Protection of homologous and heterologous boosters after primary schemes of rAd26-rAd5, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and BBIBP-CorV during the Omicron outbreak in adults of 50 years and older in Argentina: a test-negative case-control study.

Soledad González (1), Santiago Olszevicki (1), Alejandra Gaiano (1), Martín Salazar (2), Lorena Regairaz (3), Ana Nina Varela Baino (1), Erika Barkel (1), Teresa Varela (1), Veronica V. González Martínez (1), Santiago Pesci (1), Lupe Marín (1), Juan Ignacio Irassar (1), Leticia Ceriani (1), Enio Garcia (1), Nicolás Kreplak (1), Elisa Estenssoro (1) and Franco Marsico (4).

1 Ministry of Health of the Province of Buenos Aires, La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina
2 Faculty of Medical Sciences, National University of La Plata, Argentina
3 Immunology Unit, Childrens Hospital Sor Maria Ludovica, La Plata, Buenos Aires
4 Faculty of Exacts and Natural Sciences, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina

Objectives: To estimate the protection against laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, hospitalisations, and death after applying a homologous or heterologous third-dose (booster) in individuals who had previously received primary vaccination schemes with rAd26-rAd5, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, BBIBP-CorV or a heterologous combination, during the period of Omicron BA.1 predominance.
Design: Retrospective, test-negative, case-control study, with a matched analysis.
Setting: Province of Buenos Aires, Argentina. Data were extracted from the National Surveillance System and VacunatePBA, a system developed in the province, between 12/1/21-4/1/21.
Participants: 422,144 adults older than 50 who had received two doses of COVID-19 vaccines and were tested for SARS-CoV-2.
Main outcome measures: Odds ratios of confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, hospitalisations and death after administering a booster, compared to a two-dose primary scheme.
Results: Of 221,933 (52.5%) individuals with a positive test, 190,884 (45.2%) had received a two-dose scheme of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines and 231,260 (54.8%) a three-dose scheme. The matched analysis included 127,014 cases and 180,714 controls.
The administration of a homologous booster after a primary scheme with vectored vaccines provided a large protection against hospitalisations and death (OR 0.30 [0.26-0.35] and OR 0.29 [0.25-0.33] respectively). However, this effect was lower and waned earlier compared to heterologous boosters (OR 0.59 [0.47-0.74] and OR 0.51 [0.41- 0.64] respectively).
The inoculation of a heterologous booster after a primary course with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, rAd26-rAd5, BBIBP-CorV, or heterologous schemes, provided some protection against infection (OR 0.70 [0.68-0.71]), which quickly decreased after 60 days (OR 1.01 [0.98-1.04]). Notwithstanding this, there was a clear protective effect against hospitalisations (OR 0.26 [0.22-0.31]) and deaths (OR 0.22 [0.18-0.25]) that persisted after 60 days (OR 0.43 [0.35-0.53] and 0.33 [0.26-0.41], respectively).
Conclusions: This study shows that, during Omicron predominance, heterologous boosters provide an enhanced protection and longer effect duration against COVID-19-related hospitalisations and death in individuals older than 50 compared to homologous boosters.

Introduction

The emergence of the highly transmissible omicron (B.1.1.529) variant of concern (VOC), able to partially evade the immune response achieved after vaccination or natural infection, has caused an extraordinary increase in COVID-19 cases worldwide.[1] As evidence of waning of the immunity generated by mRNA vaccines began to surface, many countries started to administer a booster to improve vaccine response against omicron at the end of 2021.[2] Vaccine Effectiveness (VE) can be restored with a booster dose. Thus far, most reports about boosters refer to the administration of the same mRNA vaccines administered as primary schemes.[3,4]

Argentina started the massive vaccination roll-out on December 29, 2020, with the recombinant adenovirus (rAd)-based vaccine rAd26-rAd5 (Sputnik V, from Gamaleya National Research Center for Epidemiology and Microbiology). In a context of decreased vaccine availability across the world, the Argentine Ministry of Health incorporated other immunisation schedules, which included: the vectored vaccines ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (from Oxford University and AstraZeneca) and CanSinoBIO Ad5-nCoV-S (from CanSino Biologics Inc), the inactivated viral SARS-CoV-2 vaccine BBIBP-CorV (from Beijing Institute of Biological Products Co); and the mRNA vaccines BNT162b2 (from Pfizer-BioNTech) and mRNA-1273 (from Moderna). To achieve wide vaccine coverage in the shortest possible time, Argentina started the use of heterologous vaccination schemes in July 2021. Recommendations about this strategy are available in the literature; furthermore, the advantages of applying heterologous boosters to improve the immunological response against variants of concern (VOCs), including omicron, has been demonstrated in experimental and real-world studies.[4-21]

There is scarce information in real-world studies about the protection achieved and duration of homologous or heterologous boosters following a primary vaccination course with the BBIBP-CorV, rAd26-rAd5 or with heterologous vaccines.[9,21]

Therefore, our aim was to estimate the protection against laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection, hospitalisations, and death after homologous or heterologous booster doses in individuals that had previously received rAd26-rAd5, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, BBIBP-CorV or heterologous schemes as primary series vaccination during a period of omicron BA.1 predominance.

Methods

Study population and design

This study used a test negative case-control design, which has proven to limit bias resulting from testing and healthcare seeking behaviour.[22,23] Subjects eligible for inclusion were ≥50 years with residence in the Province of Buenos Aires, had received at least two doses of COVID-19 vaccines by 1 January 2022, and were tested for SARS-CoV-2 between 1 January – 1 April, 2022. Exclusion criteria were having a previous positive RT-PCR or antigen tests for SARS-CoV2 in the previous 90 days, having received none, one, or four doses of any vaccine, or having a laboratory-confirmed test occurring within 14 days of vaccination.

