Statistical modeling of chikungunya virus intra-vector infection dynamics in a French Aedes albopictus population reveals an explosive epidemic potential
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Abstract

Arbovirus emergence and epidemic potential, as approximated by the vectorial capacity formula, depends on several host and vector parameters including vector intrinsic ability to transmit the pathogen. Such ability, called vector competence is influenced by biotic (e.g. virus and vector genotype) and abiotic (e.g. temperature). Vector competence is often evaluated as a qualitative phenotype although it is a multipstep, time-dependent, quantitative phenotype. Combination of experimental and modelling approaches can i) capture intra-vector dynamics of arboviral infection and ii) use data to estimate arbovirus epidemic potential. Here, we measured individual Aedes albopictus (Lyon, France) mosquitoes infection, dissemination, and transmission rate upon oral exposure to chikungunya virus (CHIKV, La Reunion Island isolate) at eleven time-points from day 2 to day 20 post-exposure (dpe) for a range of CHIKV infectious doses spanning human viremia. Statistical modelisation indicates an explosive CHIKV intra-vector dynamics mainly due to an absence of dissemination barrier with 100% of the infected mosquitoes ultimately exhibiting a disseminated infection regardless of the viral dose. Transmission rate data revealed a time and dose-dependent but overall weak transmission barrier with individuals transmitting as soon as 2 dpe and >50% infectious mosquitoes at 6 dpe for the highest dose. Epidemiological simulations conducted with an Agent-Based Model based on experimental intra-vector dynamics data showed that even at low mosquito biting rates, CHIKV triggers explosive outbreaks. Together, this reveals the high epidemic potential of this CHIKV isolate with this French metropolitan population of Aedes albopictus.

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
Introduction 

Arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) are viruses transmitted to vertebrate hosts by blood-sucking arthropods. Dengue virus (DENV), yellow fever virus (YFV), Zika virus (ZIKV) and chikungunya virus (CHIKV) are mosquito-borne viruses of major public health importance, causing hundreds of millions of human infections each year worldwide associated with serious morbidity and mortality (Labeaud, Bashir & King, 2011; Bhatt et al., 2013). These viruses are primarily transmitted to humans by Aedes aegypti mosquitoes, although Aedes albopictus is often incriminated as a vector. These two vector species expand their native range to spread worldwide, putting half of the world’s population at risk of arbovirus transmission (Kraemer et al., 2019). Globalization and urbanization are two identified factors that promote arbovirus emergence by gathering hosts, pathogens and vectors together (Gubler, 2011; Grubaugh et al., 2019). Arbovirus spread is a multi-factorial, dynamic process that can be estimated using the vectorial capacity (VCap) model, that aims to determine the average number of infectious vector bites that arise per day from one infected host in a susceptible human population (Smith et al., 2012). The vector-centric component of VCap integrates mosquito ecological (density per host, survival) and behavioural (daily biting rate per human) factors along with mosquito-arbovirus interaction factors, namely vector competence (VComp) and extrinsic incubation period (EIP). VComp represents the ability of a mosquito, following an infectious blood meal, to develop midgut infection, disseminate the virus beyond the midgut barrier and subsequently retransmit the virus through the saliva during the next bite. According to the literature, VComp is impacted by biotic (mosquito genotype, virus genotype, virus dose...) and abiotic (temperature, ...) factors (Viglietta et al., 2021). VComp is often expressed as qualitative phenotype based on the proportion of infected, disseminated or, less frequently infectious mosquitoes at limited number (~1 to 3) of discrete time points. However, the dynamics of vector competence heterogeneity remains often masked, requiring modeling tools unveil it (Christofferson & Mores, 2011). Arbovirus transmission dynamics is shaped by the distribution of EIPs, the time required for the vector to become infectious. Such distribution can be implemented into epidemiological simulations together with other vectorial capacity estimates in order to capture arbovirus epidemic potential. Recently, ZIKV intra-vector dynamics was modelled highlighting its low epidemic potential in Aedes albopictus (Lequime et al., 2020b).

