Reduced stress perfusion in myocardial infarction with nonobstructive coronary arteries

Coronary microvascular dysfunction in MINOCA

Rebecka Steffen Johansson[a], MD, PhD-student; Per Tornvall[b], MD, Professor; Peder Sörensson[c*], MD, Associate Professor; Jannike Nickander[a*§], MD, PhD

[a]Department of Clinical Physiology, Karolinska Institutet, and Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden
[b]Department of Clinical Science and Education, Södersjukhuset, Karolinska Institutet, and Cardiology Unit, Södersjukhuset, Stockholm, Sweden
[c]Department of Medicine Solna, Karolinska Institutet, and Department of Cardiology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

*Peder Sörensson and Jannike Nickander contributed equally to this work.
[§]Corresponding author Jannike Nickander.

Address for Correspondence

Jannike Nickander
Klinisk Fysiologi A8:01, Karolinska University Hospital, Solna
Eugeniavägen 23, 171 76 Stockholm, Sweden.
Email: jannike.nickander@ki.se
Phone number: +4672-5957185. Fax number: +46 8 51775490.

Author Relationship with Industry

Nickander has previously received minor speaker compensation from Sanofi Genzyme AB for work unrelated to this study. The rest of the authors declare no relationships with industry. Karolinska University Hospital has a research and development agreement with Siemens regarding CMR. The study was funded by the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation, Swedish Society of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet and Insamlingsstiftelsen Kvinnor och Hälsa, all Stockholm, Sweden. Tornvall is funded by the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation and the Swedish Research Council, Stockholm, Sweden. Sörensson is funded by the Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation. Nickander is funded by Karolinska Institutet and Region Stockholm, Sweden. Steffen Johansson is funded by Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation, Swedish Society of Medicine and Insamlingsstiftelsen Kvinnor och Hälsa.

Author contributions

Steffen Johansson participated in the patient inclusion, image acquisition, performed image, data and statistical analysis, as well as drafted the manuscript. Tornvall participated in the design of the study, interpretation of data and revised the manuscript. Nickander and Sörensson participated in the design of the study, patient inclusion and image acquisition, as well as supervised image, data and statistical analysis and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Total word count: 5135.

Abstract

Background: Myocardial infarction with nonobstructive coronary arteries (MINOCA) constitutes a working diagnosis. Early cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) is recommended, however cannot provide a diagnosis in 25% of MINOCA cases. A pathophysiological mechanism may be coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD). Quantitative stress CMR perfusion mapping allows for quantification of myocardial perfusion, which may detect CMD in the absence of obstructive coronary artery disease. However, it is unknown if CMD is present post-convalescence in suspected MINOCA patients.

Purpose: To evaluate the presence of CMD after convalescence in patients with suspected MINOCA.

Methods: Patients from the multicenter Stockholm Myocardial Infarction with Normal Coronaries (SMINC) 2 study with a normal CMR scan 2-4 days after hospitalization, and healthy age- and sex-matched volunteers underwent stress CMR during long-term follow-up. Cardiovascular risk factors, medication and symptoms of myocardial ischemia measured by the Seattle Angina Questionnaire 7, were registered.

Results: In total, 15 patients with suspected MINOCA (59±7 years old, 60% female), and 15 healthy age- and sex-matched volunteers, underwent CMR. Patients with suspected MINOCA had lower global stress perfusion (2.83±1.8 vs 3.53±0.7 ml/min/g, p=0.02). There was neither differences in other CMR parameters such as global rest perfusion and myocardial perfusion reserve, hemodynamic parameters nor cardiovascular risk factors except for higher statin use in the MINOCA patient group compared to volunteers.

Conclusions: Global stress perfusion is lower in MINOCA patients with a normal initial CMR scan during follow-up, compared to age- and sex-matched volunteers, suggesting CMD as a possible pathophysiological mechanism in MINOCA.

Clinical Trial Registration
Clinicaltrials.gov identifier NCT02318498. Registered 2014-12-17.

Keywords
Myocardial infarction with nonobstructive coronary arteries, coronary microvascular dysfunction, CMR perfusion mapping, stress perfusion, rest perfusion, myocardial perfusion reserve

Abbreviations

CMD: Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction
CMR: Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging
CVD: Cardiovascular disease
ECV: Extracellular Volume
Gd: Gadobutrol
LGE: Late gadolinium enhancement
MI: Myocardial infarction
MINOCA: Myocardial Infarction with Non-obstructive Coronary Arteries
MPR: Myocardial Perfusion Reserve
MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging
SAQ-7: Seattle Angina Questionnaire 7

Introduction

Myocardial infarction with nonobstructive coronary arteries (MINOCA) is a working diagnosis (1), defined as the presence of clinical evidence of a myocardial infarction (MI), without significant coronary artery disease (CAD), or other diseases that may cause MI (2). Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) is essential for the differential diagnosis of suspected MINOCA (3), however cannot provide a definitive diagnosis in approximately 25% (4). In these cases, a possible pathophysiological mechanism may be coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMD) (5, 6). CMD is characterized by functional and structural abnormalities in the coronary microvasculature, leading to an insufficient increase in myocardial perfusion in response to increasing oxygen demands, causing clinical signs and symptoms of myocardial ischemia (6). Diagnosis of CMD relies on functional assessments of myocardial perfusion (ml/min/g) (6), which can be assessed with automated first-pass quantitative pixel-wise CMR perfusion mapping following gadolinium (Gd) contrast administration (7, 8).

