medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Confinement or internment? Aeromedical retrieval of pregnant people in labour: A retrospective observational study

Authors

Bridget Honan[1] MBBS FACEM (0000-0003-0984-9708)

Breeanna Spring[2,3] MMedHlthScRes, RM, RN, (000-0001-6872-6885)

Fergus William Gardiner[2, 4] PhD medicine MBA (0000-0001-7592-832X)

Cheryl Durup[1,5] MBBS

Ajay Venkatesh[1,5,6] MBBS (0000-0002-1058-5108)

Jessica McInnes[2] GDipMid, RM, RN (000-002-4702-8444)

Rebecca Schultz[5, 7] BA, BNg, GCDiab, MRP, MACN (0000-0001-5589-9680)

Shahid Ullah[8] (0000-0003-0010-2640)

Richard Johnson[5,8,9] MBBS, MRCS, DTM&H, FRCEM, FACEM (0000-0002-5373-1523)

Institution and Affiliations

1. Central Australian Retrieval Service, Alice Springs, NT, Australia
2. Royal Flying Doctor Service of Australia, Canberra, ACT, Australia
3. Molly Wardaguga Research Centre, College of Nursing and Midwifery, Charles Darwin University, Darwin, NT, Australia
4. University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia
5. Alice Springs Hospital, Alice Springs, NT, Australia
6. School of Medicine and Dentistry, Griffith University, Southport, QLD, Australia
7. Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, WA, Australia
8. School of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University, Bedford Park, SA, Australia
9. Baker Institute, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

*Corresponding author: Dr Bridget Honan, bridget.honan@nt.gov.au

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Abstract

Introduction:

The aim of this study was to describe the characteristics and outcomes of remote-dwelling pregnant people with threatened labour referred for aeromedical retrieval to a regional birthing centre, as well as factors associated with birth within 48 hours.

Methods:

This was a retrospective observational study of all pregnant people in the remote Central Australian region referred to the Medical Retrieval Consultation and Coordination Centre for labour >23 weeks gestation, between 12 February 2018 – 12 February 2020. Data was extracted manually from written medical records on maternal, neonatal and retrieval mission characteristics. Univariate and multivariate statistical analysis was performed.

Results: There were 116 people referred for retrieval for labour. There were no births during transport and less than half of the cases in this cohort resulted in birth within 48 hours of retrieval. Tocolysis was frequently used. Predictors of birth with 48 hours were cervical dilatation 5cm or more, preterm gestational age and ruptured membranes in the univariate analysis. Nearly one-third of this cohort required intervention or had complications during birth.

Discussion:

Birth during transport for threatened labour did not occur in this cohort, and more than half of retrievals did not result in birth within 48 hours, however the high risk of birth complications may offset any benefit of avoiding aeromedical transport from remote regions. Retrieval clinicians should have a lower threshold for urgent transfer in cases of ruptured membranes, cervical dilatation of 5cm or more, or gestational age is less than 37 weeks.

Keywords: Air Ambulances; Rural Health Services; Obstetric Labour, Premature

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Introduction

Pregnant people living in rural and remote areas of Australia have less access to maternity services than those living in metropolitan areas (1,2) have higher rates of obstetric complications including premature birth (1,3–7), and are reliant on aeromedical retrieval services for unplanned care (2,8,9). Despite preterm labour being one of the most common reasons for aeromedical retrieval in Australia, and poorer health status for rural and remote Australians, there is little published literature on maternal and infant outcomes following aeromedical retrieval for labour (10).

Birthing services in Australia are designed around regionalised models of care, with specialised services located vast distances from remote communities. Specialised care reduces maternal and infant morbidity and mortality, such as stillbirth and neonatal death, particularly amongst mothers and babies with risk of complications and prematurity (11). However, this model of care displaces pregnant people from their local communities, families, customs, and traditional birthing practices, which detrimentally impacts on cultural safety and wellbeing (12,13). For this reason, it is imperative to avoid unnecessary transfers.

Understanding the trajectory of labour and predicting time-to-birth is important to inform decision-making about timing of retrieval and other treatment options including tocolysis and steroids for foetal lung maturation (14). Furthermore, decisions about the timing of aeromedical evacuation of a pregnant person in suspected labour involve prioritising limited retrieval resources whilst avoiding birth during transport. Knowledge of the incidence of birth complications in this cohort, including post-partum haemorrhage and surgical delivery, is also important in planning for obstetric emergencies and services in rural and remote areas.

