

1 ORIGINAL RESEARCH

2 Ozoh OB et al

3 **Title: “This guideline is not practical; it might be practical to specialists like you”: Doctors’**  
4 **experiences of the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guideline in Nigeria; A qualitative**  
5 **study.**

6 Short Title: Doctors’ experiences of the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guideline in  
7 Nigeria

8 **Authors:**

9 Obianuju Beatrice Ozoh<sup>1,2</sup>; <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7865-4771>

10 Sandra Kelechi Dede<sup>2</sup>

11 Joy Nkiru Eze<sup>3</sup>; <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1708-9182>

12 Kevin Mortimer<sup>4,5</sup>; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8118-8871>

13 Martha Chinouya<sup>6</sup>; <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7744-0061>

14

15 **Affiliations:**

16 1. Department of Medicine, Faculty of Clinical Sciences, College of Medicine, University of  
17 Lagos, Nigeria

18 2. Department of Medicine, Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria

19 3. Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Medical Sciences, College of Medicine, University  
20 of Nigeria, Enugu, Nigeria

21 4. Department of Respiratory Medicine, Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation  
22 Trust, United Kingdom.

23 5. Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom.

24 6. Faculty of Education, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United  
25 Kingdom.

26 **Corresponding author:**

27 Martha Chinouya

28 Faculty of Education,

29 Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine,

30 Liverpool, United Kingdom.

31 Email: [Martha.Chinouya@lstmed.ac.uk](mailto:Martha.Chinouya@lstmed.ac.uk)

32 **Total word count**

33 Abstract: 272

34 Main text: 4067

35 Total number of references: 39

36 Number of Tables: 1

37 Number of Figures: 0

38

39

40

41 **Abstract**

42 **Background:** The Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) report sets out updated evidence-based  
43 strategy for asthma management. Little is known about how this report is perceived and  
44 implemented in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) like Nigeria. We explored the  
45 experiences of asthma management as informed by the current GINA guideline among doctors in  
46 Lagos, Nigeria.

47 **Methods:** Using a qualitative research approach, in-depth interviews were conducted among  
48 doctors in Lagos, Nigeria to explore their experiences of asthma management in the context of the  
49 current GINA report. The thematic framework approach was used for data analysis.

50 **Results:** Eleven doctors (five general practitioners (GPs) and six Family Physicians (FPs) took  
51 part. Four overarching themes were identified:

52 1) Knowledge of, and attitude towards the GINA strategy: Whilst most doctors were aware of the  
53 existence of the GINA report, there was limited knowledge about its content including current  
54 recommendations for mild asthma treatment.

55 2) Asthma diagnosis and treatment: There was limited access to lung function testing facilities  
56 and its role in asthma diagnosis was underappreciated.

57 3) Barriers to managing asthma according to GINA recommendations: These included complexity  
58 of the GINA report, unavailability and unaffordability of asthma medicines and poor patient  
59 adherence to medications, driven by socio-cultural factors.

60 4) Enablers of GINA-recommended asthma management: Improvement in asthma education for  
61 doctors and the general population and better access to diagnostic tests and medicines.

62 **Conclusion:** Whilst there was awareness of the existence of the GINA report, there was limited  
63 knowledge about its content and several barriers to its implementation were reported. Education  
64 about the GINA report, investment in diagnostic and treatment services and simplification of  
65 recommendations were identified as possible solutions.

66

67 **Key words:** Asthma guidelines, practice, general practitioners, family physicians, Nigeria, GINA

68

## 69 **Introduction**

70 Asthma is the most common chronic respiratory disease (CRD) in children and remains one of the  
71 most common CRDs through adolescence and into adulthood [1-4]. The great majority of the 260  
72 million people with asthma globally live in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs)  
73 where they face a disproportionately high burden of morbidity (84% of global disability-adjusted  
74 life years) and mortality (96% of global asthma related deaths) [5,6]. In Nigeria, a LMIC in sub-  
75 Saharan Africa (sSA) with a population of around 200 million people, the prevalence of asthma is  
76 6.2%.[7] Fewer than 10% of these have well controlled disease suggesting that asthma is a major  
77 cause of avoidable morbidity and potentially mortality in Nigeria and other LMICs [6,8,9].

78         There are many challenges to asthma management in LMIC. These range from limited  
79 availability and affordability of asthma medicines and diagnostics to low prioritization of asthma  
80 by policy makers [10,11,12]. Many LMIC lack National strategies and updated essential medicine  
81 lists for asthma management [13].

82         The Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) publishes an annually updated evidence-based  
83 strategy for asthma. In 2019, an important change to GINA recommendations was made such that  
84 inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) are now recommended for everyone with asthma, either just as and  
85 when an inhaled bronchodilator is taken or as maintenance treatment and as required depending  
86 on the frequency of symptoms and risk of exacerbations [14,15]. The rationale for this change was  
87 the underpinning evidence that persons with mild asthma could have serious, life threatening and  
88 fatal exacerbations and are usually poorly adherent to daily ICS [15]. The Nigerian asthma  
89 guidelines have not yet been updated in the same way [16].

90         The GINA strategy can be adapted for use in individual countries in consideration of national  
91 health systems and availability and affordability of asthma diagnostic and treatment services [14].

