Selective whole-genome amplification reveals population genetics of *Leishmania braziliensis* directly from patient skin biopsies

Olivia A. Pilling[1], Cooper A. Grace[2], João L. Reis-Cunha[2], Alexander S. F. Berry[1,#a], Matthew W. Mitchell[3,#b], Jane A. Yu[4,#c], Clara Malekshahi[1], Elise Krespan[1], Christina K. Go[1], Cláudia Lombana[1], Yun S. Song[4,5], Camila F. Amorim[1], Alexsandro S. Lago[6,7], Lucas P. Carvalho[6,7], Edgar M. Carvalho[6,7], Dustin Brisson[3], Phillip Scott[1], Daniel C. Jeffares[2], Daniel P. Beiting[1]*

[1]Department of Pathobiology, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States of America

[2]Department of Biology, York Biomedical Research Institute, University of York, York, United Kingdom

[3]Department of Biology, School of Arts & Sciences, University of Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania, United States of America

[4]Computer Science Division, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, United States of America

[5]Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California, United States of America

[6]Serviço de Imunologia, Complexo Hospitalar Universitário Professor Edgard Santos, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil

[7]Laboratório de Pesquisas Clínicas do Instituto de Pesquisas Gonçalo Moniz, Fiocruz Bahia, Brazil

[#a]Current Address: Autism & Developmental Medicine Institute, Geisinger, Lewisburg, Pennsylvania, United States of America

[#b]Current Address: Coriell Institute for Medical Research, Camden, New Jersey, United States of America

[#c]Current Address: Facebook AI Research, London, United Kingdom

* Corresponding author

E-mail: beiting@upenn.edu (DPB)

Keywords: Selective Whole Genome Amplification, SWGA, population genetics, *Leishmania braziliensis*, cutaneous leishmaniasis, drug resistance, neglected tropical disease, NTD, Brazil.

ABSTRACT

In Brazil, *Leishmania braziliensis* is the main causative agent of the neglected tropical disease, cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL). CL caused by *L. braziliensis* can present on a spectrum of disease severity with a high rate of treatment failure. Yet the parasite factors that contribute to disease presentation and treatment outcome are not well understood, in part because successfully isolating and culturing parasites from patient lesions remains a major technical challenge. Here we describe the development of selective whole genome amplification (SWGA) for *Leishmania* and show that this method enables culture-independent analysis of parasite genomes obtained directly from primary patient skin samples, allowing us to circumvent artifacts associated with adaptation to culture. We show that SWGA can be applied to multiple *Leishmania* species residing in different host species, suggesting that this method is broadly useful in both experimental infection models and clinical studies. Finally, we show that parasite genome sequencing data generated by SWGA of skin biopsies collected from patients in Corte de Pedra, Bahia, Brazil, exhibit substantial genetic diversity and can be integrated with published whole genome data from parasite isolates to identify variants associated with high treatment failure rates observed in Northeast Brazil.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Leishmania braziliensis is the main cause of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Brazil. Due to limitations in culturing, it is important to study the parasite in a culture-independent manner. We use selective whole genome amplification (SWGA) to explore parasite genomic diversity directly from patient biopsies. This method is inexpensive and can be broadly used to generate parasite genome sequence data sampled from different *Leishmania* species infecting different mammalian hosts. We found high diversity among the *L. braziliensis* genomes from Bahia, Brazil, which correlated with geographic location. By integrating these data with publicly available genome sequences from other studies spanning four countries in South America, we identified variants unique to Northeast Brazil that may be linked to high regional rates of treatment failure.

INTRODUCTION

Leishmania constitutes a genus of intracellular protozoan parasites whose species are all transmitted by the bite of an infected phlebotomine sand fly and can lead to leishmaniasis. This neglected tropical disease has a spectrum of clinical presentations, including visceral and cutaneous, which vary in severity and are influenced by parasite species and strain genetics [1,2]. The most common form of disease caused by these parasites is cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL), which is usually characterized by a single or multiple localized skin ulcers. Moreover, up to 10% of patients can develop more severe forms of the disease, such as mucosal leishmaniasis (ML) and disseminated leishmaniasis (DL)[2]. Worldwide there are 700,000 to 1 million new cases of CL annually [3]. Although mortality is low for patients with CL, the disease is disfiguring, leads to chronic and systemic inflammation [4], and adversely impacts quality of life.

