Neural mechanisms of emotional health in traumatic brain injury patients undergoing rTMS treatment

T. Sultana[1,2,3], M.A. Hasan[2,3], X Kang[4,5], V. Liou-Johnson[4,5,6], M.M. Adamson[4,5†], A. Razi[7,8,9†]

[†]**Joint senior authors**

[1] Department of Computer and Information Systems Engineering, NED University of Engineering & Technology, Karachi 75270, Pakistan
[2] Department of Biomedical Engineering, NED University of Engineering & Technology, Karachi 75270, Pakistan
[3] Neurocomputation Laboratory, National Centre of Artificial Intelligence, Pakistan
[4] Rehabilitation Service, Veterans Affairs Palo Alto Healthcare System (VAPAHCS), 3801 Miranda Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94304
[5] Department of Neurosurgery, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94304
[6] Clinical Excellence Research Center, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94304
[7] Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton 3800, Australia
[8] Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging, University College London, WC1N 3AR London, United Kingdom
[9] CIFAR Azrieli Global Scholars Program, CIFAR, Toronto, Canada

Correspondence to: Dr. Adeel Razi

Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton 3800, Australia

adeel.razi@monash.edu

Abstract

Emotional dysregulation such as that seen in depression, are a long-term consequence of mild traumatic brain injury (TBI), that can be improved by using neuromodulation treatments such as repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS). Previous studies provide insights into the changes in functional connectivity related to general emotional health after the application

of rTMS procedures in patients with TBI. However, these studies provide little understanding of the underlying neuronal mechanisms that drive the improvement of the emotional health in these patients.

The current study focuses on inferring the effective (causal) connectivity changes and their association with emotional health, after rTMS treatment of cognitive problems in TBI patients (N=32). Specifically, we used resting state functional magnetic resonance imaging together with spectral dynamic causal model to investigate changes in brain effective connectivity, before and after the application of high frequency (10 Hz) rTMS over left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. We investigated the effective connectivity of the cortico-limbic network comprised of 11 regions of interest which are part of the default mode, salience and executive control networks, known to be implicated in emotional processing.

The results indicate that overall, among extrinsic connections, the strength of excitatory connections decreased while that of inhibitory connections increased indicating reduction in the activity of all the inspected brain regions after the neuromodulation. The cardinal region in the analysis was dorsal anterior cingulate cortex which is considered to be the most influenced during emotional health disorders.

Our findings implicate the altered connectivity of dorsal anterior cingulate cortex with left anterior insula and medial prefrontal cortex, after the application of rTMS, as a potential neural mechanism underlying improvement of emotional health. Our investigation highlights the importance of these brain regions as treatment targets in emotional processing in TBI.

Running title: Emotional network in TBI after rTMS

Keywords: Traumatic brain injury; repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation; emotional network; effective connectivity; spectral dynamic causal modelling

Abbreviations:

AI = anterior insula; AMG = amygdala; dACC = dorsal anterior cingulate cortex; DLPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; HP = hippocampus; mPFC = medial prefrontal cortex; PCC = posterior cingulate cortex; PEB = parametric empirical Bayes; rTMS = repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation; spDCM = spectral dynamic causal modelling; TBI = traumatic brain injury

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is frequently characterized as a silent epidemic because of its high rate of incidence and dire consequences.[1] According to the memorandum issued by the US Department of Defense, in 2015, the TBI severity stratification into mild, moderate, and severe is based on duration of unconsciousness, duration of alteration of consciousness and post traumatic amnesia.[2] TBI is an event where after the initial injury, a pathophysiological process begins that generates structural and functional alterations leading to cognitive, social, and behavioural deficits.[3]

Usually, mild TBI (mTBI) patients sustain long-term neuropsychiatric disorders[4] in addition to impairments in cognitive domains such as attention, memory and executive control.[5,6] It is crucial to manage these long-term implications to improve the patients' quality of life.[7] The intervention mechanisms include, but are not limited to, pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy, and non-invasive brain stimulation techniques. Various modes of intervention are prescribed at different stages of TBI recovery. At the acute and subacute stage, controlling the neurochemical disturbances are desirable to promote survival probability and resist the functional disability. At the chronic stage non-invasive rehabilitation techniques are used to address the change in neuroplasticity following TBI and to promote reorganisation of neural network for recovery.[8] Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) is a well-recognized therapeutic alternative for brain function modulation. It is a non-invasive method to stimulate specific brain regions by applying an intermittent magnetic field using an electromagnetic coil. It is an FDA-approved method for the treatment of depression and Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD) but its use in TBI is still under investigation.[9–12]

The neuroimaging modality most widely employed to assess and monitor the functional modulation in TBI patients is functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). Numerous research studies have been conducted to investigate the impact of modulation on brain functional connectivity post-TBI using fMRI.[13–16] Resting-state fMRI analysis has also been extensively performed to understand the baseline brain connectivity of healthy and TBI populations. The major brain networks and/or regions studied during previous studies in TBI include the core default mode network (DMN),[13,17–23] medial temporal lobe (MTL),[21,24] anterior cingulate cortex,[25,26] amygdala,[21,27] insula,[26] thalamus,[21,26] and other subcortical regions. The most studied brain network in TBI is DMN which shows increased activation in the awake mode without any externally oriented task.[28] It comprises different subsystems including

mPFC, PCC, and medial temporal lobe (MTL). Mental health disorders including anxiety, stress, and depression are frequently observed in TBI patients which is a major hindrance in their recovery and consequently leads to cognitive disorders and social abnormalities.[29] Increased DMN connectivity,[22] increased ACC connectivity,[25] and increased amygdala connectivity[27] in resting-state may be regarded as biomarkers in chronic TBI with comorbid mental health disorders. Elevated aggression level was associated with increased resting-state connectivity between the right hippocampus and midcingulate cortex[30]; other regions affected by depression in TBI include insula, thalamus, and ACC.[26]

