, sensitivity analyses.

**Conclusion:** We found no consistent evidence for an effect of the inflammatory marker GlycA on depression. There was some evidence that depression may increase GlycA, but this may be confounded/mediated by BMI.

## Introduction

Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) is one of the most prevalent psychiatric disorders worldwide and a leading cause of disease burden <sup>(1)</sup> with a lifetime prevalence of 5-17%.<sup>(2)</sup> The identification of causal risk factors for MDD could provide novel targets for both prevention and treatment.

An association between inflammation and depression has been identified in cross-sectional and meta-analyses of case/control studies. <sup>(3-5)</sup> However, in both study designs, the exposure and outcome are measured concurrently, meaning reverse causality cannot be ruled out. Comparatively, prospective cohort studies (which are better able to evaluate temporality/reverse causality) have found evidence of a bi-directional relationship between depression and inflammation.<sup>(6-10)</sup> Although unmeasured confounding may still bias these results, further support for this bidirectional association has come from randomised control trials (RCTs) which are said to be the "gold standard" for epidemiological evidence. <sup>(11-16)</sup>. For example, an RCT found that an Interferon-alpha (IFN- $\alpha$ ) based treatment for cancer raises serum-based cytokine levels which in turn predict the development of depressive symptoms.<sup>(11)</sup> However, other factors could drive this association such as the treatment causing lower feelings of wellbeing and hence an increase in symptoms of depression.

Mendelian Randomisation (MR) <sup>(17,18)</sup> aims to estimate the causal effect of an exposure on an outcome, by utilising the random assortment of genetic variants from parents to offspring.<sup>(19)</sup> MR has differing and unrelated sources of bias compared to multivariable regression analyses. Integrating results from different methods (triangulation) can enhance

3

causal inference when results are concordant.<sup>(20)</sup> A description of MR and its assumptions is provided in the supplement.

MR studies have begun to investigate the potential causal effect of inflammation on depressive symptoms.<sup>(3,21)</sup> Ye et al., found genetically predicted higher CRP concentrations had a protective effect on depression and anxiety.<sup>(21)</sup> In contrast, genetically predicted higher interleukin-6 (IL-6) levels increased risk of fatigue and sleep problems, but had no effect on overall depression score.<sup>(3)</sup> Other MR studies have reported positive causal effects of soluble IL-6 receptor (sIL-6R) on depression <sup>(22)</sup> and IL-6 activity increased risk of depressive symptoms.<sup>(21)</sup> However, there are a limited number of SNPs associated with circulating levels/concentrations of IL-6 at genome-wide significance that can be used as instrumental variables (IVs;  $N \le 2$ ).<sup>(23)</sup> This makes interpretation of results difficult as the IL-6 IVS sometimes reflect soluble IL-6 receptors (e.g. <sup>(22)</sup>) rather than circulating IL-6 levels.

Existing research has focused on the IL-6 cytokine and the acute-phase protein CRP (production of which is stimulated by IL-6 levels). Although, archetypal inflammatory markers, both IL-6 and CRP have been found to associate with anti-inflammatory roles within the immune system. <sup>(24)</sup> Additionally, both biomarkers show daily fluctuations <sup>(25,26)</sup> and respond to short-term stressors,<sup>(27-32)</sup> suggesting that they are not ideal markers of chronic inflammation.<sup>(33)</sup> Using biomarkers that better index chronic, systemic inflammation may help understand the directionality and mechanisms underlying the association between depression and inflammation.

Glycoprotein Acetyls (GlycA) is a nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) biomarker which summarises the signals originating from glycan groups of certain acute-phase glycoproteins. GlycA becomes elevated in response to insults such as infection and physical injury.<sup>(34)</sup> Further, it is elevated in patients with a diverse range of inflammation-linked chronic conditions <sup>(35,36)</sup> and due to its composite nature <sup>(34)</sup> displays long-term stability.<sup>(37)</sup> Therefore, unlike CRP or IL-6, GlycA provides an overall index of inflammation in the body <sup>(38,39)</sup> and likely to be a superior marker of chronic systemic inflammation.<sup>(34,40)</sup>

Here we aim to understand the relationship between chronic systemic inflammation measured by GlycA and depression diagnosis/symptoms and vice versa. We investigate longitudinal associations using data from a population-based prospective UK birth cohort <sup>(41-43)</sup> and the potential causal effect using bidirectional MR.

#### Methods

The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) birth cohort recruited a total of 14,541 pregnant women residing in the former county of Avon; in South-West England <sup>(41-<sup>43)</sup>. Women were recruited if they had an expected delivery date between 1st April 1991 and 31st December 1992. When the oldest children were approximately 7 years of age, an attempt was made to bolster the initial sample with eligible cases who did not originally join the study. The total sample size for analyses using any data collected after the age of seven is therefore 15,454 pregnancies, resulting in 15,589 foetuses. Of these, 14,901 were alive at 1 year of age. The offspring, their mothers and the mother's partners are regularly followed up. Additional information on ALSPAC is presented in the supplementary file.</sup>

To be eligible for inclusion in the current study, participants had to have one measure of GlycA and a completed Short Moods and Feelings Questionnaire (SMFQ) at 18y or 24y (N=4021). The participant flowchart is presented in supplementary Figure 1.