In Brazil, CL cases are largely caused by *Leishmania braziliensis.* Previous population genetics studies of this species have relied on low-resolution techniques, such as multilocus sequence typing and restriction fragment length polymorphism, both of which only consider a small set of genetic loci. Collectively, these studies have shown that the genetic diversity of *L. braziliensis* is higher in and around the Amazon rainforest than near the coast [5,6]. Moreover, recent whole genome sequencing studies have determined that *L. braziliensis* exhibits higher intraspecies genetic variation than other *Leishmania* species [7,8]. Variation in virulence, drug resistance, and clinical phenotype among strains has been observed in many parasites. A recent study using random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis showed that *L. braziliensis* genotypes are associated with disease presentation in patients [9]. Collectively, these studies underscore the importance of generating high-resolution genotyping data from *L. braziliensis* to identify genetic variants linked to disease severity and treatment outcome in CL patients.

We recently showed that *L. braziliensis* burden in patients is a strong predictor of inflammation, pathology, and poor response to chemotherapy, yet the parasite factors that contribute to differences in parasite load between patients have been difficult to address [10]. Technical and biological factors associated with culture adaptation of *L. braziliensis* complicate efforts to generate high-resolution genomic data from this important species. Unlike other *Leishmania* species, *L. braziliensis* is characterized by relatively slow growth and low parasitemia, which pose a major challenge to isolating parasites from patient lesions [11,12]. Even when parasites are successfully adapted to culture, some studies suggest that drug resistance markers identified from *in vitro* assays may not be driving drug resistance observed in the clinic, and the process of isolating parasites from primary patient samples may transiently alter chromosomal copy number [13–15]. There is an urgent need for culture-independent methods to circumvent these issues. Since parasitemia at the site of infection in the skin is extremely low during *L. braziliensis* infection, a direct metagenomic sequencing approach is not a viable culture-independent methodology. Enrichment of *Leishmania donovani* genomes from primary patient samples was recently published using Agilent SureSelect arrays which utilize custom RNA 'bait' sequences used to capture *Leishmania* genomic DNA for subsequent amplification [15]. However, this method is expensive, requires specialized reagents, and is specific to *L. donovani*.

In this study, we develop a selective whole genome amplification (SWGA) protocol to selectively amplify *L. braziliensis* directly from primary patient samples. SWGA is based on the use of organism-specific, short oligonucleotide primers and a high-fidelity, highly processive polymerase to preferentially amplify large segments of the target genome. Effective SWGA protocols have resulted in high-throughput sequencing-ready samples that are enriched for specific target microbial genomes and have been used to address biologically important questions in several microorganisms, including *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Wolbachia* spp., *Plasmodium* spp., *Neisseria meningitidis*, *Coxiella burnetii*, *Wuchereria bancrofti*, and *Treponema pallidum* [16–30]. The ability to carry out SWGA without specialized equipment or reagents makes it more feasible to implement this method in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) where laboratory resources may be limited [16,21]. Here we report the development of SWGA for *Leishmania* and show that this method enables robust amplification of *L. braziliensis* DNA from complex metagenomic samples obtained from patients and experimental mouse models of infection. We investigate SNPs and somy in the parasite genomes directly sequenced from primary patient samples. Ultimately, we reveal the population genetic structure of *L. braziliensis* in Corte de Pedra, Bahia, Brazil, and compare these genomes to previously published *L. braziliensis* genomes.

