medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Patterns of chemotherapy use and outcomes in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer in relation to age in England: An analysis of the Systemic Anti-Cancer Treatment (SACT) dataset

S. Pilleron[1], EJA. Morris[1], D. Dodwell[2], K.N. Franks[3,4]

[1] Big Data Institute, Nuffield Department of Population Health University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom.

[2] Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, United Kingdom.

[3] Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust/University of Leeds, Department of Clinical Oncology, Leeds, United Kingdom

[4] Leeds Institute of Medical Research at St James's, School of Medicine, University of Leeds, United Kingdom

Corresponding author: Sophie Pilleron, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Big Data Institute, Old Road Campus, Oxford, OX3 7LF, UK; sophie.pilleron@ndph.ox.ac.uk; sophie.pilleron@gmail.com; ORCID: 0000-0001-7146-4740

Twitter handles: @SPilleron, @EJAMorris

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Objective: We described the patterns of chemotherapy use and outcomes in patients diagnosed with stage III or IV non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) in England.

Method: In this retrospective population-based study, we included 20,716 (62% stage IV) patients with NSCLC diagnosed in 2014-17 treated with chemotherapy. We used the Systemic Anti-Cancer Treatment (SACT) dataset to describe changes in treatment plan and estimated 30 and 90-day mortality rates and median, 6- and 12-month overall survival (OS) using Kaplan Meier estimator for patients aged <75 and ≥ 75 by stage. Using flexible hazard regression models we assessed the impact of age, stage, treatment intent and performance status on survival.

Results: Patients aged ≥ 75 years were less likely to receive 2 or more regimens, more likely to have their treatment modified because of comorbidities and their doses reduced compared to younger patients. However, early mortality rates and overall survival were similar across ages, apart from the oldest patients with stage III disease.

Conclusion: This observational study demonstrates that age is associated with treatment choices in an older population with advanced NSCLC in England. Despite reflecting a pre-immunotherapy period, given the median age of NSCLC patients and increasingly older population, these results suggest older patients (>75yrs) may need additional support to access more intense treatments that may influence overall survival.

Keywords: Non-small cell lung cancer, epidemiology, survival, population-based, geriatric oncology

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) represents about 85% of new lung cancer diagnoses, affecting about 20,500 people in England annually[1]. Over half of patients with primary NSCLC are diagnosed at an advanced stage[1]. Net survival is low; in 2010-2014 at 1 year from diagnosis it was estimated at 44% (all stages and ages combined) in England and only 22% at three years.[1].

Before the routine use of immunotherapy from 2017, cytotoxic chemotherapy was the main treatment for advanced NSCLC management for patients with good performance status.[2]

As a result of the underrepresentation of older adults in randomized clinical trials[2], physicians do not have clear evidence on the benefit-risk balance in this population. Older adults commonly have poorer health status and fitness, more concomitant conditions, age-related physiological changes, and support needs compared to their younger counterparts[3]. Information on outcomes after chemotherapy in older patients with advanced NSCLC and the impact of chemotherapy on mortality at population level is scarce.

The Systemic Anti-Cancer Treatment (SACT) dataset includes data on systemic therapy in all patients diagnosed with cancer in England since 2014 [4].

Using the SACT dataset, we described the patterns of chemotherapy use, and associated outcomes in patients diagnosed with stage III or IV NSCLC from 2014 to 2017 in England in relation to age.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Methods

In this retrospective observational population-based study, we included all patients diagnosed with stage III and IV NSCLC (ICD-10 codes: C34; morphology codes: see supplemental material) between January 1, 2014, and December 31, 2017, aged 18 or over, recorded in the SACT dataset as having received at least one dose of chemotherapy, regardless of treatment intent. We restricted our analysis to the use of cytotoxic chemotherapy for lung cancer (see supplemental Table 1). In case of multiple NSCLC diagnoses in a patient, we kept the first diagnosis in the analysis. All patients were followed for vital status up to December 31, 2018. All cycles of chemotherapy received after this date were excluded from analysis. We further excluded cycles which were recorded as "trial", receiving "not chemo", "not matched" or "missing treatment group", and duplicate records (Figure 1). We retrieved characteristics of patients (age, sex, ethnicity) and tumours (morphology, stage at diagnosis) as well as vital status and date of death, if applicable, from the National Cancer Registration and Analysis Service (NCRAS) data linked to SACT data.