The Asian tiger mosquito, Ae. albopictus, is an invasive species that spread worldwide, its current distribution being likely to increase during the next 30 years partly due to urbanization and climate change (Kraemer et al., 2019). Arbovirus detection in field-collected specimens coupled to vector competence laboratory experiments support the permissiveness of the Asian tiger mosquito to numerous arboviruses (Gratz, 2004; Paupy et al., 2009). Ae. albopictus in an anthropophagous species (Fikrig & Harrington, 2021), with a trend to take several consecutive blood meals (Delatte et al., 2010) thereby increasing risk of pathogen transmission (Armstrong et al., 2019). Therefore, Ae. albopictus must be considered an important arbovirus notably in Europe, where it was designated as the primary vector during autochthonous circulation of DENV and CHIKV in Italy (Rezza et al., 2007; Venturi et al., 2017), Spain (Aranda et al., 2018) and mainland France (Delisle et al., 2015; Succo et al., 2016). As demonstrated for Ae. aegypti and DENV (Lambrechts, 2011), Ae. albopictus vector competence for CHIKV depends on the complex interaction between mosquito genotype, virus genotype and
environmental conditions (Zouache et al., 2014). The CHIKV 06.21 genotype (East-Central-South African lineage) from La Réunion outbreak in 2006 (Schuffenecker et al., 2006) is considered highly infectious for Ae. albopictus in several mosquito populations (Vazeille et al., 2007; Sanchez-Vargas et al., 2019). This isolate was found in mosquito saliva as soon as 2 days post exposure to an infectious blood meal (Dubrulle et al., 2009), in line with the presence of an A226V mutation in the viral E1 envelope that promotes virus dissemination in Ae. albopictus (Tsetsarkin et al., 2007). However, simultaneous testing of multiple Ae. albopictus populations for CHIKV 06.21 within a single vector competence assay underlined population-specific transmission (Vega-Rua et al., 2013, 2014). Several introductions events occurred leading to genetically diverse populations (Sherpa et al., 2019). A French Ae. albopictus population (Bar-sur-Loup, Alpes-Maritimes) was experimentally shown to transmit CHIKV 06.21 (Vega-Rua et al., 2013) and CHIKV isolates carrying the A226V mutation were identified in autochthonous human cases in France (Delisle et al., 2015; Calba et al., 2017), underlying a local emergence potential. Few studies explored the impact of intra-vector viral dynamics on arbovirus transmission although prior modelisation of CHIKV EIP found, based on literature data, that the 1-3 days average EIP was substantially overestimated for CHIKV 06.21-Ae. albopictus pair, this value being more ~8 days at the earliest (Christofferson et al., 2014). This gap might be at least partly explained by the virus dose, as it positively correlates with Ae. albopictus infection rate for CHIKV (Hurk et al., 2010) and could impact dissemination or transmission dynamics. In this context, measuring CHIKV intra-vector dynamics in Ae. albopictus upon a range of virus doses and how it influence CHIKV epidemic potential would help to better understand, anticipate and prevent disease emergence.

Here, we modelled the intra-vector dynamics of CHIKV 06.21 in a field-derived population of Ae. albopictus from France (Lyon city) according to human viremia related virus doses in the blood meal. After estimating CHIKV virema range in human blood based on literature data, we exposed Ae. albopictus mosquitoes to various doses representative of viral load in human blood. Individual mosquitoes were analysed from day 2 to day 20 post-exposure to CHIKV to determine infection, dissemination and transmission rates. This allowed us to model a dose-dependent intra-vector dynamics, to estimate the strength of vector infection, dissemination and transmission barriers and to access to the distribution of EIP according to the virus dose in the blood meal. These data were implemented in the agent-based model Nosoi (Lequime et al., 2020a) to estimate, using realistic vectorial capacity parameters, the epidemic potential of CHIKV in a French population of Ae. albopictus.

Materials and methods

Modeling chikungunya viremia in human

CHIKV RNA load in human blood along the course of infection in symptomatic patients were recovered from two studies. The first study monitored blood CHIKV viremia from a retrospective cohort of 102 febrile patients in Bandung, West Java, Indonesia between 2005 and 2009 (Riswari et al., 2015). The second study assessed CHIKV RNA viremic profile from 36 sera from day 1 to day 7 of illness during a CHIKV epidemic in 2009 in Thepa and Chana districts of Songkhla province, Thailand (Appassakij et al., 2013). For the second study, the blood CHIKV RNA load from individual patients was
not available therefore the median value was used. The viremia quantity data from RT-PCR was expressed on the logarithmic scale to the base 10 before the model fitting. The Wood’s gamma-type function was used to model the viremia dynamic. The function is given in equation:

$$y(t) = at^b e^{-ct}$$

where $y(t)$ represents the level of viremia in the blood at $t$ days post infection, with $a$, $b$ and $c$ representing constants linked to the viremia dynamic (Islam et al., 2013). Viremia data were originally expressed in time pre- or post- symptom onset while the model represent viremia as a function of time post infection. A fixed arbitrary median intrinsic incubation period of 6 days was added to each viremia time to standardize the time scale between the data and the model. This fixed incubation period falls into the estimated 2-10 days incubation range (Moloney et al., 2014) and was chosen to ensure that all observed viremia data occurred after infection. The model was fitted to the data using non-linear least-squares regression implemented in the nls function in the R environment (https://www.R-project.org/). Using this method, a possible intra-human CHIKV viremia dynamic with 95% confidence intervals was proposed.