There is limited literature available[31,32] showing brain functional connectivity alteration in treating psychological deficits in TBI using rTMS. The results provided by these studies have given insight into the changes in functional connectivity between brain regions; however, they do not provide the underlying neuronal mechanisms generating them. This study focuses on inferring the effective connectivity modulations observed after applying rTMS to the TBI patients and their association with the emotional health assessment. Dynamic causal modelling[33,34] (DCM) is the preferred approach for the analysis of effective connectivity using multivariate neural time series from various regions of interest. We used its variant called spectral DCM[35,36] (spDCM) which is widely adopted to model the directed communication among brain regions in the resting state. Previous work has shown the reliability of the resting state effective connectivity estimation using spDCM.[37] We selected the distributed brain regions influencing emotional well-being after TBI, using evidence from previous literature.[38–40] These brain regions include the anterior and posterior hubs of DMN; mPFC, and PCC, the hippocampus which is the hub of the medial temporal lobe, and the salience network regions: dACC, AI and AMG. We also selected the target-site of rTMS and its counterpart across hemispheres that are the bilateral DLPFC which are part of the Executive Network (EN). Our hypothesis was to investigate the resting state causal connectivity among distributed brain regions of chronic TBI subjects related to emotional network before and after the therapeutic intervention of rTMS.

Materials and methods

Dataset

The anonymized dataset consisted of 32 veterans with TBI who were recruited from Veterans Affairs Palo Alto Health Care System (VAPAHCS) and surrounding community via advertisements. The age range of participants was from 20 to 69 years with 27 males and 5 females. The severity level of TBI was either mild or moderate for each participant. The participants were split up into active (N=17) and sham (N=15) groups randomized on mild and moderate TBI. The data was divided into 3 sets: baseline (pre-rTMS), immediately after the end of the treatment period (post-rTMS), and at six months follow-up. The current analysis only utilized the dataset acquired at the first two instances. The rTMS treatment period of 20 sessions comprised of 2 weeks with 2-3 treatments per day. The rTMS was delivered to active group participants at left DLPFC with 80 trains of 5 sec each at 10 Hz frequency and the inter-train interval was 10 sec. The sham group was provided with a similar setup as active participants except they were not given rTMS. For complete details about the data, please refer to Adamson et al.[10]

The MRI and rs-fMRI data were acquired using GE 3T MRI scanner from VAPAHCS. The acquisition parameters for structural scan were TR = 7.24 ms, TE = 2.78 ms, flip angle = 12o, voxel size 0.9 x 0.9 x 0.9 mm, 192 axial slices. The functional images were collected with parameters: TR = 2000 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 77o, FOV = 232 mm, voxel size 2.9 x 2.9 x 2.9 mm, 42 axial slices, 250 frames in 8 m 20 s.

Emotional health assessment

A neuropsychological assessment battery was also executed on each patient which included the Veterans RAND 36 Item Health Survey (VR-36) at baseline, post-rTMS and follow-up to assess the physical and mental health. The mental health subscale (8th scale) of VR-36 also known as emotional well-being,[41] consists of five items that spans four major mental health categories including anxiety, depression, loss of behavioral or emotional control and psychological well-being.[42]

Preprocessing

The preprocessing and subsequent subject-level and group-level analysis were performed using Statistical Parametric Mapping software (SPM 12). The preprocessing steps included DICOM to NIfTI conversion, removal of first five volumes, realignment of the brain slices using rigid-body transformation with six parameters (3 translational and 3 rotational), coregistration of the structural and functional images, segmentation of MRI images according to their tissue types using tissue probability maps, normalization of structural and functional images to the standard MNI coordinate system using affine transformation, and smoothing of functional images using 6 mm full-width half-maximum Gaussian kernel. After spatial preprocessing, the denoising of the dataset was performed using ICA-based automatic removal of artifacts (ICA-AROMA)[43] and performing nuisance regression using general linear model (GLM) with white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) time series as regressors.

ROI selection and time series extraction

The preprocessed data were then used to obtain a set of independent components to identify the desired resting-state networks (RSNs; DMN, SN, ECN) and to define the peak coordinates of 11 regions of interest namely posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), bilateral hippocampus (HP), bilateral amygdala (AMG), dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC), bilateral anterior insula (AI) and bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC). Spatial ICA was performed using group independent component analysis fMRI toolbox (GIFT)[44] and 75 independent components were estimated. A two-step principal component setup was executed to extract 100 subject-specific Principal Components (PCs) and 75 PCs from the aggregate data. The built-in tool ICASSO was used to run the Infomax algorithm 20 times to ensure the reliability of components. The 75 ICs were then spatially correlated with RSN templates which contain 90 functional ROIs across 14 large-scale RSNs[45] to identify the intrinsic brain networks. The peak MNI coordinates (using xjview [https://www.alivelearn.net/xjview]) in the resulting components were then used as the centre of spheres for the desired regions (Table 1). The spherical regions were specified with radius of 8 mm. Additionally, binary masks were used for the regions which are smaller in area which were created using AAL[46] for amygdala and anterior insula and; RSN template masks for hippocampus[45]. Then the first principal component of the voxels time series was extracted from each spherical region to be used in DCM analysis.

Effective connectivity analysis

The fMRI experiment was a 2 x 2 factorial design with factors at the level of treatment timings (pre-rTMS and post-rTMS) and intervention groups (active and sham). All 32 subjects were scanned before rTMS treatment (which we call here as pre-rTMS group) and out of them only 25 were scanned after completing rTMS treatment (which we call here as post-rTMS group). At the subject (first) level analysis, within subject connectivity was estimated using spectral dynamic causal modelling (spDCM)[35,36,47] and these connectivity parameters were then taken to group (second) level analysis to estimate the between group (pre-rTMS vs post-rTMS) connectivity parameters.

Subject-level analysis using spectral dynamic causal modelling

The effective connectivity of each subject in groups, pre-rTMS (active and sham) and post-rTMS (active and sham) was estimated using spDCM. It is a variant of DCM for the resting-state data based on the second-order statistics (cross spectra) of observed BOLD time series. It performs the modelling using cross-spectra; the frequency domain equivalent of the cross-correlation among time series.[34,35,48] . (Please see supplementary material for technical description of spectral DCM). This analysis involved the specification of a fully connected model with 11 nodes (ROIs). To ensure the data fit, the cross spectral density plots were inspected visually resulting in 4 subjects being discarded from each pre and post-rTMS groups due to bad models fits or large amount of residual noise.