Previously, CMD in the acute phase of MINOCA has been shown using coronary angiography-derived index of microcirculatory resistance (IMR), which also was a predictor of adverse outcome (9). Moreover, focal stress and rest perfusion defects as well as reduced MPR have been shown in the acute phase of MINOCA using qualitative stress CMR perfusion imaging (10). To the best of our knowledge there are no studies of CMD in MINOCA after the acute phase. Furthermore, patients with MINOCA that had an initial normal CMR scan has not previously been studied with automated quantitative stress CMR perfusion mapping rendering global perfusion values, and it is therefore unknown if CMD is present after the convalescence following MINOCA. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the presence of CMD in MINOCA patients with an initial normal CMR scan in the post-convalescence phase, compared to healthy volunteers, using stress CMR perfusion mapping. The hypothesis was that MINOCA patients

have lower global myocardial stress perfusion, suggesting CMD as a pathophysiological mechanism in MINOCA.

Methods

Study group

Patients with MINOCA with an initial normal CMR scan were identified from the second Stockholm Myocardial Infarction with Normal Coronaries (SMINC-2) study, which was a prospective, multi-center non-randomized study of MINOCA patients performed in 2014-2018 in five hospitals in Stockholm, Sweden (11). The aim of SMINC-2 was to determine if early comprehensive CMR imaging during hospitalization following MINOCA presentation could provide a definitive diagnosis in more than 70% of MINOCA patients, as compared to the first Stockholm Myocardial Infarction with Normal Coronaries (SMINC-1) study where LGE and T2 mapping a median of 12 days following hospital admission provided a diagnosis in only 50% (12). Inclusion criteria of SMINC-2 included the fulfillment of the diagnostic criteria of MI (13) and no stenosis with a diameter of ≥ 50 % on coronary angiography as determined by the angiographer. Exclusion criteria included lack of sinus rhythm on admission ECG, pulmonary embolism, previous MI, previously known cardiomyopathy, severe asthma, severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and decreased kidney function (serum creatinine of >150 μmol/l). Furthermore, patients with pacemaker or claustrophobia were excluded. Additionally, all patients were invited to a follow-up CMR scan 6 months after index event and the last follow-up scan was performed in September 2019.

Patients from SMINC-2 were included in the present sub-study if their initial CMR scan performed 2-4 days following hospital admission after the MINOCA presentation was read as normal by two independent CMR level 3 physicians. The same general exclusion criteria

described above were applied in the current study. Furthermore, self-reported healthy sex- and age-matched volunteers were included for comparison by advertising for healthy volunteers at Karolinska Institutet. Clinical baseline data regarding body composition, previous diseases affecting the coronary microcirculation such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, smoking, and current medications were obtained from the study participants by interviews or from medical records. To quantify current symptoms and disability related to ischemic heart disease, participants answered the Seattle Angina Questionnaire 7 (SAQ-7), and results were summarized as the SAQ-7 summary score (14). Patient selection in the current study is shown in Figure 1. One patient developed high degree AV block during adenosine stress, therefore adenosine infusion was discontinued, and the patient was excluded from all analysis. In total, 15 MINOCA patients were ultimately included in the current study. Additionally, 15 healthy age- and sex-matched volunteers were included. Stress perfusion CMR was performed between March of 2020 to February of 2022, 5 years [3 years 164 days – 6 years 309 days], following the initial CMR scan at admission.

Ethical approval has been granted for all procedures in the study by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority (DNR 2014/131-31/1, 2017/2415-32/1, 2021-06837-02) and all participants provided written informed consent.

Image acquisition

CMR was performed with a Siemens Magnetom Aera® 1.5 Tesla (T) (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) scanner with a phased-array 18-channel body matrix coil and a spine matrix coil. Patients were examined in the supine position. A venous blood sample was drawn to determine the blood hematocrit and blood creatinine level prior to imaging. Heart rate and blood pressure were obtained in rest prior to stress CMR and during adenosine stress to

calculate the rate pressure product (RPP). Full coverage retrospective electrocardiographic (ECG)-gated balanced steady state free precession (SSFP) cine imaging was acquired in standard three long-axis and short-axis slices. Typical imaging parameters were flip angle (FA) 68 degrees, pixel size 1.4x1.9 mm^2, slice thickness 8.0 mm, echo time (TE)/repetition time (TR) 1.19/37.05 ms, matrix size 256x144 and field of view (FOV) 360x270 mm^2. Using first pass perfusion imaging, myocardial quantitative perfusion maps (ml/min/g) were acquired in three short-axis slices (basal, midventricular, apical) following an intravenous contrast agent bolus (0.05 mmol/kg, gadobutrol, Gadovist, Bayer AB, Solna, Sweden), during adenosine infusion (140 µg/kg/min or increased according to clinical routine up to 210 µg/kg/min in the absence of adequate heart rate response to adenosine (Adenosin, Life Medical AB, Stockholm)) and then in rest. Adenosine and contrast agent were administered in two different cannulas. Typical imaging parameters were FA 50 degrees, slice thickness 8.0 mm, TE/TR 1.04/2.5 ms, bandwidth 1085 Hz/pixel, FOV 360x270 mm^2 and saturation delay/trigger delay (TD) 95/40 ms.

