

Title: Patterns of Polysubstance use in young Black and Latinx sexual minority men and transgender women in its association with sexual partnership factors: The PUSH study

Authors:

Corresponding Author:

Renata Arrington-Sanders, MD, MPH, ScM

¹Department of Pediatrics

Division of Adolescent & Young Adult Medicine

Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

200 N. Wolfe Street

Baltimore, MD 21287

410-502-8166

rarring3@jhmi.edu

²Department of Epidemiology

Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health

³Department of Medicine

Division of Infectious Disease

Noya Galai, PhD;

¹Department of Epidemiology

Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health

615 N. Wolfe Street

Room E6608

Baltimore, Maryland 21205

ngalai1@jhu.edu

²Department of Statistics

University of Haifa

Mt Carmel, Israel

Oluwaseun Falade-Nwulia MBBS, MPH

Department of Medicine

Division of Infectious Disease

Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

Christopher Hammond

¹Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences

Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

²Department of Pediatrics

Division of Adolescent & Young Adult Medicine

Andrea Wirtz, PhD
Department of Epidemiology
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
615 N. Wolfe Street
Baltimore, MD 21287
awirtz1@jhu.edu

Christopher Beyrer, MD
Duke Global Health Institute
Duke University
Durham, NC
chris.beyrer@duke.edu

David Celentano, ScD
Department of Epidemiology
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
615 N. Wolfe Street
Room W6041
Baltimore, Maryland 21205
dcelent1@jhu.edu

ABSTRACT

Background

Young Black and Latinx sexual minority men (SMM) and transgender women (TW) continue to suffer some of the highest burdens of HIV in the United States. Disparities are partly attributed to suboptimal uptake of HIV prevention and treatment services negatively impacted by substance use. Adult studies have demonstrated that polysubstance use increases HIV acquisition risk through increased sexual behaviors, however there are few studies that have examined polysubstance in this population and how polysubstance use might increase young SMM and TW's risk for HIV.

Methods

Cross-sectional data from 466 young Black and Latinx SMM and TW living in four US high HIV-burden cities enrolled in the PUSH Study, a status neutral randomized control trial to increase uptake of pre-exposure prophylaxis and treatment adherence. Examined data for patterns of polysubstance use comparing age differences of use; and exploring associations between substance use and HIV risk behaviors focusing on three core partnership factors - inconsistent condom use, pressure to have anal sex without a condom, and older partner.

Results

Most participants described prior substance use with alcohol and cannabis being most common (76%, respectively) and 23% describing other describing prior alcohol use, 76% (n=353) described cannabis use, and 23% described other illicit drug use (including stimulants, cocaine, hallucinogens, sedatives, opioids, and inhalants). Polysubstance use was common with nearly half (47%) of participants reported alcohol and cannabis use, 20% reporting alcohol, cannabis, and one other illicit drug use, and 19% reporting alcohol or cannabis use plus one other illicit drug use. Polysubstance use was associated with greater adjusted odds of pressure to have anal sex without a condom, having an older partner (> 5 years older), and inconsistent condom use.

Conclusions

High levels of substance use, polysubstance use and strong associations with high-risk sexual practices and sexual partnerships that are known to be predictors of HIV acquisition or transmission among Black and Latinx sexual and gender minority youth, call for combination interventions that include substance use treatment alongside ARV-based prevention and treatment and partner-based interventions.

Keywords

Young, Black, Latinx, sexual minority men, transgender women, polysubstance use

List of abbreviations:

- SMM – sexual minority men
- TW – transgender women
- PrEP – Pre-exposure prophylaxis
- HIV – human immunodeficiency virus
- RDS – respondent driven sampling

- Py – person years
- OR – odds ratio

Funding Sources: Supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01DA043089), through the National Institute of Drugs and Abuse and the Johns Hopkins University Center for AIDS Research (P30AI094189).

1.1. INTRODUCTION

Age and race-related disparities exist for sexual minority men (SMM) and transgender women (TW) in the US. In 2020, SMM accounted for 71% of new HIV infections with young Black and Latino SMM age 13-24 accounting for 54% and 27% of new infections in this age group. [1] Incidence rates are thought to be as high as 8.81-10.9 per 100 py in young Black SMM, 3.15 per 100 py in Latinx, and 3.75 per 100 py in mixed race youth. [2, 3] Transgender women accounted for 2% of new HIV diagnoses in 2020, with rates highest among Black and Latinx TW and 28% of new infections occurring among youth age 13 to 24. [1] Among Black and Latinx TW and nonbinary or gender queer youth, incidence rates may be around 2.91 per person years, slightly lower than some rates of Black SMM. [3] There has been a call to explore racial disparities, including partner factors associated with HIV incidence, including experiences of condomless anal intercourse, sexual coercion, substance and polysubstance use, that may predispose young SMM and TW to HIV.

