

1 **Systematic development and feasibility testing of a multibehavioural digital**
2 **prehabilitation intervention for patients approaching major surgery**
3 **(iPREPWELL): A study protocol**

4
5 **Short title:** Study protocol for a multibehavioural digital prehabilitation intervention

6
7 ^{1,2}Durrand J, ³Livingston R, ¹Tew G, ⁴Gillis C, ^{5,6}Yates D, ⁷Gray J, ⁸Greaves CJ, ⁹Moore J,
8 ¹O’Doherty A F, ¹⁰Doherty P, ^{2,3,6}Danjoux G, ³Avery L

9
10 ¹ Department of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation, Northumbria University

11 ² Department of Anaesthesia and Perioperative Medicine, South Tees Hospitals NHS
12 Foundation Trust

13 ³Centre for Rehabilitation, School of Health and Life Sciences, Teesside University

14 ⁴ School of Human Nutrition, McGill University

15 ⁵ Department of Anaesthesia and Critical Care, York & Scarborough Teaching Hospitals
16 NHS Foundation Trust

17 ⁶ North Yorkshire Academic Alliance of Perioperative Medicine

18 ⁷ School of Nursing Midwifery and Health, Northumbria University

19 ⁸ School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham

20 ⁹ Department of Anaesthesia and Critical Care, Manchester University Hospitals NHS
21 Foundation Trust

22 ¹⁰ Department of Health Sciences, University of York

23
24

25 *Corresponding authors Dr James Durrand and Professor Leah Avery

26 Email: james.durrand@northumbria.ac.uk; leah.avery@tees.ac.uk

27 **Abstract**

28 Improving outcomes for people undergoing major surgery, specifically reducing
29 perioperative morbidity and mortality remains a global health challenge. Prehabilitation
30 involves the active preparation of patients prior to surgery, including support to tackle risk
31 behaviours that mediate and undermine physical and mental health and wellbeing. The
32 majority of prehabilitation interventions are delivered in person, however many patients
33 express a preference for remotely-delivered interventions that provide them with tailored
34 support and the flexibility. Digital prehabilitation interventions offer scalability and have the
35 potential to benefit perioperative healthcare systems, however there is a lack of robustly
36 developed and evaluated digital programmes for use in routine clinical care.
37 We aim to systematically develop and test the feasibility of an evidence and theory-informed
38 multibehavioural digital prehabilitation intervention ‘iPREPWELL’ designed to prepare
39 patients for major surgery. The intervention will be developed with reference to the
40 Behaviour Change Wheel, COM-B model, and the Theoretical Domains Framework.
41 Codesign methodology will be used to develop a patient intervention and accompanying
42 training intervention for healthcare professionals. Training will be designed to enable
43 healthcare professionals to promote, support and facilitate delivery of the intervention as part
44 of routine clinical care. Patients preparing for major surgery and healthcare professionals
45 involved with their clinical care from two UK National Health Service centres will be
46 recruited to stage 1 (systematic development) and stage 2 (feasibility testing of the
47 intervention). Participants recruited at stage 1 will be asked to complete a COM-B
48 questionnaire and to take part in a qualitative interview study and co-design workshops.
49 Participants recruited at stage 2 (up to twenty healthcare professionals and forty participants)
50 will be asked to take part in a single group intervention study where the primary outcomes
51 will include feasibility, acceptability, and fidelity of intervention delivery, receipt, and
52 enactment. Healthcare professionals will be trained to promote and support use of the
53 intervention by patients, and the training intervention will be evaluated qualitatively and
54 quantitatively. The multifaceted and systematically developed intervention will be the first of
55 its kind and will provide a foundation for further refinement prior to formal efficacy testing.
56

57 **Introduction**

58 Approximately 310 million people undergo surgery globally each year [1], and requirement
59 for surgical intervention continues to grow. Improving perioperative outcomes is an ongoing
60 healthcare challenge. In the UK 2.4 million major surgical procedures are undertaken by the
61 National Health Service (NHS) annually [2], with associated perioperative mortality and
62 major morbidity rates estimated at 3.5-4% [3,4] and 15-40% respectively [5]. A single major
63 complication such as wound infection, postoperative pneumonia, myocardial infarction or
64 acute kidney injury profoundly disrupts a patients' recovery and has major implications for
65 healthcare utilisation. For example, length of hospital stay is increased up to 3-fold [6], risk
66 of re-admission is significantly increased [7], and patients are less likely to be discharged to
67 their home environment [8]. In the longer-term, functional status and quality of life of
68 patients is undermined for several months following discharge, with many individuals never
69 regaining their former independence [9].

70

71 Physically and mentally preparing patients for major surgery is one strategy to improving
72 outcomes, a concept known as prehabilitation [10]. Patients with better physical [11],
73 nutritional [12] and mental health [13] encounter fewer complications, leave hospital sooner
74 and experience a faster and more complete recovery, with better preservation of their
75 preoperative independence and quality of life [10]. Optimising the preoperative physical and
76 mental health of individuals in this way carries considerable importance. Co-morbid disease
77 and health risk behaviours render the body less able to tolerate the physiological demand of
78 surgery, thereby elevating the risk of perioperative complications 2-3 fold [10]. Furthermore,
79 anxiety and low self-esteem are also very common in preoperative patients and have shown
80 to increase perioperative risk [13]. These risk factors frequently cluster in surgical patients
81 with at least two evident in 40% of patients presenting for major surgery [14].

82 Fortunately, scheduled surgery presents a key 'teachable moment' to facilitate behavioural
83 change [14]. Patients have been shown to be amenable to optimising their health using
84 behavioural change interventions preoperatively. Furthermore, changes in health behaviours
85 that can increase resilience for surgery and reduce perioperative risks are achievable within 4
86 weeks [15]. The main pillars of prehabilitation are physical activity and exercise, nutritional
87 optimisation, and support for mental wellbeing [16]. However, interventions to promote
88 smoking cessation [17], alcohol reduction [18] and improved sleep quality [19] may be

89 equally important and should be incorporated into multibehavioural interventions to optimise
90 patient health in the limited time available preoperatively.

91

92 Access to preoperative support is a clear patient priority. Prior work has emphasised the
93 importance of improved postoperative functional outcomes from the patient perspective [20],
94 the area of strongest evidence for the benefits of support [15]. At a system level,
95 prehabilitation is now a key recommendation of several national initiatives to improve the
96 quality of UK perioperative care [21, 22]. This shift in focus across perioperative services is
97 now cross-specialty, underlined by the recent reframing of ‘waiting lists’ to ‘preparation lists’
98 driven in part by the severe impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on surgical waiting times and
99 population health [22].

100

101 The Covid-19 pandemic has greatly influenced the delivery of prehabilitation services in the
102 UK over the last 2 years. Several established services that were previously delivering face-to-
103 face interventions were forced to rapidly innovate to deliver remote support to patients. This
104 was at a time when evidence-based remote solutions, including digital interventions, were
105 lacking. The subsequent ‘explosion’ of interest in digital healthcare initiatives has gone some
106 way to help meet this shortfall with NHS organisations often working in partnership with
107 industry to rapidly create solutions. However, the lack of evidence-informed, systematically
108 developed interventions raises questions about effectiveness, replicability, and, of critical
109 importance, uptake and continued engagement by patients and healthcare professionals
110 (HCPs). Uptake and engagement was the topic of an editorial [23] that highlighted the need
111 to address several key questions in the context of intervention development. These include
112 determining whether a digital solution is wanted by patients and HCPs and why; to what
113 extent they believe it would be beneficial; how a digital intervention could be used to
114 optimise outcomes; whether it would be cost-effective; and whether there is a risk of
115 increasing inequalities in perioperative care.