Group-level analysis using hierarchical PEB

At the group level, a two-level hierarchical parametric empirical Bayes (PEB) was used[49,50]: within group analysis (within pre-rTMS and within post-rTMS) at the first PEB level and between group analysis (post-rTMS vs pre-rTMS) at the second PEB level. (Please see supplementary material for technical description of PEB).

Relationship of post rTMS connectivity with emotional health

We used PEB for the association analysis between behavioral scores and connectivity by defining the connectivity as the response variable and scores as the regressor of interest. A PEB was defined to find the association between connectivity and emotional health scores in the post-rTMS group. The association analysis was conducted on both active and sham groups

separately. In these PEB analyses only those DCM connections were considered which showed connectivity differences between pre- and post-rTMS in active group.

Data availability

The veterans' MRI dataset is not publicly available but can be shared on a reasonable request to the authors. The code for fMRI preprocessing, ICA-AROMA application, spectral dynamic causal modelling application, parametric empirical Bayes for group level analysis and for associativity analysis is available at https://github.com/tjays7/TBI_TMS_emotional.

Results

At the group level connectivity and association analyses, the reported results are only those connections whose posterior probability (pp) > 0.95. In the mean connectivity matrices, the positive and negative signs show excitatory and inhibitory connections respectively while in the difference connectivity matrices, the positive and negative sign represent the increase and decrease in the connectivity. Below, we only report results for the active group; sham group results are reported in the supplementary material.

Behavioral analysis

The difference between the emotional health scores of active group pre- and post-rTMS were statistically significant (p = 0.0114; pre-rTMS mean = 57.82, post-rTMS mean = 73.09) showing emotional health improvement post-rTMS, while that of sham group were not statistically significant (p = 0.5126; pre-rTMS mean = 70.40, post-rTMS mean = 70.85).

Connectivity difference between post rTMS and pre rTMS in active group

Effective connectivity differences, in the active group, before and after the application of rTMS are shown in Figure 1. The brain networks were visualized with the BrainNet Viewer.[51] The change in effective connectivity (increase or decrease) and the valence of connections (excitation or inhibition) are reported in Table 2.

The excitatory influence of the lDLPFC was reduced on mPFC and dACC post rTMS as compared to pre rTMS. mPFC, which is the main hub node of DMN, was influenced by

lDLPFC, dACC and rAI. The connectivity from lDLPFC to mPFC was reduced while connectivity from dACC to mPFC and rAI to mPFC was increased. dACC is also affecting lAI through increased inhibition. The dACC was influenced by PCC in the form of increased inhibition. Also, the excitatory connection from rHP to PCC was decreased. Four of the nodes namely mPFC, lAI and bilateral HP also had self-connections. In DCM, self-connections are always inhibitory; after rTMS, the self-inhibition of these regions increased except lHP and lAI (as mentioned in Table 2), making them more resistant to the incoming influences from other regions. Overall, we found decreased strength of excitatory connections and increased strength of inhibitory connections among extrinsic connections. All parameters are reported in Supplementary Table 1.

Association between post rTMS effective connectivity and emotional health

In the post-rTMS active group association analysis, using PEB, between effective connectivity and the emotional health scores, resulted in two connections surviving the threshold of $pp > 0.95$ (Figure 2). We found dACC to mPFC to be negatively associated and dACC to lAI was positively associated with the behavioral scores. Same analysis performed for post-rTMS sham group yielded no association of emotional health scores with any connection.

Discussion

This is the first study that employed effective connectivity analysis after rTMS treatment in veterans with mild TBI. The analysis was performed using spectral DCM over a cortico-limbic network comprising 11 regions of interest that are most vulnerable to the injury. The regions include core hubs of anterior and posterior DMN i.e. mPFC and PCC respectively, medial temporal lobe (hippocampus), SN (bilateral AMG, dACC, bilateral AI), and executive network (bilateral DLPFC). These are the regions that are mostly discussed with respect to emotional processing. The purpose of our study was to discover underlying neural mechanisms of the emotional health improvement in veterans with TBI after providing rTMS therapy. The effective connectivity changes in active and sham group post-rTMS were analyzed. The connectivity changes found in the sham group were suspected due to the placebo effect which was further clarified by finding no associativity between the connections and emotional health

data. Therefore, our focus is mainly on the active group effective connectivity changes and their possible interpretations.

It has been previously shown that the rTMS when delivered to lDLPFC, induces antidepressant effects in patients by altering the connectivity of cortico-limbic regions.[52–54] In the current study, it was hypothesized that the lDLPFC influences dACC by enhancing the inhibition after rTMS. Previous studies have shown that there was diminished functional connectivity between dACC and DLPFC during late-life depression which could not resolve using medication[55] while TMS was able to alter the activity of ACC when applied to DLPFC in healthy or depressed subjects.[56–59] The structural changes in ACC are also known to accompany TMS application on lDLPFC.[60] In a study with healthy participants, only the connectivity of the network containing dACC among 20 resting-state networks, was modulated by applying rTMS on lDLPFC[52] and the network comprised regions associated with depression. The dysfunction of prefrontal cortical regions account for the psychiatric disorders related to mood dysregulation.[61,62] Moreover, it is known that DLPFC performs lateralized functioning during depression in the form of hyperactivity of right DLPFC and hypoactivity of left DLPFC.[55,63] In our study, the inhibition of excitatory connection over rDLPFC from rAMG after rTMS highlights the control of hyperactivity of rDLPFC hence affecting emotional balance.

