Discovering Social Determinants of Health from Case Reports using Natural Language Processing: Algorithmic Development and Validation

Shaina Raza [1,2*]; Elham Dolatabadi [1,3], Nancy Ondrusek[1,2], Laura Rosella[2], Brian Schwartz[1,2]

[1] Public Health Ontario (PHO), Toronto, ON, Canada.
[2] Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada.
[3] Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation (IHPME), University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada.

Corresponding Author:
Shaina Raza, PhD
Email: shaina.raza@utoronto.ca

Abstract

Background: Social determinants of health are non-medical factors that influence health outcomes (SDOH). There is a wealth of SDOH information available via electronic health records, clinical reports, and social media, usually in free text format, which poses a significant challenge and necessitates the use of natural language processing (NLP) techniques to extract key information.

Objective: The objective of this research is to advance the automatic extraction of SDOH from clinical texts.

Setting and Data: The patients reports of COVID-19 patients from the published literature are curated to create a corpus. A portion of the data is annotated by experts, and active learning is used for corpus re-annotation.

Methods: A named entity recognition (NER) framework is developed and tested to extract SDOH along with a few prominent clinical entities (diseases, treatments, diagnosis) from the free texts.

Results: The proposed NER implementation achieves an accuracy (F1-score) of 92.98% on our test set and generalizes well on benchmark data. A careful analysis of case examples

demonstrates the superiority of the proposed approach in correctly classifying the named entities.

Conclusions: NLP can be used to extract key information, such as SDOH from free texts. A more accurate understanding of SDOH is needed to further improve healthcare outcomes.

Keywords: Natural language processing; data; COVID-19; named entity; BERT.

INTRODUCTION

Background and significance

Social determinants of health (SDOH) refer to the non-medical factors such as birth, education, occupation, and living conditions, that influence the health outcomes of individuals (1). There is extensive evidence (2–5) that SDOH significantly influences a broad range of health outcomes including mortality rate, elderly care, mental health, and risks for chronic diseases such as asthma, cancer, heart disease, and obesity (6). Diabetes, depression, hypertension, and suicidal behavior are all outcomes of SDOH (7). According to some studies, medical care accounts for 10-20% of health factors, while SDOH accounts for 80% to 90% (8). Thus, it is of utmost importance to address the SDOH to improve the health systems.

SARS-CoV-2 is a virus that infects humans, causing severe upper respiratory problems and coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) disease (9). Recent statistics suggest that SDOH, such as race, ethnicity, gender, social-economic factors, and related population characteristics are also among the risk factors for COVID-19 (10). There is evidence (11,12) that those who are homeless have a higher prevalence of COVID-19 disease than those who are housed. As a result, understanding the SDOH in the context of a pandemic is critical for improving population health outcomes.

Despite advancements in technology, the collection of SDOH data remains a challenge for the public health community. Electronic Health Records (EHRs) constitute a significant portion of clinical data (13), but their use in clinical research is limited due to difficulties in automating the process of extracting information from unstructured data. Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques can potentially overcome these limitations by facilitating the extraction of

relevant information from unstructured data, thereby enabling its use in analysis and decision-making.

Objectives

The objectives of this study are:

- To prepare a dataset for studying COVID-19 disease in population groups.

- To propose an SDOH NLP framework that extracts both SDOH and related clinical/medical information from patients reports data to provide a more holistic view of the patient's condition and how it is affected by social and economic factors.

- To utilize the key findings from the detailed analysis as an estimate for COVID-19 surveillance.

Through this work, we try to address the research question "How to extract SDOH from the patients reports to increase its applicability in practice and study?". To achieve this, the study proposes an NLP framework that extracts both SDOH and clinical information from patients reports data. This work adds to previous efforts (14–17) by SDOH factors as named entities for a more comprehensive understanding of patient clinical outcomes, which is a contribution. The proposed framework includes a data processing module that parses the contents of free text and prepares a dataset, an NLP module that extracts SDOH information from the texts, and an evaluation module that assesses the NLP module's accuracy and effectiveness. The experimental results show that the proposed approach outperforms the baseline methods across multiple datasets. A detailed examination of the information extracted reveals the key findings, which can be used as an estimate for COVID-19 surveillance and to inform public health interventions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

As part of a research project, we developed an NLP framework and validated the performance of its NLP module for SDOH identification. The proposed framework is extensible and applicable to a variety of public health use cases, including surveillance, epidemiology, and policy-making processes.

