The Best Start (Kia Tīmata Pai): A Study Protocol for a Cluster Randomized Trial with Early Childhood Teachers to Support Children's Oral Language and Self-Regulation Development

Elaine Reese[1*], Jesse Kokaua[2], Hayley Guiney[1], Tugce Bakir-Demir[1], Jimmy McLauchlan[3], Clair Edgeler[4], Elizabeth Schaughency[1], Mele Taumoepeau[5], Karen Salmon[5], Amanda Clifford[1], Natasha Maruariki[4], Stuart McNaughton[6], Peter Gluckman[7], Charles A. Nelson[8], Ran Wei[8], Valentina Pergher[8], Sophia Amjad[7], Anita Trudgen[7], Richie Poulton[1]

[*1]University of Otago, Psychology Department, 93 Union Street East, Dunedin, New Zealand, 9054, elaine.reese@otago.ac.nz
[2]University of Otago, Division of Sciences
[3]Methodist Mission Southern
[4]BestStart Educare
[5]Victoria University of Wellington, Psychology Department
[6]University of Auckland, Faculty of Education
[7]University of Auckland, Liggins Institute
[8]Harvard University, Boston Children's Hospital

Issue date: 6 October 2022
Protocol amendment number: 9
Authors: ER, HG, TBD, RP

Funding: Funding for the Main Trial and for the Brain Development and Behavior sub-study is by the Wright Family Foundation. Wellcome Leap also provided seed funding for the equipment, materials, and staff for the baseline phase of the Brain Development and Behavior sub-study. The design, management, analysis, and reporting of the study are independent of the Wright Family Foundation and Wellcome Leap. The principal investigators report no financial or other competing interests.

Sponsor: Emotion Regulation Aotearoa New Zealand (ERANZ) is the sponsor for this trial. Members of ERANZ include RP, ER, SM, ES, MT, KS, and PG. Please contact corresponding author Professor Elaine Reese, elaine.reese@otago.ac.nz at University of Otago, Department of Psychology, 93 Union Street East, Dunedin, New Zealand 9054. The sponsor is responsible for the study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and the decision to submit reports for publication.

Abstract

Background

Oral language skills are associated with children's later self-regulation and academic skills; in turn, self-regulation in early childhood predicts successful functioning later in life. Yet research to date has not tested the combined benefits of interventions for oral language and self-regulation skills.

Objective

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the separate and combined effectiveness of an oral language intervention (ENRICH) and a self-regulation intervention (ENGAGE) with early childhood teachers and parents for children's oral language, self-regulation, and academic functioning.

Methods

The Best Start study (Kia Tīmata Pai in te reo Māori, the indigenous language of New Zealand) is a cluster randomized controlled trial with teachers and children in approximately 140 early childhood centres in New Zealand. Centres are randomly assigned to receive either oral language intervention only (ENRICH), self-regulation intervention only (ENGAGE), both interventions (ENRICH + ENGAGE), or an active control condition. Teachers' and parents' practices and children's oral language and self-regulation development are assessed at baseline at age 1.5 years and at approximately 9-month intervals to age 5, and academic performance will be assessed at age 6. Teacher-child interactions will also be videotaped approximately every 9 months in a randomly selected subset of the centres. Finally, children's brain and behavior development and interactions with their parents will be assessed approximately every 6 months to age 6 years in a sub-group of volunteers.

Discussion

Our previous studies show the separate benefits of oral language and self-regulation interventions with parents and educators for young children. In this study, we are investigating the separate and combined benefits of these two interventions in a large-scale cluster randomized controlled trial of teachers, parents, and young children. The results will inform theories of self-regulation development and the design of early childhood curricula.

Trial registration

This trial was registered with the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry (ANZCTR) as ACTRN12621000845831.

Keywords: oral language; self-regulation; early childhood education; teacher-child interactions; parent-child interactions; brain development

Background and rationale

Self-regulation comprises the ability to regulate one's thoughts, feelings, and actions [1]. Self-regulation skills are developing rapidly in early childhood [2] predict later academic functioning and life success [1, 3]. Clinically relevant difficulties in these skills predict negative outcomes across domains of functioning, including psychological and physical health across the lifespan [4]. Because self-regulation difficulties are linked to later problems in academic, occupational, and socioemotional functioning [5-7], it is vital to design preventive measures during early childhood when these skills are rapidly developing.

