Identifying and characterizing Surgery, Obstetric, Trauma and Anesthesia care in Uganda: a scoping review of health policy databases

Authors: Kasagga Brian[1,2,3], Berjo Dongmo Takoutsing[4], Balumuka Darius[5], Ambangira Fortunate[6], Nawezo Jacob[7] Kasozi Derrick[8], Namiiro Amelia Margaret[8],Sekyanzi John[2],, Chebet Isaac[2], Jean Namatovu Kizito[2], Namazzi Mercy[9], Yusuf Sadiq[2], Ekwaro Obuku[10], Elobu Alex Emmanuel[1,3]

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Surgery, Mulago National Referral Hospital, Kampala, Uganda.
[2]Women's Hospital International and Fertility Centre, Kampala, Uganda.
[3]Academics and Research department, Society of Uganda Gastrointestinal and Endoscopic Surgeons (SUGES).
[4]Research Department, Association of Future African Neurosurgeons, Yaounde, Cameroon
[5]Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Oregon Health and Science University, USA
[6]Makerere University School of Public Health
[7]Department of Anesthesia and Critical Care, Mbarara University of Science and Technology.
[8]Makerere University School of Medicine
[9]Kampala International University, School of Health Sciences.
[10]Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Makerere University College of Health Sciences

Correspondence to: Dr.Kasagga Brian;briankasagga@gmail.com; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8292-7322

ABSTRACT

Introduction:

Diseases addressed by surgical, obstetrics, trauma, and anesthesia (SOTA) care are rising globally due to an anticipated rise in the burden on non-communicable diseases, and road traffic accidents. Low and middle-income countries (LMICs) disproportionately bear the brunt. Evidence-based policies and political commitment are required to reverse this trend. The Lancet Commission of Global Surgery proposed National Surgical and Obstetrics Plans (NSOAP) to alleviate the respective SOTA burdens in LMICs. NSOAP plans success leverages comprehensive stakeholder engagement and appropriate health policy analyses and recommendations. As Uganda embarks on its NSOAP development, policy prioritization in Uganda remains unexplored.

Objectives:

We seek to determine the importance given to Surgery, Obstetrics, Anesthesia, and Trauma care in Uganda's health care policy and decision-making documents.

Methods:

Arksey and O'Malley's framework will guide this proposed review of Uganda's SOTA-related health policy and decision-making documents. The search will be conducted on the following health policy databases: Google Scholar, websites of relevant government institutions,

international and national non-governmental organizations, professional associations and councils, and religious and medical bureaus. Data retrieved from the eligible policy and decision-making documents will include the year of publication, the global surgery specialty mentioned, the surgical system domain and stakeholders involved, and the funder of the policy. The data will be collected in a preformed extraction sheet. 2 independent reviewers will screen the collected data, and results will be presented as counts and their respective proportions.

Ethics and dissemination:

This study will generate evidence-based information on the state of SOTA care in Uganda's health policy, which will inform NSOAP development in this nation. The review's findings will be presented to the Ministry of Health planning task force. The study will also be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication, oral and poster presentations at local, regional, national, and international conferences, and over social media.

Strengths and Limitations of the study:

This will be the first scoping review to examine the prioritization of SOTA care in Uganda's health care policy documents. The search strategy includes several electronic databases, including governmental and non-governmental organizations, professional associations and councils, and religious and medical bureaus.
However, this scoping review may not capture some sources from gray literature and other non-online sources.

Keywords: Health policy analysis, SOTA care, Global surgery, NSOAP.

BACKGROUND

Eleven percent of the global disease burden is treatable by surgical care [1]; however, approximately five billion people lack access to timely, safe, and affordable surgical, obstetric, trauma, and anesthesia (SOTA) care when needed [2]. This huge disparity exists mainly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where nine out of ten people do not have access to SOTA care [2].

Until recently, Surgery and anesthesia were considered burdensome, luxurious, and less cost-effective aspects of global health [3]. The Lancet Commission on Global Surgery (LCoGS) in 2015 projected an increased need for SOTA care in LMICs to effectively address the burden of communicable and non-communicable diseases, and road traffic accidents. Mindful of these challenges, a new term, "Global surgery", was adopted to describe a rapidly developing multidisciplinary field aiming to provide improved timely, safe, and affordable SOTA-care across international health systems with a focus on LMICS such as Uganda.