We used the SMFQ <sup>(44-47)</sup> administered at mean ages 18y and 24y in ALSPAC. The SMFQ comprises 13 items relating to depressive symptoms experienced in the last two weeks. Each item is rated on a 3-point scale (0=not true, 1=sometimes true, 2=true), giving a total score of 0 to 26. Higher scores are suggestive of a greater burden of depressive symptoms.

We also used the Clinical Interview Schedule-Revised (CIS-R) as a secondary measure. CIS-R is used to diagnose depression according to ICD-10 codes.<sup>(48)</sup> A description of how the variable was created and why it was used is provided in the supplement. Given that the SMFQ demonstrates good discriminatory abilities for identification of depression as measured by the CIS-R <sup>(49)</sup> and the limited statistical power of the CIS-R binary measure, the SMFQ score was used as a primary outcome.

Plasma GlycA was quantified as part of a high-throughput proton (1H) Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) metabolomic trait platform at mean ages 18y and 24y.<sup>(38)</sup> Details regarding sample/data processing and NMR analysis have been provided in the supplement and elsewhere.<sup>(50,51)</sup>

Maternal highest education qualification self-reported at 8-42 weeks gestation (degree/no degree) proxied childhood socio-economic position (SEP). Participants' age (months), smoking status and drinking status (non-smoker, infrequent smoker and frequent smoker)

and BMI were reported at ages 18y and 24y. Participants' ethnicity was recorded and coded as white or non-white. These confounders were determined *a priori* based on known or plausible causes of both systemic inflammation and depression. See supplement for further information on the choice of primary measure and how confounder variables were created.

## Statistical analysis

Multivariable linear regression examined prospective associations (effect of exposure at 18y on outcome at 24y) between SMFQ scores and GlycA levels and vice versa. Results were presented sex combined (all p-values for sex interaction  $\geq$  0.822). GlycA levels and SMFQ scores were logged and z-scored to allow comparison between both directions. We used multiple imputation (MI) to impute missing exposure, outcome and confounder data in the eligible sample, creating 25 datasets with 250 iterations. This aimed to balance computational efficiency while ensuring consistency of results.<sup>(52)</sup> The main and auxiliary variables are listed in supplementary table 1. Estimates were combined using Rubin's rules.<sup>(52-54)</sup>

#### Univariable bidirectional two-sample Mendelian Randomisation

We used summary data from European population GWAS of GlycA,<sup>(55)</sup> MDD <sup>(56)</sup> and depressive symptom.<sup>(57)</sup> The GlycA GWAS was undertaken in UK Biobank data (UKBB), the MDD GWAS was from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC) and the depressive symptoms GWAS from the Social Science Genetic Association Consortium (SSGAC).

Instrument Selection

Genome Wide significant SNPs (P< 5.0 x10-8) were selected from the GWAS described above as instrumental variables for GlycA, MDD and depressive symptoms. The datasets were harmonised, aligning the genetic association for exposure and outcome on the effect allele using the effect allele frequency. Palindromic SNPs with allele frequencies of above 0.42 were considered strand ambiguous and removed. SNPs that were unavailable in the outcome datasets were replaced by proxy SNPs at R<sup>2</sup>>0.80. SNPs that had a minor allele frequency of 0.01 or less were excluded.

Following harmonization, there were 50 SNPs in the analysis having GlycA as the exposure and MDD as the outcome (hereafter GlycA-MDD analysis), 40 SNPs in the analysis having GlycA as the exposure and depressive symptoms as the outcome (hereafter GlycA-Depressive Symptoms analysis) and 47 SNPs in the analysis having MDD as an exposure and GlycA as the outcome (hereafter MDD-GlycA analysis). The differences in number of SNPs available for each analysis is because not all SNPs were available in each GWAS. Full details of the instrument selection process are presented in the supplementary materials. GWAS of depressive symptoms have found only two genome-wide significant SNPs and we therefore did not use depressive symptoms as an exposure. Harmonized genetic association data for selected SNPs are presented in supplementary tables 2-4 respectively.

#### Main analyses

The inverse variance weighted method (IVW method) <sup>(58)</sup> was used to estimate effects in the primary analysis and assumes there is no directional horizontal pleiotropy. MR-Egger, weighted median and weighted mode methods were used as sensitivity analyses. Consistency in results between methods strengthens causal inference because they make

8

different assumptions about pleiotropy, divergent results suggest a violation of the third MR assumption.<sup>(59-61)</sup> We reported F-statistics as a measure of instrument strength and investigated MR assumptions using: Cochran's Q, MR-Egger intercept, Radial MR and MR Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier global test (MR-PRESSO). Results are presented post-Steiger filtering. See supplementary table 5 for a description of the MR methods and sensitivity analyses used.

## Further Analyses

MR-Lap is a method that corrects for sample overlap, weak instrument bias and winner's curse.<sup>(62)</sup> It is used when the exposure and outcome are continuous and so was used for the GlycA-depressive symptoms analysis only. A description of MR-Lap is given in the supplement.

We replicated analyses using IL-6 as the inflammatory biomarker. We aimed to replicate previous null findings for IL-6 in relation to depressive symptoms and MDD <sup>(3,7,63-66)</sup> as a quality assurance test of the methodology and data sources that we used. The data sources, SNP selection and method of analysis is described in the supplement.

