Communication attributes modify the anxiety risk associated with social media addiction: a prospective diary method study

Abstract

Purpose: Social media use in younger people has shown mixed associations with mental health. We hypothesized that communication types during social media use might alter the relationship between social media dependence and anxiety over time. We aimed to identify how four dimensions of communication influence the link between social media addiction (SMA) and anxiety.

Methods: We recruited a cohort of undergraduate students aged 18-26 to participate in daily surveys over two weeks using a diary method to assess daily social media use, SMA, anxiety symptoms, and the four dimensions of communication: Consumption, Broadness, Online Exclusivity, and Parasociality. Lagged logistic regression models with generalized estimating equations evaluated the influence of daily SMA and communication type on subsequent anxiety levels.

Results: Out of 79 participants, 1009 daily records were analyzed. SMA positively correlated with anxiety (Kendall rank correlation $\tau=.30$). Interaction analysis indicated that levels of parasociality and consumption moderated the association between SMA components and anxiety outcomes. In young adults with high levels of consumption or parasociality, a 1-standard-deviation rise in SMA's social conflict component led to an 11%-13% increase in next-day anxiety scores. This association was absent for those with low to moderate levels of parasociality and consumption.

Discussion: Elevated levels of passive consumption and one-sided interactions amplify the anxiety risk associated with social media dependence. Further longitudinal evidence can elucidate the connections between communication types, social media exposure, and anxiety, guiding the development of a model for healthy social media use.

Keywords: social media addiction; anxiety; communication types; young adults; daily diary method

Implications and Contribution:

Problematic social media use among youth necessitates targeted interventions. This study demonstrates that passive consumption and one-sided social interactions significantly heighten the anxiety risks tied to social media addiction. The findings highlight the importance of considering communication types in developing interventions aimed at reducing social media-induced mental health issues.

Highlights

- We examined four dimensions of social media communication via a daily diary method.
- Consumption and parasociality moderated the SMA-anxiety link, amplifying its effects.
- High consumption and parasociality predicted increased next-day anxiety scores.
- Communication attributes are important in understanding social media use

Chenziheng Allen Weng, M.S. [a,*], Jahshara Bulgin, M.P.H. [b], Savannah Diaz, M.P.H. [c], Jiafang Zhang, M.S. [a], Runzi Tan, M.S. [a], Le Li, M.S. [a], Mari Armstrong-Hough, Ph.D., M.P.H. [b,c]

[a] Department of Biostatistics, New York University School of Global Public Health, New York, United States

[b] Department of Epidemiology, New York University School of Global Public Health, New York, United States

[c] Department of Social and Behavioral Science, New York University School of Global Public Health, New York, United States

[*]**Corresponding Author:**

Chenziheng Allen Weng, M.S.

School of Global Public Health, New York University

708 Broadway, 7th Floor 746B New York, NY 10003

E-mail: allenweng@nyu.edu

Phone number: +1 (347)-249-9952

Acknowledgments
The recruitment procedure of this study was greatly aided by Julie Avina, Ed.D., who lent her hands to the then-student co-authors of this study. The project was funded by NYU Internal Faculty Funding.

CRediT authorship contribution statement
CAW: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – original draft. JB: Data curation, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – original draft. SD: Project administration, Investigation, Resources, Validation. JZ: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Software. RT: Conceptualization, Investigation, Software. LL: Investigation, Methodology. MAH: Validation, Writing – review & editing, Resources.

Funding sources
The study process required minimal funding from NYU internal faculty, and the funding source was not involved in the research process.

1 Introduction

The past two decades have seen a surge in social media use, with the percentage of American adults on these platforms jumping from 5% in 2005 to 72% by early 2021 (Hootsuite, 2021); young users aged 18-29 reported checking platforms like Instagram or Snapchat multiple times daily (Auxier & Anderson, 2021). Concurrently, the mental health effects of social media use, such as on loneliness (Teppers et al., 2014), stress management (Brand et al., 2016), attention span (Throuvala et al., 2019), and addictive behaviors (Moqbel & Kock, 2018; Shensa et al., 2017), have become increasingly scrutinized.

Social media addiction (SMA) is considered a behavioral addiction, characterized by preoccupation, salience, mood modification, tolerance, withdrawal, conflict, relapse, and persistent use despite adverse consequences, mirroring criteria for substance use disorders (Montag et al., 2024; Moretta et al., 2022). Evidence from longitudinal studies and meta-analyses have associated SMA with anxiety and depression (Huang, 2020; Keles et al., 2020). A nationally representative U.S. panel study from 2014 to 2016 observed a 9% increase in the odds of depression symptoms for young adults with problematic social media use (Shensa et al., 2017), while a multi-country survey linked intense SMA in school-aged adolescents with lower well-being across various domains (Boer et al., 2020). Thus, a better understanding of the mechanisms linking SMA to adverse mental health outcomes is essential for advancing theoretical models and guiding future research.

Although SMA itself has been well researched, previous work has been limited by an undifferentiated concept of social media activities that did not attend to differences between individuals in how or why they use social media. For example, a group of people primarily using the sites for making friends and chatting may experience different risk levels compared to another group using most of the time browsing content (Burke et al., 2010; Utz & Breuer, 2017). Scientific understanding remains limited regarding how different types of social media communication may influence the relationship between SMA and mental

health outcomes, with few studies investigating whether SMA's effects vary across communication types

(Kuss & Griffiths, 2017; Marino et al., 2018).

