

## **Cardiac Arrhythmia after COVID-19 Vaccination versus Non–COVID-19 Vaccination: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis**

Ao Shi, BA, PhD<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Xiaoyi Tang, MD<sup>3\*</sup>; Panpan Xia, MD<sup>4,5\*</sup>; Meiqi Hao<sup>6</sup>; Yuan Shu<sup>7</sup>; Hayato Nakanishi, MSc<sup>1,2</sup>; Karen Smayra, BSc<sup>1,2</sup>; Armin Farzad BSc<sup>1,2</sup>; Kaibo Hu<sup>7</sup>; Qi Liu, PhD<sup>8</sup>; Su Pan, MD<sup>8</sup>; Richard A. F. Dixon, PhD<sup>8</sup>; Yue Wu MBBS, MSc<sup>9</sup>; Peng Cai, MSc<sup>10</sup>; Peng Yu, MD<sup>4,5#</sup>, Pengyang Li, MD, MSc<sup>11#</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Medicine, St. George University of London, London, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup> University of Nicosia Medical School, University of Nicosia, 2417, Nicosia, Cyprus

<sup>3</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, People's Republic of China

<sup>4</sup> Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, People's Republic of China

<sup>5</sup> Institute for the Study of Endocrinology and Metabolism in Jiangxi Province, Nanchang, People's Republic of China.

<sup>6</sup> Jiangxi Medical College, Nanchang University, Nanchang, People's Republic of China

<sup>7</sup> The Second Clinical Medical College of Nanchang University, Nanchang, People's Republic of China

<sup>8</sup> Wafic Said Molecular Cardiology Research Laboratory, The Texas Heart Institute, Houston, Texas, USA

<sup>9</sup> Mayo Clinic Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Rochester, MN 55905, USA

<sup>10</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA, USA

<sup>11</sup>Division of Cardiology, Pauley Heart Center, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia, USA

\* These authors contributed equally.

# Corresponding authors.

**Running title:** Cardiac arrhythmia after COVID-19 versus non–COVID-19 vaccination

**Corresponding authors:** Ao Shi, Faculty of Medicine, St. George University of London, London, United Kingdom, email: [m1701040@sgul.ac.uk](mailto:m1701040@sgul.ac.uk); and Pengyang Li, Division of Cardiology, Pauley Heart Center, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia, USA, email: [leelpy0109@gmail.com](mailto:leelpy0109@gmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

**Aims:** Cardiac arrhythmia is a rare complication after vaccination. Recently, reports of arrhythmia after COVID-19 vaccination have increased. Whether the risk for cardiac arrhythmia is higher with COVID-19 vaccines than with non-COVID-19 vaccines remains controversial. This meta-analysis explored the incidence of arrhythmia after COVID-19 vaccination and compared it with the incidence of arrhythmia after non-COVID-19 vaccination.

**Methods:** We searched the MEDLINE, Scopus, Cochrane Library, and Embase databases for English-language studies reporting the incidence of arrhythmia (the primary endpoint) after vaccination from January 1, 1947 to October 28, 2022. Secondary endpoints included incidence of tachyarrhythmia and all-cause mortality. Subgroup analyses were conducted to evaluate the incidence of arrhythmia by age (children [ $<18$  years] versus adults [ $\geq 18$  years]), vaccine type (mRNA COVID-19 vaccine versus non-mRNA COVID-19 vaccine; individual non-COVID-19 vaccines versus COVID-19 vaccine), and COVID-19 vaccine dose (first versus second versus third). Random-effects meta-analyses were performed, and the intrastudy risk for bias and the certainty of evidence were evaluated. This study was registered with PROSPERO (CRD42022365912).

**Results:** The overall incidence of arrhythmia from 36 studies (1,528,459,662 vaccine doses) was 291.8 (95% CI 111.6-762.7) cases per million doses. The incidence of arrhythmia was significantly higher after COVID-19 vaccination (2263.4 [875.4-5839.2] cases per million doses; 830,585,553 doses, 23 studies) than after non-COVID-19 vaccination (9.9 [1.3-75.5] cases per million doses; 697,874,109 doses, 14 studies;  $P < 0.01$ ). Compared with COVID-19 vaccines, the influenza, pertussis, human papillomavirus, and acellular pertussis vaccines were associated with a significantly lower incidence of arrhythmia.

The incidence of tachyarrhythmia was significantly higher after COVID-19 vaccination (4367.5 [1535.2-12,360.8] cases per million doses; 1,208,656 doses, 15 studies) than after non-COVID-19 vaccination (25.8 [4.5-149.4] cases per million doses; 179,822,553 doses, 11 studies;  $P < 0.01$ ). Arrhythmia was also more frequent after the third dose of COVID-19 vaccine (19,064.3

[5775.5-61,051.2] cases per million doses; 7968 doses, 3 studies) than after the first dose (3450.9 [988.2-11,977.6] cases per million doses; 41,714,762 doses, 12 studies;  $P=0.05$ ) or second dose (2262.5 [2205.9-2320.7] cases per million doses; 34,540,749 doses, 10 studies;  $P<0.01$ ). All-cause mortality was comparable between the COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 vaccination groups.