The amygdala is the subcortical limbic region known for its functions in emotion regulation and hence its connectivity has been widely studied during stress and depression which are common psychological disorders after TBI. Studies have shown that amygdala activity increases during emotional responses, including stress and anxiety disorder and rTMS is known to be effective in reducing the effect of these disorders. In chronic TBI, comorbid with depression, increased bilateral amygdala functional connectivity with several regions was reported[27]; also in acute TBI, increased amygdala connectivity with other brain regions was found.[21] We found decreased excitation from right amygdala to right DLPFC after rTMS which may reflect the overcoming of the increased effectivity connectivity in TBI patients as is also evident in the previous research[64] where trauma-exposed patients had increased effective connectivity from right amygdala to right DLPFC. Usually, it is expected that mPFC would control the amygdala activity via a top-down mechanism but in our case, there was no difference in the connectivity from mPFC to amygdala before and after applying rTMS which can be interpreted as no change in fear related emotion regulation involving amygdala after neuromodulation therapy.

In case of resting state, the role of salience network (SN) is broadly defined in interoception or self-awareness. dACC and AI are the parts of the SN which is known to guide behavior by integrating information from internal and external stimuli.[65] Anterior insula is a critical region for emotional awareness and self-reflection[66,67] within SN. The right AI becomes activated during encoding of negative emotions[66,68] which are energy consuming while left AI becomes activated during encoding of both negative and positive emotions.[66] The coactivation of AI and dACC is crucial for the processing of emotional functions[68,69] and anterior insula is found to be functionally connected with anterior cingulate in the resting state as well.[70] Both regions form the input and output mechanism for the functional system producing subjective feelings.[69] The dACC performs a response initiation function for the integrated sensory inputs coming from AI. In major depressive disorder, the functional connectivity between AI and ACC is correlated with the severity level.[71] The activity of AI increased in anxious individuals[72] and the activity of ACC was increased in mTBI veterans.[25] According to our results, there is decreased excitation of lAI and dACC from dACC and PCC respectively which reflects that the hyperactivity of lAI and dACC post TBI was reduced after applying rTMS. Moreover, it suggests the presence of emotional awareness circuit in the subjects including the causal connection from rAI to mPFC. Furthermore, AI not only performs the sensory integration but also integration of bottom-up interoceptive information and top-down predictions during predictive coding of self-awareness,[66] therefore, the increased connection from rAI to mPFC may indicate the improvement in the process of passing on the error signals in predictive coding of self-awareness.

In depression and anxiety, usually the symptoms are the result of negative or exaggerated self-referential processes. mPFC is a major hub of DMN which is usually activated during self-referential processes such as mentalizing and autobiographical thinking.[73,74] DMN is engaged during internally oriented cognition such as the self-referential process, recalling the past, planning the future, and pondering upon others' selves. It comprises interacting subsystems including anterior and posterior subsystems of mPFC and PCC respectively, and MTL subsystems.[75] This network is known to be activated during resting-state and deactivated during task performance.[28,76] PCC and HP both play an active role in episodic memory processing and their interaction is critical for new memory formation and memory retrieval. Since, PCC is a major hub of DMN which is activated during internally-oriented tasks therefore, during memory encoding process that is encoding of external stimuli, the activity of DMN regions, including PCC, reduces while it increases during retrieval process which is internally oriented

while the activity of hippocampus increases in both encoding and retrieval processes.[77] Though, hippocampus is usually considered to be part of DMN but during episodic encoding, it was found to have increased activity which differentiates it from other DMN regions and hence can be considered as a separate network during memory formation.[77] The two phases of episodic memory; encoding i.e. forming new memories and recognition i.e. retrieval of memories involve temporal lobes of right and left hemispheres respectively.[78,79] In MCI patients study, PCC activity was related with hippocampus activity during successful encoding and recognition of episodic memory.[80] In TBI subjects, the functional connectivity between HP and PCC was weaker than normal subjects.[81,82] The connectivity between PCC and hippocampus is important for episodic memory processes. We found increased inhibitory effect of rHP over PCC post-rTMS compared to the pre-rTMS TBI patients. The directed connectivity from PCC to hippocampus was found to impair the episodic memory encoding,[83] based on which we speculate that directionality for episodic memory function should be in the other direction as we have reported. Therefore, the directed connections in our analysis including the influence of rHP over PCC may also demonstrate the memory-related enhancement after rTMS which would eventually improve mental health. The posterior part of the DMN including PCC was found to have increased activity in TBI subjects.[22] In our study, the PCC is being influenced by rHP in the form of reducing its activity. Hence, the hyperactivity of PCC in chronic TBI patients was controlled due to intervention. One of the explanations of these effects could be the recovery of the connectivity after rTMS that was compensated during chronic TBI. In a previous study, the resting state functional connectivity of PCC with lDLPFC, dACC and bilateral insular cortex was found to be elevated in chronic TBI.[84] Our study revealed increased inhibitory connectivity from PCC to dACC.

Overall, the decreased activity in dACC, AI, PCC and mPFC after rTMS suggests that there was an irregular self-referential behavior which includes over-thinking about the trauma that they had in the past and it was improved using rTMS as a consequence of emotional circuit compensation. Alternatively, it may be suggested that the removal of unwanted thoughts due to the trauma as an after-effect of neuromodulation therapy would result in mood improvement and emotional balance. This hypothesis was further strengthened by a finding that the association between emotional health scores and the effective connectivity parameters post rTMS in active group provide evidence that the connections from dACC to mPFC and dACC to lAI were related to the improvement in emotional health. The connection from dACC to mPFC is negatively associated with the emotional health scores which signify that the

diminished inhibitory effect of dACC to mPFC will yield better emotional health which is in accordance with the results of another study which reports that the cognitive control is inversely proportional to the dACC and mPFC functional connectivity.[85] In our analysis, it could be translated as controlling the urge by the participants to be influenced by negative emotions would enhance the emotional health. There was positive association of dACC to lAI connection with emotional health scores and as discussed above, their connectivity is of vital importance for emotional regulation. The difference between the emotional health scores of active group pre- and post-rTMS were statistically significant with greater mean value for post-rTMS subjects showing emotional health improvement post rTMS, while that of sham subjects were not statistically significant. Moreover, there was no association of any effective connection in the sham group with emotional health data which elaborate the point made earlier that although there were connectivity changes in sham group after rTMS but they did not have any effect on emotional well-being.