92 Over recent years, GINA has been working to maximize the relevance of the GINA report to  
93 LMICs for example through recommendations in the report that address resource-limited settings  
94 and publications that put the GINA report in the context of the reality of asthma management in  
95 LMICs [9,17]. However, little is known about how effective these efforts have been or how the  
96 GINA report is perceived and implemented in LMICs like Nigeria. To help provide some insights  
97 into this, we did a qualitative study exploring the experiences of doctors in Lagos, Nigeria of  
98 asthma management in the context of the current GINA report.

99

## 100 **Materials and Methods**

### 101 Design

102 This was a qualitative study in which in-depth interviews were conducted using a topic guide  
103 (Appendix 1). The topic guide was iteratively developed and informed by the literature and  
104 experience of the first author, a Nigerian pulmonologist and lecturer who also manages asthma at  
105 a tertiary care hospital in Lagos.

### 106 Setting

107 Nigeria is the most populous African country and over 40% of the population live below the  
108 poverty line [18]. Lagos is the most cosmopolitan and populated city in Nigeria, with high levels  
109 of air pollution and the highest prevalence of asthma [7]. The healthcare system in Nigeria is poorly  
110 resourced and biomedical healthcare is provided through public (67%) and private health facilities  
111 (33%) at the primary (88%), secondary (12%) and tertiary levels (0.25%), with 76% of secondary  
112 facilities being privately owned [19,20]. About 90% of patients bypass the primary healthcare  
113 facilities due to perceived need for quality services and self-refer to the secondary care facilities

114 [21]. Doctors provide services at secondary facilities with a greater use of task shifting at primary  
115 care centres. Most general practice clinicians which comprise General Practitioners (GPs), with  
116 only undergraduate medical training, and Family Physicians (FP), who have postgraduate  
117 residency training, work at secondary care facilities and private hospitals, where most asthma  
118 patients seek care [8].

#### 119 Participants/ Sampling approach

120 Participants comprised FPs and GPs who worked at public and private hospitals. They were  
121 included if they attended to a minimum of three asthma patients in a month. Doctors who worked  
122 at tertiary hospitals and house officers were excluded.

123 Purposive sampling was used to select doctors who had personal experience of asthma  
124 management. We aimed for near equal distribution between GPs and FPs as well as between  
125 publicly funded and private hospitals.

126 Participants were identified through a network of professional colleagues and by snowballing and  
127 contact established by email. Those who consented to participate were recruited and a date set for  
128 interview. No monetary compensation was provided.

129 We aimed to recruit 10-15 participants and stopped when we achieved saturation of themes.

#### 130 Data Collection

131 Data collection took place between April and May 2021. The interviews were conducted in a  
132 Coronavirus-2019 (COVID-19) secure manner using video conferencing technology (Zoom®)  
133 with participants alone in a quiet room.[22]

134 Semi-structured interviews were conducted by the first author. Open-ended questions and probes  
135 which allowed open discussion and promoted the emergence of new ideas to enrich the data were

136 used.[23] Interviews were conducted in English which is spoken fluently by all doctors and lasted  
137 45-60 minutes. Participants' demographics, duration of practice, hospital setting and availability  
138 of asthma diagnostic tools (spirometry and peak flow meter) at their hospital were obtained.

#### 139 Data Management

140 Interviews were recorded and saved on a secure password-protected computer prior to  
141 transcription. Recordings were transcribed using the denaturalized approach.[24] Fields notes and  
142 a reflexive log taken during interview were used to annotate areas where there was a threat to  
143 confirmability.

#### 144 Data Analysis

145 We used the framework approach and iteratively applied codes which were then categorized to  
146 develop a thematic framework.[25],[26],[27]. Indexing was done across all text and charted across  
147 themes in Nvivo® (QRS International, Melbourne, Australia). Data were mapped and interpreted  
148 by identifying associations, providing explanations and creating typologies.

149

## 150 **Results**

151 We recruited 11 doctors (age 28-46 years; 6 females; five FPs and six GPs) (Table 1).

152 Spirometry was available at three private hospitals and a peak flow meter was available at one  
153 private hospital without spirometry.

154 Three doctors (all FPs) were aware of the new GINA recommendation for the treatment of mild  
155 asthma. Two FPs and two GPs were aware of the existence of the Nigerian asthma guideline but  
156 had never read it.

157 Four overarching themes were identified and are described below, buttressed by quotes from the  
158 participants.

159 These were 1). Knowledge of and attitude towards the GINA strategy. 2). Asthma diagnosis and  
160 treatment. 3). Barriers to managing asthma according to GINA recommendations. 4). Enablers of  
161 GINA-recommended asthma management.

162

163 1. Knowledge of and Attitude towards the GINA Strategy.

