Association between wasting and feeding practices consumption during 24 hours recall in young children aged 6-23 months in Cambodia: Analysis of Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2014

Authors: Samnang Um[1*], Mom Luon[1], Yom An[1], Bunkea Tol[1]

Affiliations: [1] School of Public Health at the National Institute of Public Health, Phnom Penh, Cambodia

Corresponding authors: Samnang Um

Address: Lot#80, 289 Samdach Penn Nouth St. (289), Phnom Penh

Email: umsamnang56@gmail.com

ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1521-1260

Abstract

INTRODUCTION

World Health Organization (WHO), defined wasting as a child's weight-for-height Z-score (WHZ) < -2 of the Child's Growth Standards. In Cambodia, the prevalence of wasting indicated an increase from 8% in 2005 to 10% in 2014 among children under five years. WHO, Infant and Young Children Feeding guidelines (IYCF) determined the food items into seven major foods such as (grains, roots, and tubers); (legumes and nuts); (flesh foods including meat, fish, poultry, and liver/organ meats); (eggs); (vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables); (dairy products included milk, yogurt, cheese); and (Other fruits and vegetables). Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey (CDHS) 2014 showed that 48% of children aged 6-23 months received at least four food groups in 2014. We aimed to identify the association between feeding practices consumption and wasting in Cambodian children aged 6-23 months.

METHODS

We used existing children's data from CDHS 2014,two-stage stratified cluster sampling approach to select samples . Data analysis was done by using STATA V16 Survey weights were

applied to account for the complex survey design of the CDHS. Descriptive statistics were estimated for key children characteristics, maternal, and household characteristics, geographical regions, and feeding practice consumption. We ran bivariate and multiple logistic regressions to assess the association between wasting and feeding practices consumption in children aged 6-23 months.

RESULTS

A total of 1,415 children aged 6-23 months were eligible for the study. This study did not find an association between wasting and feeding practices consumption. In contrast, hildren aged 9-11 months were 2.3 times of wasting [AOR=2.3; 95% CI 1.0-5.0] compared to children aged 6-8 months. Children born from mothers aged 20-34 years, and 35-49 years were protected 60% of wasting [AOR=0.3; 95% CI 0.2-0.6], [AOR=0.3; 95% CI 0.1-0.7] compared to mothers aged 15-19 years, respectively. Children from the lowest wealthiest households had twice times of wasting [AOR=1.9; 95% CI 1.1-3.5] compared to better households.

CONCLUSION

These findings indicate that feeding practices consumption is not significantly associated with wasting in young children aged 6-23 months in Cambodia. It was found in other studies. Contract main predictors of child wasting included children aged 9-11 months, and children from the lowest wealthiest households. However, mothers aged 20-49 years old had decreased odds of wasting. Therefore, it is recommended that interventions and policymakers should prioritize promoting diverse food consumption among children in Cambodia. In addition, particular attention to interventions to reduce child wasting should be focused on children aged 6-11 months, children from the lowest household quintile, and children from young-aged mothers.

KEY WORDS: Association, Children wasting, Feeding Practice, CDHS, Cambodia

INTRODUCTION

Approximately 46 million (7%) of children aged 0-59 months are wasting of which 14 million (2%) were severely in 2020 [1]. The World Health Organization (WHO), defined wasting as a child's weight-for-height Z-score (WHZ) < -2 of the Child's Growth Standards [2]. While 14.6% of them are wasting lives in South Asia [1]. Over, 45% of children under five (U5) deaths have been linked to undernutrition occurring in developing countries [3]. Recent Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey (CDHS) 2014, indicated an increase in U5 wasting rates from 8% in 2005 to 10% in 2014 [4]. The variation in the proportion of child wasting was 10% in rural and 8% in urban and observed at 15% in Takeo, and Oddar Meanchey provinces, respectively [4]. Also, an estimated that need specialized medical treatment, including therapeutic feeding for USD $ 113 per child [5]. The child feeding practices consumption was measured at the household or individual level which was using a questionnaire, from the previous 24 hours of interviews [6]. According to WHO, food groups is divided into seven groups, namely staple foods, legumes, milk, meat, eggs, fruits, and vegetables, that was recommended consume at least four food groups [7]. In Cambodia prevalence of children aged 6-23 months who had received consumed four or more food groups was 48% in 2014 [4]. Moreover, children who are wasted had a high risk of death compared to those are healthy [8]. Cambodia is an agricultural country located in Southeast Asia. It borders with Thailand to the west, Laos and Thailand to the north, the Gulf of Thailand to the southwest, and Vietnam to the east and the south, with total population of 16 million in 2019 [9]. Cambodia membership United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDG), one of the aims being zero hunger by 2030; milestones to this aim include achieving food security, improving nutrition, and promoting sustainable agricultural [9, 10]. Multiple progresses have been made in Cambodia including expanding nutrition and child health programs and incorporating government strategies focused on promoting infant and maternal care [11].

