Health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children in remote Australia: a scoping review
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Abstract

In Australia, there is a significant gap between health outcomes in Indigenous and non-Indigenous children, which may relate to inequity in health service provision, particularly in remote areas. The aim was to conduct a scoping review to identify publications in the academic and grey literature and describe 1) Existing health services for Indigenous children in remote Australia and service use, 2) Workforce challenges in remote settings, 3) Characteristics of an effective health service, and 4) Models of care and solutions. Electronic databases of medical/health literature were searched (Jan 1990 to May 2021). Grey literature was identified through investigation of websites, including of local, state and national health departments. Identified papers (n=1775) were screened and duplicates removed. Information was extracted and summarised from 116 papers that met review inclusion criteria (70 from electronic medical databases and 45 from the grey literature). This review identified that existing services struggle to meet demand. Barriers to effective child health service delivery in remote Australia include availability of trained staff, limited services, and difficult access. Aboriginal and Community Controlled Health Organisations are effective and should receive increased support including increased training and remuneration for Aboriginal Health Workers. Continuous quality assessment of existing and future programs will improve quality; as will measures that reflect aboriginal ways of knowing and being, that go beyond traditional Key Performance Indicators. Gold standard models for service delivery have community leadership and collaboration. Increased resources with a focus on primary prevention and health promotion are essential.
“The failure to close the gaps in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health inequality, and other measures of social and economic disadvantage, cannot be justified by more rhetoric or data in another report. For us, the harrowing failure to close the gap is felt through sorry business, the countless funerals of family and friends, the hospital visits and the coronial inquiries that we continue to painfully endure. So many of our losses were and are preventable – that is the failure and pain we carry. A sensible way of doing business is long overdue as, apart from small gains, the attempts to close the gaps in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander life expectancy, health and education have failed.” June Oscar [1]

Introduction

The estimated 727,500 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people, herein called Indigenous Australians, comprise 3.3% of the total Australian population [2]. One fifth of Indigenous Australians live in remote and very remote settings [2]. Indigenous children living in remote Australia experience a greater burden of disease than children living in metropolitan settings [3-9]. Colonisation, forcible separation and forced assimilation, violence and loss of culture and land, underlying intergenerational trauma, discrimination, exclusion and racism which deeply impact health and the social determinants of health including education, employment and housing, and access to health care.

Indicators of child health and life expectancy include the frequency of low birth weight (< 2500g) and infant mortality [7, 10, 11]. In 2010, Indigenous babies were twice as likely (12%) as non-Indigenous babies (6%) to have a low birth weight (LBW). The Indigenous infant mortality rate is 1.8 times higher for non-Indigenous than Indigenous infants (5.1 versus 2.9 infant deaths per 1000 live births, respectively) [12].

Indigenous Australians are hospitalised at 2.6 times the rate of non-Indigenous Australians [13]. Rates of hospitalisation and emergency department presentation for Indigenous children living in remote areas are high, often for potentially preventable skin, respiratory and gastrointestinal infections [8, 14, 15]. One in five Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children live with disability (22%), including sensory (12%), cognitive (9%), physical (5%) and psychosocial disability (4%) [16].

Health is a human right so Indigenous children living in remote areas need priority access to high quality health care [17, 18] to improve current health, decrease the risk of chronic disease and increase life expectancy [19]. Social determinants contribute powerfully to Indigenous health inequality and include poor nutrition, housing shortage, limited primary health care access, low income and limited educational attainment [20, 21].

In response to the 2009 ‘Closing the Gap’ strategy each Australian State and Territory developed plans and policies to address gaps between Indigenous and non-Indigenous health indicators and
improve the effectiveness of health service delivery to children in remote settings [22, 23]. For example, the Queensland Government aimed to close the health gaps by 2033 by addressing risk factors, increasing primary prevention strategies and access to multidisciplinary health services, and providing nurturing safe environments for children [22].

The 2021 ‘Close the Gap’ report found mixed results [24]. There was a 17% reduction in avoidable deaths between 2006 and 2018 [24], however this rate of decline is slowing. Indigenous Australians currently die from avoidable causes at three times the rate of non-Indigenous Australians [24]. Mortality rates for Australian Indigenous children have not changed since 2005. While infant mortality has declined, child injury deaths have remained the same. The number of Indigenous children in out of home care has increased since 2013 [25].

This scoping review aimed to identify publications in the academic and grey literature and describe 1) Existing health services and service use for Indigenous children in remote Australia, 2) Workforce challenges in remote settings, 3) Characteristics of an effective health service, and 4) Effective models of care.

**Methods**

**Rationale for a scoping review**

To address our aims, we employed a scoping review methodology. This is because we sought to find and assess the scope of the literature on the topic; as well as to describe and synthesise existing evidence, sources, locations, breadth, definitions, and knowledge gaps on this topic.

**Ethical Approval**

This study being a review, used only previously published and publicly accessible data. Review by an ethical board or consent from participants was therefore not appropriate and not sought.

**Search of Electronic Databases**

Electronic databases (MEDLINE, Cumulative Index for Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Psychological Information Abstracts Services (PsycINFO), Web of Knowledge, Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE), Educational Resources Information Centre (ERIC), and Scopus) were searched for relevant publications.

**MeSH headings and key words**

The MeSH Headings used were health services; community health services; primary health care; family practice; child health services; adolescent medicine; health services, Indigenous; rural health; rural health services; rural population; rural; child; paediatrics; Oceanic ancestry group; and Australia.
The key words searched were health services; community health services; primary health care; family practice; adolescent medicine; Indigenous health; Indigenous health services; rural; remote; rural health services; child; infant; paediatrics; paediatrics; Indigen*; Aborigin*; Oceanic ancestry group; allied health; Australia. The MEDLINE strategy is shown in Box 1 and was adapted to all other databases.
### Box 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEDLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990 until May 28, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>health services.mp. or Health Services/ OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>community health services.mp. or Community Health Services/ OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primary health care.mp. or Primary Health Care/ OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>family practice.mp. or Family Practice/ AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent Medicine/ or Adolescent/ or Adolescent Health Services/ OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Health Services/ or child*.mp. or Child/ OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pediatrics.mp. or exp Pediatrics/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rural.mp. or Rural Health/ or Hospitals, Rural/ or Rural Population/ or Rural Health Services/ OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>remote.mp. AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oceanic ancestry group.mp. or Oceanic Ancestry Group/ OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indigen*.mp. OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aborigin*.mp. AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>health services indigenous.mp. or Health Services, Indigenous/ OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>australia.mp. or Australia/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>limited to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>yr = 1990 until May 28, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region = Australia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Websites and Databases for Grey Literature search

The following websites and databases were searched: Informit Indigenous Collection (IIC); Lowitja Institute, Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies (AIATSIS) and Australian Aboriginal Health Info-net; Websites of the Federal and State Governments Health departments and associated agencies; Parliamentary Hearings and Senate committees, Commonwealth and State; Aboriginal medical services; regional health services; Australian Human Rights Commission; National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia; Royal Australasian College of Physicians; Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health (UK); Australian Bureau of Statistics, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW), Research Institutes focussing on Indigenous health e.g. Menzies, Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy Research at Australian National University, Telethon Institute for Child Health Research (Western Australia), Centre for Remote Health, and Australian Primary Health Care Research Institute (APHCRI).

### Inclusion criteria

Medical publications and grey literature published January 1990-May 2021 describing existing rural and remote health services, health service use, or needs in relation to Indigenous Australian children.
(0-18 years) were included. Grey literature was only included if it provided original data or insights on health service delivery to Indigenous children living in remote areas.

**Exclusion Criteria**

Papers were excluded if they were not published in English, were outside the review time frame, or focused on: health services in foreign countries or large metropolitan areas; adult, dental, oral, or sexual health; education of children or health professionals; social or cultural primary outcomes; attraction and retention of health professionals; substance abuse and misuse; childcare centres; the judicial system; or health policy.

**Article Selection and Review Process**

Two authors reviewed the title, abstract and, when relevant, full text of all publications identified for eligibility. A third author resolved disagreements. Reference lists of publications were reviewed for additional relevant citations. Data from all included papers were extracted and summarised (Appendix Tables 1a and 1b). The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) extension for scoping reviews was followed during the process of researching and writing this review paper; with attention to the 20 recommended reporting items [26].

**Definitions**

**Health Systems and Services**

‘Health services’ were defined as any primary, secondary, or tertiary child health services, including paediatric specialists, remote nursing clinics, allied health professionals, hospital inpatient and emergency departments, patient retrieval through the Royal Flying Doctor Service, fly-in-fly-out (FIFO) services, paediatric outreach services, multidisciplinary teams, public health, tele-paediatrics and videoconferencing or ‘telehealth’ systems.

We used the World Health Organization (WHO) definition of ‘health systems’ to include all organisations, people, and actions whose primary intent is to promote health [27].

**Rural and Remote Locations**

The classification scale used to define rural and remote varies in studies, however the Rural, Remote and Metropolitan Areas classification (RRMA) and the Accessibility Remoteness Index of Australia (ARIA) scales were commonly used [28, 29].
Results

Included publications

We identified 1775 publications in seven databases, removed 406 duplicates, and reviewed 1369 abstracts. Of these, 1152 (84%) were excluded. Following full text review of the remaining 217 papers, 70 (32%) were included. In addition, 45 reports from the grey literature satisfied inclusion criteria (Figure 1).

Please place Figure 1 about here: PRISMA flowchart of peer-reviewed and grey literature

Results of this review are presented under subheadings to address the stated aims of the review.

1) Existing health services for Indigenous children in remote Australia and service use

Children’s Use of Services

Indigenous adults are more likely to use health services than non-Indigenous adults [30, 31]. In contrast, Indigenous children are less likely than non-Indigenous children to have used a health service in the previous 12 months (mean 2.5 v 3.1, p<0.001), or use maternal and child health services (OR=0.35, 95% CI: 0.24-0.49), general practitioners (OR=0.45, 95% CI: 0.35-0.64) or paediatricians (OR=0.52, 95% CI: 0.35-0.77) [32]. However, Indigenous children are more likely to be hospitalised than non-Indigenous children (17 versus 9.9%, p=0.01) [32].

