medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Age-related differences in colon and rectal cancer survival: An analysis of United States SEER-18 data

Sophie Pilleron*, PhD, Nuffield Department of Population Health, Big Data Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; sophie.pilleron@ndph.ox.ac.uk

Diana Withrow*, PhD, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; diana.withrow@phc.ox.ac.uk

Brian D Nicholson, MRCGP, DPhil, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; brian.nicholson@phc.ox.ac.uk

Eva JA Morris, PhD, Nuffield Department of Population Health, Big Data Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; eva.morris@ndph.ox.ac.uk

*co-first authors

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Age-related differences in colon and rectal cancer survival have been observed, even after accounting for differences in background mortality. To determine to what extent stage, tumour site, or histology could contribute to these differences, we estimated 1-year relative survival (RS) age stratified by these factors. Colon and rectal cancer cases diagnosed between 2012 and 2016 and followed up until 2017 were retrieved from 18 United States Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results cancer registries. For colon cancer, 1-year RS ranged from 87.8% [95% Confidence Interval: 87.5-88.2] in the 50–64-year-old age group to 62.3% [61.3-63.3] in the 85–99-year-old age group and for rectal cancer ranged from 92.3% [91.8-82.7] to 65.0% [62.3-67.5]. With respect to stage, absolute differences in RS between 50–64-year-old and 75–84-year-old in RS increased with increasing stage (from 6 [5-7] %-points in localized disease to 27 [25-29] %-points in distant disease) and were the highest for cancers of unknown stage (>28%-points). With respect to topography, age-related differences in survival were smallest for those in right-sided colon (8 [7-9] %-points) and largest for tumours of the colon without topography further specified (25 [21-29] %-points). While age-related differences in survival varied by histology and tumour site, the overall age-related differences in survival could not be explained by differences in distribution of these factors by age, consistent with a hypothesis that stage at diagnosis or treatment are more likely drivers. Incorporating data on geriatric conditions such as frailty and comorbidity would support further understanding of the age gap in colon and rectal cancer survival.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Introduction

In the United States (US), an estimated 150,000 new colon and rectal cancers were diagnosed and over 50,000 deaths occurred in 2021.[1] Over 30% of colorectal cancers are diagnosed in persons aged 75 and older.[2] The 5-year relative survival for colon and rectal cancer is estimated to be 64% in the US, similar to that in other high-income countries.[3] Older adults have poorer cancer survival than middle-aged adults even after accounting for differences in life expectancy. The difference has widened over time, with generally greater improvements in survival noted in younger than older age groups, suggesting older adults have not benefited to the same extent as younger adults from improvements in cancer treatment over recent decades.[3]

Recent studies of age-related differences in colon and rectal cancer survival in high-income countries have shown decreasing survival with increasing age, and larger age-related differences in cancer survival with worsening stage at diagnosis.[3-5] To date, these studies have not explored whether age-related differences in survival are consistent across histology and/or anatomical tumour site. In this study, we sought to fill this gap, motivated by the hypothesis that further understanding of the epidemiology of survival differences by age could inform interventions. Specifically, using US population-based cancer registry data, we describe age-related differences in relative survival (RS) for colon and rectal cancers by stage at diagnosis, sex, tumour site, and histology.

Methods

Data Source

We included all first primary colon and rectal cancers (ICD-10: C18-20) diagnosed between 2012 and 2016 from 18 US Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) cancer registries with vital status through December 2017. Cancers were originally coded using ICD-O-3 and grouped according to the SEER ICD-O-3/WHO 2008 definitions.[6] The 18 SEER registries are located in California (San Francisco/Oakland, San Jose/Monterey, Los

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Angeles, Greater California), Connecticut, the Detroit metropolitan area, Hawaii, Iowa, New Mexico, Seattle, Utah, Georgia (Atlanta, rural Georgia and Greater Georgia), Alaska (restricted to Alaska Natives), Kentucky, Louisiana, and New Jersey.[7,8] Together, these population-based registries cover nearly 30% of the US population.[7]

Because early onset colon and rectal cancer has different features and management, we restricted to cases diagnosed in patients aged over 50.[9-11] We excluded cancers that were registered based on death certificate or autopsy only (1.3%), were non-malignant (3.8%), were missing age (0.08%), or with disagreement between vital status and survival time (0.2%).