Inadequate infant and young child feeding (IYCF) practices exacerbate the risk of malnutrition [12]. The practice of providing complimentary food diversity in developing countries is based on the geographical conditions of each area and is more likely to be adapted to the social conditions of the family. Families that were classified as underprivileged mostly consumed staple foods with little to no nutritional value [13]. Those who live in urban areas were more likely to have a higher income and consumed more diverse foods compared with those living in rural settings

[14]. Evidence from six South Asia countries between 2009 to 2016 focused on the determinants of wasting among children age under five, indicated that children born from mothers had a low body mass index (<18.5 kg/m^2) are being of wasted in all countries [15]. And child born from late birth order, male, maternal reported limited education, short height maternal , lack of improved water source, and household poverty were also risk of wasting in various countries [15]. Despite these, factors associated with an increased risk of wasting among children of 6-23 months of age include household inadequate sanitation and water supply, household poor wealth index [16, 17], child age, child sex, older maternal age, mother with no formal education, residential area were significant risk factors for wasting [18]. Children who received minimum diversified diet, meal frequency, and acceptable diet were associated with a reduction in undernutrition among children of 6-23 months of age [17, 18]. In contract other studies, those whose inadequate dietary diversity were found to be risk of being wasting [19]. Despite of availability of literatures, there have been yet study to explore the associated between wasting and dietary diversity consumption among children aged 6-23 months in Cambodia using the CDHS data. Therefore, we aimed to examine the child-feeding practices consumption, socio-demographic factors associated with wasting in young children aged 6-23 months in Cambodia. .

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data

We used existing children's data from Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey (CDHS) 2014. The CDHS is a nationally representative population-based household survey that is regularly conducted roughly every 5 years. Data collection procedures have been described and published in the CDHS 2014 report [4]. The survey employed a two-stage stratified cluster sampling to collect the samples from all 19 sampling domains, which were further divided into 38 sampling strata between urban and rural. In the first stage, 611 enumeration areas (EAs) number of households residing in the enumerator's area or clusters (188 urban EAs and 423 rural EAs) were selected from 4,245 urban EAs and 24,210 rural EAs respectively using probability proportional to EA size. The second stage, a fixed number of households were selected from each cluster (24 urban and 28 rural) through systematic sampling [4]. We restricted analysis to

only data of children aged between 6-23 months, living with their mothers or caregivers, and children with valid anthropometric measurements were included. Finally selected for further analysis (**Fig 1**).

Figure 1. Sample selection diagram

Measurements

Outcome variable

Wasting is the outcome variable used in the study. For children in CDHS, anthropometric measures of height and weight, one to three times, and age was calculated based on the date of birth or reported age. All weights were measured with a digital SECA scale manufactured under the guidance of the UNICEF, and heights were measured with Shorr Productions measuring boards. Recumbent length was assessed for all children under 24 months of age while standing height was measured for older children [4]. Then, the original variable was recoded into the dichotomous variable WHZ- score $\leq$ -2 SD was coded **wasting** =1, and WHZ- score > -2 SD was coded **non wasted**=0.