116

117 The experience of face-to-face prehabilitation services pre-pandemic indicated that up to 50%
118 of patients were unable or unwilling to engage with this model [24] Barriers include: The
119 requirement to travel, associated cost, inflexibility in terms of time and location, and
120 discomfort in group settings. Digital solutions offer a potential alternative and have been
121 successfully delivered elsewhere in the context of type 2 diabetes management [25] and
122 cardiac rehabilitation [26], and these interventions have observed high levels of patient

123 engagement and health behaviour changes comparable to face-to-face programmes. Given the
124 similarities between these populations and those preparing for major surgery, in terms of age,
125 comorbidity and health behaviour characteristics, it is reasonable to assume that uptake and
126 engagement with a digital intervention preoperatively would be comparable.

127 The use of digital prehabilitation interventions aligns with wider NHS drivers to incorporate
128 digital technology into patient care [27]. The need for scalability and efficient use of staff
129 time makes digital solutions a logical way forward and has the potential to enhance
130 healthcare systems and service delivery.

131
132 In the context of digital health behaviour change, ‘digital exclusion’ is a key concern and has
133 the potential to widen existing health inequalities [28]. Those in the most deprived
134 socioeconomic groups exhibit the highest rates of health risk behaviours that elevate
135 perioperative risk, yet they also face barriers to using digital interventions including access to
136 a device and continued internet access [28]. In addition, the mean age of patients undergoing
137 major surgery is 67 years. Whilst information technology confidence and internet usage in
138 older age groups continues to grow, and a greater proportion of older adults have become
139 familiar with remote services due to Covid, there are still a proportion of this population who
140 are not confident to use digital interventions. As such, utilisation of co-design methods is key
141 to mitigating these inequalities and optimising engagement of patients and HCPs [29].

142 As with all health behaviour change interventions, prehabilitation interventions are likely to
143 be significantly enhanced by employing a systematic, theory and evidence-informed
144 developmental process in collaboration with stakeholders to increase uptake, engagement,
145 adherence, and overall impact [29].

146 **Study Aims and objectives**

147 The aim of this study is to systematically develop, and feasibility test a multibehavioural
148 digital prehabilitation intervention for patients approaching major surgery. More specifically,
149 the main objectives are as follows:

- 150 1) To develop a theory and evidence-informed digital prehabilitation intervention to
151 target changes in lifestyle behaviours including physical activity, exercise, nutrition,
152 alcohol consumption, sleep, smoking and psychological wellbeing prior to major
153 surgery

- 154 2) To develop a theory and evidence-informed training resource for HCPs to promote
155 and support delivery of the digital intervention
- 156 3) To assess the feasibility, acceptability, and fidelity of the digital intervention for
157 patients approaching major surgery and supporting HCPs
- 158 4) To assess adherence to and completion of the intervention (i.e., do participants work
159 through all components of the intervention and engage with the HCPs providing
160 support?)
- 161 5) To assess the feasibility, acceptability, and fidelity of delivery and receipt of the
162 training intervention for HCPs
- 163 6) To conduct a qualitative process evaluation with participants (patient and HCPs) to
164 identify determinants of uptake, engagement, continued use and completion of the
165 intervention.
- 166 7) To develop a set of implementation strategies with stakeholders to facilitate future
167 implementation of the intervention should it demonstrate to be acceptable and
168 feasible.

169 Additional objectives are to generate estimates of variability for behavioural outcomes (e.g.,
170 physical activity) and outcomes (e.g., quality of life) to inform a sample size calculation for a
171 randomised controlled trial (should the intervention demonstrate acceptability and
172 feasibility), and to undertake a preliminary cost evaluation of the intervention.

173

174 **Materials and Methods**

175 **Study setting and design:**

176 This two-stage study will be conducted at two NHS Hospital Trusts: South Tees Hospitals
177 NHS Foundation Trust, Middlesbrough, UK and York and Scarborough Teaching Hospitals
178 NHS Foundation Trust, York, UK.

179 Stage 1 of the study involves the systematic development of an evidence and theory-informed
180 multifaceted behavioural intervention, and stage 2 involves testing the feasibility of the
181 intervention in practice. Figure 1 presents a SPIRIT schedule of enrolment, intervention and
182 assessments for study stage 2 and an overview of stage 1 and 2 design and timelines is
183 presented in figure 2.

184

185 Figure 1: SPIRIT schedule of enrolment, interventions and assessments (study stage 2).

186 [INSERT figure 1]

187

188 **Figure 2: Overview of the study design and timelines**

189 [INSERT FIGURE 2]

190

191 **Procedure**

192 **Stage 1 (Months 0-15):**

193 A mixed method systematic intervention development process will be undertaken with
194 reference to guidance for developing digital interventions [30]. The intervention will be
195 underpinned and informed by the behaviour change wheel (BCW) [31], COM-B model, the
196 theoretical domains framework (TDF) [32] and a person-based approach [33]. Data generated
197 will inform the development of a logic model and selection of behaviour change techniques
198 (BCTs) [34] for inclusion in the intervention. Subsequently, a co-design group will be
199 recruited to collaborate with our multidisciplinary research team, including health
200 psychologists, perioperative clinicians, exercise scientists, dietitians, and our partner web
201 developers (Hark 2 Ltd, Leicester, UK). The group will include patient participants (those
202 preparing for and having recently undergone major surgery), HCP participants recruited from
203 the two participating NHS Trusts, and other stakeholders (e.g., commissioners) in order to
204 develop a set of implementation strategies alongside the intervention [35].

205

206 **Intervention**

207 The multibehavioural digital intervention will be web-based and accessible via desktop,
208 tablet, and mobile phone. The digital intervention and an accompanying training resource for
209 HCPs will be co-designed with participants to facilitate changes in risk behaviours (e.g.,
210 physical activity, smoking, alcohol consumption, nutrition, sleep, and psychological
211 wellbeing) with the overall aim to improve preoperative physical and mental health and
212 wellbeing and reduce perioperative risk. The intervention will be designed for
213 delivery/receipt over 4-8 weeks prior to surgery.

214

215 **Stage 2 Overview (Months 16-24):**

216 A single-arm mixed methods study will be used to assess feasibility and acceptability of the
217 intervention with patients preparing for major surgery and HCPs promoting and supporting
218 delivery of it each participating NHS Trust.

219

220 **Stage 1 Sampling, eligibility criteria and recruitment**

221

222 **Sampling strategy**

223 A purposive sampling strategy will be used to recruit patient and HCP participants
224 representative of the UK major surgical population and the modern multidisciplinary
225 perioperative team. In terms of patient recruitment, the aim will be to ensure maximal
226 variation of age, gender, ethnicity, socioeconomic deprivation, and experience/confidence
227 with online technology. Furthermore, we will aim to obtain a representative sample in terms
228 of the health risk behaviours targeted by the prehabilitation intervention (e.g., smoking
229 status). For recruitment of healthcare professionals, we aim to achieve maximal variation in
230 terms age, gender, ethnicity, professional background, number of years in the role and
231 experience with provision of prehabilitation support and digital healthcare interventions.
232 Participant numbers recruited at each site will be adjusted to reflect differing surgical
233 caseloads and specialties.

