Pro-inflammatory cytokines and growth factors in human milk: Associations with increased mammary epithelium permeability during established lactation

Katie T. Kivlighan, CNM, PhD[1,5], Sallie S. Schneider, PhD[2], Eva P. Browne[3], Brian T. Pentecost, PhD[3], Douglas L. Anderton, PhD[4], and Kathleen F. Arcaro, PhD[3]

[1]College of Nursing, University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, Albuquerque, NM

[2]Baystate Medical Center, Pioneer Valley Life Sciences Institute, Springfield, MA

[3]University of Massachusetts Amherst, Department of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Amherst, MA

[4]Department of Sociology, University of South Carolina, Columbus, SC

Author Note

[5]Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Katie T. Kivlighan, PhD, CNM, College of Nursing, MSC09 5350, 1 University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001. Email: kkivligh@salud.unm.edu

KEYWORDS: human milk, sodium, cytokine, growth factor, inflammation, mammary epithelium permeability

Abstract

Objective: The inflammatory signature of human milk is an indicator of mammary gland health and has implications for infant nutrition, growth, and immune system development. We hypothesized that measurement of mammary epithelium permeability would provide important context for the interpretation of the inflammatory signature of human milk.

Methods: Participants were drawn from a previous study of human milk cytokines and growth factors. Analysis included 162 participants (98 Black women, 64 White women) with infants ranging from 1-18 months of age. Levels of twelve inflammatory markers (IL-6, IL8, TNFα, IL-1β, FASL, VEGFD, FLT1, BFGF, PLGF, EGF, leptin, adiponectin) were evaluated previously. Milk sodium (Na) and potassium (K) were measured with ion selective probes. Increased mammary epithelium permeability (IMEP) was indicated by either Na levels or Na/K ratios greater than the 75th percentile. Multivariate logistic regression models were used to examine associations between maternal-infant characteristics, inflammatory markers, and IMEP.

Results: Thresholds of elevated Na and Na/K ratios at the 75th percentile were found to be 8.0 mmol/L and 0.53 respectively. IMEP was most frequent (42.6%) during early lactation (1-3 months). The strongest predictors of IMEP as defined by Na > 75th percentile were infant age, Black race, IL6, and BFGF, while maternal age and PLGF were important predictors of IMEP as defined by the Na/K ratio >75th percentile. IMEP also moderated associations between maternal-infant characteristics and inflammatory markers.

Discussion: This study demonstrates the importance of mammary epithelium permeability measurement for the interpretation of human milk inflammatory profiles during established lactation.

Introduction

Human milk is a complex biological fluid containing a multitude of cellular and molecular components integral to the health or disease state of mother and infant. The inflammatory signature of human milk is a useful indicator of current[1,2] and future mammary gland health,[3,4] and has a role in optimizing infant nutrition,[5,6] growth[7,8], and immune system development.[9,10]

Human milk contains a variety of cytokines or growth factors that act locally in the mammary gland and/or influence the growth and development of infant tissues.[11] Cytokines are bioactive molecules that modulate immune and inflammatory responses.[9] In human milk, they are produced by leukocytes that have migrated into the mammary tissue from systemic circulation and also by the mammary epithelium itself.[9,12] Cytokines may be pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory and both classes are found in human milk.[12] They are upregulated during mammary gland differentiation in pregnancy and during involution processes triggered by milk stasis.[13,14] Levels of cytokines are highest during early lactation and decline over time.[11,15] During an infection, such as mastitis, cytokines modulate the immune response of the mammary gland and pro-inflammatory cytokine levels rise.[1,2]

Adipokines, such as leptin and adiponectin, are a subset of cytokines produced by adipose tissue that serve as endocrine signaling molecules with roles in regulating metabolism and body composition.[11,12] Growth factors are protein signaling molecules linked to tissue growth and remodeling. They retain bioactivity after ingestion and are important for development of the infant intestinal barrier.[11] Within the mammary gland, growth factors are important for angiogenesis, maintaining lactation and regulating involution.[16,17] Several

cytokines and growth factors found in human milk also have demonstrated roles in mammary tumorigenesis.[3,18,19]

Awareness of mammary epithelium integrity may provide important context for the interpretation of cytokine levels in human milk. Prior to secretory activation (i.e., onset of mature milk), paracellular pathways between mammary epithelial cells are open allowing communication between the maternal bloodstream and the mammary gland.[20] Following birth, rapid tight junction formation within the mammary epithelium facilitates paracellular pathway closure, a shift important for the establishment and maintenance of milk synthesis and secretion.[21,22] Sodium (Na) levels are highest in colostrum and decrease rapidly during the first 5 postpartum days in response to paracellular pathway closure. Once Na levels have decreased beyond 10 mmol/L, milk maturity has been acheived[20,23] In contrast, potassium (K) accumulates in milk as paracellular pathways close.[20,24] Both Na alone and as a ratio with potassium (Na/K) have been used to indicate mammary epithelium permeability. Na/K ratios of less than 0.6 or less than the 75th percentile have been used to indicate tight junction closure and milk maturity.[20,25]

As long as the paracellular pathways remain closed during established lactation, milk secretion is maintained and levels of Na or the Na/K ratio remain low.[21] However, both milk accumulation and inflammation have been linked to the re-opening of tight junctions and rising Na or Na/K ratios.[21,26] Our lab recently demonstrated that shifts in the Na/K ratio were closely linked to antibody levels in human milk within a single individual over time, confirming an association between permeability and immune factors.[27] Knowledge of mammary epithelium permeability could also provide important context for the interpretation of cytokine levels in human milk.