To derive the SNS communication attributes defined by clear-defined, broader dimensions based on their

shared characteristics and potential impacts on well-being, we built upon prior research linking specific

communication types—such as targeted composed messages, active social interactions, and "one-click"

activities like likes and shares—to mental health outcomes (Burke & Kraut, 2016; Manuoğlu & Uysal,

2020; Utz & Breuer, 2017). The present study examined SNS communication attributes across four

distinct dimensions: 1. *Consumption*: creating content versus consuming content; 2. *Broadness*: whether

information or messages sent or received were targeted/private versus broadcasted/public; 3. *Online*

Exclusivity: whether connections or interactions took place exclusively on SNS versus in both SNS and

other settings; 4. *Parasociality*: whether connections or interactions on SNS were one-way versus mutual.

Detailed operational definitions and measurement procedures are described in the Methods section.

Previous studies have found communication types appear to be correlated with mental well-being (Burke

& Kraut, 2016). For example, Burke and Kraut (2016) reported that receiving targeted, composed

communication from strong-tie friends was associated with improvements in psychological well-being

while viewing broadcasted messages and receiving one-click feedback were not (Burke & Kraut, 2016).

In a survey of high school students, Frison and Eggermont (2016) found that the incidence of depressed

mood was higher among those who used Facebook passively, defined as viewing others' profiles and

posts (Frison & Eggermont, 2016). This line of work is especially invaluable in putting novel, online

communication in the context of established models of human needs for information exchange and social

connections. Does it facilitate essential social efforts (e.g., facilitating belongingness, signaling relational

investment, maintaining stock of friendships, and bolstering self-affirmation (Manuoğlu & Uysal, 2020;

Toma & Hancock, 2013; Tsao et al., 2021))? Or does it perhaps amplify morbid psychosocial

mechanisms (e.g., stimulating unhealthy social comparison, sensation seeking, ignoring real-life

relationships, and causing decreased productivity (Faelens et al., 2021; Griffiths, 2013; Kircaburun &

Griffiths, 2018; Leung, 2008))?" Collectively, this body of empirical evidence provides a compelling

rationale for differentiating SNS communication based on contextual factors. However, a critical

knowledge gap persists regarding how these distinct communication patterns potentially moderate the

association between SMA and psychological outcomes - the primary objective of the present

investigation.

This paper aims to investigate how specific online communication attributes moderate the link between

SMA and anxiety. Building on prior work of Burke and Kraut (2016) and Shakya et al. (2017), we

systemize four dimensions of communication – Consumption, Broadness, Online Exclusivity, and

Parasociality – into coherent framework to assess their moderating roles. Employing a daily diary design

to collect prospective data, this study captures day-to-day fluctuations in social media addiction (SMA)

and anxiety. We expected to offer a temporal perspective to strengthen empirical research on

communication types and to test the hypothesis that the effects of SMA on anxiety would vary by

exposure to different types of social media communication.

2 Methods

2.1 Study Design

The study was designed to collect prospective behavioral data through daily diary method (Shiffman et

al., 2008). Individual participants' daily social media activities and dimensions of communication,

measures of SMA, and anxiety levels were repeatedly captured and analyzed longitudinally across a 14-

day period. Data collection procedures were designed to minimize recall bias, consistently record daily

measures, and deliver daily surveys on mobile devices in the same context as participants used social

media.

The study population included current full-time NYU undergraduate students (9 credits or above per

semester) between 18-26 years old and currently residing in the United States. Potential participants were

excluded if they were not regular users of major social networking site (SNS) applications. We defined

SNS as any social media application that connects users via user-created individual profiles (Kuss &

Griffiths, 2011). Examples of SNS applications/platforms given to participants at recruitments and during

daily surveys include Facebook, Snapchat, WeChat, Instagram, Twitter, TikTok, Weibo, LinkedIn,

Reddit, and Tumblr.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the New York University Institutional Review Board

(IRB-FY2020-4578). Informed consent to participate was obtained from all of the participants in the

study.

2.1.1 Study size

Through a review of previous diary method studies with a similar study population, we found that the

range of study size and time points fall around 75-120 subjects and last from 5 to 21 days (Liu & Lou,

2019; Ohly et al., 2010). We selected 14 days to balance variance against risk of response fatigue.

We conducted a standard statistical power analysis under Repeated Measures ANOVA for within-group

factors. To detect an effect size of 0.06, the number of measurements at 14, and the power set at 0.80, the

required sample size is 90. G*Power 3.1 (Faul et al., 2007) was used for the statistical power analysis and

study size determination.

2.2 Procedures

Data were collected from March 2021 to May 2021. Recruitment emails were distributed to

undergraduates at New York University through the institutional email system. The research team sent

out three rounds of recruitment emails to reach the predetermined sample size of 90.

Completion of the study involved three phases: an orientation session through a prerecorded tutorial video

and web page, a baseline questionnaire, and 14 consecutive days of diary records. During the study

period, participants received an email each day at 8pm directing them to the survey. Participants were

required to finish the diary survey within 3 hours to stay in the study. Three reminders were sent via

email. In cases of extenuating circumstances, participants may make up a missing diary survey until 12:00

pm on the following day up to three times by notifying their administrative investigator. Design,

distribution, and data collection were conducted using Qualtrics XM.