234

235 Up to 40 participants (20 patients and 20 HCPs) will be recruited to stage 1 of the study and
236 asked to complete a COM-B self-evaluation questionnaire and participate in a semi-structured
237 interview. With reference to published guidance on data saturation for theory-informed
238 interview studies [36], an interim analysis will be conducted following data collection from the
239 10th patient and the 10th HCP participants. If new ideas and themes continue to emerge,

240 recruitment will continue, and sample size will be increased in increments of three. This will
241 be followed by a further interim analysis, up to a maximum of 20 patients and 20 HCPs. Where
242 possible, these participants will also be invited to participate in co-design workshops.

243

244 Recruitment of participants to co-design workshops will be guided by individual session
245 requirements. The aim is for patient and HCP participants to attend workshops together, with
246 no more than 12 participants present at each session. Patient or HCP specific sessions may be
247 required depending on progress of the co-design process and/or preferences of each participant
248 group.

249

250 **Eligibility criteria**

251 **Patients**

252 Patients aged ≥ 18 years preparing for major surgery (as indicated by NICE NG45 [37]) or
253 within 3-months of having undergone major surgery; discharged to their own home; able to
254 communicate in spoken and written English, and able to provide informed written consent will
255 be eligible to take part in the study. Patients receiving end-of-life-care will be excluded.

256

257 **Healthcare professionals**

258

259 Perioperative team members employed by participating Trusts from a medical, nursing, or
260 allied healthcare professional background or a wider stakeholder in perioperative care (e.g.,
261 an individual with management or commissioning responsibility for perioperative services)
262 will be eligible to take part. A willingness to take part in training to support promotion and/or
263 delivery of the intervention is essential.

264

265 **Recruitment and consent**

266 **Patient participants**

267 Eligible patients will be identified by screening preoperative clinical and surgical lists by
268 perioperative teams at participating Trust sites. A patient participant information sheet (PIS)
269 will be sent by post or email to each participant, with a follow-up call within seven days to
270 confirm receipt and determine interest in participation. Those wishing to take part in the study
271 will be asked to provide informed consent prior to data collection through completion of a
272 study consent form. Patients declining participation will continue to receive usual perioperative
273 care and a reason for non-participation will be recorded.

274

275 We anticipate that patient participants may wish to involve a partner, friend, or family member
276 during their interview or at workshops, and we acknowledge the valuable contribution these
277 companions can make to the co-design process. As such, we will ask companions to complete
278 a consent form to enable their contributions to be recorded, analysed and findings used to
279 contribute to the intervention development process.

280

281 Preoperative patients and patients within 3 months postoperatively are eligible to participate in
282 the study to inform intervention development. This acknowledges that short preoperative
283 timeframes may prevent patients participating in all stage 1 components before their operation
284 (e.g., major cancer surgery). Patients who do undergo surgery following participation in stage
285 1 of the study may continue to participate postoperatively if they wish. This facilitates the
286 collation of views from patients who are approaching surgery and/or have undergone surgical
287 intervention.

288

289 **HCP participants**

290 Eligible HCPs will be identified by clinical members of the study team and provided with a
291 copy of the stage 1 HCP PIS by email. HCPs wishing to participate in the intervention
292 development study will be asked to respond positively to the email invitation and subsequently
293 provide informed written consent with a member of the research team prior to data collection.
294 Additional recruitment will be undertaken to offset drop-out between stage 1 components.

295

296 **Stage 1 Study procedures and data collection**

297

298 A case record form will be completed for all stage 1 participants to facilitate a description of
299 individual participants and to characterise the group overall. Baseline data to be collected from
300 participating patients are demographics (i.e., age, sex, ethnicity, marital status, postcode for
301 calculation of Index of Multiple Deprivations, and educational attainment); clinical and health
302 risk behaviours (e.g., Surgical stage [pre/postoperative], surgical date/planned date, specialty
303 and procedure/planned procedure, cancer status, Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy,
304 comorbidities, Physical activity status [WHO criteria for healthy adults], smoking status, and
305 alcohol intake [units per week]), malnutrition status [PG-SGA]; and information technology

306 access and confidence (e.g., Frequency and availability of internet access, device ownership
307 and utilisation).

308

309 Baseline data to be collected from participating HCPs are, demographics (e.g., age, sex and
310 ethnicity); and occupational data (e.g., clinical role, length of time in clinical role, prior
311 experience in prehabilitation support, prior experience in utilisation of digital clinical
312 interventions with patients).

313

314 **COM-B self-evaluation questionnaires**

315

316 The COM-B behavioural self-evaluation questionnaire adapted for the content of
317 prehabilitation [31] will be administered to perform a behavioural analysis with each
318 participant (patients and HCPs). In the context of behavioural change, capability (C),
319 opportunity (O) and motivation (M) will be explored in accordance with the COM-B model.
320 COM-B self-evaluation questionnaires are provided in our supplementary document (S1).
321 Questionnaire data will be collated and used to inform and tailor semi-structured interviews.

322

323 **Semi-structured interviews**

324

325 Following questionnaire completion, participants will be invited to take part in a semi-
326 structured interview with a research team member lasting up to 60 minutes. Interview topic
327 guides [see supplementary document S2] will be informed by the COM-B model [31] and
328 individualised to explore COM-B questionnaire responses in more detail.

329

330 **Co-design workshops**

331

332 A series of co-design workshops will be undertaken and facilitated by at least two members
333 of the multidisciplinary research and design team. Each workshop will be guided by a
334 schedule and will last up to two hours. The first workshop will involve a summary of the
335 initial programme concept and COM-B questionnaire and semi-structured interview findings
336 to provide context. Subsequent workshops will begin with a brief introduction, including
337 session aims and objectives and progress made since previous workshops. Where workshops
338 are conducted in-person, they will be conducted in line with each current covid-19 guidelines

339 within each site to maintain staff and patient safety. Remote participation sessions (utilising a
340 videoconferencing platform) will be offered if required (appropriate for ongoing pandemic
341 restrictions). Given the nature of the intervention to be developed (i.e., remote/digital), it is
342 considered appropriate to offer a remote option to participate to overcome barriers including
343 cost and travel. Workshops will be supported by detailed notetaking by session facilitators.

344

345 Individual co-design workshops will be structured in response to findings from TDF analyses
346 (see stage 1 data analysis) and activity during earlier sessions. Briefly, workshop topics will
347 be informed by the findings of the behavioural analysis and TDF semi-structured interview
348 findings with reference to the BCW and BCT Taxonomy v1 [34]. Participants will be invited
349 to attend up to six workshops with no minimum commitment beyond one workshop.

350 Workshops five and six will involve usability testing employing ‘think-aloud’ techniques
351 [33]. The digital intervention content and associated HCP training intervention will be
352 iteratively developed in collaboration with participants during each session. Following the
353 conduct of the final workshop, the resulting prototypes will be updated/modified, where
354 required in preparation for feasibility testing (i.e., stage 2 of the research).

355

356 **Stage 1 Data analysis**

357 **Semi-structured interviews**

358

359 All interviews will be audio recorded and transcribed verbatim. Transcripts will be thematically
360 analysed (deductively) using the TDF. The following procedure will be followed: The first
361 participant transcript will be independently pilot-coded by two team members and discussed to
362 agree on an initial coding strategy. The same research team members will independently read,
363 re-read and code two further transcripts. If a good level of agreement is achieved, the first
364 researcher will code/analyse the remaining transcripts.