Social structures and health outcomes interact [32-34]. Proportionally, more Indigenous than non-Indigenous infants live remotely or very remotely, but it is not known whether health outcomes are worse for these Indigenous infants because of lack of access to services or the impact of lower levels of parent education, employment and private health insurance, younger maternal age, and increased rates of single parenting [32]. The causal relationships between health service use and outcomes cannot be assessed using cross-sectional data and some datasets do not incorporate Aboriginal-specific health services [35].

When Indigenous children attend health services, the service must have culturally appropriate, consistent assessment and reporting [36]. For instance, one medical record audit that aimed to understand the quality of developmental monitoring in a remote Australian Aboriginal health service identified that only 60-80% of attending children (N=151) received a developmental check [37]. Recommendations included implementing systems-wide, formal assessment and recording of development in children, improved training for staff undertaking assessments, and use of culturally appropriate assessment tools [37].

Challenges to Provision of remote health services

Health professionals who work in remote communities understand the barriers to provision of health
services, but limited evidence is published [38-40]. Identified challenges to delivering effective and equitable health services to Indigenous children in remote areas include:

- poor access (distance between services and communities, dirt roads, lack of private transport, limited patient accommodation, lack of outreach services) [22, 41, 42]
- poor communication and infrastructure (lack of internet/telephone access, public transport, sewerage, water supply, electricity) [43, 44]
- lack of skilled health professionals (difficulty in recruitment and retention and high staff turnover, limited accommodation) [45-47]
- limited Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health workforce (lack of cultural competency) [48, 49]
- environmental factors (high temperatures, flooding, risks associated with travel) [50]
- health service factors (inflexible health service structures, poor communication and coordination between services, resource constraints e.g., inadequate staff/equipment) [51-54]
- economic factors (increased cost of transport, food, accommodation, high unemployment) [55-57]
- issues of cultural safety (lack of interpreters, poor clinician-patient communication; lack of Indigenous workforce; different perceptions of health, illness and medicine; historical association of hospitals with death and mistreatment of Indigenous people and forced removal of children) [58, 59]
- failure of health services to engage and codesign with consumers including young people [60, 61]

**Access to Effective Health Services**

Accessing health services for remote-living families often means travelling long distances to reach health care, relying on the Royal Flying Doctors Service, or waiting for outreach health services to visit remote communities [62-64]. For instance, families must travel long distances to access allied health services for children in remote settings, notably paediatric speech pathologists [65, 66]. Access to speech therapy is particularly important because of high rates of otitis media, hearing problems and poor language skills in remote Indigenous children [67, 68], which impact development, education and intergenerational transfer of cultural knowledge. In rural NSW and Victoria, over 30% of residents live beyond the ‘critical maximum distance’ of 50km from an allied health professional, beyond which patients are less likely to travel. As a result, most (98.6%) allied health treatments in these regions are not delivered at the ideal frequency of at least one session per week [65].

Extremely isolated communities often depend on the Royal Flying Doctor Service (RFDS) for basic primary health care services and emergency responses [69, 70]. Remote communities rely on
aeromedical transport to bring in health professionals and evacuate children for medical care [71, 72].

Between 2003-2005, 6.5% of people in a very remote east Arnhem Land community were evacuated by air, with one evacuation every 2.2 days. Evacuation rates were higher during the monsoon; 47% occurred after hours; and the median wait time for the plane was 3 hours (1-21 hours). Respiratory disease (21%), gastroenteritis (14%) and injury or poisoning (11%) accounted for 46% of all aeromedical evachtins [71]. Children (37.7%) were over-represented in evacuations [71]. Reliance on the RFDS highlights the need for support and funding for local staff and services to meet prevention and primary health care (PHC) demands [71]. Many people transported by the RFDS would also benefit from access to multidisciplinary care (53/78, 68%) or shared specialist care (41/78, 53%) provided locally [73].

Multiple examples show that outreach services improve access to healthcare and health outcomes in remote settings [74-76]. In one study, interviews with health practitioners, outreach specialists, regional health administrators and patients in remote Northern Territory (NT) communities, found that outreach specialist services increased gynaecological consultations 4-fold over a three-year period (1996-1999) [77]. Equivalent increases in consultations were not observed for specialties without outreach services [39]. Other studies show that specialist outreach services increased access to elective and urgent surgery [40] and are cost-effective [78, 79].

Andersen’s health care utilisation model incorporates the dependence of health services on multiple factors such as service availability (including out of hours), travel/transportation, costs, language and cultural barriers [38, 39]. Although we know that access to health services is challenging for people living in remote communities, we don’t have standardised measures suitable for documenting or comparing access to quality health services in such communities [80, 81]. Furthermore, the validity of existing indicators of access to care, such as the Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions (SCSH) score, is debated for remote areas [82].

Other measures of health service availability that assist our understanding of health service access may not be relevant in remote settings. For example, although the population-based distribution of intensive care units (ICU) correlated with population distribution in one study, accessibility varied geographically; the median distance to the nearest ICU was 161.7km in Western Australia and 7.6km in the ACT [83]. However, there are few data on the population-based availability of health services or the relationship between access to primary health care services and health outcomes in regional and remote Australia [4, 84, 85].

Even if appropriate indicators do become available to measure access to health services and ensure their quality, it would be difficult to compare services across Australian States and Territories because
each jurisdiction manages its own health budget and policies [86]. It is also difficult to compare health system performance within jurisdictions, apart from reviewing health indicators monitored by the Coalition of Australian Governments (CoAG), e.g., Close the Gap targets. Life expectancy, mortality rates, morbidity from chronic diseases and lifestyle factors (obesity, smoking, poor nutrition, physical activity) are used as measures of population health but are less relevant to children [87]. Also, Indigenous child health outcomes do not solely reflect health system functioning, but are impacted by social and environmental factors, case-mix and public health campaigns [88-90].

Social and cultural factors impact service use
Social and cultural factors influence service use by Indigenous people [52, 91]. Some health professionals have ethnocentric attitudes and lack understanding of Indigenous culture and this deters service use [38, 92]. Disrespectful or inappropriate communication and racism also impact engagement [38, 93, 94]. Employment of Indigenous people in health-related occupations increased from 96 to 173 per 10,000 between 1996 and 2016 [95]. Although 54% of the full-time equivalent workforce in Commonwealth-funded Indigenous primary healthcare are Indigenous people, they are three times less likely than non-Indigenous people to be part of the national health workforce [95]. Appropriate training in cultural competence of non-Indigenous staff and employment of more Indigenous staff would increase availability of culturally appropriate health services [38].

Funding Complexity
Local, State, and national government, privately funded organisations and NGOs all fund remote health services. One specific national program to increase access to specialist medical care in remote Australia is the Medical Specialist Outreach Assistance Program (MSOAP) which was established in 2000 [96]. Access to the funding requires a competitive application process [96]. In some jurisdictions including the NT this funding is accessed directly from the Australian Department of Health, whereas in Queensland (Check-up) and WA (Rural Health West), non-government entities receive the MSOAP funding and report back to the Australian Government [96]. It is the responsibility of the MSOAP to ensure that the services they fund are linked with existing services.

Overall expenditure for Indigenous health services and per capita expenditure on public hospital services is higher for Indigenous than non-Indigenous Australians [95]. In 2015-16 the average expenditure per Indigenous Australian was approximately $8,494 (130% the amount for non-Indigenous Australians $6,657), with almost half ($4,436) of this expenditure going to hospital services [95]. In Western Australia from mid-2015 to mid-2017 preventable hospitalisations were 3.8 times higher in Indigenous Australians (91 per 1,000 compared to 24 per 1,000 non-Indigenous people in age-standardised groups) [95].
2) Workforce challenges in remote settings

High Pressure on Workforce

Rural and remote areas often struggle to retain staff [97]. Aboriginal Medical Services (AMS) and specialist services in rural and remote Australia report increased workforce stress, compared with metropolitan services [67, 98]. In rural and remote settings there is a high demand for local services, but physicians report fewer child health services in rural/remote compared with urban settings for instance for audiology (11.1% versus 0%), ENT (33.3% versus 3.9%) and hearing aid provision (37.7% versus 1.9%) [67]. Children in rural and remote settings experience longer wait times for audiologists than urban children (18.3% versus 1.9% waited over the recommended 3 months) [67]. A review of child health services in a remote WA town with a population of approximately 3500 identified monthly outreach visits from a general paediatrician and three hospital-based medical officers, only one of whom lived permanently in the town [99].

Steps to alleviate pressure on rural health workforce

Attracting and retaining skilled clinicians is crucial to decreasing workforce pressures [69, 99-101]. There are numerous workforce initiatives to help alleviate pressures on the rural health workforce, many of which rely on encouraging doctors to work in rural settings [102-105]. Up-skilling of paediatric nurses and Aboriginal Health Workers aims to alleviate workforce shortages [106]. Many children in remote communities have complex, chronic health problems and would benefit from multidisciplinary teams that enable coordination and communication between clinicians, address service delivery gaps and prevent duplication [102-105]. Regular meetings that facilitate communication between health professionals, disciplines and organisations are recommended to establish clear roles and responsibilities and decrease workforce stress [107, 108]. Employment of administrative staff to communicate with and on behalf of communities alleviates time pressures experienced by clinicians and improves coordination of services [104]. Additionally, improved infrastructure (e.g. telehealth, internet and IT services) would support workforce in remote locations [105].

Optimal staffing for remote health services

We found no national recommendations for optimal staffing levels for health professionals in remote Australia, other than for nurses [9]. However, some regional planning documents prescribe optimal clinician to population ratios [38, 109]. For example, the recommended ratios for Central Australia are 1 Aboriginal Health Worker per 100 Aboriginal people, 1 community nurse per 250 people, and 1 doctor per 600 people [110]. Based on these figures, communities with a stable population of 250 should have a health service located within the community and access to on-call services and communities of between 100-250 people should have a clinic staffed by two health professionals, either senior Aboriginal Health Workers or registered nurses [9]. The World Health Organisation
(WHO) recommends 4.45 skilled health workers (physicians/nurses/midwives) per 1000 population [111]. Although these targets were established in 1997 it continues to be a challenge to meet targets due to difficulties with workforce retention and resources [9, 112].