We previously examined levels of human milk cytokines, growth factors, and adipokines in a diverse cohort of women during established lactation to determine associations with race and risk factors for breast cancer.[19] The purpose of the current study was to determine the extent to which increased mammary epithelium permeability (IMEP) provides context for the interpretation of inflammatory profiles in human milk during established lactation. We hypothesized that elevated levels of human milk pro-inflammatory cytokines and growth factors would be associated with IMEP and that unique patterns of these analytes would be more tightly linked to increased permeability. In particular, we anticipated that the higher levels of some pro-inflammatory cytokines previously observed among Black women in this cohort[19] would translate to a higher prevalence of IMEP in this group. We also hypothesized that knowledge of IMEP would influence associations between risk factors and the inflammatory profiles.

Methods

Study Population

We identified all participants from a previous study comparing cytokines and growth factors in the milk of Black and White women[19] for whom archived whole milk samples were available. All participants had signed consent forms for this IRB-approved study conducted at the University of Massachusetts Amherst. Briefly, participants completed a questionnaire related to health history, pregnancy, lactation, and breast cancer risk. Milk samples were collected from 2007-2013 from lactating women aged 18 years and older. Participants collected milk in the morning upon waking by expressing the full contents of each breast into separate glass or BPA-free plastic container via hand expression or use of their own pump. Milk was either shipped to the lab on ice or acquired locally. Our previous study demonstrated no effect of transport method on cytokine levels.[19] Upon arrival at the lab, milk samples were processed as previously

described.[19] Aliquots of whole milk were also stored at -20°C. Of the 292 participants in the original study[19] frozen aliquots of whole milk were available from 167 participants. Of these, 5 mother-infant dyads were identified as being more than 3 SD of the mean for infant age (>798 days or 2.18 years of age). Breastfeeding practices employed by this group were not typical of the remainder of the sample and were therefore excluded. Our final sample consisted of 162 participants (98 Black women and 64 White women) with infants ranging in age from 1 to 18 months.

Measurement of Sodium and Potassium Ions

Na and K levels were measured using ion-selective electrode probes (Medica EasyLyte Na/K Analyzer). Briefly, 1 mL aliquots of whole milk were thawed, centrifuged at 3220 g for 3 minutes at 4°C and the Na and K concentrations (mmol/L) were determined in the clarified whey fraction. A total of 18 samples were run in duplicate with mean coefficients of variation (CV) of 7.8% for Na and 4.4% for K.

Due to questions regarding the most appropriate indicator of IMEP (Na vs. Na/K ratio) and the most appropriate cutoff, four definitions of IMEP were initially compared to determine the prevalence and clinical cutoffs in our sample: Na >10 mmol/L,[20,23] Na >75th percentile, Na/K ratio > 0.6,[20] and Na/K ratio >75th percentile.[25] Given that Na and the Na/K ratio have rarely been studied during established lactation in a US sample, we followed the lead of Murase et al.[25] in using the 75th percentile as our cutoff for both Na and the Na/K ratio to avoid applying pre-existing definitions of permeability derived from other populations. None of these criteria for IMEP were associated with medication use, freeze-thaw cycles, milk collection, or shipping methods.

Measurement of Cytokines and Growth Factors

Analysis of proinflammatory cytokines, growth factors, and adipokines was as described.[19] Briefly, multiplex and single-analyte electrochemical-luminescent sandwich assays from Meso Scale Discovery (MSD, Gaithersburg, MD) were used to measure the 12 analytes shown in **Table 1**. Thirty-eight samples, eight standards, and two of three controls were tested in duplicate on each plate according to the manufacturer's protocols. Coefficients of variation (CVs) and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) are available in Murphy et al.[19]

Mean concentrations of human milk analytes were calculated for all samples with duplicate values above the lower limit of detection (LLOD) as reported in Murphy et al.[19] The percent of mean values below the LLOD was calculated for each analyte. In the current study, the majority of analytes (8 of 12) had less than 10% of samples below the LLOD. However, IL-6, IL-1β, TNFα, and BFGF ranged from 13% to 20% of values below the LLOD. To correct for this bias, categorical variables were created for each analyte to indicate levels above the 75th percentile, similar to the approach used for Na and the Na/K ratio. These were used as predictors in the final models.

Statistical analysis

Data were cleaned, coded and all analyses were performed in SPSS 28.0. Descriptive statistics were examined. Spearman rank correlations were performed to explore bivariate associations between continuous measures of Na, K, and the Na/K ratio. Univariate logistic regression models were fitted to predict IMEP as defined by Na and Na/K ratio >75th percentile from maternal-infant factors and human milk analytes. Consistent with exploratory analyses, we considered $p < .05$ to be statistically significant. Multivariate logistic regression models were fitted to determine the strongest predictors of IMEP. We report results from these models that included predictors with p-values < .10. Next, multivariate logistic regression models were fitted

to predict elevated cytokine, growth factor, and adipokine levels from maternal-infant factors. Interaction terms were examined to determine if IMEP influenced these associations.

Results

Descriptive Statistics for Na and the Na/K Ratio

Descriptive statistics for Na, K, and Na/K ratios are presented in **Table 2**. Median concentrations of Na and K were 6.2 mmol/L (range 2.8 - 23.6) and 14.6 mmol/L (range 10.1 - 28.1) respectively. The median Na/K ratio in this sample was 0.41 with a range of 0.18 to 1.08. Not surprisingly, Na and the Na/K ratio were found to be strongly correlated, r (160) = 0.79, $p < .001$. Na was also positively associated with K, r (160) = 0.51, $p < .001$. However, K was not associated with the Na/K ratio.