Data

We created a data cohort derived from COVID-19 publications focusing on individual patient/case cases (18). Each such case report is chosen to make sure that no patient-identifiable information be included. We use the LitCOVID (20) API, which allowed us to extract a variety of published material, such as research papers, scoping reviews, and patients reports. We filtered only the patients reports during the data acquisition phase.

As such, 5500 patients' reports were collected with the following inclusion criterion:
- Time period between the 1st of January 2022 and the 30th of June 2022.
- Reports written in English.
- Population in age groups of 6-12 years (child), 13-18 years (adolescent), 19-44 years (adult), 45-64 years (middle-aged), 65+ years (elder).
- Peer-reviewed publications (grey literature, preprints, and clinical trial registers are excluded). Because we use the LitCOVID API, which only considers published data, this published material constraint is met during the data acquisition process phase.

Proposed SDOH NLP Framework

The SDOH NLP framework, shown in Figure 1, features three modules: (1) data processing, (2) NLP, and (3) evaluation.

Data processing module

The input to the data processing module is the free text patients reports that are acquired through LitCOVID (20) API. These case studies are fed into a scientific parser, where the text contents of the patients' reports are extracted. These contents are saved in a database, with each row representing a case report content and columns containing the case report identifier.

A manually annotated dataset was created by randomly sampling 200 patients reports. The Spark NLP annotation tool (22) was used in the annotation process, it was shared among the annotators. Consistency in the annotation process was ensured by using guidelines for annotating named entities in literature sources (23,24). Both clinical and SDOH entities were extracted as they co-occur in the text or are associated (26). A full list of named entities chosen for annotation

purposes and further annotation guidelines can be found in Appendix A, Table S1.

Figure 1: SDOH NLP proposed architecture

In this research project, a semi-supervised technique (27) is employed to address the issue annotations. This technique strategically selects samples to be annotated. A BERT[38] BERT model for token classification is trained on the annotated data and applied to the unlabeled data, creating a semi-supervised learning loop. The loop starts with around 400 sentences from 175 patient reports and continues to add batches of 90 samples until reaching approximately 450 samples, with an accuracy of approximately 93.5%. By the end of this task, there are approximately 120,000 sentences with 275,000 named entities. The NER model, discussed in the NLP layer, is trained using this annotated data. A portion of the annotated data (30%) is reserved for evaluation purposes.

Natural language processing (NLP) module

The NLP layer of the proposed framework performs the Named Entity Recognition (NER) task as a sequence classification task, where every token in the input sequence is assigned a label. For example, given the sentence "COVID-19 patient with ..", the output would be "I-DISEASE, I-PERSON, O, …", where "O" indicates a non-entity type and "I-DISEASE" indicates a single-token DISEASE type.

Figure 2: Proposed framework for named entities task.

The proposed NER model is composed of a Transformer layer, a Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory (BiLSTM), and a Conditional Random Field (CRF) layer (29) as shown in Figure 2. The first layer is the Transformer layer, BERT (30) is fine-tuned on our annotated dataset and produces contextual representations for each input text data. This fine-tuned model is a task-specific Transformer model that is more robust than task-agnostic pre-trained models and suffices our purpose. After the Transformer layer is the BiLSTM layer that captures the context features to obtain more comprehensive semantic information from the texts. The output sequence of the BiLSTM layer is the input of the CRF layer, which captures the dependency relationship between the named tags and constrains them to the final predicted labels (31). The output of this layer is the named entities (Appendix A, Table S1) and an example of a case report is shown in Appendix A, Figure S1.

Evaluation module

In this research, a two-fold evaluation protocol has been adopted to determine the reliability and effectiveness of the NER approach. The performance has been evaluated quantitatively by comparing the method against state-of-the-art baseline models on commonly used biomedical datasets, as shown in Appendix A, Table S2. Additionally, to measure the performance of the model in extracting SDOH entities, it has been evaluated on the test set, which is 30% of the annotated data. The goal of this evaluation scheme is to measure the correctness of different methods in identifying named entities.

In the qualitative evaluation, the effectiveness of the proposed approach to COVID-19 patients reports has been evaluated and a detailed analysis has been provided. To evaluate the performance of the proposed method, a train-test split strategy is used. The train-test split information from the publication of each dataset is utilized, if available. If there is no official train-test split in the original datasets, a 5-Folds stratified cross-validation strategy is employed. Additionally, a test set consisting of 30% of our annotated data, with ground truth labels, is set aside for evaluation.