164 Most participants were aware of but had never read the GINA guideline and therefore had poor  
165 knowledge of its content. Knowledge was mostly obtained during medical school and or at CME  
166 programmes. The GPs considered it unnecessary to know the details of the strategy as one GP  
167 noted:

168 *“I’m aware of the GINA guideline but to be frank, I’ve never really been able to*  
169 *know the details. I just know that there is the GINA guideline. I have been managing*  
170 *it the way we’ve been doing it right from housejob and the simple way we do it as*  
171 *medical officers in my centre of practice now.... I am very sure I am not managing*  
172 *according to the guidelines”. The maximum that I do in my practice is just to relieve*  
173 *patients. (GP, private hospital)*

174

175 There was also low awareness of the Nigerian asthma guideline. It was considered inaccessible  
176 compared to international guidelines. A GP who was aware of the Nigerian asthma guideline put  
177 it this way:

178                    *“Well, lately it’s been more of the UK version actually, I find it quite clear in the*  
179                    *steps... the, Nigerian version is dated ..., and it is not as clear. The NICE one has*  
180                    *very obvious steps along the way, what to do.... when you routinely search for*  
181                    *guidelines about a specific condition I think, the US and UK bodies have easier*  
182                    *access on google to prop up”.* (GP, private hospital)

183  
184 Despite the low levels of awareness of the content of GINA, there was a positive attitude towards  
185 guidelines generally as participants considered guidelines trustworthy, evidence-based and capable  
186 of promoting standardised practice. However, most participants had reservations towards the  
187 suitability and applicability to local contexts such as Lagos. This is how one FP opined when  
188 discussing how guidelines are often developed in high income countries with the appropriate  
189 diagnostic resources:

190                    *“...but I think the problem that we have most times especially those of us back here*  
191                    *are that sometimes most of these guidelines are done with the Caucasians, so*  
192                    *sometimes what suits them doesn’t suit us”.* (FP, public hospital).

193  
194 Importantly, guidelines were not considered to be rules with legal recourse; therefore, adherence  
195 to recommendations from the guidelines in their practices, was therefore unprioritized. They  
196 reported that observation of senior colleagues or hospital norms regarding treatment usually  
197 informed their practice and saved time. New information from CMEs could also influence practice,  
198 but these were usually dependent on the availability of resources for implementation. One GP  
199 reported how management of asthma is experiential and shared within their practice:

200                   *“So, it’s not easy to always go to the guidelines. There are many things to do, many*  
201                   *patients to see. There is a standard way we know that has been passed down from*  
202                   *one medical officer to another.... We just adopt the way senior colleagues in the*  
203                   *job have been doing it and we just continue that way”.* (GP, private hospital).

204  
205    There was a positive attitude towards the GINA recommendation for treatment with ICS for all  
206    severities of asthma, but, they questioned the feasibility due to unavailability and unaffordability  
207    of ICS:

208                   *“For GINA to come up with this, that means that they have done their research*  
209                   *...and found that it is best for asthma patients to be on continuous steroids. So, I*  
210                   *see that as good... “I don’t see it as feasible in our environment, mainly because of*  
211                   *lack of access and the cost, even availability, how available is it”?* (FP, private  
212                   hospital).

213  
214           2. Asthma Diagnosis and Treatment

215    For most participants, asthma diagnosis did not involve lung function testing using spirometry or  
216    the peak flow. Most were dispassionate about the GINA recommendation for lung function testing  
217    and felt it was of no value. The three doctors with access to spirometry did not use it because it  
218    was impracticable during exacerbations when most patients presented. One FP who has access to  
219    spirometry said:

220                   *“So, that’s how I make the diagnosis, mainly using the symptoms.... Well, there is*  
221                   *really no reason why I don’t consider it. It’s just that I don’t, I have not really been,*  
222                   *I am just not keen about telling them to do the test.... for me to see a patient in an*

223 *acute state and begin to do, ask the patient, go and do tests, for me it is delay, so*  
224 *treat the patient immediately even if it is symptomatically.” (FP, private hospital)*

225  
226 The only doctor with access to peak flow however found it valuable and she used it regularly. She  
227 said:

228 *“So, I just used that example.... to give you an idea of how just using a basic peak*  
229 *flow meter, even in the absence of spirometry, it was able to help me make a*  
230 *diagnosis...decision of whether it is asthma or something else.” (FP, private*  
231 *hospital)*

232  
233 GPs perceived asthma as an acute disease and reported that the focus in their practice was to avoid  
234 triggers for asthma flare ups and treatment of exacerbations. However, FPs were more likely to  
235 consider asthma as a chronic disease as they regularly saw individual patients presenting in their  
236 practices over time. Subsequently, GPs reported that they had ‘low confidence’ in making long  
237 term decisions for asthma treatment and deferred to specialists. This GP describes:

238 *“...And I am very sure I am not managing according to the guidelines...After they*  
239 *are relieved and stable to go home on salbutamol inhalers, we refer them to the*  
240 *pulmonology clinic for long term care... It’s the specialist that does the real*  
241 *diagnosis...” (GP, private hospital).*

242 When discussing treatment plans for their patients including training patients on inhaler  
243 techniques, most participants did not mention reference to the guideline recommendations. Due  
244 to time constraints, GPs reported that in most cases, they referred patients to pharmacists for the

245 training. Only one FP shared experience with the use of written asthma action plan and none  
246 objectively assessed asthma control. This GP reports:

247 *“Because of time constraints or because of doctor even being tired because*  
248 *sometimes you can actually see up to 50 patients...”. Nobody taught them how to*  
249 *use the inhaler...and the pharmacist might not be able to teach or might not even*  
250 *have the time ...”* (FP, public hospital).