From the SACT dataset, we analysed regimen data that included start date, treatment intent, treatment given, and whether the regimen was adapted based on comorbidities, whether doses were modified or if there were changes in treatment interval. Treatment intent was recoded into three categories: curative (including neo-adjuvant and adjuvant treatment), palliative, disease modification. A cancer clinician (KNF) checked for inconsistencies between chemotherapy regimen and treatment intent. In case of inconsistencies and missing data, intent was recoded based on review of the regimens used in the context of NSCLC management (supplemental Table 2). If a chemotherapy regimen may be used in both palliative and curative intent, we kept the original coding. If the original coding was "disease modification", we kept as is.

We also analysed the following outcome data[4]:

- Dose reduction = This identifies any regimens modified by reducing the dose of any anti-cancer drug administered at any point in the regimen after it's commencement.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

- Time delay = This identifies any regimens modified by extending the time between administration dates at any point in the regimen after its commencement.
- Regimen stopped early = This identifies any regimens modified by reducing the administration days below the number originally planned.

For each patient, we kept information regarding the first regimen they received. We also created a variable to indicate whether patients who received a first regimen with curative intent received chemotherapy with palliative intent subsequently.

We also used the start date of cycle of chemotherapy[4] and we calculated the number of cycles each patient received.

In our analysis, we kept the performance status recorded at the start of the first chemotherapy cycle and recoded it into 0,1, 2+ and missing. This categorisation was based on NICE guidelines that, at the time, recommended palliative chemotherapy only for patients with a performance score of 0 or 1[6].

Statistical analysis

All analyses were stratified by stage. Percentages and medians with their interquartile range (IQR) were used to describe categorical and continuous variables (age, number of cycles), respectively.

We estimated mortality rates within 30 and 90 days of the first chemotherapy administration and we used the Kaplan Meier estimator to estimate median, 6- and 12- month overall survival (OS) from the start of the first chemotherapy cycle.

To better describe how age at diagnosis influenced OS, we derived OS from the estimation of individual mortality hazard using a flexible hazard regression model. We modelled the hazard function as the exponential of B-spline of degree 3 with a knot located at the median of the distribution of survival times in patients who died. We included age as a B-spline of degree 3 with a knot at the median of the distribution of age in the whole sample. We first ran models including treatment intent of the first regimen as a factor, and then performance status as a factor.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

We performed statistical analyses using R statistical software (version 3.4.0; R Development Core Team, 2017) and we used the R 'mexhaz' package to model hazard and estimate survival. [7]

Ethics

North of Scotland Research Ethics Service gave ethical approval for this work (REC/19/NS/0057).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Results

Out of 43 505 lung cancer patients diagnosed between 1st January 2014 and 31 December 2017, we retained 7 884 stage III and 12 832 stage IV NSCLC (44% females, respectively). Figure 1 shows the flow chart.



Figure 1 – Flow chart

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Age at diagnosis was similarly distributed across stages (Figure 2), with comparable median age (68 [IQR: 62-73] in stage III and 67 [IQR: 60-73] years old in stage IV). Overall, 19.5% and 17.8% patients with stage III and IV NSCLC, respectively, were 75 years or older.



Figure 2 - Distribution of age at diagnosis based on stage at diagnosis

Table 1 presents characteristics of patients under and over 75 years old by stage at diagnosis. There were fewer females than males in older than younger patients in both stages (~38% vs 45%). Ethnicity was equally distributed across age groups in both stages (>90% White). Older patients had poorer performance scores than their younger peers regardless of stage. In both stages, older patients were more likely to receive curative treatment than their younger peers (28.6% vs 21.6%, respectively, in stage III patients and 17.1% vs 12.8%, respectively, in stage IV patients). The median number of cycles received was 4 in all groups except for older patients with stage IV disease (median number = 3).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 1. Characteristics of patients diagnosed with non-small cell lung cancer in England in 2014-2017 treated with chemotherapy by stage and age group