At the beginning of the daily survey, participants completed calibration questions to systematically review

their social media usage. These questions consisted of (1) a selection of all SNS applications they had

used since the last check-in, where the ten most popular SNS platforms were listed and a free text entry

was provided for other platforms; (2) a number entry of an estimation of the total number of times and the

total time spent in checking the SNSs, broken down into evening, morning, and afternoon. The main

daily-level questionnaire was then administrated block by block. The survey did not allow skipped

responses.

Participants received USD $25 compensation for completing 14 consecutive diary days and $10 for

completing at least 7 consecutive days.

2.3 Constructs and Measures

2.3.1 Time-independent measures: baseline characteristics

Demographic variables including gender, age, and race/ethnicity were measured by self-report in the

baseline survey. Measures of four control variables were collected at baseline: history of diagnosis with a

depression disorder, history of diagnosis with an anxiety disorder, recent experience of a major exam or

major life event (e.g., marriage, breakup, losing a job, or the death of a loved one), and COVID-19-related

adjustment disorder. *COVID-19-related adjustment disorder* was measured by an adapted International

Adjustment Disorder Questionnaire (IADQ) (Shevlin et al., 2020), as shown in Supplementary Textbox 1.

2.3.2 Time-dependent measures: Daily-level entries

Anxiety is the primary outcome of interest and was measured by the Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale,

known as the GAD-7 (Löwe et al., 2008). The scale consists of seven questions with a 0-3 measured

Likert-type scale, which were adapted for daily measures. The total score is the sum of each question. A

score >= 10 was considered to indicate anxiety symptoms, with a score of 10-14 indicating moderate

symptoms and a score >= 15 indicating severe symptoms.

SNS communication type: we defined *Consumption* as the proportion of time spent viewing content (+),

compared to the proportion spent composing content (–). We defined *Broadness* as, among messages sent

and received, what proportion were broadcasted to a broad audience (+), compared to the proportion that

was targeted at a particular person (–). *Online exclusivity* was defined as, among connections fostered on

social media sites, what proportion of relationships existed online-only (+, e.g., net friends, celebrities),

compared to the proportion existing offline as well (–, e.g., classmates, family members). Parasociality

was defined as, among connections they made on social media sites, what proportion was primarily one-

way (+), while what proportion was primarily mutual (–). Participants were asked to assess their

communications on SNS since the last study contact on a bipolar scale from 1 to 10 for each dimension.

The full scale is shown in Textbox 1.

Social media addiction was assessed by a set of 12 items adapted from the Bergen Facebook Addiction

Scale (Andreassen et al., 2012), as shown in Supplementary Textbox 2. Each item represented one of four

components of addiction: salience, mood modification, social conflict, and withdrawal. Each addiction

component has three questions. Participants responded on a Likert-type scale with anchors from Rarely

(0) to All the time (3). While the original items specified "Facebook," we substituted "Facebook" with

"social networking sites". The resulting composite scale ranged from 0 to 36 and served as a primary

independent variable in our model. The raw alpha and the standardized alpha were 0.79 and 0.80,

respectively.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Intraclass correlations (ICC) for dimensions of communication and SMA were computed to describe the

consistency of repeated daily-level measures of the same variable within individual participants over the

study period. Generalized estimating modeling (GEE) was used to estimate how SMA dimensions and

communication types predict changes in anxiety. We employed a lagged logistic regression framework

where predictors, including dimensions of social media addiction (SMA) and communication types, as

well as the lagged outcome (anxiety symptoms), were measured on the previous day ($t-1$). This

approach ensures temporal alignment, allowing us to model how behaviors and exposures on one day

influence anxiety levels on the following day (t). The inclusion of a lagged dependent variable

($Anxiety_{t-1}$) accounts for temporal autocorrelation, providing more robust estimates of the predictors '

effects.

As a centering procedure, all predictors and outcomes were standardized to facilitate interpretation, with

coefficients representing the change in the likelihood of next-day anxiety per 1-SD increase in predictors

from the previous day. Additionally, the outcome variable was transformed to a relative scale so that

regression coefficients represent the percent change in the anxiety outcome by each unit of 1-standard-

deviation increase in independent variables. Further stratified analysis applied the final multivariable

model on the tertiles of each communication dimension.

A significance level of 0.10 was chosen to enhance the sensitivity of the analysis, enabling the detection

of potentially meaningful associations while maintaining an acceptable balance with Type I error risk. R

software (version 4.3.0; R Foundation for Statistical Computing), including the *gee* package (version

4.13-25).

3 Results

3.1 Sample Characteristics

Among 94 participants who finished the baseline survey, 79 (84%) participants finished at least 3 daily

(survey) records and were included in the analysis, resulting in 1009 total records analyzed (Table 1). On

average, participants completed 13 daily records (median: 14).

The median age was 20 years (range: 18–26), and the majority were women (91%). Over two-thirds

(67%) reported moderate or severe levels of COVID-19 adjustment disorder, with 27% experiencing

severe levels. Additionally, 24% and 30% reported previously diagnosed depression and anxiety

disorders, respectively.