Analysis

We estimated 1-year relative survival (RS) and 1-year RS conditioning on surviving 1 year using the Ederer II method. Relative survival is a metric of net survival, which estimates the probability of survival from cancer in the hypothetical scenario where people cannot die from other causes of death. This metric is useful for comparing survival between groups of people for whom background mortality differs. It is estimated based on the ratio of the observed survival to the expected survival of persons of similar demographics in the general population[12,13].

Expected survival was estimated from life tables stratified by county, age, year, sex, race/ethnicity and county-level socioeconomic status (SES) index[14]. The SES index is a composite variable based on seven county-level SES attributes collected by the American Community Survey[15].

RS estimates were stratified by age (50-64, 65-74, 75-84, and 85 to 99), stage (SEER Summary Stage, based on collaborative staging and categorized as localized, regional, distant, and unknown/unstaged[16]), sex, anatomical tumour site (ICD-O-3 topography: right-sided colon: C180-184, left-sided colon: C185-187, C199, colon, NOS: C188-189), and histology (ICD-O-3, adenocarcinoma [8255-8263], mucinous adenocarcinoma [8470, 8480,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

8481], signet cell carcinoma [8490]). Patients aged 100+ were excluded since this is the cut-off for expected life tables.[17]

We estimated the absolute differences in RS between the 50-64 years age group and 75-84 years age group. 95% confidence intervals around RS differences were obtained using Monte Carlo simulations assuming the complementary log-log transformed RS values to be normally distributed.[18] For each difference considered, the confidence limits were obtained by taking the 2.5 and 97.5 percentiles of the empirical RS difference distribution resulting from 100,000 random draws in the complementary log-log transformed RS values distribution.

The 75 to 84 age group was chosen as the comparison group because remaining life expectancy for this age group ranges from 6 years (for men aged 84) to 13 years (for women aged 75) years (https://www.ssa.gov/oact/STATS/table4c6.html) and therefore is an age group for whom improvement in cancer survival may be more achievable and impactful than among the oldest adults.

SEER data are publicly available and ethics approval was not required. Data and cancer survival estimates were retrieved through SEER*Stat software version 8.3.9 (National Cancer Institute). Data was extracted between February and April 2022 by DW, and SP analysed data using R statistical software (version 4.0.2; R Development Core Team, 2020).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Results

We included 99,627 colon cancer cases (49.3% female) and 29,743 rectal cancer cases (41.3% female) aged 50-99 years old. Overall, 37.9% of colon cancer cases were diagnosed with localized disease, 35.6% with regional, and 22.7% with distant cancers. 4.2% of cases had unknown stage. The respective percentages for rectal cancer were 42.5%, 33.7%, 17.1% and 6.6%.

The distribution of stage by age at diagnosis for both colon and rectal cancers is shown in Figure 1. The percentage of missing stage was highest for the oldest age group in both cancer types, and it was higher in rectal cancer compared to colon cancer across all age groups.



Figure 1. Distribution of (A) stage at diagnosis for colon and rectal cancer and (B) colon cancer sites, both by age group.

Most colon cancers were right-sided (53%). As age at diagnosis increased, the proportion of cancers right-sided tumours increased (Figure 1).

One-year relative survival

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 1 presents 1-year RS estimates by age and differences in 1-year RS between 50–64-year-old group and 75-84-year-old group for colon and rectal cancers, overall and by sex.

For both colon and rectal cancers, the estimated 1-year RS decreased as age increased, with differences of around 12 to 13 %-points between 50-64 age group and 75-84 age group. One-year RS estimates for rectal cancer were slightly higher than those for colon cancer. For rectal cancer, females had slightly greater age-related differences than males (15.1 vs 12.0 %-points, respectively).