Stimulant use is strongly associated with sexual HIV acquisition risk among SMM and risk is significantly increased with co-occurring binge drinking and cannabis use. [4, 5] Other work has identified methamphetamine use as a key predictor of HIV seroconversion among SMM. [6] In this study, men who use club drugs defined as (ketamine, ecstasy, GHB, cocaine, or methamphetamine) had a significantly higher number of missed PrEP doses compared to men who did not use club drugs, however, this work did not specifically explore the role of coexisting other illicit drug use in younger men. [6] Polysubstance use, defined as the use of more than one psychoactive substance of abuse, in particular, has been identified as a risk factor in HIV acquisition among adult samples of SMM and transgender women. [4, 7] Adult studies have demonstrated that polysubstance use increases HIV acquisition risk through increased sexual behaviors, such as group sex, [8] condomless anal sex, [9] and exchange sex. [10] However, little work has sought to understand the role of polysubstance use in sexual partnerships and whether the combination of polysubstance use might increase young SMM and TW's risk for HIV. In a prior scoping review, young SMM were found to be more likely to engage in polysubstance use than older SMM in 3 of 5 studies that examined polysubstance use and sexual risk. [11] The 2 studies which found either no association or less polysubstance use in young SMM, used an imprecise measure of younger age (≤ 39 years) or focused only on Asian/Pacific Islander SMM. Lifetime substance use as high as 90% has been described among young transgender women, with some studies finding that over 50% of samples describe sexual intercourse under the influence of polysubstance use. [12-14]

Polysubstance use has been tied to sexual violence and condomless anal sex and can occur with and reinforce risk for HIV when it co-occurs with violence and sexual risk. [15-17] Both Black

and Latinx SMM and TW experience age-discordant partnerships (e.g., partners >5 years older), sexual trauma, or abuse during adolescence, in the context of substance use which may further predispose youth to potential syndemics for HIV. [18-20] A syndemic is multiple co-occurring conditions (e.g., substance use, condomless sex) in the context of deleterious social and/or physical conditions (e.g., sexual violence, younger age/older partner) that mutually reinforce and increase vulnerability to an adverse condition (e.g., HIV). [21, 22] There are few studies that have explored patterns of polysubstance use among young SMM and TW over different age groups, and whether severity of use is associated HIV risk behaviors. Substance use among youth continues to be common with more youth engaging in polysubstance use. According to 2021 Monitoring the Future Survey [23] lifetime substance use among 10th and 12th graders in 2021 were respectively: 25.0 and 41.3% for illicit drugs, 34.7 and 54.1% for alcohol, 22.0 and 38.6% for cannabis, 5.2 and 4.9% for amphetamines, 3.5 and 7.1% for hallucinogens. Similarly, rates of use in the past year were: 18.7 and 32.0% for illicit drugs, 28.5 and 46.5% for alcohol, 17.3 and 30.5 for cannabis, 2.7 and 2.3% for amphetamines, 2.2 and 4.1% for hallucinogens. However, data presenting polysubstance use trends in this sample is limited.

Yet, no studies specifically examine these patterns across age for young Black and Latinx SMM and TW. The main objective of the current study is to characterize patterns of polysubstance use in young black and Latinx SMM and TW comparing age differences of use; and exploring associations between substance use and HIV risk behaviors focusing on three core partnership factors - inconsistent condom use, pressure to have anal sex without a condom, and older partner. We hypothesized that more severe substance use would be associated with greater sexual risk.

1.2. METHODS

1.2.1. Population & Recruitment

Participants were drawn from the PUSH Study of young Black and Latinx SMM and TW recruited from four cities in the US, (Baltimore MD, Washington DC, Philadelphia PA and Tampa/St. Petersburg FL) between August 2017 - June 2021. The study design is described in detail elsewhere. [24] Briefly, this was a mixed methods study with a qualitative, formative component, an initial cross-sectional survey and two embedded 18-months RCTs.

Recruitment has been described previously. [25] Briefly, participants were recruited using RDS with targeted seed selection, where seeds were identified through direct and indirect recruitment. Direct seed selection consisted of informational flyers directly given to a youth from study staff at a clinical site, community-based organization or in-person events. Indirect seed selection consisted of informational flyers posted at clinical sites that serve young SMM and TGW, offices of community-based organizations as well as a web-based approach with electronic ads placed on webpages, social and sexual networking sites frequented by young SMM (e.g., Jack'd, Black Gay Chat, Grindr). Eligibility for the initial survey was status-neutral and criteria included: (1) 15-24 years old; (2) reside in the four study sites; (3) assigned male sex at birth; (4) self-identified Black/African American or Hispanic/Latinx; and (5) report oral/anal sex with a man in the prior 12 months.

1.2.2. Measures/Assessments

All individuals meeting eligibility and provided voluntary study consent, completed a baseline survey and HIV testing. The baseline survey included questions about demographics (e.g., age, sexual orientation, gender identity, housing status), sexual behavior (e.g., number of partners, type of sex, etc.) drawn from prior measures [26], substance use, HIV history, engagement in preventive behavior (e.g., condom and PrEP use), disclosure of sexual orientation to provider, and city location of recruitment. Participants were offered a rapid oral HIV test (OraQuick Advance® or INSTI) at baseline, unless HIV status was known via medical record. The present analysis is restricted to participants who completed the baseline survey, were screened and found eligible to participate in one of the imbedded RCTs. Participants were excluded if they did not consent to the screening HIV test to determine their HIV status or if there was no verified test result on their medical records. Participants were paid \$50 for completion of the baseline survey and the HIV test. The PUSH study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of all participating institutions including: The Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore, MD; Children's National Medical Center and Whitman-Walker Health in Washington DC; Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP), Philadelphia, PA; and Western IRB, for Metro Inclusive Health, St. Petersburg/Tampa, FL. Unique identifiers that included zip code was used to ensure participants were associated with only one geographical area.