A successful play-based preventive intervention called ENGAGE (Enhancing Neurocognitive Growth with the Aid of Games and Exercise) [8, 9] aims to improve children's cognitive, emotional, and motor self-regulation through children's games (e.g., Simon Says) that are interpersonal in nature and teach a range of skills. ENGAGE leads to equivalent improvements in parent-rated behavior problems as a gold-standard parent-management programme (Triple P), with treatment gains maintained 12 months later [9]. In larger scale interventions in early childhood education settings, ENGAGE has lowered preschool children's levels of hyperactivity, aggression, and inattention relative to a wait-list control period [10].

Another way to foster self-regulation is to enhance children's oral language development [11]. The way adults talk with children during everyday activities (mealtimes, book-reading, play) advances children's early language and cognitive development [12], which in turn enhances their self-regulation [13]. Two evidence-based ways to improve children's oral language skills are through book-sharing [14] and conversing about everyday experiences [15]. For instance, a combined reading-and-conversation program with parents and teachers called *Tender Shoots* improves preschool

children's oral narrative, early literacy, and socioemotional skills up to one year later compared to activity-based controls [16-19]. Critically, children's academic skills at school entry predict their later academic and socioemotional functioning [20].

In this study, we have developed a reading-and-conversation program for even younger children called ENRICH (Enhancing Rich Conversations; see supplemental file 2). Because oral language is developing rapidly in the toddler years, oral language interventions at this young age can produce stronger benefits than similar interventions at older ages [21], but are rare [13]. Moreover, previous oral language interventions have focused primarily on training either parents or teachers to engage in the interactions, but not both. The few interventions that have been conducted with both parents and teachers indicate larger effects when both are targeted [22].

Objective

Our study tests whether targeting oral language plus self-regulation (ENRICH plus ENGAGE) produces greater benefits than targeting either oral language alone (ENRICH) or self-regulation alone (ENGAGE) for children's oral language, self-regulation, and academic functioning, over and above the usual early childhood curriculum.

Methods

Study design and participants

The aim of this project is to conduct a cluster randomized controlled trial (RCT) to evaluate two evidence-based programs: ENRICH (Enhancing RICH Interactions) and ENGAGE (Enhancing Neurocognitive Growth with the Aid of Games and Exercise) with a factorial design. Through professional development with teachers and workshops with parents, we began when children were 15 to 27 months old at baseline and will follow them to age 6 (see Figures 1 and 2; supplemental file 1). ENRICH is book- and conversation-based to foster toddlers' oral language and literacy skills, with ENRICH+ an advanced version for

preschoolers; ENGAGE is games-based to foster preschool children's self-regulation skills (see supplemental file 2). Recall that oral language is a pathway to self-regulation [11]. The four arms are thus:

1) Language only: ENRICH (1.5 to 3 years) and ENRICH+ (3 to 5 years)
2) Self-regulation only: ENGAGE (3 to 5 years)
3) Combined: ENRICH (1.5 to 3 years) and ENRICH+ (3 to 5 years) and ENGAGE (3 to 5 years)
4) Active Control: Curriculum as usual plus child development webinar

The project also contains two sub-studies: 1) a video project study to measure implementation with a subset of 24 centres randomly selected from two large cities, one small city, and a rural region; and 2) a brain and behavior development study with a subset of children from the main trial and their parents who are volunteering to participate in additional neurophysiological and behavioral testing at university laboratories in two cities.

ENRICH and ENGAGE are being delivered first to professional practice leaders in the BestStart early childhood organisation by a team of investigators and early childhood professionals. Professional practice leaders all have at least 10 years of experience in early childhood education; they in turn will conduct training workshops with teachers in a "train the trainer" model. We have already delivered these professional development workshops for the toddler phase of ENRICH in 2021 and 2022. The workshops for ENRICH+ and ENGAGE will take place in early 2023 at the start of the preschool phase when study children are approximately 3 years old. Professional practice leaders are receiving booster training sessions approximately every 9 months throughout the study, and they are documenting their workshops and check-ins with teachers to reinforce the techniques. Teachers in ENRICH centres have already received training and new resources for the toddler phase of the study (two sets of informational cards, 6 new books, and instructional videos). In the preschool phase of the study, teachers in both ENRICH+ and ENGAGE conditions will receive new training and resources (sets of informational cards and/or books and instructional videos) approximately every 9 months.