3.2 Distribution of daily-level measures

Distributions of the four communication dimensions are shown in Figure 1, and summary statistics of daily-level measures are presented in Supplementary Table 3. Consumption showed the largest within-subject variance (ICC=0.40), followed by broadness (ICC=0.58), online exclusivity (ICC=0.54), and parasociality (ICC=0.53).

All four SMA components (salience, mood modification, social conflict, and withdrawal) were significantly correlated with anxiety scores ($P<0.001$), with correlation coefficients ranging from 0.08 to 0.35. Salience and withdrawal showed moderate positive relationships with anxiety, while mood modification and social conflict demonstrated smaller and notable relationships, respectively. Communication dimensions were not significantly associated with anxiety scores in bivariate analysis.

3.3 Multivariable regression

Table 2 presents the population-averaged effect from GEE models, in which day-to-day change in daily anxiety score was regressed on previous-day SMA dimensions, previous-day communication characteristics, and their interactions. All models use a lagged dependent variable and were adjusted for age, gender, race, COVID-19 adjustment disorder, major life events or exams, and previously diagnosed anxiety or depression disorder.

Previous-day anxiety score was negatively associated with next-day anxiety level in all models (Table 2, Model D, β=-0.58, $P<0.001$). Without accounting for attributes of communication, the salience component of SMA was associated with increased anxiety level (Table 2, Model A, β=0.16, P=0.01). The effect of withdrawal was also positively associated with anxiety score (β=0.10, P=0.07). Mood modification was associated with decreased anxiety level (β=-0.09, P=0.05). The effect of social conflict was not statistically significant ($P>0.1$). In Model B, communication characteristics are added to the

model. None of the communication dimensions were independently associated with anxiety level. The

full model (Model C) includes SMA components, communication characteristics, and the interaction

terms of all pairwise combinations (4×4) of these dimensions. The main effects of SMA components and

communication characteristics are similar to those in the first two crude models. Four significant

interaction effects stand out: parasociality negatively moderated the effect of mood modification;

consumption and parasociality positively moderated the effect of social conflict; broadness negatively

moderated the effect of withdrawal.

In the parsimonious model (Model D), parasociality negatively moderated the effect of mood

modification (β=-0.03, P=0.01); consumption and parasociality positively moderated the effect of social

conflict (β=0.02, P=0.02; and β=0.03, P=0.02, respectively). That is, for every 1-standard-deviation

increase in consumption, the effect of social conflict on next-day anxiety increased by 2% (95% CI

0.04%-4%). For every 1-standard-deviation increment in parasociality, the effect of social conflict on

next-day anxiety increased by 3% (95% CI 1%-5%). The interaction of broadness and withdrawal was not

statistically significant.

Model fit improved significantly in the full and parsimonious models accounting for the interactions

between SMA components and communication types.

3.4 Stratified by communication types

Stratified analysis further examined the effects of SMA based on the levels of the moderating dimensions

of communication (Figure 2). For the three pairs of significant interactions identified in the multivariable

analysis, the models were rerun on the tertiles of their corresponding dimension of communication.

For participants with high consumption, a 1-standard-deviation increase in social conflict was associated

with a 13% increase in next-day anxiety (95% CI 5%–20%), while no significant effects were observed

for low-consumption groups ($P>0.1$). For parasociality, high levels amplified the effect of social conflict

on anxiety, leading to an 11% increase in next-day anxiety (95% CI 4%–17%), while effects in low-

parasociality groups were not significant ($P>0.1$). No significant effects were observed for interaction

between parasociality and mood modification in stratified analyses ($P>0.1$).

4 Discussion

In this analysis of the moderating role of social media use type in the association between SMA and

anxiety among young adults, we found that not all styles of social media use carry the same risks of

heightened anxiety. Young adults whose social media interactions were characterized by consumption

rather than composition experienced significant increases in SMA-induced anxiety. Similarly, young

adults with primarily parasociality experienced significant increases in SMA-induced anxiety, an effect

not observed in those with low or moderate interaction levels.

We also observed a pronounced effect of social conflict in the interactions between SMA and

communication types. The results indicate that SMA problems may disrupt social obligations and focus

on tasks, making individuals more susceptible to interpersonal tensions and productivity-related conflicts.

This aligns with prior studies pointing out that behaviors characterizing social conflict are the most

observable among SMA components (Griffiths, 2005; Shakya & Christakis, 2017). Recognizing this, it's

crucial to investigate further how different facets of social media use can either exacerbate or alleviate

these conflicts, especially since such conflicts have profound implications for mental health and daily

functioning. More comprehensive research on social conflict induced by SMA will be essential to inform

interventions aimed at reducing the adverse effects of problematic social media use.

A core finding was the negative impact of excessive consumption as opposed to composition, or what is

often termed "passive use", on anxiety. This is consistent with Frison's study which linked passive use of

social media with depressive moods in adolescent girls, ascribed largely to upward social comparison

(Frison & Eggermont, 2016). Conversely, active use, encompassing activities like posting photos and

sending personal messages, was found beneficial due to the perception of increased online social support.

Our findings reaffirm the potential dangers of passive consumption, particularly given the personalized

content and highly self-relevance information prevalent on social media platforms (Lindström et al.,

2021; Scholz et al., 2023). These patterns of consumption have been linked to sensation seeking (Bai et

al., 2021; Leung, 2008), attentional deficits (Uncapher & Wagner, 2018), and even structural brain

changes among young adults (Uncapher & Wagner, 2018). Future research should delve deeper into the

mechanisms to explain how consumption exacerbates SMA-related anxiety.