Conclusion

Our findings uncover the neural mechanisms underlying the improvement in emotional well-being in TBI due to application of neuromodulation. The main effect of rTMS is to reduce emotional disorders and hence consequently it may improve cognitive and executive functions. When rTMS was applied to lDLPFC, it helped in the emotional health improvement but failed to influence executive function (details on executive function in supplementary information). One of the reasons could be the target location of rTMS or the stimulation parameters. The limitation of this study includes the smaller dataset with only 32 subjects which were further divided into active and sham where all females were randomized into active treatment group. Therefore, there was no female participant in the sham group which may result in biased results. The inferred effective connectivity from this study needs to be further validated with a larger and balanced dataset.

Acknowledgement

We acknowledge these individuals for their contribution: Shan Siddiqi, Lien-Lien Wu, Girish Swaminath, Beatriz Hernandez, Art Noda, Russell Toll, Jauhtai Cheng, Steven Chao, Maya Yutsis, Brian Yochim, David Clark, John Ashford, Joy Taylor, Odette Harris, Laura Lazzeroni, Amit Etkin, Jerome Yesavage.

Funding

The collection of data in this study was made possible by a Veterans Affairs Rehabilitation SPIRE grant awarded to M.M.A.

A.R. is funded by the Australian Research Council (Refs: DE170100128 and DP200100757) and Australian National Health and Medical Research Council Investigator Grant (Ref: 1194910). A.R. is affiliated with The Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging supported by core funding from Wellcome [203147/Z/16/Z]. A.R. is a CIFAR Azrieli Global Scholar in the Brain, Mind, and Consciousness Program.

Competing interests

The authors report no competing interests.

References

1. Goldstein M. Traumatic brain injury: A silent epidemic. *Ann Neurol*. 1990;27(3):327-327. doi:10.1002/ANA.410270315

2. Assistant Secretary of Defense. *Traumatic Brain Injury: Updated Definition and Reporting*.; 2015. http://www.dcoe.mil/content/Navigation/Documents/DCoE

3. Masel BE, DeWitt DS. Traumatic brain injury: A disease process, not an event. *J Neurotrauma*. 2010;27(8):1529-1540. doi:10.1089/neu.2010.1358

4. Rao V, Lyketsos C. Neuropsychiatric Sequelae of Traumatic Brain Injury. *Psychosomatics*. 2000;41(2):95-103.

5. Emery CA, Barlow KM, Brooks BL, et al. A systematic review of psychiatric, psychological, and behavioural outcomes following mild traumatic brain injury in children and adolescents. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2016;61(5):259-269. doi:10.1177/0706743716643741

6. Caeyenberghs K, Leemans A, Heitger MH, et al. Graph analysis of functional brain

networks for cognitive control of action in traumatic brain injury. *Brain*.
2012;135(4):1293-1307. doi:10.1093/brain/aws048

7.   Emilien G, Waltregny A. Traumatic brain injury, cognitive and emotional dysfunction.
     Impact of clinical neuropsychology research. *Acta Neurol Belg*. 1996;96(2):89-101.

8.   Demirtas-Tatlidede A, Vahabzadeh-Hagh AM, Bernabeu M, Tormos JM, Pascual-
     Leone A. Noninvasive Brain Stimulation In Traumatic Brain Injury. *J Head Trauma
     Rehabil*. 2012;27(4):274-292. doi:10.1097/HTR.0b013e318217df55

9.   Marklund N, Bellander BM, Godbolt AK, Levin H, McCrory P, Thelin EP. Treatments
     and rehabilitation in the acute and chronic state of traumatic brain injury. *J Intern Med*.
     2019;285(6):608. doi:10.1111/JOIM.12900

10. Adamson M, Siddiqi S, Swaminath G, et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic
     stimulation for improving cognition in veterans with TBI: Results from pilot clinical
     trial. *Brain Stimul Basic, Transl Clin Res Neuromodulation*. 2019;12(2):551.
     doi:10.1016/J.BRS.2018.12.820

11. Oberman LM, Exley S, Philip NS, Siddiqi SH, Adamson MM, Brody DL. Use of
     Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in the Treatment of Neuropsychiatric
     and Neurocognitive Symptoms Associated with Concussion in Military Populations. *J
     Head Trauma Rehabil*. 2020;35(6):388. doi:10.1097/HTR.0000000000000628

12. Herrold AA, Siddiqi SH, Livengood SL, et al. Customizing TMS applications in
     traumatic brain injury using neuroimaging. *J Head Trauma Rehabil*. 2020;35(6):401-
     411. doi:10.1097/HTR.0000000000000627

13. Mayer AR, Ling JM, Allen EA, Klimaj SD, Yeo RA, Hanlon FM. Static and Dynamic
     Intrinsic Connectivity following Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *J Neurotrauma*.
     2015;32(14):1046-1055. doi:10.1089/neu.2014.3542

14. McDonald BC, Saykin AJ, McAllister TW. Functional MRI of mild traumatic brain

injury (mTBI): Progress and perspectives from the first decade of studies. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2012;6(2):193-207. doi:10.1007/s11682-012-9173-4

15. Medaglia JD. Functional neuroimaging in traumatic brain injury: From nodes to networks. *Front Neurol*. 2017;8(AUG):1-18. doi:10.3389/fneur.2017.00407

16. Sharp DJ, Scott G, Leech R. Network dysfunction after traumatic brain injury. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2014;10(3):156-166. doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2014.15

17. Bonnelle V, Leech R, Kinnunen KM, et al. Default mode network connectivity predicts sustained attention deficits after traumatic brain injury. *J Neurosci*. 2011;31(38):13442-13451. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1163-11.2011

18. Wu SCJ, Jenkins LM, Apple AC, et al. Longitudinal fMRI task reveals neural plasticity in default mode network with disrupted executive-default coupling and selective attention after traumatic brain injury. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2020;14(5):1638-1650. doi:10.1007/s11682-019-00094-8