# Multivariable Mendelian Randomisation

Multivariable Mendelian Randomization (MVMR) is an extension of MR that estimates the direct causal effect of multiple exposures on an outcome<sup>(67)</sup> and can be used to account for pleiotropic pathways. Due to the proinflammatory role of adipose tissue <sup>(68)</sup> and evidence of its bidirectional association with depression <sup>(69)</sup>, we estimated the causal effects of depression on inflammation, independently of BMI. A detailed description of MVMR can be

found in the supplement. We used the BMI GWAS with the largest number of SNPs (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/; data code: ukb-a-248).

#### Instrument selection

We used the same thresholds for genome-wide significance, LD and palindromic SNPs as in the univariable MR. The MDD SNPs and BMI SNPs were combined and harmonised with the GlycA data. There were 316 BMI SNPs after pruning and when combined with the MDD SNPs there was a final exposure instrument of 618 SNPs for the depression-GlycA MVMR. After harmonization with GlycA, 299 SNPs were available for the MVMR analysis. Full details of the SNP selection process are presented in the supplementary material. We only ran an MVMR for MDD and BMI as exposures in relation to the outcome GlycA because we found no evidence of an effect in the GlycA-MDD/GlycA-depressive symptoms analyses in the univariable MR.

We repeated the MVMR using only the genome-wide associated MDD SNPs used in our univariable MDD-GlycA MR analysis (MDD = 57) (referred to as restricted MVMR onwards; described in the supplement). There were 49 BMI SNPs after pruning and a final exposure instrument of 99 SNPs for the Depression-GlycA MVMR. After harmonization with GlycA, there were 47 SNPs available for the MVMR analysis.

#### <u>Software</u>

Multivariable linear regression analyses were performed using STATA version 17.0. All other analyses were performed in R Software version 4.1.1. Code for data management and

10

statistical analysis has been made available in: <u>daisycrick/MR\_Inflammation\_Depression</u>: Investigating the bidirectional association between GlycA and MDD (github.com).

## Results

## **ALSPAC cohort study**

In ALSPAC, observed mean GlycA levels were 1.21 mmol/L (SD: 0.13) at age 18y and 1.22 mmol/L (SD: 0.17) at age 24y. Median SMFQ scores were 5 (Interquartile range: 3 to 9) at 18y and 5; IQR: 2 - 10) at 24y. The proportions of individuals with a CIS-R depression diagnosis were 7% and 10% respectively. The distributions of observed and imputed characteristics at ages 18y and 24y are presented in supplementary table 6.

Results from the multivariable regression using the imputed data are presented in table 1. There was no evidence of crude or adjusted associations between depressive scores and GlycA. There was no evidence of any association between depression at 18y and GlycA at 24y. There was a positive relationship between GlycA levels at 18y and depression at 24y both before and after adjustment (table 2).

Results from the complete case analysis are presented in supplementary table 7 and were consistent with the main findings.

		Model 1		Model 2*	
	Ν	Mean difference per SD increase in exposure	95% CI	Mean difference per SD increase in exposure	95% CI
GlycA at 18y in relation to SMFQ score at 24y	4021	0.03	-0.01, 0.07	-0.01	-0.05, 0.04
SMFQ score at 18y in relation to GlycA levels at 24y	4021	0.03	-0.01, 0.07	0.02	-0.03, 0.06

#### Table 1: Bidirectional longitudinal associations between continuous SMFQ and GlycA

\* Adjusted for smoking status, drinking status, age in months at baseline, sex, ethnicity, maternal highest education qualification and BMI at 18y.

		Model 1		Model 2	
	Ν	OR	95% CI	OR	95% CI
GlycA at 18y in relation to depression at 24y	4021	1.30	1.14, 1.47	1.18	1.03, 1.36
	Ν	Mean difference per SD increase in exposure	95% CI	Mean difference per SD increase in exposure	95% CI
Depression at 18y in relation to GlycA at 24y	4021	0.13	-0.03, 0.29	0.08	-0.08, 0.23

Table 2: Bi-directional longitudinal association between depression and GlycA

\* Adjusted for smoking status, drinking status, age in months at baseline, sex, ethnicity, maternal highest education qualification and BMI at 18y.

### **Mendelian Randomisation Analyses**

#### Instrument validity

SNP-level F statistics were all >10 in univariable analyses, indicating that IVW estimates were not subject to weak instrument bias. The total variance explained (R<sup>2)</sup> across all SNPs in the univariable MR for GlycA-MDD was 4.64%, for GlycA-depressive symptoms was 3.84% and for MDD-GlycA was 0.36%. All SNPs passed Steiger filtering which shows that they explain more variation in the exposure than in the outcome for each analysis. Genetic instruments, sample sizes and instrument F-statistics for each GWAS are presented in supplementary table 8.

## Potential Causal Effect of GlycA on genetically predicted Depression Diagnosis/ Symptoms

We found no evidence of an effect of GlycA on genetically predicted MDD risk in the MR-IVW analysis (IVW OR = 1.02, 95% CI: 0.99, 1.05). This was consistent across the other MR methods (MR-Egger, weighted median and weighted mode) (figure 1). There was no evidence of directional pleiotropic effects (MR-Egger-intercept -0.0004, p=0.805), however MR-PRESSO suggested that horizontal pleiotropy was present (p= 0.232) but identified no outlier SNPs. There was also no heterogeneity detected in either the IVW and MR-Egger analysis (Q=54.41, p=0.276 and Q=54.34, p=0.246 respectively).