This new term brought about numerous academic and policy stimuli. These included landmark publications such as the World Bank's third edition of their Disease Control Priorities (DCP-3) and World Health Assembly (WHA) resolution 68.15, which was adopted unanimously by the World Health Organisation Member States in 2015. This resolution calls for strengthening

emergency and essential surgical and anesthesia services as a part of universal health coverage[5]. The LCoGS, with its published seminal report, focused on workforce, training, education; healthcare delivery and management; information management; and economics and finance for SOTA care championed the most significant stimuli the same year [4]. It proposed six indicators to be monitored, evaluated, and reported by all countries and global health organizations [4]. These efforts ultimately culminated in recommendations to develop National Surgical and Obstetric Plans (NSOAP), using the facility- and country-level data to drive health policy.Since then, there has been an unprecedented interest within governments, ministries, professional societies, and on-the-ground clinicians to lead efforts to increase surgical, obstetric, and anesthesia care in their countries through developing the National Surgical, Obstetric, and Anaesthesia Plans (NSOAPs) [6, 7].

Recognizing current gaps in its surgical system's six core health domains, the Zambian Ministry of Health implemented resolution 68.15 by developing an NSOAP in the country at the beginning of 2016. The goal was to integrate the NSOAP plan into the National Health Sector Strategic Plan of Zambia, 2017-2021. [8]. Following this, other sub-Saharan African countries, including Madagascar, Tanzania, Rwanda, Ethiopia, and Nigeria, have developed similar NSOAP plans. Uganda currently has no active NSOAP plan.

Uganda, a low-income country with a national health budget below 7 percent, faces several challenges in timely access and affordable and safe SOTA care. Most of the facilities lack surgical amenities to perform bellwether procedures.[9] The surgical volume in 2011 was estimated at 241 per 100,000, which has not changed over the last ten years [10]. The mean national specialist surgical workforce density is about 1.05 for every 100,000 people. [11]. Moreover, poor remuneration and difficult working environments have led to poor retention of the surgical workforce within Uganda[12]. Within an average catchment area of 23.99 km, the mean proportion of Ugandans living 30 minutes, 1 hour, and 2 hours from a surgical facility is 64%, 87%, and 98%, respectively. [13] Furthermore, Ugandan SOTA patients face significant financial hardship. The risks of catastrophic and impoverishing SOTA-care-related expenditures are estimated at 65% and 69%, respectively [14, 15]. Regarding safety, the maternal mortality ratio was 375 per 100,000 live births in 2017. Also, the neonatal and under-5 mortality rates per 1,000 births were estimated at 19 and 43, respectively, in 2020.[16-18]

Mindful of these challenges, the Ugandan Ministry of Health has started developing NSOAP plans in collaboration with various stakeholders. NSOAPs are designed to strengthen surgical systems, covering every health system domain: infrastructure, surgical workforce, service delivery, information management, governance, and financing in alignment with national health plans. In developing NSOAPs, existing health policies should be considered and analyzed to avoid redundancy and identify opportunities for collaboration, pooling of resources, and synergy. This analysis also informs the creation, costing, implementation, and strengthening of evidence-based policies. To our knowledge, Takoutsing et al. in 2021, analyzed the Cameroonian national health policy database to identify opportunities for SOTA; however, no study has focused on SOTA health policy analysis in Uganda.

1.0 STUDY OBJECTIVES

This protocol aims to describe the methodology of an up-to-date scoping review of existing Ugandan national health policies and related documents (strategies, plans, guidelines, rapid response summaries, health events, evidence briefs for policy, and dialogue reports) and identify opportunities for SOTA policies. The primary and secondary aims of the review are described in **Box 1.** The findings from this study will inform the ongoing NSOAP development and implementation and, as a result, may lead to progress toward adhering to the global Surgery 2030 agenda.

Box 1. Primary and secondary aims of the review.