### Chikungunya virus production and titration

The chikungunya virus (CHIKV) strain 06.21 from Indian Ocean lineage was isolated from a new-born serum sample with neonatal encephalopathy in La Réunion island, in 2005 (Schuffenecker et al., 2006). This highly passaged strain was amplified in *Aedes albopictus* cell line C6/36 as described (Raquin et al., 2015). CHIKV was inoculated at a multiplicity of infection of 0.01 on C6/36 cells in Leibovitz’s L-15 media (Gibco) with 10% (vol:vol) 1X Tryptose Phosphate Broth (Gibco), 10% (vol:vol) foetal bovine serum and 0.1% (vol:vol) 10,000 Units/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco). Cells were incubated for 3 days at 28°C before the supernatant was clarified by centrifugation for 5 min at 500 G and stored at -80°C as aliquots. CHIKV infectious titer was measured on C6/36 cells using fluorescent focus assay (Raquin et al., 2015). Briefly, 3 x 10^5 cells/well were inoculated in 96-well plates with 40 µL/well of viral inoculum after culture media removal and incubated for 1 h at 28°C. 150 µL/well of a mix 1:1 L-15 media and 3.2% medium viscosity carboxymethyl cellulose (Sigma) were added as an overlay prior to incubate the cells for 3 days at 28°C. After incubation, cells were fixed in 100 µL/well of 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min then rinsed 3 times in 100 µL/well of 1X Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (DPBS) (Gibco) prior to immune labelling. Cells were permeabilized for 30 min in 50 µL/well of 0.3% (vol:vol) Triton X-100 (Sigma) in 1X DPBS + 1% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA, Sigma) at room temperature then rinsed 3 times in 100 µL/well of 1X DPBS. A Semliki Forest virus anticapsid antibody diluted 1:600 in 1X DPBS + 1% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA, Sigma) was used as a primary antibody. Cells were incubated in 40 µL/well of primary antibody for 1 h at 37°C, rinsed 3 times in 100 µL/well of 1X DPBS then incubated in 40 µL/well of anti-mouse Alexa488 secondary antibody (Life Technologies) at 1:500 in 1X DPBS + 1% BSA for 30 min at 37°C. Cells were rinsed 3 times in 100 µL/well 1X DPBS then once in 100 µL/well tap water, stored at 4°C overnight prior to plate reading under Zeiss Colibri 7 fluorescence microscope at 10X objective. Plates were stored at 4°C protected from light. Infectious titer of the CHIKV 06.21 stock was 4.25 x 10^8 fluorescent focus unit (FFU) per mL.

### Mosquito colony
Mosquito larvae were collected in 2018 in breeding sites from three different sites around Lyon (Rhône, France). The population was maintained and amplified under standard laboratory conditions (28°C, 80% relative humidity, 16:8 hours light:dark cycle) using mice feeding for 10 generations (F10) prior to experiments. Eggs were hatched for 1 h in dechlorinated tap water and larvae were reared at 26°C (16:8 h light:dark cycle) at a density of 200 larvae in 23 x 34 x 7 cm plastic trays (Gilac) in 1.5 L of dechlorinated tap water supplemented with 0.1 g of a 3:1 (TetraMin tropical fish food:Bover yeast) powder every two days. Adults were maintained in 32.5 x 32.5 x 32.5 cm cages (Bugdorm) at 28°C, 80% relative humidity, 16:8 h light:dark cycle with permanent access to 10% sugar solution.

**Experimental mosquito exposure to CHIKV**

Four to 8-day old females were confined in 136 x 81 mm plastic feeding boxes (Corning-Gosselin) with ~60 females per box. Females were transferred in the level 3 biosafety facility (SFR AniRA, Lyon Gerland) at 26°C, 12:12 h light:dark cycle with no access to sugar solution 16 h before the infectious blood meal. The blood meal was composed of a 2:1 (vol:vol) mixture of washed human erythrocytes (multiple anonymous donors, EFS AURA, CODECOH DC-2019-3507) and viral suspension supplemented with 2% (vol:vol) of 0.5 M ATP, pH 7 in water (Sigma). Feeders (Hemotek) were covered with pig small intestine and filled with 3 mL of infectious blood mixture. Females were allowed to feed for 1 h at 26°C and blood aliquots were taken before (T0) and after (1h) the feeding and stored at -80°C for further titration (Figure S1). Mosquitoes were anesthetized on ice and fully engorged females were transferred in 1-pint cardboard containers (10-25 females/container) and maintained with 10% sucrose. Cardboard containers were placed in 18 x 18 x 18 inches cages (Bioquip) and kept in climatic chambers at 26°C, 70% humidity.