We found no effect of GlycA on genetically predicted depressive symptoms (IVW mean difference in depressive symptoms per unit change in GlycA =0.01, 95% CI: -0.02, 0.04). This was consistent across the other MR methods (MR-Egger, weighted median and weighted mode) (figure 1). There was little evidence of pleiotropic effects suggested by the MR-Egger-intercept (0.001, p=0.477). MR-PRESSO found evidence for horizontal pleiotropy (p= 0.02)

but identified no outlier SNPs. Heterogeneity was detected in both the IVW and MR-Egger analysis (Q=60.11, p=0.017 and Q=59.30, p=0.015 respectively). Radial MR did not change the results or identify any outliers (results in the supplement).

## Potential Causal effect of MDD on genetically predicted GlycA Levels

We found evidence of a positive effect of MDD on genetically predicted GlycA levels in the MR-IVW analysis (mean difference in GlycA per SD increase in genetically instrumented MDD= 0.09, 95% CI = 0.03,0.16). However, other MR methods yielded estimates consistent with no effect (figure 1). There was little evidence of pleiotropic effects (MR-Egger-intercept -0.003, p =0.601) although the MR-Egger regression is imprecisely estimated. MR PRESSO suggested the presence of horizontal pleiotropy (p<0.001) and detected 1 outlier, but its removal did not alter results (comparison between original and outlier corrected results p=0.721). There was also evidence of heterogeneity in the IVW and MR-Egger analysis (Q=46.0 and 45.0 respectively, both p<0.001). Radial MR removed 1 SNP but results did not change (see supplement).



*Figure 1: Forest Plot of IVW and sensitivity analyses showing the bidirectional relationship between genetically predicted GlycA on depressive symptoms and MDD.* 

#### **Further Analyses**

We found no effect of GlycA on depressive symptoms in MR Lap, consistent with the primary analysis. This suggests that no bias was incurred from sample overlap, winner's curse or weak instrument bias (see supplement).

We found no effect of genetically determined IL-6 levels on MDD using the Wald ratio. We also found no effect of a genetically predisposition to MDD on IL-6 levels consistent with previous reports.<sup>(3,7,63-66)</sup> See supplement and supplementary figure 2. Given that these results replicate previous findings in the literature it provides assurance of the quality in our methodology and data sources.

## MVMR controlling for the effect of BMI

For the independent effect of MDD and BMI on GlycA, the conditional F-statistic <sup>(70)</sup> suggested the instruments were strong for BMI (F=35.50) but weak for MDD (F=4.88). Genetically predicted MDD risk did not have an effect on GlycA (IVW mean difference in GlycA per SD increase in genetically instrumented MDD = 0.04, 95% CI: -0.03, 0.11) (figure 2). However, there was evidence of heterogeneity across the combined MDD and BMI instruments (MVMR Q statistic:  $p=5.32 \times 10^{-19}$ ) suggesting that there could be pleiotropy in the combined set of SNPS. there was a direct effect of BMI on GlycA (IVW mean difference in GlycA per one unit change in BMI = 0.29, 95% CI: 0.25, 0.32).

In the restricted MVMR analysis, the conditional F-statistic suggested the instruments were strong for instrument strength was good for MDD (F=28.75) but weaker for BMI (F=8.69).

There was evidence of a direct effect of MDD on GlycA (IVW = 0.07; 0.01, 0.13) (figure 2).

However, again there was evidence of heterogeneity across the set of instruments (MVMR

Q statistic: p=0.001). There was a direct effect of BMI on GlycA (IVW= 0.28; 0.06, 0.51).



Figure 2: Forest Plot of MVMR-IVW estimates showing the direct effect of genetically predicted GlycA, BMI and MDD on exposures in both the unadjusted and adjusted model.

## **Discussion**

We found no effects of GlycA levels on depressive symptoms or diagnosis when using multivariable regression or MR. Whilst we did find evidence for an effect of MDD on genetically predicted higher GlycA levels using MR, this did not persist in all sensitivity analyses and we did not observe an association in multivariable regression. Furthermore, when we controlled for genetically predicted BMI, through the use of MVMR, we found that the effect of MDD on genetically predicted GlycA levels attenuated to the null. Weak instrument bias may have driven this attenuation and in the restricted MVMR analysis which corrects for weak instruments, a positive effect of MDD on resultant GlycA levels persisted.

## Comparison of results to existing literature

Studies examining the links between inflammation and depression including meta-analyses of case/control studies (e.g.<sup>(5)</sup>), RCTs (e.g.<sup>(71)</sup>), longitudinal studies (e.g.<sup>(6)</sup> and cross-sectional studies (e.g.<sup>(72)</sup>) have reported associations between inflammatory markers (e.g. CRP, IL-6 and TNF-alpha <sup>(8,21,73)</sup>) and depression. Khandaker *et al.*, found that higher levels of IL-6 in childhood were associated with risk of depression and risk of psychotic episodes in later life in a longitudinal study.<sup>(6)</sup> We may have not replicated this result because inflammation exposure during sensitive periods (e.g., childhood) could play an important role in depression onset.<sup>(74)</sup> As the earliest measure of GlycA we used was in adolescence, we may have missed this effect. Additional reasons we did not replicate previous findings in our multivariable regression analyses could include the different inflammatory markers studied or inflammatory marker assessment e.g. NMR signal versus immunoassay.