Explanatory variables

Minimum Dietary diversity (MDD)

To measure dietary diversity, we adopted the WHO's Infant and Young Children Feeding guidelines (IYCF) [7, 20] as an internationally acceptable complementary feeding guideline. The 2014 CDHS survey collected information on food items a child consumed throughout the previous day. We categorized these food items into seven major foods groups based on the WHO's IYCF guidelines such as (grains, roots, and tubers); (legumes and nuts); (flesh foods (meat, fish, poultry, and liver/organ meats)); (eggs); (vitamin A-rich fruits and vegetables); (dairy products (milk, yogurt, cheese)); and (Other fruits and vegetables). If a child consumed at least one foods item from a food group throughout the previous day, the group was assigned a value of one (1) for that child, and zero (0) if not consumed. The group scores are then summed up to obtain the dietary diversity score, which ranges from zero to seven, whereby zero represents the non-consumption of any of the food items in the food groups, and seven represents

the highest level of diet diversification. The MDD was attained if a child had consumed four or more food groups out of the seven food groups over the previous day.

Minimum meal frequency (MMF)

The minimum number of times the child consumes solid, semi-solid or soft foods (including two milk feeds for non-breastfed children) within 24 hours day or night before the survey. The minimum number of times is two times for breastfed children aged 6-8 months, three times for children aged 9-23 months, and four times for non-breastfeed children 6-23 months of age [21].

minimum diversified diet and minimum meal frequency **Minimum acceptable diet (MAD)**

A composite indicator of minimum dietary diversity and minimum meal frequency. Proportion of children 6-23 months of age who received a minimum diversified diet and minimum meal frequency (apart from breast milk)within 24 hours day or night before the survey [21].

Socio-demographics of study population

Age of children in months were (6-8, 9-11,12-17, and 18-23), sex of children (boy vs girl), and breastfeeding status (yes vs no). Mother was categorized as 15-19 years, 20-34 years, and 35-49 years. Education level (no education, primary and secondary and higher). Maternal employment (working vs not working). Residency (urban vs rural). Household wealth status (poor, middle, and rich). Improved source of drinking water included piped into dwelling, piped into yard/plot, public tap/standpipe, tube/piped wells or boreholes, protected wells, protected spring, and rainwater. Other sources were classified as non-improved. Toilet facility includes flush toilet connected to sewage or septic tank and ventilated/improved latrine or other types of toilets were considered improved sanitation facilities while those with no toilet were considered non-improved sanitation facilities.

Statistical analysis

Data cleaning and analyses were performed using STATA version 16 (Stata Corp 2019, College Station, TX). The standard sampling weight (v005/1,000,000), clustering, and stratification variables which were provided by DHS to account for the complex survey design with STATA command “survey” package was used to declare the survey design were accounted for all estimations were performed by using survey-specific command “svy” [22, 23]. We performed a

cross-tabulation to examine the distribution of the outcome variables across the explanatory variables. A bivariate Pearson chi-square tests were used to assess significant associations between independent variables of interest including children characteristics, maternal, and household characteristics, geographical regions, and feeding practice consumption and wasting status with the results presented using *p*-values. A significant level if any covariates at p-value $\leq$ 0.15 were included in the multiple logistic regression analysis [24]. Some potential confounding factors including children age, water drinking and feeding practices consumption were included based on the literature that studied found association with undernutrition [17, 18]. Simple logistic regression was used to analyze the magnitude effect of unadjusted associations between wasting and children, maternal, and household characteristics, geographical regions, and feeding practice consumption. Results are reported as Odds Ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and P-value. Multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to investigate the main risk factors associated with wasted after adjusting for other potential confounding factors in the model. Results from the final multivariate model are reported as adjusted odds ratios (AOR) with 95% confidence intervals and corresponding p-values. Results from the final multivariable logistic regression model were considered as statistically significant based on a p-value less than 0.05 and 95% confidence intervals.

Ethics statement

The CDHS 2014 was approved by the Cambodia National Ethics Committee for Health Research (Ref: # 056 NECHR) and the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of ICF in Rockville, Maryland, USA. The CDHS data are publicly accessible and were made available to the researchers upon request to the DHS Program, ICF at https://www.dhsprogram.com.