The benchmark methods for comparison are categorized as Bi-LSTM-based and Transformer-based models. *BiLSTM-based models* include BiLSTM-CRF (31), BiLSTM-CNN-Char(32), BiLSTM-CRF-MTL(33), Doc-Att-BiLSTM-CRF(34). *Transformer-based NER models* include BLUE-BERT(36), ClinicalBERT (17), BioBERT (30).

To ensure a fair comparison, all the baselines are optimized to their optimal hyper-parameter settings. Both the BiLSTM-based and Transformer-based baseline methods are fine-tuned on the annotated datasets including our test set before evaluation. Fine-tuning these models on the annotated datasets allows them to learn the specific characteristics and features of the dataset and thus perform better on it. The precision, recall, F1-score, and macro-average F1 are used as evaluation metrics, following the practice in previous works (15,32).

Training details: In this study, the experimental environment utilized is Google Colab Pro, which provides access to cloud-based GPUs (K80, P100, or T4) and 32GB RAM. The use of Google Colab allows for efficient and scalable training of the models, while also providing ample storage for the transfer learning process through its integration with Google Drive. The general parameters used for implementing BiLSTM and Transformer-based architectures are provided in Appendix 1: Table S3. The BERT encoder layers are implemented using the PyTorch BERT implementation available from Huggingface.co. This ensures consistency in results across different runs of the experiments.

RESULTS

Quantitative Analysis

Benchmarking against baselines: The proposed method has been extensively tested and proven to be highly effective in different NER tasks. As seen in Table 1, our method consistently outperforms all baseline methods on a wide range of datasets. This performance gain of our model can be attributed to its unique design, which combines the strengths of sequence labeling through a BiLSTM-CRF architecture and the use of powerful contextualized pre-trained embeddings from the Transformer architecture. This approach has resulted in a significant improvement of 1% to 5% compared to other methods.

Table 1: Test results in NER task (bold means best and italic as second-best) based on macro-averaged F1-scores.

	NCBI[47]	BC5CDR[48]	tmVar[50]	BC2GM[52]	i2b2-clinical[54]	Our test set
BiLSTM-CRF (31)	85.81	86.18	86.28	81.08	85.66	87.24
BILSTM-CNN-Char (32)	88.19	*88.58*	87.20	83.29	84.08	89.25
BiLSTM-CRF-MTL (33)	88.85	84.93	83.35	82.12	83.25	86.78
Doc-Att-BiLSTM-CRF (34),	88.61	87.33	83.31	81.80	85.18	86.94
CollaboNet (35)	84.08	87.12	81.75	79.73	85.61	87.13
BLUE-BERT (36)	88.37	87.62	87.24	82.93	86.09	88.10
ClinicalBERT (17)	86.01	84.19	79.10	78.13	84.10	84.93
BioBERT (30)	*89.71*	88.37	*88.10*	*88.72*	*86.73*	*91.34*
Our approach	**90.08**	**89.98**	**89.13**	**89.15**	**89.1**	**92.98**

Table 1 also shows the test results of various models on different datasets. BioBERT, which is one of the prominent models in this domain, also performs relatively well, with its highest F1-score being 91.34% on our test set. Among the rest, BILSTM-CNN-Char shows consistent performance with a score of 89.25% on our test set, while ClinicalBERT demonstrates relatively lower performance, especially on the tmVar50 and BC2GM52 datasets, with F1-scores of 79.10% and 78.13% respectively.

To evaluate the performance of our proposed Transformer-BiLSTM-CRF model, we conducted a comparison against the best-performing baseline model, BioBERT, using the McNemar test (37). The experiment was conducted using gold labels for our test set, with both models trained on the training set. We set the significance level to 0.05 and the degree of freedom to 1. The results of the McNemar test (shown in Appendix 1: Table S4) revealed a

statistically significant difference in performance between our proposed model and BioBERT, as indicated by a p-value of less than 0.05. This confirms that our Transformer-BiLSTM-CRF model significantly outperforms the BERT-like model.

Performance analysis on entities

The fine-grained performance of our proposed NLP approach in extracting 10 SDOH entity classes from our data is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Performance of our approach in extracting the most occurring (greater than 90%) SDOH terms from our test set.

Entity	Precision	Recall	F1
Disease	0.862	0.746	0.800
Gender	0.832	0.784	0.808
Employment	0.785	0.777	0.781
Relationship_Status	0.789	0.753	0.770
Smoking	0.837	0.709	0.767
Race_Ethnicity	0.917	0.698	0.793
Psychological_Condition	0.820	0.775	0.797
Death_Entity	0.919	0.790	0.850
BMI	0.924	0.834	0.877
Diabetes	0.937	0.841	0.886
Macro-average	0.862	0.771	0.813
Micro-average	0.841	0.767	0.802

Though the performance varies across entities, the model performed best in the categories of diabetes, death, psychological condition, BMI, Diabetes, and gender (F1>80%).