We assessed vaccine performance during the period of omicron B.1.1.529 predominance (1 January-1 April 2022), as detected by the National Ministry of Health's genomic surveillance program for identifying VOCs through RT-PCR laboratory-confirmation.[24]

Data sources and definitions

This study used epidemiological surveillance data from the National Surveillance System (SNVS 2.0). The database registered age, gender, presence or absence of comorbidities and site of residence (Greater Buenos Aires or not). Information on SARS-CoV-2 infections was obtained using RT-PCR or antigen test until 1 April 2022. During the study period only symptomatic cases were tested, according to the standards established by the Province of Buenos Aires. Information about hospitalisations and death was recorded until 28 April 2022.

The date of confirmed-laboratory SARS-CoV-2 infections was identified by symptom-onset date or, if not available, the date of the sample collected for the COVID-19 test. Number of positive tests in the past, total number of previous tests, dates of hospitalisations and death were registered.

The first positive test during the study period was considered a case for primary analysis, regardless of the number of previous negative tests. Controls were those individuals who tested negative over the entire study period, and were selected according to the date of their first test. Individuals could be included only once for each outcome.

Vaccination information was collected in VacunatePBA, a system developed in the Province of Buenos Aires, Argentina, to address the rollout of the COVID-19 vaccination campaign. It included vaccination date, number of doses, vaccine type, vaccine lot number, and vaccination center. Vaccination status was verified on the day the SARS-CoV-2 test was performed.

In December 2020, Argentina started the vaccination campaign against COVID-19 with rAd26-rAd5 and, progressively incorporating ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, BBIBP-CorV, Ad5-nCoV, BNT162b2, mRNA-1273 vaccines, and combinations in settings of limited vaccine availability rAd26/mRNA1273, rAd26-/ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, and rAd26/Ad5-nCoV among others.[25,26]

The primary vaccination series initially consisted of two doses with a minimum interval of 21- or 28-day for immunocompetent subjects, or three doses with a 28-day interval for immunocompromised adults.[25] Due to low availability of vaccines, in March 2021 the first dose of viral-vectored vaccines (rAd26-rAd5 and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19) was prioritised, which consequently delayed the second dose for at least 90 days. The interval between doses with the BBIBP-CorV vaccine was left at 28 days.[27]

On 28 October 2021, the WHO recommended an additional dose for individuals aged >50 who had received a primary series with inactivated vaccines.[28] On 10 November, a booster dose with either rAd26, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, Ad5-nCoV, BNT162b2 or a half dose (50 µg) of mRNA-1273 was introduced for adults >70 and for those in high-risk groups.[29]

The recommended interval between the initial scheme and the booster was 6 months; afterwards, with the emergence of new evidence, it was shortened to 4 months.[30] Following the comorbidity-prioritised and age-progressive COVID-19 vaccination campaign, the program continued in a staggered manner, descending in 10-year age decrements, until covering the entire population.

In this study, only individuals >50 were considered, as they were prioritised for vaccination in the guidelines proposed by the National Ministry of Health due to the increased risk of severe disease and mortality demonstrated in this age group.[31,32]

For study purposes, eligible individuals were those who had received a two-dose vaccination scheme and should have received their booster dose equal or over 120 days, but had not—for any reason. They constituted the reference group. Individuals

ineligible for boosters were those who had received a two-dose scheme with the last dose administered up to 119 days before the test.
The booster was considered homologous when the platform was similar to that of the primary scheme administered, and heterologous when the platform was different.
The analysis of time since vaccination was stratified in two periods of ≤ 60 and ≥ 60 days, taking into consideration the reported increase in COVID-19 vaccine protection after administration followed by a waning over time.[14,18]
The COVID-19 vaccine uptake in the Province of Buenos Aires adults aged 50 and older and the epidemiological characteristics are shown in the Supplementary Material, figure S1,S2.

Outcomes

The main outcome was the odds ratio of SARS-CoV-2 infection, hospitalisations and death of administering a booster in comparison to a two-dose primary scheme, occurring ≥14 days after the booster dose. The secondary outcome was the odds of experiencing infection, hospitalisations and death related to the administration of homologous and heterologous boosters administered after different primary vaccination schemes.

Statistical analyses

Data are expressed in tables as mean ± standard deviations, median with 0.25 and 0.75 percentiles or numbers and percentages, as appropriate. T tests, Chi-square tests, Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests were used, according to the nature of the variables. A p value<0.05 was considered significant.
The matching process for the test negative case design was performed without replacement using the nearest neighbour (1nn) matching methodology, by means of a logistic regression propensity score within groups defined by exact coincidence on the number of positive tests in the past, gender, site of residence (Greater Buenos Aires or not), and presence or absence of comorbidities. Additionally, we matched the total number of previous tests on three levels (0, 1-2 or 3+) as a proxy of differences in exposure. The non-exact variables considered were age at diagnosis

and date of testing, with maximum tolerance of ±2 years for the age and ±6 days for the date of testing. Up to five controls per case were selected.

Subsequently, for each matched set, we utilised a conditional univariate logistic regression model to estimate the odds ratio for the outcome for each of the groups in the vaccination status variable. This variable had four levels. The reference group were those individuals with two doses who were eligible for receiving a booster dose. The other groups included individuals with two doses ineligible for booster, as defined previously; those with three doses, the last received 15-59 days before the test and those with three doses, the last received 60 or more days before the test.