Our research also underscored role of parasociality in exacerbating SMA-related anxiety. Engaging in

parasociality, which are devoid of genuine relational feedback (Burke & Kraut, 2016; Throuvala et al.,

2019; Utz & Breuer, 2017), can detract from time spent on meaningful, supportive relationships (Giles,

2020). Interestingly, increased parasociality was observed to have an almost immediate impact on next-

day anxiety, suggesting potential mechanisms beyond just impairing other social interactions. While some

argue that moderate levels of parasociality can foster feelings of community and identity exploration

among young adults (Hoffner & Bond, 2022), our findings emphasize the importance of understanding

and balancing such interactions to mitigate potential negative effects on mental well-being.

Our findings contribute to the growing body of literature emphasizing the cognitive implications of social

media behaviors and their parallels in real-world social interactions (see a review by Meshi et al. (2015)).

Adopting a "addictive" perspective of social media use, despite ongoing debates about its operational and

theoretical boundaries (Montag et al., 2024; Moretta et al., 2022), provides a structured framework for the

application of validated addiction frameworks to study SMA's behavioral and psychological mechanisms.

It's imperative to understand that communication types on social media platforms are often intertwined.

While beyond the scope of the current study, a more comprehensive understanding of how

communication dimensions interact is needed. Further research to examine these interrelations could

ultimately inform interventions to promote healthier online communication.

Limitations

This study's conclusions are constrained by its dependence on participants' self-reporting of their social

media use, which introduces variability in the interpretation of activity types and hinders direct

comparisons across subjects. Furthermore, reported proportions of communication types, such as

"consumption," may not accurately reflect actual time spent, complicating the assessment of exposure's

impact. We employed calibration questions to standardize activity ratings, required at the beginning of the

daily survey to allow participants to break down the time, sessions, and platforms on social media and

help them preserve an objective review and estimation. Our sensitivity analysis, which included

converting self-reported data into actual usage time, confirmed the consistency of our results.

Secondly, the predominance of female participants in our sample suggests a need for subsequent research

to incorporate a more balanced gender representation. Moreover, the timing of the study—conducted

during the initial COVID-19 outbreak—might have influenced the generalizability of the findings, given

the potential for heightened stress levels among participants. However, we controlled for gender and

COVID-19-related distress in our regression and stratified analyses.

Notwithstanding these limitations, the study's methodological strengths lie in its use of an ecological

momentary assessment framework coupled with prospective design in regression estimation. This study

design enhances the ecological validity of our findings and decreases retrospective bias, providing a

reliable record of subjective experiences, anxiety trends, and the immediate effects of social media

interaction.

Conclusions

Using a daily diary design, we found that two dimensions of social media communication significantly worsened the risk of anxiety associated with SMA among young adults. Young adults with high levels of passive social media use as defined by consumption and parasociality experienced significantly higher risk of next-day anxiety. Future research should systematically examine how the nature of social media communication—rather than just its quantity—shapes anxiety risk. Deepening understanding of the mechanisms linking problematic social media use, communication type, and anxiety can inform interventions to promote healthier use of social media among young adults.

Abbreviations

SMA: social media addiction

SNS: Social networking site

References

Andreassen, C. S., Torsheim, T., Brunborg, G. S., & Pallesen, S. (2012). Development of a Facebook addiction scale. *Psychological reports*, *110*(2), 501-517.

Auxier, B., & Anderson, M. (2021). Social media use in 2021. *Pew Research Center*.

Bai, J., Mo, K., Peng, Y., Hao, W., Qu, Y., Lei, X., & Yang, Y. (2021). The relationship between the use of mobile social media and subjective well-being: the mediating effect of boredom proneness. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 568492.

Boer, M., Van Den Eijnden, R. J., Boniel-Nissim, M., Wong, S.-L., Inchley, J. C., Badura, P., Craig, W. M., Gobina, I., Kleszczewska, D., & Klanšček, H. J. (2020). Adolescents' intense and problematic social media use and their well-being in 29 countries. *Journal of adolescent health*, *66*(6), S89-S99.

Brand, M., Young, K. S., Laier, C., Wölfling, K., & Potenza, M. N. (2016). Integrating psychological and neurobiological considerations regarding the development and maintenance of specific Internet-use disorders: An Interaction of Person-Affect-Cognition-Execution (I-PACE) model. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *71*, 252-266.

Burke, M., & Kraut, R. E. (2016). The relationship between Facebook use and well-being depends on communication type and tie strength. *Journal of computer-mediated communication*, *21*(4), 265-281.

Burke, M., Marlow, C., & Lento, T. (2010). Social network activity and social well-being. Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on human factors in computing systems,

Faelens, L., Hoorelbeke, K., Soenens, B., Van Gaeveren, K., De Marez, L., De Raedt, R., & Koster, E. H. (2021). Social media use and well-being: A prospective experience-sampling study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *114*, 106510.

Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, *39*, 175-191. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03193146

Frison, E., & Eggermont, S. (2016). Exploring the relationships between different types of Facebook use, perceived online social support, and adolescents' depressed mood. *Social Science Computer Review*, *34*(2), 153-171.