Between 2013 and 2018, the Australian health workforce increased by 5.5%, yet for all registered health professions, the number of employed full-time equivalent clinicians working decreased with remoteness [113]. In 2020 there were more than 104,000 registered medical doctors in Australia but fewer than 1,500 of these worked in remote and very remote areas [114].

3) Characteristics of an effective health service

Measuring the Effectiveness of a Health Service

The effectiveness of a health service can be indicated by its use (the number of presentations); the system performance; and/or community health outcomes [115, 116]. Specific measurements of improved effectiveness of a health service include timeliness of care, increased staff recruitment and retention, decreased wait times, reduced readmissions, increased referrals, improved cost-effectiveness, improved safety, improved access to records, decreased feelings of isolation by clinicians, increased equity, community participation and satisfaction with care, and decreased adverse outcomes including suicide [117]. However, these metrics are seldom available in remote health settings [118]. There are some data that enable determination of the reach and effectiveness of health services. For example, in 2018 national immunisation rates in 5-year-olds were higher for Indigenous (97%) than non-indigenous (95%) children [95].

A comprehensive review of the features of effective primary health care models in rural and remote Australia highlighted the importance of supportive policy, positive relationships with State and Territory governments, and community commitment [117]. Fundamental requirements for effective and sustainable health services include good governance and management, community involvement and leadership, adequate financing, infrastructure and ample workforce supply [117]. Many authors highlight the importance of evaluating health services to ensure optimal health services [3, 106, 115, 117, 119-123]. However, the evaluation method must be carefully considered. [107] For example, Key Performance Indicators (KPI) can be used to quantitatively assess health care services. However these are not ideal on their own because their narrow focus can overlook social theories and Indigenous concepts of health. KPIs alone are likely to fail to accurately reflect the contribution of a service to health [124].

Several studies discuss the value of continuous quality improvement (CQI) for assessing the sustainability and effectiveness of remote health services [121-123]. Previous research indicates that CQI is effective for assessing primary health care of chronic conditions in remote Australia [108, 121,
When applied to regional services, CQI resulted in large increases in the numbers of patients accessing services (from 12 to 4000 patients from 1995-2009) [123].

**Limitations to the effectiveness of health services**

Remoteness, inadequate medical and health workforce, and poor coordination negatively impact health service effectiveness [9, 125]. In some very remote settings Community Health staff, whose primary role is in preventative health care, are forced to deliver acute medical care [69]. There is an increase in the proportion of children receiving nursing (rather than doctor) consultations as remoteness increases [69].

Aboriginal Medical Services (AMS) are important providers of culturally appropriate services for remote Indigenous children. However, in WA (2004) fewer than 5% of doctors, including specialists, practice in remote and very remote areas and only 5.8 full time equivalent (FTE) doctors work in culturally sound organisations such as AMSs [69].

Individuals in the remote health workforce are often forced to manage extremely complex disorders with few resources and limited options for specialist referral [102]. Paediatricians are often required to meet a greater demand than their capacity and resources allow, due to limited primary care services. Thus, time is allocated to children with the greatest urgency and acuity, restricting the time available for primary and preventive health care [126]. These circumstances are experienced in many remote communities and may contribute to high staff turnover [99].

One qualitative study of the effectiveness of a remote health system in northern Australia found six themes that contributed to a ‘very chaotic system’. The system was: ‘very ad hoc’, ‘swallowed by acute’ needs, ‘going under’, ‘a flux’, had a ‘them and us’ mentality and was ‘a huge barrier’ to quality health care. [127]. Evaluation of a system for maternal and infant health in remote parts of Australia’s ‘Top End’ found a mismatch between staff numbers and skills and the volume and severity of disease in patients using the service [125]. Other key concerns included insufficient or absent Aboriginal leadership and inadequate coordination between remote and tertiary services [125].

**Difficulties with communication, coordination, collaboration**

Many services have difficulty communicating with patients and other health professionals, due to a lack of cultural knowledge and skills and technological barriers and struggle to ensure cooperation, collaboration and coordination between different organisations and remote communities. [38, 102] This is aggravated by challenges in case planning and organising referrals [102]. It is recommended that there should be community paediatricians (or other health professionals or staff) who commit solely to advocating for and co-ordinating outreach services to alleviate this burden from
organisations and other clinicians [126]. It is estimated that one day of administration and liaison is required for each day of clinical work in remote settings [99, 102, 126].

Few online resources are designed to assist in the co-ordination of health services. In the NT, the Department of Health’s online Remote Atlas contains a section specifically for SONT (Specialist Outreach NT). Protocols to facilitate efficient and co-ordinated health service delivery to remote communities, including SharePoint access and an online calendar with schedules of all specialist and other health services, would improve services [128].

**What core health services should be available**

In 2014, Thomas et al aimed to determine what core primary health services should be available in remote communities, using a survey and Delphi process with healthcare providers and other key stakeholders, 4 (10%) of whom were Indigenous community members or consumers [129]. They identified eight essential primary health care services: care of the sick and injured, mental health, maternal/child health, allied health, sexual/reproductive health, rehabilitation, oral/dental health, and public health/illness prevention. They also identified seven functions required for primary health care support: good management, governance and leadership, coordination, health infrastructure, quality systems, data systems, professional development, and community participation. Further, these authors found that equity in rural and remote areas was improved by prioritising service coordination, having diverse strategies, and addressing the difficulty in recruiting and retaining clinicians [129].

**4) Models of care and solutions**

Numerous recommendations have been made for models of care to improve Indigenous health services, but the challenge remains to implement these [9, 115, 130-133]. Healthcare delivery can be improved with national policy frameworks for maternal and child health [134, 135], child and adolescent mental health services [136], and child nutritional supplementation [107, 137].

The ‘National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Plan 2021–2031’[138] is the guiding document for Indigenous health policy, programs and initiatives for the decade. It claims to change the way governments work with Indigenous people reflecting their priorities, and to provide a holistic perspective. The framework asks health care delivery to be place based, person centred and, culturally safe and responsive [138].

The National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Early Childhood Strategy refocuses investment and policy on Indigenous children, so that they can be the future Elders and Custodians of Country [130]. It has five goals, that Indigenous children are: 1) born healthy and strong, 2) supported to thrive in the early years, 3) supported to connect to culture, country, and language, 4) in safe nurturing
homes and strong families, 5) with their families, active partners in building a better service system [130].

Indigenous led organisations are leading the way in guiding policy, building leadership and building healing [138]. One example is ‘SNAICC – National Voice for Our Children’, which works to embed Indigenous priorities and best practice in national child policy [138].

The Australian Productivity Commission found that service delivery in remote Indigenous communities where there are multiple providers is rarely competitive or enabling of user choice [139]. They recommended that decision making should be decentralised enabling local staff and communities to plan, engage and implement services [139]. Similar recommendations were made at a State level when the Queensland Productivity Commission, in 2017, reported on service availability in remote Indigenous communities [140]. The Queensland Government spends $1.2 billion a year ($29,000 per person) on services for remote Indigenous groups. Despite this, Indigenous outcomes are poor and there is reliance on external funding. The report found the current system ‘is broken’ because it undermines outcomes and fosters passive dependence [140]. They recommended that overhaul of the system must come from Indigenous communities and cited three key reforms required: 1) structural reform to transfer accountability and decision-making to communities; 2) service delivery reform to better focus on the needs of individuals and communities; 3) economic reform to facilitate economic participation and community development [140].

At a regional level, an example of a holistic model of care for remote Australia is provided by the Kimberley Aboriginal Health Performance Forum (KAHPF) [9] which began as the Kimberley Aboriginal Health Plan Steering Committee in 1998 and published the Kimberley Regional Aboriginal Health Plan in 1999 [38]. Its members include the Kimberley Aboriginal Medical Services, Western Australian Community Health Services (Kimberley), and State government representatives. Key recommendations of KAHPF address social determinants [9, 38] and include: better coordination of health service delivery; interagency collaboration; use of innovative health promotion programmes targeting specific groups; increasing the Aboriginal health workforce; increasing prevention and health promotion; use of culturally appropriate, locally relevant resources; allied health supports for children in classrooms; a School Entry Check for early identification of health and developmental problems; screening for common childhood problems including anaemia and growth faltering; better access to specialists; use of KAHPF diagnostic and treatment protocols and clinical guidelines; use of the Kimberley standard drug list; clear referral pathways; long term funding for successful programs; and ongoing training of health professionals [9]. This model provides a gold standard for future remote health services and highlights the complexity of providing a thorough, effective service.
Over the last decade, Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Services have contributed to significant gains in maternal and child health, reduced smoking and alcohol misuse, and reduced morbidity from cardiovascular, kidney and respiratory diseases [138]. In 2021, more than 97% of Indigenous children aged 5 were fully immunised, a rate 2% higher than in non-Indigenous children [12]. Implementation of programs to augment Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Services, particularly with a focus on health promotion and early intervention, would improve child health outcomes [104, 105, 141].

Improving access to primary, secondary and tertiary health services is crucial to improve child health [39, 142, 143]. In remote communities, patient access is in part limited by lack of transport and accommodation options [104, 144]. Increasing public transport to isolated communities or implementing other service delivery models (e.g. outreach or telemedicine) may increase equity of access and service use [145]. Mobile services e.g., for ear screening and surveillance may be a valuable and cost-effective [78]. Paediatric outreach services to rural and remote areas benefit children and their families in part by reducing their need to travel to services. Such services also increase health professionals’ cultural understanding and engagement in communities [40, 120, 146, 147].