**Mosquito collection and CHIKV detection**

Individual mosquitoes were harvested between day 2 and day 20 post-exposure (dpe) to CHIKV. Saliva was collected first then head and bodies were recovered. Prior to saliva collection, mosquitoes were anesthetized on ice then legs and wings were removed under a stereomicroscope. Individuals were placed on plastic plate maintained by double-sided adhesive tape. The proboscis was inserted in a trimmed 10 µL filtered tip containing 10 µL of foetal bovine serum hold above the mosquito by modeling clay (Heitmann et al., 2018). Two µL of 1% pilocarpine hydrochloride (Sigma) supplemented with 0.1% Tween-20 (Sigma) in water were added on the thorax of each mosquito to promote salivation. Mosquitoes were allowed to salivate at 26°C, 80% relative humidity for 1 h. The foetal bovine serum containing the saliva was expelled in an ice-cold tube filled with 150 µL of DMEM media (Gibco) supplemented with antibiotics solution (Amphotericin B 2.5µg/ml, Nystatin 1/100, Gentamicin 50µg/ml, Penicillin 5U/ml and Streptomycin 5µg/ml (Gibco)). Following salivation, the head and the body of each mosquito were separated using a pin holder with a 0.15 mm minutien pins (FST). Heads and bodies were transferred in separate, individual grinding tubes containing 500 µL of DMEM supplemented with antibiotics (see above) and one 3-mm diameter tungsten bead (Qiagen). Samples were grinding on a 96-well adapter set for 2 x 1 min, 30 Hz using a TissueLyser (Qiagen) then stored at -80°C. CHIKV
detection was performed once on 40 to 50 µL of undiluted (raw) saliva, head and body sample using fluorescent focus assay (see above). Each mosquito sample was declared positive or negative for CHIKV in presence or absence of fluorescent signal, respectively. CHIKV prevalence was calculated as the proportion (in %) of mosquito samples (body, head or saliva) positive for virus signal. Each 96-well plate harboured positive (CHIKV viral stock) and negative (raw grinding media) controls. No signal was detected in negative controls and positive control wells were fully positive for CHIKV signal. Each plate was examined by two independent persons. Of note, saliva samples were deposited immediately (no freezing) on C6/36 cells in order to maximise CHIKV detection notably in samples with low viral load.

RNA isolation from saliva

Total RNA was isolated from 30 µL of saliva sample mixed with 70 µL of TRIzol (Life Technologies) and stored at -80°C as described (Raquin et al., 2017). After thawing samples on ice, 20 µL of chloroform (Sigma) were added. The tubes were mixed vigorously, incubated at 4°C for 5 min and centrifuged at 17,000 G for 15 min, 4°C. The upper phase was transferred in a new tube containing 60 µL isopropanol supplemented with 1 µL GlycoBlue (Life Technologies). Samples were mixed vigorously and stored at -80°C overnight to allow RNA precipitation. After 15 min at 17,000 G, 4°C the supernatant was discarded and the blue pellet was rinsed with 500 µL ice-cold 70% ethanol in water. The samples were centrifuged at 17,000 G for 15 min, 4°C, the supernatant was discarded and the RNA pellet was allowed to dry for 10 min at room temperature. Ten µL of RNase-free water (Gibco) were added and samples were incubated at 37°C for 10 min to solubilize RNA prior to transfer in RNase-free 96-well plates and storage at -80°C.

CHIKV RNA load quantification

Two µL of total RNA isolated from individual mosquito saliva was used as template in one-step TaqMan RT-qPCR assay. The QuantiTect Virus kit (Qiagen) was used to prepare the reaction mix in a final volume of 30 µL. The reaction solution consisted of 6 µL 5X master mix, 1.5 µL of primers (forward 5′- CCCGTAAGACGCGTGA-3′ and reverse 5′-CTTCCGGTATGTCGATGGAGAT-3′) and TaqMan probe (5′-6FAM-TGCGCCGATAGGAACATGCC-BHQ1-3′) mix at 0.4 µM and 0.2 µM final concentration respectively, 0.3 µL of 100X RT mix, 20.2 µL of RNase-free water (Gibco) and 2 µL of template RNA. RT-qPCR reaction was conducted on a Step One Plus machine (Applied) for 20 min at 50°C (RT step), 5 min at 95°C (initial denaturation) and 40 cycles with 15 s at 95°C and 45 s at 60°C. Serial dilutions of CHIKV 06.21 RNA from 1 x 10⁸ to 1 x 10¹ copies/µL were used as an external standard curve to allow estimation of CHIKV RNA load in saliva samples. Each plate contained duplicates of standard samples as well as negative controls and random saliva samples without reverse transcriptase (RT-), in duplicate. Samples with a Cq value outside the linear range of the standard curve or higher than the RT- controls were excluded from the analysis. Aliquots from the same standard were used for all the plates, and samples from a single time-point were measured on the same plate to facilitate sample comparison.
Statistical analysis