Giles, D. C. (2020). Parasocial Relationships and Affective and Emotional Character Engagement. *The International Encyclopedia of Media Psychology*, 1-5.

Griffiths, M. (2005). A 'components' model of addiction within a biopsychosocial framework. *Journal of Substance use*, *10*(4), 191-197.

Griffiths, M. D. (2013). Social networking addiction: Emerging themes and issues. *Journal of Addiction Research & Therapy*, *4*(5).

Hoffner, C. A., & Bond, B. J. (2022). Parasocial relationships, social media, & well-being. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 101306.

Hootsuite. (2021). Daily time spent on social networking by internet users worldwide from 2012 to 2020 (in minutes). (September 22). https://www.statista.com/statistics/433871/daily-social-media-usage-worldwide/

Huang, C. (2020). A meta-analysis of the problematic social media use and mental health. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 0020764020978434.

Keles, B., McCrae, N., & Grealish, A. (2020). A systematic review: the influence of social media on depression, anxiety and psychological distress in adolescents. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, *25*(1), 79-93.

Kircaburun, K., & Griffiths, M. D. (2018). Instagram addiction and the Big Five of personality: The mediating role of self-liking. *Journal of behavioral addictions*, *7*(1), 158-170.

Kuss, D. J., & Griffiths, M. D. (2011). Online social networking and addiction—a review of the psychological literature. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *8*(9), 3528-3552.

Kuss, D. J., & Griffiths, M. D. (2017). Social networking sites and addiction: Ten lessons learned. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *14*(3), 311.

Leung, L. (2008). Linking psychological attributes to addiction and improper use of the mobile phone among adolescents in Hong Kong. *Journal of children and media*, *2*(2), 93-113.

Lindström, B., Bellander, M., Schultner, D. T., Chang, A., Tobler, P. N., & Amodio, D. M. (2021). A computational reward learning account of social media engagement. *Nature communications*, *12*(1), 1311.

Liu, H., & Lou, V. W. (2019). Developing a smartphone-based ecological momentary assessment protocol to collect biopsychosocial data with community-dwelling late-middle-aged and older adults. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*, *9*(4), 711-719.

Löwe, B., Decker, O., Müller, S., Brähler, E., Schellberg, D., Herzog, W., & Herzberg, P. Y. (2008). Validation and standardization of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener (GAD-7) in the general population. *Medical care*, *46*(3), 266-274.

Manuoğlu, E., & Uysal, A. (2020). Motivation for different Facebook activities and well-being: A daily experience sampling study. *Psychology of Popular Media*, *9*(4), 456.

Marino, C., Gini, G., Vieno, A., & Spada, M. M. (2018). The associations between problematic Facebook use, psychological distress and well-being among adolescents and young adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *226*, 274-281.

Meshi, D., Tamir, D. I., & Heekeren, H. R. (2015). The emerging neuroscience of social media. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, *19*(12), 771-782.

Montag, C., Demetrovics, Z., Elhai, J. D., Grant, D., Koning, I., Rumpf, H.-J., Spada, M. M., Throuvala, M., & van den Eijnden, R. (2024). Problematic social media use in childhood and adolescence. *Addictive behaviors*, 107980.

Moqbel, M., & Kock, N. (2018). Unveiling the dark side of social networking sites: Personal and work-related consequences of social networking site addiction. *Information & Management*, *55*(1), 109-119.

Moretta, T., Buodo, G., Demetrovics, Z., & Potenza, M. N. (2022). Tracing 20 years of research on problematic use of the internet and social media: Theoretical models, assessment tools, and an agenda for future work. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, *112*, 152286.

Ohly, S., Sonnentag, S., Niessen, C., & Zapf, D. (2010). Diary studies in organizational research: An introduction and some practical recommendations. *Journal of Personnel Psychology*, *9*(2), 79.

Scholz, C., C. Baek, E., & Falk, E. B. (2023). Invoking self-related and social thoughts impacts online information sharing. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, *18*(1), nsad013.

Shakya, H. B., & Christakis, N. A. (2017). Association of Facebook use with compromised well-being: A longitudinal study. *American journal of epidemiology*, *185*(3), 203-211.

Shensa, A., Escobar-Viera, C. G., Sidani, J. E., Bowman, N. D., Marshal, M. P., & Primack, B. A. (2017). Problematic social media use and depressive symptoms among US young adults: A nationally-representative study. *Social science & medicine*, *182*, 150-157.

Shevlin, M., Hyland, P., Ben☐Ezra, M., Karatzias, T., Cloitre, M., Vallières, F., Bachem, R., & Maercker, A. (2020). Measuring ICD☐11 adjustment disorder: The development and initial validation of the International Adjustment Disorder Questionnaire. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, *141*(3), 265-274.

Shiffman, S., Stone, A. A., & Hufford, M. R. (2008). Ecological Momentary Assessment. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, *4*(1), 1-32. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.3.022806.091415

Teppers, E., Luyckx, K., Klimstra, T. A., & Goossens, L. (2014). Loneliness and Facebook motives in adolescence: A longitudinal inquiry into directionality of effect. *Journal of adolescence*, *37*(5), 691-699.