	Stage III		**Stage IV**	
	<75 years old	**75+ years old**	**<75 years old**	**75+ years old**
n	6349	1535	10554	2288
Median age at diagnosis (years [IQR])	66.0 [60.0, 70.0]	77.0 [76.0, 80.0]	65.0 [58.0, 69.0]	78.0 [76.0, 80.0]
Females - n (%)	2856 (45.0)	589 (38.4)	4794 (45.5)	863 (37.7)
Ethnicity - n (%)				
White	5962 (93.9)	1447 (94.3)	9603 (91.1)	2161 (94.4)
Non-White	241 (3.8)	60 (3.9)	611 (5.8)	83 (3.6)
Unknown/Unstated	146 (2.3)	28 (1.8)	330 (3.1)	44 (1.9)
Performance status at start of the 1st cycle - n (%)				
0	1645 (25.9)	320 (20.8)	2338 (22.2)	370 (16.2)
1	2870 (45.2)	716 (46.6)	4647 (44.1)	1089 (47.6)
2+	540 (8.5)	196 (12.8)	1437 (13.6)	377 (16.5)
Missing	1294 (20.4)	303 (19.7)	2122 (20.1)	452 (19.8)
Treatment intent of the 1st regimen - n (%)				
Curative	1370 (21.6)	439 (28.6)	1348 (12.8)	392 (17.1)
Palliative	4917 (77.4)	1078 (70.2)	9129 (86.6)	1878 (82.1)
Disease modification	62 (1.0)	18 (1.2)	67 (0.6)	18 (0.8)
Change from curative to palliative intent among those who received curative treatment for their 1st regimen - n (%)	838 (61.2)	244 (55.6)	826 (61.3)	234 (59.7)
Median number of cycles recorded [IQR]	4.00 [2.00, 4.00]	4.00 [2.00, 4.00]	4.00 [2.00, 5.00]	3.00 [2.00, 4.00]

IQR: Interquartile range

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Changes in treatment plan

Older patients were more likely to have their treatment adjusted because of the presence of comorbidities (24% vs 18%) and to have reduced doses of chemotherapy than younger patients (36% vs 27%) at both stages of disease.

30% of stage III NSCLC patients had delayed treatment regardless of age. However, treatment delay was more frequently recorded in older stage IV patients compared to younger patients (32% vs 28%). ~18-19% patients had their treatment stopped earlier than planned regardless of age and stage.

Older NSCLC patients were less likely to have ≥2 regimens reported than younger ones, regardless of stage (42-44% vs 49%). Among patients who received ≥ 1 regimen, the percentage of those for whom a change in treatment plan was recorded was not noticeably different between age groups or stages. Older patients were more likely to have reduced doses of chemotherapy than younger patients (34% in patients under 75 years old in both stages vs 43% and 40% in older patients with stage III and IV, respectively).

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Table 2. Changes in chemotherapy treatment plans by stage at diagnosis and age group

	Stage III		Stage IV	
	<75	≥75	<75	≥75
First regimen recorded				
Adjusted for comorbidity - n (% of non-missing)	904 (18.6)	286 (24.3)	1494 (18.4)	432 (24.4)
Missing – n (% total)	*1477 (23.3)*	*359 (23.4)*	*2416 (22.9)*	*514 (22.5)*
Dose reduction - n (% of non-missing)	1371 (26.9)	433 (36.1)	2347 (27.7)	648 (35.9)
Missing – n (% total)	*1259 (19.8)*	*335 (21.8)*	*2074 (19.7)*	*485 (21.2)*
Time delay - n (% of non-missing)	1069 (30.4)	250 (30.1)	1668 (28.3)	412 (32.4)
Missing – n (% total)	*2837 (44.7)*	*704 (45.9)*	*4642 (44.0)*	*1015 (44.4)*
Stopped earlier - n (% of non-missing)	882 (18.4)	215 (19.2)	1468 (18.4)	316 (18.7)
Missing – n (% total)	*1547 (24.4)*	*416 (27.1)*	*2580 (24.5)*	*600 (26.2)*
In any subsequent regimens recorded				
# patients with >1 regimen recorded	3119 (49.1)	681 (44.4)	5145 (48.8)	965 (42.2)
Adjustment for comorbidity - n (% of non-missing)	446 (19.3)	107 (21.2)	754 (19.4)	169 (23.3)
Missing – n (% total)	*805 (25.8)*	*175 (25.7)*	*1250 (24.3)*	*241 (24.9)*
Dose reduction (% of non-missing)	832 (33.9)	226 (43.0)	1430 (34.8)	298 (39.7)
Missing – n (% total)	*668 (21.4)*	*156 (22.9)*	*1031 (20.0)*	*215 (22.3)*
Time delay - (% of non-missing)	684 (38.5)	140 (36.6)	1275 (41.1)	226 (40.0)
Missing – n (% total)	*1341 (43.0)*	*298 (43.8)*	*2043 (39.7)*	*400 (41.5)*
Stopped earlier - (% of non-missing)	467 (20.5)	86 (18.0)	914 (23.8)	151 (21.8)
Missing – n (% total)	*839 (26.9)*	*204 (30.0)*	*1312 (25.5)*	*271 (28.1)*

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Early mortality and overall survival (Table 3)

30-day and 90-day mortality rates were ~3% and 8-10%, respectively in stage III patients, and 5-6% and 18-20%, respectively, in stage IV patients with no age-related differences within stage.