Best Practice: Moving towards health equity for Aboriginal children

Models of care

Best practice models of care for child and adolescent health include provision of prevention programs, multidisciplinary assessment, and early and secondary intervention [137]. A holistic approach to health engages families in identifying problems and informing solutions for child health, growth and development [102]. Continuity of care in rural and remote settings is essential, including transition from child to adult health services. [102] Investment in local, trusted, Indigenous people as ‘navigators’ of language and cultural barriers improves care [148].

Meeting optimal child healthcare recommendations and providing access to services in remote communities underpins best practice service models [102]. Adjustments required to improve current services include increasing Indigenous leadership and involvement in service delivery and prevention programs, improving access to services, dismantling cultural barriers to use existing services, using a multidisciplinary approach for diagnosis and management, increasing IT capabilities, introducing workforce initiatives, and integrating mother and child health services.

Community engagement
Indigenous community leadership (e.g. through Aboriginal Community-Controlled Organisations) is essential for identifying service needs and how they should be delivered [24, 38, 104] and forming collaborative partnerships with external organisations to develop services [104, 123, 149, 150]. Ongoing program evaluation is important to capture feedback from Indigenous families using services, measure health outcomes, and highlight contemporary priorities for child health [145]. Enhancing access to Aboriginal Health Worker training and increasing numbers of graduates would help dismantle cultural and language barriers that limit service use and effectiveness [141]. Protocols should be generated for individual agencies, outlining best local practice policies for child health and providing guidance to ensure delivery of culturally appropriate services [134, 145].

Improved youth engagement is integral to promote use of adolescent services. The Derby Aboriginal Health Service talked to young Aboriginal people about barriers and enablers to accessing healthcare [151] and found that engagement with youth, fostered by trained staff members skilled in caring for young people, and continuity of staff were key.

**Improving communication**

Communication challenges between health professionals and agencies, communities and health departments could be improved with better infrastructure, including videoconferencing, and shared electronic medical information systems [104, 145]. Telehealth is valuable for specialist medical consultations in remote settings and enables education and capacity-building of local health professionals [152, 153]. In isolated areas videoconferencing saves patients time and money and improve access to high quality health services. Videoconferencing is dependent on technology, including secure internet coverage, which is inadequate in many remote settings [152-155]. Allied healthcare is delivered via telehealth in rural areas and may help fill service gaps in remote locations and improve Indigenous child health [156]. Children receiving therapy engage more with school and benefits could be maximised by targeting therapy to certain year levels. Barriers include hardware and software breakdowns in remote settings and lack of local capacity to fix IT problems.

**Access to services**

The importance of well-maintained and uncrowded housing for Indigenous child health and safety in remote settings is well known. Less frequently discussed however, is the lack of accommodation for health workers and its impact on the duration of stay in remote communities [157]. Increasing the availability of accommodation for health professionals in remote communities would decrease travel time, increase face-to-face clinical time, facilitate multidisciplinary care, and reduce wait times [145].
Improving public and private transport options would also improve access to services [145]. Provision of social support and accommodation for children and families travelling to health services may increase the likelihood of subsequent visits and improve health outcomes [104].

**New services**

When new health services are developed, they require adequate infrastructure and staffing to avoid overload. Collaboration with Indigenous-controlled health organisations to improve public and environmental health (including preventative health strategies) and address the social determinants of health is imperative [6, 104, 134]. Ensuring that new services undergo evaluation of their processes and outcomes and undertake continuous quality improvement is crucial.

**Discussion**

In this review we highlight the challenges to provision of child health services in remote Indigenous communities, including access costs, and workforce. We summarise strategies to improve healthcare for Indigenous children, including development and implantation of plans in partnership with community leaders. We highlight the need for culturally informed services and trained Indigenous healthcare workers in remote communities. Government, NGO, specialist outreach, and virtual care services all play important roles. However, coordination between primary, secondary, and tertiary services is essential to prevent duplication and maximise communication.

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander cultures and people thrive in Australia despite the ongoing legacy of dislocation and violence stemming from colonisation. The impact of the Stolen Generation, born between 1910 and 1970 when one in three Indigenous children were taken from their families under Australian Government policy, persists [138]. We acknowledge the strength and resilience shown by Indigenous people in the face of historical trauma and the ongoing disadvantage and disparity between health outcomes in Indigenous and non-Indigenous children, especially in remote settings.

Ideally local community members receive training and remain or return to their community to provide healthcare. Unfortunately, this is not the current reality for most remote communities so attracting and retaining healthcare professionals to remote locations requires financial, educational, and collegiate support and training in cultural competency. Increasing the availability of accommodation for healthcare workers in remote settings is crucial. It is unquestionable that increasing the availability of accommodation for local community members is also crucial. There must also be pathways for training Indigenous people and use of hybrid services using telehealth. Gold standards should be established for staff numbers in remote Australia, supported by national policy frameworks. Audits which assess whether positions are filled, with a focus on staff consistency and continuity, will inform
health services for remote Indigenous communities. Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Services are a particular strength, as is the provision of holistic, wrap-around services in remote Indigenous communities [139].

Holistic services which embrace physical, mental (social/emotional), cultural and spiritual health and focus on the social determinants of health will likely have the greatest impact in remote settings. Removing barriers to adequate nutrition, good housing, educational supports, and preventative programs including vaccination are key elements. Promoting maternal health literacy, pre-conception and pregnancy care, parenting programs, and early infancy and childhood programs is paramount. Further strengthening the ability of Indigenous people to share their own knowledge regarding the importance of the developmental origins of health and disease is likely to support most effective health promotion message and policies for the first 1000 days of a child’s life [158].

The average annual cost of healthcare for an Indigenous Australian person ($8,949) is 1.3 times that for non-Indigenous Australians ($6,657) and was highest for Indigenous Australians living in remote and very remote areas ($9,005) in 2015–16 [95], reflecting the increased morbidity among Indigenous populations, expenses incurred by remote service delivery, and incentives for health professionals to work in remote settings [104]. However, there is a disconnect between funding and implementation of services, particularly relating to the social determinants of health [104]. Although funding for Indigenous child health services has increased over time, implementation costs have increased in keeping with inflation and demand [159]. In tertiary health care, the case-mix method used to allocate hospital funding uses benchmarks based on national averages, cost weights, and length of stay [89]. This method is criticised as further disadvantaging Indigenous children, who present more frequently to health services and more often have complex illnesses, comorbidities and prolonged hospital stays [89]. Savings and improved child health could result from addressing social determinants of health and improving maternal-child supports, primary health care, and disease prevention in remote communities [145]. Continuous quality assessment of existing and future programs is crucial to indicate needs and inefficiencies and inform funding allocation.

Additional support for Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Organisations and increased funding for training and employment for Aboriginal Health Workers will be pivotal for improving patient engagement with and cultural safety within health services. Expenditure on appropriate, well-resourced services for maternal and child health in remote Australia will have a strong impact on child and future adult well-being [145, 160].
Acknowledgements
We acknowledge the contribution of Prof Kirsty Douglas and Prof Kathryn Glass to an early draft of manuscript.

Funding
Philippa Dossetor was supported by a part-time PhD scholarship through the Australian National University Medical School and the College of Biology, Medicine and the Environment, a University of Sydney Poche Institute Scholarship and by a part-time Avant DiT scholarship. Joseph Freeman is supported by the Curtin PhD Scholarship for Clinical Research. Alexandra Martiniuk was funded by an NHMRC TRIP (Translating Research into Practice) Fellowship (2016–2018) and an NHMRC Investigator Grant (2021-current). Elizabeth Elliott is supported by a Medical Research Futures Fund Next Generation Fellowship (#1135959).
References

4. Thomas S. Kids Pitstop: one stop community health shop for Indigenous families in the West Pilbara. Rural Health: the place to be; 17-20 May; Cairns 2009.
39. Gruen RL, Bailie RS, d'Abbs PH, O'Rourke IC, O'Brien MM, Verma N. Improving access to specialist care for remote Aboriginal communities: evaluation of a specialist
42. The Senate Select Committee on Regional and Remote Communities. Indigenous Australians, Incarceration and the Criminal Justice System. Canberra: Senate, 2010.
44. Breen C, Altman L, Ging J, Deverell M, Woolfenden S, Zurynski Y. Significant reductions in tertiary hospital encounters and less travel for families after implementation of Paediatric Care Coordination in Australia. BMC health services research. 2018;18(1):1-10.
48. Office of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health. Improving Health in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Mothers, Babies and Young Children - A Literature Review. 2005.


64. Medlin L, editor Indigenous Respiratory Outreach Care (IROC): Enhancing Respiratory Health In Rural And Remote Aboriginal And Torres Strait Islander Communities. Respilology; 2014.


WA Department of Health. 2005 - 2015 WA Clinical services framework


133. Healing Foundation, Emerging Minds. Improving the social and emotional wellbeing of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children. 2020.
140. Queensland Productivity Commission. Service delivery in remote and discrete Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities. 2018.
143. Sheperd C, Zubrick S. Human capabilities and child development: Some inferences using the 2008 NATSISS. Perspectives on the 2008 National Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Survey; ANU 2011.


158. Sivertsen N, Anikeeva O, Deverix J, Grant J. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander family access to continuity of health care services in the first 1000 days of life: a systematic review of the literature. BMC health services research. 2020;20(1):829.