Throuvala, M. A., Griffiths, M. D., Rennoldson, M., & Kuss, D. J. (2019). Motivational processes and dysfunctional mechanisms of social media use among adolescents: A qualitative focus group study. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *93*, 164-175.

Toma, C. L., & Hancock, J. T. (2013). Self-affirmation underlies Facebook use. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *39*(3), 321-331.

Tsao, S.-F., Chen, H., Tisseverasinghe, T., Yang, Y., Li, L., & Butt, Z. A. (2021). What social media told us in the time of COVID-19: a scoping review. *The Lancet Digital Health*.

Uncapher, M. R., & Wagner, A. D. (2018). Minds and brains of media multitaskers: Current findings and future directions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *115*(40), 9889-9896.

Utz, S., & Breuer, J. (2017). The relationship between use of social network sites, online social support, and well-being. *Journal of media psychology*.

Figure 1. Distribution of the four dimensions of communication type of the daily survey records (n=1009). Axis labels show the visual analog of the self-reported relative proportion of time on the type of communication exchanged with a range of one to ten. ICC was measured in two-way random effects models. A higher ICC score indicates that the values from individual participants were more consistent over time. IQR: Interquartile range. ICC: Intraclass correlation.

A Effect of mood modification by tertiles of parasociality

Events	271	336	323
Coef.	0.03	-0.01	-0.03
(95% CI)	(-0.02, 0.08)	(-0.05, 0.02)	(-0.07, 0.01)

B Effect of social conflict by tertiles of consumption

Events	283	293	354
Coef.	0.003	-0.03	0.13
(95% CI)	(-0.04, 0.05)	(-0.08, 0.01)	(0.05, 0.20)

C Effect of social conflict by tertiles of parasociality

Events	271	336	323
Coef.	0.02	-0.03	0.11
(95% CI)	(-0.04, 0.08)	(-0.07, 0.004)	(0.04, 0.17)

Figure 2. Stratified analysis of the effects of SMA components on anxiety based on tertiles of the dimensions of communication type. Coefficients indicate percentage changes in the outcome as a function of a 1-standard-deviation increase in the SMA component. SMA: social media addiction.

Textbox 1. SNS communication type scale. SNS: social networking sites.

Scoring: Scored from 1 to 10 to indicate the proportion of time. Participants rate their daily SNS activities in terms of each communication type as relative deciles that add up to 100% of total activity time.

Item 1 – Consumption
Instructions: Generating content vs. Viewing content

Item 2 – Broadness
Instructions: The content you interact (send and receive) with are:
Targeted at the recipient vs. Broadcast to many recipients

Item 3 – Online exclusivity (Shown as "Social connections")
Instructions: The connections you made on SNS exist offline also (fellow students, dates, parents, etc.) vs. exist only online (celebrities, influencers, idols, etc.)

Item 4 – Parasociality (Shown as "Direction of relationships")
Instructions: The connections you made on SNS were primarily one-way (e.g., following an influencer) vs. primarily mutual (e.g., interacting in a two-way conversation.)

Table 1. Study sample characteristics (*n*=79)

Characteristics		*n*
Demographics		
Median age (IQR, range)		20 (2, 18-26)
Gender, %	Female	72 (91%)
	Male	5 (6%)
	Other	2 (3%)
Race, %	Non-Hispanic white	21 (27%)
	Non-Hispanic black	10 (13%)
	Hispanic/Latino(a)	7 (9%)
	Asian	26 (33%)
	Mixed/other	15 (19%)
Control variables		
COVID-19 adjustment disorder, %	No/minor	26 (33%)
	Moderate	32 (40%)
	Severe	21 (27%)
Previously diagnosed depression disorder, %	No	60 (76%)
	Yes	19 (24%)
Previously diagnosed anxiety disorder, %	No	55 (70%)
	Yes	24 (30%)
Recently had a major exam or major life event[a], %	No	14 (18%)
	Yes	65 (82%)

IQR: Interquartile Range.

[a] Major life event was defined as events that recently occurred and impacted the subjects at baseline; examples given include marriage, breakup, losing a job, and the death of a loved one.

Table 2. Population-averaged effect of social media addiction and communication type on prospective daily anxiety score (*n*=1009)

	Model A SMA only			Model B Communication types only			Model C Full model			Model D Parsimonious model		
	β	*SE*	*P*	β	*SE*	*P*	β	*SE*	*P*	β	*SE*	*P*
Intercept	0.03	0.55	.96	-0.13	0.46	.79	0.03	0.16	.84	-0.42	0.51	.41
Lagged anxiety score	-0.34	0.05	<.001	-0.45	0.06	<.001	-0.15	0.02	<.001	-0.58	0.07	<.001
SMA components												
Salience	0.16	0.06	.01				0.05	0.02	.01	0.05	0.02	.01
Mood modification	-0.09	0.05	.05				-0.03	0.02	.08	-0.02	0.01	.10
Social conflict	0.07	0.05	.17				0.02	0.02	.31	0.02	0.02	.27
Withdrawal	0.10	0.05	.07				0.04	0.02	.03	0.04	0.02	.02
Communication types												
Consumption				0.03	0.04	.42	0.02	0.01	.18	0.02	0.01	.19
Broadness				-0.03	0.04	.48	-0.02	0.01	.12	-0.02	0.01	.14
Online exclusivity				0.04	0.06	.44	0.01	0.02	.40	0.02	0.02	.28
Parasociality				-0.02	0.05	.61	0.004	0.02	.81	<0.001	0.01	.98
SMA components × Communication types												
Salience ×												
Consumption							-0.01	0.02	.45	–[a]		
Broadness							0.01	0.02	.72	–[a]		
Online exclusivity							0.02	0.02	.32	–[a]		
Parasociality							0.001	0.01	.92	–[a]		
Mood modification ×												
Consumption							0.001	0.01	.92	–[a]		
Broadness							0.01	0.02	.65	–[a]		
Online exclusivity							-0.02	0.02	.29	–[a]		
Parasociality							-0.02	0.01	.07	-0.03	0.01	.01
Social conflict ×												
Consumption							0.03	0.01	.07	0.02	0.01	.03
Broadness							0.01	0.01	.44	–[a]		
Online exclusivity							-0.002	0.02	.90	–[a]		
Parasociality							0.03	0.02	.05	0.03	0.01	.02