In respect to overall survival, older patients with stage III disease had a lower 6- and 12-month overall survival by 3.4%-points and 6.6%-points, respectively, and a shortened median survival by 3 months compared to patients below 75. However, we did not observe age-related differences in 6- or 12-month OS (60% and 33%, respectively) or median survival (~8 months in both age groups) in patients with stage IV NSCLC.

Table 3. 30-and 90-day mortality rates, 6-and 12-month and median overall survival from the start of the 1st cycle of chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer patients by stage at diagnosis and age group

	Stage III				**Stage IV**			
	<75		**≥75**		**<75**		**≥75**	
	# deaths	% [95%CI]	# deaths	% [95%CI]	# deaths	% [95%CI]	# deaths	% [95%CI]
30-day mortality rate	169	2.7 [2.6-2.7]	48	3.1 [3.0-3.2]	653	6.2 [6.1-6.3]	117	5.1 [5.0-5.2]
90-day mortality rate	533	8.4 [8.3-8.5]	148	9.6 [9.4-9.9]	2103	19.9 [19.8-20.1]	411	18.0 [17.7-18.2]
6-month overall survival	1242	80.4 [79.4-81.4]	353	77.0 [74.9-79.1]	4240	59.7 [58.7-60.6]	903	60.4 [58.4-62.5]
12-month overall survival	2554	59.4 [58.2-60.6]	721	52.8 [50.3-55.4]	6989	33.2 [32.3-34.1]	1514	33.4 [31.5-35.4]
Median survival (months)	4232	15.8 [15.2-16.4]	1122	13.0 [12.2-13.8]	9174	7.7 [7.5-7.9]	2010	7.9 [7.5-8.2]

Note.CI: confidence interval

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Figure 3 shows overall survival over the initial year after the start of the first chemotherapy cycle by age, stage and treatment intent (Figure 3 A and B) and performance status (Figure 3 C). Regardless of treatment intent, overall survival decreased over the first year and as age increased in stage III NSCLC, but there was no difference in overall survival across ages in stage IV patients. There was also no age-related difference in 6- and 12-month overall survival (Figure 3 B) except in stage III patients receiving palliative treatment in whom survival gradually decreased from the age of 65.

Finally, 6-month overall survival did not differ between patients with a performance score of 0 or 1 but was poorer in those with score ≥2 in both stage III and IV (Figure 3 C). Overall survival estimates in patients with missing performance status were in between those with score of 0/1 and those with score ≥2.



Figure 3. Overall survival (OS) over the initial year of the initiation of chemotherapy at ages 50, 60, 70, and 80; (B) 6, and 12-month OS by age at diagnosis and (C) 6-month OS by age

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

and performance status in patients diagnosed with stage III and IV non-small cell lung cancer in 2014-2017 and treated with chemotherapy (Note. Solid lines represent overall survival estimates and dashed lines represent 95% confidence intervals)

Discussion

This population-based study is the first to describe the use of chemotherapy and associated outcomes in a cohort of NSCLC patients treated with chemotherapy in England in relation to age in the era before the introduction of immunotherapy. We showed chemotherapy treatments were more likely to be modified in older patients than their younger peers, and older patients were less likely to have more than one line of treatment. However, age-related differences in early mortality and overall survival were marginal, notably in stage IV NSCLC, suggesting proper selection of patients for treatment.

There are a few observational studies that describe the use of chemotherapy in NSCLC patients covering more or less the same pre-immunotherapy period. However, most of them were single institution studies and did not stratify by treatment intent or age, making comparisons difficult [8–12]. Moreover, some estimated survival from diagnosis precluding comparison with our findings[8]. A study conducted in Leeds in England reported survival estimates stratified by histology and stage and showed an improvement in survival in stage IIIA and III-B-IV NSCLC, mainly in non-squamous cell carcinoma between 2007-2012 and 2013-2017[10]. However, results were not provided by age categories or by type of systemic therapy given. A US study reported a median survival of 9.7 months from the initiation of anticancer therapy in stage IV NSCLC patients (median age = 67; 45% females) treated with chemotherapy or immunotherapy (~20%), higher than in our study, but they did not report estimates by age[9].