**Conclusions:** The overall risk for arrhythmia after COVID-19 vaccination was relatively low, although it was higher in COVID-19 vaccine recipients than in non-COVID-19 vaccine recipients. This increased risk should be evaluated along with other important factors, such as the incidence of local outbreaks and the risk for arrhythmia due to COVID infection itself, when weighing the safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines.

**Keywords:** vaccine; COVID-19; arrhythmia; irregular heartbeat; atrial fibrillation; tachycardia; bradycardia; meta-analysis

## INTRODUCTION

As of October 2022, more than 12.79 billion COVID-19 vaccine doses have been administered globally.<sup>1</sup> Because of the urgency of the pandemic, various COVID-19 vaccines were granted emergency approval before all three clinical trial phases could be completed.<sup>2</sup> Although COVID-19 vaccines are generally considered safe, adverse events after vaccination, such as thromboembolisms, myocarditis, and arrhythmia, are increasingly being reported.<sup>3-5</sup> Arrhythmias are a known but rare adverse reaction to viral vaccination and have also occurred after other vaccinations, including those for smallpox and human papillomavirus (HPV).<sup>6-8</sup>

The mRNA COVID-19 vaccines trigger innate immunity, cytotoxicity, and helper T cells, especially B cells, to promote the induction of neutralizing antibodies<sup>9</sup>; however, these reactions can also affect the cardiovascular system and cause serious adverse events, including arrhythmia.<sup>10,11</sup> The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine (BNT162B2) clinical trial reported a case of paroxysmal ventricular arrhythmia, but the exact mechanism was not found.<sup>12</sup> Three cases of tachycardia after vaccination with the Pfizer BNT162B2 vaccine were reported in patients with a history of COVID-19 infection,<sup>13</sup> and postural orthostatic tachycardia was observed in a healthy patient 6 days after the first dose of the Pfizer vaccine was administered.<sup>14</sup> However, no consensus has been reached among clinicians as to whether these findings indicate true increased risk for arrhythmia or simply reflect recall bias.

To resolve this controversy, we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of relevant observational studies to explore the risk for cardiac arrhythmia after COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 vaccination by characterizing the postvaccination incidence of cardiac arrhythmia. To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to evaluate the safety and efficacy of COVID-19 versus non-COVID-19 vaccination within the context of cardiac arrhythmia.

## **METHODS**

### **Search Strategy and Selection Criteria**

This systematic review and meta-analysis was prospectively registered with PROSPERO (CRD42022365912) and conducted according to PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis) guidelines<sup>15</sup> (Supplemental Table S1).

The search strategy was designed and conducted by librarians with input from the study's principal investigator. We comprehensively searched the MEDLINE, Scopus, Cochrane Library, and Embase databases for eligible observational literature from January 1, 1947 to October 28, 2022; search strategies are shown in Supplemental Table S2. Review articles and reference lists of the included studies also were retrieved as sources of grey literature. Observational studies of the general population who developed arrhythmia after vaccination were included. We excluded randomized controlled trials, case reports, case series, abstracts, review articles, nonhuman studies, non-article studies, non-English-language studies, and studies that did not report the number of doses.

### **Data Extraction and Risk for Bias Assessment**

Two researchers (XT, PX) independently retrieved relevant literature and extracted data in a predesigned extraction format (Supplemental Table S3). For repeated studies, we chose to include articles with the largest amount of information and the most complete data. The Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) checklist was used to evaluate intrastudy risk for bias.<sup>16</sup> To evaluate the certainty of evidence, we used the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) system,<sup>17</sup> which categorizes the quality of outcomes into four levels according to five metrics: risk for reporting bias, risk for publication bias, inconsistency, indirectness, and imprecision. Study assessment and selection were performed independently by two investigators (XT, PX). Any disputes were resolved by a third researcher (MH).

## Data Synthesis and Statistical Analyses

The primary outcome was the incidence of cardiac arrhythmia after any vaccination. Secondary outcomes were incidence of tachyarrhythmia and all-cause mortality after any vaccination.

Because arrhythmia was defined differently across articles, we defined arrhythmia as including tachycardia, bradycardia, supraventricular arrhythmia, ventricular arrhythmia, ventricular tachycardia, ventricular fibrillation, atrial fibrillation, atrial flutter, atrial tachycardia, atrioventricular re-entrant tachycardia, atrioventricular nodal re-entrant tachycardia, supraventricular tachycardia, atrioventricular block, and heart block. We defined tachyarrhythmia as including all types of tachycardia, atrial fibrillation, and atrial flutter.

Statistical analyses were completed by using R version 4.1.3.<sup>18</sup> We conducted random-effects meta-analyses and used pooled proportions (events per million vaccinations) and risk ratios (inverse variance method of DerSimonian and Laird)<sup>19</sup> with 95% CIs to analyze the incidence of cardiac arrhythmia, tachyarrhythmia, and all-cause mortality after vaccination. We also used logit transformation to avoid any concerns raised by Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation in rare-events analysis.<sup>20,21</sup> We then compared these incidences between COVID-19 vaccines and non-COVID-19 vaccines.