19. van der Horn HJ, Scheenen ME, de Koning ME, Liemburg EJ, Spikman JM, van der Naalt J. The Default Mode Network as a Biomarker of Persistent Complaints after Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: A Longitudinal Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study. *J Neurotrauma*. 2017;34(23):3262-3269. doi:10.1089/neu.2017.5185

20. Lancaster K, Venkatesan UM, Lengenfelder J, Genova HM. Default Mode Network Connectivity Predicts Emotion Recognition and Social Integration After Traumatic Brain Injury. *Front Neurol*. 2019;10(JUL):825. doi:10.3389/fneur.2019.00825

21. Iraji A, Benson RR, Welch RD, et al. Resting State Functional Connectivity in Mild Traumatic Brain Injury at the Acute Stage: Independent Component and Seed-Based Analyses. *J Neurotrauma*. 2015;32(14):1031-1045. doi:10.1089/neu.2014.3610

22. Zhou Y, Milham MP, Lui YW, et al. Default-mode network disruption in mild traumatic brain injury. *Radiology*. 2012;265(3):882-892. doi:10.1148/radiol.12120748

23. Sharp DJ, Beckmann CF, Greenwood R, et al. Default mode network functional and structural connectivity after traumatic brain injury. *Brain*. 2011;134(8):2233-2247. doi:10.1093/brain/awr175

24. De Simoni S, Grover PJ, Jenkins PO, et al. Disconnection between the default mode network and medial temporal lobes in post-traumatic amnesia. *Brain*. 2016;139:3137-3150. doi:10.1093/aww277

25. Sheth C, Rogowska J, Legarreta M, McGlade E, Yurgelun-Todd D. Functional connectivity of the anterior cingulate cortex in Veterans with mild traumatic brain injury. *Behav Brain Res*. 2021;396:112882. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2020.112882

26. Moreno-López L, Sahakian BJ, Manktelow A, Menon DK, Stamatakis EA. Depression following traumatic brain injury: A functional connectivity perspective. *Brain Inj*. 2016;30(11):1319-1328. doi:10.1080/02699052.2016.1186839

27. Han K, Chapman SB, Krawczyk DC. Altered amygdala connectivity in individuals with chronic traumatic brain injury and comorbid depressive symptoms. *Front Neurol*. 2015;6(NOV):4. doi:10.3389/fneur.2015.00231

28. Raichle ME. The brain's default mode network. *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 2015;38:433-447. doi:10.1146/ANNUREV-NEURO-071013-014030

29. Jorge RE, Robinson RG, Moser D, Tateno A, Crespo-Facorro B, Arndt S. Major Depression Following Traumatic Brain Injury. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2004;61(1):42-50. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.61.1.42

30. Dailey NS, Smith R, Vanuk JR, Raikes AC, Killgore WDS. Resting-state functional connectivity as a biomarker of aggression in mild traumatic brain injury. *Neuroreport*. 2018;29(16):1413-1417. doi:10.1097/WNR.0000000000001127

31. Siddiqi SH, Trapp NT, Hacker CD, et al. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation with Resting-State Network Targeting for Treatment-Resistant Depression in

Traumatic Brain Injury: A Randomized, Controlled, Double-Blinded Pilot Study. *J Neurotrauma*. 2019;36(8):1361-1374. doi:10.1089/neu.2018.5889

32. Siddiqi SH, Trapp NT, Shahim P, et al. Individualized Connectome-Targeted Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation for Neuropsychiatric Sequelae of Repetitive Traumatic Brain Injury in a Retired NFL Player. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2019;31(3):254-263. doi:10.1176/APPI.NEUROPSYCH.18100230

33. Friston KJ, Harrison L, Penny W. Dynamical Causal Modelling. *Neuroimage*. 2003;19(4):1273-1302. doi:10.1016/S1053-8119(03)00202-7

34. Razi A, Friston KJ. The Connected Brain: Causality, models, and intrinsic dynamics. *IEEE Signal Process Mag*. 2016;33(3):14-55. doi:10.1109/MSP.2015.2482121

35. Friston KJ, Kahan J, Biswal B, Razi A. A DCM for resting state fMRI. *Neuroimage*. 2014;94:396-407. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.12.009

36. Razi A, Kahan J, Rees G, Friston KJ. Construct validation of a DCM for resting state fMRI. *Neuroimage*. 2015;106:1-14. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.11.027

37. Almgren H, Van de Steen F, Kühn S, Razi A, Friston K, Marinazzo D. Variability and reliability of effective connectivity within the core default mode network: A multi-site longitudinal spectral DCM study. *Neuroimage*. 2018;183:757-768. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.08.053

38. van der Horn HJ, Liemburg EJ, Aleman A, Spikman JM, van der Naalt J. Brain Networks Subserving Emotion Regulation and Adaptation after Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *J Neurotrauma*. 2016;33(1):1-9. doi:10.1089/NEU.2015.3905

39. Bornhofen C, Mcdonald S. Emotion perception deficits following traumatic brain injury: A review of the evidence and rationale for intervention. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2008;14(4):511-525. doi:10.1017/S1355617708080703

40. Hogeveen J, Salvi C, Grafman J. 'Emotional Intelligence': Lessons from Lesions.

Trends Neurosci. 2016;39(10):694-705. doi:10.1016/J.TINS.2016.08.007

41. Hays, R. D., Sherbourne, C. D., & Mazel RM. The RAND 36-Item Health Survey 1.0. *Health Econ.* 1993;2(3):217–227. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/hec.4730020305

42. Ware JE. *SF-36 Health Survey: Manual and Interpretation Guide.*; 1993.

43. Pruim RHR, Mennes M, van Rooij D, Llera A, Buitelaar JK, Beckmann CF. ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-based strategy for removing motion artifacts from fMRI data. *Neuroimage.* 2015;112:267-277. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.064

44. Calhoun VD, Adali T, Pearlson GD, Pekar JJ. A method for making group inferences from functional MRI data using independent component analysis. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2001;14(3):140-151. doi:10.1002/hbm.1048