Withdrawal ×						
Consumption		-0.001	0.01	.94	–[a]		
Broadness		-0.02	0.01	.07	-0.01	0.009	.21
Online exclusivity		0.004	0.02	.87	–[a]		
Parasociality		-0.02	0.02	.30	–[a]		
QICu/CIC	827.3/30.5	870.4/24.6	148.2/55.2	124.9/40.8
Residual Std. Dev.	0.93	0.96	0.28	0.28

All models were adjusted for age, gender, race, COVID-19 adjustment disorder, major life events or major exams, and previously diagnosed anxiety or depression disorder. Standardized Regression coefficients (β) reflect the percent change in the anxiety as a function of a 1-standard-deviation change in the predictor variables. QICu/CIC values are presented as indicators of model fit, with lower values suggesting improved fit when comparing nested models. SMA: social media addiction.

[a] Interaction terms were insignificant in the full model at $P=.1$ level and were not carried forward to the subsequent model.

Supplementary Textbox 1. Measure of COVID-19-Related Adjustment Disorder.

Participants identified their most significant stressor since the onset of the pandemic in March 2020 from a list of ten options, including "Overwhelmed by information," "Disrupted ability to work or learn," "Death of a loved one," "Moving," "Reduced/suspended social life," "Financial difficulties," "Family conflict," "Serious illness," "Unemployment," and "Illness/care of a loved one." This selected stressor was used in the International Adjustment Disorder Questionnaire (IADQ) main scale, which consists of six items assessing difficulty in adaptation (e.g., "I find it difficult to relax and feel calm after [Overwhelmed by information]") and functional impairment (e.g., "Has [Overwhelmed by information] affected your relationships or social life?"). Participants rated these items on a Likert scale from 0 (No) to 3 (Extreme). A score of 10 or higher indicated moderate adjustment disorder, while a score of 14 or higher indicated severe adjustment disorder.

Supplementary Textbox 2. Social media addiction scale. Dimensions of the items: Salience (s), Mood modification (m), Social conflict (c), Withdrawal (w). SNS: social networking sites.

Scoring: Scored 1 (Rarely), 2 (Sometimes) 3 (Over half of the day) 4 (All the time) for items. Higher scores indicate more addictive symptoms. SNS: social networking sites.

Scale items:

While I study/work, my mind remains on the social networking sites. (s)

The sites help to lift my mood. (m)

I find it difficult to switch off while I am on the sites. (s)

I feel like going to the sites whenever I am upset. (m)

I check my SNS accounts before starting any task or activity. (s)

I feel at ease when I am on the sites. (m)

I prefer the excitement of the sites over being with my close friends. (c)

I find myself anticipating when I will go on the sites again. (w)

I've given less priority to hobbies, leisure activities, and exercise because of time spent on the sites. (c)

I've become restless when I do not have time to log in to the sites. (w)

I feel defensive or secretive if someone bothers me while on the sites. (c)

I feel frustrated when I cannot use the sites. (w)

Supplementary Table 1. Distribution, intraclass correlations, and association measures of daily-level social media behavioral variables. Variables are the aggregates from the 14-day follow-up records (*n*=1009).

Variables	Min	Max	Median (IQR)	ICC	Corr. With Anxiety Score
Social media communication dimensions					
Consumption	1	10	9 (3)	0.40	<0.01[a]
Broadness	1	10	8 (4)	0.58	-0.01[a]
Online exclusivity	1	10	6 (5)	0.54	<0.01[a]
Parasociality	1	10	6 (5)	0.53	0.02[a]
Social media addiction (SMA)					
Salience	3	12	6 (3)	0.65	0.28[b]
Mood modification	3	12	6 (2)	0.65	0.08[b]
Social conflict	3	12	4 (2)	0.71	0.35[b]
Withdrawal	3	12	4 (2)	0.74	0.33[b]
Sum score	12	48	20 (8)	0.75	0.30[b]
Anxiety score	7	28	12 (8)	0.65	/

Note: Scores were measured by a GAD-7 anxiety scale adapted for daily measures. ICC was measured in two-way random-effect models. A higher ICC score indicates that the values from individual participants were more consistent over time. Correlation coefficients were measured by Kendall's rank correlation τ. IQR = Interquartile range. ICC = Intraclass correlation coefficient.
[a]$P>.1$
[b]$P<.001$