Table 1. One-year relative survival (RS) for colon and rectal cancers, respectively by age group, and sex

		All		Males		Females	
Site	Age group (years)	n	RS (95%CI)	n	RS (95%CI)	n	RS (95%CI)
Colon	50-64	37789	87.8 (87.5-88.2)	21356	87.2 (86.7-87.6)	16433	88.7 (88.2-89.2)
	65-74	28314	84.4 (83.9-84.8)	15324	84.0 (83.4-84.6)	12990	84.8 (84.1-85.4)
	75-84	21817	75.9 (75.3-76.5)	9775	75.4 (74.4-76.3)	12042	76.3 (75.5-77.1)
	85-99	11707	62.3 (61.3-63.3)	4029	62.8 (60.9-64.5)	7678	62.1 (60.8-63.3)
	Difference[a]		*11.9 (11.2-12.7)*		*11.7 (10.7-12.8)*		*12.4 (11.5-13.4)*
Rectum	50-64	16043	92.3 (91.8-92.7)	9785	91.6 (91.0-92.2)	6258	93.3 (92.6-93.9)
	65-74	7653	87.6 (86.8-88.4)	4689	87.0 (85.9-88.0)	2964	88.6 (87.3-89.7)
	75-84	4233	78.9 (77.5-80.3)	2278	79.6 (77.7-81.4)	1955	78.2 (76.1-80.1)
	85-99	1814	65.0 (62.3-67.5)	715	68.5 (64.0-72.5)	1099	62.7 (59.3-65.9)
	Difference[a]		*13.3 (11.9-14.8)*		*12.0 (10.1-14.0)*		*15.1 (13.1-17.3)*

[a] Absolute differences in RS between the 50-64 years age group and 75-84 years age group. CI: Confidence interval

By stage

Table 2 shows 1-year RS estimates by age, and differences in 1-year RS between the 50–64-year-old and 75-84-year-old groups stratified by stage at diagnosis, and sex. For both colon and rectal cancers, age-related differences in RS increased with increasing stage, and the magnitude of differences was similar for both types, ranging from 6 %-points in localized disease to 27 %-points in distant stage disease. Colon and rectal cancers with unknown stage had highest differences (37 and 28 %-points, respectively). Age-related differences in stage-specific RS for colon cancer were similar in males and females for all but

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

distant stage tumours, where the age-related differences were higher in females than in males (31 vs. 24 %-points). For rectal cancer, females had greater age-related differences for distant and unknown stage cancers than males (29 and 36 %-points vs. 24 and 22 %-points, respectively).

Table 2. One-year relative survival (RS) for colon and rectum cancers, respectively, by age group, stage at diagnosis and sex