A recent scoping review found that birth during aeromedical transport of pregnant women in labour from remote areas is very rare, but little is known about predictors of time-to-birth, and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

there is a need for data on this topic to understand the needs of pregnant people with threatened labour located remotely from a birthing centre (10).

The primary objective of this study is to describe the maternal and infant characteristics and outcomes for people referred to the retrieval service for labour in a geographically remote area. The secondary objectives are to report time-to-birth and associated factors.

Methods

Setting

This study was conducted in Central Australia, a region of 1.6 million square kilometres and a population of 60,000 people, of which more than half live in remote communities. The catchment area encompasses Alice Springs, Barkly and APY lands areas as defined by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (15) . The Alice Springs Hospital is the regional hospital with 180 beds, including maternity and paediatric services and an Intensive Care Unit, but no specialist neonatal services.(16)

The medical facilities in Central Australian remote communities consist of an outpatient clinic and an emergency room, without any capacity to admit inpatients. Staffing in remote community clinics is Remote Area Nurse led, with the largest communities having resident or visiting primary care doctors and/or midwives. None of the referring centres are designated as birthing facilities and do not provide maternity services. Local policy requires pregnant people to travel to Alice Springs prior to birth to access maternity services (8,17).

The Medical Retrieval and Consultation Centre (MRaCC) is staffed by critical care medical specialists who coordinate the retrieval response to medical emergencies in the Central Australian region. The details of MRaCC can be found elsewhere (18). The service also coordinates transfers of patients from the Alice Springs hospital to the quaternary hospitals located interstate, such as the Royal Adelaide Hospital.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

The retrieval service utilises fixed-wing aircraft and crew available over 4 shifts in a 24-hour period provided by the Royal Flying Doctor Service (RFDS)(19). The crew may consist of Flight Nurses (dual trained Registered Nurse and Registered Midwives) and Retrieval Doctors (who are trained in critical care specialities such as Emergency Medicine however may have limited skills in obstetric or neonatal care). A paediatrician may join the retrieval team if the risk of birth is thought to be significant.

Participants

All pregnant people with an estimated gestational age of >23 weeks who were referred to MRaCC for retrieval due to labour at the time of referral, were eligible for inclusion. The criteria for labour are necessarily subjective, because in most cases, the assessment and referral are performed by someone without expertise in midwifery. Typical symptoms of labour include onset of regular painful contractions.

Participants were included if they required a retrieval from 12 February 2019 – 12 February 2020. This period was chosen because it coincides with the commencement of the MRaCC model of retrieval coordination in the region, and avoids potential anomalies associated with onset of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Participants were excluded from analysis if the reason for referral for retrieval was rupture of membranes or vaginal bleeding without signs or symptoms of labour, or if they were referred for interhospital transfer to a specialist neonatal facility, without any signs of symptoms of labour. Participants were also excluded if they were referred for foetal death-in-utero or not thought to be of viable gestational age.

Outcomes

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Time-to-birth was defined as the time interval between onset of signs or symptoms of labour (such as strong regular contractions), and the time of birth, and categorised as occurring either within 48 hours or more than 48 hours after onset of labour. This cut-point was chosen because birth may be delayed by medical interventions for 48 hours to optimise neonatal condition (for example, steroids for foetal lung maturation). Births after 48 hours suggest that the diagnosis of threatened labour may not have been accurate (20). Outcomes are shown in Table 1.

Data sources

Data were manually extracted from electronic and paper medical records by two retrieval doctors (CD and AV) into a spreadsheet. The following sources were used: retrieval service databases (for information pertaining to retrieval service delivery including time of referral and use of medications); primary care medical records (for information on antenatal care, maternal characteristics, and initial assessment of threatened labour) and hospital medical records (for information on obstetric care and birth outcomes).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata statistical software, version 16.1. Participant's characteristics at birth were expressed as absolute and relative frequencies for categorical variables and were compared between exposure groups using a standard Chi-square test for association with continuity correction, where appropriate. Mean and standard deviation (SD) for continuous data were also be presented and an independent sample t test was used to explore the significant differences of patients' characteristics between groups. Data normality was visually checked using frequency histograms and normal Q-Q plot. For non-normally distributed data, median and interquartile ranges (IQR) was also be reported. A logistic regression model was applied to examine the time to birth outcome between exposure groups. The time to birth was categorised into <=48 hours and >48 hours measured from the time of onset of labour to the time of birth.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Univariate models were first performed without adjustment. Then, multivariate analysis was undertaken, by adding covariates considered clinically important and statistically significant from the univariate comparisons, to adjust for confounding between variables. The estimates were calculated using the maximum likelihood method and were expressed as odds ratios (ORs).