Heterogeneity was evaluated by using the  $\chi^2$  test and  $I^2$  statistic. An  $I^2$  value of 0%–25% indicates insignificant statistical heterogeneity, 26%–50% indicates low heterogeneity, and 51%–100% indicates high heterogeneity.<sup>22</sup> A sensitivity analysis that excluded studies with high risk for bias (JBI score <7) assessed the impact of intrastudy risk for bias in reporting arrhythmia. Publication bias was explored by using Egger's linear regression tests and was visualized with funnel plots (Supplemental Figure S1);  $P < 0.05$  indicated strong publication bias.

We analyzed differences in the incidence of arrhythmia among prespecified subgroups, stratifying by: age (children [ $<18$  years] versus adults [ $\geq 18$  years]); vaccine type (mRNA COVID-19 vaccine versus non-mRNA COVID-19 vaccine, and COVID-19 vaccine versus individual non-COVID-19 vaccines, including HPV, acellular pertussis, influenza, smallpox, and mixed [epidemic

cerebrospinal meningitis and pneumococcal conjugate vaccine]); and COVID-19 vaccine dose (first versus second versus third).

## RESULTS

### Study Selection and Study Characteristics

We identified 6,314 potentially eligible studies. After removing duplicates and filtering by title and abstract, 154 articles were left to evaluate by examining the full text. After applying our inclusion and exclusion criteria, 36 observational studies encompassing 1,528,459,662 vaccine doses were included in our meta-analysis (Figure 1).

Twenty-three studies reported on 830,585,553 doses of COVID-19 vaccines,<sup>3,23-44</sup> 3 studies reported on 154,220,042 doses of HPV vaccines,<sup>6,45,46</sup> 5 studies on 513,115,509 doses of influenza vaccines,<sup>25,47-50</sup> 2 studies on 4,952,349 doses of acellular pertussis vaccines,<sup>51,52</sup> 3 studies on 1,386,209 doses of smallpox vaccines,<sup>50,53,54</sup> and 2 studies on 24,200,000 doses of mixed vaccines (epidemic cerebrospinal meningitis and pneumococcal conjugate vaccine).<sup>55</sup> In the 23 studies involving COVID-19 vaccination, 647,308,174 doses of mRNA vaccines and 183,238,205 doses of non-mRNA vaccines were administered.

Basic characteristics of the articles included are summarized in Supplemental Table S4. The funnel plot and Egger's test indicate no publication bias in this meta-analysis (Supplemental Figure S1). The JBI evaluation of intrastudy risk for bias and the GRADE assessment are provided in Supplemental Tables S5 and S6. Sensitivity analyses excluding studies with high risk for bias (JBI score >7) found no significant change in the pooled incidence of arrhythmia for COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 vaccines (Supplemental Table S7).

### Primary and Secondary Outcomes

The pooled results based on the random-effects model showed that the incidence of arrhythmia in the general population after vaccination was 291.8 (95% CI 111.6-762.7) cases



per million vaccine doses; moderate certainty, Egger's test  $P=0.92$  (Figure 2; Supplemental Figure S1). The incidence of arrhythmia was significantly higher after COVID-19 vaccination (2263.4 [95% CI 875.4-5839.2] cases per million vaccine doses; low certainty) than after non-COVID-19 vaccination (9.9 [95% CI 1.3-75.5] cases per million vaccine doses; high certainty;  $P<0.01$ ).

The overall incidence of tachyarrhythmia was 487.1 (95% CI 127.3-1862.2) cases per million vaccine doses (Table 1, Supplemental Figure S2), of which 4367.5 (95% CI 1535.2-12,360.8) cases were COVID-19 vaccine recipients and 25.8 (95% CI 4.5-149.4) were cases were non-COVID-19 vaccine recipients;  $P<0.01$ .

The pooled all-cause mortality after vaccination was 2.1 (95% CI 0.5-9.0) events per million doses (126,033,203 doses, 8 studies; high certainty). No statistical difference was observed between COVID-19 vaccination (4.5 [95% CI 0.6-34.2] events per million doses; 40,436,855 doses, 3 studies) and non-COVID-19 vaccination (1.3 [95% CI 0.4-4.8] events per million doses; 85,596,348 doses, 5 studies;  $P=0.32$ ) (Supplemental Figure S3).