RESULTS

Describes of study population characteristics

Describes the socio-demographic characteristics, mother, and household of 1,415 children aged 6-23 months in the survey. The mean age of children was 14.5 (+5.2 months), 35% were aged 18-23 months, and 17.2% were aged 6-8 months respectively. More than half (52%) of children

were boys. Slightly more than 68.4% of children are currently breastfeed. Average age of mother was 27.5 (SD=5.9 years). Majority (82.5%) of them were aged 20-34 years, and 5.5% were aged 15-19 years. More than half (55.3%) completed the primary education, with 12.6% of the mothers had no schooling. While 31.7% of them had no job or not working. 86.5% of the children were born in rural areas. Slightly more than 44.8% of households were poor based on wealth index quintiles. Close to 52.6% of households had improved drinking water, and half of them had improved or not shared sanitation facilities. For wasting, prevalence was found to be at 10.4% (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Characteristics of the children aged 6-23 years old (n=1,415 weighted)

Variables	Freq.	%
Age of children, mean(±SD) (months)	14.5(±5.2)	
6-8	243	17.2
9-11	216	15.3
12-17	467	33.0
18-23	489	**34.5**
Sex of children		
Girls	679	48.0
Boys	736	**52.0**
Breastfeeding		
No	447	31.6
Yes	968	**68.4**
Mother's mean (±SD) age (years)	27.5(±5.9)	
15-19	78	5.5
20-34	1167	**82.5**
35-49	170	12.0
Maternal educational		
No education	179	**12.6**
Primary	782	55.3
Secondary and higher	454	32.1
Maternal working status (n=1,414)		
Yes	838	59.3
No	576	**40.7**
Place of residence		
Rural	1224	**86.5**
Urban	191	13.5
Household wealth status		
Rich	503	35.6
Middle	278	19.6
Poor	634	**44.8**
Drinking water source in dry season		

Improved	744	52.6
Unimproved	671	**47.4**
Toilet facility		
Improved	704	49.7
Unimproved	711	**50.3**
Prevalence of Wasting		
Wasting	1268	**89.6**
Not wasting	148	**10.4**

Distributions of child feeding practices

The prevalence of child aged 6-23 months, received an minimum meal frequency at 71%, 71.6% for breastfed and 28.4% for non-breastfed. And met the minimum dietary diversity were 46.7%, 58.2% for breastfed and 41.8% for non-breastfed. Moverover, adequate minimum acceptable diet were 31.2%, 72.2% for breastfed and 27.8% for non-breastfed (Fig 2).

Figure 1. Indicators of complementary feeding practice of children 6-23 months of age in Cambodia, in 2014 (n=1,415)

Association between feeding practice consumption and socio-demographics and wasting

As **table 2** shown, children who had inadequate dietary diversity was found 10% of children wasting, (p value =0.758). Inadequate meal frequency was 10.6% of wasting, with (p value =0.796). And unaccepted diet was 10.6% of wasting, with (p value =0.939), compared to those adequate. Similar, children aged 9-11 months was 13.5% of wasting compared to another age group with, (p value=0.370). Begin girls was 12% of wasting, compared to boys' children with, (p value=0.217). None-breastfeeding practice children was 11.1% of wasting, compared to breastfeeding with, (p value=0.342). Children born from mothers were aged (15-19) were more likely wasting (25.9%) than older mothers, (p value= **0.001)**. While their mother had limited

education had high proportion of wasting (16.3%), compared to educated, with (p value=0.179). Children born in rural areas were found to be more wasted (11%), compared to those in urban areas, with (p value=**0.077**). Children who live with poor household based on wealth index quintiles was found to be more wasted (14%), compared to those better wealth index quintiles, with (p value=**0.018)**. Moreover, children who from unimproved water, toilet facility source recorded higher prevalence of wasting was 12.3%, and 13.1% compared to those who from an improved source, with (p value=**0.015)**.

Table 2. Bivariate analysis of the association between socio-demographic, dietary diversity, meal frequency, acceptable diet, and wasting (n=1,415 weighted)

Variables	**Wasting (n=148)**		**Not wasting (n=1,268)**		**P-value**
Age of children (months)	Freq.	%	Freq.	%	
6-8	17	6.9	226	93.1	
9-11	29	**13.5**	187	86.5	0.370
12-17	52	11.1	415	88.9	
18-23	50	10.2	440	89.8	
Sex of children					
Boys	87	8.9	649	91.1	0.217
Girls	61	11.8	619	88.2	
Breastfeeding					
No	108	11.1	860	88.9	0.342
Yes	40	9.0	407	91.1	
Maternal age (years)					
15-19	20	25.9	58	74.1	
20-34	110	9.5	1057	90.6	**0.001**
35-49	17	10.1	153	89.9	
Maternal educational					
No education	29	**16.3**	149	83.7	
Primary	74	9.4	709	90.6	0.179
Secondary and higher	45	9.9	410	90.1	
Maternal working status					