1.2.3. Substance Use Measures:

Substance use was measured using the CRAFFT a validated six-item screening tool designed to detect alcohol and drug use [27, 28] and the NIDA Modified (NM)-ASSIST. [29] Both instruments were used to account for the age range of the population. The CRAFFT is validated for use in adolescents [28] while the NM-ASSIST is validated for use in adolescents and young adults 18 years or older, although it has been used in adolescent populations. [30] CRAFFT score was categorized into – '0'(no dependence), and 1-2, and ≥ 3 based on prior literature in African American adolescents that suggest the use of a combination of cut-offs to demonstrate severity of substance dependence or problem consumption. [31] Participants answered the NM-ASSIST first for each substance to assess ever use followed by past 3-month substance use. For 3-month substance use, frequency (e.g., never, once or twice, monthly, weekly, daily or almost daily) was assessed for each substance. For this analysis, we examined lifetime and substance use in the past 3 months. The substances reported included tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, amphetamine type stimulants (speed, diet pills, ecstasy, etc.), cocaine, hallucinogens, sedatives, opioids, and inhalants. Drugs used with low frequency including amphetamine type stimulants (speed, diet pills, ecstasy, etc.), cocaine, hallucinogens, sedatives, opioids, and inhalants was further combined and categorized as other illicit drug use. To evaluate polysubstance use, we created a composite variable with categories based on combinations of substances reported to have been ever used (excluding tobacco): 1) both alcohol and cannabis; 2) alcohol and cannabis and at least one of the other illicit drugs; 3) alcohol or cannabis with one other illicit drugs; 4) no lifetime substance use. These categories were selected based on most frequently used substances reported by participants, which reflected prevalence of use in adolescents and young adults. [32]

1.2.4. Sexual Partnership Factors:

Participants were asked to report sexual behavior (oral, anal, vaginal) in the last 3 months including sexual behavior with the last 4 partners. Participants were asked details about partners, including age, HIV status, and condom use for each partner and overall. Participants were also

asked about pressure to engage in sex without a condom, experiences of coerced or forced sex, and history of transactional sex.

1.2.5. Variables and Exposures

Dependent variables represented several behavioral pathways for HIV acquisition or transmission and included inconsistent condom use, coerced condomless sex, and partner age discrepancy. Inconsistent condom use was based on the question "In the last 3 months, how often did you/your male partner wear a condom?" and was coded as 1 if the response was "Never" or "Half the time" and 0 if the response was "Always". The outcome Pressured to have condomless sex was based on the question: "To what extent do you feel pressure from other people, including sex partners, to have anal sex without a condom?" It was coded as 0 if the response was "Not at all" and 1 otherwise (a little/somewhat/very much). Partner age discrepancy was defined as having a partner that was 5 years or older than the participant. The main exposure factor of interest was polysubstance use. Transactional sex was defined as affirmatively answering to the question, "Have you ever had sex with another male in exchange for money, place to stay or food?" Unstable housing defined as affirmative response to the following question, "In the past 12-months, have you been without a regular place to stay?"

1.2.6. Statistical Analysis

We first examined patterns of drug use using trend graphs over age and the distribution of specific drugs used within each category of polysubstance used. The association between polysubstance use and our defined dependent variables were examined with bivariate, and multivariate analyses. Bivariate analysis was performed using frequency tables and χ^2 tests. Generalized linear models were fit to the binary outcomes with adjustment for clustering by geographic location. Multivariate logistic models with robust variance accounting for clustering within geographic site were developed for each outcome separately. Covariates known previously to be associated with sexual risk behaviors and potential confounders were included in statistical models including age, race/ethnicity, education, gender identity, sexual identity, and HIV status. The initial broad model included all sexual risk factors associated with the outcome with a p-value of <0.3 , adjusted for clustering by city/geographic site; the second model examined substance use patterns, adjusted for clustering by city; and the third model examined patterns associated with substance use in the last 3 months. Model results are shown as odd ratios (OR), and associated p-values.

1.3. RESULTS

A total of 466 participants contributed to this analysis. The study population is described in Table 1. Participants came from all four cities. Mean age of participants was 21.3 years (2.45), most participants identified as African-American/Black (57%), with 15% (n=69) identifying as Latinx. Most participants self-identified as gay (n=277, 59%) or bisexual (n=115, 25%), completed high-school or higher education (78%), had a cell phone (92%), and health insurance (87%). Fewer participants (14%, n=67) identified as transgender or gender diverse. Twenty four percent of participants described unstable housing in the last year. Participants had an average of 23.0 total lifetime partners, with 50% reporting 10 or more lifetime partners.