Criteria for Identifying IMEP and Associations with Maternal-Infant Characteristics

Four sets of criteria for identifying IMEP were examined. Using the criteria defined in the literature of 10 mmol/L for Na,[20,23] the cutoff for IMEP was found to be at the 88th percentile and a total of 19 cases of IMEP were identified. Using the criteria of 0.6 for an elevated Na/K ratio,[20] the cutoff for IMEP was at the 85th percentile and 25 cases were identified. In contrast, defining the cutoff for IMEP at the 75th percentile for Na or the Na/K ratio as proposed by Murase et al.[25] identified a total of 40 cases each. Of note, only 27 cases were identified by both sets of criteria. Thresholds of elevated Na and the Na/K ratio were found to be 8.0 mmol/L and 0.53 by these criteria. See **Table 2**.

Demographic and selected health characteristics of the 162 participants are presented in **Table 3.** Differences in maternal-infant factors by the two selected methods of identifying IMEP (Na and Na/K >75th percentile) are also presented. Older maternal age reduced the odds of IMEP using the 75th percentile criteria for either Na or Na/K (ORs 0.92, 95% CI 0.86 - 0.99).

The odds of IMEP (Na >75th) also declined with each additional week of infant age (OR 0.95, 85% CI 0.93 – 0.98). **Figure 1** presents the frequency of IMEP by infant age using the two selected criteria (Na and Na/K >75th percentile). The highest frequency of IMEP defined by Na > 75th percentile occurred in milk when infants were aged 1 to 3 months (42.6%), while IMEP as defined by Na/K > 75th percentile was most frequent (37.5%) when infants were 10-12 months of age.

Associations of Human Milk Cytokines and Growth Factors with IMEP

Descriptive statistics for the human milk inflammatory profile are presented in **Table 4**. Univariate logistic regressions were used to evaluate the relationship between elevated cytokine and growth factor levels (> 75th percentile) with IMEP as indicated by both Na and Na/K ratios. Odds ratios and 95% CIs are presented in **Table 4**. In general, there were more significant associations with IMEP as defined by Na as compared to the Na/K ratio. Elevated levels of IL-6, IL-8, TNFα, IL-1β, FLT1, PLGF, BFGF, and adiponectin were all associated with IMEP as defined by Na > 75th percentile. In contrast, only elevated levels of IL-6, TNFα, PLGF, and BFGF were linked with IMEP as defined by the Na/K ratio > 75th percentile.

Multivariate logistic regression models predicting IMEP from maternal-infant characteristics and inflammatory profiles are presented in **Table 5**. The strongest predictors of IMEP as defined by Na >75th percentile were infant age, Black race, IL6, and BFGF. For every additional week of infant age, the odds of IMEP declined by 5%. Black women had an increased likelihood of experiencing IMEP by 4.1 times. High levels of IL-6 and BFGF (>75th percentile) raised the odds of IMEP by 4.8 and 9.9 times respectively. Interestingly, the growth factor PLGF was the strongest predictor of IMEP as defined by Na/K >75th percentile. Controlling for

maternal age, high levels of human milk PLGF (>75th percentile) increased the odds of IMEP by 3.2 times.

Influence of IMEP on Associations Between Maternal-Infant Characteristics and Human Milk Inflammatory Profiles

Multivariate logistic regressions were used to evaluate associations between maternal-infant characteristics and elevated milk cytokine and growth factor levels (>75th percentile; **Table S1**). Among pro-inflammatory cytokines, younger infant age (weeks) was associated with higher odds of elevated levels of IL-6 and TNFα, while primiparity increased the likelihood of elevated IL-8. Among growth factors, return of menses was associated with increased odds of elevated PLGF and BFGF levels. Younger infant age also increased the odds of high BFGF levels. Among adipokines, younger infant age increased the odds of elevated adiponectin ,while the odds of elevated leptin levels increased with higher BMI.

A group was identified with no evidence of IMEP by either Na or the Na/K ratio (*N* = 109). A variable was created to indicate IMEP vs. no IMEP by either criterion. This variable moderated associations between maternal-infant covariates and several analytes including IL-6, IL-8, IL-1β, VEGFD, BFGF, adiponectin, and leptin. When IMEP was present, the following patterns emerged. Younger infant age was associated with elevated levels of IL-6, Il-1β, BFGF, and adiponectin. Multipara were also more likely to have elevated leptin in the setting of IMEP.

In the absence of IMEP, older infant age was associated with elevated IL-8 and IL-1β levels, while younger maternal age was associated with elevated VEGFD. Primiparity was also associated with elevated leptin. The interaction term for IMEP and parity was non-significant in the prediction of IL-8, as was the interaction with BMI in the prediction of leptin. There were no interactions between IMEP and race for any of the models.

Discussion

This analysis supports the importance of examining both mammary epithelium permeability and inflammatory profiles in human milk. As expected, unique patterns of elevated cytokines and growth factors were associated with increased permeability. However, the nature of these associations was dependent on the permeability indictor utilized. IMEP as defined by Na greater than the 75th percentile was associated with several pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-8, TNFα, IL-1β), growth factors (FLT1, PLGF, BFGF), and adiponectin. In contrast, a Na/K ratio greater than the 75th percentile was linked only to elevated levels of IL-6, TNFα, PLGF, and BFGF.