Data preprocessing was carried out with PostgreSQL (Portions Copyright © 1996-2022, The PostgreSQL Global Development Group). All statistical analyses were performed with R (R Development Core Team, 4.2.1 version) software.

Ethics

The Central Ethics Committee of the Ministry of Health of the Province of Buenos Aires evaluated and approved the protocol of the present study on 21 September 2022. The report number is 2022-31701807-GDEBA-CECMSALGP.

Informed Consent.This study was exempted of informed consent due to its retrospective nature, and given it is a public health-related official program.

Anonymisation of data. Data were anonymized by the following procedure: the personal ID number was used to link the databases of follow-up and of vaccination. After this process, the personal ID number was removed and an ID reference number for each individual was created. This reference number is not associated with any personal information.

Competing Interest Statement

NK, LC, TV, AG and SP declared being involved in the decision making process of the vaccination campaign in the Province of Buenos Aires, Argentina. All other authors report no competing interests.

Role of Funding

This study did not receive any funding.

Patient and public involvement

No patients or members of the public were directly involved in the development or completion of this study owing to time and funding constraints.

Results

Description of the study population

During the study period, 422,144 subjects aged ≥50 were eligible for the study and obtained one test for SARS-CoV-2 at least once during the period of 1 January to 1 April 2022. Of them, 221,933 (52.5%) individuals had a positive test and 200,211 (47.5%) obtained a negative test. With respect to their vaccination status, 190,884 (45.2%) had received a two-dose scheme of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines and 231,260 (54.8%) a primary scheme plus a booster dose (three-dose scheme). The flowchart of the study is shown in figure 1.

The primary series most frequently included were: ChAdOx1 nCoV-19/ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (n=143,721; 34.0%), rAd26-rAd5 (n=119,441; 28.3%), BBIBP-CorV/BBIBP-CorV (n=68,251; 16.2%), rAd26/mRNA1273 (66,157; 15.7%) and rAd26-/ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (n=23,098; 5.5%).

The boosters applied were vectored vaccines (n=161,619, 38.3%), mRNA (n=68,850, 16.3%), and other types of booster platforms (n=791, 0.2%).

The primary schemes utilized with the corresponding boosters administered, stratified by platform, are shown in figure 2.

Characteristics of the entire group and comparisons between two- and three-dose vaccinated subjects are shown in table 1.Briefly, compared to the two-dose subgroup, the three-dose subgroup was significantly older, had a lower proportion of males, higher proportion of subjects with comorbid conditions, and lower proportions of subjects with previously registered SARS-CoV-2 infections and previous tests performed.

The median time elapsed for the three-dose scheme since the application of the last dose for the <60 and ≥ 60 days subgroups was 36 (26 to 48) and 75 (66 to 89) days, respectively. The median time elapsed for the two-dose scheme since the application

of the last dose for the <120 and ≥120 days subgroups was 48 (31 to 66) and 127 (123 to 132) days, respectively.

Regarding confirmed infections (table 1), there were 221,933 cases out of 422,144 (52.6%) eligible subjects; 119,599 were in the two-dose subgroup and 102,334 in the three-dose (62.7% vs 44.3%; p<0.001). Two-thousand one hundred and seventy-eight individuals were hospitalised (0.5%); 1,341 in the two-dose subgroup and 837 in the three-dose subgroup (0.7% vs 0.4%; p <0.001). A total of 2,209 (0.5%) deaths were registered; 1,420 in the two-dose subgroup and 789 in the three-dose subgroup (0.7% vs 0.3%, p <0.001).

Matched analysis for the entire population

The matched analysis included 127,014 cases and 180,714 controls; the number of the infections, hospitalisations and deaths are shown in table 2. Regarding infections, the booster dose decreased the OR after 14-59 days of administration; after 60 days, protection dropped back to levels similar to the two-dose scheme. The booster dose also decreased the risk of hospitalisations and deaths after 15-59 days, but this protective effect persisted after administration for a median of 75 days (66 to 89) (table 2 and figure 3a). These trends were also evident when subgroups of individuals with or without comorbidities, either sex, or older than 65 years were analysed (table S1 and figure S3, a-f).

Protection of homologous boosters against infection, hospitalisations and death

The primary schemes with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, rAd26-rAd5 or vectored heterologous schemes plus a vectored-vaccine booster showed similar trends regarding protection of infections, consisting in a small effect (OR 0.94; 95% CI 0.92 to 0.97), which rapidly waned (OR 1.05; 1.01 to 1.09). These schemes provided a large protection against hospitalisations and death (OR 0.30; 0.26 to 0.35 and OR 0.29; 0.25 to 0.33, respectively) but the effect of all homologous primary courses receiving a booster of a similar platform against hospitalisations and death (OR 0.59; 0.47 to 0.74 and OR 0.51; 0.41 to 0.64, respectively) waned after 60 days (figure 3 a-c and table S2).

Odds ratio for all subgroups by primary scheme and platform of booster are shown in figure 4 a-c and table S3.

Protection of heterologous boosters against infection, hospitalisations and death

The primary courses with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, rAd26-rAd5 plus a mRNA booster or with BBIBP-CorV plus mRNA or vectored booster afforded additional protection against infections (OR 0.70; 0.68 to 0.71), but an effect of waning after 60 days was evident (OR 1.01; 0.98 to 1.04) (figure 3, table S2). Notwithstanding this, there is clear protective effect against hospitalisations (OR 0.26; 0.22 to 0.31) and deaths (OR 0.22; 0.18 to 0.25) in all cases, which persists after 60 days (OR 0.43; 0.35 to 0.53 and 0.33; 0.26 to 0.41, respectively). Odds ratios for all subgroups by primary scheme and platform of booster are shown in figure 5 a-d and table S3.