Using an ECG-gated Modified Look-Locker inversion recovery (MOLLI 5s(3s)3s) recovery prototype sequence, native T1 maps were acquired in three short-axis slices (basal, midventricular, apical) in rest. Typical imaging parameters were single shot SSFP in end-diastole, FA 35 degrees, pixel size 1.4x1.9 mm^2, slice thickness 8.0 mm, imaging duration 167 ms, TE/TR 1.12/2.7 ms, matrix size 256x144 and FOV 360x270 mm^2. Following a bolus of contrast agent (total 0.2 mmol/kg, gadobutrol), post-contrast T1 maps were acquired with the same slice position as the native T1 maps. Extracellular volume (ECV) maps in three short-axis slices (basal, midventricular, apical) were generated from native T1 maps and post-contrast T1 maps in rest and calibrated by the hematocrit (15, 16). Using a T2-prepared sequence (Siemens MyoMaps product sequence), native T2 maps were acquired in three short-axis slices (basal,

midventricular, apical) in rest. Typical imaging parameters were FA 70 degrees, pixel size 1.4x1.4 mm^2, slice thickness 8.0 mm, acquisition window 700 ms, TE/TR 1.06/2.49 ms, matrix size 144x256 mm^2.

Late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) was present in one MINOCA patient with a known MI, occurring during the initial 6 months follow-up period [Nickander et al. JACC Cardiovasc Imag accepted 23 july 2022], and the infarcted segments were excluded from the analysis. T2 maps could not be acquired in one patient due to operator dependency. The rest perfusion maps were excluded in one healthy volunteer due to residual hyperemia.

Image analysis

All images were analyzed with the freely available software Segment (version 2.7 Medviso AB, Lund, Sweden) (17, 18). Left ventricular (LV) volumes, ejection fraction (EF) and myocardial mass were quantified by segmenting endo- and epicardial borders in end-diastole and end-systole in the cine short-axis stack. Body surface area (BSA) was calculated with the Mosteller formula (19). Volumetric measurements and myocardial mass were indexed to BSA. Native T1 maps, T2 maps, ECV-maps, and quantitative perfusion maps were analyzed by carefully delineating the endo- and epicardial borders of the LV in the respective short-axis stack. In order to avoid blood pool and adjacent tissues contaminating the analysis, a 10% erosion margin was set for both endo- and epicardial borders. Native T1, native T2, ECV as well as rest and stress myocardial perfusion were acquired in a 16-segment model of the LV (20) and global values were acquired by averaging all segmental values per patient. Inter-observer variability was assessed in all 30 study-participants by two independent observers and intra-observer variability was assessed by one observer re-analyzing 10 subjects, with regards to global native T1, native T2, ECV and stress and rest myocardial perfusion.

Statistical analysis

Continuous data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and categorical data were presented as numbers and percentages. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess all data for normality. Myocardial perfusion reserve (MPR) was calculated as myocardial perfusion in stress divided by myocardial perfusion in rest (ml/min/g). RPP was calculated as systolic blood pressure multiplied by heart rate in rest and stress, respectively. CMR values of MINOCA patients and healthy volunteers were compared with the independent t-test in normally distributed data and with the Mann-Whitney U test in non-normally distributed data. Clinical baseline data were compared between the MINOCA patients and the healthy volunteers with Fisher's exact test. Inter- and intra-observer agreement was calculated for global native T1, native T2, ECV and myocardial perfusion rest and stress as well as MPR, and presented as intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC). ICC ranged from 0.92 to 1.00 ($p<0.001$ for all). An *a priori* power calculation based on the data from previously published healthy subjects to detect a 0.78 ml/min/g difference in stress perfusion (21) with 80% power and alpha of 0.05, resulted in a total of 16 patients needed. Statistical analysis was performed with Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA) and IBM SPSS Statistics (IBM SPSS Statistics version 28, IBM, New York, USA). In all statistical analysis, the significance level was defined as $p<0.05$.

Results

Clinical characteristics

Clinical characteristics of the MINOCA patients with an initial normal CMR scan and the healthy volunteers are presented in Table 1. The MINOCA patients were more frequently treated with statins than the healthy volunteers, otherwise there were no differences in clinical characteristics or SAQ-7 summary score between the two groups. Table 2 summarizes the CMR

findings of the two groups. There were no differences in hemodynamic parameters, LV volumes or mass, native T1, native T2 or ECV between the MINOCA patients with an initial normal CMR scan and the healthy volunteers.