			All		Males		Females	
Site	Stage	Age group (years)	n	RS (95%CI)	n	RS (95%CI)	n	RS (95%CI)
Colon	Localized	50-64	14099	98.6 (98.3-98.8)	8042	98.4 (98.0-98.7)	6057	98.8 (98.4-99.1)
		65-74	11076	96.7 (96.3-97.1)	5985	96.4 (95.7-96.9)	5091	97.1 (96.5-97.6)
		75-84	8390	92.7 (92.0-93.4)	3752	91.6 (90.4-92.7)	4638	93.6 (92.7-94.5)
		85-99	3773	86.2 (84.5-87.7)	1354	83.8 (80.8-86.3)	2419	87.5 (85.5-89.3)
		Difference[a]		*5.8 (5.1-6.6)*		*6.8 (5.6-8.1)*		*5.1 (4.2-6.1)*
	Regional	50-64	13156	95.3 (94.9-95.7)	7355	94.8 (94.3-95.4)	5801	95.9 (95.3-96.4)
		65-74	10182	91.5 (90.8-92.1)	5444	91.5 (90.6-92.3)	4738	91.4 (90.5-92.3)
		75-84	8020	84.7 (83.8-85.6)	3563	84.4 (83.0-85.8)	4457	84.9 (83.7-86.1)
		85-99	4129	75.8 (74.1-77.4)	1369	76.3 (73.2-79.1)	2760	75.6 (73.5-77.5)
		Difference[a]		*10.6 (9.6-11.6)*		*10.4 (8.9-12.0)*		*11 (9.7-12.3)*
	Distant	50-64	9601	63.1 (62.1-64.1)	5401	61.2 (59.9-62.5)	4200	65.5 (64.1-67.0)
		65-74	6256	53.8 (52.6-55.1)	3436	53.6 (51.8-55.3)	2820	54.2 (52.3-56.1)
		75-84	4395	36.0 (34.6-37.5)	1997	37.6 (35.4-39.9)	2398	34.7 (32.7-36.7)
		85-99	2367	20.7 (18.9-22.4)	851	22.1 (19.1-25.2)	1516	19.8 (17.7-22.0)
		Difference[a]		*27.1 (25.3-28.8)*		*23.6 (21.0-26.2)*		*30.8 (28.4-33.3)*
	Unknown/ Unstaged	50-64	933	77.4 (74.5-80.0)	558	76.4 (72.5-79.8)	375	78.9 (74.3-82.8)
		65-74	800	63.0 (59.4-66.3)	459	63.5 (58.7-67.9)	341	62.2 (56.7-67.3)
		75-84	1012	40.0 (36.9-43.2)	463	37.7 (33.1-42.4)	549	42.0 (37.6-46.3)
		85-99	1438	29.6 (27.0-32.3)	455	35.7 (30.7-40.7)	983	26.9 (23.9-30.0)
		Difference[a]		*37.4 (33.1-41.5)*		*38.7 (32.7-44.5)*		*36.9 (30.7-42.8)*
Rectum	Localized	50-64	7134	98.5 (98.1-98.8)	4149	98.2 (97.6-98.6)	2985	98.9 (98.3-99.3)
		65-74	3208	96.5 (95.7-97.3)	1952	95.9 (94.7-96.9)	1256	97.5 (96.1-98.4)
		75-84	1648	92.2 (90.4-93.7)	884	93.1 (90.5-95.1)	764	91.1 (88.3-93.3)
		85-99	662	84.3 (80.0-87.7)	261	86.3 (78.7-91.3)	401	82.9 (77.4-87.2)
		Difference[a]		*6.3 (4.8-8.1)*		*5.1 (3.1-7.8)*		*7.7 (5.5-10.5)*
	Regional	50-64	5366	95.9 (95.2-96.4)	3404	95.2 (94.4-96.0)	1962	97.0 (96.0-97.7)
		65-74	2680	92.2 (90.9-93.2)	1688	91.0 (89.3-92.4)	992	94.1 (92.2-95.6)
		75-84	1479	86.9 (84.8-88.8)	822	84.9 (81.8-87.5)	657	89.5 (86.3-91.9)
		85-99	502	77.7 (72.6-81.9)	219	79.5 (71.3-85.7)	283	76.2 (69.5-81.7)
		Difference[a]		*8.9 (7.0-11.2)*		*10.3 (7.6-13.6)*		*7.5 (4.9-10.7)*
	Distant	50-64	2652	69.4 (67.6-71.2)	1708	70.2 (67.9-72.3)	944	68.1 (64.9-71.0)
		65-74	1352	60.7 (57.9-63.3)	813	61.5 (58.0-64.9)	539	59.4 (55.0-63.5)
		75-84	756	42.6 (38.9-46.3)	410	45.9 (40.8-50.9)	346	38.7 (33.4-44.0)
		85-99	330	28.1 (22.9-33.4)	117	28.8 (20.0-38.1)	213	27.7 (21.4-34.3)
		Difference[a]		*26.8 (22.7-30.9)*		*24.2 (18.8-29.8)*		*29.4 (23.2-35.4)*
	Unknown/ Unstaged	50-64	891	89.0 (86.7-91.0)	524	86.1 (82.7-88.9)	367	93.2 (89.9-95.4)
		65-74	413	77.2 (72.6-81.1)	236	72.3 (65.8-77.8)	177	83.8 (77.0-88.7)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

75-84	350	60.8 (55.1-66.0)	162	64.4 (55.8-71.7)	188	57.7 (49.8-64.8)
85-99	320	43.0 (36.8-49.1)	118	47.3 (36.5-57.4)	202	40.6 (33.0-48.1)
Difference[a]		*28.2 (22.5-34.2)*		*21.7 (13.7-30.7)*		*35.5 (27.7-43.6)*

[a] Absolute differences in RS between the 50-64 years age group and 75-84 years age group. CI: Confidence interval

Colon, by tumour site

Supplementary Table 1 presents age-specific one-year RS for colon sites by sex. Age-related differences in RS were greatest for tumours of the colon, not otherwise specified (NOS)' (25 %-points) and smallest for tumours on the right-side of the colon (8 %-points, supplementary Table 1). Differences were similar between sexes.