## APPENDIX

### Table 1a: Peer-reviewed literature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Date, Title</th>
<th>Location, Setting</th>
<th>Objective(s), Study type</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
<th>Analysis/Gaps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achat, 2010 [1]</td>
<td>Disadvantaged population, healthcare use.</td>
<td>Examine the utilisation of and preferences related to healthcare services by residents of a disadvantaged area and to identify factors associated with levels of current and future use.</td>
<td>Disadvantaged communities make only moderate use of specialists and emergency departments, and little routine use of other primary health services.</td>
<td>Effect of length of visit and level of engagement not included in dataset.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams, 2011 [2]</td>
<td>Canberra, Australia, mental health service.</td>
<td>Describe how the contexts of social and emotional wellbeing in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities impacts on perinatal and infant mental health.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shows the need for perinatal and infant mental health services at AHC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen, 1996 [3]</td>
<td>Far North Queensland, Australia, mobile allied health teams</td>
<td>Assess a mobile allied health service visiting the remote western shires of the Peninsula and Torres Strait health region.</td>
<td>There is demand for allied health services; mobile remote outreach services are cost effective.</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary outreach teams are cost-effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ansari, 2006 [4]</td>
<td>Victoria, Australia</td>
<td>Examine the external validity of the indicator Hospitalization for Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions (ACSH). Validation of tool.</td>
<td>Hospitalization for ACSH is an accepted indicator of access to health care and avoidable morbidity. Results support the ACSH indicator. When rural residence was considered, the covariate measuring access was not significant. However, rural residence also may contribute importantly to access. Results suggest both the complexity of the meaning of access and the desirability of further research to validate the ACSH indicator.</td>
<td>The use of ACSH as an indicator of health services access and avoidable morbidity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Conclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bailie, 2003 [5] Preventive medical care in remote Aboriginal communities in the Northern Territory: a follow-up study of the impact of clinical guidelines, computerised recall and reminder systems, and audit and feedback</td>
<td>Northern Territory, Remote Aboriginal Communities</td>
<td>Describe the impact on trends in delivery of preventive medical services following a multifaceted intervention. Post-intervention evaluation.</td>
<td>Clinical guidelines, computerised recall and reminder systems, and audit and feedback improved service outcomes.</td>
<td>Initial improvements were “not fully sustained over the three-year period” showing that the solution is not simply providing but maintaining an evidence-base service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bailie, 2008 [6] Delivery of child health services in Indigenous communities: implications for the federal government's emergency intervention in the Northern Territory</td>
<td>NT, Australia, Aboriginal communities</td>
<td>Describe delivery of child health services in Australian Aboriginal communities, identifies gaps in services required to improve the health of Aboriginal children. Cross-sectional baseline audit.</td>
<td>Ineffective systems for follow-up mean that screening children for disease and adverse social circumstances will result in little or no benefit.</td>
<td>Shows existing services are not enough and that there is a great need for long term, sustainable services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar-Zeev, 2012 [7] Use of health services by remote dwelling Aboriginal infants in tropical northern Australia: a retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>NT, Australia, Aboriginal communities</td>
<td>Describe health service utilisation patterns at the primary and referral level by remote dwelling Aboriginal infants from northern Australia. Retrospective cohort study.</td>
<td>Hospitalisation rate is high and admissions commence early in life, visits to the remote primary health centre are frequent. Half of all presentations are for new problems.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barclay, 2014 [8] Improving Aboriginal maternal and infant health services in the 'Top End' of Australia; synthesis of the findings of a health services research program aimed at engaging stakeholders, developing research capacity and embedding change</td>
<td>Northern Territory, Australia, remote maternity services</td>
<td>Present a five-year collaborative program between stakeholders and researchers that led to sustainable improvements in the maternity services for remote-dwelling Aboriginal women and their infants in the Top End (TE) of Australia. Service audit.</td>
<td>System-wide problems were identified, causing poor quality of infant services: unacceptable standards of infant care and parent support; no relationship between volume and acuity of presentations and staff numbers or required skills for providing care for infants; and an 'outpatient' model of care. Insufficient/absent Aboriginal leadership and inadequate coordination between remote and tertiary services.</td>
<td>Strength: worked closely with NT Health throughout: NT Health requested some parts of the study and so were invested in findings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Implications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breen, 2018 [10]</td>
<td>Australia-wide, care coordination</td>
<td>Evaluate the impacts of Care Coordination on tertiary hospital service use and family outcomes. Cohort study.</td>
<td>The Care Coordination service has clear benefits for the tertiary paediatric hospital network and for families. Au$4.9 million was saved over 2 years due to prevented hospital encounters.</td>
<td>Took place in very well-funded tertiary network so less relevant for rural/remote; however rural/remote often travel to tertiary centres and this program shows reduction in this travel burden.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckley, 2012 [11]</td>
<td>Regional NSW, acute mental health videoconferencing</td>
<td>Determine if adding video link to the existing phone connection would decrease transfer to the central mental health unit. Cohort study.</td>
<td>The ability for the psychiatrist or senior mental health clinician to see mental health patients via videoconferencing was associated with a reduced probability of the patient being transferred. This satisfies the preference of patients to remain in their community and access mental health services.</td>
<td>Videoconferencing allows patients to remain in their communities whilst accessing mental health services and reduces the rate of transfers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandradasa, 2019 [12]</td>
<td>Regional Victoria, Australia, survey</td>
<td>Survey of health professionals on utility of collaborative networking between regional child mental health, paediatric and educational services in Gippsland, Australia. Survey.</td>
<td>Survey found that monthly meetings between CAMHS, Department of Education, paediatricians was beneficial.</td>
<td>Survey of existing regular inter-disciplinary meeting; positive review may be expected from those already organising this meeting. Patient/family survey to determine what matters for them would strengthen study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cord-Udy, 2004 [13]</td>
<td>Remote SA, Australia, psychiatry outreach for children in Gippsland, Australia</td>
<td>Describes the progress and achievements of the psychiatric services developed by the Medical Specialist Outreach Assistance Programme in South Australia over the last 2 years. Service audit.</td>
<td>During this period there has been an expansion of visiting psychiatric services to South Australia's rural and remote communities. There is increased access to Child and Indigenous services.</td>
<td>Psych services – the benefits of specialist outreach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Couzos, 2004 [14]  
**Practical measures that improve human rights - towards health equity for Aboriginal children** | Australia-wide | Detail the requirements, in terms of health policy frameworks and preventative programs, for Australian Aboriginal maternal and child health.  
Commentary. | Recommendations include the need for the adoption of a policy framework for maternal and child health, concomitant national performance indicators, nutritional supplementation programs, multifaceted measures to enhance the immunisation coverage of Aboriginal children and preventive child health assessments. | Improve health policy and programs; improve the AHS and the child and mental health framework and KPIs |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| D’Aprano, 2016 [15]  
**Challenges in monitoring the development of young children in remote Aboriginal health services: clinical audit findings and recommendations for improving practice** | NT, Australia, remote Aboriginal Health Centres | Describe developmental monitoring practice in two remote Australian Aboriginal primary healthcare services and identify gaps in the delivery of developmental monitoring services.  
Service audit. | Developmental checks were more likely among children who attended services more regularly.  
Inconsistent documentation.  
Need for a systems-wide approach to the delivery and recording of developmental monitoring services. This will require routine training of remote Aboriginal health workers and remote area nurses in developmental monitoring practice including the use of a culturally appropriate, structured developmental screening measure. | Retrospective audit with difficulty differentiating inadequate care from poor documentation; authors note that poor documentation will in itself impact continuity of care. |
| Dossetor, 1999 [16]  
**A child and adolescent psychiatric outreach service for rural New South Wales: a telemedicine pilot study** | Rural NSW, Australia, psychiatry telehealth outreach | Examines the feasibility of a tertiary outreach service in child and adolescent psychiatry to two rural health centres in New South Wales, Australia.  
Prospective cohort study. | Telepsychiatry provides access to a flexible, effective tertiary service for those with special, complex needs, including the disadvantaged or isolated. It makes a valuable, economic contribution to supporting and educating rural health professionals, thereby enriching rural mental health services. | |
| Dossetor, 2017 [17]  
**Paediatric hospital admissions in Indigenous children: a population-based study in remote Australia** | Kimberley Region, WA, Australia, rural hospital | Analysis of hospital admissions of a predominantly Aboriginal cohort of children in the remote Fitzroy Valley in Western Australia during the first 7 years of life.  
Descriptive, quantitative. | In the Fitzroy Valley 70% of children were hospitalised at least once before age 7 years and over one third of admissions were in infants. Infections were the most common reason for admission in all age groups but comorbidities were common. Many hospitalizations were feasibly preventable.  
Misses children transferred directly to larger hospitals. | |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dossetor, 2019 [18]</td>
<td>Kimberley region, Australia, health services</td>
<td>Identify and map child health services in the very remote Fitzroy Valley, West Kimberley, and document barriers to effective service delivery. Service audit.</td>
<td>There is no document providing a comprehensive overview of child health services in Fitzroy Valley. The need to attend to acute illness acts to deprioritise crucial primary and preventative health care. Critical shortage of Aboriginal Health Workers.</td>
<td>Strength: produced in collaboration with local community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dossetor, 2021 [19]</td>
<td>Australia, a rural hospital</td>
<td>Examine if the reasons for attendance at rural and remote ED are appropriate. Survey.</td>
<td>The results highlighted the importance of the rural hospital ED as an additional and alternate service to existing primary care, particularly outside business hours. ED was utilised for clinically non-emergent conditions, which was justified given availability to services.</td>
<td>Highlights the importance of services additional to PHC services in remote areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmond, 2018 [20]</td>
<td>Australia-wide, PHC</td>
<td>Assess delivery of social and emotional wellbeing services to the families of young (3-11 months) and older (12-59 months) Indigenous children attending primary care centres. Cross-sectional study.</td>
<td>The families of young Indigenous children appear to receive priority for social and emotional wellbeing care in Australian primary care centres, however many Indigenous families are not receiving services.</td>
<td>Auditors were clinic staff with standardised training however may be increased inter-rater variability. Participation was voluntary a possible selection bias.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edmond, 2019 [21]</td>
<td>Australia-wide, PHC</td>