Perfusion in stress and rest

A representative example of a midventricular myocardial perfusion map in stress and rest of a MINOCA patient and a healthy volunteer is presented in Figure 2. The MINOCA patients had lower global stress perfusion compared to the healthy volunteers, however there were no differences in rest perfusion or MPR (Figure 3 and Table 2). Stress perfusion was lower in MINOCA patients without hypertension compared to healthy volunteers without hypertension (2.71±0.96 vs 3.64±0.75 ml/min/g, p=0.04), however there were no differences in rest perfusion or MPR (Supplemental Table 1). There were no differences in global perfusion in stress or rest, or MPR, between pooled MINOCA patients and healthy volunteers with or without hypertension, or with or without statin treatment, respectively (Table 3). Perfusion in stress and rest, as well as MPR, did not differ between female and male pooled MINOCA patients and healthy volunteers (Table 3).

Discussion

This is to the best of our knowledge the first study to assess presence of CMD after the convalescence phase in MINOCA patients with an initial normal CMR scan, using automated pixel-wise quantitative adenosine stress CMR perfusion mapping. The current study demonstrates that global stress perfusion is lower in MINOCA patients with an initial normal CMR scan compared to healthy volunteers, suggesting CMD as a pathophysiological mechanism in MINOCA (Central Illustration). Except for more frequent statin use, there were no differences in clinical characteristics including hypertension, CMR or hemodynamic

parameters between the groups, underscoring that these MINOCA patients with an initial normal CMR scan have decreased stress perfusion compared to the healthy volunteers as the single pathophysiological rational.

Coronary microvascular dysfunction in MINOCA

A high prevalence of CMD in the acute phase of MINOCA has previously been shown by using index of microcirculatory resistance (IMR) derived from invasive coronary angiography, which was also associated with adverse outcome (9). Thus, CMD may contribute to MINOCA presentation even in the absence of obstructive CAD, however IMR has limitations compared to CMR as IMR does not allow for assessment of any pathologies in myocardial tissue characteristics which may contribute to MINOCA presentation. Moreover, qualitative adenosine stress CMR perfusion imaging in the early post-MINOCA phase has previously shown focal stress and rest perfusion abnormalities, which were often co-localized with LGE and/or T2+, however with both ischemic and non-ischemic LGE-patterns and low MPR index (MPRI) both with and without LGE and T2+ (10). Thus, the variety in the CMR findings underscores that it is unclear if ischemia caused LGE and T2+, or if presence of acute edema caused both hypoperfusion by compression of micro vessels as well as LGE or T2+ (10). This contrasts with the present follow-up study, where there were no LGE or increase in T2+ that could provide an alternate physiological explanation to reduced perfusion as a sign of CMD in the absence of obstructive CAD.

Native T1, native T2 and ECV did not differ between the MINOCA patients with an initial normal CMR scan and the healthy volunteers in the current study, and therefore the reduced perfusion in stress cannot be explained by edema caused by inflammation or by fibrosis caused by previous myocarditis or MI. Only one patient in our cohort of patients with MINOCA with

an initial normal CMR scan had LGE from a previous MI, occurring during initial 6 months follow-up, and affected areas were excluded from analysis. Furthermore, all MINOCA patients with an initial normal CMR scan had a nonobstructive coronary angiogram at presentation, and no patient had focal perfusion defects on follow-up stress CMR perfusion mapping. Therefore, neither MI, nor obstructive CAD can explain the reduced stress perfusion in the MINOCA patients in the current study, while diffuse global CMD may be a possible explanation to the reduced stress perfusion.

Coronary microvascular dysfunction and CMR perfusion mapping

CMD is common in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), often associated with hypertension, diabetes mellitus, the metabolic syndrome and a systemic proinflammatory state (22). Using quantitative adenosine stress CMR perfusion mapping, reduced MPR indicating CMD as well as increased ECV indicating diffuse myocardial fibrosis have been shown in HFpEF patients compared to healthy volunteers (22). In contrast to the present study where there was no difference in ECV between patients and healthy volunteers, it is possible that diffuse fibrosis may contribute to CMD and cause reduced perfusion in HFpEF. Furthermore, we found reduced stress perfusion but no difference in rest perfusion or MPR. Automated quantitative adenosine stress CMR perfusion mapping has been shown to be able to detect and differentiate between obstructive CAD and CMD without CAD in patients with stable angina pectoris in comparison to healthy volunteers. Furthermore, patients with stable angina and CMD have reduced global stress perfusion and MPR compared to healthy volunteers, however with no difference in rest perfusion (23), in similarity to our findings. Further support for our results, is that regadenoson stress CMR quantitative perfusion mapping has shown reduced stress perfusion in typical angina pectoris without obstructive CAD and

with risk factors for CMD compared to healthy volunteers, while there was no difference in rest perfusion, native T1 or ECV (24).