Although there were more frequent modifications of treatment regimen in older patients, they had similar or somewhat better outcomes than younger patients. This finding suggests the selection of patients to receive chemotherapy was appropriate regardless of age. However, data were not available for the population who did not receive chemotherapy so precluding any direction comparison. It would seem likely, however, that older patients who received

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

chemotherapy were fitter than those who did not receive. This hypothesis should be confirmed in future studies.

The main strength of the present study is the inclusion of all patients diagnosed with advanced NSCLC between 2014 and 2017 treated with chemotherapy in England, representing ~22% of all NSCLC patients diagnosed during the study period (based on an estimate of 82,000 NSCLC patients over the entire period[1]). Other strengths include good completeness of stage at diagnosis (about 2% missing stages), and long follow-up of patients. Our study has, however, limitations. Data (e.g., cycle number or regimen number) may be missing or incorrectly inputted[4] and characteristics such as comorbidity, and geriatric conditions (e.g., frailty) for older patients were incomplete precluding in-depth description of those patients who received chemotherapy.

Conclusion

This national population-based study provides a snapshot of the chemotherapy use and its associated outcomes in terms of early mortality and overall survival in advanced NSCLC patients under and over 75 years old in England. Our results showed the absence of age-related difference in early mortality and survival. Our findings serve as baseline to monitor chemotherapy outcomes in both age groups as new immunotherapy for NSCLC was made available since 2017.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Funding information

SP was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme, Belgium under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 842817.

DD is supported by Cancer Research UK (grant no C8225/A21133).

Funders had no involvement in the present study.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

References

1. Araghi M, Fidler-Benaoudia M, Arnold M, et al. International differences in lung cancer survival by sex, histological type and stage at diagnosis: an ICBP SURVMARK-2 Study. *Thorax*. Published online July 18, 2021. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2020-216555

2. Sedrak MS, Freedman RA, Cohen HJ, et al. Older adult participation in cancer clinical trials: A systematic review of barriers and interventions. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*. 2021;71(1):78-92. doi:10.3322/caac.21638

3. Mohile SG, Dale W, Somerfield MR, et al. Practical Assessment and Management of Vulnerabilities in Older Patients Receiving Chemotherapy: ASCO Guideline for Geriatric Oncology. *Journal of Clinical Oncology: Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 2018;36(22):2326-2347. doi:10.1200/JCO.2018.78.8687

4. Bright CJ, Lawton S, Benson S, et al. Data Resource Profile: The Systemic Anti-Cancer Therapy (SACT) dataset. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. 2020;49(1):15-15l. doi:10.1093/ije/dyz137

5. Smith T, Noble M, Noble S, Wright G, McLennan D, Plunkett E. *The English Indices of Deprivation 2015 - Technical Report*. Department for Communities and Local Government; 2015:126. Accessed June 30, 2022. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/464485/English_Indices_of_Deprivation_2015_-_Technical-Report.pdf

6. NICE. Lung cancer: diagnosis and management - NICE guideline [NG122]. Published March 30, 2021. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng122

7. Charvat H, Belot A. mexhaz: Mixed Effect Excess Hazard Models. R package version 1.5. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mexhaz

8. Soares M, Antunes L, Redondo P, et al. Real-world treatment patterns and survival outcomes for advanced non-small cell lung cancer in the pre-immunotherapy era in Portugal: a retrospective analysis from the I-O Optimise initiative. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2020;20(1):240. doi:10.1186/s12890-020-01270-z

9. Abernethy AP, Arunachalam A, Burke T, et al. Real-world first-line treatment and

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.24.22281434; this version posted October 26, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

overall survival in non-small cell lung cancer without known EGFR mutations or ALK rearrangements in US community oncology setting. *PLOS ONE*. 2017;12(6):e0178420. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0178420

10. Snee M, Cheeseman S, Thompson M, et al. Treatment patterns and survival outcomes for patients with non-small cell lung cancer in the UK in the preimmunology era: a REAL-Oncology database analysis from the I-O Optimise initiative. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11(9):e046396. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-046396

11. Vázquez AC, Flores PG, Ortiz AR, Moral RG del, Alcázar-Navarrete B. Changes in non-small cell lung cancer diagnosis, molecular testing and prognosis 2011–2016. *Journal of Thoracic Disease*. 2018;10(9). doi:10.21037/jtd.2018.08.49

12. Verleye L, De Gendt C, Vrijens F, et al. Patterns of care for non-small cell lung cancer patients in Belgium: A population-based study. *European Journal of Cancer Care*. 2018;27(1):e12747. doi:10.1111/ecc.12747