Figure 2 and supplementary Table 2 show age-related differences in RS stratified by tumour site, stage at diagnosis, and sex. There was a pattern of increasing age-related differences with increasing stage for all sites except 'colon, NOS' overall and when stratified by sex. Differences in RS were highest for cancers of unknown stage. Age-related differences in one-year RS were higher in females than in males with distant cancer across all sites (28 vs 18 %-points for right-sided colon cancer, 32 vs 26 %-points for left-sided colon cancer, and 24 vs 19 %-points for colon, NOS).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .



Figure 2. Absolute difference in one-year relative survival from colon cancer between 50–64-year-olds and 75-84-year-olds by tumour sites, stage at diagnosis, and sex. Note. Red bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Colon, by histology

Overall, the smallest age-related differences in RS were observed for signet ring cell carcinomas (7 %-points) and the greatest difference was seen for 'other' histology (42 %-points, Supplementary Table 3). Differences were 10%-points for adenocarcinomas and mucinous adenocarcinomas. Age-related differences increased with increasing stage for all histologies (supplementary Table 3).

One-year relative surviving conditioning on surviving one year of diagnosis

In patients who survived the first year after diagnosis, age-related differences in 1-year relative survival were greatly reduced in both cancer types and all stages (Appendix, Table 2) but remained important for distant and unstaged cancers.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Discussion

Using the most-up-to-date relative survival estimates from 18 population-based cancer registries in the US, we confirmed poorer one-year relative survival for colon and rectal cancers in older adults compared to middle-aged adults. With few exceptions, age-related differences were consistent by tumour site, histology, and sex and consistently worsened with increasing stage of disease. Because age-related differences in survival were observed for all histologies and topographies, overall age-related differences were not driven by differences in the distribution of tumour site or histology by age, or by large age-related differences in survival in specific tumour types or histologies.

The persistent poorer relative survival from colon and rectal cancers among older adults we have reported is congruent with findings from the International Cancer Benchmarking Partnership (ICBP), which estimated one-year relative survival for both types in people under and over 75 in seven high-income countries with different healthcare systems and health coverage than in the US.[3]

Consistent with our findings, increasing age-related differences in colon and rectal cancer survival with worsening stage of diagnosis and large differences for unstaged diseases have been observed in ICBP and other countries,[5,3,13] which have also been shown for other cancer types in the US.[19]

Regarding sex, the greater age-related differences in colon cancer survival in females with distant disease than males were also observed in New Zealand and Finland.[5,20] Some authors have suggested a possible involvement of sex hormones, but no causal mechanisms have been identified so far.[21] As such, variation in age differences in colon and rectal survival by sex merit further investigation.

With respect to colon, few studies have provided relative survival estimates stratified by both age group and tumour site. Two Korean studies also found relatively consistent age-related differences in colon cancer survival by tumour site, but differed by finding larger age-related differences for rectal than colon cancer.[22,23] In Finland, age-related differences in 1-

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

year relative survival appeared consistent for tumour sites of colon cancers, but were notably higher for tumours whose topography was classified as "other".[20]

Finally, with respect to histology of colon cancer, while the Finish study separated 'carcinoid tumours of the colon' from 'carcinoma of the colon', little could be interpreted given small numbers of cases within each age group.[20]

Stage and treatment are determinants of cancer survival. Age-related differences in survival within stage categories suggest that there are differences in treatment strategies due to either medical consideration and/or patients' preferences, and/or differences in post-treatment mortality.

In the case of colorectal cancer, surgery is the mainstay treatment for non-metastatic colorectal tumours, including in older adults.[24,25] While age-specific surgery rates are not documented at population-level in the US, a European study showed that in high-income countries, adults aged over 75 had consistently lower surgical resection rates than younger adults.[26] This age-related difference in surgery rates increased with increasing stage and was greater for rectal cancer.