365

366 Text segments will be assigned to relevant domains of the TDF, and a thematic analysis
367 conducted within each theoretical domain. If specific text segments do not fall into a specific
368 TDF domain, additional domains will be generated to ensure the entire dataset is represented.
369 Following analyses of the dataset, domains identified, and associated themes will be used to
370 select BCTs to include within the intervention with reference to the Behaviour Change
371 Taxonomy v1 [34].

372

373 **Co-design workshops**

374 Audio recordings of workshops will be transcribed verbatim. Transcripts will be reviewed
375 alongside facilitator notes to capture all key information and decisions. This will enable an
376 audit trail and reporting of when, how, and why key development decisions were made.
377 Following the conduct of each co-design workshop, a summary document will be prepared to
378 enable Hark 2 to iteratively develop an intervention prototype ahead of usability testing.

379

380 **Stage 2 Feasibility study - Sampling, eligibility criteria and recruitment**

381 **Sampling strategy**

382 Up to 40 patient participants listed for major surgery (from a range of surgical specialties) will
383 be recruited to take part in the study from the two participating Trusts. This target sample size
384 is informed by published guidance for pilot and feasibility studies [38] and accounts for
385 potential drop-out (~ 20%).

386

387 HCP participants will be recruited from each site and required to undergo training (training co-
388 designed during stage 1) and either promote use of the digital intervention by patients or
389 provide support to those using it. The number of stage 2 HCP participants will be guided by
390 stage 1 findings (i.e., following consensus on who should fulfil what role).

391

392 **Eligibility criteria**

393

394 **Patient participants**

395 Patients aged ≥ 18 years preparing for major surgery (as indicated by NICE CG45 [36]) and
396 available for a minimum of 4 weeks prior to planned surgery; ASA (American Society of
397 Anaesthesiology) fitness for surgery \geq grade 2; At least one health risk behaviour amenable
398 to prehabilitation (e.g., current smoker); able to access and utilise the internet at home; able to
399 communicate in spoken and written English, and able to provide informed written consent
400 will be eligible to take part in the study. Participants who are pregnant or planning pregnancy;
401 have severe mental illness (under active investigation or treatment by mental health services
402 and/or preventing written informed consent); already undergoing prehabilitation or have a
403 preference for an alternative mode of support (e.g., an in-person, face-to-face service); and
404 those receiving end-of-life-care will be excluded. Where a patient participant has a safety
405 contraindication to unsupervised exercise training based on ACSM criteria for clinical

406 exercise testing and prescription [39], they will be excluded from the structured exercise
407 component of the intervention but will be given access to other components of the
408 intervention.

409

410 **Healthcare professional participants**

411 Perioperative team members currently caring for patients approaching major non-cardiac
412 surgical intervention will be eligible to take part. A willingness to take part in training to
413 support promotion and/or delivery of the intervention is essential.

414

415 **Recruitment and consent**

416

417 **Patient participants**

418 Patients listed for major surgery will be screened for eligibility by perioperative teams utilising
419 electronic hospital records. Potential participants will be approached by telephone to explore
420 interest. Those interested will be given a patient PIS sent by post or email. Interested patients
421 will receive a follow-up telephone call by a team member within 7 days allowing time to
422 receive, read and understand the study information and consider participation. Those who
423 would like to participate will be invited to undertake a screening and baseline assessment (visit
424 1) where they will be given an opportunity to ask questions and complete a consent form with
425 a study team member. Patients who decline participation at that stage will undergo routine
426 preoperative care and their reason for non-participation will be recorded if they elect to provide
427 one.

428

429 **HCP participants**

430 Perioperative team members at each site will be contacted by email inviting them to take part
431 in the study with a follow-up after 7 days providing time to consider participation. The email
432 will provide a HCP PIS and those who are interested in taking part will complete a consent
433 form with a study team member and be invited to begin the intervention HCP training package.

434

435 **Stage 2 Outcome measures**

436

437 **Primary outcomes**

438 **1. Feasibility:**

439 Feasibility will be determined by assessing participant recruitment and retention rates, time
440 taken to recruit to the target sample size, and rates of intervention uptake and completion,
441 including number of patients completing all relevant components of the intervention.

442 Feasibility of the training intervention will be determined by assessing HCP participant
443 recruitment and retention rates, time taken to recruit to the target sample size, and rates of
444 training intervention uptake and completion, including willingness to refer to the intervention
445 and continue to promote and support patient participants with the intervention.

446 2. **Fidelity:**

447 Fidelity of delivery will be assessed by collecting data relating to intervention usage by
448 patient participants, including when components were accessed, revisited, and for what length
449 of time. Fidelity of receipt and enactment will be assessed qualitatively via semi-structured
450 interviews with patient participants.

451 Fidelity of delivery of the training intervention will be assessed by audio recording delivery
452 of the training to ensure all intervention components are delivered per protocol using an
453 intervention fidelity checklist [40]. Fidelity of receipt and enactment will be assessed
454 qualitatively via semi-structured interviews with HCP participants.

455 3. **Acceptability:**

456 Acceptability will be assessed quantitatively and qualitatively. In terms of patient
457 participants, data will be collected on the number of logins over the intervention period and
458 the number of interactions with facilitating HCP participants. In terms of HCP participants,
459 data will be collected on the number of HCPs who consent to take part in the study/be trained
460 and who complete training. Semi-structured interviews using the TDF as an analysis
461 framework will obtain participant (patients and HCPs) views and experiences of the
462 intervention, including their experiences of using/interacting with the intervention, perceived
463 barriers, and facilitators to using it and suggestions for ways in which it could be improved.

464 **Stage 2 secondary outcomes**

465 Data will be collected on the following secondary outcomes: Patient activation (Patient
466 Activation Measure [PAM]); physical activity (International Physical Activity Questionnaire
467 [IPAQ], accelerometry data from integrated wearable device); smoking status (self-reported);

468 alcohol consumption (units per week); nutritional (PG-SGA) and dietary status (Dana-Faber
469 healthy eating questionnaire, modified for personal consumption); sleep (Pittsburgh Sleep
470 Quality Index); exercise capacity (6-minute walk test [6MWT], 30-second sit to stand
471 repetitions, grip strength, maximum inspiratory pressure); Psychological wellbeing (Hospital
472 Anxiety and Depression Scale [HADS]); Health-related quality of life (HRQOL using SF-36v2
473 and EQ-5D-5L); postoperative mortality and morbidity (30 and 90 day mortality,
474 Comprehensive Complication Index [CCI]); and length of stay and readmission (length of
475 hospital stay, length of critical care stay, days at home [or usual residence] within 30 days of
476 surgery [DAH₃₀]). The feasibility and sensitivity of data collection for these outcome measures
477 will be explored to identify candidate primary outcome measures for a future randomised
478 controlled trial of the intervention.

479

480 In addition, semi-structured interviews will qualitatively assess feasibility and usability of the
481 integrated wearable device in support of programme components and perioperative biometric
482 monitoring.