Error analysis

In this sub-section, we provide three running examples to further demonstrate the efficacy of our SDOH extraction approach.

Table 3: Running examples, each example contains a sentence extracted from a case report and ground-truth labels. For each sentence, there are 3 sets of predicted labels generated by the top two best-performing models, BiLSTM-CNN-Char and BioBERT, as well as our proposed NLP model. A NER task presents three major challenges: (i) identifying a long entity without breaking part, (ii) failing to identify an entity type, and (iii) misclassifying a non-entity.

Diseases/Symptoms		
Case	True label	We report a 23-year-old man who developed, after asymptomatic <u>COVID-19</u>, a complex symptomatology characterized by <u>fatigue</u>, <u>episodic shortness of breath</u>, <u>nocturnal tachycardia</u>, and <u>chest pain</u>.

	BILSTM-CNN-Char	We report a 23-year-old man who developed, after asymptomatic <u>COVID-19</u>, a complex <u>symptomatology</u> characterized by <u>fatigue</u>, episodic <u>shortness of breath</u>, nocturnal tachycardia, and <u>chest pain</u>. *Error:* Missing a few named entities ('<u>nocturnal tachycardia</u>). Missing a part from long entity chunk ('episodic shortness of breath').Identifying a wrong entity chunk ('symptomatology') as symptom/disease.
	BioBERT	We report a 23-year-old man who developed, after asymptomatic COVID-19, a complex symptomatology characterized by <u>fatigue</u>, episodic <u>shortness of breath</u>, nocturnal <u>tachycardia</u>, and <u>chest pain</u>. *Error:* Missing a named entity ('COVID-19'). Breaking a long entity chunk ('episodic shortness of breath') into parts and identifying separately. Missing a part from long chunk ('nocturnal tachycardia).
	Our approach	We report a 23-year-old man who developed, after <u>asymptomatic</u> <u>COVID-19</u>, a complex symptomatology characterized by <u>fatigue</u>, <u>episodic shortness of breath</u>, <u>nocturnal tachycardia</u>, and <u>chest pain</u>.
	Social Determinants of Health	
Case 2	True label	'A 13-year-old Caucasian girl was presented to the emergency department of her local hospital in December 2020 during the COVID-19 pandemic.
	BILSTM-CNN-Char	'A <u>13-year-old</u> <u>Caucasian</u> girl was presented to the <u>emergency department</u> of her local hospital in December <u>2020</u> during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Error:* Missing a few named entities ('girl', 'her', 'local hospital'). Unable to detect a part of long entity chunk ('December 2020').
	BioBERT	'A <u>13-year-old</u> Caucasian <u>girl</u> was presented to the emergency department of <u>her</u> local hospital in <u>December 2020</u> during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Error:* Missing a few named entities ('Caucasian', 'emergency department', 'local hospital').
	Our approach	'A 13-year-old Caucasian girl was presented to the emergency department of her local hospital in December 2020 during the COVID-19 pandemic.
Case 3	True label	There were <u>68%</u> more <u>men</u> than <u>women</u> identified as being <u>unemployed</u>, with more <u>men</u> claiming benefits.
	BILSTM-CNN-Char	There were 68% more <u>men</u> than <u>women</u> identified as being unemployed, with more <u>men</u> claiming benefits. *Error:* Missing a few named entities ('68%', 'unemployed').
	BioBERT	There were 68% more <u>men</u> than <u>women</u> identified as being unemployed, with more <u>men</u> claiming benefits. *Error:* Missing a few named entities ('68%', 'unemployed').
	Our approach	There were 68% more <u>men</u> than <u>women</u> identified as being <u>unemployed,</u> with more <u>men</u> claiming benefits. *Error:* Missing a named entity ('68%'.)

Overall, we see in these examples in Table 3 that BILSTM-CNN-Char and BioBERT missed a few named entities, but BioBERT did not misclassify any. Our model did not misclassify or miss any part from the long entities. However, in one case, our model failed to predict one named entity which may be due to the lack of full context.

Qualitative analysis to see model effectiveness

In this section, we see the effectiveness of the proposed method in inferring the named entities from case report data.