Teachers in ENRICH centres are being encouraged to use the intervention techniques at their discretion throughout the day during five routines: mealtimes, book times, diaper changes, play, and group time. Books provided are all commercially available, but contain conversation prompts on each page that have been specially designed for this study [18]. In ENRICH+, teachers will be encouraged to select two books per week to read three times

each, plus to continue to have enriched conversations on a daily basis during mealtime, toilet time, pretend play, and group time. Teachers in ENGAGE centres will be encouraged to use the games on a daily basis. Every time teachers in either ENRICH or ENGAGE conditions receive new training, parents of children in intervention conditions are being invited to learn about the new techniques through online information evenings and video links.

In the toddler phase of the study, teachers in the ENGAGE-only arm and in the Active Control arm are currently receiving webinars on child development topics unrelated to either oral language or self-regulation (e.g., nutrition) every 9 months. In the preschool phase, teachers in the Active Control arm will continue to receive child development webinars every 9 months. All webinars are delivered by child development experts for approximately 1 hour each including questions. Parents of children in these conditions are also invited to these webinars or to watch recordings.

Eligibility criteria, study setting, and consent process

We are collaborating with a large national early childhood education organisation in New Zealand called BestStart. In 2021 and 2022, centre managers from 140 centres invited teachers and parents of all children in the target age range to consent to participate via an online link or paper forms at the centre. There are no gender, ethnic, language, or socioeconomic restrictions to participation. All children in the target age range (15 to 27 months at enrolment) are being exposed to the interventions within their early childhood education centre. Data will not be collected on those children whose families have not granted consent.

Implementation checks

Intervention fidelity is being measured in two ways: 1) via fortnightly teacher self-ratings of the frequency of their delivery of the techniques with each study child, and the child's engagement; and 2) via videotaped teacher-child interactions approximately every 9

months in a subset of 24 centres. These implementation checks will serve as an audit on trial conduct and are being overseen by Methodist Mission Southern, a co-investigator body that is independent of the sponsoring organization (ERANZ).

Outcomes

Primary. Our primary outcomes are children's oral language, self-regulation, and literacy. In the toddler phase (1.5 years to 2.25 years), we are measuring oral language with the New Zealand Communicative Development Inventories (short forms) for parents and teachers [23] and self-regulation with the Early Childhood Behavior Questionnaire [24]. In the preschool phase (3 to 5 years), we will measure oral language and literacy with the Teacher Rating of Oral Language and Literacy [25] and the adapted companion Parent Rating of Oral Language and Literacy [26]. We will measure self-regulation outcomes from ages 3 to 5 with teacher and parent versions of the Child Behavior Rating Scale [27]. Finally, global teacher ratings of children's oral language, literacy, self-regulation, and social skills will be measured from ages 3 to 6 [19].

Secondary. Children's B4 school check at age 4.5 years, a school readiness measure, will be accessed through the Ministry of Health [28]. Medical practitioners rate children's cognitive, physical, and socioemotional development. Children's Integrated Data Infrastructure (IDI) will also be accessed at age 6 years and beyond to provide long-term data on education, health, and employment outcomes. The IDI is administrative data held by the New Zealand government on a range of outcomes.

Parents and teachers are self-reporting on their early learning practices at each assessment wave. Teachers are also reporting on their proficiency in te reo Māori, an official language of New Zealand and a target focus of some of the ENRICH materials.

At each assessment wave, approximately 200 children and their teachers in the video project classrooms will also be videotaped for a total of 25 minutes (5 minutes per targeted

routine). The videotapes will be transcribed and then coded for the quantity and quality of speech by coders who are blind to centre condition. Two waves of video observation data were collected in 2021 and 2022, with 3 more waves planned during the preschool phase of the study.

Every 6 months, approximately 250 children participating in the Brain and Behavior Development sub-study are being administered additional neurophysiological measures (EEG and eye-tracking); behavioral measures of executive functioning (working memory, inhibition, and categorization), oral language and literacy (vocabulary, story comprehension, phonological awareness, and letter recognition); and a parent-child interaction measure (see supplemental file 3). The first wave of data is now complete, with the second and third waves ongoing.

Sample size calculation

Assuming small to medium effect sizes, power analyses of final-phase dependent variable outcomes suggested a total sample size of 1600 children (400 per group, including control group) for the current study to afford precision for estimates with alpha = 0.05 and power between .75 and .90. The sample size of 400 per group in the first phase is preferable as a target owing to the likelihood of participant dropout over the course of the study. This sample size also takes into account multiple predictor variables to allow for up to 8-way analyses within groups for some outcomes at time of final analysis (see supplemental file 2 for more detail).