A body of research has previously identified links between pro-inflammatory cytokines and permeability. However, the direction of this relationship is not clear. Several studies have suggested that inflammation drives increased permeability.[6,8,28,29] During mastitis, paracellular pathways open allowing cytokine-producing leukocytes access to the alveolar lumen.[30] However, increased ductal pressure due to milk accumulation initiates both increased permeability and eventually, tissue remodeling. The latter is often accompanied by elevated pro-inflammatory cytokines and growth factors.[14,21] It is difficult to identify and address the underlying factor that triggered IMEP for a particular individual. Identification of unique patterns of cytokines and growth factors associated with each process may be helpful.

Elevated levels of IL-6 and BFGF were most closely tied to IMEP as defined by Na > 75th percentile, while PLGF was the best predictor of IMEP as defined by the Na/K ratio > 75th percentile. IL-6 levels are elevated in early lactation,[31] increase in the presence of infection or inflammation,[8,28] and trigger mammary epithelium apoptosis during involution.[14] Therefore, it is not unexpected that this pro-inflammatory cytokine would be so tightly linked to permeability.

However, the pro-inflammatory cytokine most often identified as being associated with elevated Na/K ratios in the literature is IL-8.[6,8,28,32] It was therefore surprising that an association with IL-8 did not emerge as a key predictor of IMEP.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to identify associations between IMEP and the growth factors BFGF and PLGF. Of note, BFGF was the analyte most tightly linked to experiencing IMEP (Na > 75th percentile). BFGF is synthesized and secreted by adipocytes[33] and myoepithelial cells.[34] It enhances angiogenesis and is associated with mammary gland growth and apoptosis.[34,35] Previous research has identified BFGF expression in human milk, with elevated levels associated with preterm birth,[36] obesity,[19] and malignancy.[3] Given associations with inflammation and tissue remodeling, it is not surprising that BFGF would increase in response to epithelial barrier disruption.

Placental growth factor (PLGF) was a key analyte associated with IMEP (Na/K ratio > 75th percentile). There is very limited research on PLGF in human milk, but in both our previous and current study, we found an association between PLGF and menses since delivery.[19] PLGF is a pro-angiogenic growth factor and member of the vascular growth factor family, binding to VEGFR1.[37] It is best known for its role in the development of preeclampsia, where low levels are linked to pathology.[37] Elevated levels in human milk are most likely linked to tissue remodeling during involution. Indeed, we observed the highest levels of PLGF during months 7 to 9 of lactation when introduction of complementary foods may lead to increased milk stasis and subsequent involution (data not presented).

It is unclear which indicator of IMEP is more appropriate. Several authors utilize the Na/K ratio to control for the variability in the lipid content of milk samples.[20,32,38] However, it does not appear this has been demonstrated empirically. Comparisons will need to be made to

determine which measure best predicts important outcomes, such as breast cancer or milk supply. Some studies have also reported that K is more sensitive to measurement methods than Na.[24,39] Small differences in Na/K ratios could result in differential interpretation, especially when a cutoff is used for clinical decision-making.

In the current study, we noted different patterns of Na and Na/K ratios in relation to infant age, which could affect the identification of IMEP at different stages of lactation. Interestingly, the Na/K ratio was actually elevated more frequently in late lactation as compared to early lactation in this cohort. Rates of IMEP were also higher in this cohort than was observed in a European cohort during established lactation.[38] This was true when using the same criteria (Na/K > 0.6). Rates of IMEP during established lactation were 5% or less as compared to an average of 15% during established lactation in the current study.

As hypothesized, Black women in our cohort were more likely to experience IMEP after controlling for infant age. This is in line with previous findings demonstrating higher levels of some pro-inflammatory cytokines among Black women.[19] These patterns of co-occurring permeability and inflammation could provide important context for the disparity in breast cancer rates among Black women in the United States.[40]

IMEP was also found to provide important context for the associations between maternal-infant factors and inflammatory profiles. In the setting of IMEP, younger infant age was associated with elevated levels of IL-6, IL-1β, BFGF, and adiponectin in human milk. In the absence of IMEP, older infant that was associated with elevated levels of Il-8 and IL-1β. There were also influences on associations with maternal age and parity. These findings underscore that including a measure of IMEP in future studies of inflammatory profiles in milk is of importance.

IMEP has been linked to delayed onset of lactation,[20] low milk supply, [25] reduced milk nutrient content,[38] and inadequate infant growth.[8] Low infant weight and weight gain have been identified in relation to elevated cytokines in human milk.[7] Growth factors in human milk may also affect development of the infant intestinal barrier.[11] Taken together, IMEP and elevated inflammatory markers could have important implications for infant health.

There were several strengths to the current study including a diverse cohort, a panel of 12 pro-inflammatory markers, two approaches to the evaluation of IMEP, and inclusion of samples across multiple stages of lactation. However, there were also some important limitations. This was a secondary data analysis of a subset of samples from a diverse cohort in which associations between inflammatory profiles of human milk and breast cancer risk factors were assessed. As such, the current study was not designed to examine associations between mammary epithelium permeability and inflammatory profiles in human milk with key outcomes of interest, including milk supply, nutrient content, infant growth or infant intestinal health. The present study was also not designed to follow mother-infant dyads over time.