251  
252 The participants reported that, in their practices, they had experienced patient inhaler hesitancy  
253 and non-adherence to treatment. They attributed these patient factors to cultural beliefs and myths  
254 about asthma. As captured in this quote:

255 *“Like maybe from 60% do not tend to initially accept. Because they have like, will*  
256 *I say, wrong notion that when they use the inhaler, the diagnosis is like permanent*  
257 *or how do I put it- they now really get the asthma. They don’t understand that the*  
258 *inhaler is to help, to relieve symptoms. There are some that will rather prefer to*  
259 *take oral medications than to take an inhaler”.* (GP, public hospital)

260 One participant described how patients preferred to use complementary and alternative medicines  
261 and said:

262 *“ .. a local stone they throw in hot water and inhale the steam ....they are quick to*  
263 *tell you that this is what they have tried and this what has happened ... And*  
264 *interestingly even though it doesn’t work negative reviews and criticism do not*  
265 *follow”.* (GP, private hospital)

266

267 Another GP describes how patients' experience of stigma influenced adherence:

268 *"Most people will not want to be seen with this inhaler... It will come with a bad*  
269 *attitude or rather a bad perception of "are you sick? What is going on?" and it is*  
270 *definitely going to reduce the likelihood of using it... and letting people know that*  
271 *you even have asthma.... Definitely, there are a lot of cultural bias in there". (GP,*  
272 *public)*

273

274 Participants also reported how spirituality influenced doctors' experiences in diagnosing and  
275 treating asthma. They all admitted to having spiritual beliefs which influenced their practice when  
276 encountering patients with asthma:

277 *I am a Christian, that helps me ....to listen to their complaints, their fears...so I*  
278 *now use that aspect of spirituality as a form of comfort to them while I still go ahead*  
279 *to give them the proper treatment" (FP, private hospital).*

280 She also added how patients' own spirituality influences their responses to the diagnosis of  
281 asthma:

282 *"..when you tell them that this is likely asthma, they say, " No, God forbid, it is not*  
283 *me, I can't have asthma",... "my enemy has asthma it is not me" ....Nigerians are*  
284 *very spiritual people...There's a big clash between spirituality and medical care.*  
285 *So, it takes extra effort and I tend to spend enough time...trying to counsel them...*  
286 *...Based on my own view, I've learnt to separate medicine and religion...*

287

288 The participants reported that they had experienced poor attendance for follow up care which  
289 hinged on the intermittent nature of asthma, poor health seeking behaviour and difficulty accessing  
290 care at public hospitals. One FP said:

291 *“You know that in Nigeria people don’t like going to hospital, so they already have*  
292 *an idea of how to manage themselves and they don’t come unless it is that bad.”*  
293 (FP, public)

294 Another GP said:

295 *“they don’t see the need or the essence or the importance of coming back for check-up*  
296 *and maybe on our part we need to do more on that like waiting time to see a doctor”.*  
297 (GP, public)

298

### 299 3. Barriers to Managing Asthma according to GINA Recommendations

300 The GINA report was considered, by the participants, as cumbersome and impractical due to the  
301 multiple treatment steps. The Nigerian asthma guideline was not expected to provide additional  
302 value as it was opined to likely be a copy of the GINA recommendations without consideration of  
303 the local context. One description of the GINA guideline was:

304

305 *“The GINA guideline is not something that is practical, it might be practical to*  
306 *specialists like you (referring to the interviewer) but for ordinary doctors like us,*  
307 *we don’t really see it as being practical”.* (FP, private)

308

309 The doctors also reported that the unavailability and unaffordability ICS hindered access and use.  
310 Doctors in public hospitals experienced stock-out of ICS inhalers more regularly. A GP said:

311 *“But if it is expensive or not easily available...they start looking for a cheaper*  
312 *alternative, which is the Ventolin that is everywhere and they can just pick up”.*  
313 (GP, public).

314  
315 A FP also noted that insurance coverage for ICS was limited which further hindered access due to  
316 costs:

317 *“99% of the patients we see are HMOs’ and most times they will not give them*  
318 *“Seretide” because it’s expensive”.* (FP, public)

319

#### 320 4. Enablers of GINA-recommended Asthma Management

321 Participants also discussed ways of enabling doctors working within the context to implement  
322 GINA recommendations. A universally recognized enabler was delivering more CMEs for general  
323 practice clinicians as they considered themselves as frontline workers. One GP felt that that asthma  
324 education was low compared to other chronic diseases, which they could learn from, and said:

325 *“I know that some companies are actually doing that for diabetes because recently*  
326 *I just filled a form for...International Diabetic Federation for training on diabetes*  
327 *trying to equip the health care professionals on the best practice in managing*  
328 *diabetes. I believe if we can do the same for asthma, we can have more practitioners*  
329 *having a good grasp on managing asthma”.* (GP, public)

330

331 There was also a strong recommendation for asthma education of the general public to improve  
332 population health literacy on the condition. They believed, at a population level, education would  
333 reduce the negative influence of myths, fight stigma associated with asthma, with the perceived  
334 outcome of improving support and adherence to medication. This FP put it this way:

335 *“Increased awareness of asthma through the media. I don’t think I have seen*  
336 *anything. I see them talk about hypertension, I see them talk about TB but I don’t*  
337 *think I have really seen much media publicity about asthma...”* (FP, private).

338  
339 Clinicians laid responsibility on the government for multiple aspects of asthma care such as,  
340 improving the availability and affordability of asthma medicines through Universal Health  
341 Coverage, reducing air pollution and regular practice audits. They suggested:

342 *“I know asthma is not necessarily avoidable but... if the environment is cleaner,*  
343 *there are less fumes, pollutants and things like that, that might to an extent help”.*  
344 (FP, public).