Model diagnostics and goodness of fit were evaluated by Receiver Operator Characteristic (ROC) curves. The two-sided test was performed for all analysis and the level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

There were 5245 referrals to MRACC during the study period, of which 183 were categorised as obstetric-related retrievals. Of these, 116 (63.4%) referrals were for in labour. 4 cases were excluded due to missing time and location of birth.

Participant characteristics and outcomes are shown in Table 1.

Retrieval mission time from referral to arrival at hospital was a median of 5.7 hours (IQR 4.5-7.6). In terms of interventions, cervical examination was performed in 59.5% of cases, and tocolysis was given in 78.4% of cases.

73.9% of participants were of preterm gestational age at the time of retrieval, although 38.4% infants were premature at time of birth. The median time-to-birth was 64 hours (IQR 18 – 619 hours). Overall, 46 participants (45%) delivered within 48 hours of onset of labour. There were 4 births before hospital.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Complications or interventions during birth included instrumentation in 7.1% of births, caesarean section in 24.1% of births and PPH in 29.5% of births. 2 participants required blood transfusion during transport. There were no maternal deaths.

In the univariate analysis, factors that were significantly associated with birth within 48 hours were premature gestational age at time of referral (<37 weeks), ruptured membranes or cervical dilatation of 5cm or more (Table 2). In the multivariate analysis, only ruptured membranes remained significantly associated with birth within 48 hours (Table 3). Cervical examination was not included due to no cases of cervical dilatation >5cm birthing after 48 hours.

Table 1. Participant characteristics and outcomes

Characteristics	**N (%)**
Transfer characteristics	
Retrievals	116
Suspected preterm labour (<37 weeks gestation)	85 (73.9%)
Time from referral to first retrieval contact, h, Median (IQR)	3.3 (2.8 - 4.8)
Time from referral to arrival time at maternity hospital, h, Median (IQR)	5.7 (4.5-7.6)
> 1 retrieval during same pregnancy	8 (6.9%)
Maternal characteristics at time of retrieval	
Median mother age, y, Median (IQR)	25 (21.8-30)
Nulliparous (parity = 0)	37 (31.9%)
Multiparous (parity >=1)	79 (68.1%)
BMI >35 at time of birth, kg/m2	23 (22.5%)
Diabetes	16 (13.8%)
Hypertension	9 (7.8%)
Rheumatic heart disease confirmed or suspected	11 (9.5%)

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Maternal assessment during prehospital encounter	
Gestational age <37 weeks at time of referral	85 (73.9%)
Suspected uterine infection (defined as any of: documented fever >38C, uterine tenderness or purulent vaginal discharge).	21 (18.1%)
Ruptured membranes	46 (39.7%)
Cervical examination performed	69 (59.5%)
Cervical dilatation <5cm (if examined)	58 (84.1%)
Cervical dilatation >=5cm (if examined)	11 (15.9%)
Treatments given prehospital or during retrieval	
Tocolysis given prehospital or during transport	91 (78.4%)
Nifedipine given prehospital or during transport	90 (98.9%)
Magnesium given prehospital or during transport	1 (1.1%)
Tocolysis given when estimated gestational age 35+ weeks	37 (32.2%)
Tocolysis given in presence of suspected uterine infection	17 (14.8%)
Requiring a blood transfusion during retrieval	2 (1.7%)
Magnesium with gestational age <30 weeks at time of referral	0
Steroids given with EGA <35 weeks at time of referral	41 (35.7%)
Antibiotics given	67 (57.8%)
Opioid analgesia given	20 (17.5%)
Crew mix	
Retrieval doctor accompanied mission	101 (87.1%)
Pediatrician accompanied mission	4 (3.4%)
Birth characteristics and outcomes	
Time-to-birth from onset of labour, h, Median (IQR)	64 (17.5 – 618.6)
Birth within 48 hours of onset of labour	46 (45.1%)
No details about birth available	4 (3.4%)
Birth location	