After heterologous primary schemes, mRNA boosters conferred greater protection against hospitalisations and death when compared with viral vectored boosters. However, for these viral vector types of boosters the confidence intervals were wide, probably due to the small number of individuals in this category (figure 6 a-c and table S3**).**

Sensitivity analysis

We repeated this analysis stratifying the data according to two-age levels (under or over 65 years old), gender, and presence or absence of comorbidities, to assess the marginal risk reduction for each of these subgroups. We observed similar trends to those in the main analysis. In order to evaluate the effect of the time cut-off-based subgrouping in the waning analysis, models for infection, hospitalisations and death were run considering different time cut-offs. Differences observed in the ORs obtained were not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$, 95%CI) considering a tolerance of ± 10 days from the cut-off selected for the main analysis (60 days). To assess the uncertainty associated with the sampling, the matching process was repeated one hundred times for hospitalisations and death analysis. Thus, one hundred ORs were computed for each analysis. In both cases, as obtained ORs were within the limits of the confidence intervals reported in the main analysis for the abovementioned

outcomes, it is possible to conclude that our main result is not significantly different to the result of any of these repetitions. These analyses are shown in the Supplemental Material (figures S4 and S5).

Discussion

We examined the effect of homologous and heterologous boosters applied after different primary vaccination schemes against infection, hospitalisations and death in individuals older than 50 during omicron BA.1 predominance. Our main finding was that the administration of a heterologous booster after a primary homologous scheme produced greater beneficial effects on hospitalisations and death, which did not wane at different time points from inoculation, in comparison to homologous boosters. Similar results have been reported in previous studies.[7-10,12,13,15-18] In addition, this study provides new information on the utilisation of the inactivated vaccine BBIBP-CorV, the viral vector vaccine rAd26-rAd5, and heterologous primary schemes, which was previously quite scarce.[19]

After realizing that ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 administration was associated with increased risk of thrombosis with thrombocytopenia syndrome, some European Union Member States embarked on a strategy of heterologous primary vaccination during the spring of 2021.[33] Similar strategies involving heterologous vaccination in other diseases have been applied in the past.[34] In this context, new data has emerged, acknowledging that the administration of heterologous boosters is as good as, or even better, than homologous boosters in terms of immunological response.[3,5,6-8,35] *In vitro* studies also back this strategy, so the CDC and ECDC recommended the "mix and match".[36,37] In a worldwide context of primary schemes that do not include mRNA vaccines, noting that not all countries have access to them, our findings expand on additional COVID-19 vaccine combinations.

The first studies reporting heterologous boosters in real life originated in the United Kingdom during the pre-omicron period, where BNT162b2 administered after a primary scheme of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 had 93% VE against symptomatic disease, compared to the unvaccinated.[9] These figures are similar to the 94% VE achieved with a homologous booster of BNT162b2 after a primary scheme of BNT162b2.[10] In Chile, after a Sinovac primary scheme, heterologous boosting with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 or BNT162b2 was associated with higher VE than homologous boosting against symptomatic infection (90% for ChAdOx1 nCoV-19; 93% for BNT162b2; and 68% for Sinovac), hospitalisations (96%, 89%, and 75%, respectively), and intensive

care unit admission (98%, 90%, and 79%), during the period of delta VOC predominance.[10] Both studies show high VE against symptomatic infection, differently to our study in which booster administration produced a low and brief protection against this outcome. These divergent results might be ascribed to the omicron's great capacity for immune evasion.

In our study, the effect of homologous boosting afforded small or no additional protection against confirmed infections, as was documented in Brazil and Singapore after a triple scheme with Sinovac, and in the US and Malaysia where viral vectored boosters Janssen and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 were administered.[11-14,21,38] Conversely, two studies from the UK, Qatar, and a systematic review using triple-mRNA reported acceptable protection against infections, but it waned after 2 or 3 months.[4,9,13,16,39] We found that the effect of homologous boosting against hospitalisations and death was slightly lower than after the utilisation of heterologous boosting with a trend to waning after 60 days—similar to other reports.[11-13,40,41] An exception occurred with the administration of a primary heterologous vectored scheme followed by a vectored booster, which provided a significant protection against mortality.

Concerning the use of heterologous boosters after homologous or heterologous primary schemes against infections, we observed a modest increase in protection that waned after 60 days, similar to the results described by researchers from Brazil, Scotland and the United Kingdom after the application of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 primary schemes plus mRNA booster.[15,17,18] In contrast, a US study with Ad26.COV2-S plus mRNA booster reported protection against infections up to 160 days.[13] We observed, however, high additional protection against hospitalisations and death after the administration of a heterologous booster used with any primary regimen, which was maintained for a median of 75 days (IQR 66-89). Similar results were reported with heterologous boosting after the administration of primary schemes with the inactivated vaccine Sinovac and the vectored vaccines Ad26.COV2-S and ChAdOx1 nCoV-19.[13,15,17-20] Although our results are the first to report protection in a real-life setting, they are in line with *in vitro* studies: Argentinian researchers found that a heterologous booster with ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, rAd26-rAd5, or BNT162b2 vaccines markedly increased the neutralising activity against the Omicron variant and was maintained up to 90 days in older people with primary schemes of BBIBP-CorV.[8] Furthermore, researchers from Bahrein and Serbia reported that heterologous

boosting with Pfizer-BioNTech after administering a primary scheme with rAd26-rAd5 yielded higher levels of antibodies than homologous boosting.[40,41]

To our knowledge, ours is the first study carried out in a real world setting in which primary schemes with BBIBP-CorV, rAd26-rAd5 or multiple heterologous courses were applied and then boosted with vaccines from different platforms. Additionally, we not only recorded protection against infections but also against hospitalisations and death.