Several reasons may explain lower surgery rates in older adults. First, it has been shown that older adults, even when eligible for surgery, are more likely to refuse it and those that refuse had poorer survival.[27] Second, frail older patients may not be considered eligible for surgery because of higher risk of complications (see below).[28,29]

Previous studies have suggested that age-related differences in colon and rectal cancer survival arise in the first year after diagnosis.[4,30,31] This is consistent with the reduction in age-related differences we observed when conditioning on surviving on the first year after diagnosis. Older patients have higher post-operative mortality rates after elective surgery; however, recent evidence in European countries showed that the gap in post-operative complication rates between middle-aged and older patients is narrowing thanks to recent changes in management, better pre-operative assessment, and rehabilitation.[28] In Denmark, increased use of major surgical resection in patients aged 80 and over was associated with higher 30-day post-operative mortality, but also associated with improved one-year survival,

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

suggesting that a trade-off between short and long term risks may need to be considered.[32] Furthermore, older adults are more likely to present as an emergency (e.g., with a bowel obstruction), which is associated with poorer outcomes.[33]

We observed consistently higher age-related differences for cancers of unknown stage or topography. From available SEER data, we cannot distinguish to what extent missingness is due to the cancer stage or topography being truly unknown or if these features were not reported to the registry but known by the clinician. Cancers may be clinically unstaged or of unknown topography due to patients' preferences (e.g., patients refusing full diagnostic work-up) or patients' health status being too frail to undergo full work-up. If more missingness among older adults was attributable to these reasons, this may explain the higher age-related differences within the unstaged category. The extent to which errors or omissions in reporting of known stage are differential by age is not known. Age has been shown to be a determinant of missingness in administrative data, but an understanding of how the causes of missingness differ by age is necessary to fully interpret these findings.[34]

As age-related differences in survival were higher in advanced staged disease, they could theoretically be minimized by a) decreasing the proportion of cancers diagnosed among older adults at advanced stage and/or b) more effectively treating older people with more advanced disease. Ideally, efforts to reduce advanced stage cancer diagnoses will benefit people of all ages, and if stage distribution can be shifted toward more localized/regional disease and fewer emergency presentations, we will observe narrower gaps in survival between older and younger adults. A recent systematic review showed that multiple factors influence the patient and general practitioners' decision to investigate cancer symptoms in older adults, including the presence of frailty, comorbidities, cognitive impairment, family, and carer involvement; the most important factor was the lack of consultation time. However, the review did not compare age groups, potentially reflecting limited evidence of how and whether these factors differ by age.[35] Furthermore, improving treatment outcomes by properly selecting older adults who will benefit from curative treatment, for instance by using a comprehensive geriatric assessment, may increase

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

survival probabilities for older adults. While patient preference to refuse treatment may play a part in reduced survival in older adults, our data was insufficient to determine to what extent this was the case. Previous research that has examined patient preference and refusal of cancer treatment in older adults has reported that older adults (as younger adults) prioritize quality of life and overall survival.[36] The most consistent determinant of refusal or acceptation of treatment was physician recommendation.[37] Further research on this would be useful to better interpret age-related disparities. Although fully eliminating the survival gap between middle-aged and older adults may be utopian, improving outcomes for older adults is worthwhile and realistic.

Our study has limitations. First, we regret the lack of data on other factors that may influence cancer management, and ultimately, survival, such as geriatric conditions (e.g., frailty, falls), comorbidity, social factors (e.g., social support), among others. Second, the estimation of relative survival relies on background mortality rates obtained from lifetables and implies that in the absence of cancer, cancer patients would have the same mortality risk as the general population. While the US life tables account for differences in age, sex, ethnicity and county SES between cancer patients and the general population, they are not specific to other factors influencing prognosis and mortality (such as comorbidities), for which the prevalence may differ between cancer patients and the general population. If life tables are differentially biased (e.g. by age and comorbidity), our estimates of age-related differences in RS may therefore be underestimated.[38]

The strengths of the study include the description of age-related disparities by tumour site and histology, the coverage of 30% of the US population, and the high quality of SEER data.

Conclusion

We showed persistent differences in colon and rectal cancer survival in relation to age, especially during the initial year, which are unlikely to be driven by differences in histology or tumour site. Further research is warranted to disentangle the share of these

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

differences that are avoidable through improved diagnosis and/or treatment. Integrating more detailed information on geriatric conditions to cancer registries, or detailed linkage studies may help to achieve this.