Mosquito infection (number of CHIKV-positive mosquito bodies / number engorged mosquitoes), dissemination (number of CHIKV-positive heads / number of CHIKV-positive bodies), transmission efficiency (number of CHIKV-positive saliva / number engorged mosquitoes) and transmission rate (number of positive CHIKV-saliva / number of CHIKV-positive heads) were analysed by logistical regression and considered as binary response variables. The time (day post-exposure) and virus dose (in \(\log_{10}\) FFU/mL) were considered as continuous explanatory variables in a full factorial generalized linear model with a binomial error and a logit link function. Logistic regression assumes a saturation level of 100% and could not be used to model the relationship between the probability of transmission (response variable) and the time post infection, the dose and their interaction (predictors).

We first estimated the saturation level (K) for each dose and subtracted the value \(N=\) number of mosquito with CHIKV dissemination \(x (100\% - K)\) to the number of mosquitoes without virus in their saliva at each time post virus exposure to artificially remove mosquitoes that would never ultimately transmit the virus from the dataset. Logistic regression was then used on these transformed data to predict transmission rates across time post virus exposure and the virus oral dose (Figure S2). Statistical significance of the predictors’ effects were assessed by comparing nested models using deviance analysis based on a chi-squared distribution. All the statistical analyses were performed under R environment and figures were created with the package ggplot2 within the Tidyverse environment (Wickham et al., 2019).

Epidemiological modeling using Nosoi

A series of stochastic agent-based model simulations were performed using the R package Nosoi as described (Lequime et al., 2020b). Briefly, transmission was considered only between infected mosquito and uninfected human, or between an infected human and an uninfected mosquito. Vertical and sexual transmission, and the impact of potential superinfection were ignored during the simulations. We assumed no particular structure within host and vector populations. It was assumed that humans do not die from infection and leave the simulation after they cure from infection (here 12 days). Mosquitoes daily probability of survival was set at 0.85, and human viremic profile followed our modelisation (Figure 1). Each human agent experienced a Poisson-like distribution of bites per day with a mean value manually set at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 or 60 based on field measurement of *Aedes albopictus* blood feeding behaviour (Delatte et al., 2010).
Results

Estimating CHIKV viremia in human by modelisation of clinical data.

We aimed to provide a realistic dynamic of CHIKV viremia in human based on viral load measured in sera from human cases, despite scarce data from literature. We found two studies (Appassakij et al., 2013; Riswari et al., 2015) providing time course of human CHIKV viremia, resulting in 5 patients from which blood samples were harvested at 3 to 6 different time points prior or post symptoms onset and analysed for viral load (Figure 1A). The CHIKV load ranged from $10^1$ to $6.14 \times 10^8$ PFU equivalent/mL with two patients displaying from $1.1 \times 10^1$ to $1.79 \times 10^3$ PFU equivalent/mL prior to symptoms onset. The viremia peak is reached between day 6 and 7 post-infection, corresponding to day 0 and 1 post-symptoms onset and ranges from $1.03 \times 10^7$ to $6.14 \times 10^8$ PFU equivalent/mL. CHIKV viremia is then rapidly decreasing, ranging at day 10-13 post-infection between $4.3 \times 10^1$ to $4.05 \times 10^2$ PFU equivalent/mL. Of note, one patient presented a detectable CHIKV viremia up to day 19 post-infection with a viral load at $10^1$ PFU equivalent/mL (Figure 1A). A Wood’s gamma-type function was fitted to the data to provide a model describing intra-human CHIKV loads as a function of time post infection.

Figure 1. Estimated time course of CHIKV load (in log$_{10}$ PFU equivalent/mL) in human blood as a function of time post infection. A Wood’s gamma-type function was used to model CHIKV viremia dynamics based on human viremia data. The black line represents model prediction using mean fit parameter values. Each dot represent a single experimental measurement, dot colors correspond to different patients ($n=5$). The grey ribbon represents upper and lower predicted values.

Ae. albopictus infection rate varies upon virus dose but not time.