Limitations

First, we were only able to assess the effect of the booster up to a median time of 75 days due to the rapid surge and decrease of the omicron BA.1 wave; it is possible that the VE and waning effect might develop further changes over time. Nevertheless, that amount of time might be sufficient to detect patterns of change.[38-39] Second, we could only estimate the odds ratio of a third dose relative to a second dose. The calculation of the absolute odds ratio (comparison with an unvaccinated population) was not possible given that 95% of the population older than 50 years had received at least two vaccine doses by the study period.[42-43] Third, modification of the testing protocols during the study period might have influenced healthcare seeking behavior. The choice of a test-negative design aims to attenuate this possible bias. Fourth, misclassification cannot be completely discarded since contamination by incidental COVID-19 cases remains possible. For this reason, we only contemplated hospitalisations and death occurring within 14 days and 28 days from COVID-19 diagnosis, respectively. Fifth, viral genome sequencing was not available for most individuals; therefore, omicron BA.1 predominance periods were based on genomic surveillance data. Sixth, we only included individuals over 50; thus, our findings about VE and waning cannot be generalised to younger people**.** Seventh, OR estimates may be biased due to residual confounders, as in any observational study. Eighth, due to the increased burden of data entry to document infections during the short -but intense- omicron wave, it is possible that underreporting of hospitalisations occurred. Finally, given the unavailability of mRNA vaccines at the beginning of the vaccination roll-out, we could not evaluate the performance of homologous 3-dose schemes involving mRNA vaccines.

CONCLUSIONS AND AREAS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

This study shows that heterologous boosters provide an enhanced protection and longer effect duration against COVID-19-related hospitalisations and death in individuals older than 50 compared to homologous boosters, during Omicron predominance. The implications of our findings thus support the utilisation of different booster strategies to reach durable vaccine protection, especially in populations with primary schemes involving viral vectored or inactivated vaccines. Continuous monitoring of booster effectiveness over longer periods of time, with consideration of possible new SARS-CoV-2 variants, is key to developing the most appropriate COVID-19 vaccination strategies.

REFERENCES

[1] Cele S, Jackson L, Khoury DS, et al. Omicron extensively but incompletely escapes Pfizer BNT162b2 neutralization. Nature 2022;602(7898):654-656. DOI: 10.1038/s41586-021-04387-1

[2] Bar-On YM, Goldberg Y, Mandel M, et al. Protection of BNT162b2 Vaccine Booster against Covid-19 in Israel. N Engl J Med 2021;385:1393-400. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2114255

[3] Garcia-Beltran WF, St Denis KJ, Hoelzemer A, et al. mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccine boosters induce neutralizing immunity against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. Cell. 2022;185(3):457-466.e4. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.12.033

[4] Higdon MM, Baidya A, Walter KK, et al. Duration of effectiveness of vaccination against COVID-19 caused by the omicron variant. Lancet Infect Dis 2022 Aug;22(8):1114-1116. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00409-1. Epub 2022 Jun 22.

[5] World Health Organization. Interim recommendations for heterologous COVID-19 vaccine schedules. 16 December 2021. Available from: WHO-2019-nCoV-vaccines-SAGE-recommendation-heterologous-schedules-2021.1-eng.pdf. [Accessed 2nd September 2022]

[6] Atmar RL, Lyke KE, Deming ME, et al. Homologous and Heterologous Covid-19 Booster Vaccinations. N Engl J Med. 2022;386(11):1046-1057. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2116414

[7] Tan CS, Collier AY, Yu J, et al. Durability of Heterologous and Homologous COVID-19 Vaccine Boosts. Durability of Heterologous and Homologous COVID-19 Vaccine Boosts. JAMA Netw Open. 2022 Aug 1;5(8):e2226335. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.26335

[8] Rouco SO, Rodriguez PE, Miglietta EA, et al. Heterologous booster response after inactivated virus BBIBP-CorV vaccination in older people. Lancet Infect Dis. 2022;22(8):1118-1119. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00427-3

[9] Andrews N, Stowe J, Kirsebom F, et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 booster vaccines against COVID-19-related symptoms, hospitalization and death in England. Nat Med. 2022;28(4):831-837. doi:10.1038/s41591-022-01699-1

[10] Jara A, Undurraga EA, Zubizarreta JR, et al. Effectiveness of homologous and heterologous booster doses for an inactivated SARS-CoV-2 vaccine: a large-scale prospective cohort study. Lancet Glob Health. 2022;10(6):e798-e806. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(22)00112-7

[11] Ranzani OT, Hitchings MDT, de Melo RL, et al. Effectiveness of an Inactivated Covid-19 Vaccine with Homologous and Heterologous Boosters against Omicron in Brazil. *medRxiv* [Preprint] 2022. doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.30.22273193 [Accessed 18th September 2022]

[12] Tan CY, Chiew CJ, Pang D, et al. Vaccine effectiveness against Delta, Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 in a highly vaccinated Asian setting: a test-negative design study. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2022 Aug 23:S1198-743X(22)00418-9. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2022.08.002. Epub ahead of print.