<td>Assess if sustained participation in continuous quality improvement (CQI) activities could improve delivery of 'basic developmental care' to disadvantaged children in primary care settings. Cross-sectional study.</td>
<td>Sustained CQI can improve basic developmental care in primary care settings. However, many disadvantaged children are not receiving services.</td>
<td>No interviews with patients/families; missed patients who are not presenting for care at all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavouris, 2012 [22]</td>
<td>Australia-wide, ICUs</td>
<td>Uses a geographic information system to illustrate the geospatial relationship of the Australian population to intensive care resources. Spatial survey.</td>
<td>The distribution of Australian ICUs and the Australian population was similar. However, accessibility varied by state/territory.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Francis, 2016 [23]</td>
<td>Australia-wide, nephrology</td>
<td>Determine whether access to pre-emptive transplantation and transplant outcomes differ according to SES and geographic remoteness in Australia. Cohort study.</td>
<td>In Australia, children from regional or remote regions are much less likely to receive pre-emptive kidney transplantation. Strategies such as improved access to nephrology services through expanding the scope of outreach clinics, and support for regional paediatricians to promote early referral may ameliorate this inequity.</td>
<td>Subgroup analyses may be underpowered; used a robust database.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garne, 2009 [24]</td>
<td>Rural/remote NSW, Australia, retrieval/outreach</td>
<td>Examine activity patterns of the Royal Flying Doctor Service of Australia (RFDS) in far western New South Wales and to determine whether frequent use of RFDS services, particularly emergency evacuations, is a useful indicator of patients who may benefit from care planning and review. Audit.</td>
<td>Conclusions: Simple, practical clinical review systems can help health care organisations in rural and remote communities to achieve better outcomes by identifying patients who may benefit from planned care.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geelhoed, 2008 [25]</td>
<td>Australia, Paediatric emergency department</td>
<td>Determine the impact of increased paediatric emergency department consultants. Cohort study.</td>
<td>The provision of additional consultant medical staff in a paediatric ED coincided with a decrease in the percentage of children admitted, complaints to the department and average waiting times, and was cost effective.</td>
<td>Increasing use of ED services decreased the proportion of kids admitted to hospital and waiting times and was cost effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruen, 2006 [26]</td>
<td>Northern Australia, 3 remote Indigenous communities</td>
<td>Assess the effects of outreach clinics on access, referral patterns, and care outcomes in remote communities in Australia. Cohort study.</td>
<td>Specialist outreach visits to remote Indigenous communities in Australia improve access to specialist consultations and procedures without increasing elective referrals or demands for hospital inpatient services.</td>
<td>Not paediatric-focused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Location/Context</td>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>Findings/Implications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunasekera, 2009 [27]</td>
<td>All Australian Aboriginal medical services</td>
<td>Compare burden of otitis media (OM) managed by Aboriginal Medical Service (AMS) practitioners and the availability of specialist ear health services in rural/remote versus urban Australian settings. Survey of AMS practitioners/services.</td>
<td>Rural/Remote AMS practitioners manage a greater OM burden than urban AMS practitioners. One in five rural/remote Aboriginal children wait longer than recommended for audiology testing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayman, 2010 [28]</td>
<td>Queensland, PHC</td>
<td>Describe strategies to improve indigenous health services access. Commentary.</td>
<td>Community consultation and participation were the main ingredients to improving indigenous access to the service. All primary health care services working in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health settings should have access to funded continuous quality improvement activities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humphreys, 2009 [30]</td>
<td>Australia-wide</td>
<td>Provide an overview of papers discussing optimal service delivery models for rural and remote Australia. Narrative discussion.</td>
<td>Systemic change is required to ensure equitable access to health care services in small rural and remote communities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Requirements for equitable access to health care should be based on:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- overarching health goals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- agreed service requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- recognition of how rural and remote health contexts impact upon health service provision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- the constraints limiting health service responses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hussain, 2015 [31] Parental perceptions of information needs and service provision for children with developmental disabilities in rural Australia</td>
<td>Australia-wide, consumer advocacy</td>
<td>Give voice to parents regarding challenges caring for children with developmental disabilities, faced by them due to their location in rural regions. Survey, interviews.</td>
<td>Feasible options within resource constraints to improve support include focused in-service training to narrow the information gap, improve provider-client interaction around attitudinal issues, and uptake of tele-health services. Setting up of parent-professional support groups as well peer support groups using digital technologies will help reduce the sense of isolation for rural carers and minimise impediments related to travelling long distances.</td>
<td>Interviews had no indigenous participants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeffery, 2011 [32] Responding to rural health needs through community participation: addressing the concerns of children and young adults</td>
<td>Rural Vic, Australia, health service</td>
<td>Detail a needs analysis of a small rural health service in 2008 to identify gaps in service delivery and duplication of services. This exercise was intended to inform strategic direction but the result was consumer and community consultation and outcomes that far exceeded everyone’s expectations. Participatory Rural Appraisal.</td>
<td>The project described resulted in targeted, purposeful action regarding community engagement, and the findings and outcomes are reflective of this.</td>
<td>Partnerships between HS and communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johns, 2010 [33] Early childhood service development and intersectoral collaboration in rural Australia</td>
<td>Tasmania, Australia, in three small communities</td>
<td>Examine community-based intersectoral collaborations involving government and non-government organisations from the health and allied health, education and community service sectors. Qualitative study.</td>
<td>Three groups of factors influence collaborations: social capital, leadership and environmental. Internal and external leaders are needed.</td>
<td>Infant service development and function depends on: Effective models of early childhood development require strong local and external leadership; harness the skills, knowledge and resources of internal and external leaders; the ability to this empowers them to take control/ownership of health &amp; wellbeing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones, 2018 [34]</td>
<td>Australia-wide, review</td>
<td>Examine lack of health services, specifically speech-language pathology with a human rights approach. Literature review. Narrative discussion.</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Narrative synthesis of literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Josif, 2017 [35]</td>
<td>Top End, Australia, Aboriginal communities</td>
<td>Investigate service quality, from the clinicians’ perspective and as observed and recorded by the researcher, in two large Aboriginal communities in the Top End of northern Australia following health system changes. Observational study.</td>
<td>A range of negative factors persisted beyond the examined health system change: ineffective service delivery, inadequate staffing, culturally unsafe practices. The six themes identified in the data: ‘very ad hoc’, ‘swallowed by acute’, ‘going under’, ‘a flux’, ‘a huge barrier’ and ‘them and us’ illustrate how these factors continue, and when combined portray a ‘very chaotic system’.</td>
<td>A few hours of observation in two communities brings into question the saturation and generalisability of identified themes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang, 2020 [36]</td>
<td>NSW, Australia, PHC</td>
<td>Explore the association between having a regular GP and experience of healthcare barriers and attitudes to health system navigation among young people in New South Wales (NSW), Australia. ‘Over-sampling’ of ATSI and rural/remote groups. Cross-sectional study.</td>
<td>Having a regular GP was associated with having more positive attitudes to health system navigation. Those with regular GP were less likely impacted by cost, other structural barriers, feeling judged, and not knowing which service to go to.</td>
<td>Oversampled ATSI and rural/remote; Cross-sectional design though strengthened by qualitative component.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langecker, 2019 [37]</td>
<td>QLD, Australia, schools</td>
<td>Examine the impact of school-based allied health therapy via telehealth on children’s speech and language, class participation and educational outcomes. Observational study.</td>
<td>Delivering allied health therapy by videoconference may enable children to better engage with schooling. Differences in improvements by grade suggest improvements may be maximised by targeting therapy at certain year levels. This model may be useful in areas with limited access to allied health services.</td>
<td>Didn’t compare with telehealth with standard in-person service. Didn’t note if participants were ATSI, or how program could work for ATSI students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Conclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larson, 2010 [38]</td>
<td>WA, Australia, Epidemiological</td>
<td>Strengths and needs analysis of aboriginal maternal and child health. Strengths and Needs analysis.</td>
<td>Aboriginal community-controlled health services provide a value maternal health service and have the potential to contribute to effective child health care. Greater investment in the basic infrastructure necessary to deliver services – the space, funding and partnerships with other health services – is directly translated into improved quality of service provision.</td>
<td>Recommendations for models of care, communication &amp; infrastructure, access, workforce, integration, and referral for ATSI mothers and babies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lenthall S, 2011 [39]</td>
<td>Remote Australia, nursing workforce</td>
<td>Describe the nursing workforce in very remote Australia, characteristics, and key issues. Descriptive and narrative discussion.</td>
<td>The workforce is ageing, the numbers of nurses per population has fallen and the numbers of midwives and child health nurses have dropped significantly over the last 15 years. As many of these nurses work in Indigenous communities, if these trends continue it is likely to have a negative effect on ‘closing the gap’ in Indigenous health outcomes.</td>
<td>Decrease in nursing workforce particularly remote, paediatric nurses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margolis, 2012 [40]</td>
<td>Remote Australia</td>
<td>Describe Fly In Fly Out (FIFO) viability, anecdotal synthesis. Narrative discussion.</td>
<td>Effective FIFO needs commitment from the sponsoring organisation for short, balanced, flexible, family friendly rosters. A positive organizational structure with effective communication between management and front-line staff is also required. FIFO can capitalise on mid-career doctors who are experienced in remote work but have moved to cities.</td>
<td>Advocates for the FIFO model – to address rural health service workforce shortages.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCalman, 2012 [41]</td>
<td>Australia-wide</td>
<td>Evaluate transfer and implementation of Indigenous health services. Systematic review.</td>
<td>Few studies focus on the process of transfer and implementation or the effectiveness of the service or program in the new setting.</td>
<td>Once implemented, services aren’t being assessed on their effectiveness. This requires active partnerships between services and researchers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Region/Community</td>
<td>Research Focus</td>
<td>Data Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKeown, 2011 [42]</td>
<td>Australia-wide, primary health care (PHC)</td>
<td>Examine whether KPIs focused on process and outcome of clinical service delivery are appropriate to Aboriginal-controlled PHCs?</td>
<td>Evaluation frameworks for Aboriginal PHC services need to encompass wider PHC frameworks rather than a narrower biomedical approach to improving health.</td>