Conclusions

Results presented here highlight the importance of measuring mammary epithelium integrity in studies of inflammatory processes in the human mammary gland, as well as in studies of the effects of human milk on infant health. Increased permeability and inflammation co-occur during multiple stages of lactation and as the result of infectious processes. Whether these processes are normal developmental processes or are harbingers of risks to maternal or infant health remains to be determined. Research aimed at understanding the extent to which IMEP influences inflammatory signatures associated with mammary gland health or infant growth and development should be prioritized.

Acknowledgements: We wish to thank the participants who donated milk for this research.

Authorship Contribution Statement: Katie Kivlighan: conceptualization, formal analysis, writing – original draft; **Sallie Schneider:** conceptualization, methodology, writing – review & editing; **Eva Browne:** methodology, validation, investigation, data curation, writing – review & editing; **Brian Pentecost:** writing – review & editing; **Douglas Anderton**: writing – review & editing; **Kathleen Arcaro:** conceptualization, methodology, investigation, resources, supervision, writing – review & editing

Authors' Disclosure Statement: The authors state they have no conflicts of interest.

Funding Statement: Funding was provided by the College of Natural Sciences, University of Massachusetts-Amherst.

References

1. Porcherie A, Gilbert FB, Germon P, et al. IL-17A Is an Important Effector of the Immune Response of the Mammary Gland to Escherichia coli Infection. *J Immunol Baltim Md 1950*. 2016;196(2):803-812. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1500705

2. Hunt KM, Williams JE, Shafii B, et al. Mastitis is associated with increased free fatty acids, somatic cell count, and interleukin-8 concentrations in human milk. *Breastfeed Med Off J Acad Breastfeed Med*. 2013;8(1):105-110. doi:10.1089/bfm.2011.0141

3. Arcaro KF, Browne EP, Qin W, Zhang K, Anderton DL, Sauter ER. Differential Expression of Cancer-Related Proteins in Paired Breast Milk Samples from Women with Breast Cancer. *J Hum Lact*. 2012;28(4):543-546. doi:10.1177/0890334412453205

4. Qin W, Zhang K, Kliethermes B, et al. Differential expression of cancer associated proteins in breast milk based on age at first full term pregnancy. *BMC Cancer*. 2012;12(1):100. doi:10.1186/1471-2407-12-100

5. Say B, Dizdar EA, Degirmencioglu H, et al. The effect of lactational mastitis on the macronutrient content of breast milk. *Early Hum Dev*. 2016;98:7-9. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2016.03.009

6. Li C, Solomons NW, Scott ME, Koski KG. Subclinical mastitis (SCM) and proinflammatory cytokines are associated with mineral and trace element concentrations in human breast milk. *J Trace Elem Med Biol*. 2018;46:55-61. doi:10.1016/j.jtemb.2017.11.010

7. Saso A, Blyuss O, Munblit D, Faal A, Moore SE, Le Doare K. Breast Milk Cytokines and Early Growth in Gambian Infants. *Front Pediatr*. 2018;6:414. doi:10.3389/fped.2018.00414

8. Li C, Solomons NW, Scott ME, Koski KG. Anthropometry before Day 46 and Growth Velocity before 6 Months of Guatemalan Breastfed Infants Are Associated with Subclinical Mastitis and Milk Cytokines, Minerals, and Trace Elements. *J Nutr*. 2019;149(9):1651-1659. doi:10.1093/jn/nxz109

9. Brenmoehl J, Ohde D, Wirthgen E, Hoeflich A. Cytokines in milk and the role of TGF-beta. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2018;32(1):47-56. doi:10.1016/j.beem.2018.01.006

10. Palmeira P, Carneiro-Sampaio M. Immunology of breast milk. *Rev Assoc Medica Bras 1992*. 2016;62(6):584-593. doi:10.1590/1806-9282.62.06.584

11. Ballard O, Morrow AL. Human milk composition: nutrients and bioactive factors. *Pediatr Clin North Am*. 2013;60(1):49-74. doi:10.1016/j.pcl.2012.10.002

12. Kiełbasa A, Gadzała-Kopciuch R, Buszewski B. Cytokines-Biogenesis and Their Role in Human Breast Milk and Determination. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2021;22(12):6238. doi:10.3390/ijms22126238

13. Watson CJ, Oliver CH, Khaled WT. Cytokine signalling in mammary gland development. *J Reprod Immunol*. 2011;88(2):124-129. doi:10.1016/j.jri.2010.11.006

14. Jena MK, Jaswal S, Kumar S, Mohanty AK. Molecular mechanism of mammary gland involution: An update. *Dev Biol*. 2019;445(2):145-155. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.11.002

15. Chollet-Hinton LS, Stuebe AM, Casbas-Hernandez P, Chetwynd E, Troester MA. Temporal trends in the inflammatory cytokine profile of human breastmilk. *Breastfeed Med Off J Acad Breastfeed Med*. 2014;9(10):530-537. doi:10.1089/bfm.2014.0043

16. Lamote I, Meyer E, Massart-Leën AM, Burvenich C. Sex steroids and growth factors in the regulation of mammary gland proliferation, differentiation, and involution. *Steroids*. 2004;69(3):145-159. doi:10.1016/j.steroids.2003.12.008

17. Savilahti E, Saarinen KM. Colostrum TGF-beta-1 associates with the duration of breast-feeding. *Eur J Nutr*. 2007;46(4):238-242. doi:10.1007/s00394-007-0656-9

18. King J, Mir H, Singh S. Association of Cytokines and Chemokines in Pathogenesis of Breast Cancer. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci*. 2017;151:113-136. doi:10.1016/bs.pmbts.2017.07.003