483

484 **The digital intervention (iPREPWELL)**

485 The content and format of the digital intervention components will be informed by the
486 systematic development process undertaken during stage 1 of the study. However, the
487 intervention will have the following features and functions:

488

- 489 1) Intervention duration – the time between participants being listed and having their
490 surgery is between 4 and 8 weeks on average, therefore the duration of the
491 intervention will run in accordance with this timeline. Access will be continuous
492 during this time and up to 3 months postoperatively.
- 493 2) Intervention components offered to participants will be personalised during
494 registration, i.e., non-smokers will not be offered content related to smoking.
- 495 3) Given the tendency for clustering of health risk behaviours and limited preoperative
496 timeframes in surgical populations, intervention components will be designed to run
497 simultaneously. They will be delivered using textual, audio, and visual material.
498 Decisions about the specific mode of delivery and format of each intervention
499 component will be informed by findings from the systematic development process.

500 Additional intervention features could include:

- 501 • Incorporation of a wearable physical activity monitoring device to facilitate self-
502 monitoring and real-time participant feedback. The most appropriate device will be
503 agreed in collaboration with participants during phase 1 of the study.
- 504 • An online forum facilitating interaction with facilitators and other participants.
- 505 • Direct messaging between the facilitating HCP and participants to prompt behavioural
506 change and provide support.
- 507 • Access to educational content in the context of the perioperative journey (e.g., ‘digital
508 surgery school’).

509 The physical activity and exercise component of the intervention will be included for all
510 participants reflecting the high rates of physical inactivity within this clinical population, and
511 the potential to enhance aspects of physical fitness in surgical populations [11]. Only
512 participants with identified contraindications to physical activity or exercise will be excluded
513 from this component of the intervention. This intervention component will support increased
514 physical activity and remotely supervised structured exercise before surgery including
515 aerobic, resistance/strength and inspiratory muscle training. Specifically, this will include:

- 516
- 517 • Provision and use of home-based exercise equipment, including resistance bands and
518 an inspiratory muscle training device
- 519 • Utilisation of the integrated wearable device to guide training sessions and provide
520 feedback e.g., heart-rate guidance for aerobic training sessions

521

522 Patients will be encouraged to login throughout the intervention period to engage with the
523 various components to promote/maintain motivation and volition to support health behaviour
524 change. It is anticipated that patients will require a level of remote HCP support throughout
525 the timeline of the intervention. What this involves will be determined during stage 1 of the
526 study, the developmental process. HCP participants will take part in training prior to
527 supporting patient participants.

528

529 **The training intervention**

530

531 The content and format of the training intervention for HCPs will be informed by the
532 systematic development process undertaken during stage 1 of the study. Not wishing to pre-
533 empt the outcome of stage 1 of the study, training is likely to incorporate health behaviour-

534 specific content to target knowledge, and skills-based training to facilitate promotion of the
535 intervention during routine care and to facilitate the provision of support to patients
536 throughout the intervention period. The training intervention, as with the patient intervention,
537 will be theory and evidence-informed with reference to the BCW [31].

538

539 **Study visits**

540 Figure. [32](#) provides an overview of stage 2 of the study (feasibility study).

541

542 [INSERT FIGURE [32](#)]

543

544 **Visit 1 (Screening and baseline assessment)**

545 Patient participants will attend the hospital site to undergo a baseline assessment process
546 (incorporating a safety screen for remotely supervised exercise based on ACSM guidance [38])
547 and registration onto the intervention. The assessment will combine clinical, health behaviour
548 and exercise capacity elements as presented earlier. It will be conducted by a facilitating HCP
549 participant and at least one research team member. The methods for physical activity and
550 exercise capacity assessments are provided in our supplementary document (S3). Following
551 visit 1, patient participants will utilise the digital intervention at home with remote support by
552 a trained HCP participant.

553

554 **Visit 2 (preoperative assessment)**

555 Visit 2 will be scheduled prior to surgery to assess changes in health behaviours (e.g., physical
556 activity) following platform usage. The visit will be conducted at the hospital site by at least
557 two research team members. Data collected will mirror visit 1 (supplementary document [S4]).

558

559 **Visit 3 (postoperative assessment)**

560

561 Visit 3 will be scheduled at 30 days postoperatively to assess change/maintenance of health
562 behaviours and to collect postoperative outcome data. The visit will be conducted at the
563 hospital site by at least two research team members. Data collected will mirror visits 1 and 2.

564

565 ~~Figure. 3 presents the stage 2 schedule of enrolment interventions and assessments at each~~
566 ~~study visit timepoint based on SPIRIT recommendations for clinical trials.~~

567

568

[INSERT FIGURE 3]

569

570

571 **Quantitative data collection**

572 Data will be collected, where possible, as an electronic case-record form (e-CRF) within the
573 online intervention. Completion of these will be scheduled as part of intervention utilisation
574 e.g., the registration process will include e-CRF 1. Data will be entered by patient participants,
575 with additional data input by HCP participants and study team members, where appropriate.

576

577 Additional data will be collected on intervention utilisation, e.g., number of logins, duration of
578 session, completion of individual intervention components, and information entered by
579 participants during intervention usage. The integrated physical activity wearable device will
580 collect data that will be uploaded into the intervention platform, stored, and made available to
581 participants, e.g., daily recorded step counts.

582

583 **Qualitative data collection**

584 Up to 40 patient participants and all participating HCPs will be invited to take part in a semi-
585 structured interview with a research team member. This component of the study is optional
586 (i.e., patient participants can take part in the intervention study and refuse participation in the
587 qualitative study). In keeping with stage 1, companions will also be included if patient
588 participants wish and will complete a stage 2 consent form to allow their interview
589 contributions to be included in the analysis. All interviews will be audio recorded and
590 transcribed verbatim.

591

592 To facilitate an early health economic analysis, HCP participants will be asked to complete a
593 diary of activity in terms of support provided to patient participants.

594

595 **Stage 2 Data analysis**

596

597 **Quantitative data**

598 Data will be summarised descriptively using mean and SD or median and IQR for continuous
599 variables, and count and percentage for categorical variables. As this is a feasibility study, the
600 level of missing data will be documented but no imputation undertaken.

601 An initial health economic analysis will be conducted to focus on costs of intervention
602 delivery to inform design of a future efficacy study.

603 An initial exploratory analysis of pseudo anonymised perioperative wearable data will be
604 undertaken utilising machine learning techniques supported by Telstra Health UK.

605 **Qualitative data**

606 Qualitative data will be thematically analysed using the TDF. Two members of the research
607 team will independently code and analyse interview transcripts. The same procedure will be
608 undertaken as described during stage 1 to develop a coding strategy.

609
610 A detailed description of how data will be handled is provided in supplementary document
611 (S4).

613 **Study management**

614 A study management group (SMG) will be established by the chief investigators prior to the
615 commencement of stage 2 of the study with representation from the sponsor, participating sites
616 and institutions, patient representatives recruited during stage 1, and research partners. The
617 group will oversee the conduct of the feasibility study and meet monthly, or as required.

619 **Study Safety considerations**

620 **Stage 1**

621 Participation during Stage 1 is anticipated to present a low risk of adverse events (AEs) for
622 participants. Potential AEs occurring during stage 1 activities will be assessed, graded, and
623 followed up until resolution by the study team in keeping with study sponsor and UK Good
624 Clinical Practice (GCP) guidance.

626 **Stage 2**

627 Potential AEs occurring throughout the duration of stage 2 of the study, whilst the intervention
628 will be assessed, graded, and followed up by the research team until resolution in keeping with
629 sponsor and GCP guidance.