The frequency of reported substance use is shown in Table 2. The minority (n=62, 14%) described no prior substance use. Nearly forty percent (38.3%) described tobacco use, 76% (n=354) described alcohol use, 76% (n=353) described cannabis use, and 23% described other illicit drug use. In Figure 1, frequency of using specific substances for each of the three groups defined by the combinations of reported use is reported for lifetime ever use (left panels) and the last three months use (right panels), with similar patterns emerging in both timeframes – nearly half (47%) of participants reporting alcohol and cannabis use, 20% of participants reporting alcohol, cannabis, and one other illicit drug use, and 19% reporting alcohol or cannabis use plus one other illicit drug use. Most participants who reported ever alcohol and cannabis use described using alcohol (98%) or cannabis (94%) in the last 3 months. Among participants that reported alcohol, cannabis and other substance use, the most common other substance used was cocaine (35%) followed by hallucinogens (30%). Age patterns emerged in the data (Figure 2), with younger adolescents (15-19 years old) describing more lifetime cannabis use than alcohol use, though with a notable inflection at age 21, in which young adult participants (20 years+) described more lifetime and past 3-month alcohol than cannabis. Over the age groups, amphetamine, cocaine, and sedative use increased in the higher age groups. Similar patterns were seen with reported use in overall and last 3 months use (Figure 2).

The bi-variate association of substance use with sexual risk behaviors is shown in Table 2. Participants who described alcohol and cannabis had a 1.04 greater odds of inconsistent condom use, 2.78 greater odds of pressure to engage in anal sex without a condom, 2.58 greater odds of having a partner 5 years older in the last 3 months than non-substance using peers. This effect was magnified in participants who described alcohol, cannabis, and other substance use – where participants had a 3 times greater odds of inconsistent condom use, pressure to engage in anal sex without a condom, and have a partner 5 years older. Higher CRAFFT score was associated with inconsistent condom use, pressure to engage in anal sex without a condom, and a partner 5 years older. Patterns emerged around specific substance use with alcohol and cannabis independently associated with inconsistent condom use, pressure to engage in anal sex without a condom, and having a recent older partner. Amphetamine, cocaine, and hallucinogen use were associated with pressure to engage in anal sex without a condom, whereas cocaine use was also associated with having a recent older partner and hallucinogen use was associated with inconsistent condom use.

The association of substance use with the outcomes adjusting for participants' characteristics and potential confounders is shown in Table 3. History of polysubstance use with alcohol and cannabis was associated with 2.34 greater adjusted odds of pressure to have anal sex without a condom and 1.68 greater adjusted odds of having an older partner. Reporting alcohol, cannabis and other substances was associated with 1.58 greater adjusted odds of inconsistent condom use, 2.35 greater adjusted odds of pressure, and 1.33 greater adjusted odds of having a partner 5 years older. Alcohol or cannabis use plus other substance use was not associated with outcomes in the final adjusted model. Independent relationships were seen for Latinx ethnicity, being out to provider, higher number of sexual partners and history of transactional sex.

1.4. DISCUSSION

Participants in this sample of Black and Latinx SMM and TW described very high substance use overall and in the last 3 months. Participants who described severe or problem substance use, as marked by higher CRAFFT score, had greater odds of high-risk sexual behavior or partner experiences.

Polysubstance use was very common with 20% of participants reporting alcohol, cannabis, and one other illicit drug use, and 19% reporting alcohol or cannabis use plus one other illicit drug use. This rate is slightly lower to adult analyses that suggesting that non-medical prescription substance use with corresponding alcohol use may be as high as 27% lifetime use. [33] However, patterns of polysubstance use increased with older age with participants describing more illicit drug use with amphetamine, cocaine, and sedatives in the higher age groups in addition to alcohol and cannabis use. Polysubstance use was very common among participants who described both alcohol and cannabis use, with common substance including cocaine (35%) followed by hallucinogens (30%). These finding suggest that substance use in young Black SMM and TW may not be limited one substance, but multiple substances which may be predispose youth to different inherent risks for HIV acquisition.

Polysubstance use was independently associated with inconsistent condom use, pressure to engage in anal sex without a condom, and having a recent older partner. These relationships were independently seen among youth who reported a Latinx ethnicity, were out to their provider, reported higher number of sexual partners and history of transactional sex. In the context of substance use and intimate partner violence these findings suggest that more work is needed to explore and analyze power dynamics that may influence such relationships, and how experiencing multiple marginalized identities shapes one's risk for substance use and associated adverse health outcomes.

Other data has demonstrated that the co-occurrence of high rates of condomless anal sex and substance use can predispose young SMM and TW to HIV. [12, 34] Experiences with polysubstance use and characteristics of sexual partners, for example older partnerships and pressure to engage in sex without a condom during substance use provide evidence that these youth may be particularly vulnerable to social contexts that predispose them to HIV. [35] Polysubstance use, combined with partner-specific factors like coerced sex and partners of discrepant age, may provide more opportunities for inconsistent condom use for youth. Youth who have engaged in transactional sex may inherently be particularly vulnerable to both intimate partner violence and polysubstance use, as such youth may use substances to cope or engage in transactional sex to support chronic use. The data in this sample also suggests that Latinx youth and youth with higher number of sex partners and more severe substance use may be particularly vulnerable to risk. This likely reflects a complicated relationship between more partners, which inherently increases one's risk for more opportunities of inconsistent condom use, coerced sex, partners of discrepant age, transactional sex (which may be picked up by higher number of partners, even if not reported as such), and use of substances to cope or engage in transactional sex or to support chronic use. More research is needed to understand this relationship and whether polysubstance use in young SMM and TW is intermittent occurring predominantly only within sexual partnerships, chronic or as a means of coping.