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Hospital	98 (87.5%)
Clinic or hospital without maternity services	13 (11.6%)
Home	1 (0.9%)
Retrieval platform	0
Birth complications	
Instrumental vaginal delivery	8 (7.1%)
Lower uterine Segment Caesarean Section	27 (24.1%)
PPH occurred	33 (29.5%)
Premature birth	
Born at premature gestation (<37 weeks)	43 (38.4%)

Note. Number and percentages are reported unless stated otherwise; IQR Interquartile range

Table 2. Association between birth within 48 hours and other variables using univariate logistic regression analysis

Characteristic	**Number**	**<=48 hours (n=46)**	**>48 hours (n=56)**	**p-value**
Multiparous (1 or more prior births)	71/102	32 (45.1%)	39 (54.9%)	>0.9
BMI >35	20/91	7 (35.0%)	13 (65.0%)	0.4
Diabetes	14/102	5 (35.7%)	9 (64.3%)	0.4
Hypertension	5/102	1 (20.0%)	4 (80.0%)	0.4
Opioid analgesia used during prehospital encounter	17/100	11 (64.7%)	6 (35.3%)	0.073
Tocolysis given during prehospital encounter	82/102	34 (41.5%)	48 (58.5%)	0.14
Suspected uterine infection	20/102	11 (55.0%)	9 (45.0%)	0.3

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Ruptured membranes	39/102	29 (74.4%)	10 (25.6%)	<0.001
Premature gestational age at time of referral (<37 weeks)	37/102	23 (62.2%)	14 (37.8%)	0.009
Cervical dilatation >=5cm if cervical examination performed	11/64	11(100%)	0 (0.0%)	<0.001

Note: Missing data is excluded from analysis

P values are based on Pearson's Chi-squard test and Fisher's exact test

Table 3. Multivariate logistic regression model of time to birth <=48 hours for maternal characteristics at birth

Characteristic	**O**R	**9**5% CI	**p-value**
Multiparous (1 or more prior births)	0.81	0.27, 2.37	0.7
BMI >35	0.83	0.20, 3.27	0.8
Diabetes	1.65	0.30, 9.23	0.6
Hypertension	0.64	0.02, 12.3	0.7
Opioid analgesia used during prehospital encounter	2.52	0.62, 11.3	0.2
Tocolysis given during prehospital encounter	0.36	0.09, 1.36	0.2
Suspected uterine infection	1.31	0.38, 4.52	0.7
Ruptured membranes	9.02	3.24,	<0.001

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

		28.4	
Premature gestational age at time of referral (<37 weeks)	2.65	0.8, 8.82	0.10

Discussion

In this retrospective observational study of pregnant people in labour referred to an aeromedical retrieval service for transport to a birthing centre, there were no births during transport despite long transport times, and more than half did not deliver within 48 hours of onset of symptoms of labour. However, the high level of maternal comorbidity and birth complications in this cohort are important considerations for remote maternity and retrieval services.

Previous studies on aeromedical retrieval for people in labour suggest that birth during transport is rare (21,22). One study from Western Australia found that no people transported for imminent risk of preterm birth delivered during the aeromedical transport (23). Similarly, another study in the top end of the Northern Territory of Australia found that there were 5 births in the remote clinic, 1 at the remote airstrip and 1 in the ambulance between the clinic and the destination hospital, but no births in-flight (24). In metropolitan retrieval services, retrieval physicians are rarely involved in birth or obstetric interventions (21,25).

Even with specialist assessment, predicting the trajectory of labour can be inaccurate. Some studies have shown that less than 10% of people with a clinical diagnosis of preterm labour will birth within 7 days of initial presentation (26,27), and almost 75% will birth at term (28). In this cohort, 49% of retrievals for threatened preterm labour ultimately birthed at term.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Pre-hospital and retrieval medicine experts recommend that a patient with cervical dilatation greater than 5cm, should not be transferred due to the risk of precipitous birth in-flight(14). One study of rural women transferred to a tertiary hospital for signs of preterm labour showed that cervical dilatation (in conjunction with nulliparity and regular contractions) was associated with shorter time-to-birth(29). In nearly half of all cases in this cohort, no cervical examination was performed, possibly due to lack of confidence in performing the exam, or because the examination would not change the need for retrieval. In cases where cervical examination was performed, all women who had cervical dilatation >5cm delivered within 48 hours.