The majority of MR studies have focused on the effect inflammatory levels have on a genetic predisposition to MDD (e.g. <sup>(3,22)</sup>). Only few have investigated the reverse association; Perry et al. <sup>(65)</sup> found no effect of a genetic predisposition to MDD on levels of immunological proteins such as IL-6. Here, we show that there may be an effect of genetically predicted MDD risk on GlycA levels. Our observed null effect of inflammation on MDD could reflect how the GlycA NMR signal is a composite of acute phase proteins.<sup>(34,75)</sup> As such, the different proteins may differentially effect MDD giving an overall null result (e.g. CRP has been found to have protective effects on depression).<sup>(21)</sup>

## **Strengths and Limitations**

Here we investigated the understudied bidirectional association between inflammation and MDD using a measure of chronic systemic inflammation. <sup>(76)</sup> We used two different epidemiological methods to investigate the relationship of interest: 1) a multivariable regression longitudinal analysis using a large-population-based cohort and 2) an MR analysis, both of which can reduce bias from reverse causation. We undertook extensive sensitivity analyses and explored pleiotropic paths via BMI in our MR analyses. Future research should explore this relationship as evidence of an MDD-inflammation effect could have clinical relevance: MDD treatments may need to include anti-inflammatory aspects to combat co-morbid inflammatory health conditions.

However, ALSPAC suffers from attrition which can introduce selection bias and potentially attenuate the results towards the null. We used multiple imputation to minimise any such bias. The GWAS used were only conducted in individuals of European decent which limits the generalisability to other populations. Despite this, we had substantial power due to

20

using summary data from large GWAS consortia. There was sample overlap between the GlycA and MDD GWAS, but the bias incurred through sample overlap is less substantial compared to biases produced by weak instruments or winner's curse. <sup>(62)</sup> Thus we used the largest MDD GWAS to date and aimed to combat the bias using MR-lap, which found no evidence of biased effects.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, we found that inflammation as measured by GlycA did not appear to be associated with depressive diagnosis/symptoms score in both multivariable regression and MR analyses. We did find a potential causal effect of MDD on GlycA levels, but this attenuated to the null when accounting for BMI in the MVMR. However, when using the restricted MVMR which accounts for weak instrument bias, the effect returned. In light of inconsistent evidence regarding the potential associations between inflammation and depression, further work is needed to determine if reported associations are causal or not and indeed whether depression increases inflammation, vice versa, both or perhaps neither.

# Acknowledgements

This work was carried out using the computational facilities of the Advanced Computing Research Centre, University of Bristol - <u>http://www.bristol.ac.uk/acrc/</u>.

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses.

# Funding

The UK Medical Research Council and Wellcome (Grant ref: 217065/Z/19/Z) and the

University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. This publication is the work of the

authors and DC will serve as guarantor for the contents of this paper. A comprehensive list

of grants funding is available on the ALSPAC website

(http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grant-acknowledgements.pdf); This

research was specifically funded by Wellcome Trust and MRC (core) (Grant ref:

76467/Z/05/Z), MRC (Grant ref: MR/L022206/1) and Welllcome Trust (Grant ref:

8426812/Z/07/Z).

This work was supported in part by the GW4 BIOMED DTP (D.C., MR/N0137941/), awarded to the Universities of Bath, Bristol, Cardiff and Exeter from the Medical Research Council (MRC)/UKRI.

GMK acknowledges funding support from the Wellcome Trust (grant code: 201486/Z/16/Z), the MQ: Transforming Mental Health (grant code: MQDS17/40), the Medical Research Council UK (grant code: MC\_PC\_17213 and MR/S037675/1) and the BMA Foundation (J Moulton grant 2019).

AF, GK and others works in the MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit (MC\_UU\_00011)

ARC and MCB work in the MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit (MC\_UU\_00011/6) and are supported by the University of Bristol British Heart Foundation Accelerator Award (AA/18/7/34219)

The research by MCB was supported by a UK Medical Research Council (MRC) Skills

Development Fellowship (MR/P014054/1) and a Vice-Chancellor's Fellowship from the

University of Bristol.

# **Competing interest**

The authors declared no relevant potential financial conflicts of interest related to the

material presented in the article

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.06.22283149; this version posted December 6, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

1. Smith K. Mental health: a world of depression. Nature. 2014;515(7526):181.

2. Bains N, Abdijadid S. Major depressive disorder. StatPearls [Internet]: StatPearls Publishing; 2022.

Milaneschi Y, Kappelmann N, Ye Z, Lamers F, Moser S, Jones PB, et al. Association 3. of inflammation with depression and anxiety: evidence for symptom-specificity and potential causality from UK Biobank and NESDA cohorts. Molecular Psychiatry. 2021.

Howren MB, Lamkin DM, Suls J. Associations of depression with C-reactive protein, 4. IL-1, and IL-6: a meta-analysis. Psychosomatic medicine. 2009;71(2):171-86.

Osimo EF, Pillinger T, Rodriguez IM, Khandaker GM, Pariante CM, Howes OD. 5. Inflammatory markers in depression: A meta-analysis of mean differences and variability in 5,166 patients and 5,083 controls. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;87:901-9.