The prevalence of common COVID-19 symptoms and conditions reported in the patients' reports is depicted in Figure 3, where we see that cough, dizziness, sore throat, fatigue are common. Moreover, fever and loss of smell or taste were also found in patient reports. Experiencing mental health symptoms, such as anxiety and depression is also seen in the patients, which emphasizes the impact of COVID-19 on psychological well-being.

COVID-19 Symptoms Distribution

(a)

Prevalence of Mental Health Issues

Figure 3: Symptom prevalence estimates (occurring more than 70%) in COVID-19 patients, divided into general symptoms (a) and mental health (b). Each colored part represents the percentage of respondents who experienced that symptom.

Next, we present the prevalence of common disease disorders in COVID-19 patients for both female and male groups in Figure 4. This result allows for a more detailed analysis of how gender may affect the likelihood of certain disease disorders in COVID-19 patients. It is worth noting that these are generalizations and individual cases may vary. Some studies suggest that male COVID-19 patients may have a higher risk of severe illness or hospitalization (38), but more research is needed to confirm this.

(a) Diseases reported in COVID-19 female patients   (b) Diseases reported in COVID-19 male patients

Figure 4: Common diseases in COVID-19 patients to gender.

Next, we present the prevalence of disease disorders in COVID-19 patients split across two substance (alcohol, tobacco) disorders in Figure 5. This allows for a more detailed

analysis of how these disorders may affect the likelihood of certain disease disorders in COVID-19 patients. Smoking and heavy alcohol consumption have been shown to increase the risk of pulmonary, cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, cancer and related diseases in COVID-19 patients, as depicted in Figure 5 results. Studies (39) have also shown that smokers and heavy alcohol consumers are more likely to be hospitalized and require intensive care for COVID-19 compared to non-smokers and moderate alcohol consumers, and may have a harder time recovering from the virus. This highlights the importance of considering these factors in the management and treatment of COVID-19 patients.

(a) Risk factors due to alcohol overuse

(b) Risk factors due to tobacco overuse

Figure 5: Frequency occurrences of COVID-19 symptoms in patients with substance use disorder. The bars in the charts represent the prevalence of disease disorders among COVID-19 patients who have also been reported with substance use disorders.

We show COVID-19 vaccination across different age groups in Figure 6. From Figure 6, it can be seen that the COVID-19 vaccination rate increases as the age group rises. The lowest rate of vaccination is found in the '0-17' age group, which is understandable given that vaccine rollout for minors has varied across regions and has often come after adults. The '18-29' age group shows a significant increase in vaccination

rate, reaching 60%. The rates continue to climb for the '30-39', '40-49', and '50-64' age groups, signifying a more pronounced willingness or availability to get vaccinated as age increases. The highest vaccination rate is found in the '65+' age group, reflecting the priority often given to older individuals due to their increased vulnerability to severe COVID-19 symptoms.

Figure 6: COVID-19 Vaccination Rates by Age Group: This line chart illustrates an estimated distribution of COVID-19 vaccination rates across different age groups. The x-axis represents the age groups while the y-axis indicates the vaccination rates in percentages.

DISCUSSION

Previous works: Previous works have extracted SDOH information from clinical data using different methods such as regular expressions, dictionaries, rule-based methods like cTAKES (41,42), and deep neural networks like CNNs, LSTMs (43), and Transformer-based methods (42). Language model-based representations have been found to perform well, especially with large training sets. However, even simpler neural network representations like BiLSTM can perform well with enough training data, being only slightly worse than Transformer-based models. It is important to use a model type with sufficient expressive power when using simpler neural network representations.

Practical impact for enhancing clinical knowledge and patient care: This research aimed to investigate the potential of using NLP models on case report datasets to improve clinical knowledge and patient care. Through the use of advanced NLP techniques, we were able to extract important information from patients reports, including symptoms, diagnosis, and treatment, as well as information about SDOH. This information can be used to inform targeted interventions and deliver personalized and evidence-based care. The use of NLP models also allows for automation of the process of extracting information from patients reports, saving time and resources for healthcare professionals. Such a framework can be integrated into clinical decision support systems to improve the quality of care.

Limitations: The study acknowledges its limitations and provides opportunities for future research. One limitation is that the dataset may not be representative of all SDOH impacting patients with COVID-19 and publication bias favoring positive outcomes (44,45) may have an impact on the types and frequencies of SDOH observed. To address this, future research could aim to implement EHRs and clinical notes that are updated in real-time. Additionally, data privacy concerns will need to be addressed by masking named entities associated with patients' personal information, such as names, locations, and identifiers.