In our univariate analysis, premature gestational age or ruptured membranes were significantly associated with birth within 48 hours in the univariate analysis. However, in the multivariate analysis, only ruptured membranes remained significantly associated with birth within 48 hours. Although there is conflicting data on whether preterm prelabour rupture of membranes is associated with shorter time-to-birth(29–32), ruptured membranes in conjunction with uterine activity has been shown to predict birth within 7 days(33).

The high proportion of continuing pregnancies after initial retrieval suggest there is a high degree of over-triage and potentially avoidable transfers. The threshold for retrieval is low because of the limited access to life-saving obstetric interventions in remote settings. This is reflected in the high rate of maternal and neonatal complications demonstrated in this cohort, with PPH occurring in 29.5% of births, and instrumental or surgical birth in 31.2% of births. This compares unfavourably to other Australian data, for example, with rate of PPH in Queensland previously reported at 6.9%(34). Similarly, a case series of 4 in-flight births over a 4-year period in the Northern Territory found a high rate of morbidity and mortality, including 1 case of APH, 2 cases of PPH, 3 cases of neonatal resuscitation and 1 neonatal death 2 hours after birth (35), illustrating the rationale for urgent transfer to avoid outborn birth.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

It is therefore not surprising that tocolysis was used liberally in this cohort. We found that tocolysis was used in cases of advanced gestations, suspected uterine infection or cervical dilatation. In most tertiary hospital guidelines, tocolysis is relatively contraindicated in these situations, because the risk of prolonging the pregnancy is greater than the risk of birth. This illustrates the need for clinical practice guidelines that reflect rural and remote practice, for remote emergency clinicians (11). A clinical trial is currently investigating the risks and benefits of administering tocolysis after preterm prelabour rupture of membranes, with important implications for rural and remote maternity services (36).

The high rate of continuing pregnancy after transfer also illustrates a potentially burdensome intervention for remote-dwelling people who are removed from their community and country for no medical obstetric benefit, which may result in cultural harms (12,13). There is some evidence to suggest that use of foetal fibronectin testing could be used to predict risk of preterm birth in pregnant people presenting to remote health services, thus reducing aeromedical transfers for premature labour(32,37–39).

For retrieval services, there is insufficient data from this study to make strong recommendations about prioritisation and timing of aeromedical retrievals for remote-dwelling pregnant people in labour, although the data suggests that there should be a lower threshold for urgent transfer in cases of ruptured membranes, cervical dilatation of 5cm or more, or gestational age is less than 37 weeks.

For rural healthcare services, the findings suggest potential targets for service delivery improvement, such as access to culturally appropriate local antenatal services, to reduce the rate of unnecessary transfers and reduce obstetrical complications.

Limitations

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

As this was an observational study, association cannot be used to infer causation. The sample size was small and had missing data, which limits confidence in the findings and prevented controlling for confounders.

Conclusion

Birth during transport for labour did not occur in this cohort, and more than half of retrievals did not result in birth within 48 hours, however the high risk of birth complications may offset the benefit of avoiding aeromedical transport from remote regions. Retrieval clinicians should have a lower threshold for urgent transfer in cases of ruptured membranes, cervical dilatation of 5cm or more, or gestational age is less than 37 weeks.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

References

1. Rolfe MI, Donoghue DA, Longman JM, Pilcher J, Kildea S, Kruske S, et al. The distribution of maternity services across rural and remote Australia: does it reflect population need? BMC Health Serv Res. 2017 Dec;17(1):163.

2. Gonzalez-Chica D, Gillam M, Williams S, Sharma P, Leach M, Jones M, et al. Pregnancy-related aeromedical retrievals in rural and remote Australia: national evidence from the Royal Flying Doctor Service. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021 Dec;21(1):390.