## Subgroup Analyses

### ***COVID-19 Vaccines versus Individual Non-COVID-19 Vaccines***

We found significant differences in the incidence of arrhythmia between COVID-19 vaccines and individual non-COVID-19 vaccines; global  $P<0.01$  (Table 1, Supplemental Figure S4). Compared with COVID-19 vaccination (2263.4 [95% CI 875.4-5839.2] cases per million doses), the incidence of arrhythmia was significantly lower after influenza vaccination (53.2 [95% CI 27.7-102.3] cases per million doses;  $P<0.01$ ) (Supplemental Figure S5), HPV vaccination (0.3 [95% CI 0.1-0.5] cases per million doses;  $P<0.01$ ) (Supplemental Figure S6), and acellular pertussis vaccination (21.1 [95% CI 0.3-1739.9] cases per million doses;  $P=0.04$ ) (Supplemental Figure S7), whereas no difference was found after smallpox vaccination (1747.5 [95% CI 275.9-10,982.9] cases per million doses;  $P=0.81$ ) (Supplemental Figure S8).

### ***COVID-19 mRNA Vaccines versus COVID-19 Non-mRNA Vaccines***

Among the 23 studies involving COVID-19 vaccination, no difference in the incidence of arrhythmia was found between the mRNA subgroup (1758.3 [95% CI 875.6-3527.7] cases per million doses; 647,308,174 doses, 18 studies) and non-mRNA subgroup (2528.1 [95% CI 960.9-6634.6] cases per million doses; 183,238,205 doses, 18 studies;  $P=0.55$ ) (Supplemental Figure S9).

### ***First versus Second versus Third Dose of COVID-19 Vaccines***

The incidence of arrhythmia was significantly higher (global  $P<0.01$ ) among those who received the third dose of COVID-19 vaccine (19,064.3 [95% CI 5775.5-61,051.2] cases per million doses; 7968 doses, 3 studies) than among those who received the first dose (3450.9 [988.2-11,977.6] cases per million doses; 41,714,762 doses, 12 studies;  $P=0.05$ ) or the second dose (2262.5 [95% CI 2205.9-2320.7] cases per million doses; 34,540,749 doses, 10 studies;  $P<0.01$ ) (Table 1, Supplemental Figure S10).

### ***Adults versus Children***

Subgroup analysis of recipient populations found no significant difference in the incidence of arrhythmia between adults (412.8 [95% CI 269.7-631.7] cases per million vaccine doses; 1,178,400,623 doses, 12 studies) and children (390.7 [95% CI 9.2–16,394.1] cases per million doses; 5,220,704 doses, 4 studies;  $P=0.98$ ) (Supplemental Figure S11).

## **DISCUSSION**

The global rate of COVID-19 vaccination is increasing rapidly.<sup>3-5</sup> Although vaccinations significantly protect us from viral infections, there is increasing evidence of various cardiovascular adverse events after vaccination for COVID-19, including cardiac arrhythmias. Our systematic review and meta-analysis showed that the incidence of arrhythmia was significantly higher in people who received COVID-19 vaccines than in people who received non-COVID-19 vaccines (Figure 2), with the exception of smallpox vaccine. Additionally, the incidence of arrhythmia was comparable between COVID-19 mRNA and non-mRNA vaccines.

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, the global incidence of arrhythmia was largely attributed to age, sex, and socioeconomic status.<sup>1,56</sup> Moreover, the incidence may be underestimated in select groups because of arrhythmia's sometimes asymptomatic nature or a lack of routine screening.<sup>57,58</sup> In the general population, the background incidence of arrhythmia is estimated to be 700-23,430 events per 1 million people.<sup>1</sup> In our study, the incidence of arrhythmia after vaccination was 2263.4 (95% CI 875.4-5839.2) cases per million COVID-19 vaccine doses and 9.9 (95% CI 1.3-75.5) cases per million non-COVID-19 vaccine doses. These incidence levels fall within the background incidence range, suggesting a low risk for arrhythmia after taking any of the vaccines we pooled here.

Overall, the incidence of arrhythmia was significantly higher after COVID-19 vaccination than after non-COVID-19 vaccination. In the subgroup analyses, the incidence of arrhythmia was significantly lower after vaccination for influenza, pertussis, HPV, and acellular pertussis than after COVID-19 vaccination. The results of our study appear to be consistent with the literature: During the first 28 days after exposure to a COVID-19 vaccine, the risk for all cardiac arrhythmia subtypes increased.<sup>3</sup> That said, our analysis differs from that in a recent case-control study of 884,828 individuals vaccinated with BNT162B2 in Israel,<sup>59</sup> in which no increased risk for arrhythmia was found in the vaccination group compared with a control group. This contrast in findings might be explained by the different study populations and comparison groups, as we compared COVID-19 vaccines with non-COVID-19 vaccines. It is worth mentioning that COVID-19 vaccines are subject to more stringent monitoring than previous vaccines, given the rapid development of the current vaccine surveillance system. Therefore, arrhythmic events from traditional non-COVID-19 vaccines may have been relatively underreported.