Recruitment

Recruitment of children in the target age range began with a first cohort A from May to August 2021 and continued with a second cohort B from March to June 2022 for a total of 1495 children and their parents and 1638 teachers enrolled in the study to date. New teachers are being recruited, consented, and trained as they begin employment at BestStart.

Allocation to conditions (randomization)

The procedure for allocating centres to conditions was central randomization by computer using a 2 (ENRICH vs no ENRICH) x 2 (ENGAGE vs no ENGAGE) factorial design (see Figure 2). The method used to generate the sequence was permuted block randomization by early childhood centre. The trial is open-label.

Data collection

In the main trial, assessments will be administered approximately every 9 months between ages 1.5 and 5 years, and at age 6 years. Teachers and parents are asked to complete instruments to assess children's oral language and literacy, self-regulation, and socioemotional skills, which takes approximately 35 minutes. In the video project, classroom practices at 24 centres are videotaped by researchers every 9 months to age 5 years, which takes approximately an hour in each centre at each assessment wave. Data collection for the main trial and the brain and behavior development sub-study are targeted to end in March 2025. Incentives to complete assessments at each wave are in the form of raffles for centres (of ten $250 gift cards) and parents (of ten $250 gift cards). Parents and children participating in the brain and behavior development sub-study receive a $20 gift card and small gift at each wave.

Data are primarily collected using REDCap (Research Electronic Data Capture) hosted at the University of Otago [29, 30]. Paper forms are also provided for participants who do not wish to complete the forms online.

Data management and security

Source and format of data: All raw data files including the reports of teachers and parents are saved as CSV files, and media files including the naturalistic observations from 24 BestStart centres are saved as MTS video files at the University of Otago.

Data sharing protocol between centres and the university: Paper forms completed by parents and teachers are scanned by the BestStart centre managers and sent to the University of Otago role-based email address. Hard copies of the paper forms are also couriered to the University of Otago in a secure envelope via New Zealand Couriers. Neurophysiological and eye tracking data in the Brain and Behavior Development study are transferred to Boston Children's Hospital for analysis. Participants are informed about the data sharing process in the consent form (see supplemental file 4).

Data storage, security, and access: Raw digital data is stored in REDCap, which is a secure, web-based software platform. Back-ups of the digital data are also on the University of Otago server. The scanned copies are organized and archived on the university server in such a way that access is restricted to the research team. After each data collection period ends, the paper forms are independently entered twice on REDCap by two researchers, and the two copies are compared and merged by another researcher in the team. The original non-digital data (including the paper forms and micro-SD cards with video footage) is securely stored at the research laboratory within the university to which only a limited number of approved researchers in the trial have access. Raw electrophysiological (EEG/eye-tracking) data will be transmitted from Liggins to Boston Children's Hospital (BCH) for processing via approved BCH Research Computing procedures and stored on the project server maintained by Research Computing. Due to the nature of this electrophysiological data, it cannot be wholly deidentified prior to processing as it contains audio and video recordings of participants, which are naturally identifying. Explicit consent will be obtained for transfer of this specific data to BCH. All other identifying information (name, address etc.) will not be transmitted to BCH. Processed, de-identified data (no video/audio data) will be transmitted back to New Zealand teams through the same BCH RC-approved procedures.

Confidentiality

All information that we collect is used only by the research team working on this study. Any raw data (including video data) and personal information will be retained in secure storage for at least 5 years after the end of the project, as required by the University of Otago's research policy, after which time it will be destroyed. The overall results of the project will be published and will be available in the University of Otago library, but each individual participant's information will remain anonymous and confidential.

Statistical analyses

Mixed modelling and moderator/mediator analyses will be conducted to assess children's oral language and self-regulation outcomes as a function of intervention condition (nested within centres) and as a function of implementation fidelity, adjusting for confounders. Where appropriate, we will use multiple imputation to account for missing data and run sensitivity analyses that compare the results for each cohort (Cohort A and B) with the results for the entire sample.

We will use intent-to-treat analyses for the participants in the Brain and Behaviour Development sub-study, who will continue to be followed even if they leave their BestStart centre. For the remaining outcomes in the main trial, we will analyse data only from participants who remain enrolled in their BestStart centre throughout the preschool phase.