Prior work has demonstrated that young Black sexual minority men may be more likely to engage in intermittent cannabis use than heavy use, but heavy use can be associated with lower awareness of HIV status. [36] Other work suggests that chronic substance use can impact cognitive functioning [37] and short-term memory. [38] Intermittent substance use may impact different mechanisms of decision making within partnerships and contribute to condomless anal sex and impaired communication around condoms. [39, 40] Combined with partner-specific factors, such as older partners and sexual coercion, younger SMM and TW may be particularly vulnerable to substance use at time of sex. Tailored interventions that address the different ways youth may be using multiple substances are needed to address the nuance of substance use within and outside of relationships. Such approaches would also require providers to address assumptions about the context of substance use in Black and Latinx SMM and TW and factors that contribute to sexual pressure or coercion within relationships, [41] including existing marginalized social identities (race, gender, sexuality, class, etc.) and having to navigate and experience larger macro systems of oppression (racism, heteronormativity, homophobia, etc.) that intersect to impact an individual's health, relationships, and quality of life. [42]

Stimulant use, particularly cocaine and amphetamine use prior to or during sex has been associated with increased risk for HIV acquisition in SMM and TW. [43] Cocaine and amphetamine use has been reported predominantly during Chemsex for the pharmacological effects during sex (e.g., orgasm, enhanced pleasure). In this analysis, we found that 35% of polysubstance users also reported cocaine use. This rate is higher than other reports of stimulant use in young SMM and transgender women [15, 44] and may have significant implications in the participants in this sample. Participants who reported cocaine and amphetamine use had a greater odds of having experienced pressure to forgo the use of condoms during anal sex. Recent work by Xavier Hall suggests that young SMM and TW are likely to experience poly-victimization which can predict polysubstance use, particularly methamphetamine and cocaine use. [45] Partner-related coercive sex or pressure to engage in sex without a condom is a form of intimate partner violence experienced within relationships.

With prior work suggesting that younger SMM and TW may be at more risk for cocaine and amphetamine use prior to or during sex than older peers, [15, 46] interventions will need to be multi-factorial and address not only individual substance use, but also incorporate aspects victimization, violence and pressure that may occur within the sexual partnerships of young SMM and TW. [47] Continued research is needed to disaggregate components of HIV risk for young Black and Latinx youth – for example, how aspects of condomless sex, older partners and sexual violence may be inter-related to substance use. Intersectional screening tools are needed to alert providers to vulnerability, and training to be able to address the social context that youth experience which may include aspects of racism, homophobia, and heteronormativity that may increase substance use and impact power dynamics and vulnerability within sexual relationships.

1.4.1. Limitations

There are limitations of this work that should be noted. This data is cross-sectional limiting our ability to assess temporality or to determine prospectively how substance use changed over time or was modified by specific partnership factors within relationships. The samples are from geographically different, but mostly urban locations, limiting our ability to draw conclusions about similar youth in rural settings. More work is needed to delineate chronic polysubstance

use from intermittent polysubstance use, because intermittent use during sexual partnerships may inherently present with different risks for HIV. We did not examine pre-exposure prophylaxis use as a dependent variable because we examined outcomes that would be relevant to both persons who were living with and at-risk for HIV. This limited our ability to draw conclusions around how substance use impacted protected and unprotected anal sex. Despite these limitations, this work suggests that in some samples of young Black and Latinx SMM and TW, there are very high levels of polysubstance use which may create a key vulnerability for HIV acquisition within partnerships.

1.4.2. Conclusions

Our data have demonstrated the high levels of substance use and polysubstance use marked by strong associations with high-risk sexual practices and characteristics of sexual partners that are known to be predictors of HIV acquisition or transmission among Black and Latinx sexual and gender minority youth. The relationships between substance use and inconsistent condom use, coerced condomless sex, and partner age discrepancies likely work through pharmacologic effects of these substances that are known to impair judgement, decision making, and negotiation alongside power imbalances within sexual partnerships. These findings highlight highly intervenable relationships that could be addressed through combination interventions that include substance use treatment alongside ARV-based prevention and treatment, partner-based interventions, IPV and safety planning services, and individual support to develop condom negotiation skills to address HIV disparities among Black and Latinx sexual and gender minority youth.

Table 1: Description of study cohort: Participants demographic and socio-economic characteristics

Variable	N (Total=466)	% Total=100%
City		
Baltimore	154	33.05
Philadelphia	130	27.90
Washington DC	164	34.33
Tampa/St Petersburg	22	4.72
Age categories		
15-17	47	10.09
18-19	104	22.32
20-21	110	23.61
22-23	123	26.39
24-25	82	17.60
Race (2 missing)		
African American/Black	265	56.87
Black other	130	27.90
Black Latino/Hispanic	69	14.81
Education (1 missing)		
Less than high school	102	21.89
High school graduate	196	42.06
More than high school	167	35.84
Health Insurance (3 missing)		
Parents insurance only	198	42.49
Own insurance only	170	36.48
Both parents & own insurance	34	7.30
No Insurance	61	13.09
Being without a place to stay, last 12m (4 missing)		
No	350	75.11
Yes	112	24.03
How well-off is your family? (2 missing)		
Well-off	119	25.54
Average	217	46.57
Not Well-off	128	27.47
Gender Identity (1 missing)		
Male	399	85.62
Transgender woman	32	6.87
Other (Female/Non-Conforming/Questioning/Queer)	35	7.51
Sexual Orientation (2 missing)		
Gay	277	59.44
Bisexual	115	24.68