<td>Suggests that using the KPI to evaluate Aboriginal PHC services won’t provide an accurate reflection of their contribution to improving health.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medlin, 2014 [43]</td>
<td>QLD, Australia, specialist outreach</td>
<td>Assess the Indigenous Respiratory Outreach (nurse-led) Care (IROC) (adults and paediatrics) in rural/remote ATSI. Program evaluation Cohort study.</td>
<td>IROC started in 2011, now 12 community sites. Early data shows communities like it and it has picked up previously undetected paediatric chronic lung disease. IROC provides a successful model for achieving this goal that is readily transferrable to other regions in Australia.</td>
<td>Preliminary data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell, 2018 [44]</td>
<td>Northern Territory, Australia, remote communities</td>
<td>Describe transition to adult care for children with chronic conditions and consider applicability to the care of children. Narrative review, ethnographic study of four remote communities.</td>
<td>Recommended tailoring of transition care to engage and value local navigators who can address language and cultural barriers to provide a sustainable alternative to transition coordinators in mainstream programs. This has potential to improve care without further burdening overstretched clinical resources.</td>
<td>Explicitly took account of power imbalances. Assessed an evidenced program in new setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchinson, 2019 [45]</td>
<td>Australia-wide, PHC</td>
<td>Assess quality of care of anaemic disadvantaged children. Cross-sectional study.</td>
<td>The burden of anaemia and quality of care for disadvantaged Indigenous children was concerning across all remote and urban locations assessed in this study.</td>
<td>Self-selected study group may limit generalisability. Only 70% children screened for anaemia, remainder may be more severe (differential error).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference (Year)</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moffatt, 2010 [46]</td>
<td>Australia-wide</td>
<td>The reported benefits of telehealth for people living and professionals working in rural and remote areas of Australia. Narrative review.</td>
<td>Telhealth may decrease urban-rural health disparities and improve workforce retention. Benefits of telehealth for patients: cheaper, convenient, better access, better quality; for clinicians: educational opportunities, experimental learning, networking, collaboration.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morgan, 2012 [47]</td>
<td>Far North QLD, Australia</td>
<td>Review the requirements of this diverse and geographically isolated population and how they are met by the team at The Townsville Hospital. Narrative discussion.</td>
<td>Neonatal care is successfully achieved at the Townsville hospital ATSI, shows the success of a multidisciplinary team.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancarrow, 2015 [48]</td>
<td>Australia-wide</td>
<td>Identify models of care that incorporate district hospitals and have relevance to the Australian rural and remote context. Service audit.</td>
<td>District hospitals are important symbolically and functionally for small towns. They assist in vertical integration of health services.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen, 2015 [49]</td>
<td>Rural Queensland, Australia, ENT mobile outreach</td>
<td>Evaluate the cost-effectiveness of the community-based mobile telemedicine-enabled screening and surveillance (MTESS) service using a lifetime Markov model that compares two options: (i) the Deadly Ears Program alone (current practice involving an outreach ENT surgical service and screening program), and (ii) the Deadly Ears Program supplemented with the MTESS service. Economic analysis.</td>
<td>The MTESS service is cost-effective. It presents an opportunity to resolve major issues confronting Australia’s health system such as the inequitable provision and access to quality healthcare for rural and remote communities, and for Indigenous Australians.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nguyen, 2018 [50]</td>
<td>Central Australia, home visits</td>
<td>Assess the feasibility of Implementing Infant Home Visiting in a Central Australian Aboriginal Community (as is/was done in Alice Springs since 2009). Descriptive, cohort study.</td>
<td>This study finds it could be done; needs outcome evaluation to test hypothesised benefits.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Callaghan, 2005 [51]</td>
<td>Remote NSW, Australia, paediatrics speech pathology</td>
<td>Investigate solutions to perceived barriers of consumers when accessing paediatric speech pathology services in rural and remote New South Wales (NSW). Survey design.</td>
<td>Consumer-based solutions to barriers to access to maximize the effectiveness of sparse rural and remote speech pathology services, by matching the beliefs and expectations of consumers with the characteristics of services provided.</td>
<td>Barriers to services – allied health, speech paths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'Kane, 2004 [52]</td>
<td>Central Australia, Remote mental health services</td>
<td>Develop a transparent, needs- and evidence-based framework to guide resource allocation and mental health service development in rural and remote settings. Review of services.</td>
<td>Existing funding allocation was half that required for adequate, equitable level of care.</td>
<td>Demonstrates great need for mental services, particularly for children, adolescents and remote-dwelling Aboriginal people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ou, 2010 [53]</td>
<td>Australia-wide, LSAC analysis</td>
<td>Describe differences in health services utilisation and the associated risk factors between Indigenous and non-Indigenous infants at a national level in Australia. Analysis of a national longitudinal dataset.</td>
<td>Indigenous infants use less healthcare than non-Indigenous. Determining factors include mothers’ characteristics and socio-economic status.</td>
<td>ATSI children are more likely to use a hospital outpatient clinic than: maternal &amp; child health centre, help lines, maternal and child health nurse visits, GP, or paediatrician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peiris, 2006 [54]</td>
<td>Arnhem Land, Australia, PHC evacuations</td>
<td>Describe the profile and impact of acomedical evacuations by Royal Flying Doctor Service in remote Indigenous communities. Service audit.</td>
<td>Acreomedical evacuations burden primary health centres. Meeting this burden competes with regular clinic duties. Clinic staff are regularly required to provide hospital-level acute care, often for several hours at a time.</td>
<td>High service usage but is expensive and could be decreased by improving availability of remote area health centres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phillips, 2014 [55]</td>
<td>Remote NT, Australia, community</td>
<td>Examine effect of phone multimedia messages (MMS) to families of Indigenous children with tympanic membrane perforation (TMP): (i) increase clinic attendance; (ii) improve ear health; and (iii) provide a culturally appropriate method of health promotion?</td>
<td>No improvement in clinic attendance or ear health. But this study shows that MMS in local languages can be culturally appropriate.</td>
<td>Affected by social conditions: didn’t own, or couldn’t regularly access mobile phones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruben, 1998 [56]</td>
<td>NT, Australia, funding model.</td>
<td>Examine effect of mixed hospital funding using national averages and national cost weights as benchmarks for length of stay and funding.</td>
<td>ATSI children had more comorbidities, and prolonged hospital stays. These results confirm clinical impressions about disease patterns and length of hospital stay in ATSI children, and highlight the problems of imposing a case mix classification system for a &quot;typical&quot; Australian population on a region with a high proportion of people of ATSI descent.</td>
<td>Explores problems associated with case mix system of hospital funding for complex patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schofield, 2009 [57] Multidisciplinary management of complex care</td>
<td>Northern New South Wales, Australia, in community</td>
<td>Describe the advantages of multidisciplinary care in terms of patient outcomes, clinician satisfaction and system efficiency are considered with reference to an innovative rural multidisciplinary model that highlights how these positive outcomes can be achieved.</td>
<td>Multidisciplinary care can provide better health outcomes and a more satisfying work environment for health professionals. Especially in rural areas where the only colleagues may be from other disciplines.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Data Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seidler, 2020 [58] Patterns of Youth Mental Health Service Use and Discontinuation: Population Data from Australia’s Headspace Model of Care</td>
<td>Australia-wide, mental health services.</td>
<td>Explore sociodemographic predictors of attendance and discontinuation of mental health services in a large, population-based sample. Cohort study.</td>
<td>Sociodemographic factors were found to be associated with treatment discontinuation, and some young people followed a pathway in and out of mental health treatment. Further exploration is needed to determine the appropriate length and type of care for specific sociodemographic groups and how best to tailor treatment accordingly.</td>
<td>Used existing dataset (minimum data set; MDS).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith, 2010 [59] Clinical services and professional support: a review of mobile telepaediatric services in Queensland</td>
<td>Rural and remote QLD, Australia, health services</td>
<td>Review of telepaediatric service records to determine which clinical and educational services had been delivered through the mobile videoconference systems. Narrative review.</td>
<td>Our service model provided a streamlined method of delivering specialist health services to children and families living in rural and remote regions of Queensland.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas, 2014 [60] What core primary health care services should be available to Australians living in rural and remote communities?</td>
<td>Australia-wide</td>
<td>Describe what core primary health care services should be available to rural/remote Australians. Delphi survey of remote health experts including policy-makers, academics, clinicians and consumers.</td>
<td>This study identifies a basket of PHC services that consumers in rural and remote communities can expect to access. It provides rigorously derived evidence that will contribute to a more systematic approach to PHC service planning and availability and will assist policy makers in the allocation of scarce resources necessary to improve the health outcomes of residents of rural and remote areas.</td>
<td>Only 4 (10%) Delphi panelists were from community (‘consumer representatives’).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veit, 1996 [61] Barriers to effective primary health care for adolescents</td>
<td>Victorian GPs</td>
<td>Describe general practitioners’ (GPs) perceptions of barriers in the health care system that hinder provision of effective adolescent health care; and assess the training needs of GPs for a future education program in adolescent health. Survey of GPs.</td>
<td>Medicare cards should be automatically issued to adolescents from age 16 years to improve their access to health care. Revision of Medicare rebate system and clarification of HIC’s investigative functions may improve GP’s effectiveness.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher, Year [Ref]</td>
<td>Area</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Implications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verdon, 2011 [62]</td>
<td>NSW and Victoria, Australia, speech pathologists</td>
<td>Assess speech pathology services in rural areas of New South Wales and Victoria; Structured interviews with 74 speech-language pathologists.</td>
<td>Almost all (98.60%) localities are underserviced. Fifty kilometres is the critical maximum distance past which consumers become unable or unwilling to travel to access weekly rural speech-language pathology services in rural New South Wales and Victoria.</td>
<td>Speech pathology may be not representative of wide access.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakerman, 2008 [63]</td>
<td>Australia-wide</td>
<td>Describe what health service models were reported to work, where they worked and why. Systematic review.</td>
<td>Sustainable models may address diseconomies of scale which result from large distances and small dispersed populations. The authors developed a conceptual framework for development of sustainable remote PHC models.</td>
<td>Systematic review of PHC models in remote Australia enabled development of a conceptual framework to help in the sustainability of these PHC models.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakerman, 2009 [64]</td>
<td>Australia-wide</td>
<td>Examine literature on ‘innovative’ primary health care models in rural and remote areas to identify areas where knowledge is lacking and describes future research priorities. Narrative synthesis.</td>
<td>Health services evaluation should focus on: optimal financing systems, the optimal range and mix of providers, supports for team practice, appropriate community participation mechanisms, improved health information systems and relevant performance indicators.</td>