3. Australian Government Department of Health. Pregnancy Care Guidelines [Internet]. Clinical Practice Guidelines: Pregnancy Care. Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care; 2018 [cited 2022 Oct 19]. Available from: https://www.health.gov.au/resources/pregnancy-care-guidelines

4. Australian Government Department of Health. Pregnancy, birth and baby [Internet]. Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care. Australian Government Department of Health and Aged Care; 2017 [cited 2022 Oct 19]. Available from: https://www.health.gov.au/health-topics/pregnancy-birth-and-baby

5. Gardiner FW, Richardson A, Roxburgh C, Gillam M, Churilov L, McCuaig R, et al. Characteristics and in-hospital outcomes of patients requiring aeromedical retrieval for pregnancy, compared to non-retrieved metropolitan cohorts. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 2021 Aug;61(4):519–27.

6. Steenkamp M, Rumbold A, Barclay L, Kildea S. A population-based investigation into inequalities amongst Indigenous mothers and newborns by place of residence in the Northern territory, Australia. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2012 Jun 9;12(1):44.

7. Tyer-Viola LA, Lopez RP. Pregnancy with chronic illness. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs JOGNN. 2014 Feb;43(1):25–37.

8. Schultz R, Lockey R, Oats J. Birthing in the Barkly: births to Barkly women in 2010. Rural Remote Health [Internet]. 2013 Sep 19 [cited 2022 Oct 23]; Available from: https://www.rrh.org.au/journal/article/2396

9. Margolis SA, Ypinazar VA. Aeromedical retrieval for critical clinical conditions: 12 years of experience with the Royal Flying Doctor Service, Queensland, Australia. J Emerg Med. 2009 May;36(4):363–8.

10. McInnes J, Honan B, Johnson R, Durup C, Venkatesh A, Gardiner F, Schultz R, Walsh, B. Medical retrieval of pregnant women in labour: A scoping review. Australas Emerg Care. 2022;

11. Spring Walsh B, Gardiner FW, Bloxsome D, Ford D, Mills BW, Laws SM. A Cohort Comparison Study on Women in Threatened Preterm Labor Given Nifedipine or Nifedipine and Salbutamol Tocolysis in Air Medical Retrieval. Air Med J. 2022 May 1;41(3):298–302.

12. Felton-Busch C, Larkins S. Remote dwelling Aboriginal Australian women and birthing: A critical review of literature. Women Birth J Aust Coll Midwives. 2019 Feb;32(1):6–15.

13. Ireland S, Maypilama E □äwurrpa, Roe Y, Lowell A, Kildea S. Caring for Mum On Country: Exploring the transferability of the Birthing On Country RISE framework in a

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

remote multilingual Northern Australian context. Women Birth. 2021 Sep 1;34(5):487–92.

14. Evans C, Creaton APA, Kennedy M, Martin T, Evans C, Creaton APA, et al., editors. Retrieval Medicine. Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press; 2016. 704 p. (Oxford Specialist Handbooks).

15. Australian Bureau of Statistics. Search Census data | Australian Bureau of Statistics [Internet]. [cited 2022 Oct 20]. Available from: https://www.abs.gov.au/census/find-census-data/search-by-area

16. Alice Springs Hospital [Internet]. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. [cited 2022 Oct 20]. Available from: https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports-data/myhospitals/hospital/h0738

17. Government NT. Midwife services [Internet]. https://nt.gov.au; 2016 [cited 2022 Oct 20]. Available from: https://nt.gov.au/wellbeing/pregnancy-birthing-and-child-health/birthing-services-central-australia/midwife-services

18. Russell DJ, Johnson R, Fitts M, Mathew S, Liddle Z, Reeve D, Zhao Y, Green D, Honan B, Niclasen P, Wakerman J. Evaluation of the Medical Retrieval and Consultation Centre (MRaCC) and Remote Outreach Consultation Centre (ROCC) Report. Menzies School of Health Research, Charles Darwin University; 2022.

19. Royal Flying Doctor Service: 90 years of unparalleled service [Internet]. InSight+. [cited 2022 Oct 23]. Available from: https://insightplus.mja.com.au/2018/39/royal-flying-doctor-service-90-years-of-unparalleled-service/

20. Leitich H. Controversies in diagnosis of preterm labour: CONTROVERSIES IN DIAGNOSIS OF PRETERM LABOUR. BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol. 2005 Mar;112:61–3.