Female Ae. albopictus from Lyon (France) were exposed to an human erythrocytes suspension containing three doses ($8.71 \times 10^4$, $1.17 \times 10^6$ and $4.2 \times 10^8$ FFU/mL) of CHIKV spanning the range of human viremia. Mosquito body infection rate increases with virus dose regardless of the time post-
exposure to CHIKV (Wald Chi-2, $P_{dose} = 1.1 \times 10^{-6}$, $P_{time} = 0.9$ and $P_{dose\times time} = 0.17$) (Figure 2A). As mosquito body infection rate depends on virus dose but not on time post-exposure, we fitted a logistic model to the data considering CHIKV blood meal titer as unique explanatory variable. A dose of $1.12 \times 10^6$ FFU/mL is required to infect 25% of the mosquitoes corresponding to the oral infectious dose 25% (OID25%). Mosquito body infection rate variation according to CHIKV oral dose follows a sigmoid pattern, with an OID50% estimated at $3.98 \times 10^6$ FFU/mL and an OID75% corresponding to $1.41 \times 10^6$ FFU/mL. Within a one log10 variation in virus dose (from $1 \times 10^6$ to $1 \times 10^7$ FFU/mL), mosquito body infection rate jumps from 25 to 75% to reach a plateau at ~100% above $3.16 \times 10^7$ FFU/mL (Figure 2B).

**Figure 2. Dose-dependent infection rate of Ae. albopictus mosquitoes exposed to CHIKV 06.21.**

(A) Proportion (in %) of Ae. albopictus female bodies positive for CHIKV infection (as determined by detection of infectious particles) at four days post-exposure (2, 6, 9 and 14) to three virus doses ($8.71 \times 10^6$, $1.17 \times 10^6$ and $4.2 \times 10^6$ FFU/mL) in the blood meal. The number of individual females analysed at each time point is indicated, with the 95% confidence interval associated. (B) Mosquito infection rate response to CHIKV dose in the blood meal. Blue dots correspond to the observed infection rate upon each of the three CHIKV oral dose tested. Dot size is proportional to the number of mosquitoes tested. The black line was obtained by fitting a logistic model to the data. The grey ribbon indicates the 95% confidence intervals. The oral infectious dose (OID) to infect 25%, 50% and 75% of the mosquitoes exposed to CHIKV is indicated (in log10 FFU/mL) with the associated standard error.

**Virus dose and time dependence of Ae. albopictus dissemination dynamics.**

The proportion of CHIKV positive heads among positive bodies (i.e. mosquito dissemination rate) was analysed using virus dose, time post-exposure and their interaction as explanatory variables. Both time and virus dose impact dissemination rate although not in interaction (Wald Chi-2, $P_{dose} = 8.29 \times 10^{-6}$, $P_{time} = 1.75 \times 10^{-6}$ and $P_{dose\times time} = 0.83$) (Figure 3A). Data showed that 100% dissemination was reached at dose $8.71 \times 10^4$ ($n=1/1$) and dose $4.2 \times 10^6$ ($n=18/18$) FFU/mL whereas 95.6% dissemination was reached at dose $1.17 \times 10^6$ FFU/mL ($n=22/23$). For the two highest virus doses, high dissemination values were measured as soon as day 6 (93.1% at dose $1.17 \times 10^6$ FFU/mL and 100% at dose $4.2 \times 10^6$ FFU/mL) whereas the maximum dissemination rate (100%) for dose $8.71 \times 10^4$ FFU/mL was obtained at day 9 post-exposure to CHIKV (Figure 3A). The estimated time to reach 50% dissemination
in *Ae. albopictus* females exposed to CHIKV was 7.5 days, 2.2 days and below 1 day for $8.71 \times 10^4$, $1.17 \times 10^6$ and $4.2 \times 10^8$ FFU/mL CHIKV doses in the blood meal, respectively. Dissemination dynamics within the mosquito vector was inferred from experimental data for a range of CHIKV oral dose from $1 \times 10^3$ to $1 \times 10^8$ FFU/mL (Figure 3B). Interestingly, all the CHIKV doses tested led to 100% dissemination within the time range used for predictions (up to 40 days) although it requires a longer time for the lowest virus doses tested. These data indicates that if a dissemination barrier exists in *Ae. albopictus* against CHIKV, it only slow down the dissemination process without preventing all infected mosquitoes to develop a disseminated infection.

**Figure 3. Dose-dependent dissemination rate of *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes exposed to CHIKV**

*06.21.* (A) Mosquito dissemination dynamics. Each dot corresponds to the proportion (in %) of *Ae. albopictus* female heads positive for CHIKV infection (as determined by detection of infectious particles) at four time points (2, 6, 9 and 14 days post-exposure) to three virus doses ($8.71 \times 10^4$, $1.17 \times 10^6$ and $4.2 \times 10^8$ FFU/mL) in the blood meal. No disseminated females could be detected at day 14 post-exposure at the lowest CHIKV oral dose ($8.71 \times 10^4$ FFU/mL). Dots size is proportional to the number of mosquitoes tested. Logistic regression was used to model the time-dependent effect of the virus dose on mosquito dissemination rate. Lines correspond to fit values with their 95% confidence intervals displayed as ribbons. The time needed to reach 50% dissemination is 7.5, 2.2 and <1 day for the $8.71 \times 10^4$, $1.17 \times 10^6$ and $4.2 \times 10^8$ FFU/mL CHIKV oral dose, respectively as indicated within each facet label. (B) Predicted dissemination dynamics according to virus dose and time post-exposure for a range of CHIKV blood meal titres ($1 \times 10^3$ to $1 \times 10^8$ FFU/mL).