Other (heterosexual, pansexual, questioning, queer)	72	15.45
Disclosed sexual orientation to medical provider (1 missing)		
No	123	26.39
Yes	342	73.39
Dating status (4 missing)		
Not dating	210	45.06
Casual dating	144	30.90
Exclusive/cohabit/married	108	23.18
Total lifetime male partners		
<=3	124	26.61
4-9	109	23.39
10-20	117	25.11
>=21	116	24.89
History of transactional sex (3 missing)		
No	360	77.25
Yes	103	22.10
HIV status ¹		
Positive	140	24.46
Negative	326	63.95

¹Note: HIV status was confirmed by a study HIV test for 88.4% of the participants; 26 of the youth with HIV and 28 of the at-risk participants HIV status was based on self-report.

Table 2: Bi-variate logistic model showing the association of Substance Use variables with Sexual Risk outcomes.

Factor (N, %)	Inconsistent condom use, last 3 months		Pressured to have anal sex without condom		Age gap with a partner >5 (last 3m)	
	(N=278, 59.7%)		(N=159, 34.1%)		(N=228, 48.9%) (20 missing, 14 from metro health)	
	OR	p	OR	p	OR	p
Substance use patterns		0.001		0.001		0.004
No substance (67, 14.4%)	1.00		1.00		1.00	
Alcohol & Cannabis (217, 46.6%)	1.04	0.012	2.78	0.002	2.58	0.002
Alcohol, Cannabis & other (95, 20.4%)	3.32	<0.001	3.03	0.003	2.91	0.002
Alcohol or Cannabis / other (87, 18.7%)	1.33	0.387	1.36	0.433	1.63	0.162
CRAFFT category		0.009		0.001		0.012
0 (117, 25.1%)	1.00		1.00		1.00	
1-2 (142, 30.5%)	1.78	0.023	1.81	0.038	1.22	0.435
3-6 (207, 44.4%)	2.02	0.003	2.57	<0.001	2.01	0.004
Specific substance - EVER						
Tobacco Ever: Yes (111, 38.0%)	1.14	0.507	1.37	0.113	1.37	0.104
Alcohol Ever: Yes (354, 76.0%)	2.33	<0.001	2.64	<0.001	2.52	<0.001
Cannabis Ever: Yes (353, 75.8%)	1.65	0.022	2.04	0.004	1.74	0.014
Other illicit drugs Ever: Yes (106, 22.8%)	1.77	0.016	1.55	0.051	1.32	0.228

22.8%)							
Specific “other” drug - EVER							
Amphetamines Ever: Yes (48, 10.3%)	1.56	0.175	1.99	0.025	1.53	0.176	
Cocaine Ever: Yes (44, 9.4%)	1.71	0.121	2.00	0.029	2.00	0.041	
Hallucinogens Ever: Yes (41, 8.8%)	2.15	0.037	2.06	0.008	1.68	0.149	
Sedatives Ever: Yes (28, 6.0%)	1.04	0.914	1.70	0.178	0.76	0.497	
Opioids Ever: Yes (26, 5.6%)	1.06	0.882	1.86	0.121	1.37	0.437	
Inhalants Ever: Yes (13, 2.8%)	1.53	0.486	1.21	0.737	1.98	0.270	

Table 3. Adjusted association based on Multivariate logistic regression with clustering by city; Results are shown for factors that were significant for at least one outcome.

Factor (N, %)	Inconsistent condom use, last 3 months		Pressured to have anal sex without condom		Age gap with a partner >5 (last 3m)	
	aOR	p	aOR	p	aOR	p
Substance use patterns (Ref: No substance use)						
Alcohol & Cannabis	1.17	0.102	2.34	<0.001	1.68	<0.001
Alcohol & Cannabis & other	1.58	0.044	2.35	0.008	1.33	0.030
Alcohol or Cannabis / other	0.99	0.949	1.29	0.620	1.35	0.182
CRAFFT (Ref: Score=0)						
1-2	1.26	0.421	-	-	-	-
3-6	1.18	0.481	-	-	-	-
Race/Ethnicity (Ref: Black)						
Latino	2.43	0.003	-	-	-	-
Disclosed sexual orientation to provider	1.61	0.053	-	-	1.24	<0.001
Number of lifetime male sexual partners (Ref: 1-3)						
4-9	1.38	0.206	1.80	0.124	2.51	0.006
10-20	2.78	<0.001	1.39	<0.001	3.10	0.059
>=21	2.90	<0.001	1.72	0.105	9.27	<0.001
Transactional sex	-	-	-	-	1.24	<0.001

*All models are adjusted for continuous age.