19. Murphy J, Pfeiffer RM, Lynn BCD, et al. Pro-inflammatory cytokines and growth factors in human milk: an exploratory analysis of racial differences to inform breast cancer etiology. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2018;172(1):209-219. doi:10.1007/s10549-018-4907-7

20. Medina Poeliniz C, Engstrom JL, Hoban R, Patel AL, Meier P. Measures of Secretory Activation for Research and Practice: An Integrative Review. *Breastfeed Med*. 2020;15(4):191-212. doi:10.1089/bfm.2019.0247

21. Stelwagen K, Singh K. The Role of Tight Junctions in Mammary Gland Function. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia*. 2014;19(1):131-138. doi:10.1007/s10911-013-9309-1

22. Nguyen DA, Parlow AF, Neville MC. Hormonal regulation of tight junction closure in the mouse mammary epithelium during the transition from pregnancy to lactation. *J Endocrinol*. 2001;170(2):347-356. doi:10.1677/joe.0.1700347

23. Neville MC, Keller RP, Seacat J, Casey CE, Allen JC, Archer P. Studies on human lactation. I. Within-feed and between-breast variation in selected components of human milk. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1984;40(3):635-646. doi:10.1093/ajcn/40.3.635

24. Lai CT, Gardner H, Geddes D. Comparison of Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry with an Ion Selective Electrode to Determine Sodium and Potassium Levels in Human Milk. *Nutrients*. 2018;10(9):E1218. doi:10.3390/nu10091218

25. Murase M, Wagner EA, J. Chantry C, Dewey KG, Nommsen-Rivers LA. The Relation between Breast Milk Sodium to Potassium Ratio and Maternal Report of a Milk Supply Concern. *J Pediatr*. 2017;181:294-297.e3. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.10.044

26. Stelwagen K, Farr VC, McFadden HA, Prosser CG, Davis SR. Time course of milk accumulation-induced opening of mammary tight junctions, and blood clearance of milk components. *Am J Physiol*. 1997;273(1 Pt 2):R379-386. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.1997.273.1.R379

27. Narayanaswamy V, Pentecost BT, Schoen CN, et al. Neutralizing Antibodies and Cytokines in Breast Milk After Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) mRNA Vaccination. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2022;139(2):181-191. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000004661

28. Tuaillon E, Viljoen J, Dujols P, et al. Subclinical mastitis occurs frequently in association with dramatic changes in inflammatory/anti-inflammatory breast milk components. *Pediatr Res*. 2017;81(4):556-564. doi:10.1038/pr.2016.220

29. Schaub R, Badiou S, Viljoen J, et al. The immune response to sub-clinical mastitis is impaired in HIV-infected women. *J Transl Med*. 2018;16(1):296. doi:10.1186/s12967-018-1667-4

30. Lehmann M, Wellnitz O, Bruckmaier RM. Concomitant lipopolysaccharide-induced transfer of blood-derived components including immunoglobulins into milk. *J Dairy Sci*. 2013;96(2):889-896. doi:10.3168/jds.2012-5410

31. Aparicio M, Browne PD, Hechler C, et al. Human milk cortisol and immune factors over the first three postnatal months: Relations to maternal psychosocial distress. *PloS One*. 2020;15(5):e0233554. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0233554

32. Filteau SM, Rice AL, Ball JJ, et al. Breast milk immune factors in Bangladeshi women supplemented postpartum with retinol or beta-carotene. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1999;69(5):953-958. doi:10.1093/ajcn/69.5.953

33. Benham V, Chakraborty D, Bullard B, Bernard JJ. A role for FGF2 in visceral adiposity-associated mammary epithelial transformation. *Adipocyte*. 2018;7(2):113-120. doi:10.1080/21623945.2018.1445889

34. Lavandero S, Chappuzeau A, Sapag-Hagar M, Oka T. In vivo and in vitro evidence of basic fibroblast growth factor action in mouse mammary gland development. *FEBS Lett*. 1998;439(3):351-356. doi:10.1016/s0014-5793(98)01370-2

35. Rosfjord EC, Dickson RB. Growth factors, apoptosis, and survival of mammary epithelial cells. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia*. 1999;4(2):229-237. doi:10.1023/a:1018789527533

36. Ozgurtas T, Aydin I, Turan O, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor, basic fibroblast growth factor, insulin-like growth factor-I and platelet-derived growth factor levels in human milk of mothers with term and preterm neonates. *Cytokine*. 2010;50(2):192-194. doi:10.1016/j.cyto.2010.02.008

37. Hayes Ryan D, McCarthy FP, O'Donoghue K, Kenny LC. Placental growth factor: A review of literature and future applications. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 2018;14:260-264. doi:10.1016/j.preghy.2018.03.003

38. Samuel TM, De Castro CA, Dubascoux S, et al. Subclinical Mastitis in a European Multicenter Cohort: Prevalence, Impact on Human Milk (HM) Composition, and Association with Infant HM Intake and Growth. *Nutrients*. 2019;12(1):105. doi:10.3390/nu12010105

39. Esquerra-Zwiers A, Vroom A, Geddes D, Lai CT. Use of a Portable Point-of-Care Instrumentation to Measure Human Milk Sodium and Potassium Concentrations. *Breastfeed Med*. 2022;17(1):46-51. doi:10.1089/bfm.2021.0046

40. Yedjou CG, Sims JN, Miele L, et al. Health and Racial Disparity in Breast Cancer. *Adv Exp Med Biol*. 2019;1152:31-49. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-20301-6_3

Table 1. Lower limits of detection (LLOD) for cytokines, growth factors, and adipokines in human milk