45. Shirer WR, Ryali S, Rykhlevskaia E, Menon V, Greicius MD. Decoding Subject-Driven Cognitive States with Whole-Brain Connectivity Patterns. *Cereb Cortex.* 2012;22:158-165. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhr099

46. Tzourio-Mazoyer N, Landeau B, Papathanassiou D, et al. Automated Anatomical Labeling of Activations in SPM Using a Macroscopic Anatomical Parcellation of the MNI MRI Single-Subject Brain. *Neuroimage.* 2002;15(1):273-289. doi:10.1006/NIMG.2001.0978

47. Zeidman P, Jafarian A, Corbin N, et al. A guide to group effective connectivity analysis, part 1: First level analysis with DCM for fMRI. *Neuroimage.* 2019;200:174-190. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.06.031

48. Razi A, Seghier ML, Zhou Y, et al. Large-scale DCMs for resting-state fMRI. *Netw Neurosci.* 2017;1(3):222-241. doi:10.1162/netn_a_00015

49. Friston KJ, Litvak V, Oswal A, et al. Bayesian model reduction and empirical Bayes for group (DCM) studies. *Neuroimage.* 2016;128:413-431. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.11.015

50. Zeidman P, Jafarian A, Seghier ML, et al. A guide to group effective connectivity analysis, part 2: Second level analysis with PEB. *Neuroimage*. 2019;200:12-25. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.06.032

51. Xia M, Wang J, He Y. BrainNet Viewer: A Network Visualization Tool for Human Brain Connectomics. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e68910. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0068910

52. Tik M, Hoffmann A, Sladky R, et al. Towards understanding rTMS mechanism of action: Stimulation of the DLPFC causes network-specific increase in functional connectivity. *Neuroimage*. 2017;162:289-296. doi:10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2017.09.022

53. Dichter GS, Gibbs D, Smoski MJ. A systematic review of relations between resting-state functional-MRI and treatment response in major depressive disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2015;172:8-17. doi:10.1016/J.JAD.2014.09.028

54. Cash R, Zalesky A, Thomson RH, Tian Y, Cocchi L, Fitzgerald PB. Subgenual Functional Connectivity Predicts Antidepressant Treatment Response to Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation: Independent Validation and Evaluation of Personalization. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2019;86(2):e5-e7. doi:10.1016/J.BIOPSYCH.2018.12.002

55. Aizenstein HJ, Butters MA, Wu M, et al. Altered Functioning of The Executive Control Circuit in Late-Life Depression: Episodic and Persistent Phenomena. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2009;17(1):30. doi:10.1097/JGP.0B013E31817B60AF

56. Baeken C, Marinazzo D, Wu GR, et al. Accelerated HF-rTMS in treatment-resistant unipolar depression: Insights from subgenual anterior cingulate functional connectivity. *World J Biol Psychiatry*. 2014;15(4):286-297. doi:10.3109/15622975.2013.872295

57. Paus T, Castro-Alamancos MA, Petrides M. Cortico-cortical connectivity of the

human mid-dorsolateral frontal cortex and its modulation by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2001;14(8):1405-1411. doi:10.1046/J.0953-816X.2001.01757.X

58. Teneback CC, Nahas Z, Speer AM, et al. Changes in prefrontal cortex and paralimbic activity in depression following two weeks of daily left prefrontal TMS. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 1999;11(4):426-435. doi:10.1176/JNP.11.4.426

59. Tik M, Woletz M, Schuler AL, et al. Concurrent TMS/fMRI validates MDD target network engagement. *Brain Stimul*. 2021;14(6):1710. doi:10.1016/j.brs.2021.10.401

60. Lan MJ, Chhetry BT, Liston C, Mann JJ, Dubin M. Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation of Left Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex Induces Brain Morphological Changes in Regions Associated with a Treatment Resistant Major Depressive Episode; an Exploratory Analysis. *Brain Stimul*. 2016;9(4):577. doi:10.1016/J.BRS.2016.02.011

61. George MS, Ketter TA, Post RM. Prefrontal cortex dysfunction in clinical depression. *Depression*. 1994;2(2):59-72. doi:10.1002/DEPR.3050020202

62. Meyer BM, Rabl U, Huemer J, et al. Prefrontal networks dynamically related to recovery from major depressive disorder: a longitudinal pharmacological fMRI study. *Transl Psychiatry 2019 91*. 2019;9(1):1-10. doi:10.1038/s41398-019-0395-8

63. Grimm S, Beck J, Schuepbach D, et al. Imbalance between Left and Right Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex in Major Depression Is Linked to Negative Emotional Judgment: An fMRI Study in Severe Major Depressive Disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2008;63(4):369-376. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.05.033

64. Chen F, Ke J, Qi R, et al. Increased Inhibition of the Amygdala by the mPFC may Reflect a Resilience Factor in Post-traumatic Stress Disorder: A Resting-State fMRI Granger Causality Analysis. *Front Psychiatry*. 2018;0:516. doi:10.3389/FPSYT.2018.00516

65. Menon V, Uddin LQ. Saliency, switching, attention and control: a network model of insula function. *Brain Struct Funct*. 2010;214(5-6):655. doi:10.1007/S00429-010-0262-0

66. Gu X, Hof PR, Friston KJ, Fan J. Anterior Insular Cortex and Emotional Awareness. *J Comp Neurol*. 2013;521(15):3371. doi:10.1002/CNE.23368

67. Modinos G, Ormel J, Aleman A. Activation of Anterior Insula during Self-Reflection. *PLoS One*. 2009;4(2):e4618. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0004618

68. Craig AD. Significance of the insula for the evolution of human awareness of feelings from the body. *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 2011;1225:72-78. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.05990.x

69. Medford N, Critchley HD. Conjoint activity of anterior insular and anterior cingulate cortex: awareness and response. *Brain Struct Funct*. 2010;214(5):535. doi:10.1007/S00429-010-0265-X