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention *HIV Surveillance Report* 2020, 2022. 33. <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance.html>. Published May 2022. Accessed [September 28, 2022].
2. Sullivan PS, et al. *Explaining racial disparities in HIV incidence in black and white men who have sex with men in Atlanta, GA: a prospective observational cohort study*. *Ann Epidemiol*, 2015. 25:445-454.
3. Mustanski B, Ryan DT, Newcomb ME, D'Aquila RT, Matson M. *Very High HIV Incidence and Associated Risk Factors in a Longitudinal Cohort Study of Diverse Adolescent and Young Adult Men Who Have Sex with Men and Transgender Women*. *AIDS Behav*, 2020. 24(6):1966-1975.
4. Swartz JA, McCarty-Caplan D. *A Study of the Longitudinal Patterns of Stimulant and Amyl Nitrite Use and Sexual Behavior Pre- and Post-HIV Seroconversion Among MSM*. *AIDS Behav*, 2018. 22(4):1395-1409.
5. Torres TS, et al. *Do men who have sex with men who report alcohol and illicit drug use before/during sex (chemsex) present moderate/high risk for substance use disorders?* *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 2020. 209:107908.
6. Grov C, Westmoreland D, Morrison C, Carrico AW, Nash D. *The Crisis We Are Not Talking About: One-in-Three Annual HIV Seroconversions Among Sexual and Gender Minorities Were Persistent Methamphetamine Users*. *Journal Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2020. 85(3):272-279.
7. Ostrow DG, et al. *Specific sex drug combinations contribute to the majority of recent HIV seroconversions among MSM in the MACS*. *Journal Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2009. 51(3):349-355.
8. Hirshfield S, et al. *Drug Use, Sexual Risk, and Syndemic Production Among Men Who Have Sex With Men Who Engage in Group Sexual Encounters*. *Am J Public Health*, 2015. 105(9):1849-1858.
9. Daskalopoulou M, et al. *Recreational drug use, polydrug use, and sexual behaviour in HIV-diagnosed men who have sex with men in the UK: results from the cross-sectional ASTRA study*. *Lancet HIV*, 2014. 1(1):e22-31.
10. Bond KT, et al. *Transactional Sex, Substance Use, and Sexual Risk: Comparing Pay Direction for an Internet-Based U.S. Sample of Men Who Have Sex with Men*. *Sex Res Social Policy*, 2019. 16(3):255-267.
11. Jeffries WL, et al. *Determinants of HIV Incidence Disparities Among Young and Older Men Who Have Sex with Men in the United States*. *AIDS Behav*, 2018. 22(7):2199-2213.

12. Brennan J, et al. *Syndemic Theory and HIV-Related Risk Among Young Transgender Women: The Role of Multiple, Co-Occurring Health Problems and Social Marginalization*. American Journal of Public Health, 2012. **102**(9):1751-1757.
13. Garofalo R, Osmer E, Sullivan C, Doll M, Harper G. *Environmental, Psychosocial, and Individual Correlates of HIV Risk in Ethnic Minority Male-to-Female Transgender Youth*. Journal of HIV/AIDS Prevention in Children & Youth, 2007. **7**(2):89-104.
14. Wilson EC, Garofalo R, Harris DR, Belzer M. *Sexual risk taking among transgender male-to-female youths with different partner types*. American Journal Public Health, 2010. **100**(8):1500-1505.
15. De Boni RB, Machado IK, De Vasconcellos MTL, Hoagland B, Kallas EG, Madruga JV, et al. *Syndemics among individuals enrolled in the PrEP Brasil Study*. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 2018. **185**:168-172.
16. Arrington-Sanders R, et al. *Social Determinants of Transactional Sex in a Sample of Young Black and Latinx Sexual Minority Cisgender Men and Transgender Women*. Journal of Adolescent Health, 2022. **70**(2):275-281.
17. Logie CH, et al. *Conceptualizing LGBT Stigma and Associated HIV Vulnerabilities Among LGBT Persons in Lesotho*. AIDS Behavior, 2020. **24**(12):3462-3472.
18. Downing MJ, et al. *Early Sexual Experiences, Mental Health, and Risk Behavior among Black Non-Hispanic and Hispanic / Latino Men Who Have Sex with Men (MSM)*. Journal Child Sex Abuse, 2020. **29**(1):41-61.
19. Arrington-Sanders R, Leonard L, Brooks D, Celentano D, Ellen J. *Older partner selection in young African-American men who have sex with men*. Journal of Adolescent Health, 2013. **52**(6):682-688.
20. VanDevanter N, et al. *The influence of substance use, social sexual environment, psychosocial factors, and partner characteristics on high-risk sexual behavior among young Black and Latino men who have sex with men living with HIV: A qualitative study*. AIDS Patient Care and STDs, 2011. **25**(2):113-121.
21. Stall R, et al. *Association of co-occurring psychosocial health problems and increased vulnerability to HIV/AIDS among urban men who have sex with men*. American Journal of Public Health, 2003. **93**(6):939-942.
22. Mustanski B, Garofalo R, Herrick A, Donenberg G. *Psychosocial health problems increase risk for HIV among urban young men who have sex with men: preliminary evidence of a syndemic in need of attention*. Annals of Behavioral Medicine, 2007. **34**(1):37-45.