Both the present and the compared studies investigating patients with CMD and heart failure or angina pectoris, have shown that rest perfusion remains unaffected while stress perfusion is decreased in CMD. Vasodilatation may be inadequate and/or vasoconstriction may be increased in the microvasculature despite nonobstructive coronary arteries explaining an insufficient increase in myocardial perfusion in response to stress. Additionally, although stress perfusion was lower in the MINOCA patients in the current study, there was no difference in MPR between the groups, in contrast to the compared studies where MPR was also affected. Furthermore, rest and stress perfusion as well as MPR was higher in our MINOCA patient group compared to the patients in the compared studies (22-24), which might indicate that our study group had less severe CMD compared to patients in the other studies. The MINOCA patients with an initial normal CMR scan in our study were currently asymptomatic and were examined several years following their initial MINOCA presentation, in contrast to the compared studies that examined patients with CMD and symptomatic heart failure or angina pectoris, therefore it is possible that the asymptomatic CMD in our patient group was not severe enough to cause impaired MPR.

Strengths and limitations

Quantitative stress CMR perfusion mapping has the advantage of avoiding risks related to the current gold-standard modalities invasive coronary angiography and positron emission tomography (25, 26). The MINOCA patients in the current study were well-characterized. The patients presented with a MINOCA, had a non-obstructive angiogram, and had a normal initial CMR scan following hospitalization at index event as well as a normal follow-up scan at 6

months, except for one individual. The control group was carefully selected with regards to age, sex, and comorbidities. Other studies on healthy volunteers report mean global rest and stress perfusion at ranges similar to those in the healthy volunteers in the current study (7, 27, 28), therefore our cohort of healthy volunteers may reflect normal values. Intra- and interobserver agreement in rest and stress perfusion was excellent, indicating that quantitative perfusion mapping is a robust method for evaluating myocardial perfusion. The major limitation of this mechanistic study is the small sample size, despite a prospective realistic power calculation. The sample size does not allow for further subgroup analysis within the MINOCA group with regards to the contributions of different risk factors predisposing CMD. Sample sizes were larger in the compared studies (22-24, 27, 28), and the small sample size in the current study might not have been sufficient to find significant CMD further causing reduced rest perfusion or reduced MPR. Further multi-center studies in larger patient populations both at admission for suspected MINOCA, and during longer follow-up are needed to further elucidate the clinical utility of quantitative CMR perfusion mapping as well as the role of CMD in MINOCA.

Conclusions

Myocardial stress perfusion is lower after the convalescence phase in MINOCA patients with a normal initial CMR scan compared to age- and sex-matched volunteers, suggesting CMD as a possible pathophysiological mechanism in MINOCA. However, the results need to be confirmed by further studies.

Clinical Perspectives

Clinical Competencies

The current study adds knowledge on coronary microvascular dysfunction as suggested by decreased stress perfusion in patients with suspected MINOCA, which is a previously understudied population of patients whose condition is associated with adverse outcome and a lack of specific treatment.

Translational outlook

Further studies are needed to assess automated pixel-wise quantitative adenosine stress CMR perfusion mapping as a diagnostic and prognostic tool in the setting of coronary microvascular dysfunction in suspected MINOCA and other cardiac pathologies. Furthermore, further studies are needed to identify therapeutic targets and optimize treatment at presentation of suspected MINOCA and during follow-up.

Declarations

Ethics, consent, and permission

The study has been approved by the Swedish Ethical Review Authority, DNR 2014/131-31/1, 2017/2415-32/1, 2021-06837-02, and all patients provided written informed consent.

Consent to publish

The patients provided written informed consent to publish their individual data on group level as well as anonymized images in this manuscript. The consent forms are held in the clinical notes of the patients and are available for the Editor-in-Chief for review.

Availability of data and material

The data supporting the findings in this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge biomedical scientist Hamad Mahbobi, Jenny Castaings, Monika Nguyen, and radiographer Elina Malkeshi, for their invaluable help with image acquisition.