Ethical considerations

The proposed study does not involve any medication or invasive procedures and as such risk to children and their families is minimal. The techniques we will teach are all designed to be positive and beneficial for adults and children alike; however, conversations can sometimes become negative, and young children can vary in their engagement with new activities. We anticipate that any of these issues will be within the realm of what teachers normally deal with on an everyday basis, and in fact, the techniques are designed to help educators tackle discussions of everyday negative events in ways that will support children's

coping and emotion regulation, and in ways that will facilitate children's participation in activities. Therefore, we do not anticipate the need for a formal data monitoring committee.

The University of Otago Health Ethics Committee approved our ethics application on November 23, 2020 (H20/116). The project has also been approved twice by the Ngai Tāhu Research Advisory Committee, once in 2020 and again in 2022. Finally, we have our own Cultural Advisory Group of members drawn from academic, community, and the BestStart organisation to advise on the cultural appropriateness of assessments and intervention materials.

Trial status

We submitted the study to the Australia/New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry on 22 April 2021, prior to the date of the first enrolment on 4 May 2021. The trial registration was delayed because of a COVID-related backlog, so was approved on 1 July 2021.

Discussion

Given the significance of oral language and self-regulation for optimal functioning throughout life [1, 3, 31], there is an urgent need for knowledge on the best ways to support the development of these skills as early in life as possible. Previous large-scale interventions with early childhood teachers have focused either on improving oral language or self-regulation separately [13, 32-34], but not on their independent versus combined effects. Because oral language is developing rapidly in the toddler years [13] and because we hypothesize that oral language is a key driver of self-regulation [11], our aim was to start the oral language intervention at a young age. Moreover, because interventions with both teachers and parents show stronger effects [22], we wished to offer the intervention to parents as well as to teachers. Thus, the main aim of the Best Start study is to test the separate and combined long-term impact of oral language and self-regulation interventions with both early childhood teachers and parents from the time children are toddlers. To our knowledge, our

study will be the first RCT in New Zealand or internationally to test these combined effects from such a young age.

Our findings will inform both theory and practice. With respect to theory, we will be able to test our hypothesis that oral language is a key driver of later self-regulation [11]. We will also test our hypothesis that combining oral language and self-regulation interventions will produce the greatest benefits for children's self-regulation and later academic functioning. Finally, via the Brain and Behavior Development sub-study, we will test our hypothesis that these benefits are mediated by neurophysiological changes in brain development related to the interventions.

With respect to practice, our findings will inform the design of early childhood curricula in New Zealand and internationally. If we find positive results from ENRICH and/or ENGAGE, each approach could be scaled up to reach larger numbers of early childhood teachers and children, and could be adapted for use in different countries and languages.

Amendments to the study

Since receiving initial ethical approval in November 2020, the University of Otago Health Ethics Committee has approved the following amendments:

- February 2021: Brain and Behavior Development sub-study, video project, and associated personnel
- March 2021: New personnel
- April 2021: Changes to consent forms and data storage
- May 2021: Changes to sub-study measures
- December 2021: Recruitment of Cohort B
- August 2021: New EEG testing site and new personnel

- February 2022: Additional questionnaire items, data storage and transport, and changes to implementation checks
- May 2022: Changes to incentives and new personnel
- June 2022: New personnel

Dissemination plans

We will disseminate the findings widely via newsletters to early childhood centres and parents twice a year, in-person and online presentations at national and international conferences, publications in academic journals, blogs and podcasts for professional and trade organizations, oral and written communication to the New Zealand Ministry of Education, and a study website.

Supplemental Information

- File 1: SPIRIT Checklist
- File 2: Original protocol for Main Trial from Ethics application submitted in October 2020
- File 3: Original protocol for Brain and Behavior Development sub-study submitted in February 2021
- File 4: Information sheets and consent forms for the Main Trial and Brain and Behavior Development sub-study

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge with gratitude all of the BestStart teachers, professional practice leaders, centre managers, and staff who are contributing to the study; and to Julia Errington-Smith, Grace Lam, and other support staff at Methodist Mission Southern. Most of all, we thank the parents and children for their participation.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Richie Poulton, Stuart McNaughton, Elaine Reese, Clair Edgeler, Jimmy McLauchlan, Elizabeth Schaughency, Mele Taumoepeau, Karen Salmon, Charles Nelson

Data curation: Tugce Bakir-Demir, Hayley Guiney, Natasha Maruariki

Formal analysis: Hayley Guiney, Jesse Kokaua, Tugce Bakir-Demir

Funding acquisition: Richie Poulton

Methodology: Richie Poulton, Elaine Reese, Jesse Kokaua, Charles Nelson, Elizabeth Schaughency, Mele Taumoepeau, Karen Salmon, Amanda Clifford, Ran Wei, Valentina Pergher, Sophia Amjad, Anita Trudgen