A case report may not always describe a patient's current condition. For instance, "the patient has a family history of hysteria" can be classified as a psychiatric condition of the patient, even though it is not the patient's current condition. To address this, the annotation scheme could be extended and the rules or semantics defined more clearly, allowing for the retraining of language models. However, this would be a labor-intensive process.

There are several ways to further extend this research. One way is to use an extensive active learning approach (46) to improve model performance. Another direction is to use prompt-based learning, which utilizes the strengths of pre-trained foundation models (47), to improve overall effectiveness. Additionally, experimenting with different model architectures (48) and performing detailed significance tests may also add value to this work. By addressing these limits, we believe that this work will lead to an effective and general-purpose model.

CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrates that NLP-based methods can be used to identify clinical entities and SDOH from texts. The proposed framework uses a combination of neural networks. To assess the model ability to extract different named entities, a detailed analysis of the SDOH is performed. The proposed methods outperform the state-of-the-art methods by about 1-5% on the macro-averaged F1 score for the NER task and showed effectiveness in determining clinical outcomes. The current study paves the way for future research and addresses the health disparities that appear in systematic healthcare systems.

Abbreviations

COVID-19: Coronavirus disease
SDOH: social determinants of health
EHR: electronic health records
WHO: World Health Organization
NLP: natural language processing
ML: machine learning
IAA: Inter-annotator agreement
NER: named entity recognition
IOB: inside- outside-before
BERT: bidirectional encoder representations from transformers
BioBERT: bidirectional encoder representations from transformers for biomedical text mining
BiLSTM: bidirectional long short-term memory
CRF: conditional random field
CoNLL: conference on computational natural language learning
NCBI: national center for biotechnology information
BC5CDR: biocreative v chemical disease relations
BC4CHEMD: biocreative iv chemical and drug
BC2GM: biocreative ii gene mention recognition
I2B2: informatics for integrating biology and the bedside
ADE: adverse drug events
CHEMPROT: chemical-protein interactions
CNN: convolutional neural network
MTL: multi-task learning
Att: attention
Doc: document

CollaboNet: collaboration of deep neural networks
ARDS: acute respiratory distress syndrome
PTSD: post-traumatic stress disorder

Supplementary Information
Appendix A, with supplementary material, is attached.

Acknowledgements
The authors would like to thank Public Health Ontario scientists for their guidance on public health practices in COVID-19 research.

Author contributions
SR, ED, NO, BS, LR conceived the study design. SR and BS participated in the literature search. SR and BS prepared the search query for the data collection. SR performed the data curation, data pre-processing, and dataset preparation. SR built the framework and the models, and ED validated the framework. SR created the tables, plotted the graphics, and ED and NO interpreted the study findings. SR drafted the initial manuscript. BS and NO validated the results and evaluated the findings and revised the draft. All authors critically reviewed and substantively revised the manuscript. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Funding
This research was co-funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research's Institute of Health Services and Policy Research (CIHR-IHSPR) as part of the Equitable AI and Public Health cohort, and This work was funded through the Artificial Intelligence for Public Health (AI4PH) Health Research Training Platform (HRTP) supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR).

Availability of data and materials
The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate.
All data and methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Public Health Ontario's (PHO) Ethics Review Board (ERB) waived the need for the ethical approval and informed consent.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Conflict of Interest
None declared.

References

1.   Who WHO. Social determinants of health. World Heal Organ WHO [Internet]. 2019 May; Available from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/social-determinants-of-health#tab=tab_1

2.   Flaskerud JH, DeLilly CR, Flaskerud JH. Social determinants of health status. Issues Ment Health Nurs. 2012;33(7):494–7.

3.   Chang CD. Social determinants of health and health disparities among immigrants and their children. Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care. 2019;49(1):23–30.

4.   Donkin A, Goldblatt P, Allen J, Nathanson V, Marmot M. Global action on the social determinants of health. BMJ Glob Heal. 2018;3(Suppl 1):e000603.

5.   Braveman P, Gottlieb L. The social determinants of health: It's time to consider the causes of the causes. Public Health Rep [Internet]. 2014;129(SUPPL. 2):19–31. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/00333549141291S206

6.   Brakefield WS, Ammar N, Olusanya OA, Shaban-Nejad A. An urban population health observatory system to support COVID-19 pandemic preparedness, response, and management: Design and development study. JMIR Public Heal Surveill. 2021;7(6):1–16.