Primary:

1. Determine the proportion of health policies focused on SOTA care in Uganda

Secondary:

1. Assess the distribution of the various global surgery-related policies in Uganda into the different SOTA specialties
2. Assess the distribution of the various global surgery-related policies in Uganda into the six domains of a surgical system, and
3. Identify the funders and stakeholders involved in SOTA-related policies in Uganda

2.0 Methods and Analysis

We shall review all relevant health policy and decision-making documents about the Uganda health system and interventions on all available health databases produced from January 1, 2000. The year 2000 marked the beginning of implementing key health sector reforms in Uganda [19]. The study design and identification of relevant documents are adopted from previous health policy analysis by Mutatina et al., in which they attempted to establish a one-stop shop for health policy documents in Uganda.[22]

Protocol Design:

The design of the proposed scoping review methodology was informed by Arksey and O'Malley's framework in a health policy research context which includes five stages to conducting a scoping review: (1) identifying the research question; (2) identifying relevant studies; (3) study selection; (4) charting the data; (5) collating, summarizing and reporting the results.

Stage 1: Identifying the research question

Arksey and O'Malley's methodological framework for scoping reviews recommend a broad approach to generate sufficient coverage. However, its challenges include the lack of direction, clarity, and focus on informing the subsequent stages of the research process[20,21]. Therefore, we propose broad and narrow-focused questions: How does SOTA care fair in Uganda's health care policy? What is the volume, nature (type, content, health systems focus), and funding towards SOTA care in Uganda health policy documents between January 1st, 2000, and to date?

Stage 2: Identifying relevant policy documents

At this stage, the authors discuss the keywords constituting the search strategy and the criteria for inclusion and exclusion of the policy documents.

Databases and search strategy

Two members of the authors (KB and BDT) developed the search strategy by testing the keywords, MESH terms, and databases to search. Finally, all reviewing team members discussed and determined the final search strategy. The search will be conducted using the following electronic health databases: Google Scholar, websites of relevant government institutions, international and national NGOs, professional associations and councils, and religious and medical bureaus. This search strategy was adapted from Takoutsing et al., 2022. and broadly includes a combination and variation of the following search terms; "Surgery, obstetric, trauma, anesthesia, maternal, neonatal."

Eligibility criteria

We shall include all health policy documents with mentions of SOTA interventions in Uganda and exclude all those without.

Stage 3: Study selection

This will be conducted in three phases. First, all articles identified per our search will be exported to an Excel proforma sheet (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA), and duplicates identified and deleted. Next, a calibration exercise will be carried out before screening to ensure an adequate

understanding of the inclusion criteria by study screeners. At least two independent reviewers will review each policy document. Papers identified by either or both reviewers will be included for extraction. Disagreements will be discussed amongst the reviewers, and in case of no resolution, an appeal will be made to a senior author (EO and EAE). A flow diagram will be presented to reflect the search process.

Stage 4: Charting the data

Key characteristics from the included studies will be extracted using a predefined data-extraction sheet in Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, Richmond, Virginia, USA). Data extraction will also be performed in two stages. Firstly a pilot stage consisting of all authors independently extracting and categorizing data from ten policy documents. This is to assure the reliability and standardization of the extraction form and that all authors extract data homogeneously and accurately. Next, the authors will complete data extraction for all included articles, and discrepancies that were not resolved between the authors will be arbitrated by the senior author (EO and EAE). The expected key information to be extracted is outlined in **Box 2**.

Box 2. Key information to be extracted.

- Year of publication of policy document
- Global surgery specialty mentioned
- Health system components involved
- Stakeholders involved
- Funder of the policy

Stage 5: Collating, summarizing, and reporting the results

The review results will be reported as counts and proportions using graphs or in a tabular form showing descriptive statistics. In addition, a narrative description of the results will be presented by grouping the data into meaningful summaries for a better exposition of the findings.

3.0 Ethics.

Ethical approval for this study will not be required because this study did not involve human participants.

4.0 Dissemination

This will include: presenting the review's findings to the Ministry of Health planning task force; publication of the protocol and the review in peer-reviewed journals; oral and poster presentations at local, regional, national, and international conferences; and dissemination over social media.

5.0 Competing interests: None declared.

6.0 Patient consent for publication: Not required.

7.0 Funding

There is no funding for this study

8.0 Author's contributions statement

KB and BDT were responsible for conceiving the article. KB is the guarantor. KB, BDT, and BD wrote the manuscript. KB, BDT, EAE,BD and EO provided a critical appraisal of the manuscript. All authors critically revised and approved the final manuscript.