[13] Accorsi EK, Britton A, Shang N, et al. Effectiveness of Homologous and Heterologous Covid-19 Boosters against Omicron. N Engl J Med. 2022;386(25):2433-2435. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2203165

[14] Suah JL, Tng BH, Tok PSK, et al. Real-world effectiveness of homologous and heterologous BNT162b2, CoronaVac, and AZD1222 booster vaccination against Delta and Omicron SARS-CoV-2 infection. Emerging Microbes & Infections 2022,11(1):1343-1345. DOI: 10.1080/22221751.2022.2072773

[15] UK Health Security Agency. COVID-19 vaccine surveillance report - week 35 . 1st September 2021. Available from:

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/1050721/Vaccine-surveillance-report-week-4.pdf [Accessed 18th September 2022].

[16] Chemaitelly H, Ayoub HH, AlMukdad S. Duration of mRNA vaccine protection against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 and BA.2 subvariants in Qatar. Nat Commun. 2022 Jun 2;13(1):3082. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-30895-3.

[17] Cerqueira-Silva T, Shah SA, Robertson C, et al. Waning of mRNA Boosters after Homologous Primary Series with BNT162b2 or ChadOx1 Against Symptomatic Infection and Severe COVID-19 in Brazil and Scotland: A Test-Negative Design Case-Control Study. *SSRN* [Preprint] 2022. doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4082927 [Accessed 18th September 2022]

[18] Cerqueira-Silva T, de Araujo Oliveira V, Paixão ES, et al. Duration of protection of CoronaVac plus heterologous BNT162b2 booster in the Omicron period in Brazil. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):4154. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-31839-7

[19] Baum U, Poukka E, Leino T, Kilpi T, Nohynek H, Palmu AA. High vaccine effectiveness against severe Covid-19 in the elderly in Finland before and after the emergence of Omicron. *medRxiv* [Preprint] 2022. doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.11.22272140 [Accessed 18th September 2022]

[20] Mayr FB, Talisa VB, Shaikh O, Yende S, Butt AA. Effectiveness of Homologous or Heterologous Covid-19 Boosters in Veterans. N Engl J Med. 2022;386(14):1375-1377. doi:10.1056/NEJMc2200415

[21] Park S, Gatchalian KK, Oh H. Association of Homologous and Heterologous Vaccine Boosters With SARS-CoV-2 Infection in BBIBP-CorV Vaccinated Healthcare Personnel. Cureus. 2022 Jul 27;14(7):e27323. doi: 10.7759/cureus.27323.

[22] Patalon T, Gazit S, Pitzer VE, Prunas O, Warren JL, Weinberger DM. Odds of Testing Positive for SARS-CoV-2 Following Receipt of 3 vs 2 Doses of the BNT162b2 mRNA Vaccine. JAMA Intern Med 2022;182:179-84. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.7382

[23] Dean NE, Hogan JW, Schnitzer ME. Covid-19 Vaccine Effectiveness and the Test-Negative Design. N Engl J Med. 2021 Oct 7;385(15):1431-1433. doi: 10.1056/NEJMe2113151

[24] Ministerio de Salud Argentina. Informes de vigilancia genómica. 2022. Available from:

https://www.argentina.gob.ar/coronavirus/informes-diarios/vigilancia-genomica. [Accessed 6th September 2022]

[25] Ministerio de Salud Argentina. Recomendaciones sobre esquemas heterólogos de vacunación COVID-19. 2021. Available from: https://bancos.salud.gob.ar/sites/default/files/2021-08/recomendacion-sobre-esquemas-heterologos-de-vacunacion-contra-COVID19.pdf. [Accessed 9th September 2022]

[26] Ministerio de Salud Argentina. Lineamientos Técnicos: Resumen de recomendaciones vigentes para la Campaña Nacional de Vacunación contra la COVID-19. 7th October 2021. Available from: https://bancos.salud.gob.ar/recurso/lineamientos-tecnicos-resumen-de-recomendaciones-vigentes-para-la-campana-nacional-de-0. [Accessed 19th September 2022].

[27] Ministerio de Salud Argentina. Priorización de primera dosis de vacuna contra
COVID-19. 2021. Available from: https://bancos¢salud¢gob¢ar/recurso/priorizacion-deprimera-dosis-de-vacuna-contra-covid-19. [Accessed 9th September 2022]

[28] World Health Organization-WHO. Interim recommendations for use of the inactivated COVID-19 vaccine BIBP developed by China National Biotec Group (CNBG), Sinopharm. 2021. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/352470/WHO-2019-nCoV-vaccines-SAGE-recommendation-BIBP-2022.1-eng.pdf [Accessed 19th September 2022]

[29] Ministerio de Salud Argentina. Dosis adicional al esquema primario y dosis de refuerzo (booster) 2021. Available from: https://bancos.salud.gob.ar/recurso/lineamientos-tecnicos-dosis-adicional-al-esquema-primario-y-dosis-de-refuerzo-booster-10-de [Accessed 9th September 2022]

[30] Ministerio de Salud Argentina. Recomendación de intervalo para la aplicación de la dosis de refuerzo de vacunas contra COVID-19. Available from: https://bancos.salud.gob.ar/recurso/memorandum-recomendacion-de-intervalo-para-la-aplicacion-de-la-dosis-de-refuerzo-de-vacunas [Accessed 9th September 2022]

[31] O'Driscoll M Ribeiro, Dos Santos G, Wang L, et al. Age-specific mortality and immunity patterns of SARS-CoV-2. Nature. 2021 Feb;590(7844):140-145. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2918-0.