7.   Whitman A, De Lew N, Chappel A, Aysola V, Zuckerman R, Sommers BD. Addressing Social Determinants of Health: Examples of Successful Evidence-Based Strategies and Current Federal Efforts. Off Heal Policy. 2022;(April):1–30.

8.   Williams DR, Costa M V., Odunlami AO, Mohammed SA. Moving upstream: how interventions that address the social determinants of health can improve health and reduce disparities. J Public Health Manag Pract. 2008;14 Suppl(6):S8--S17.

9.   Intiso D, Marco Centra A, Giordano A, Santamato A, Amoruso L, Di Rienzo F. Critical illness polyneuropathy and functional outcome in subjects with COVID-19: Report on four patients and a scoping review of the literature. J Rehabil Med. 2021;

10. Singu S, Acharya A, Challagundla K, Byrareddy SN. Impact of social determinants of health on the emerging COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. Front public Heal. 2020;406.

11. Baggett TP, Keyes H, Sporn N, Gaeta JM. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in residents of a large homeless shelter in Boston. Jama. 2020;323(21):2191–2.

12. Kiran T, Craig-Neil A, Das P, Lockwood J, Wang R, Nathanielsz N, et al. Factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 positivity in 20 homeless shelters in Toronto, Canada, from April to July 2020: a repeated cross-sectional study. C open. 2021;9(1):E302–8.

13. Tarcar AK, Tiwari A, Rao D, Dhaimodker VN, Rebelo P, Desai R. Healthcare NER models using language model pretraining. CEUR Workshop Proc. 2020;2551(Hsdm):12–8.

14. Wang Y, Sohn S, Liu S, Shen F, Wang L, Atkinson EJ, et al. A clinical text classification paradigm using weak supervision and deep representation 08 Information and Computing Sciences 0801 Artificial Intelligence and Image Processing 17 Psychology and Cognitive Sciences 1702 Cognitive Sciences. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak [Internet]. 2019 Jan 7 [cited 2021 Aug 11];19(1):1–13. Available from:

https://link.springer.com/articles/10.1186/s12911-018-0723-6

15. Lee J, Yoon W, Kim S, Kim D, Kim S, So CH, et al. BioBERT: A pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining. Bioinformatics. 2020;36(4):1234–40.

16. Doğan RI, Leaman R, Lu Z. NCBI disease corpus: A resource for disease name recognition and concept normalization. J Biomed Inform [Internet]. 2014;47:1–10. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S153204641300 1974

17. Huang K, Altosaar J, Ranganath R. ClinicalBERT: Modeling Clinical Notes and Predicting Hospital Readmission. arXiv Prepr arXiv190405342 [Internet]. 2019; Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.05342

18. Rison RA, Shepphird JK, Kidd MR. How to choose the best journal for your case report. Vol. 11, Journal of Medical Case Reports. BioMed Central; 2017. p. 1–9.

19. IMI. CARE case report guidelines [Internet]. CARE Case Report Guidelines. 2019. Available from: https://www.care-statement.org/

20. Chen Q, Allot A, Lu Z. LitCovid: An open database of COVID-19 literature. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49(D1):D1534–40.

21. Cardoso JR, Pereira LM, Iversen MD, Ramos AL. What is gold standard and what is ground truth? Dental Press J Orthod. 2014;19:27–30.

22. Spark NLP 4.0.2 ScalaDoc - com.johnsnowlabs.nlp.annotators.parser.dep.DependencyParser Model [Internet]. 2022. Available from: https://nlp.johnsnowlabs.com/api/com/johnsnowlabs/nlp/annotator s/parser/dep/DependencyParserModel.html

23. Campillos-Llanos L, Valverde-Mateos A, Capllonch-Carrión A, Moreno-Sandoval A. A clinical trials corpus annotated with UMLS entities to enhance the access to evidence-based medicine. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak [Internet]. 2021;21(1):1–19. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-021-01395-z

24. Nothman J, Ringland N, Radford W, Murphy T, Curran JR. Learning multilingual named entity recognition from Wikipedia. Artif Intell. 2013;194:151–75.

25. Artstein R. Inter-annotator agreement. In: Handbook of linguistic annotation. Springer; 2017. p. 297–313.

26. Han S, Zhang RF, Shi L, Richie R, Liu H, Tseng A, et al. Classifying social determinants of health from unstructured electronic health records using deep learning-based natural language processing. J Biomed Inform [Internet]. 2022;127(September 2021):103984. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2021.103984

27. Chen Y, Lasko TA, Mei Q, Denny JC, Xu H. A study of active learning methods for named entity recognition in clinical text. J Biomed Inform. 2015;58:11–8.