Among people vaccinated for COVID-19, we found no significant difference in the incidence of arrhythmia between mRNA vaccine recipients and non-mRNA vaccine recipients. The incidence of arrhythmia did not differ between the first and second doses but was higher after the third dose compared with the previous two doses. A recent case series study,

including 38,615,491 individuals vaccinated for COVID-19 and using a conditional Poisson regression model, found a lower risk for cardiac arrhythmia after the first and second doses of ChAdOx1 (adenovirus vector) and BNT162b2 (mRNA), along with a higher incidence of arrhythmia after a second dose of mRNA-1273.<sup>3</sup> In our analysis, instead of considering individual vaccine types and doses as different variables, we clustered vaccines into two major categories, mRNA and non-mRNA, and we did not distinguish vaccine type when comparing the incidence of arrhythmia among different vaccine doses. The difference in analytical approach between these two studies may explain the discrepancy in their findings. Interestingly, the higher incidence of arrhythmia after a third dose of mRNA vaccination has not been reported in previous studies. The short-term local and systemic responses should be further explored.

The likelihood of new viral variants demands vaccination and boosters to defend ourselves during this ongoing pandemic.<sup>60,61</sup> At the same time, the risks and benefits of vaccination should be assessed with caution when recommendations and policies are being debated, as weighted consideration must always be given to both the boosted immunity and potential adverse effects. Rare adverse events, including arrhythmia, may not become apparent in phase 3 clinical trials due to limited sample sizes, whereas postmarketing studies after the launch of a vaccine significantly augment the vaccination paradigm with more comprehensive understanding. Similar to the previous finding that younger males are more susceptible to myocarditis after COVID-19 vaccination,<sup>62</sup> people with known risk factors for arrhythmia, including older age, male sex, white race, and cardiovascular comorbidities,<sup>56</sup> should be appropriately addressed; these individuals may need to be monitored when receiving COVID-19 vaccinations. Nonetheless, the risk for such rare adverse events should be outweighed by the benefits of vaccination, including lower chance of infection, hospitalization, complications, and even death.<sup>63,64</sup> These ideas would be crucial when drafting vaccination policies and should be dynamically updated as the pandemic evolves.

This study has several strengths. First, we aggregated reports of more than 1.5 billion vaccine doses—to our knowledge, the largest study to quantify the incidence of arrhythmia

after vaccination. Second, we investigated whether COVID-19 vaccines were associated with a higher risk for arrhythmia compared with other traditional non–COVID-19 vaccines. Third, subgroup analysis of the vaccinated population by age, dose, and vaccine type could be used to identify potentially high-risk groups among vaccine recipients, help inform the public about the benefits and risks of receiving vaccines, and better balance the risk for adverse cardiovascular events against the risks of COVID-19 infection.

### **Limitations**

First, due to data limitations in the observational studies we included, we were unable to perform a subgroup analysis based on sex; in the subgroup analyses of age, we could only divide vaccine recipients into pediatric (<18 years) and adult (≥18 years) cohorts without further stratification. Second, there was heterogeneity in the definition of arrhythmia among the included studies. Self-reported arrhythmia was used in some studies, which could have been affected by subjective factors related to the patients themselves and may have led to biased data reporting of arrhythmic events. Third, the included studies were conducted for different time periods, which introduced heterogeneity and potential confounding factors into our analysis. Fourth, other types of non–COVID-19 vaccines were not included in this study, such as tetanus and hepatitis B vaccines, which may have resulted in underestimation of arrhythmia incidence in non–COVID-19 vaccine recipients. Fifth, all analyzed data were from retrospective studies, such that causal links in the results were weak, and there was a lack of long-term follow-up of patients. Finally, the burden and severity of the arrhythmias could not be analyzed.

### **Conclusions**

Our meta-analysis of more than 1.5 billion vaccine doses revealed that the incidence of arrhythmia was significantly higher after COVID-19 vaccination than after non–COVID-19 vaccination in general and after vaccination for influenza, pertussis, HPV, or acellular pertussis individually. Moreover, in the COVID-19 vaccination group, a higher incidence of arrhythmia

was identified at the third dose compared with the previous two doses. The incidence of tachyarrhythmia and all-cause mortality after vaccination were similar for both COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 vaccine groups.

Our findings add new information about the safety profile of vaccines, especially COVID-19 vaccines. Although we found a greater risk for arrhythmia after COVID-19 vaccination than after non-COVID-19 vaccination, the incidence of local outbreaks and the risk for arrhythmia due to COVID-19 infection itself should be taken into consideration when evaluating the risks and benefits of COVID-19 vaccines.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors wish to thank Jeanie F. Woodruff BS, ELS, of The Texas Heart Institute Department of Scientific Publications, for providing editorial support.

#### **COMPETING INTEREST STATEMENT**

Neither the authors or their institutions received any payments or services from a third party in the past 36 months that could be perceived to influence, or give the appearance of potentially influencing, the submitted work.

#### **FUNDING STATEMENT**

The authors report no specific funding related to this article.

#### **AVAILABILITY OF DATA, CODE, AND OTHER MATERIALS**

This paper uses publicly available data from published studies. No original data is available for sharing.