630

631 Risk to patient participants is most likely to originate from participation in the structured
632 exercise training programme. Other intervention components are not anticipated to lead to
633 AEs. The overall risk of AEs relating to exercise is considered low. This is based on a
634 growing body of evidence demonstrating the safety of structured exercise training (including
635 aerobic, resistance and inspiratory muscle training) in surgical populations [41]. This is in
636 addition to the safety profile of several hundred maximal effort cardiopulmonary exercise
637 tests conducted in the study target population at participating sites and nationally [42].

638

639 Despite this, we are mindful of the additional risk this poses in comparison to directly
640 supervised exercise interventions. The following measures are planned to mitigate this as far
641 as possible:

642

- 643 • An independent clinician will review all serious adverse events (SAEs) and report to
644 the study management group.
- 645 • Participants will be formally risk assessed to confirm safety for participation based on
646 international criteria for exercise training and testing [42] and the expertise of an
647 active face-to-face surgical prehabilitation service.
- 648 • Participants will undergo several functional capacity assessments face-to-face with
649 trained healthcare professionals prior to commencing remotely supervised training.
- 650 • The exercise intervention will begin with clear, co-designed safety instructions
651 relating to both undertaking physical activity safely and undertaking activity outside
652 the home environment.
- 653 • Clear channels for participants will be provided to raise non-emergency concerns with
654 HCP facilitators and the research team and how to access help in an emergency.
- 655 • The exercise component of the intervention will be scaled to participant capabilities
656 and progression in intensity will be participant, rather than facilitator lead.
- 657 • Wearable data collected during training sessions will allow intensity monitoring and
658 adjustment as required.

659

660 **Stage 2 participant discontinuation and withdrawal**

661 Stage 2 participants will be free to withdraw from the study at any stage without providing a
662 reason.

663

664 Participant discontinuation will occur with any of the following:

665

- 666 • Completion of the stage 2 study protocol.
- 667 • Acute Illness requiring hospital admission
- 668 • Death of participant or commencement of end-of-life care
- 669 • Decision to cancel surgical intervention
- 670 • Loss of capacity to consent to continue participation
- 671 • Participant decision to withdraw
- 672 • Investigator decision
- 673 • Study management group or chief investigator decision
- 674 • Severe non-compliance to protocol as judged by the investigator and/or sponsor
- 675 • Safety reasons

676

677 If a participant wishes to withdraw or is discontinued from the study, the following
678 procedures will be observed:

679

- 680 • Participants will be offered the chance to take part in a semi-structured interview to
681 provide their reasons for withdrawal from the process to allow learning. Participants
682 will be free to decline this interview without providing a reason.
- 683 • Withdrawal of consent/ discontinuation of the study will be clearly documented in study
684 documentation and the participant's medical record.
- 685 • No further clinical data will be collected from the participant. However, existing
686 clinical data held will be retained and used for the research.
- 687 • Patients will continue with standard of care treatment as recommended by their treating
688 team.

689

690 **Approvals and registrations**

691 Ethical and regulatory approval for the study has been obtained from Health Research
692 Authority (HRA) North West Preston Research Ethics Committee (Ref: 21/NW/0219). The
693 study is registered on the ISRCTN registry (ISRCTN 17788295) and has been adopted onto
694 the UK National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) portfolio for anaesthesia,
695 pain, and perioperative medicine with South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust as study
696 sponsor (contact details available via corresponding author).

697

698 **Study status and timeline**

699 Stage 1 study recruitment is underway at time of writing and commenced in October 2021.

700 The study is planned to complete by October 2023.

701

702 **Discussion**

703 We have presented a protocol for the development and feasibility testing of a theory-informed
704 co-designed, multibehavioural prehabilitation intervention for people preparing for major
705 surgery. At the time of writing, we are unaware of any robust developed interventions
706 following a systematic developmental process available to target changes in multiple health
707 behaviours simultaneously, which is an urgent unmet need in perioperative care. This study
708 aims to develop, and feasibility test a digital multibehavioural intervention for patients and a
709 training intervention for healthcare professionals.

710

711 We acknowledge several important limitations to the protocol for the study at this stage.
712 Firstly, our study will be conducted at two centres in the North of England (UK) which may
713 limit wider applicability. Although, both centres serve geographically and socioeconomically
714 diverse populations that will offset this to some degree and this will be further mitigated by a
715 purposive sampling strategy to ensure maximum variation in stage 1 participants. Secondly,
716 we will develop an intervention for those approaching major surgery. We acknowledge this
717 may result in an intervention that is not fully optimised for specific surgical populations or
718 pathways. However, this is deliberate to produce a generic intervention that is feasible and
719 acceptable for the majority of surgical patients and can be readily modified and adapted for
720 specific populations going forward. Should the intervention developed demonstrate to be
721 acceptable and feasible by participating patients and HCPs, a further study will be required to
722 establish effectiveness and cost-effectiveness. Finally, the absence of a control arm within the
723 feasibility study for reasons of time-efficiency and study cost will prevent assessment of
724 intervention efficacy. However, this is not the main aim of the study and the data collected with
725 the single-arm design will provide useful data in support of any follow-up efficacy trial.

726

727 Stage 1 and stage 2 findings of this study are planned to be disseminated by peer-reviewed
728 publication and presentation at relevant conferences. In addition, our wider study team have
729 links to regional and national initiatives to improve the readiness of patients approaching major

730 surgery in the wake of the Covid-19 pandemic, offering broader opportunities to evaluate and
731 scale the developed programme if the findings of this study support this.

732

733 Study amendments will be by submission to the approving Research ethics committee in
734 accordance with UK HRA policies and procedures. Study termination will be either planned
735 by completion of the full protocol at both participating sites or unplanned by the chief
736 investigators following consultation with the study management group.

737

738 **Authors' contributions**

739 **Conceptualisation:** JD, GD, LA, GT, DY

740 **Methodology:** All authors

741 **Formal analysis:** JD, RL, LA, GT, CG

742 **Writing** – original draft: JD, LA

743 **Writing - review and editing:** All authors

744

745 **Acknowledgements**

746 The authors would like to offer thanks to Sport England, Macmillan Cancer Support and for
747 their financial support of this study. The fundings bodies will not have involvement or
748 authority in study design; data collection, study management, data analysis and interpretation
749 of data; report writing or the decision to submit the report for publication.

750 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare in the context of this study.

751

752 **Supporting information**

753 **S1: Stage 1 Patient and HCP participant COM-B self-evaluation questionnaire.**

754

755 **S2: Stage 1 Patient and HCP participant semi-structured interview topic guides.**

756

757 **S3: Methods for stage 2 physical activity and exercise capacity assessment**