<td>Need for evaluation of services to inform best practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakerman, 2009 [65]</td>
<td>Australia-wide, primary health care</td>
<td>Describe the factors and processes that facilitate or inhibit implementation, sustainability, and generalisation of effective models of primary health care (PHC) service delivery in rural and remote Australia. Literature review, interviews, direct observation.</td>
<td>In Australia, establishment of a single national health system, operationalised at a regional level, would obviate much of the current inefficiency and poor coordination.</td>
<td>Highlights need for improved: governance, management, community involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s), Year</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Study Purpose</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward, 2006 [66]</td>
<td>WA, Australia</td>
<td>Examine the relationships between the utilisation of health care by siblings and mothers over 1984-97. Observational study.</td>
<td>Strong relationship between siblings' use of GPs and a weaker but still significant association between the hospital admissions of siblings. Suggests the presence of intergenerational correlation of morbidity; that behavioural factors are not sufficiently explanatory.</td>
<td>Correlation between mothers and children, siblings for GP and Hospital service usage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warwick, 2019 [67]</td>
<td>Kimberley region, WA, Australia, Aboriginal Health Service</td>
<td>Identify barriers and enablers of access for adolescent and young adult Aboriginal people to Derby Aboriginal Health Service (DAHS), a remote Western Australian Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Service (ACCHSs), to assist in improving access. Qualitative study.</td>
<td>Improving youth engagement seems to be central to increasing acceptability and, hence, use. This requires that staff able to engage with young people are recruited, trained, and retained.</td>
<td>Respondents were patients/consumers. Used local researchers to collect data, however researchers were also health service staff which may pressure respondents to reply favourably and introduce bias into results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whelan, 2013 [68]</td>
<td>Australia-wide</td>
<td>Analyse national data to examine whether Indigenous Australians make different lifestyle choices and health services use than non-Indigenous Australians. Observational database study.</td>
<td>Indigenous Australians are more likely to make poorer lifestyle choices but are more likely to use health services. These results are magnified for Indigenous Australians who live in remote areas.</td>
<td>Focuses on adults.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams, 2004 [69]</td>
<td>Australia-wide</td>
<td>Describe Paediatric outreach services. Narrative review.</td>
<td>A combination of telehealth clinics and outreach visits may be most efficient for delivering paediatric specialty and subspecialty care to remote Australia.</td>
<td>Synthesis but nil new data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Summary</td>
<td>Implications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zwi, 2011 [70]</td>
<td>Australia wide</td>
<td>Document prioritisation strategies for health services for vulnerable children, map current prioritisation methods in an urban area health service, and analyse the views of service directors, clinicians, and intake workers regarding the ethics and practicalities of prioritising care. Narrative review.</td>
<td>Health staff favour prioritisation strategies for vulnerable children. Prioritisation strategies can burden staff and so require extra resources.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Overview</td>
<td>Reports used</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Country Health Service: Kimberley Health</td>
<td>Western Australia rural health organisation</td>
<td>- Aboriginal Health Profile: Kimberley Health Region, 2012 [71]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Kimberley Health Profile 2018 [72]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Indigenous Health Infonet</td>
<td>Aims to make knowledge available to health organisations</td>
<td>- Overview of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health status, 2020 [74]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Summary of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health status 2018 [75]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Institute of Health and Welfare</td>
<td>Reports relating to health workforce stats, expenditure, Aboriginal</td>
<td>- Expenditure on health for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people 2008-09: an analysis by remoteness and disease [76]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>community-controlled health services, ATSI health performance</td>
<td>- Access to health services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people [77]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>framework reports for each state and territory. Majority national level</td>
<td>- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander health services report, 2010-11: OATSIH services reporting [78]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>data analysis, some state level comparative</td>
<td>- Healthy for Life—Aboriginal Community Controlled Health Services: report card [79]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Performance Framework 2013: Western Australia [80]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Health workforce 2020 [81]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Medical Association</td>
<td>Peak body for medical professionals in Australia</td>
<td>- Ending the Cycle of Vulnerability: The Health of Indigenous Children [82]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Primary Health</td>
<td></td>
<td>- A systematic review of primary health care delivery models in rural and remote Australia 1993 -2006 [83]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boab Health</td>
<td>Includes the Kimberley Division of General Practice</td>
<td>- Interventions to prevent growth faltering in remote Indigenous communities [84]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Kimberley Primary Health Care Sustainability Study 2008 – 2030 [85]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre for Aboriginal Economic Policy</td>
<td>Think tank based at Australian National University</td>
<td>- Human capabilities and child development: Some inferences using the 2008 NATSISS [86]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td></td>
<td>- An ethnography of changes in child rearing over time in the Ngaanyatjarra Lands: Implications for policy development on health in early childhood [87]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth Department of Families,</td>
<td>National government department</td>
<td>- Indigenous reform 2011-12: comparing performance across Australia [88]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing, Community Services and Indigenous</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Primary health care in rural and remote Australia: achieving equity of access and outcomes through national reform: A discussion paper [89]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affairs</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Evaluation of the Child Health Check Initiative and the Expanding Health Service Delivery Initiative: Summary Report [90]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth Department of Health and Ageing</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Health is life: inquiry into Indigenous health [91]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Inquiry into Health Funding Report: The Blame Game [92]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth House of Representatives</td>
<td>Indigenous health reported in across several Parliamentary Committee</td>
<td>- Indigenous Australians, Incarceration and the Criminal Justice System [93]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parliamentary Committees</td>
<td>Inquiries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commonwealth Senate Committee</td>
<td>Senate Select Committee on Regional and Remote Indigenous Communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Health Workforce Australia | National research and policy development relating to the development of a sustainable health workforce across Australia | - National Rural and Remote Workforce Innovation and Reform Strategy [94]  
- Health Workforce 2025 – Doctors, Nurses and Midwives – Volume 2 [95]  
- Growing Our Future: the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Worker Project Final Report [96] |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| KAMSC – Kimberley Aboriginal Medical Services Council | Aboriginal controlled coordination group | - Regional Aboriginal Health Plan: Aboriginal Health in the Kimberley: current circumstances and future directions [97]  
- Kimberley Aboriginal Primary Health Plan 2012-2015 [98] |
| Lowitja Institute | Aboriginal organisation promoting research and knowledge translation | - Assessing Cost-Effectiveness in Prevention (ACE–Prevention): Final Report [99]  
- Australian Indigenous Health - Within an International Context [100]  
- An evaluation of the benefits of swimming pools for the hearing and ear health status of young Indigenous Australians: a whole-of-population study across multiple remote Indigenous communities [101]  
- Leadership and legacy through crises: keeping our mob safe Close the Gap campaign report 2021 [102] |
| Menzies School of Health Research | Research organisation in the Northern Territory | - Effective Integration of Services for Children and Families: Making it Happen [103] |
| National Rural Health Conference 2009 | Run through the National Rural Health Alliance | - Pitstop: one stop community health shop for Indigenous families in the West Pilbara [104] |
| Northern Territory Department of Health and Community Services Digital library | Many policy documents relating to child health, and material for health staff to draw on | - Child Malnutrition / Failure to Thrive Project 2008: Final Report [105] |
| Office for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health | Produces information on performance of States and Territories vis-à-vis Closing the Gap targets, Clinical guidelines, and Health programs | - Improving Health in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Mothers, Babies and Young Children - A Literature Review [106]  
- Evaluation of the Child Health Check Initiative and the Expanding Health Service Delivery Initiative: Summary Report [90]  
- The link between primary health care and health outcomes for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians [107]  
- Better Health Care: Studies in the successful delivery of primary health care services for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians [108] |
| Queensland Health | State health department | - Making tracks: Toward closing the gap in health outcomes for Indigenous Queenslanders by 2033 [109] |
| Royal Flying Doctor Service | Health charity specialist in medical retrieval and remote primary care | - Annual report 2011/12 - service provision at a local level, relevant to rural/remote [110]  
- Looking Ahead: Responding to the health needs of country Australians in 2028/ the centenary year of the RFDS [111] |
<p>| Rural and Regional Health Australia | <a href="http://www.ruralhealthaustralia.gov.au/internet/rha/publishing.nsf/Content/NSFR">www.ruralhealthaustralia.gov.au/internet/rha/publishing.nsf/Content/NSFR</a> RH–HealthServices (National Strategic framework for rural and remote health) | - National Strategic framework for rural and remote health [112] |
| Rural health west | Contains factsheets relating to, for example, distribution of particular | - Specialist Services in Rural Western Australia: Gap and Equity [113] |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Professionals in WA, useful for planning at a state level</th>
<th>World Health Organisation</th>
<th>International standard setting</th>
<th>[115] Toolkit on monitoring health systems strengthening: Service Delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Telethon Institute for Child Health Research</td>
<td>Research institute in Western Australia</td>
<td>[114] The Western Australian Aboriginal Child Health Survey: The Health of Aboriginal Children and Young People</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PRISMA 2020 flow diagram for new systematic reviews which included searches of databases, registers and other sources

Identification of studies via databases
- Records identified n = 1775
  - Databases = 7
- Duplicate records removed before screening = 406

Records screened with title and abstract = 1369
- Records excluded = 1152

Reports assessed for eligibility = 217

Reports excluded: 147
- Due to loci as
  - Other country
  - Adult health
  - Oral health
  - Education
  - Attracting healthcare workers
  - Substance misuse
  - Child-care
  - Criminology
  - Child health but not health services

Medical literature included review = 70
Grey literature included = 45

Identification of grey literature
- Records from grey literature n = 45
  - Federal government agency = 14
  - Other national policy bodies = 14
  - Health providers = 10
  - Research organisations = 5
  - NGOs = 2
  - International = 1

Figure 1: PRISMA flowchart of peer-reviewed and grey literature


PRISMA flowchart of included articles