Acknowledgement

Authors thank Dr Hadrien Charvat for his help with calculating 95% confidence interval around differences in relative survival.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

REFERENCES

1. Siegel Rebecca L, Miller Kimberly D, Fuchs Hannah E, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2021. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2021;71(1):7-33.
2. Bailey CE, Hu CY, You YN, et al. Increasing disparities in the age-related incidences of colon and rectal cancers in the United States, 1975-2010. *JAMA Surg.* 2015;150(1):17-22.
3. Araghi M, Arnold M, Rutherford MJ, et al. Colon and rectal cancer survival in seven high-income countries 2010–2014: variation by age and stage at diagnosis (the ICBP SURVMARK-2 project). *Gut.* 2021;70(1):114-126.
4. Pilleron S, Charvat H, Araghi M, et al. Age disparities in stage□specific colon cancer survival across seven countries: An International Cancer Benchmarking Partnership SURVMARK□2 population□based study. *International journal of cancer.* 2021;148(7):1575-1585.
5. Pilleron S, Maringe C, Charvat H, Atkinson J, Morris EJA, Sarfati D. The impact of timely cancer diagnosis on age disparities in colon cancer survival. *J Geriatr Oncol.* 2021;12(7):1044-1051.
6. National Cancer Institute. ICCC Recode ICD-O-3/WHO 2008. https://seer.cancer.gov/iccc/iccc-who2008.html. Published 2008. Accessed 12/11/2017, 2017.
7. Surveillance E, and End Results (SEER) Program SEER*Stat Database: Incidence - SEER 18 Regs Research Data + Hurricane Katrina Impacted Louisiana Cases, Nov 2018 Sub (2000-2016) <Katrina/Rita Population Adjustment>. In: National Cancer Institute D, Surveillance Research Program, ed. Rockville, MD2019.
8. Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results Program. SEER*Stat Databases: November 2018 Submission. National Cancer Institute, . https://seer.cancer.gov/data-software/documentation/seerstat/nov2018/. Published 2019. Accessed Dec 3 2019, 2019.
9. Willauer AN, Liu Y, Pereira AA, et al. Clinical and molecular characterization of early□onset colorectal cancer. *Cancer.* 2019;125(12):2002-2010.
10. Mauri G, Sartore□Bianchi A, Russo AG, Marsoni S, Bardelli A, Siena S. Early□onset colorectal cancer in young individuals. *Molecular oncology.* 2019;13(2):109-131.
11. Lang D, Ciombor KK. Diagnosis and Management of Rectal Cancer in Patients Younger Than 50 Years: Rising Global Incidence and Unique Challenges. *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network.* 2022;20(10):1169-1175.
12. Sarfati D, Blakely T, Pearce N. Measuring cancer survival in populations: relative survival vs cancer-specific survival. *Int J Epidemiol.* 2010;39(2):598-610.
13. Pilleron S, Gower H, Janssen-Heijnen M, et al. Patterns of age disparities in colon and lung cancer survival: a systematic narrative literature review. *BMJ Open.* 2021;11(3):e044239.
14. Mariotto AB, Zou Z, Johnson CJ, Scoppa S, Weir HK, Huang B. Geographical, racial and socio-economic variation in life expectancy in the US and their impact on cancer relative survival. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(7):e0201034.
15. Yu M, Tatalovich Z, Gibson JT, Cronin KA. Using a composite index of socioeconomic status to investigate health disparities while protecting the confidentiality of cancer registry data. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2014;25(1):81-92.
16. Surveillance EaER. Localized/Regional/Distant Stage Adjustments. https://seer.cancer.gov/seerstat/variables/seer/yr1975_2017/lrd_stage/index.html. Published 2020. Accessed.
17. US National Cancer Institute SEaERP. Expected Survival Life Tables. https://seer.cancer.gov/expsurvival/. Published 2018. Accessed 2022-02-14, 2022.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