(n=1,414)					
Yes	81	11.6	757	88.4	0.388
No	67	9.6	509	90.4	
Place of residence					
Urban	13	7.0	177	93.0	
Rural	134	11.0	1090	89.0	**0.077**
Household wealth status					
Rich	36	7.1	468	92.9	
Middle	24	8.7	254	91.3	**0.018**
Poor	88	13.8	546	86.2	
Drinking water source in the dry season					
Improved	65	8.8	679	91.3	0.121
Unimproved	83	12.3	589	87.7	
Toilet facility					
Improved	55	7.8	649	92.2	
Unimproved	93	13.1	618	86.9	**0.015**
Minimum dietary diversity					
≥ 4 foods	66	**10.0**	594	90.0	0.758
< 4 foods	81	10.8	673	89.2	
Minimum meal frequency					
Yes	106	**10.6**	897	89.4	0.796
No	41	10.0	370	90.0	
Minimum acceptable diet					
Yes	47	**10.6**	395	89.4	0.939
No	101	10.4	873	89.6	

Multiple logistic regression results between feeding practice consumption and socio-demographics and wasting

Several factors were independently associated with wasting. Those children who aged 9-11 months were 2.3 times more likely to be wasted [AOR=2.3; 95% CI 1.0-5.0] compared to children aged 6-8 months, respectively. However, children whose mothers were in the aged 20-34 years and 35-49 years presented 70% reduced odds of wasting [AOR=0.3; 95% CI 0.2-0.6], and [AOR=0.3; 95% CI 0.1-0.7], compared to mother aged 15-19 years. Lastly, children from poor households were twice time more like to be wasted [AOR=1.9; 95% CI 1.1-3.5] compared to better household (**Table 3**).

Table 3. Univariate and multiple logistic regression analysis of the association between food groups, minimum dietary diversity, and wasting

	(n=1,415 weighted**)**			**(n=1,415** weighted**)**		
Variables	**OR**	**95% CI**	**P-value**	**AOR**	**95% CI**	**P-value**
Age of children (month)						
6-8	1.0	Ref.		1.0	Ref.	
9-11	2.1	1.0-4.5	0.055	**2.3**	**1.0-5.0**	**0.036**
12-17	1.7	0.8-3.5	0.172	1.7	0.8-3.7	0.164
18-23	1.5	0.8-3.0	0.230	1.8	0.9-3.8	0.093
Sex of children						
Boys	1.0	Ref.		-	-	
Girls	0.7	0.4-1.2	0.219	-	-	
Breastfeeding						
No	1.0	Ref.		1.0	Ref.	
Yes	1.3	0.8-2.1	0.343	1.2	0.7-2.3	0.518
Maternal age (years)						
15-19	1.0	Ref.		1.0	Ref.	
20-34	1.5	0.7-3.4	0.274	**0.3**	**0.2-0.6**	**0.001**
35-49	2.4	1.0-5.9	0.052	**0.3**	**0.1-0.7**	**0.007**
Maternal educational						
No education	1.0	Ref.		-	-	

Primary	0.9	0.5-1.4	0.611	-	-	
Secondary and higher	0.7	0.4-1.1	0.121	-	-	
Maternal working status						
Yes	1.0	Ref.		-	-	
No	0.8	0.6-1.1	0.109	-	-	
Place of residence						
Urban	1.0	Ref.		1.0	Ref.	
Rural	1.5	1.1-2.2	0.024	1.1	0.6-2.1	0.785
Household wealth status						
Rich	1.0	Ref.		1.0	Ref.	
Middle	1.8	1.1-2.9	0.020	1.2	0.6-2.7	0.626
Poor	2.5	1.7-3.5	0.000	**1.9**	**1.1-3.5**	**0.034**
Drinking water source in dry season						
Improved	1.0	Ref.		1.0	Ref.	
Unimproved	1.4	1.1-2.0	0.022	1.4	0.8-2.3	0.215
Toilet facility						
Improved	1.0	Ref.		-	-	
Unimproved	2.2	1.6-3.1	0.001	-	-	
Minimum dietary diversity						
$\geq$ 4 foods	1.0	Ref.		1.0	Ref.	
< 4 foods	1.1	0.7-1.8	0.758	1.2	0.6-2.2	0.626
Minimum meal frequency						
Yes	1.0	Ref.		1.0	Ref.	
No	0.9	0.6-1.5	0.796	0.9	0.5-1.4	0.556
Minimum acceptable diet						
Yes	1.0	Ref.		1.0	Ref.	
No	1	0.6-1.6	0.939	0.8	0.4-1.6	0.472