21. Shepherd BR. Birth in Aerial Transit: Be Prepared, It Does Happen. Air Med J. 2020 Jul 1;39(4):298–9.

22. Tsokos N, Newnham JP, Langford SA. Intravenous tocolytic therapy for long distance aeromedical transport of women in preterm labour in Western Australia. Asia Oceania J Obstet Gynaecol. 1988 Mar;14(1):21–5.

23. Akl N, Coghlan EA, Nathan EA, Langford SA, Newnham JP. Aeromedical transfer of women at risk of preterm delivery in remote and rural Western Australia: why are there no births in flight? Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 2012 Aug;52(4):327–33.

24. Barker CL, Costello C, Clark PT. Obstetric air medical retrievals in the Australian outback. Air Med J. 2013 Dec;32(6):329–33.

25. Kaye R, Shewry E, Reid C, Burns B. The obstetric caseload of a physician-based helicopter emergency medical service: case review and recommendations for retrieval physician training. Emerg Med J EMJ. 2014 Aug;31(8):665–8.

26. McPheeters ML, Miller WC, Hartmann KE, Savitz DA, Kaufman JS, Garrett JM, et al. The epidemiology of threatened preterm labor: A prospective cohort study. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2005 Apr 1;192(4):1325–9.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.27.22281631; this version posted November 1, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

27. van Baaren GJ, Vis JY, Wilms FF, Oudijk MA, Kwee A, Porath MM, et al. Predictive value of cervical length measurement and fibronectin testing in threatened preterm labor. Obstet Gynecol. 2014 Jun;123(6):1185–92.

28. Ness A, Visintine J, Ricci E, Berghella V. Does knowledge of cervical length and fetal fibronectin affect management of women with threatened preterm labor? A randomized trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2007 Oct;197(4):426.e1-7.

29. Hollingworth J, Pietsch R, Epee-Bekima M, Nathan E. Time to delivery: Transfers for threatened preterm labour and prelabour rupture of membranes in Western Australia. Aust J Rural Health. 2018 Feb;26(1):42–7.

30. Mailath-Pokorny M, Polterauer S, Kohl M, Kueronyai V, Worda K, Heinze G, et al. Individualized assessment of preterm birth risk using two modified prediction models. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2015 Mar;186:42–8.

31. Hutchinson FH, Davies MW. Time-to-Delivery after Maternal Transfer to a Tertiary Perinatal Centre. BioMed Res Int. 2014;2014:1–6.

32. Rigby AK, Richards JN, Greenhill J. Emergency rural obstetric transfers in South Australia: a review of the clinical and precipitating factors. Rural Remote Health. 2019 Feb;19(1):4634.

33. Hueston W. Preterm Contractions in Community Settings: II. Predicting Preterm Birth in Women With Preterm Contractions. Obstet Gynecol. 1998 Jul;92(1):43–6.

34. Flood MM, Pollock WE, McDonald SJ, Davey MA. Monitoring postpartum haemorrhage in Australia: Opportunities to improve reporting. Women Birth J Aust Coll Midwives. 2018 Apr;31(2):89–95.

35. Shipway T, Johnson E, Bell S, Martin J, Clark P. A Case Review: In-Flight Births Over a 4-Year Period in the Northern Territory, Australia. Air Med J. 2016 Oct;35(5):317–20.

36. Lorthe E, Kayem G, TOCOPROM Study Group and the GROG (Groupe de Recherche en Obstétrique et Gynécologie). Tocolysis in the management of preterm prelabor rupture of membranes at 22-33 weeks of gestation: study protocol for a multicenter, double-blind, randomized controlled trial comparing nifedipine with placebo (TOCOPROM). BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2021 Sep 8;21(1):614.

37. Downing SG, Wright R, Marquardt T, Callander E. Use of fetal fibronectin testing in women transferred for threatened preterm labour in remote far north Queensland. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 2019 Jun;59(3):403–7.

38. Roberts CL, Henderson-Smart D, Ellwood DA, Group****** HRO and PA. Antenatal transfer of rural women to perinatal centres. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 2000 Nov;40(4):377–84.

39. Dawes LK, Subramoney M, Miller LM, Groom KM. Increasing compliance with a clinical practice guideline for fetal fibronectin testing and the management of threatened preterm labour: A quality improvement project. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2018 Feb;221:89–96.