345 Others added:

346 *“...in other parts of the world reviews of doctors (is) a continuous effort ...there*  
347 *should be uniformity in their thoughts and the way they manage because there is a*  
348 *body that actually penalizes and ensures that doctors are following through...but*  
349 *not in Nigeria...if you ask this person why do you do this? This Is how I have been*  
350 *doing it”.* (GP, private)

351

352 **Discussion**

353 This is the first qualitative study to explore the experience of doctors in Nigeria in using the GINA  
354 guidelines in asthma management. This study adds to the ongoing debates regarding adherence to  
355 guidelines in the management of chronic respiratory diseases. We found that whilst there was  
356 widespread awareness of the existence of the GINA strategy amongst the doctors in Nigeria  
357 included in this study, they had poor knowledge of its content, including the current treatment  
358 update. The FPs had better knowledge compared to the GPs. Despite positive attitude towards  
359 guidelines, asthma diagnosis and treatment were informed by hospital norms rather than the GINA  
360 or the national asthma guideline. Echoing findings from previous reports on access to lung  
361 function test equipment, the participants reported that there was limited access to lung function  
362 testing facilities, but added that it was mostly undervalued for asthma diagnosis [11,28]. The  
363 doctors found it reasonable and were willing to use ICS for all asthma patients but the feasibility  
364 of domesticating it in practice was uncertain due to limited availability and unaffordability of the  
365 medications. They experienced inhaler hesitancy and poor adherence to treatment among patients,  
366 driven by myths, cultural practices, stigma, spirituality, and preference for alternative medicines.  
367 Barriers to guideline-based management included complexity of the guideline and inaccessibility  
368 of the facilities and medicines for treatment. Enablers proffered included improvement in asthma  
369 education and access to facilities for management.

370 Low use of lung function testing increases the risk of both under- and over-diagnosis of  
371 asthma.[29] Although limited access to spirometry equipment in Nigeria is recognized, attitudes  
372 of doctors towards this test affects utilization [30]. This implies that improvement in access to  
373 spirometry alone therefore may not impact asthma management. Peak flow measurement is  
374 favoured in many primary care services even where there was access to spirometry because of its  
375 simplicity and the immediacy of the results [31]. Considering the much lower resource need in

376 using the peak flow, it is recommended for first line lung function testing for general practice  
377 doctors. This recommendation is supported by GINA and included in the World Health  
378 Organization (WHO) Package of essential non communicable (PEN) disease interventions catalog  
379 as an essential tool in the management of CRD [32,33].

380 Despite the clear benefits of the use of ICS across all asthma severities, most doctors  
381 doubted the feasibility. Unavailability and unaffordability of the medicines for the patients,  
382 coupled with lack of UHC for asthma treatment were the main reasons. Medication costs account  
383 for the highest out of pocket expenditure in asthma treatment and contributes to poor patient  
384 adherence [34]. Central medication procurement and UHC for controller medications are  
385 approaches that could improve access [28]. Medical syncretism which is pervasive in Nigeria and  
386 other parts of Africa especially for chronic diseases such as asthma and also contributes to poor  
387 adherence [35]. Stigma including self-stigmatization was also an important hinderance to  
388 guideline-based asthma management, promoting non-adherence to treatment. These are important  
389 considerations for asthma education in Nigeria and other African countries where health literacy  
390 is low and cultural factors strongly influence health behaviours [35]. Improving population literacy  
391 in these settings is of high priority.

392 The structure of the GINA strategy, which was described as cumbersome, was another  
393 barrier to use. Power in the context of guideline development was raised by participants and  
394 inclusion is needed in this regard. However, contextualization of local asthma guidelines such as  
395 the Nigerian asthma guideline by simplifying and streamlining treatment recommendations to  
396 locally available and affordable medicines could address this.

397 The reliance of doctors on hospital norms for asthma treatment, their poor attitude towards  
398 guideline recommended practice and the inadequacy of facilities for optimal patient care is

399 arguably structural violence, perpetuating poor patient care [36]. Based on the experiences of these  
400 participants and previous reports, many African health systems are focused on the delivery of  
401 emergency asthma treatment [37]. There is a strong need to prioritize the care of patients with  
402 CRD such as asthma in many parts of Africa as a matter of equity and justice.

403 We acknowledge that our study had limitations. Restricting participants to GPs and FPs  
404 excluded some clinicians who treat asthma although most asthma patients in Nigeria see this  
405 category of doctors. A potential weakness of in-depth interviews is the risk of the Hawthorne  
406 effect, however by being self-aware and reflexive, the interviewer reduced hierarchical power and  
407 promoted open and honest discussion. Through iterative questioning and member checking during  
408 the interview we improved the confirmability of our findings. The limitations of virtual interviews  
409 with regards to establishing rapport and appreciating the setting were partly mitigated by the use  
410 of video [38,39].

411 Our study also had strengths. To our knowledge this is the first qualitative study that has  
412 explored factors explaining poor adherence to GINA recommendations among Nigerian doctors.  
413 The research team of local clinicians and experienced qualitative researchers enhanced the  
414 credibility of our findings. There was regular debriefing and reflection during data collection  
415 promoting objectivity.