9.0.References.

1. Shrime, M.G., et al., *Global burden of surgical disease: an estimation from the provider perspective*. Lancet Glob Health, 2015. **3 Suppl 2**: p. S8-9.
2. Ologunde, R., et al., *Surgical care in low and middle-income countries: Burden and barriers.* International Journal of Surgery, 2014. **12**(8): p. 858-863.
3. Marseille, E. and S. Morshed, *Essential Surgery is cost effective in resource-poor countries.* Lancet Glob Health, 2014. **2**(6): p. e302-3.
4. Meara, J.G., et al., *Global Surgery 2030: evidence and solutions for achieving health, welfare, and economic development.* Lancet, 2015. **386**(9993): p. 569-624.
5. Price, R., E. Makasa, and M. Hollands, *World Health Assembly Resolution WHA68.15: "Strengthening Emergency and Essential Surgical Care and Anesthesia as a Component of Universal Health Coverage"—Addressing the Public Health Gaps Arising from Lack of Safe, Affordable and Accessible Surgical and Anesthetic Services.* World J Surg, 2015. **39**(9): p. 2115-25.
6. Peters, A.W., et al., *National Surgical, Obstetric, and Anesthesia Plans Supporting the Vision of Universal Health Coverage.* Global Health: Science and Practice, 2020. **8**(1): p. 1-9.
7. WHO. *SURGICAL CARE SYSTEMS STRENGTHENING*. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/255566/9789241512244-eng.pdf.

8. Makasa, E.M., *Universal Access to Surgical Care and Sustainable Development in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Case for Surgical Systems Research Comment on "Global Surgery – Informing National Strategies for Scaling Up Surgery in Sub-Saharan Africa".* International Journal of Health Policy and Management, 2018. **8**(1): p. 58-60.
9. Albutt, K., et al., *Operative volume and surgical case distribution in Uganda's public sector: a stratified randomized evaluation of nationwide surgical capacity.* BMC Health Services Research, 2019. **19**(1): p. 104.
10. *Specialist surgical workforce (Per 100,000 population) - Uganda | Data [Internet].* . Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.MED.SAOP.P5?end=2013&locations=UG&start=2013&view=map.
11. *Number of surgical interventions (per 100,000 inhab.)*. Available from: https://donnees.banquemondiale.org/indicateur/SH.SGR.PROC.P5?locations=UG.
12. Galukande, M., et al., *Essential Surgery at the district hospital: a retrospective descriptive analysis in three African countries.* PLoS Med, 2010. **7**(3): p. e1000243.
13. Juran, S., et al., *Geospatial mapping of access to timely essential Surgery in sub-Saharan Africa.* BMJ Global Health, 2018. **3**(4): p. e000875.
14. *Risk of Catastrophic expenditure for surgical care (% of people at risk)-Uganda*. Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.SGR.CRSK.ZS.
15. *Risk of impoverishing expenditure for surgical care (% of people at risk)-Uganda*. Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.SGR.IRSK.ZS.
16. bank, W. *Maternal mortality ratio (modeled estimate, per 100,000 live births) - Uganda*. Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.STA.MMRT?locations=UG.
17. *Mortality rate, neonatal (per 1,000 live births) - Uganda*. Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.DYN.NMRT?locations=UG.
18. *Mortality rate, under-5 (per 1,000 live births) - Uganda*. Available from: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.DYN.MORT?locations=UG.
19. Tashobya, C., F. Ssengooba, and V. Oliveira Cruz, *Health systems reforms in Uganda: processes and outputs.* 2006.
20. Arksey, H. and L. O'Malley, *Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 2005. **8**(1): p. 19-32.
21. Levac, D., H. Colquhoun, and K.K. O'Brien, *Scoping studies: advancing the methodology.* Implementation Science, 2010. **5**(1): p. 69.
22. Mutatina, B., et al., *Identifying and characterising health policy and system-relevant documents in Uganda: a scoping review to develop a framework for the development of a one-stop shop.* Health Research Policy and Systems, 2017. **15**(1): p. 7.