Khandaker GM, Pearson RM, Zammit S, Lewis G, Jones PB. Association of Serum 6. Interleukin 6 and C-Reactive Protein in Childhood With Depression and Psychosis in Young Adult Life. JAMA Psychiatry. 2014;71(10):1121.

Gimeno D, Kivimäki M, Brunner EJ, Elovainio M, De Vogli R, Steptoe A, et al. 7. Associations of C-reactive protein and interleukin-6 with cognitive symptoms of depression: 12-year follow-up of the Whitehall II study. Psychological Medicine. 2009;39(3):413-23.

8. Zalli A, Jovanova O, Hoogendijk WJG, Tiemeier H, Carvalho LA. Low-grade inflammation predicts persistence of depressive symptoms. Psychopharmacology. 2016;233(9):1669-78.

Copeland WE, Shanahan L, Worthman C, Angold A, Costello EJ. Cumulative 9. depression episodes predict later C-reactive protein levels: a prospective analysis. Biological psychiatry. 2012;71(1):15-21.

10. Khandaker G, Stochl J, Zammit S, Goodyer I, Lewis G, Jones P. Childhood inflammatory markers and intelligence as predictors of subsequent persistent depressive symptoms: a longitudinal cohort study. Psychological medicine. 2018;48(9):1514-22.

11. Bonaccorso S, Puzella A, Marino V, Pasquini M, Biondi M, Artini M, et al. Immunotherapy with interferon-alpha in patients affected by chronic hepatitis C induces an intercorrelated stimulation of the cytokine network and an increase in depressive and anxiety symptoms. Psychiatry Res. 2001;105(1-2):45-55.

Capuron L, Ravaud A, Gualde N, Bosmans E, Dantzer R, Maes M, et al. Association 12. between immune activation and early depressive symptoms in cancer patients treated with interleukin-2-based therapy. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2001;26(8):797-808.

Capuron L, Gumnick JF, Musselman DL, Lawson DH, Reemsnyder A, Nemeroff CB, 13. et al. Neurobehavioral effects of interferon- $\alpha$  in cancer patients: phenomenology and paroxetine responsiveness of symptom dimensions. 2002;26(5):643-52.

14. Capuron L, Ravaud A, Dantzer RJJoCO. Early depressive symptoms in cancer patients receiving interleukin 2 and/or interferon alfa-2b therapy. 2000;18(10):2143-51.

Köhler O, Benros ME, Nordentoft M, Farkouh ME, Iyengar RL, Mors O, et al. Effect 15. of Anti-inflammatory Treatment on Depression, Depressive Symptoms, and Adverse Effects. JAMA Psychiatry. 2014;71(12):1381.

Wittenberg GM, Stylianou A, Zhang Y, Sun Y, Gupta A, Jagannatha PS, et al. Effects 16. of immunomodulatory drugs on depressive symptoms: A mega-analysis of randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trials in inflammatory disorders. Molecular Psychiatry. 2020;25(6):1275-85.

17. Sanderson E, Glymour MM, Holmes MV, Kang H, Morrison J, Munafò MR, et al. Mendelian randomization. Nature Reviews Methods Primers. 2022;2(1):1-21.

18. Richmond RC, Davey Smith G. Mendelian Randomization: Concepts and Scope. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine. 2022;12(1):a040501.

perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

19. Davey Smith G, Ebrahim S. 'Mendelian randomization': can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? International journal of epidemiology. 2003;32(1):1-22.

20. Lawlor DA, Tilling K, Davey Smith G. Triangulation in aetiological epidemiology. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2017;45(6):1866-86.

Ye Z, Kappelmann N, Moser S, Davey Smith G, Burgess S, Jones PB, et al. Role of 21. inflammation in depression and anxiety: Tests for disorder specificity, linearity and potential causality of association in the UK Biobank. EClinicalMedicine. 2021;38:100992.

22. Kelly KM, Smith JA, Mezuk B. Depression and interleukin-6 signaling: A Mendelian Randomization study. Brain Behav Immun. 2021;95:106-14.

Ahluwalia TS, Prins BP, Abdollahi M, Armstrong NJ, Aslibekyan S, Bain L, et al. 23. Genome-wide association study of circulating interleukin 6 levels identifies novel loci. Hum Mol Genet. 2021;30(5):393-409.

Del Giudice M, Gangestad SWJB, behavior, immunity. Rethinking IL-6 and CRP: 24. Why they are more than inflammatory biomarkers, and why it matters. 2018;70:61-75.

25. Mills PJ, Natarajan L, Von Känel R, Ancoli-Israel S, Dimsdale JE. Diurnal variability of C-reactive protein in obstructive sleep apnea. Sleep and Breathing. 2009;13(4):415-20. Nilsonne G, Lekander M, Åkerstedt T, Axelsson J, Ingre M. Diurnal Variation of 26.

Circulating Interleukin-6 in Humans: A Meta-Analysis. PLOS ONE. 2016;11(11):e0165799.

Gouin J-P, Glaser R, Malarkey WB, Beversdorf D, Kiecolt-Glaser JJHP. Chronic 27. stress, daily stressors, and circulating inflammatory markers. 2012;31(2):264.

28. Edwards KM, Burns VE, Ring C, Carroll D. Sex differences in the interleukin-6 response to acute psychological stress. Biol Psychol. 2006;71(3):236-9.