## 416 **Conclusions**

417 Whilst there was awareness of the existence of the GINA report, there was limited knowledge  
418 about its content amongst the doctors who took part in this study in hospitals in Lagos, Nigeria.  
419 Several barriers to the implementation of GINA recommendations into practice were identified  
420 including limited access to diagnostic tests (particularly spirometry), reluctance to prescribe  
421 inhaled treatments, poor treatment concordance and limited access to affordable asthma medicines.

422 Education based on the GINA report, investment in diagnostic and treatment services and  
423 simplification of asthma management recommendations are possible solutions.

424

#### 425 **Ethics and Consent Statement**

426 Ethical approval was obtained from the Masters Research Ethics Committee (MREC) of Liverpool  
427 School of Tropical Medicine (LSTM) (APP No.:GH21(01)); and the Lagos University Teaching  
428 Hospital (LUTH), Lagos, Nigeria (Assigned No.: ADM/DCST/HREC/APP/4046). All participants  
429 provided written informed consent.

430

#### 431 **Authors' contribution**

432 **Conceptualization:** Obianuju B. Ozoh

433 **Data Curation:** Obianuju B. Ozoh

434 **Formal Analysis:** Obianuju B. Ozoh, Sandra K. Dede

435 **Funding acquisition:** Not applicable

436 **Methodology:** Obianuju B. Ozoh, Sandra K. Dede, Joy N. Eze, Martha Chinouya

437 **Project administration:** Obianuju B. Ozoh, Martha Chinouya, Kevin Mortimer

438 **Supervision:** Martha Chinouya, Kevin Mortimer

439 **Writing- original draft:** Obianuju B. Ozoh, Sandra K. Dede, Joy N. Eze, Kevin Mortimer

440 Martha Chinouya.

441 **Writing-review and editing:** Obianuju B. Ozoh, Sandra K. Dede, Joy N. Eze, Kevin Mortimer

442 Martha Chinouya.

443

444 **Disclosure**

445 This study did not receive funding from any corporate organization, institution or individual grants.

446 **Acknowledgements**

447 We acknowledge the family physicians and the general practitioners for their cooperation in all  
448 phases of the data collection.

449

450 **Abbreviations**

451 CRD- Chronic respiratory diseases

452 DALYs- Disability Adjusted Life Years

453 FPs- Family physicians

454 GPs- General Practitioners

455 GINA- Global Initiative for Asthma

456 ICS- Inhaled corticosteroids

457 LMIC- Low-income and Middle-Income Countries

458 NCD- Non-communicable disease

459

460 **References**

461 1. Wang H, Naghavi M, Allen C, Barber RM, Bhutta ZA, Carter A, et al. Global, regional, and  
462 national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of

- 463 death, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. The  
464 Lancet. 2016;388: 1459–1544. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31012-1
- 465 2. Mortimer K, Nantanda R, Meghji J, Vanker A, Bush A, Ndimande N, et al. Africa’s  
466 respiratory “Big Five.” JPATS. 2021;2: 64–72. doi:10.25259/JPATS\_12\_2021
- 467 3. Mortimer K, Lesosky M, García-Marcos L, Innes Asher M, Pearce N, Ellwood E, et al. The  
468 burden of asthma, hay fever and eczema in adults in 17 countries: GAN Phase I study. Eur  
469 Respir J. 2022; 2102865. doi:10.1183/13993003.02865-2021
- 470 4. García-Marcos L, Innes Asher M, Pearce N, Ellwood E, Bissell K, Chiang C, et al. The  
471 burden of asthma, hay fever and eczema in children in 25 countries: GAN Phase I study. Eur  
472 Respir J. 2022; 2102866–2102866. doi:10.1183/13993003.02866-2021
- 473 5. Soriano JB, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, Abera SF, Agrawal A, Ahmed MB, et al. Global,  
474 regional, and national deaths, prevalence, disability-adjusted life years, and years lived with  
475 disability for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma, 1990–2015: a systematic  
476 analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine.  
477 2017;5: 691–706. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30293-X
- 478 6. Meghji J, Mortimer K, Agusti A, Allwood BW, Asher I, Bateman ED, et al. Improving lung  
479 health in low-income and middle-income countries: from challenges to solutions. The Lancet.  
480 2021;397: 928–940. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00458-X
- 481 7. Ozoh OB, Aderibigbe SA, Ayuk AC, Desalu OO, Oridota OE, Olufemi O, et al. The  
482 prevalence of asthma and allergic rhinitis in Nigeria: A nationwide survey among children,  
483 adolescents and adults. PLOS ONE. 2019;14: e0222281. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0222281