DISCUSSION

This study not found the association between child feeding practice consumption factors such as dietary diversity, meal frequency, acceptable diet and child wasting. Consistent to documented studies [25, 26]. As a previous study from Ethiopia has shown wasting are associated with maternal younger age, child age, childhood diseases and lowest household wealth qualities rather than feeding practices consumption [27]. This might be due to the fact that wasting refers to acute malnutrition children as a result of shorter-term episodes of inadequate feeding or illnesses [27]. Although, consuming dietary diversity plays a key role in reducing wasting among young children. Finding from other studies in Sub-Saharan Africa [26], South Ethiopia [28], and Burundi and Democratic Republic Congo [29] found that children having an adequate minimum dietary diversity was associated with reduced odds of wasting among children compared to those who had inadequate minimum dietary diversity. It means they receive foods from a minimum of four of the seven groups of foods- namely grains, roots, and tubers; legumes and nuts; dairy products; flesh foods (meat, fish, poultry, and organ meats); eggs; vitamin A rich fruits and vegetables; other fruits and vegetables [30]. Furthermore, we found several main predictors of child wasting in the study included children aged 9-11 months and children from poor household wealth status having higher odds of being wasting. However, mothers aged 20-49 years old had decreased odds of having wasting. A research in 35 low income and middle income countries found high prevalence of wasting in children from poorest households [31]. Research in South East Asia suggests that children from the poorest wealth quintiles had 25% increased risk of being wasted when compared to the richest wealth quintile [32]. Households with low income tend to spend less on proper nutrition and are more susceptible to growth failure due to poor access to sufficient food of adequate quality and poor living conditions. Our study found children who born from older mother age (20-49 years) were protected about 60% of being wasting, compared to who born from young mother. In line with study conducted in Tamale Metropolis, Ghana [33]. Possible explanations young mother may not have adequate financial resources to provide the needs of the babies owing to their poor socio-economic circumstances and that of their partners and their biological development for having babies.

The study has the number of limitations. First, the CDHS was a cross-sectional study, the temporal associations, or causality between child feeding practices and wasting could not unable

to assess at the same times. Second, recall and measurement bias the finding of this study, were self-reported from their mother/caregivers, may direct to over-reporting of food groups that children were consumed during 24 hours. Thirdly, maternal and child factors used in this study were limited such as maternal diseases and childhood illness of diarrhea and fever and did not include other diseases. Moreover, mode of birth, place of birth, birth weight child vaccinated status which could be a contributing factor, were not part of the analysis. Additionally, this study did not adjust for total calories due to the non-availability of data on calorie consumption from the CDHS and this could affect the extrapolations from this study. Finally, these data were gathered in 2014 and may no longer reflect the present conditions of child feeding practices in Cambodia. Further evaluation the prevalence of wasting and association between child feeding practice from CDHS 2020-21 could be done when the data becomes publicly available. Despite the limitations, the study has strengths. The use of nationally representative data and a large sample size is a major strength of the study. Moreover, the findings from the study contribute to addressing the gap in literature on dietary diversity and wasting in Cambodia.