70. Taylor KS, Seminowicz DA, Davis KD. Two systems of resting state connectivity between the insula and cingulate cortex. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2009;30(9):2731. doi:10.1002/HBM.20705

71. Horn DI, Yu C, Steiner J, et al. Glutamatergic and Resting-State Functional Connectivity Correlates of Severity in Major Depression – The Role of Pregenual Anterior Cingulate Cortex and Anterior Insula. *Front Syst Neurosci*. 2010;0:33. doi:10.3389/FNSYS.2010.00033

72. Alvarez RP, Kirlic N, Misaki M, et al. Increased anterior insula activity in anxious individuals is linked to diminished perceived control. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2015;5(6):e591-e591. doi:10.1038/tp.2015.84

73. Gusnard DA, Akbudak E, Shulman GL, Raichle ME. Medial prefrontal cortex and self-referential mental activity: Relation to a default mode of brain function. *Proc Natl*

Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98(7):4259-4264. doi:10.1073/pnas.071043098

74. Spreng RN, Grady CL. Patterns of brain activity supporting autobiographical memory, prospection, and theory of mind, and their relationship to the default mode network. *J Cogn Neurosci*. 2010;22(6):1112-1123. doi:10.1162/JOCN.2009.21282

75. Buckner RL, Andrews-Hanna JR, Schacter DL. The Brain's Default Network. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2008;1124(1):1-38. doi:10.1196/ANNALS.1440.011

76. Raichle ME, MacLeod AM, Snyder AZ, Powers WJ, Gusnard DA, Shulman GL. A default mode of brain function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2001;98(2):676-682. doi:10.1073/pnas.98.2.676

77. Huijbers W, Pennartz CMA, Cabeza R, Daselaar SM. The Hippocampus Is Coupled with the Default Network during Memory Retrieval but Not during Memory Encoding. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(4):e17463. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0017463

78. Jones-Gotman M, Zatorre RJ, Olivier A, et al. Learning and retention of words and designs following excision from medial or lateral temporal-lobe structures. *Neuropsychologia*. 1997;35(7):63-973.

79. Kennepohl S, Sziklas V, Garver KE, Wagner DD, Jones-Gotman M. Memory and the medial temporal lobe: Hemispheric specialization reconsidered. *Neuroimage*. 2007;36(3):969-978. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.03.049

80. Papma JM, Smits M, de Groot M, et al. The effect of hippocampal function, volume and connectivity on posterior cingulate cortex functioning during episodic memory fMRI in mild cognitive impairment. *Eur Radiol*. 2017;27(9):3716. doi:10.1007/S00330-017-4768-1

81. Irimia A, Maher AS, Chaudhari NN, Chowdhury NF, Jacobs EB. Acute cognitive deficits after traumatic brain injury predict Alzheimer's disease-like degradation of the human default mode network. *GeroScience*. 2020;42(5):1411-1429.

doi:10.1007/s11357-020-00245-6

82.   Johnson B, Zhang K, Gay M, et al. Alteration of brain default network in subacute

      phase of injury in concussed individuals: Resting-state fMRI study. *Neuroimage*.

      2012;59(1):511-518. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.07.081

83.   Natu VS, Lin JJ, Burks A, Arora A, Rugg MD, Lega B. Stimulation of the Posterior

      Cingulate Cortex Impairs Episodic Memory Encoding. *J Neurosci*. 2019;39(36):7173-

      7182. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0698-19.2019

84.   Sours C, Zhuo J, Roys S, Shanmuganathan K, Gullapalli RP. Disruptions in Resting

      State Functional Connectivity and Cerebral Blood Flow in Mild Traumatic Brain

      Injury Patients. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(8):e0134019. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134019

85.   Fatfouta R, Meshi D, Merkl A, Heekeren HR. Accepting unfairness by a significant

      other is associated with reduced connectivity between medial prefrontal and dorsal

      anterior cingulate cortex. *Soc Neurosci*. 2018;13(1):61-73.

      doi:10.1080/17470919.2016.1252795

Figure 1 (a) The connectivity matrix representing the difference between pre-rTMS and post-rTMS connectivity in active group. The green gradient illustrates increase while purple gradient depicts decrease in connectivity. (b) The brain diagram of the connectivity difference between pre-rTMS and post-rTMS in active group. Nodes represent the brain regions, edges represent the effective connectivity, Purple (dashed) and green (solid) arrows show decrease and increase in connectivity respectively. All the connection here are in units of Hertz (Hz), except the self-connections which are log-scaled. All the connections reported here survived the threshold of posterior probability > 0.95 amounting to strong evidence.

Figure 2 (a) The association matrix between post-rTMS connectivity of active group and emotional health scores. The purple gradient indicates negative association while green gradient shows positive association. (b) The brain diagram of the association between post-rTMS effective connectivity of active group and emotional health. Green (solid) and Purple (dashed) arrows illustrate the positive and negative association respectively. All the associations reported here survived the threshold of posterior probability > 0.95 amounting to strong evidence.

Table 1 MNI Coordinates of the centres of spheres of ROIs

ROI	x	y	Z	Network
PCC	0	-43	23	DMN
mPFC	0	62	5	DMN
lHP	-21	-22	-16	DMN
rHP	24	-19	-16	DMN
lAMG	-18	-4	-16	SN
rAMG	18	-4	-16	SN
dACC	0	32	23	SN
lAI	-39	14	2	SN
rAI	39	17	2	SN
lDLPFC	-48	32	14	EN
rDLPFC	30	53	29	EN

Table 2 Effective connectivity in active group (post rTMS – pre rTMS)

From	To	Excitatory (+) Inhibitory (-)	Increased (↑) Decreased (↓)
PCC	dACC	-	↑
rHP	PCC	-	↑
dACC	mPFC	-	↑
dACC	lAI	-	↑
rAMG	rDLPFC	+	↓
rAI	mPFC	-	↑
lAI	rHP	-	↑
lDLPFC	mPFC	+	↓
lDLPFC	dACC	+	↓
mPFC	mPFC	-	↑
lAI	lAI	-	↓
lHP	lHP	-	↓
rHP	rHP	-	↑