References

1. Pasupathy S, Tavella R, McRae S, Beltrame JF. Myocardial Infarction With Non-obstructive Coronary Arteries - Diagnosis and Management. Eur Cardiol. 2015;10(2):79-82.
2. Tamis-Holland JE, Jneid H, Reynolds HR, Agewall S, Brilakis ES, Brown TM, et al. Contemporary Diagnosis and Management of Patients With Myocardial Infarction in the Absence of Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2019;139(18):e891-e908.
3. Montone RA, Jang IK, Beltrame JF, Sicari R, Meucci MC, Bode M, et al. The evolving role of cardiac imaging in patients with myocardial infarction and non-obstructive coronary arteries. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2021;68:78-87.
4. Machanahalli Balakrishna A, Ismayl M, Thandra A, Walters R, Ganesan V, Anugula D, et al. Diagnostic Value of Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Intracoronary Optical Coherence Tomography in Patients With a Working Diagnosis of Myocardial Infarction With Non-obstructive Coronary Arteries - A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Curr Probl Cardiol. 2022:101126.
5. Pacheco Claudio C, Quesada O, Pepine CJ, Noel Bairey Merz C. Why names matter for women: MINOCA/INOCA (myocardial infarction/ischemia and no obstructive coronary artery disease). Clin Cardiol. 2018;41(2):185-93.
6. Vancheri F, Longo G, Vancheri S, Henein M. Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction. J Clin Med. 2020;9(9).
7. Kellman P, Hansen MS, Nielles-Vallespin S, Nickander J, Themudo R, Ugander M, et al. Myocardial perfusion cardiovascular magnetic resonance: optimized dual sequence and reconstruction for quantification. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2017;19(1):43.
8. Feher A, Sinusas AJ. Quantitative Assessment of Coronary Microvascular Function: Dynamic Single-Photon Emission Computed Tomography, Positron Emission Tomography, Ultrasound, Computed Tomography, and Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2017;10(8).
9. Abdu FA, Liu L, Mohammed AQ, Yin G, Xu B, Zhang W, et al. Prognostic impact of coronary microvascular dysfunction in patients with myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries. Eur J Intern Med. 2021;92:79-85.
10. Mauricio R, Srichai MB, Axel L, Hochman JS, Reynolds HR. Stress Cardiac MRI in Women With Myocardial Infarction and Nonobstructive Coronary Artery Disease. Clin Cardiol. 2016;39(10):596-602.

11. Sörensson P, Ekenbäck C, Lundin M, Agewall S, Bacsovics Brolin E, Caidahl K, et al. Early Comprehensive Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Patients With Myocardial Infarction With Nonobstructive Coronary Arteries. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2021;14(9):1774-83.

12. Collste O, Sörensson P, Frick M, Agewall S, Daniel M, Henareh L, et al. Myocardial infarction with normal coronary arteries is common and associated with normal findings on cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging: results from the Stockholm Myocardial Infarction with Normal Coronaries study. J Intern Med. 2013;273(2):189-96.

13. Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, et al. Fourth Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction (2018). Circulation. 2018;138(20):e618-e51.

14. Chan PS, Jones PG, Arnold SA, Spertus JA. Development and validation of a short version of the Seattle angina questionnaire. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2014;7(5):640-7.

15. Kellman P, Wilson JR, Xue H, Ugander M, Arai AE. Extracellular volume fraction mapping in the myocardium, part 1: evaluation of an automated method. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2012;14(1):63.

16. Arheden H, Saeed M, Higgins CB, Gao DW, Bremerich J, Wyttenbach R, et al. Measurement of the distribution volume of gadopentetate dimeglumine at echo-planar MR imaging to quantify myocardial infarction: comparison with 99mTc-DTPA autoradiography in rats. Radiology. 1999;211(3):698-708.

17. Heiberg E, Sjögren J, Ugander M, Carlsson M, Engblom H, Arheden H. Design and validation of Segment--freely available software for cardiovascular image analysis. BMC Med Imaging. 2010;10:1.

18. Tufvesson J, Hedström E, Steding-Ehrenborg K, Carlsson M, Arheden H, Heiberg E. Validation and Development of a New Automatic Algorithm for Time-Resolved Segmentation of the Left Ventricle in Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Biomed Res Int. 2015;2015:970357.

19. Mosteller RD. Simplified calculation of body-surface area. N Engl J Med. 1987;317(17):1098.

20. Hundley WG, Bluemke D, Bogaert JG, Friedrich MG, Higgins CB, Lawson MA, et al. Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance guidelines for reporting cardiovascular magnetic resonance examinations. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2009;11(1):5.

21. Nickander J, Themudo R, Sigfridsson A, Xue H, Kellman P, Ugander M. Females have higher myocardial perfusion, blood volume and extracellular volume compared to males - an adenosine stress cardiovascular magnetic resonance study. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):10380.
22. Löffler AI, Pan JA, Balfour PC, Jr., Shaw PW, Yang Y, Nasir M, et al. Frequency of Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction and Diffuse Myocardial Fibrosis (Measured by Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance) in Patients With Heart Failure and Preserved Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction. Am J Cardiol. 2019;124(10):1584-9.
23. Kotecha T, Martinez-Naharro A, Boldrini M, Knight D, Hawkins P, Kalra S, et al. Automated Pixel-Wise Quantitative Myocardial Perfusion Mapping by CMR to Detect Obstructive Coronary Artery Disease and Coronary Microvascular Dysfunction: Validation Against Invasive Coronary Physiology. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2019;12(10):1958-69.
24. Zorach B, Shaw PW, Bourque J, Kuruvilla S, Balfour PC, Jr., Yang Y, et al. Quantitative cardiovascular magnetic resonance perfusion imaging identifies reduced flow reserve in microvascular coronary artery disease. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2018;20(1):14.
25. Sakuma H, Ishida M. Advances in Myocardial Perfusion MR Imaging: Physiological Implications, the Importance of Quantitative Analysis, and Impact on Patient Care in Coronary Artery Disease. Magn Reson Med Sci. 2022;21(1):195-211.
26. Engblom H, Xue H, Akil S, Carlsson M, Hindorf C, Oddstig J, et al. Fully quantitative cardiovascular magnetic resonance myocardial perfusion ready for clinical use: a comparison between cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging and positron emission tomography. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2017;19(1):78.
27. Hsu LY, Jacobs M, Benovoy M, Ta AD, Conn HM, Winkler S, et al. Diagnostic Performance of Fully Automated Pixel-Wise Quantitative Myocardial Perfusion Imaging by Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2018;11(5):697-707.
28. Broadbent DA, Biglands JD, Larghat A, Sourbron SP, Radjenovic A, Greenwood JP, et al. Myocardial blood flow at rest and stress measured with dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI: comparison of a distributed parameter model with a Fermi function model. Magn Reson Med. 2013;70(6):1591-7.