18. Buckland ST. Monte Carlo confidence intervals. *Biometrics*. 1984:811-817.
19. Withrow DR, Nicholson BD, Morris EJ, Wong M, Pilleron S. Age-related differences in cancer relative survival in the US: a SEER-18 analysis. *medRxiv*. 2022.
20. W. Dickman P, Hakulinen T, Luostarinen T, et al. Survival of cancer patients in Finland 1955-1994. *Acta oncologica*. 1999;38(12):1-103.
21. Micheli A, Ciampichini R, Oberaigner W, et al. The advantage of women in cancer survival: an analysis of EUROCARE-4 data. *European journal of cancer*. 2009;45(6):1017-1027.
22. Hur H, Oh C-M, Won Y-J, Oh JH, Kim NK. Characteristics and survival of Korean patients with colorectal cancer based on data from the Korea central cancer registry data. *Annals of coloproctology*. 2018;34(4):212.
23. Park H-C, Shin A, Kim B-W, et al. Data on the characteristics and the survival of korean patients with colorectal cancer from the Korea central cancer registry. *Annals of Coloproctology*. 2013;29(4):144.
24. Montroni I, Ugolini G, Saur NM, et al. Personalized management of elderly patients with rectal cancer: Expert recommendations of the European Society of Surgical Oncology, European Society of Coloproctology, International Society of Geriatric Oncology, and American College of Surgeons Commission on Cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol.* 2018;44(11):1685-1702.
25. Papamichael D, Audisio R, Glimelius B, et al. Treatment of colorectal cancer in older patients: International Society of Geriatric Oncology (SIOG) consensus recommendations 2013. *Annals of oncology*. 2015;26(3):463-476.
26. Majano SB, Di Girolamo C, Rachet B, et al. Surgical treatment and survival from colorectal cancer in Denmark, England, Norway, and Sweden: a population-based study. *The lancet oncology*. 2019;20(1):74-87.
27. Alty IG, Dee EC, Cusack JC, et al. Refusal of surgery for colon cancer: Sociodemographic disparities and survival implications among US patients with resectable disease. *The American Journal of Surgery*. 2021;221(1):39-45.
28. Ketelaers S, Fahim M, Rutten H, Smits A, Orsini R. When and how should surgery be performed in senior colorectal cancer patients? *European Journal of Surgical Oncology*. 2020;46(3):326-332.
29. Fagard K, Leonard S, Deschodt M, et al. The impact of frailty on postoperative outcomes in individuals aged 65 and over undergoing elective surgery for colorectal cancer: a systematic review. *Journal of geriatric oncology*. 2016;7(6):479-491.
30. Colonna M, Bossard N, Remontet L, Grosclaude P. Changes in the risk of death from cancer up to five years after diagnosis in elderly patients: a study of five common cancers. *International journal of cancer*. 2010;127(4):924-931.
31. Bahadoer RR, Bastiaannet E, Peeters K, et al. The survival gap between young and older patients after surgical resection for colorectal cancer remains largely based on early mortality: A EURECCA comparison of four European countries. *J Geriatr Oncol*. 2022.
32. Taylor JC, Iversen LH, Burke D, et al. Influence of age on surgical treatment and postoperative outcomes of patients with colorectal cancer in Denmark and Yorkshire, England. *Colorectal Disease*. 2021;23(12):3152-3161.
33. Zhou Y, Abel GA, Hamilton W, et al. Diagnosis of cancer as an emergency: a critical review of current evidence. *Nature reviews Clinical oncology*. 2017;14(1):45-56.
34. Di Girolamo C, Walters S, Benitez Majano S, et al. Characteristics of patients with missing information on stage: a population-based study of patients diagnosed with colon, lung or breast cancer in England in 2013. *BMC cancer*. 2018;18(1):1-10.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.22282871; this version posted November 29, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

35. Jones D, Di Martino E, Bradley SH, et al. Factors affecting the decision to investigate older adults with potential cancer symptoms: a systematic review. *British Journal of General Practice*. 2022;72(714):e1-e10.
36. Seghers PA, Wiersma A, Festen S, et al. Patient Preferences for Treatment Outcomes in Oncology with a Focus on the Older Patient—A Systematic Review. *Cancers.* 2022;14(5):1147.
37. Puts MT, Tapscott B, Fitch M, et al. A systematic review of factors influencing older adults' decision to accept or decline cancer treatment. *Cancer treatment reviews.* 2015;41(2):197-215.
38. Blakely T, Soeberg M, Carter K, Costilla R, Atkinson J, Sarfati D. Bias in relative survival methods when using incorrect life tables: Lung and bladder cancer by smoking status and ethnicity in New Zealand. *International journal of cancer*. 2012;131(6):E974-E982.