## REFERENCES

1. Chung SC, Sofat R, Acosta-Mena D, et al. Atrial fibrillation epidemiology, disparity and healthcare contacts: a population-wide study of 5.6 million individuals. *Lancet Reg Health Eur.* 2021;7:100157.
2. Liu Z, Liu L, Weng S, et al. Machine learning-based integration develops an immune-derived lncRNA signature for improving outcomes in colorectal cancer. *Nat Commun.* 2022;13(1):816.
3. Patone M, Mei XW, Handunnetthi L, et al. Risks of myocarditis, pericarditis, and cardiac arrhythmias associated with COVID-19 vaccination or SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat Med.* 2022;28(2):410-22.
4. Matar RH, Than CA, Nakanishi H, et al. Outcomes of patients with thromboembolic events following coronavirus disease 2019 AstraZeneca vaccination: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis.* 2022;33(2):90-112.
5. Matar RH, Mansour R, Nakanishi H, et al. Clinical characteristics of patients with myocarditis following COVID-19 mRNA vaccination: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Med.* 2022;11(15):4521.
6. Arana J, Mba-Jonas A, Jankosky C, et al. Reports of postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome after human papillomavirus vaccination in the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System. *J Adolesc Health.* 2017;61(5):577-82.

7. Zitzmann-Roth EM, von Sonnenburg F, de la Motte S, et al. Cardiac safety of Modified Vaccinia Ankara for vaccination against smallpox in a young, healthy study population. *PloS One*. 2015;10(4):e0122653.
8. Dalgaard JB. Fatal myocarditis following smallpox vaccination. *Am Heart J*. 1957;54(1):156-7.
9. Verbeke R, Lentacker I, De Smedt SC, Dewitte H. The dawn of mRNA vaccines: the COVID-19 case. *J Control Release*. 2021;333:511-20.
10. Bozkurt B, Kamat I, Hotez PJ. Myocarditis with COVID-19 mRNA vaccines. *Circulation*. 2021;144(6):471-84.
11. Klein NP, Lewis N, Goddard K, et al. Surveillance for adverse events after COVID-19 mRNA vaccination. *JAMA*. 2021;326(14):1390-99.
12. Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, et al.; C4591001 Clinical Trial Group. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;383(27):2603-15.
13. Marco García MT, Torres Lana Á, Anta Agudo MB, de la Trinidad Rufino Delgado M. Tachycardia as an undescribed adverse effect to the Comirnaty<sup>®</sup> vaccine (BNT162b2 Pfizer-BioNTech Covid-19 vaccine): Description of 3 cases with a history of SARS-CoV-2 disease. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin (Engl Ed)*. 2021;40(5):276-7.
14. Reddy S, Reddy S, Arora M. A case of postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome secondary to the messenger RNA COVID-19 vaccine. *Cureus*. 2021;13(5):e14837.



15. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG; PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med*. 2009;6(7):e1000097.
16. Munn Z, Moola S, Lisy K, Riitano D, Tufanaru C. Methodological guidance for systematic reviews of observational epidemiological studies reporting prevalence and cumulative incidence data. *Int J Evid Based Healthc*. 2015;13(3):147-53.
17. Guyatt G, Oxman AD, Akl EA, et al. GRADE guidelines: 1. Introduction-GRADE evidence profiles and summary of findings tables. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2011;64(4):383-94.
18. Liu S, Wang J, Shao J, et al. Nanopatterning technologies of 2D materials for integrated electronic and optoelectronic devices. *Adv Mater*. 2022.  
doi:10.1002/adma.202200734:e2200734.
19. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials*. 1986;7(3):177-88.
20. Nyaga VN, Arbyn M, Aerts M. Metaprop: a Stata command to perform meta-analysis of binomial data. *Arch Public Health*. 2014;72(1):39.
21. Schwarzer G, Chemaitelly H, Abu-Raddad LJ, Rücker G. Seriously misleading results using inverse of Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation in meta-analysis of single proportions. *Res Synth Methods*. 2019;10(3):476-83.
22. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*. 2003;327(7414):557-60.

23. Ab Rahman N, Lim MT, Lee FY, et al.; SAFECOVAC Study Group. Risk of serious adverse events after the BNT162b2, CoronaVac, and ChAdOx1 vaccines in Malaysia: a self-controlled case series study. *Vaccine*. 2022;40(32):4394-402.
24. Ortiz-Prado E, Izquierdo-Condoy JS, Fernandez-Naranjo R, et al. A comparative analysis of a self-reported adverse events analysis after receiving one of the available SARS-CoV-2 vaccine schemes in Ecuador. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2022;10(7):1047.
25. Montano D. Frequency and associations of adverse reactions of COVID-19 vaccines reported to pharmacovigilance systems in the European Union and the United States. *Front Public Health*. 2021;9:756633.
26. Mansanguan S, Charunwatthana P, Piyaphanee W, Dechkhajorn W, Poolcharoen A, Mansanguan C. Cardiovascular manifestation of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in adolescents. *Trop Med Infect Dis*. 2022;7(8):196.
27. Lai FTT, Chua GT, Chan EWW, et al. Adverse events of special interest following the use of BNT162b2 in adolescents: a population-based retrospective cohort study. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. 2022;11(1):885-93.
28. Kaur U, Ojha B, Pathak BK, et al. A prospective observational safety study on ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 corona virus vaccine (recombinant) use in healthcare workers- first results from India. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2021;38:101038.
29. Kant A, Jansen J, van Balveren L, van Hunsel F. Description of frequencies of reported adverse events following immunization among four different COVID-19 vaccine brands. *Drug Saf*. 2022;45(4):319-31.