**Time post-exposure impacts CHIKV transmission pattern and saliva viral load.**

In the light of previous data, we inferred mosquito transmission dynamics upon various CHIKV oral dose. To that aim, we monitored, at fine time scale, the presence of infectious CHIKV particles in individual mosquito saliva collected by forced salivation technique. This allowed us to measure the dynamics of virus transmission, estimate extrinsic incubation period (EIP) and monitor individual viral load in saliva. Within this experimental design, only two viral doses were tested arbitrarily designed intermediate ($4.8 \times 10^5$ FFU/mL) and high ($1.14 \times 10^8$ FFU/mL) to allow the collection of individual mosquitoes head and saliva at eleven time points (day 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14, 17 and 20 post-exposure) that spanned the major part of mosquito expected lifespan. The proportion of CHIKV-positive
saliva among positive bodies (i.e. transmission rate) was analysed using virus dose, time post-exposure and their interaction as explanatory variables. Only time impacts transmission rate (Wald Chi-2, $P_{time} = 0.0037$, $P_{dose} = 0.18$, and $P_{dose*time} = 0.8$) (Figure 4A). Infectious saliva samples were detected as soon as day 2 post-exposure to CHIKV, with 33% transmission rate at dose $4.8 \times 10^5$ FFU/mL ($n=1/3$) and 14% at dose $1.14 \times 10^8$ FFU/mL ($n=2/14$). From day 2 post-exposure, the transmission rate tends to increase following a sigmoid shape, reaching a plateau around 60% for both doses ($4.8 \times 10^5$ and $1.14 \times 10^8$ FFU/mL) (Figure 4A). The time needed to reach 50% infectious mosquitoes, i.e. Extrinsic Incubation Period 50% (EIP$_{50}$) was 7.5 and 3.5 days for dose $4.8 \times 10^5$ and $1.14 \times 10^8$ FFU/mL, respectively. The proportion of mosquitoes that would never ultimately transmit the virus were artificially removed from the dataset based on predicted saturation level at each dose (i.e. 40% of mosquitoes without virus in their saliva were removed at each time post infection) to ensure a saturation level of 100%, a prerequisite to apply logistic regression analysis on these data. Logistic regression was used on transformed data to predict transmission rates across a range of oral virus doses and times post virus infection. Supplementary figure S2 describes the cumulative proportion of mosquitoes with a systemic infection reaching infectiousness (i.e. EIP) over time post infection for a given dose (Figure S2).

We questioned if the amount of CHIKV in the saliva could be associated with the oral dose mosquitoes were challenged with. Total RNA was isolated from individual saliva samples, for the $4.8 \times 10^5$ and $1.14 \times 10^8$ FFU/mL doses at each time point, then CHIKV RNA load was measured by TaqMan RT-qPCR assay and analysed according to virus dose and time post-exposure (Figure 4B). Only the time post-exposure had a significant effect indicating an overall decrease of viral load in mosquito saliva over time regardless of the initial dose of exposure despite important inter-individual variations (Anova, $P_{time} = 0.006$, $P_{dose} = 0.66$ and $P_{dose*time} = 0.94$). Of note, when analysing all the saliva positive for CHIKV RNA including samples with no detectable infectious virus, analysis showed that CHIKV RNA load depends both on time post-exposure and, to a lesser extent, virus dose (Anova, $P_{time} = 0.047$, $P_{dose} = 0.01$ and $P_{dose*time} = 0.77$) (Figure S3).

**Figure 4. Transmission dynamics of CHIKV 06.21 by *Ae. albopictus*. (A) Mosquito transmission dynamics. Each dot corresponds to the proportion (in %) of *Ae. albopictus* female saliva positive for**
CHIKV infection (as determined by detection of infectious particles) at ten time points (2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14, and 20 days post-exposure) for two virus doses (4.8 x 10^5 and 1.14 x 10^6 FFU/mL) in the blood meal. Dots size is proportional to the number of saliva tested. (B) The CHIKV RNA load of each individual saliva scored positive for infectious CHIKV was measured by TaqMan RT-qPCR assay using a synthetic RNA as standard then expressed in log_{10} RNA copies/saliva. Each dot within represents a saliva sample from an individual mosquito exposed to the indicated CHIKV oral dose (in log_{10} FFU/mL).