Figure Titles and Legends

Figure 1. Flow chart of study cohort. The figure shows inclusion and exclusion of MINOCA patients and healthy volunteers into the study. Abbreviations: SMINC-2 = Stockholm Myocardial Infarction with Normal Coronaries-2; MINOCA = Myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries; CMR = Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging.

Figure 2. Stress and rest perfusion in a volunteer and a MINOCA patient. The figure shows a representative example of a perfusion map (ml/min/g) in stress and rest in a midventricular slice of a healthy volunteer and a patient with suspected myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries (MINOCA). Stress perfusion is globally reduced in the suspected MINOCA patient compared to the healthy volunteer, while rest perfusion is comparable.

Figure 3. Rest and stress perfusion in MINOCA patients and volunteers. The figure shows individual global rest and stress perfusion (ml/min/g) in suspected MINOCA patients (n=15) and healthy volunteers (n=15, however rest perfusion missing in one healthy volunteer). Stress perfusion is reduced in the suspected MINOCA patient group, while rest perfusion does not differ between the groups. P-values denotes the independent t-test in stress perfusion and the Mann Whitney U test in rest perfusion, error bars represent mean and standard deviation. Abbreviations: MINOCA = Myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries.

Central illustration. CMD may be a pathophysiological mechanism in MINOCA. Abbreviations: CMD = Coronary microvascular dysfunction; CMR = Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging; MINOCA = Myocardial infarction with nonobstructive coronary arteries.

Tables

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of suspected MINOCA patients and healthy volunteers

Clinical characteristics	MINOCA (n=15)	Healthy volunteers (n=15)	*p*
Female sex, n (%)	9 (60%)	9 (60%)	1.00
Age (years)	59±7	59±7	0.85
Height (cm)	173±10	173±10	0.94
Weight (kg)	75±15	71±14	0.40
BSA(m^2)	1.9±0.2	1.8±0.2	0.47
Creatinine (mmol/l)	71±17	74±12	0.40
EVF (%)	43±5	43±4	0.92
SAQ-7 summary score	95±12*	99±3	0.16†
Hypertension, n (%)	8 (57%)	3 (20%)	0.06
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	2 (14%)	1 (7%)	0.60
Hyperlipidemia, n (%)	5 (36%)	2 (13%)	0.39
Smoking currently, n (%)	4 (29%)	1 (7%)	0.17
Smoking previously, n (%)	9 (64%)	6 (40%)	0.14
Statins, n (%)	7 (50%)	1 (7%)	**0.04**
Beta blockers, n (%)	3 (21%)	2 (13%)	0.65
ACE-I/ARB, n (%)	6 (43%)	2 (13%)	0.11
Calcium channel blockers, n (%)	2 (14%)	1 (7%)	0.60

Clinical characteristics are presented as n (%) where p-values denotes the Fisher's exact test or as mean ± standard deviation where p-values denotes the independent t-test and † denotes the Mann Whitney U test. * Data missing for one patient. Abbreviations: BSA = body surface area; EVF = erythrocyte volume fraction; ACE-I = Angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors; ARB = Angiotensin receptor blockers; SAQ-7= Seattle Angina Questionnaire-7.

Table 2. CMR findings of suspected MINOCA patients and healthy volunteers

CMR findings	MINOCA (n=15)	Healthy volunteers (n=15)	*p*
Heart rate rest (bpm)	67±9	71±11	0.21
SBP rest (mmHg)	134±15	128±15	0.59
RPP rest	9014±1672	9114±1781	0.52
Heart rate stress (bpm)	88±10	90±8	0.67
SBP stress (mmHg)	137±18	128±15	0.22
RPP stress	12083±2265	11506±1699	0.52
CO (l/min)	5.1±0.8	5.7±1.3	0.30
LVM (ml)	114±27	102±25	0.29
LVM (g)	119±29	107±27	0.29
LVMi (g/m^2)	63±12	58±10	0.39†
LVEDV (ml)	151±35	153±41	0.98
LVEDVi (ml/m^2)	79±15	82±16	0.8†
LVESV (ml)	66±20	69±22	0.68
LVESVi (ml/m^2)	35±10	37±9	0.49
LVSV (ml)	85±17	84±23	0.68
LVSVi (ml/m^2)	45±7	45±9	0.87†
LVEF (%)	57±6	55±6	0.26
T1 (ms)	976±55	983±26	0.87†
T2 (ms)	49±3*	49±3	0.92
ECV (%)	27±3	27±2	0.69
Perfusion rest (ml/min/g)	0.96±0.19	1.12±0.33*	0.35†
Perfusion stress (ml/min/g)	2.83±1.84	3.53±0.73	**0.02**
MPR	3.0±0.9	3.2±1*	0.43