In conclusion, the findings from this study show that the prevalence of wasting is high among children aged 6-23 months in Cambodia and less than 50% of them met the minimum dietary diversity consumption. Although was not found in this study it was found in other studies. Therefore, it is recommended that interventions and policy development should prioritize on promoting diverse food consumption among children in Cambodia. In addition, particular attention of the intervention to reduce wasting should focus on children aged 6-11 months and children from young aged mothers. Therefore, it is crucial to design children nutrition program interventions with specific targeted on these socio-demographic determinants. Since food consumption for children aged 6-23 months reply mainly on caregivers, improving knowledge and practices on the importance of feeding food diversity for children could be a possible intervention to increase food diversity consumption as well as to reduce wasting among children. Strengthen exclusive breastfeeding for at least two years and improve proper complementary feeding could be the possible interventions to reduce wasting among children in Cambodia.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank DHS-ICF, who approved the data used for this paper.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Um S. contributed to conceptualization, methods, data analysis, and writing original draft, writing review & editing; **Mom L**. contributed to conceptualization, methods, data analysis, and writing original draft, writing review & editing; **An Y**. contributed to writing review & editing manuscript. **Bunkea T**. contributed to writing review & editing manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Our study used the 2014 Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey (CDHS) datasets. The DHS data are publicly available from the website: https://www.dhsprogram.com/data/dataset_admin

FUNDING

The authors received no funding support for this study.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

ABBREVIATIONS

WHO, World Health Organization; CDHS, Cambodia Demographic Health Survey; U5, Children Under Five, MMD, Minimum Dietary diversity; MMF, Minimum meal frequency; MAD, Minimum acceptable diet; AOR, Adjusted odds ratio; PPS, Probability proportional to size. EA, Enumeration areas.

REFERENCE

1. NIS, N., *National Institute of Public Health, National Institute of Statistics [Cambodia] and ORC Macro. 2006. Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2005. Phnom Penh, Cambodia and Calverton, Maryland, USA: National Institute of Public Health, National Institute of Statistics and ORC Macro.* 2005.
2. Group, W.M.G.R.S. and M. de Onis, *WHO Child Growth Standards based on length/height, weight and age.* Acta paediatrica, 2006. **95**: p. 76-85.
3. UNICEF, *Unicef. Malnutrition. New York, NY: Unicef; 2020. Available from: https://data.unicef.org/topic/nutrition/malnutrition/*. 2020.
4. NIS, *National Institute of Statistics. Directorate General for HC. International ICF . Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2014. Phnom Penh, Cambodia: National Institute of*

Statistics/Cambodia, Directorate General for Health/Cambodia, and ICF International; 2015. 2014.

5. Motbainor, A., A. Worku, and A. Kumie, *Stunting is associated with food diversity while wasting with food insecurity among underfive children in East and West Gojjam Zones of Amhara Region, Ethiopia.* PloS one, 2015. **10**(8): p. e0133542.
6. Ruel, M.T., *Is dietary diversity an indicator of food security or dietary quality?* 2002, International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI).
7. Um, S. and H. Sopheab, *The Factors Associated with Under-five Mortality in Cambodia: Data Analysis of the Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey.* Cambodia Journal of Public Health (CJPH) of the School of Public Health at NIPH, 2021. **2**(12).
8. Myatt, M., et al., *Children who are both wasted and stunted are also underweight and have a high risk of death: a descriptive epidemiology of multiple anthropometric deficits using data from 51 countries.* Archives of public health = Archives belges de sante publique, 2018. **76**: p. 28-28.
9. Mahmudiono, T., S. Sumarmi, and R.R. Rosenkranz, *Household dietary diversity and child stunting in East Java, Indonesia.* Asia Pacific journal of clinical nutrition, 2017. **26**(2): p. 317-325.
10. National Institute of Statistics/Cambodia, Directorate General for Health/Cambodia, and ICF International, *Cambodia Demographic and Health Survey 2014*. 2015, National Institute of Statistics/Cambodia, Directorate General for Health/Cambodia, and ICF International: Phnom Penh, Cambodia.
11. Zanello, G., C.S. Srinivasan, and B. Shankar, *What Explains Cambodia's Success in Reducing Child Stunting-2000-2014?* PLoS One, 2016. **11**(9): p. e0162668.
12. Reinbott, A. and I. Jordan, *Determinants of child malnutrition and infant and young child feeding approaches in Cambodia.* Hidden Hunger, 2016. **115**: p. 61-67.
13. Dewey, K.G. and S. Adu-Afarwuah, *Systematic review of the efficacy and effectiveness of complementary feeding interventions in developing countries.* Matern Child Nutr, 2008. **4 Suppl 1**(Suppl 1): p. 24-85.
14. Sekartaji, R., et al., *Dietary Diversity and Associated Factors Among Children Aged 6–23 Months in Indonesia.* Journal of Pediatric Nursing: Nursing Care of Children and Families, 2021. **56**: p. 30-34.
15. Harding, K.L., V.M. Aguayo, and P. Webb *Factors associated with wasting among children under five years old in South Asia: Implications for action*. PloS one, 2018. **13**, e0198749 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0198749.
16. Akombi, B.J., et al., *Multilevel Analysis of Factors Associated with Wasting and Underweight among Children Under-Five Years in Nigeria.* Nutrients, 2017. **9**(1): p. 44.
17. Jawaldeh, A.A., et al., *Tackling childhood stunting in the Eastern Mediterranean Region in the context of COVID-19.* Children, 2020. **7**(11): p. 239.
18. Abdulahi, A., et al., *Nutritional status of under five children in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis.* Ethiopian journal of health sciences, 2017. **27**(2): p. 175-188.
19. Gol, R.M., S. Kheirouri, and M. Alizadeh, *Association of Dietary Diversity With Growth Outcomes in Infants and Children Aged Under 5 Years: A Systematic Review.* Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 2022. **54**(1): p. 65-83.
20. Organization, W.H., *Infant and young child feeding: model chapter for textbooks for medical students and allied health professionals*. 2009: World Health Organization.
21. Organization, W.H., *Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices: part 2: measurement.* 2010.
22. Croft, N., A. Marshall, and C. Allen, *Guide to DHS statistics (Version 2).* Rockville, Maryland, USA: ICF International, 2018: p. 33-2.37.
23. Chantala, K., *Using Stata to analyze data from a sample survey.* Chapel Hill, NC: Carolina Population Center, 2001.