Simulation of CHIKV epidemic upon dose-dependent intra-vector dynamics

A stochastic agent-based model (ABM) was used to assess the epidemiological impact of within-host CHIKV dynamics using the R package Nosoi, as done previously for ZIKV (Lequime et al., 2020b). Starting with one infected human in a population of susceptible humans and mosquitoes, the model simulates CHIKV transmissions according to human viremia and the associated probability of mosquito infection and virus transmission timeliness (EIP). The model was run 100 independent times over 365 days for a range of eleven mean individual mosquito biting rates (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 60 independent mosquitoes biting per person per day). Simulations led to a high proportion of sustainable epidemics (>100 secondary infections) even under a low mosquito biting rate (Figure 5).

**Figure 5. Influence of dose-dependent intra-mosquito CHIKV dynamics on outbreak simulations with various levels of mosquito bites.** Stochastic agent-based epidemiological simulations considering within-host infection dynamics on transmission probability during mosquito-human infectious contacts were performed in 100 independent replicates. A total of 11 mosquito bite intensity levels were tested: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 60 bites per human per day. (A) Stacked proportions of outbreaks resulting in no secondary infected human host, infected human hosts < 100 and infected human hosts ≥ 100. (B) Cumulative number of infected humans over time. Each curve represents a simulation run. (C) Violin plots showing the number of secondary cases values densities for each intensity of mosquito exposure.
Our work uncovers the intra-vector dynamics of CHIKV in *Ae. albopictus* upon a range of virus doses that are representative of human CHIKV viraemia. Few data are available on the time course of viraemia in individual humans, this parameter being rather determined at a few discrete time-points and often in pooled blood samples. Available studies suggest that human viremia is short (up to 12 days) with viral load ranging from $10^3$ to $10^{10}$ RNA copies / mL, or $10^4$ to $10^7$ as expressed in PFU/mL (Lanciotti et al., 2007; Laurent et al., 2007; Panning et al., 2008). Our modelisation of human viraemia in blood, although based on limited studies, match these data and also shows a similar pattern to non-human primates in which CHIKV 06.21 viremia last up to 5-7 days post-exposure, with detectable viral RNA at soon as day 1. The CHIKV 06.21 load in the macaques blood ranges between $10^4$ to $10^9$ viral RNA copies / mL with a peak at day 2 post-exposure (Labadie et al., 2010; Messaoudi et al., 2013). However, our CHIKV viremia modelisation in human blood post infection is not representing the average viremia load post infection in human and is just provided as an example to help the interpretation of CHIKV vector competence data. More individual viremia data, expressed as infectious particles per mL, would be needed to assess an accurate intra human viremia.

Dose-response experiments in mosquitoes confirmed that CHIKV infection initiation in mosquito midgut directly depends on the initial number of viral particles in the blood meal, independently of the time as shown for ZIKV (Lequime et al., 2020b). Interestingly, despite very close infectious dose for 50% of the mosquitoes (OID$_{50\%}$) i.e. 5.6 log$_{10}$ FFU/mL for CHIKV and 5.62 log$_{10}$ FFU/mL for ZIKV, CHIKV dissemination is more explosive compare to ZIKV (Lequime et al., 2020b). Study of CHIK from Asian lineage in *Ae. aegypti* suggested that viral replication kinetics in the midgut, in link with 3'UTR viral region supports viral dissemination rather than viral load (Merwaiss et al., 2020). In our study, we found that even at the lowest oral dose, that corresponds to a medium-low value in human viraemia, all the individuals presented a disseminated infection. This underscores that the dissemination potential is a key feature for arboviruses that should be carefully characterized. Indeed, this suggest that even a few mosquitoes exposed to low viraemia CHIKV humans will become infected they will transmit the virus. Transmission is usually used as a proxy of viral transmission potential while ignoring the salivary gland infection and escape barrier. To our knowledge, our study is the first to analyse transmission dynamics by scoring individual saliva samples from day 2 to day 20. We found that the saliva barrier was low as ~50% of the mosquitoes were found with at least one viral particle in the saliva from day 6 to day 20 post CHIKV exposure. We also highlighted that transmission was quick, as we detected positive mosquito saliva as soon as day 2 post-exposure (~12%) as previously reported (Dubrulle et al., 2009) although no mosquitoes was collected on the day after virus exposure. A recent study on longitudinal CHIKV expectoration in saliva by *Ae. aegypti* using non-sacrificial method showed an on/off presence of virus in saliva underlying questioning viral persistence in mosquito tissues (Mayton et al., 2021). We uncovered that virus load in individual mosquitoes depends on both time post-exposure and viral dose. It suggests that elder mosquitoes transmitted overall a lower dose, and that the virus dose in the blood meal could influence a global mosquito "infection state" that impacts transmission.
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