758

759 **S4: Stage 2 Data collection and methods at scheduled study visits**

760

761 **S5: Data Handing**

762

763 **References**

764

- 765 1. Weiser TG, Haynes AB, Molina G, Lipsitz S, Esquivel MM, Uribe-Leitz T et al.
766 Estimate of the global volume of surgery in 2012: an assessment supporting improved
767 health outcomes. *Lancet*. 2015; 385.
- 768 2. Abbott TE, Fowler AJ, Dobbs TD, Harrison EM, Gillies MA, Pearse RM. Frequency
769 of surgical treatment and related hospital procedures in the UK: a national ecological
770 study using hospital episode statistics. *Br J Anaesth*. 2017;119(2):2 49-57
- 771 3. International Surgical Outcomes Study Group. Global patient outcomes after elective
772 surgery: prospective cohort study in 27 low-, middle-and high-income countries. *Br J*
773 *Anaesth*. 2016;117(5):601-609
- 774 4. Pearse RM, Rhodes A, Moreno R, Pelosi P, Spies C, Vallet B et al. EuSOS: European
775 surgical outcomes study. *Eur J Anaesth*. 2011; 28(6):454-456.
- 776 5. Hughes MJ, Hackney RJ, Lamb PJ, Wigmore SJ, Christopher Deans DA et al.
777 Prehabilitation before major abdominal surgery: a systematic review and meta-
778 analysis. *World J Surg*. 2019; 43(7): 1661-1668.
- 779 6. Health Services Research Centre, Royal College of Anaesthetists. The Perioperative
780 Quality Improvement Programme (PQIP). Annual Report 2018-2019. Available from:
781 <https://pqip.org.uk/FilesUploaded/PQIP%20Annual%20Report%202018-19.pdf>
- 782 7. Lawson EH, Hall BL, Louie R, Ettner SL, Zingmond DS, Han L et al. Association
783 between occurrence of a postoperative complication and readmission: implications for
784 quality improvement and cost savings. *Ann Surg*. 2013; 258(1):10-18.
- 785 8. Ommundsen N, Nesbakken A, Wyller TB, Skovlund E, Bakka AO, Jordhøy MS er al
786 Post-discharge complications in frail older patients after surgery for colorectal cancer.
787 *Eur J Surg Oncol*. 2018; 44(10):1542-1547.
- 788 9. Lawrence VA, Hazuda HP, Cornell JE, Pederson T, Bradshaw PT, Mulrow CD et al.
789 Functional independence after major abdominal surgery in the elderly.*J Am Coll*
790 *Surg*. 2004; 199(5): 762-772.
- 791 10. Durrand J, Singh SJ, Danjoux G. Prehabilitation. *Clinical medicine*. 2019; 19(6): 458-
792 464.
- 793 11. Richardson K, Levett DZ, Jack S, Grocott MP. Fit for surgery? Perspectives on
794 preoperative exercise testing and training. *Br J Anaesth* 2017; 119:i34-43.
- 795 12. Gillis C, Buhler K, Bresee L, Carli F, Gramlich L, Culos-Reed N, Sajobi TT, Fenton
796 TR. Effects of Nutritional Prehabilitation, With and Without Exercise, on Outcomes

- 797 of Patients Who Undergo Colorectal Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-
798 analysis. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;155(2):391-410.
- 799 13. Levett DZ, Grimmett C. Psychological factors, prehabilitation and surgical outcomes:
800 evidence and future directions. *Anaesthesia*. 2019; 74: 36-42.
- 801 14. McDonald S, Yates D, Durrand JW, Kothmann E, Sniehotta FF, Habgood A et al.
802 Exploring patient attitudes to behaviour change before surgery to reduce
803 peri-operative risk: preferences for short-vs. long-term behaviour change.
804 *Anaesthesia*. 2019; 74(12): 1580-1588.
- 805 15. McIsaac DI, Gill M, Boland L, Hutton B, Branje K, Shaw J et al. Prehabilitation in
806 adult patients undergoing surgery: an umbrella review of systematic reviews. *Br J*
807 *Anaesth*. 2021; 128(2): 244-257.
- 808 16. Macmillan Cancer Support, Royal College of Anaesthetists, National Institute for
809 Health Research. Prehabilitation for people with cancer, 2019. Available from:
810 [https://cdn.macmillan.org.uk/dfsmedia/1a6f23537f7f4519bb0cf14c45b2a629/1532-](https://cdn.macmillan.org.uk/dfsmedia/1a6f23537f7f4519bb0cf14c45b2a629/1532-10061/prehabilitation-for-people-with-cancer-tcm9-353994?_ga=2.35766304.1649691559.1655985364-2110895088.1655985364)
811 [10061/prehabilitation-for-people-with-cancer-tcm9-](https://cdn.macmillan.org.uk/dfsmedia/1a6f23537f7f4519bb0cf14c45b2a629/1532-10061/prehabilitation-for-people-with-cancer-tcm9-353994?_ga=2.35766304.1649691559.1655985364-2110895088.1655985364)
812 [353994?_ga=2.35766304.1649691559.1655985364-2110895088.1655985364](https://cdn.macmillan.org.uk/dfsmedia/1a6f23537f7f4519bb0cf14c45b2a629/1532-10061/prehabilitation-for-people-with-cancer-tcm9-353994?_ga=2.35766304.1649691559.1655985364-2110895088.1655985364)
- 813 17. Thomsen T, Villebro N, Møller AM. Interventions for preoperative smoking
814 cessation. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2014(3).
- 815 18. Eliassen M, Grønkjær M, Skov-Ettrup LS, Mikkelsen SS, Becker U, Tolstrup JS,
816 Flensburg-Madsen T. Preoperative alcohol consumption and postoperative
817 complications: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Annals of surgery*. 2013;
818 258(6): 930-42.
- 819 19. Grandner MA. Sleep, health, and society. *Sleep medicine clinics*. 2017; 12(1):1-22.
- 820 20. Gillis C, Gill M, Gramlich L, Culos-Reed SN, Nelson G, Ljungqvist O, Carli F,
821 Fenton T. Patients' perspectives of prehabilitation as an extension of Enhanced
822 Recovery After Surgery protocols. *Canadian Journal of Surgery*. 2021; 64(6): E578.
- 823 21. Centre for Perioperative Care (CPOC). Guideline for perioperative care for people
824 living with frailty undergoing elective emergency surgery, 2021. Available from:
825 [https://www.cpoc.org.uk/sites/cpoc/files/documents/2021-09/CPOC-Perioperative-](https://www.cpoc.org.uk/sites/cpoc/files/documents/2021-09/CPOC-Perioperative-Care-Solutions-FINAL.pdf)
826 [Care-Solutions-FINAL.pdf](https://www.cpoc.org.uk/sites/cpoc/files/documents/2021-09/CPOC-Perioperative-Care-Solutions-FINAL.pdf)
- 827 22. Centre for Perioperative Care (CPOC). Tackling the Elective Surgical Backlog:
828 Preoperative Care Solutions to the Waiting List, 2021. Available from:
829 [https://www.cpoc.org.uk/sites/cpoc/files/documents/2021-09/CPOC-Perioperative-](https://www.cpoc.org.uk/sites/cpoc/files/documents/2021-09/CPOC-Perioperative-Care-Solutions-FINAL.pdf)
830 [Care-Solutions-FINAL.pdf](https://www.cpoc.org.uk/sites/cpoc/files/documents/2021-09/CPOC-Perioperative-Care-Solutions-FINAL.pdf)