30. El-Shitany NA, Bagher AM, Binmahfouz LS, et al. The adverse reactions of Pfizer BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine booster dose are mild and similar to the second dose responses: a retrospective cross-sectional study. *Int J Gen Med.* 2022;15:6821-36.
31. Almufty HB, Mohammed SA, Abdullah AM, Merza MA. Potential adverse effects of COVID19 vaccines among Iraqi population; a comparison between the three available vaccines in Iraq; a retrospective cross-sectional study. *Diabetes Metab Syndr.* 2021;15(5):102207.
32. Abdel-Qader DH, Abdel-Qader H, Silverthorne J, et al. Active safety surveillance of four types of COVID-19 vaccines: a national study from Jordan. *Clin Drug Investig.* 2022;42(10):813-27.
33. Basavaraja CK, Sebastian J, Ravi MD, John SB. Adverse events following COVID-19 vaccination: first 90 days of experience from a tertiary care teaching hospital in South India. *Ther Adv Vaccines Immunother.* 2021;9:25151355211055833.
34. Cari L, Alhosseini MN, Fiore P, et al. Cardiovascular, neurological, and pulmonary events following vaccination with the BNT162b2, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, and Ad26.COV2.S vaccines: an analysis of European data. *J Autoimmun.* 2021;125:102742.
35. Farhat M, Al-Ibrahim R, Almohammedali A, Aljishi R, Alalwan B. Study of the side effects of Pfizer and Oxford COVID-19 vaccines in the eastern province of Saudi Arabia. *Int J Gen Med.* 2022;15:7547-58.

36. Tavakoli N, Nafissi N, Shokri S, et al. Pediatric and adolescent COVID-19 vaccination side effects: a retrospective cohort study of the Iranian teenage group in 2021. *J Med Virol.* 2022;94(10):4890-900.
37. Wong CKH, Lau KTK, Xiong X, et al. Adverse events of special interest and mortality following vaccination with mRNA (BNT162b2) and inactivated (CoronaVac) SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in Hong Kong: a retrospective study. *PLoS Med.* 2022;19(6):e1004018.
38. Abu-Hammad O, Alduraidi H, Abu-Hammad S, et al. Side effects reported by Jordanian healthcare workers who received COVID-19 vaccines. *Vaccines (Basel).* 2021;9(6):577.
39. Alghamdi AN, Alotaibi MI, Alqahtani AS, Al Aboud D, Abdel-Moneim AS. BNT162b2 and ChAdOx1 SARS-CoV-2 post-vaccination side-effects among Saudi vaccinees. *Front Med (Lausanne).* 2021;8:760047.
40. Alghamdi AA, Alkazemi A, Alissa A, Alghamdi I, Alwarafi G, Waggas HA. Adverse events following AstraZeneca COVID-19 vaccine in Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study among healthcare and nonhealthcare workers. *Intervirology.* 2022;65(2):104-09.
41. Chen PY, Wu BJ, Su MC, et al. Risk factors and incidence rates of self-reported short-term adverse events of COVID-19 vaccine booster dose. *Vaccines (Basel).* 2022;10(7):1115.
42. Halder A, Imamura H, Condon S, et al. Pfizer/BioNtech BNT162b2: adverse events and insights from an Australian mass vaccination clinic for COVID-19. *Intern Med J.* 2022;52(1):121-24.

43. Wang G, Zhu L, Zhu Y, et al. Safety survey by clinical pharmacists on COVID-19 vaccination from a single center in China. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* 2021;17(9):2863-67.
44. Vanijcharoenkarn K, Lee FE, Martin L, Shih J, Sexton ME, Kuruvilla ME. Immediate reactions after the first dose of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) messenger RNA vaccines do not preclude second-dose administration. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2021;73(11):2108-11.
45. Phillips A, Hickie M, Totterdell J, et al. Adverse events following HPV vaccination: 11 years of surveillance in Australia. *Vaccine.* 2020;38(38):6038-46.
46. Arana JE, Harrington T, Cano M, et al. Post-licensure safety monitoring of quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine in the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS), 2009-2015. *Vaccine.* 2018;36(13):1781-88.
47. Kaasenbrood F, Hollander M, Rutten FH, Gerhards LJ, Hoes AW, Tieleman RG. Yield of screening for atrial fibrillation in primary care with a hand-held, single-lead electrocardiogram device during influenza vaccination. *Europace.* 2016;18(10):1514-20.
48. Choe YJ, Cho H, Kim SN, Bae GR, Lee JK. Serious adverse events following receipt of trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine in Korea, 2003-2010. *Vaccine.* 2011;29(44):7727-32.
49. Ankrah DN, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK, De Bruin ML, et al. Incidence of adverse events among healthcare workers following H1N1 mass immunization in Ghana: a prospective study. *Drug Saf.* 2013;36(4):259-66.