23. National Addiction & HIV Data Archive Program (NAHDAP), 2021. 2021 Monitoring the Future Survey, Retrieved May 16, 2022 from <http://monitoringthefuture.org/data/21data.htm>
24. Arrington-Sanders R, et al. *Providing Unique Support for Health (PUSH) Study: Protocol for a Coach-based mobile enhanced Randomized Control Trial to identify, engage, and improve outcomes across the HIV care and prevention continuums among Young Black and Latinx men who have sex with men and Young Black and Latinx transgender women living in three urban cities in the United States*. JMIR Research Protocol, 2020. **16** (9):e17269.
25. Wirtz AL, , et al. *An evaluation of assumptions underlying respondent-driven sampling and the social contexts of sexual and gender minority youth participating in HIV clinical trials in the United States*. Journal of the International AIDS Society, 2021. **24**(5):e25694.
26. Donenberg GR, Emerson E, Bryant FB, Wilson H, Weber-Shifrin E. *Understanding AIDS-risk behavior among adolescents in psychiatric care: links to psychopathology and peer relationships*. J American Academy Child Adolescent Psychiatry, 2001. **40**(6):642-653.
27. Knight JR, et al. *A new brief screen for adolescent substance abuse*. Archives Pediatr Adolesc Med, 1999. **153**(6):591-596.
28. Knight JR, Sherritt L, Shrier LA, Harris SK, Chang G. *Validity of the CRAFFT substance abuse screening test among adolescent clinic patients*. Archives of Pediatric Adolescent Medicine, 2002. **156**(6):607-614.
29. Humeniuk R, et al. *Validation of the alcohol, smoking and substance involvement screening test (ASSIST)*. Addiction, 2008. **103**(6):1039-1047.
30. Gryczynski J, et al. *Validation and performance of the Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST) among adolescent primary care patients*. Addiction, 2015. **110**(2):240-247.
31. Lee CA, Smith DC, Lanker A, Clary KL. *Sensitivity and specificity of the CRAFFT to identify heavy cannabis use: Evidence from a large statewide adolescent sample*. Addictive Behaviors, 2021. **122**:107006.
32. Goodwin SR, et al. *A Scoping Review of Gender, Sex and Sexuality Differences in Polysubstance Use in Adolescents and Adults*. Alcohol Alcohol, 2022. **57**(3):292-321.
33. Votaw VR, Witkiewitz K, Valeri L, Bogunovic O, McHugh RK. *Nonmedical prescription sedative/tranquilizer use in alcohol and opioid use disorders*. Addictive Behavior, 2019. **88**:48-55.
34. Halkitis PN, et al. *A Longitudinal Investigation of Syndemic Conditions Among Young Gay, Bisexual, and Other MSM: The P18 Cohort Study*. AIDS Behav, 2015. **19**(6):970-980.

35. De Boni RB, et al. Syndemics among individuals enrolled in the PrEP Brasil Study. *Drug Alcohol Depend*, 2018. **185**:168-172.
36. Morgan E, et al. *Marijuana Use Among Young Black Men Who Have Sex With Men and the HIV Care Continuum: Findings From the uConnect Cohort*. *Subst Use Misuse*, 2016. **51**(13):1751-1759.
37. Scott JC, et al. *Association of Cannabis With Cognitive Functioning in Adolescents and Young Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis*. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 2018. **75**(6):585-595.
38. Dougherty DM, et al. *Impulsivity, attention, memory, and decision-making among adolescent marijuana users*. *Psychopharmacology*, 2013. **226**(2):307-319.
39. Wray TB, et al. *Causal Effects of Alcohol Intoxication on Sexual Risk Intentions and Condom Negotiation Skills Among High-Risk Men Who Have Sex with Men (MSM)*. *AIDS Behavior*, 2019. **23**(1):161-174.
40. Sander PM, et al. *Joint effects of alcohol consumption and high-risk sexual behavior on HIV seroconversion among men who have sex with men*. *AIDS*, 2013; **27**(5):815-823.
41. Brooks D, et al. *Gaps in science and evidence-based interventions to respond to Intimate Partner Violence among Black gay and bisexual men in the U.S.: A Call for An Intersectional Social Justice Approach*. *Sex Cult*, 2021. **25**(1):306-317.
42. Crenshaw K. *Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics*. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989. (1):Article 8.
43. Nerlander LMC, et al. *HIV infection among MSM who inject methamphetamine in 8 US cities*. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 2018. **190**:216-223.
44. Mimiaga MJ, et al. *Longitudinal Analysis of Syndemic Psychosocial Problems Predicting HIV Risk Behavior Among a Multicity Prospective Cohort of Sexually Active Young Transgender Women in the United States*. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 2019. **81**(2):184-192.
45. Xavier Hall CD, Newcomb ME, Dyar C, Mustanski B. *Patterns of polyvictimization predict stimulant use, alcohol and marijuana problems in a large cohort of sexual minority and gender minority youth assigned male at birth*. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, 2022. **36**(2):186-196.
46. Jalil EM, et al. *High Rates of Sexualized Drug Use or Chemsex among Brazilian Transgender Women and Young Sexual and Gender Minorities*. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 2022. **19**(3).
47. Peitzmeier SM, et al. *The transgender-specific intimate partner violence scale for research and practice: Validation in a sample of transgender women*. *Social Science & Medicine*, 2021. **291**:114495.

Figure 1: Substance use by groups of poly-drug combinations: Left panel – ever use; Right panel – use in the last 3 months




Figure 2: Substance use by age: PUSH (Other substances include: Amphetamines, Cocaine, Hallucinogens, Sedatives, Opioids, Inhalants)