- 484 8. Ozoh OB, Ayuk AC, Ukwaja KN, Desalu OO, Olufemi O, Aderibigbe SA, et al. Asthma  
485 management and control in Nigeria: the asthma insight and reality Nigeria (AIRNIG) study.  
486 Expert Review of Respiratory Medicine. 2019;13: 917–927.  
487 doi:10.1080/17476348.2019.1651201
- 488 9. Masekela R, Mortimer K, Nantanda R, Lesosky M, Meme H, Devereux G, et al. Asthma care  
489 in sub-Saharan Africa: Mind the gap! JPATS. 2022;3: 59–62. doi:10.25259/JPATS\_12\_2022
- 490 10. Ozoh OB, Eze JN, Garba BI, Ojo OO, Okorie E-M, Yiltok E, et al. Nationwide survey of  
491 the availability and affordability of asthma and COPD medicines in Nigeria. Trop Med Int  
492 Health. 2021;26: 54–65. doi:10.1111/tmi.13497
- 493 11. Plum C, Stolbrink M, Zurba L, Bissell K, Ozoh BO, Mortimer K. Availability of diagnostic  
494 services and essential medicines for non-communicable respiratory diseases in African  
495 countries. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2021;25: 120–125. doi:10.5588/ijtld.20.0762
- 496 12. Stolbrink M, Thomson H, Hadfield RM, Ozoh OB, Nantanda R, Jayasooriya S, et al. The  
497 availability, cost, and affordability of essential medicines for asthma and COPD in low-  
498 income and middle-income countries: a systematic review. The Lancet Global Health.  
499 2022;10: e1423–e1442. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00330-8
- 500 13. Bissell K, Ellwood P, Ellwood E, Chiang C-Y, Marks GB, El Sony A, et al. Essential  
501 Medicines at the National Level: The Global Asthma Network’s Essential Asthma  
502 Medicines Survey 2014. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16: 605.  
503 doi:10.3390/ijerph16040605

- 504 14. Mallen. 2022 GINA Main Report. In: Global Initiative for Asthma - GINA [Internet]. [cited  
505 8 Jul 2022]. Available: <https://ginasthma.org/gina-reports/>
- 506 15. Reddel HK, Bacharier LB, Bateman ED, Brightling CE, Brusselle GG, Buhl R, et al. Global  
507 Initiative for Asthma Strategy 2021: executive summary and rationale for key changes. *Eur*  
508 *Respir J.* 2022;59. doi:10.1183/13993003.02730-2021
- 509 16. Erhabor G, Abba A, Ozoh OB, Adeniyi B, Desalu OO, Falade AG, et al. Guideline for  
510 asthma management in Nigeria. Nigerian Thoracic Society; 2017.
- 511 17. Mortimer K, Reddel HK, Pitrez PM, Bateman ED. Asthma management in low- and  
512 middle-income countries: case for change. *Eur Respir J.* 2022; 2103179.  
513 doi:10.1183/13993003.03179-2021
- 514 18. The World Bank. Nigeria releases new report on poverty and inequality in country. In:  
515 World Bank [Internet]. 2020 [cited 7 Aug 2022]. Available:  
516 [https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/lsm/brief/nigeria-releases-new-report-on-poverty-](https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/lsm/brief/nigeria-releases-new-report-on-poverty-and-inequality-in-country)  
517 [and-inequality-in-country](https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/lsm/brief/nigeria-releases-new-report-on-poverty-and-inequality-in-country)
- 518 19. Makinde OA, Sule A, Ayankogbe O, Boone D. Distribution of health facilities in Nigeria:  
519 Implications and options for Universal Health Coverage. *Int J Health Plann Manage.*  
520 2018;33: e1179–e1192. doi:10.1002/hpm.2603
- 521 20. Technical working group (TWG). The National Strategic Health Development Plan  
522 Framework (2009- 2015). 2009. Available:  
523 [http://www.internationalhealthpartnership.net/fileadmin/uploads/ihp/Documents/Country\\_P](http://www.internationalhealthpartnership.net/fileadmin/uploads/ihp/Documents/Country_P)

524 [ages/Nigeria/Nigeria%20National%20Strategic%20Health%20Development%20Plan%20Fr](#)  
525 [amework%202009-2015.pdf](#)

526 21. Koce F, Randhawa G, Ochieng B. Understanding healthcare self-referral in Nigeria from the  
527 service users' perspective: a qualitative study of Niger state. *BMC Health Services*  
528 *Research*. 2019;19: 209. doi:10.1186/s12913-019-4046-9

529 22. Tremblay S, Castiglione S, Audet L-A, Desmarais M, Horace M, Peláez S. Conducting  
530 Qualitative Research to Respond to COVID-19 Challenges: Reflections for the Present and  
531 Beyond. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*. 2021;20: 16094069211009680.  
532 doi:10.1177/16094069211009679

533 23. Sandelowski M, Barroso J. Writing the proposal for a qualitative research methodology  
534 project. *Qual Health Res*. 2003;13: 781–820. doi:10.1177/1049732303013006003

535 24. Azevedo V, Carvalho M, Costa F, Mesquita S, Soares J, Teixeira F, et al. Interview  
536 transcription: conceptual issues, practical guidelines, and challenges. *Rev Enf Ref*. 2017;IV  
537 Série: 159–168. doi:10.12707/RIV17018

538 25. Ritchie J, Spencer L. Chapter 9: Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research. In:  
539 Burgess RG, Bryman A, editors. *Analyzing qualitative data*. London ; New York:  
540 Routledge; 1994. pp. 173–194. Available:  
541 [http://ezproxy.library.uq.edu.au/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=tru](http://ezproxy.library.uq.edu.au/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=72371&site=ehost-live)  
542 [e&db=nlebk&AN=72371&site=ehost-live](http://ezproxy.library.uq.edu.au/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=nlebk&AN=72371&site=ehost-live)