	Analytes	Analyte Symbol	LLOD (pg/mL)
Proinflammatory Cytokines	Interleukin-6	IL-6	0.14
	Interleukin-8	IL-8	0.12
	Tumor necrosis factor-alpha	TNFα	0.09
	Interleukin-1 beta	IL-1β	0.07
	Fas ligand	FASL	0.415
Growth Factors	Vascular endothelial factor D	VEGFD	3.26
	Fms-related tyrosine kinase 1	FLT1	1.53
	Placental growth factor	PLGF	0.42
	Basic fibroblast growth factor	bFGF	0.15
	Epidermal growth factor	EGF	0.075
Adipokines	Leptin	Leptin	93.96
	Adiponectin	Adiponectin	0.08

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for Na, K, and the Na/K ratio (*N* = 162) and comparison of criteria for defining IMEP (cut-offs underlined)

	Median	**Range**	**IMEP (Literature cut-off)[20]**	**IMEP (75th percentile cut-off)[25]**
Sodium (mmol/L)	6.2	2.8 – 23.6	> 10 mmol/L 88th percentile *N* = 19	> 75th percentile 8.0 mmol/L *N* = 40
Potassium (mmol/L)	14.6	10.1 – 28.1	N/A	N/A
Sodium-Potassium Ratio (Na/K)	0.41	0.18 – 1.08	> 0.60 85th percentile *N* = 25	> 75th percentile 0.53 *N* = 40

Table 3. Means (SDs) or N (%) for maternal-infant characteristics for the entire sample (*N* = 162), by the two sets of criteria for identifying IMEP.

	Whole Sample		Na > 75th Percentile		Na/K > 75th Percentile	
	N	Mean (SD) Or N (%)	IMEP *N*=40	No IMEP *N* = 122	IMEP *N* = 40	No IMEP *N* = 122
Maternal Age	162	31.2 (5.4)	**29.5 (5.1)***	31.8 (5.3)	**29.5 (5.3)***	31.8 (5.3)
Age at First Birth	162	27.7 (5.9)	26.2 (5.2)$^{+}$	28.2 (6.1)	26.2 (5.2)$^{+}$	28.2 (6.1)
Parity (Multipara)	162	88 (54%)	21 (53%)	67 (55%)	22 (55%)	66 (54%)
Children Breastfed	162	1.8 (0.9)	1.8 (1.0)	1.8 (0.8)	1.7 (0.9)	1.8 (0.9)
Race (Black)	162	98 (61%)	29 (73%)$^{+}$	69 (57%)	27 (68%)	71 (58%)
BMI	162	27.1 (6.5)	26.8 (5.6)	27.2 (6.8)	25.8 (5.4)	27.5 (6.8)
Pre-Pregnancy Weight (lbs)	111	162 (48)	159 (31)	164 (52)	147 (28)$^{+}$	168 (52)
Menses Since Birth (yes)	159	61 (38%)	15 (38%)	46 (38%)	18 (47%)	43 (36%)
Hours Since Pumping	123	3.1 (2.5)	2.9 (2.8)	3.1 (2.4)	3.0 (2.7)	3.1 (2.5)
Infant Age (weeks)	162	27.3 (19.0)	**17.4 (14.0)*****	30.6 (19.3)	26.9 (19.1)	27.5 (19.1)

+*p*<.10, **p* < .05 (bold), ****p* < .001 (bold)

Table 4. Descriptive statistics for cytokines, growth factors and adipokines. Univariate odds ratios (95% CI) are presented for associations between human milk analytes > 75th percentile and IMEP.

	N	Median (IQR)	IMEP Na > 75th	IMEP Na/K > 75th
Pro-inflammatory cytokines				
IL-6	162	1.53 (.45 - 3.74)	**9.94 (4.37 – 22.62)**	**3.18 (1.47 – 6.90)**
IL-8	157	249.93 (132.46 – 629.40)	**2.45 (1.12 – 5.37)**	1.50 (0.67 – 3.35)
TNFa	162	.95 (.46 – 2.51)	**4.11 (1.90 – 8.91)**	**2.59 (1.20 – 5.59)**
IL-1β	162	.70 (.27 – 1.81)	**3.18 (1.47 – 6.90)**	1.44 (0.65 – 3.19)
FASL	162	43.23 (34.17 – 57.15)	1.99 (0.91 – 4.34)	1.69 (0.77 – 3.72)
Growth Factors				
VEGFD	161	275.92 (209.91 – 409.83)	2.07 (0.94 – 4.53)	1.76 (0.80 – 3.88)
FLT1	161	1671.69 (1363.21 – 2423.49)	**2.43 (1.11 – 5.29)**	2.07 (0.94 – 4.53)
PLGF	161	72.30 (41.77 – 127.57)	**2.84 (1.30 – 6.19)**	**3.33 (1.53 – 7.25)**
BFGF	162	.98 (.57 – 1.76)	**11.89 (5.14 – 27.48)**	**3.18 (1.47 – 6.90)**
EGF	162	4294.31 (3010.36 – 6052.75)	1.22 (0.54 – 2.73)	1.69 (0.77 – 3.72)
Adipokines				
Leptin	162	1056.22 (490.75 – 2190.75)	0.85 (0.37 – 1.99)	1.22 (0.54 – 2.73)
Adiponectin	162	20.80 (15.70 – 28.46)	**2.72 (1.26 – 5.90)**	1.22 (.54 – 2.73)

Significant findings are in bold (p<.05)