Project administration: Jimmy McLauchlan, Natasha Maruariki, Richie Poulton, Elaine Reese, Tugce Bakir-Demir, Hayley Guiney

Resources: Elaine Reese, Elizabeth Schaughency, Mele Taumoepeau, Jimmy McLauchlan, Julia Errington Scott

Supervision of postgraduate students: Elaine Reese, Amanda Clifford, Elizabeth Schaughency, Mele Taumoepeau, Tugce Bakir-Demir, Hayley Guiney

Writing – original draft: Elaine Reese, Tugce Bakir-Demir, Hayley Guiney

Writing – review & editing: Elaine Reese, Richie Poulton, Jesse Kokaua, Hayley Guinea, Tugce Bakir-Demir, Jimmy McLauchlan, Clair Edgeler, Elizabeth Schaughency, Mele Taumoepeau, Karen Salmon, Amanda Clifford, Natasha Maruariki, Stuart McNaughton, Peter Gluckman, Charles Nelson, Ran Wei, Valentina Pergher, Sophia Amjad, Anita Trudgen

References

1. Robson DA, Allen MS, Howard SJ. Self-regulation in childhood as a predictor of future outcomes: A meta-analytic review. Psychological Bulletin. 2020;146(4):324-54. Epub 2020/01/07. doi: 10.1037/bul0000227. PubMed PMID: 31904248.
2. Hendry A, Jones EJH, Charman T. Executive function in the first three years of life: Precursors, predictors and patterns. Developmental Review. 2016;42:1-33. doi: 10.1016/j.dr.2016.06.005.
3. Moffitt TE, Arseneault L, Belsky D, Dickson N, Hancox RJ, Harrington H, et al. A gradient of childhood self-control predicts health, wealth, and public safety. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2011;108(7):2693-8. Epub 2011/01/26. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1010076108. PubMed PMID: 21262822; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3041102.
4. Richmond-Rakerd LS, Caspi A, Ambler A, d'Arbeloff T, de Bruine M, Elliott M, et al. Childhood self-control forecasts the pace of midlife aging and preparedness for old age. PNAS Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2021;118(3). Epub 2021/01/06. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2010211118. PubMed PMID: 33397808; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7826388.
5. Blair C. Developmental science and executive function. Current Directions in Psychological Science. 2016;25(1):3-7. Epub 2016/03/18. doi: 10.1177/0963721415622634. PubMed PMID: 26985139; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4789148.
6. Mohr-Jensen C, Steinhausen HC. A meta-analysis and systematic review of the risks associated with childhood attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder on long-term outcome of arrests, convictions, and incarcerations. Clinical Psychology Review. 2016;48:32-42. Epub 2016/07/09. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2016.05.002. PubMed PMID: 27390061.
7. Yang Y, Shields GS, Zhang Y, Wu H, Chen H, Romer AL. Child executive function and future externalizing and internalizing problems: A meta-analysis of prospective longitudinal studies. Clinical Psychology Review. 2022;97:102194. Epub 2022/08/15. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2022.102194. PubMed PMID: 35964337.
8. Healey DM, Halperin JM. Enhancing Neurobehavioral Gains with the Aid of Games and Exercise (ENGAGE): Initial open trial of a novel early intervention fostering the development of preschoolers' self-regulation. Child Neuropsychology. 2015;21(4):465-80. Epub 2014/04/17. doi: 10.1080/09297049.2014.906567. PubMed PMID: 24735230.
9. Healey D, Healey M. Randomized Controlled Trial comparing the effectiveness of structured-play (ENGAGE) and behavior management (TRIPLE P) in reducing problem behaviors in preschoolers. Scientific Reports. 2019;9(1):3497. Epub 2019/03/07. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-40234-0. PubMed PMID: 30837595; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6401110.
10. Healey D, Milne B, Healey M. Adaption and implementation of the engage programme: Teaching self-regulation through play, within the early childhood curriculum. Research Square. 2022. doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-1359890/v1.
11. Salmon K, O'Kearney R, Reese E, Fortune CA. The role of language skill in child psychopathology: Implications for intervention in the early years. Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review. 2016;19(4):352-67. Epub 2016/10/28. doi: 10.1007/s10567-016-0214-1. PubMed PMID: 27678011.
12. Gilkerson J, Richards JA, Warren SF, Oller DK, Russo R, Vohr B. Language experience in the second year of life and language outcomes in late childhood. Pediatrics. 2018;142(4). Epub 2018/09/12. doi: 10.1542/peds.2017-4276. PubMed PMID: 30201624; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6192025.