50. Engler RJ, Nelson MR, Collins LC, Jr., et al. A prospective study of the incidence of myocarditis/pericarditis and new onset cardiac symptoms following smallpox and influenza vaccination. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(3):e0118283.
51. Petousis-Harris H, Walls T, Watson D, Paynter J, Graham P, Turner N. Safety of Tdap vaccine in pregnant women: an observational study. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6(4):e010911.
52. Aguirre-Boza F, San Martín PP, Valenzuela B MT. How were DTP-related adverse events reduced after the introduction of an acellular pertussis vaccine in Chile? *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2021;17(11):4225-34.
53. Sniadack MM, Neff LJ, Swerdlow DL, Schieber RA, McCauley MM, Mootrey GT. Follow-up of cardiovascular adverse events after smallpox vaccination among civilians in the United States, 2003. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2008;46(Suppl 3):S251-7.
54. Decker MD, Garman PM, Hughes H, et al. Enhanced safety surveillance study of ACAM2000 smallpox vaccine among US military service members. *Vaccine*. 2021;39(39):5541-47.
55. Myers TR, McNeil MM, Ng CS, Li R, Lewis PW, Cano MV. Adverse events following quadrivalent meningococcal CRM-conjugate vaccine (Menveo<sup>®</sup>) reported to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting system (VAERS), 2010-2015. *Vaccine*. 2017;35(14):1758-63.
56. Khurshid S, Choi SH, Weng LC, et al. Frequency of cardiac rhythm abnormalities in a half million adults. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2018;11(7):e006273.

57. Lindberg T, Bohman DM, Elmståhl S, Jogr eus C, Sanmartin Berglund J. Prevalence of unknown and untreated arrhythmias in an older outpatient population screened by wireless long-term recording ECG. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2016;11:1083-90.
58. Zhang L, Gallagher R, Neubeck L. Health-related quality of life in atrial fibrillation patients over 65 years: a review. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2015;22(8):987-1002.
59. Barda N, Dagan N, Ben-Shlomo Y, et al. Safety of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine in a nationwide setting. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(12):1078-90.
60. Accorsi EK, Britton A, Fleming-Dutra KE, et al. Association between 3 doses of mRNA COVID-19 vaccine and symptomatic infection caused by the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron and Delta variants. *JAMA*. 2022;327(7):639-51.
61. Omer SB, Malani PN. Booster vaccination to prevent COVID-19 in the era of Omicron: an effective part of a layered public health approach. *JAMA*. 2022;327(7):628-29.
62. Ling RR, Ramanathan K, Tan FL, et al. Myopericarditis following COVID-19 vaccination and non-COVID-19 vaccination: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2022;10(7):679-88.
63. McNamara LA, Wiegand RE, Burke RM, et al. Estimating the early impact of the US COVID-19 vaccination programme on COVID-19 cases, emergency department visits, hospital admissions, and deaths among adults aged 65 years and older: an ecological analysis of national surveillance data. *Lancet*. 2022;399(10320):152-60.

64. Tenforde MW, Self WH, Adams K, et al.; Influenza and Other Viruses in the Acutely Ill (IVY) Network. Association between mRNA vaccination and COVID-19 hospitalization and disease severity. *JAMA*. 2021;326(20):2043-54.




**Table 1: Subgroup analyses of the incidence of arrhythmia after COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 vaccination**

	<b>Study, n</b>	<b>Vaccine doses, n</b>	<b>Cardiac arrhythmia cases per million vaccine doses (95% CI)</b>	<b>P</b>
Type of vaccine				<0.01
Human papillomavirus	3	154,220,042	0.3 (0.1-0.5)	
COVID-19	23	830,585,553	2263.4 (875.4-5839.2)	
Influenza	5	513,115,509	53.2 (27.7-102.3)	
Acellular pertussis	2	4,952,349	21.1 (0.3-1739.9)	
Smallpox	3	1,386,209	1747.5 (275.9-10,982.9)	
Mixed	2	24,200,000	0.2 (0.1-0.6)	
Tachyarrhythmia				<0.01
Non-COVID-19 vaccines	10	179,821,283	14.0 (3.4-58.2)	
COVID-19 vaccines	8	1,113,212	4518.0 (1549.4-13,099.3)	
Dose				<0.01
First	12	41,714,762	3450.9 (988.2-11,977.6)	
Second	10	34,540,749	2262.5 (2205.9-2320.7)	
Third	3	7,968	19,064.3 (5775.5-61,051.2)	

Forest plots of the studies included in the subgroup analyses can be viewed in the

Supplemental Materials (Supplemental Figures S2, S4-S8, and S10).

FIGURE 1. STUDY SELECTION



**FIGURE 2. INCIDENCE OF ARRHYTHMIA IN THE GENERAL POPULATION AFTER VACCINATION**