Table 5. Multivariate logistic regression models and 95% confidence intervals for the two proposed criteria for identifying IMEP

	OR (95% CI)
IMEP **Na > 75th Percentile** (*n = 162)*	
Infant Age (weeks)	**0.95 (0.92 – 0.99)**
Race (Black)[a]	**4.10 (1.36 – 12.33)**
IL-6 (>75th percentile)	**4.79 (1.81 – 12.63)**
BFGF (> 75th percentile)	**9.92 (3.54 – 27.76)**
IMEP **Na/K > 75th Percentile** (*n = 161)*	
Maternal Age (years)	0.93 (0.86 – 1.00)
PLGF (>75th percentile)	**3.21 (1.45 – 7.06)**

Model 1: [a]Reference: White
Significant findings are in bold (p<.05)

Table 6. Multivariate odds ratios (95% CIs) for select associations between maternal-infant characteristics and human milk analytes by IMEP status (*N* = 159*)*

	Maternal Age	Race (Black)	Parity (Multipara)	BMI	Menses Since Birth	Infant Age (weeks)
Pro-inflammatory Cytokines						
Il-6 > 75th percentile						
No IMEP	0.98 (0.88 - 1.09)	1.06 (0.33- 3.35)	0.32 (0.09 - 1.06)	0.97 (0.88 – 1.06)	1.65 (0.47 – 5.79)	0.98 (0.95 – 1.02)
IMEP	1.00 (0.87 – 1.14)	3.00 (0.67 – 13.52)	1.92 (0.49 – 7.58)	0.99 (0.85 – 1.15)	0.73 (0.16 – 3.30)	**0.92 (0.87 – 0.97)**
IL-8 > 75th percentile						
No IMEP	1.08 (0.97 – 1.22)	1.08 (0.34 – 3.40)	**0.26 (0.08 – 0.81)**	0.97 (0.89 – 1.06)	0.46 (0.13 – 1.64)	**1.06 (1.02 – 1.09)**
IMEP	1.15 (1.00 – 1.33)	0.65 (0.17 – 2.55)	0.68 (0.18 – 2.62)	1.01 (0.90 – 1.14)	2.48 (0.51 – 12.22)	0.97 (0.93 – 1.02)
IL-1β > 75th percentile						
No IMEP	0.94 (0.85 – 1.05)	1.24 (0.44 – 3.51)	0.81 (0.29 – 2.24)	1.00 (0.93 – 1.07)	0.41 (0.12 – 1.33)	**1.04 (1.01 – 1.07)**
IMEP	1.06 (0.93 – 1.20)	2.46 (0.55 – 10.97)	0.67 (0.17 – 2.59)	0.99 (0.86 – 1.14)	1.03 (0.22 – 4.74)	**0.94 (0.89 – 0.99)**
Growth Factors						
VEGFD > 75th percentile						
No IMEP	**0.86 (0.77 – 0.97)**	1.39 (0.47 – 4.13)	1.30 (0.43 – 3.92)	1.02 (0.95 – 1.09)	1.14 (0.36 – 3.64)	0.99 (0.96 – 1.02)
IMEP	1.08 (0.95 – 1.24)	0.30 (0.08 – 1.14)	0.33 (0.09 – 1.23)	0.93 (0.81 – 1.07)	0.90 (0.21 – 3.81)	1.01 (0.98 – 1.05)
BFGF > 75th percentile						

	0.87	0.47	0.59	1.06	3.47	1.00
No IMEP	(0.76 – 1.00)	(0.13 – 1.67)	(0.16 – 2.15)	(0.98 – 1.14)	(0.91 – 13.25)	(0.97 – 1.04)
	1.08	0.55	0.83	0.94	2.24	**0.94**
IMEP	(0.95 – 1.24)	(0.13 – 2.25)	(0.23 – 2.99)	(0.82 – 1.07)	(0.50 – 9.92)	**(0.90 – 0.98)**
Adipokines						
Adiponectin > 75th percentile						
	0.90	1.74	0.42	0.96	1.84	0.97
No IMEP	(0.81 – 1.00)	(0.59 – 5.17)	(0.14 – 1.27)	(0.88 – 1.04)	(0.58 – 5.82)	(0.94 – 1.00)
	1.08	0.50	1.22	0.93	2.49	**0.93**
IMEP	(0.94 – 1.24)	(0.12 – 2.06)	(0.31 – 4.73)	(0.81 – 1.07)	(0.51 – 12.13)	**(0.88 – 0.98)**
Leptin > 75th percentile						
	0.94	1.35	**0.17**	**1.24**	1.24	0.99
No IMEP	(0.84 – 1.06)	(0.43 – 4.22)	**(0.05 – 0.58)**	**(1.12 – 1.37)**	(0.36 – 4.27)	(0.96 – 1.02)
	1.67	4.62	**128.89**	**1.75**	16.30	0.93
IMEP	(0.98 – 2.84)	(0.25 – 86.86)	**(2.22–7495.33)**	**(1.11 – 2.76)**	(0.43 – 619.26)	(0.84 – 1.03)

Figure 1. IMEP as indicated by Na > 75th percentile is more frequent at 1 to 3 months postpartum, while permeability indicated by the Na/K ratio is more frequent in late lactation (10-12 months postpartum).

Figure 1.



Note: 1-3 months (n = 61); 4-6 months (n = 38); 7-9 months (n = 24); 10-12 months (n =24); 13-18 months (n = 15); $^{*}p < .05$ indicates differences in IMEP frequencies during each time period