13. Bleses D, Jensen P, Slot P, Justice L. Low-cost teacher-implemented intervention improves toddlers' language and math skills. Early Childhood Research Quarterly. 2020;53:64-76. doi: 10.1016/j.ecresq.2020.03.001.
14. Dowdall N, Melendez-Torres GJ, Murray L, Gardner F, Hartford L, Cooper PJ. Shared picture book reading interventions for child language development: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Child Development. 2020;91(2):e383-e99. Epub 2019/02/10. doi: 10.1111/cdev.13225. PubMed PMID: 30737957.
15. Salmon K, Reese E. The benefits of reminiscing with young children. Current Directions in Psychological Science. 2016;25(4):233-8. doi: 10.1177/0963721416655100.
16. Clifford A, Schaughency, E., Das, S., Carroll, J., Riordan, J., & Reese, E. Tender Shoots: Effects of a parent conversation program to promote quality reminiscing and children's socioemotional development. Manuscript in preparation. 2022.
17. Reese E, Barrett-Young A, Gilkison L, Carroll J, Das S, Riordan J, et al. Tender Shoots: A parent book-reading and reminiscing program to enhance children's oral narrative skills. Reading and Writing. 2022. doi: 10.1007/s11145-022-10282-6.
18. Riordan J, Reese E, Das S, Carroll J, Schaughency E. Tender Shoots: A randomized controlled trial of two shared-reading approaches for enhancing parent-child interactions and children's oral language and literacy skills. Scientific Studies of Reading. 2021;26(3):183-203. doi: 10.1080/10888438.2021.1926464.
19. Schaughency E, Linney, K., Carroll, J., Das, S., & Riordan, J. & Reese, E. Tender Shoots: Effects of a preschool shared book-reading preventive intervention on children's reading skills in the first year of school. Manuscript under review. 2022.
20. Duncan GJ, Dowsett CJ, Claessens A, Magnuson K, Huston AC, Klebanov P, et al. School readiness and later achievement. Developmental Psychology. 2007;43(6):1428-46. Epub 2007/11/21. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.43.6.1428. PubMed PMID: 18020822.
21. Mol SE, Bus AG, de Jong MT, Smeets DJH. Added value of dialogic parent–child book readings: A meta-analysis. Early Education and Development. 2008;19(1):7-26. doi: 10.1080/10409280701838603.
22. Lonigan CJ, Whitehurst GJ. Relative efficacy of parent and teacher involvement in a shared-reading intervention for preschool children from low-income backgrounds. Early Childhood Research Quarterly. 1998;13(2):263-90. doi: 10.1016/s0885-2006(99)80038-6.
23. Reese E, Keegan P, McNaughton S, Kingi TK, Carr PA, Schmidt J, et al. Te Reo Maori: Indigenous language acquisition in the context of New Zealand English. Journal of Child Language. 2018;45(2):340-67. Epub 2017/07/07. doi: 10.1017/S0305000917000241. PubMed PMID: 28679455.
24. Putnam SP, Rothbart MK. Development of short and very short forms of the Children's Behavior Questionnaire. Journal of Personality Assessment. 2006;87(1):102-12. Epub 2006/07/22. doi: 10.1207/s15327752jpa8701_09. PubMed PMID: 16856791.
25. Dickinson DK, McCabe, A., & Sprague, K. Teacher Rating of Oral Language and Literacy (TROLL): Individualizing early literacy instruction with a standards-based rating tool. The Reading Teacher. 2003;56(6):554–64. doi: https://link.gale.com/apps/doc/A99113524/AONE?u=otago&sid=googleScholar&xid=9fa87c74.
26. Bird A, Reese, E., Schaughency, E., Atatoa Carr, P., & Morton, S. M. B. Talking, praising and teaching: How does parent interaction during a learning task relate to children's early learning? . Manuscript under review. 2022.
27. Bronson MB, Goodson, B. D., Layzer, J. I., & Love, J. M. Child Behavior Rating Scale: Cambridge, MA: ABT Associates.; 1990.
28. Gibb S, Milne B, Shackleton N, Taylor BJ, Audas R. How universal are universal preschool health checks? An observational study using routine data from New Zealand's B4