29. Heesen C, Schulz H, Schmidt M, Gold S, Tessmer W, Schulz KH. Endocrine and cytokine responses to acute psychological stress in multiple sclerosis. Brain Behav Immun. 2002;16(3):282-7.

30. Brydon L, Edwards S, Mohamed-Ali V, Steptoe A. Socioeconomic status and stressinduced increases in interleukin-6. Brain Behav Immun. 2004;18(3):281-90.

Miller GE, Rohleder N, Stetler C, Kirschbaum C. Clinical depression and regulation 31. of the inflammatory response during acute stress. Psychosom Med. 2005;67(5):679-87.

32. Suarez EC, Boyle SH, Lewis JG, Hall RP, Young KH. Increases in stimulated secretion of proinflammatory cytokines by blood monocytes following arousal of negative affect: the role of insulin resistance as moderator. Brain Behav Immun. 2006;20(4):331-8.

Del Giudice M, Gangestad SW. Rethinking IL-6 and CRP: Why they are more than 33. inflammatory biomarkers, and why it matters. Brain Behav Immun. 2018;70:61-75.

34. Scott, Würtz P, Artika, Abraham G, Aki, Liam, et al. The Biomarker GlycA Is Associated with Chronic Inflammation and Predicts Long-Term Risk of Severe Infection. Cell Systems. 2015;1(4):293-301.

Würtz P, Mäkinen V-P, Soininen P, Kangas AJ, Tukiainen T, Kettunen J, et al. 35. Metabolic Signatures of Insulin Resistance in 7,098 Young Adults. Diabetes. 2012;61(6):1372-80.

36. Würtz P, Wang Q, Kangas AJ, Richmond RC, Skarp J, Tiainen M, et al. Metabolic signatures of adiposity in young adults: Mendelian randomization analysis and effects of weight change. PLoS medicine. 2014;11(12):e1001765.

37. Connelly MA, Otvos JD, Shalaurova I, Playford MP, Mehta NN. GlycA, a novel biomarker of systemic inflammation and cardiovascular disease risk. Journal of Translational Medicine. 2017;15(1).

38. Würtz P, Kangas AJ, Soininen P, Lawlor DA, Davey Smith G, Ala-Korpela M. Quantitative Serum Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Metabolomics in Large-Scale

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.06.22283149; this version posted December 6, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Epidemiology: A Primer on -Omic Technologies. American journal of epidemiology. 2017;186(9):1084-96.

Soininen P, Kangas AJ, Würtz P, Suna T, Ala-Korpela M. Quantitative Serum 39. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Metabolomics in Cardiovascular Epidemiology and Genetics. Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics. 2015;8(1):192-206.

Collier F, Ellul S, Juonala M, Ponsonby A-L, Vuillermin P, Saffery R, et al. 40. Glycoprotein acetyls (GlycA) at 12 months are associated with high-sensitivity C-reactive protein and early life inflammatory immune measures. Pediatric Research. 2019;85(5):584-5.

Boyd A, Golding J, Macleod J, Lawlor DA, Fraser A, Henderson J, et al. Cohort 41. Profile: the 'children of the 90s'--the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42(1):111-27.

Fraser A, Macdonald-Wallis C, Tilling K, Boyd A, Golding J, Davey Smith G, et al. 42. Cohort Profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42(1):97-110.

43. Northstone K, Lewcock M, Groom A, Boyd A, Macleod J, Timpson N, et al. The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC): an update on the enrolled sample of index children in 2019. Wellcome Open Res. 2019;4:51.

Eyre O, Bevan Jones R, Agha SS, Wootton RE, Thapar AK, Stergiakouli E, et al. 44. Validation of the short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire in young adulthood. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2021;294:883-8.

Thabrew H, Stasiak K, Bavin LM, Frampton C, Merry S. Validation of the Mood and 45. Feelings Questionnaire (MFQ) and Short Mood and Feelings Questionnaire (SMFQ) in New Zealand help-seeking adolescents. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research. 2018;27(3):e1610.

Thapar A, McGuffin P. Validity of the shortened Mood and Feelings Questionnaire in 46. a community sample of children and adolescents: a preliminary research note. Psychiatry Res. 1998;81(2):259-68.

Turner N, Joinson C, Peters TJ, Wiles N, Lewis G. Validity of the Short Mood and 47. Feelings Ouestionnaire in late adolescence. Psychol Assess. 2014;26(3):752-62.

48. Lewis G, Pelosi AJ, Araya R, Dunn G. Measuring psychiatric disorder in the community: a standardized assessment for use by lay interviewers. Psychol Med. 1992;22(2):465-86.

49. Costantini I, Kwong AS, Smith D, Lewcock M, Lawlor DA, Moran P, et al. Locus of control and negative cognitive styles in adolescence as risk factors for depression onset in young adulthood: Findings from a prospective birth cohort study. Frontiers in psychology. 2021;12:599240.

Soininen P, Kangas AJ, Wurtz P, Tukiainen T, Tynkkynen T, Laatikainen R, et al. 50. High-throughput serum NMR metabonomics for cost-effective holistic studies on systemic metabolism. Analyst. 2009;134(9):1781-5.