[32] Williamson EJ, Walker AJ, Bhaskaran K, et al. Factors associated with COVID-19-related death using OpenSAFELY. Nature. 2020 Aug;584(7821):430-436. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2521-4.
[33] European Medicines Agency. COVID-19 Vaccine Janssen: EMA finds possible link to very rare cases of unusual blood clots with low blood platelets. 20 April 2021. Available at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/covid-19-vaccine-janssen-ema-finds-possible-link-very-rare-cases-unusual-blood-clots-low-blood. [Accessed 9th September 2022)
[34] Kardani K, Bolhassani A, Shahbazi S. Prime-boost vaccine strategy against viral infections: Mechanisms and benefits. Vaccine. 2016 Jan 20;34(4):413-423. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.11.062.
[35] Costa Clemens SA, Weckx L, Clemens R, et al. Heterologous versus homologous COVID-19 booster vaccination in previous recipients of two doses of CoronaVac COVID-19 vaccine in Brazil (RHH-001): a phase 4, non-inferiority, single blind, randomised study. Lancet 2022; 399: 521–29. doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00094-0
[36] U.S Food and Drug Administration. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Update: FDA Takes Additional Actions on the Use of a Booster Dose for COVID-19 Vaccines. 20 October 2021. Available from: CDC mix match https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-takes-additional-actions-use-booster-dose-covid-19-vaccines. [Accessed 9th September 2022]
[37] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. EMA and ECDC recommendations on heterologous vaccination courses against COVID-19. Available from: https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/news-events/ema-and-ecdc-recommendations-heterologous-vaccination-courses-against-covid-19. [Accessed 9th September 2022]
[38] Ng OT, Marimuthu K, Lim N, Lim ZQ, Thevasagayam NM, Koh V, et al. Analysis of COVID-19 Incidence and Severity Among Adults Vaccinated With 2-Dose mRNA COVID-19 or Inactivated SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines With and Without Boosters in Singapore. JAMA Netw Open. 2022 Aug 1;5(8):e2228900. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.28900. PMID: 36018588.
[39] Kirsebom F, Andrews N, Sachdeva R, Stowe J, Ramsay M, Lopez Bernal J. Effectiveness of ChAdOx1-S COVID-19 Booster Vaccination against the Omicron

and Delta variants in England. *Medrxiv*. [Preprint]. 2022. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.04.29.22274483 [Accessed 20th September 2022]

[40] Stosic M, Milic M, Markovic M, et al. Immunogenicity and Reactogenicity of the Booster Dose of COVID-19 Vaccines and Related Factors: A Panel Study from the General Population in Serbia. Vaccines (Basel). 2022 May 25;10(6):838. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10060838

[41] AlMadhi M, AlAwadhi A, Stevenson N, et al. Comparing the Safety and Immunogenicity of homologous (Sputnik V) and heterologous (BNT162B2) COVID-19 prime-boost vaccination. *MedRxiv.* [Preprint]. 2022. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.24.22279160 [Accessed 21st September 2022]

[42] Gazit S, Saciuk Y, Perez G, Peretz A, Pitzer VE, Patalon T. Short term, relative effectiveness of four doses versus three doses of BNT162b2 vaccine in people aged 60 years and older in Israel: retrospective, test negative, case-control study. BMJ. 2022 May 24;377:e071113. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2022-071113.

[43] Grewal R, Kitchen SA, Nguyen L, et al. Effectiveness of a fourth dose of covid-19 mRNA vaccine against the omicron variant among long term care residents in Ontario, Canada: test negative design study. BMJ. 2022 Jul 6;378:e071502. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2022-071502.

Table 1: Characteristics of the entire group and comparisons between two- and three-dose vaccinated subjects.

		Overall	Two doses	Three doses	p
n		422144	190,884	231,260	
Age		62.19 ± 9.82	59.67 ± 8.90	64.26 ± 10.06	<0.001
Gender	**F**	235,304 (55.7)	103,704 (54.3)	131,600 (56.9)	<0.001
	M	186,840 (44.3)	87,180 (45.7)	99,660 (43.1)	
Comorbidities	**No**	394,125 (93.4)	179,948 (94.3)	214,177 (92.6)	<0.001
	Yes	28,019 (6.6)	10,936 (5.7)	17,083 (7.4)	
Greater Buenos Aires	**No**	127,579 (30.2)	54,059 (28.3)	73,520 (31.8)	<0.001
	Yes	294,565 (69.8)	136,825 (71.7)	157,740 (68.2)	
Previous positive SARS-CoV-2 tests	**0**	367,309 (87.0)	164,285 (86.1)	203,024 (87.8)	<0.001
	1	53,244 (12.6)	25,814 (13.5)	27,430 (11.9)	
	2	1,535 (0.4)	759 (0.4)	776 (0.3)	
	3	52 (0.0)	25 (0.0)	27 (0.0)	
	4	4 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	3 (0.0)	
Previous total SARS-CoV-2 tests	**0**	244,772 (58.0)	110,179 (57.7)	134,593 (58.2)	<0.001
	1-2	158,275 (37.5)	72,384 (37.9)	85,891 (37.1)	
	3+	19,097 (4.5)	8,321 (4.4)	10,776 (4.7)	
Interval after last dose in days		96 ± 59	149 ± 42	52 ± 25	<0.001
Interval after last dose	**15-59 days**	156,438 (37.1)	4,925 (2.6)	151,513 (65.6)	<0.001
	60-119 days	101,299 (24.0)	24,882 (13.0)	76,417 (33.0)	
	≥120 days	164,407 (38.9)	161,077 (84.4)	3,330 (1.4)	
Events per outcome	**Infections**	221,933 (52.6)	119,599 (62.7)	102,334 (44.3)	<0.001
	Hospitalisations	2,178 (0.5)	1,341 (0.7)	837 (0.4)	<0.001
	Deaths	2,209 (0.5)	1,420 (0.7)	789 (0.3)	<0.001

Data are presented as mean ± SD and n (%)

Table 2: Odds ratio against SARS-CoV-2 infections, hospitalizations and deaths stratified by vaccination status.