- 543 26. Gale NK, Heath G, Cameron E, Rashid S, Redwood S. Using the framework method for the  
544 analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. *BMC Medical Research*  
545 *Methodology*. 2013;13: 117. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-13-117
- 546 27. Creswell JW. *Research design-qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approach*. 4th  
547 ed. London: SAGE publication; 2014. Available:  
548 [https://scholar.google.com/scholar\\_lookup?title=Research%20design%E2%80%93qualitative](https://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Research%20design%E2%80%93qualitative%20and%20mixed%20methods%20approaches&publication_year=2014&author=Creswell%20CJW)  
549 [e%2C%20quantitative%20and%20mixed%20methods%20approaches&publication\\_year=2](https://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Research%20design%E2%80%93qualitative%20and%20mixed%20methods%20approaches&publication_year=2014&author=Creswell%20CJW)  
550 [014&author=Creswell%2CJW](https://scholar.google.com/scholar_lookup?title=Research%20design%E2%80%93qualitative%20and%20mixed%20methods%20approaches&publication_year=2014&author=Creswell%20CJW)
- 551 28. Stolbrink M, Chinouya MJ, Jayasooriya S, et al. Improving Access to Affordable Quality-  
552 Assured Inhaled Medicines in Low- and Middle-Income Countries | Request PDF. [cited 17  
553 Aug 2022]. Available:  
554 [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361047940\\_Improving\\_Access\\_to\\_Affordable\\_Q](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361047940_Improving_Access_to_Affordable_Quality-Assured_Inhaled_Medicines_in_Low-_and_Middle-Income_Countries)  
555 [uality-Assured\\_Inhaled\\_Medicines\\_in\\_Low-\\_and\\_Middle-Income\\_Countries](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361047940_Improving_Access_to_Affordable_Quality-Assured_Inhaled_Medicines_in_Low-_and_Middle-Income_Countries)
- 556 29. Kavanagh J, Jackson DJ, Kent BD. Over- and under-diagnosis in asthma. *Breathe*. 2019;15:  
557 e20–e27. doi:10.1183/20734735.0362-2018
- 558 30. Joo MJ, Sharp LK, Au DH, Lee TA, Fitzgibbon ML. Use of Spirometry in the Diagnosis of  
559 COPD: A Qualitative Study in Primary Care. *COPD: Journal of Chronic Obstructive*  
560 *Pulmonary Disease*. 2013;10: 444–449. doi:10.3109/15412555.2013.766683
- 561 31. Akindele A, Daines L, Cavers D, Pinnock H, Sheikh A. Qualitative study of practices and  
562 challenges when making a diagnosis of asthma in primary care. *npj Prim Care Respir Med*.  
563 2019;29: 1–7. doi:10.1038/s41533-019-0140-z

- 564 32. World Health Organisation. WHO package of essential noncommunicable (PEN) disease  
565 interventions for primary health care. 2020 [cited 2 Mar 2022]. Available:  
566 [https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/who-package-of-essential-  
noncommunicable-\(pen\)-disease-interventions-for-primary-health-care](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/who-package-of-essential-<br/>567 noncommunicable-(pen)-disease-interventions-for-primary-health-care)
- 568 33. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention. In:  
569 Global Initiative for Asthma - GINA [Internet]. 2020 [cited 21 Apr 2021]. Available:  
570 <https://ginasthma.org/gina-reports/>
- 571 34. Chukwuka C, Onyedum CC, Desalu OO, Ukwaja KN, Ezeudo C, Nwosu NI. Out-of-Pocket  
572 Costs of Asthma Follow-Up Care in Adults in a Sub-Saharan African Country. *Journal of  
573 Respiratory Medicine*. 2014;2014: 1–5. doi:10.1155/2014/768378
- 574 35. Li S, Odedina S, Agwai I, Ojengbede O, Huo D, Olopade OI. Traditional medicine usage  
575 among adult women in Ibadan, Nigeria: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Complementary  
576 Medicine and Therapies*. 2020;20: 93. doi:10.1186/s12906-020-02881-z
- 577 36. Galtung J. Violence, Peace, and Peace Research - Johan Galtung, 1969. 1969;6: 167–191.  
578 Available:  
579 <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/002234336900600301?journalCode=jpra>
- 580 37. Egere U, Shayo E, Ntinginya N, Osman R, Noory B, Mpagama S, et al. Management of  
581 chronic lung diseases in Sudan and Tanzania: how ready are the country health systems?  
582 *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2021;21: 734. doi:10.1186/s12913-021-06759-9
- 583 38. Weller S. Using internet video calls in qualitative (longitudinal) interviews: some  
584 implications for rapport. 2017. doi:10.1080/13645579.2016.1269505

585 39. Santhosh L, Rojas JC, Lyons PG. Zooming into Focus Groups: Strategies for Qualitative  
586 Research in the Era of Social Distancing. *ATS Scholar*. 2021;2: 176–184. doi:10.34197/ats-  
587 scholar.2020-0127PS

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597 **Table 1: Characteristics of the study participants and their practice setting.**

Characteristic	Description
Age range in years	28-46
Sex	
Male	5
Female	6
Level of qualification	
General practitioner	6
Family physician	5

Duration of practice (range) in years	5-21 years
Public funded hospitals	5*
Private hospitals	6
Availability of spirometer	
Private hospital	3
Public hospital	0
Availability of the peak flow	
Private hospital	1
Public hospital	0
Awareness of the GINA 2019 update	3**
Awareness of the Nigerian asthma guideline	4

598 Footnote: \* Two participants were from the same hospital. \*\*All participants aware of the GINA  
599 update were family physicians.

600