Inouye M, Kettunen J, Soininen P, Silander K, Ripatti S, Kumpula LS, et al. 51. Metabonomic, transcriptomic, and genomic variation of a population cohort. Mol Syst Biol. 2010;6:441.

Spratt M, Carpenter J, Sterne JAC, Carlin JB, Heron J, Henderson J, et al. Strategies 52. for Multiple Imputation in Longitudinal Studies. American journal of epidemiology. 2010;172(4):478-87.

Rubin DB, Schenker N. Multiple imputation in health-care databases: an overview 53. and some applications. Stat Med. 1991;10(4):585-98.

54. Sterne JA, White IR, Carlin JB, Spratt M, Royston P, Kenward MG, et al. Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. BMJ. 2009;338:b2393.

55. Clayton GL, Borges MC, Lawlor DA. From menarche to menopause: the impact of reproductive factors on the metabolic profile of over 65,000 women. medRxiv. 2022. Howard DM, Adams MJ, Clarke TK, Hafferty JD, Gibson J, Shirali M, et al.

56. Genome-wide meta-analysis of depression identifies 102 independent variants and highlights the importance of the prefrontal brain regions. Nat Neurosci. 2019;22(3):343-52.

Okbay A, Baselmans BM, De Neve J-E, Turley P, Nivard MG, Fontana MA, et al. 57. Genetic variants associated with subjective well-being, depressive symptoms, and neuroticism identified through genome-wide analyses. 2016;48(6):624-33.

Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sterne JA, Timpson N, Davey Smith G. Mendelian 58. randomization: using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. Statistics in medicine. 2008;27(8):1133-63.

Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid 59. instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. International Journal of Epidemiology. 2015;44(2):512-25.

Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent Estimation in 60. Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. Genetic Epidemiology. 2016;40(4):304-14.

Hemani G, Bowden J, Davey Smith G. Evaluating the potential role of pleiotropy in 61. Mendelian randomization studies. Human Molecular Genetics. 2018;27(R2):R195-R208.

62. Mounier N, Kutalik ZJb. Correction for sample overlap, winner's curse and weak instrument bias in two-sample Mendelian Randomization. 2021.

Chu AL, Hickman M, Steel N, Jones PB, Davey Smith G, Khandaker GM. 63. Inflammation and Depression: A Public Health Perspective. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity. 2021;95:1-3.

64. Osimo EF, Baxter LJ, Lewis G, Jones PB, Khandaker GM. Prevalence of low-grade inflammation in depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of CRP levels. Psychol Med. 2019;49(12):1958-70.

Perry BI, Upthegrove R, Kappelmann N, Jones PB, Burgess S, Khandaker GM. 65. Associations of immunological proteins/traits with schizophrenia, major depression and bipolar disorder: A bi-directional two-sample mendelian randomization study. Brain Behav Immun. 2021;97:176-85.

66. Brunoni AR, Salum GA, Hoffmann MS, Goulart AC, Barreto SM, Canhada S, et al. Prospective associations between hsCRP and GlycA inflammatory biomarkers and depression: The Brazilian longitudinal study of adult health (ELSA-Brasil). Journal of Affective Disorders. 2020;271:39-48.

67. Sanderson E, Davey Smith G, Windmeijer F, Bowden J. An examination of multivariable Mendelian randomization in the single-sample and two-sample summary data settings. International journal of epidemiology. 2019;48(3):713-27.

68. Fantuzzi G. Adipose tissue, adipokines, and inflammation. Journal of Allergy and clinical immunology. 2005;115(5):911-9.

Milaneschi Y, Simmons WK, Van Rossum EFC, Penninx BW. Depression and 69. obesity: evidence of shared biological mechanisms. Molecular Psychiatry. 2019;24(1):18-33. Sanderson E, Spiller W, Bowden J. Testing and correcting for weak and pleiotropic 70. instruments in two-sample multivariable Mendelian randomization. Statistics in Medicine. 2021;40(25):5434-52.

71. Hannestad J, DellaGioia N, Bloch M. The effect of antidepressant medication treatment on serum levels of inflammatory cytokines: a meta-analysis. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2011;36(12):2452-9.

72. Milaneschi Y, Kappelmann N, Ye Z, Lamers F, Moser S, Jones PB, et al. Association of inflammation with depression and anxiety: evidence for symptom-specificity and potential causality from UK Biobank and NESDA cohorts. 2021:1-10.

73. Postal M, Lapa AT, Sinicato NA, de Oliveira Peliçari K, Peres FA, Costallat LTL, et al. Depressive symptoms are associated with tumor necrosis factor alpha in systemic lupus erythematosus. Journal of neuroinflammation. 2016;13(1):1-7.

74. Nagy C, Turecki G. Sensitive periods in epigenetics: bringing us closer to complex behavioral phenotypes. Epigenomics. 2012;4(4):445-57.

Otvos JD, Shalaurova I, Wolak-Dinsmore J, Connelly MA, Mackey RH, Stein JH, et 75. al. GlycA: A Composite Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Biomarker of Systemic Inflammation. Clinical Chemistry. 2015;61(5):714-23.

Akinkuolie AO, Glynn RJ, Padmanabhan L, Ridker PM, Mora S. Circulating N-76. Linked Glycoprotein Side-Chain Biomarker, Rosuvastatin Therapy, and Incident Cardiovascular Disease: An Analysis From the JUPITER Trial. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2016;5(7):e003822.