Outcome	Vaccination status	SARS-CoV-2 positive cases	SARS-CoV-2 negative controls	Matched SARS-CoV-2 positive cases *	Matched SARS-CoV-2 negative controls	Odds Ratio	95 % CI **
Infection	**Total**	**221,933**	**200,211**	**127,014**	**180,714**		
	Two doses ineligible	18,820	10,987	9,413	10,531	0.97	0.94-1.00
	Two doses elegible	100,779	60,298	51,748	57,301	1 (ref)	
	Three doses, ≤ 60 days	74,777	76,736	45,933	72,777	0.81	0.80 - 0.83
	Three doses, ≥60 days	27,557	52,190	19,920	40,105	1.04	1.01-1.06
Hospitalisation	**Total**	**2,178**	**200,211**	**2,149**	**9,254**		
	Two doses ineligible	136	10,987	136	356	1.08	0.87-1.35
	Two doses elegible	1,205	60,298	1,192	3,044	1 (ref)	
	Three doses, ≤ 60 days	546	76,736	533	4,483	0.28	0.25-0.32
	Three doses, ≥60 days	291	52,190	288	1,371	0.52	0.44-0.61
Death	**Total**	**2,209**	**200,211**	**2,196**	**10,023**		
	Two doses ineligible	136	10,987	135	329	1.09	0.88-1.35
	Two doses elegible	1,284	60,298	1,275	3,188	1 (ref)	
	Three doses, ≤ 60 days	538	76,736	537	5,054	0.25	0.22-0.28
	Three doses, ≥60 days	251	52,190	249	1,452	0.38	0.33-0.45

Figure 1: Flowchart of the study



Figure 2: Primary schemes and boosters administered stratified by platform



Figure 3: Odds ratios of boosters against confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections, hospitalizations and death. a) All boosters
b) Homologous boosters.
c) Heterologous boosters.

a)

Infections	OR (CI 95%)
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.81 (0.80-0.83)
Three doses (≥60 days)	1.04 (1.01-1.06)
Hospitalisations	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.28 (0.25-0.32)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.52 (0.44-0.61)
Death	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.25 (0.22-0.28)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.38 (0.33-0.45)



b)

Infections	OR (CI 95%)
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.94 (0.92-0.97)
Three doses (≥60 days)	1.05 (1.01-1.09)
Hospitalisations	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.30 (0.26-0.35)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.59 (0.47-0.74)
Death	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.29 (0.25-0.33)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.51 (0.41-0.64)



c)

Infections	OR (CI 95%)
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.70 (0.68-0.71)
Three doses (≥60 days)	1.01 (0.98-1.04)
Hospitalisations	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.26 (0.22-0.31)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.43 (0.35-0.53)
Death	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.22 (0.18-0.25)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.33 (0.26-0.41)



Figure 4: Odds ratio of homologous vectored booster against confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections, hospitalizations and death stratified by primary scheme.
a) ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 b) rAd26-rAd5 c) Vectored heterologous primary schemes.



Figure 5: Odds ratio of heterologous boosters against confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections, hospitalizations and death stratified by primary scheme and type of booster. a) ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 plus mRNA b) rAd26-rAd5 plus mRNA c) BBIBP-CorV plus mRNA d) BBIBP-CorV plus vectored vaccine.

a)

Infections	OR (CI 95%)
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.64 (0.61-0.67)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.92 (0.81-1.04)
Hospitalisations	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.22 (0.14-0.35)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.14 (0.03-0.77)
Death	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.20 (0.13-0.32)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.25 (0.05-1.17)



b)

Infections	OR (CI 95%)
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.66 (0.63-0.69)
Three doses (≥60 days)	1.02 (0.90-1.16)
Hospitalisations	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.24 (0.17-0.32)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.34 (0.14-0.84)
Death	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.12 (0.08-0.17)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.16 (0.05-0.54)



c)

Infections	OR (CI 95%)
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.71 (0.67-0.75)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.92 (0.87-0.97)
Hospitalisations	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.24 (0.17-0.34)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.24 (0.17-0.34)
Death	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.22 (0.16-0.30)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.20 (0.14-0.27)



d)

Infections	OR (CI 95%)
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.62 (0.55-0.69)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.64 (0.50-0.84)
Hospitalisations	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.22 (0.09-0.51)
Death	
Two doses (eligible for booster)	1.00 (ref-ref)
Three doses (<60 days)	0.27 (0.15-0.51)
Three doses (≥60 days)	0.24 (0.03-1.85)



Figure 6: Odds ratio of heterologous boosters against confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections, hospitalizations and death stratified by primary scheme and type of booster. a) Vectored heterologous primary schemes plus mRNA booster. b) Vectored-mRNA heterologous primary schemes plus vectored booster. c) Vectored-mRNA heterologous primary schemes plus mRNA booster