28. Tjong Kim Sang EF, de Meulder F. Introduction to the CoNLL-2003 Shared Task: Language-Independent Named Entity Recognition. Proc 7th Conf Nat Lang Learn CoNLL 2003 HLT-NAACL 2003. 2003;142–7.

29. Huang Z, Xu W, Yu K. Bidirectional LSTM-CRF Models for Sequence Tagging [Internet]. arXiv; 2015. Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/1508.01991

30. Lee J, Yoon W, Kim S, Kim D, Kim S, So CH, et al. BioBERT: A pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining. Bioinformatics [Internet]. 2020;36(4):1234–40. Available from: https://github.com/dmis-lab/

31. Lample G, Ballesteros M, Subramanian S, Kawakami K, Dyer C. Neural architectures for named entity recognition. arXiv Prepr arXiv160301360. 2016;

32. Chiu JPC, Nichols E. Named Entity Recognition with Bidirectional LSTM-CNNs. Trans Assoc Comput Linguist. 2016;4(2003):357–70.

33. Wang X, Zhang Y, Ren X, Zhang Y, Zitnik M, Shang J, et al. Cross-type biomedical named entity recognition with deep multi-task learning. Bioinformatics. 2019;35(10):1745–52.

34. Xu K, Yang Z, Kang P, Wang Q, Liu W. Document-level attention-based BiLSTM-CRF incorporating disease dictionary for disease named entity recognition. Comput Biol Med. 2019;108:122–32.

35. Yoon W, So CH, Lee J, Kang J. Collabonet: collaboration of deep neural networks for biomedical named entity recognition. BMC Bioinformatics. 2019;20(10):55–65.

36. Peng Y, Yan S, Lu Z. Transfer learning in biomedical natural language processing: an evaluation of BERT and ELMo on ten benchmarking datasets. arXiv Prepr arXiv190605474. 2019;

37. Gillick L, Cox SJ. Some statistical issues in the comparison of speech recognition algorithms. In: International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing,. 1989. p. 532–5.

38. Abate BB, Kassie AM, Kassaw MW, Aragie TG, Masresha SA. Sex difference in coronavirus disease (COVID-19): a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2020;10(10):e040129.

39. Hamer M, Kivimäki M, Gale CR, Batty GD. Lifestyle risk factors, inflammatory mechanisms, and COVID-19 hospitalization: A community-based cohort study of 387,109 adults in UK. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;87:184–7.

40. Abdi S, Bennett-AbuAyyash C, MacDonald L, Hohenadel K, Johnson KO, Leece P. Provincial implementation supports for socio-demographic data collection during COVID-19 in Ontario's public health system. Can J Public Heal. 2021;112(5):853–61.

41. Chen ES, Carter EW, Sarkar IN, Winden TJ, Melton GB. Examining the use, contents, and quality of free-text tobacco use documentation in the electronic health record. In: AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings. 2014. p. 366.

42. Lituiev D, Lacar B, Pak S, Abramowitsch PL, Marchis E De, Peterson T. Automatic Extraction of Social Determinants of Health from Medical Notes of Chronic Lower Back Pain Patients. medRxiv [Internet]. 2022;2022.03.04.22271541. Available from: https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.03.04.22271541v1 %0Ahttps://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.03.04.222715 41v1.abstract

43. Quan W, Chen Z, Gao J, Hu XT. Comparative study of CNN and LSTM based attention neural networks for aspect-level opinion mining. In: 2018 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data). 2018. p. 2141–50.

44. Easterbrook PJ, Gopalan R, Berlin JA, Matthews DR. Publication bias in clinical research. Lancet. 1991;337(8746):867–72.

45. Nissen T, Wynn R. The clinical case report: a review of its merits

and limitations. BMC Res Notes. 2014;7(1):1–7.

46. Ménard PA, Mougeot A. Turning silver into gold: error-focused corpus reannotation with active learning. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on Recent Advances in Natural Language Processing (RANLP 2019). 2019. p. 758–67.

47. Bommasani R, Hudson DA, Adeli E, Altman R, Arora S, von Arx S, et al. On the Opportunities and Risks of Foundation Models. 2021;1–214. Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/2108.07258

48. Davidson EM, Poon MTC, Casey A, Grivas A, Duma D, Dong H, et al. The reporting quality of natural language processing studies: systematic review of studies of radiology reports. Vol. 21, BMC Medical Imaging. 2021.