CMR findings are presented as mean ± standard deviation. P-value denotes the independent t-test, p-values marked † denotes the Mann Whitney U test. * T2 maps missing for one MINOCA patient; rest perfusion maps missing for one healthy volunteer; MPR missing for one healthy volunteer. Abbreviations: CMR = cardiac magnetic resonance imaging; bpm = beats per minute; SBP = systolic blood pressure; RPP = rate pressure product; CO = cardiac output; LVM = Left ventricular mass; LVEDV = left ventricular end-diastolic volume; LVESV = left ventricular end-systolic volume; LVSV = left ventricular stroke volume; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; bpm = beats per minute; ECV = extracellular volume; MPR = myocardial perfusion reserve, i signifies indexed to body surface area (BSA) according to the Mosteller formula.

Table 3. Perfusion in stress and rest and MPR compared by hypertension, statin treatment and sex in pooled MINOCA patients and healthy volunteers

Pooled MINOCA patients and healthy volunteers	Hypertension (n=11)	Not hypertension (n=19)	*p*	Statins (n=8)	Not statins (n=22)	*p*	Female (n=18)	Male (n=12)	*p*
Perfusion rest (ml/min/g)	0.97±0.21	1.07±0.30*	0.50†	0.95±0.16	1.07±0.30*	0.41†	1.09±0.30	0.94±0.21*	0.12†
Perfusion stress (ml/min/g)	2.97±0.67	3.30±0.93	0.32	3.02±0.75	3.24±0.89	0.71†	3.31±0.72	2.99±1.01	0.73
MPR	3.2±0.9	3.1±1.0*	0.89	3.2±0.8	3.1±1.0*	0.75	3.2±1.0	3.1±1.0*	0.32

Perfusion (ml/min/g) and MPR presented as mean ± standard deviation, p-values denotes the independent t-test, or † the Mann Whitney U test. * Rest perfusion maps and MPR missing for one healthy volunteer. Abbreviations: MINOCA = Myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries; MPR = myocardial perfusion reserve.

Figures and Central Illustration



Figure 1. Flow chart of study cohort. The figure shows inclusion and exclusion of MINOCA patients and healthy volunteers into the study. Abbreviations: SMINC-2 = Stockholm Myocardial Infarction with Normal Coronaries-2; MINOCA = Myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries; CMR = Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging.



Figure 2. Stress and rest perfusion in a volunteer and a MINOCA patient. The figure shows a representative example of a perfusion map (ml/min/g) in stress and rest in a midventricular slice of a healthy volunteer and a patient with suspected myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries (MINOCA). Stress perfusion is globally reduced in the suspected MINOCA patient compared to the healthy volunteer, while rest perfusion is comparable.



Figure 3. Rest and stress perfusion in MINOCA patients and volunteers. The figure shows individual global rest and stress perfusion (ml/min/g) in suspected MINOCA patients (n=15) and healthy volunteers (n=15, however rest perfusion missing in one healthy volunteer). Stress perfusion is reduced in the suspected MINOCA patient group, while rest perfusion does not differ between the groups. P-values denotes the independent t-test in stress perfusion and the Mann Whitney U test in rest perfusion, error bars represent mean and standard deviation. Abbreviations: MINOCA = Myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries.



Central illustration. CMD may be a pathophysiological mechanism in MINOCA. Abbreviations: CMD = Coronary microvascular dysfunction; CMR = Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging; MINOCA = Myocardial infarction with nonobstructive coronary arteries.

Supplemental Material

Supplemental Tables

Supplemental table 1. Rest and stress perfusion and MPR in suspected MINOCA patients and healthy volunteers without hypertension

	MINOCA (n=7)	**Healthy volunteers (n=12)**	***p***
Perfusion rest (ml/min/g)	0.97±0.13	1.14±0.37*	0.24
Perfusion stress (ml/min/g)	2.71±0.96	3.64±0.75	**0.04**†
MPR	2.8±0.9	3.3±1.1*	0.33

Perfusion (ml/min/g) and MPR presented as mean ± standard deviation. P-values denotes the independent t-test, p-values marked † denotes the Mann Whitney U test. * Rest perfusion maps and MPR missing for one healthy volunteer. Abbreviations: MINOCA = Myocardial infarction with non-obstructive coronary arteries; MPR = myocardial perfusion reserve.