School Check. BMJ Open. 2019;9(4):e025535. Epub 2019/04/06. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2018-025535. PubMed PMID: 30948582; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6500230.
29. Harris PA, Taylor R, Minor BL, Elliott V, Fernandez M, O'Neal L, et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software platform partners. Journal of Biomedical Information. 2019;95:103208. Epub 2019/05/13. doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103208. PubMed PMID: 31078660; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7254481.
30. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. Journal of Biomedical Information. 2009;42(2):377-81. Epub 2008/10/22. doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010. PubMed PMID: 18929686; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2700030.
31. Durham RE, Farkas G, Hammer CS, Bruce Tomblin J, Catts HW. Kindergarten oral language skill: A key variable in the intergenerational transmission of socioeconomic status. Research in Social Stratification and Mobility. 2007;25(4):294-305. doi: 10.1016/j.rssm.2007.03.001.
32. Bleses D, Jensen P, Højen A, Slot P, Justice L. Implementing toddler interventions at scale: The case of “We learn together”. Early Childhood Research Quarterly. 2021;57:12-26. doi: 10.1016/j.ecresq.2021.04.008.
33. Dickinson DK, Collins MF, Nesbitt K, Toub TS, Hassinger-Das B, Hadley EB, et al. Effects of teacher-delivered book reading and play on vocabulary learning and self-regulation among low-income preschool children. Journal of Cognition and Development. 2018;20(2):136-64. doi: 10.1080/15248372.2018.1483373.
34. Mattera S, Rojas NM, Morris PA, Bierman K. Promoting EF with preschool interventions: Lessons learned from 15 years of conducting large-scale studies. Frontiers in Psychology. 2021;12:640702. Epub 2021/07/13. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.640702. PubMed PMID: 34248742; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8264511.

Figure 1. Kia Timata Pai schedule of enrolment, interventions, and assessments.

	Allocate centres	Children enrolled	STUDY PERIOD					
TIMEPOINT	$-t_1$	t_0 Baseline	t_1 Wave 2	t_2 Wave 3	t_3 Wave 4	t_4 Wave 5	t_5 Wave 6	t_6 Follow-up
Child target age		1.5y	2.25y	3y	3.75y	4.5y	5y	6y
ENROLMENT								
Eligibility screen		X						
Informed consent		X						
Allocation	X							
INTERVENTIONS								
Language only								
Self-regulation only								
Language & self-regulation								
Active control (usual early childhood education)								
ASSESSMENTS								
Child health & development		Parent-reported				B4 school check		IDI
Family sociodemographic characteristics & health (Parent-reported)		X						
Early learning practices (Parent and teacher-reported)		X	X	X	X	X	X	
Oral language & literacy		NZCDI	NZCDI	TROLL PROLL	TROLL PROLL	TROLL PROLL	TROLL PROLL	
Self-regulation		ECBQ	ECBQ	CBRS	CBRS	CBRS	CBRS	
Academic progress (Teacher ratings)								X
Additional measures in Video sub-study								
Teacher-child interactions (videoed)		X	X	X	X	X	X	
Additional measures in Brain Development sub-study								
EEG & eye-tracking measures (Resting, Auditory,[1] WM, Flanker, Disengagement, VEP)		X	X	X	X	X	X	
Behavioral executive functioning (Tasks measuring WM, Inhibition, Categorisation)		X	X	X	X	X	X	
Oral language and literacy (PELI)				X	X	X	X	

Parent-child interactions (No toy play, Toy play, Divided attention, Narrative)		X	X	X	X	X	X	

Notes. Specific measures are listed at a particular time-point if there is change in the measure used across time due to ensure they remain developmentally-appropriate. 'X' indicates that a particular set of measures was used at that time point. [1]EEG only. ECE = early childhood education. NZCDI = New Zealand Communicative Development Inventories. TROLL = Teacher Rating of Oral Language and Literacy. PROLL = Parent Rating of Oral Language and Literacy. ECBQ = Early Childhood Behaviour Questionnaire (surgency, negative affect, and effortful control subscales). CBRS = Child Behavior Rating Scale. IDI = Integrated Data Infrastructure. WM = working memory. VEP = visually-evoked potential. PELI = Preschool Early Literacy Indicators. Narrative = shared past event narrative.

Figure 2. Study Flow Diagram.



Figure 2 Study flow diagram