- 831 23. Durrand J, Moore J, Danjoux GJ. Prehabilitation and preparation for surgery: Has the
832 digital revolution arrived. *Anaesthesia*. 2022; 77: 635-539
- 833 24. Tew GA, Bedford R, Carr E, Durrand JW, Gray J, Hackett R, Lloyd S, Peacock S,
834 Taylor S, Yates D, Danjoux G. Community-based prehabilitation before elective
835 major surgery: the PREP-WELL quality improvement project. *BMJ open quality*.
836 2020 Mar 1;9(1):e000898.
- 837 25. Kebede MM, Zeeb H, Peters M, Heise TL, Pischke CR. Effectiveness of digital
838 interventions for improving glycemic control in persons with poorly controlled type 2
839 diabetes: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression analysis. *Diabetes*
840 *Technol Ther*. 2018; 20(11): 767-782.
- 841 26. Wongvibulsin S, Habeos EE, Huynh PP, Xun H, Shan R, Rodriguez KA et al. Digital
842 health interventions for cardiac rehabilitation: systematic literature review. *J Med*
843 *Internet res*. 2021; 23(2):e18773.
- 844 27. NHS England. The Topol Review: preparing the workforce to deliver the digital
845 future, 2019. Available from: <https://topol.hee.nhs.uk>
- 846 28. Helsper EJ, Reisdorf BC. The emergence of a “digital underclass” in Great Britain
847 and Sweden: Changing reasons for digital exclusion. *New Media Soc*. 2017; 19(8):
848 1253-1270.
- 849 29. Grimmett C, Bradbury K, Dalton SO, Fecher-Jones I, Hoedjes M, Varkonyi-Sepp J et
850 al. The role of behavioral science in personalized multimodal prehabilitation in
851 cancer. *Frontier Psychol*. 2021; 261.
- 852 30. Bradbury K, Watts S, Arden-Close E, Yardley L, Lewith G. Developing digital
853 interventions: A Methodological Guide. *Evid based complementary altern med* 2014;
854 561320.
- 855 31. Michie S, van Stralen MM, West R. The Behaviour Change Wheel: A New Method
856 for Characterising and Designing Behaviour Change Interventions. *Implement Sci*
857 2011; 23(6): 42.
- 858 32. Cane J, O’Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for
859 use in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implement Sci*. 2012; 7(1): 37.
- 860 33. Yardley L, Morrison L, Bradbury K, Muller I. The Person-Based Approach to
861 Intervention Development: Application to Digital Health-Related Behavior Change
862 Interventions *J Med Internet Res* 2015;17(1): e30
- 863 34. Michie S, Richardson M, Johnston M, Abraham C, Francis J, Hardeman W et al. The
864 behavior change technique taxonomy (v1) of 93 hierarchically clustered techniques:

- 865 building an international consensus for the reporting of behavior change interventions.
866 *Ann Behav Med.* 2013; (46):81–95.
- 867 35. Powell BJ, Waltz TJ, Chinman MJ, Damschroder LJ, Smith JL, Matthieu MM,
868 Proctor EK, Kirchner JE. A refined compilation of implementation strategies: results
869 from the Expert Recommendations for Implementing Change (ERIC) project.
870 *Implementation Science*, 2015; 10:21.
- 871 36. Francis JJ, Johnston M, Robertson C, Glidewell L, Entwistle V, Eccles MP, et al.
872 What is an adequate sample size? Operationalising data saturation for theory based
873 interview studies. *Psychol Health* 2010; 25(10): 1229-1245.
- 874 37. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Routine Preoperative Tests
875 for Elective Surgery (NG45), 2016. Available from:
876 <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng45>
- 877 38. Lancaster GA, Dodd S, Williamson PR. Design and analysis of pilot studies:
878 recommendations for good practice. *J Eval Clin Practice* 2004; 10: 307-312.
- 879 39. American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM). Guidelines for Exercise testing and
880 Prescription 11th Ed. Wolters-Kluwer, 2019.
- 881 40. Cross R, Greaves CJ, Withall J, Rejeski WJ, Stathi A. Delivery fidelity of the REACT
882 (REtirement in ACTion) physical activity and behaviour maintenance intervention for
883 community dwelling older people with mobility limitations. *BMC Public Health.*
884 2022;22(1):1112.
- 885 41. Tew GA, Ayyash R, Durrand J, Danjoux G. Clinical guideline and recommendations
886 on pre-operative exercise training in patients awaiting major non-cardiac surgery.
887 *Anaesthesia.* 2018; 73(6): 750-768.
- 888 42. Levett DZ, Jack S, Swart M, Carlisle J, Wilson J, Snowden C et al. Perioperative
889 cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET): consensus clinical guidelines on
890 indications, organization, conduct, and physiological interpretation. *Br J Anaesth.*
891 2018; 120(3): 484-500.

Figure 3: Study stage 2 SPIRIT schedule of enrolment, interventions, and assessments.

Timepoint	Enrolment	Post-allocation			Closeout
		T1 Post-enrolment	T2 Pre-operative	T3 3-months post-surgery	
ENROLMENT:					
Eligibility screen	X				
Informed consent	X				
INTERVENTIONS:					
Access to iPREPWELL digital prehabilitation programme (all patient participants)		←————→			
ASSESSMENTS:					
Safety assessment for remotely supervised exercise	X				
Demographics (name, age, biological sex, postcode and preferred contact)		X			
Clinical assessments					
Comorbidities		X			
Rockwood clinical frailty scale (CFS)		X			
Planned/actual Date of operation		X	X	X	
Surgical Specialty		X			
Planned/actual procedure		X	X	X	
Resting heart rate (beats.min ⁻¹)		X	X	X	
Resting blood pressure (mmHg)		X	X	X	
Resting oxygen saturation SPO2 (%)		X	X	X	
Stature (m)		X			

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.21.22281380>; this version posted October 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.21.22281380>; this version posted October 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Chemoradiotherapy status		X			
Health risk behaviour assessments					
<i>Smoking</i>					
Smoking status (number of cigarettes)		X	X	X	
Fagerstrom score		X			
<i>Alcohol</i>					
Consumption (units of alcohol)		X	X	X	
AUDIT (if >14 units per week)		X	X	X	
<i>Physical activity and exercise capacity</i>					
International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) Short form		X	X	X	
ARISCAT score		X			
6-minute walk test (m)		X	X	X	
30 second sit-to-stand test (Repetitions)		X	X	X	
Grip strength (kg)		X	X	X	
Maximum inspiratory pressure (cmH ₂ O)		X	X	X	
VO ₂ peak (ml.kg ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹) if routinely collected		X	X		
VO ₂ Anaerobic threshold (AT) (ml.kg ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹) if routinely collected		X	X		
VE/VCO ₂ at anaerobic threshold if routinely collected		X	X		
<i>Diet and nutrition status</i>					
Dana Faber Cancer institute eating habits questionnaire (personal dietary assessment domains)		X	X	X	

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.22.22281989>; this version posted October 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Malnutrition universal screening tool (MUST)		X	X	X	
Patient Generated – Subjective Global Assessment (PG-SGA)		X	X	X	
Body mass (kg)		X	X	X	
Body mass index (BMI)		X	X	X	
Body composition (FM/FFM%)		X	X	X	
Glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1C) (recorded if routinely collected) (mmol/mol)		X	X	X	
CRP (record if routinely collected) (mg/L)		X	X	X	
<i>Sleep health</i>					
Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index		X	X	X	
<i>Psychological health and wellbeing</i>					
Patient activation measure (PAM)		X	X	X	
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) Anxiety		X	X	X	
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) Depression		X	X	X	
Quality of life assessments					
Quality of Life (EQ-5D-5L)		X	X	X	
Quality of Life (SF-36 v2)		X	X	X	
Perioperative outcome measures					
Alive at hospital discharge				X	
30-day postoperative mortality				X	
Comprehensive complication index (CCI) at hospital discharge				X	

Days at home post-surgery (DAH ₃₀)				X	
Length of hospital stay (days)				X	
Length of critical care stay (days)				X	
Qualitative assessments					
60 minute semi-structured interview		←————→			

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.21.22281380>; this version posted October 22, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Figure 1: Overview of the study design and timelines




Figure 2: Overview of stage 2 of the study (feasibility study)