24. Um, S., et al., *Determinants of exclusive breastfeeding of infants under six months among Cambodian mothers.* Journal of pregnancy, 2020. **2020**.
25. Sié, A., et al., *Dietary diversity and nutritional status among children in rural Burkina Faso.* Int Health, 2018. **10**(3): p. 157-162.
26. Jones, A.D., et al., *World Health Organization infant and young child feeding indicators and their associations with child anthropometry: a synthesis of recent findings.* Matern Child Nutr, 2014. **10**(1): p. 1-17.
27. Collins, S., et al., *Management of severe acute malnutrition in children.* Lancet, 2006. **368**(9551): p. 1992-2000.
28. Belayneh, M., E. Loha, and B. Lindtjørn, *Seasonal variation of household food insecurity and household dietary diversity on wasting and stunting among young children in a drought prone area in South Ethiopia: a cohort study.* Ecology of food and nutrition, 2021. **60**(1): p. 44-69.
29. Ekesa, B., G. Blomme, and H. Garming, *Dietary diversity and nutritional status of pre-school children from Musa-dependent households in Gitega (Burundi) and Butembo (Democratic Republic of Congo).* African Journal of Food, Agriculture, nutrition and development, 2011. **11**(4).
30. Marriott, B.P., et al., *World Health Organization (WHO) infant and young child feeding indicators: associations with growth measures in 14 low-income countries.* Maternal & child nutrition, 2012. **8**(3): p. 354-370.
31. Li, Z., et al., *Factors associated with child stunting, wasting, and underweight in 35 low-and middle-income countries.* JAMA network open, 2020. **3**(4): p. e203386-e203386.
32. Mutunga, M., et al., *The forgotten agenda of wasting in Southeast Asia: Burden, determinants and overlap with stunting: A review of nationally representative cross-sectional demographic and health surveys in six countries.* Nutrients, 2020. **12**(2): p. 559.
33. Wemakor, A., et al., *Young maternal age is a risk factor for child undernutrition in Tamale Metropolis, Ghana.* BMC research notes, 2018. **11**(1): p. 1-5.


80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
(%) Percent
71.6
28.4
71.0
58.2
41.8
46.7
72.2
27.8
31.2
Breastfed
Non-breastfed
All Childen
Minimum meal frequency
Minimum dietary diversity
Minimum acceptable diet