RESULTS

Validation of SWGA for *Leishmania in silico* and using synthetic controls

We used the improved SWGA algorithm, `swga2.0`, which employs machine learning to design primer sets that preferentially bind to a target genome, compared to one or more background genomes ([31]; see Methods). We used *L. braziliensis* (MHOM/BR/75/M2904 2019) as the target genome and the human genome as background. Genomes from *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus pyogenes* were also included as background since both are skin commensals that we previously reported to be common members of the dysbiotic skin microbiome on *L. braziliensis* lesions [32]. We calculated the expected number of perfect match binding sites – across a range of parasite and host genomes – for each of the 23, 8-mer primer sequences designed by the SWGA algorithm **(Supplementary Table 1)**. This *in silico* analysis showed that our SWGA primers had a median of 15 (8.4 - 27.5) 'hits', or exact matches, per million base pairs (Mbp) of the *L. braziliensis* genome and a median of 0.22 (0.16 - 0.60) hits per Mbp of the human genome (**Figure 1A**) – a nearly 60-fold (27- to 100-fold) enrichment in predicted binding to the parasite genome compared to host **(Figure 1B)**. We next tested whether our SWGA primers would be predicted to work when applied to other *Leishmania* species and/or when other host species were involved. Multiple species of *Leishmania* cause disease in humans, and several infect canines that are sympatric with humans. In addition, many *Leishmania* species are used to experimentally infect rodent models for research. *L. major, L. donovani, L. infantum*, and *L. amazonensis* all exhibited similar results with our SWGA primers as *L. braziliensis*, with median hits per Mbp of 16.8, 15.5, 16.0, and 16.3, respectively **(Figure 1A)**. Similarly, when our primers were tested against the mouse or canine reference genomes, we observed 53-fold and 34-fold enrichment, respectively, of predicted primer binding to the *L. braziliensis* genome over these hosts **(Figure 1B)**. Taken together, these *in silico* data suggest that primers designed using SWGA are valuable in a wide range of contexts, from natural infection of humans and canines to experimental infections of mice.



Figure 1. SWGA primer design and evaluation. (A) The number of exact match 'hits' per megabase (Mbp) for each of the 23 identified SWGA primers against *Leishmania* and host reference genomes, and (B) the fold difference in exact matches against *L. braziliensis* compared to human, mouse, or canine genomes. (C) Heatmap showing percent reads aligning to *L. braziliensis* for each of the four SWGA primer sets used to carry out SWGA on known ratios of *L. braziliensis* DNA spiked into human genomic DNA (0.1 and 1% final parasite DNA). (D) The number of reads is shown in relation to the percentage of the parasite genome covered at ≥1x (blue line), 5x (red line) and 10x (green line). Vertical dashed line indicates a sequencing effort of 100 x 10^6 150bp paired-end reads.

L. braziliensis is known to be present at low levels in skin lesions. Less than 1% of total reads from RNA-seq studies of lesions map to the parasite [10]. To evaluate the efficacy of our SWGA assay in a controlled setting that mimics patient samples, we prepared purified human DNA spiked with either 1% or 0.1% (w/w) purified *L. braziliensis* genomic DNA. Using high-throughput sequencing, we evaluated the ability of four separate SWGA primer sets, each consisting of 10 SWGA primers, to selectively amplify parasite DNA in these synthetic samples. After a 16-hour isothermal SWGA reaction, we found that multiple primer sets resulted in substantial amplification of the synthetic samples. Primer set 1 (PS1) and PS4 yielded the best results, achieving ≥60% of parasite-mapping reads in samples that started with only 1% or 0.1%

L. braziliensis DNA **(Figure 1C)**. We next examined the depth and breadth of coverage following SWGA of these synthetic samples. For each sample, sequencing data from individual SWGA reactions (PS1, PS2, PS3, PS4) were combined and mapped to the parasite genome, and depth and breadth of coverage were evaluated at different sequencing efforts. In the 1% spike-in control, after SWGA, a sequencing effort of ~100M paired-end reads **(Figure 1D, top, vertical dashed line)** yielded 10x coverage across nearly 90% of the parasite genome, and 5x coverage across over 94% of the genome. Similarly, when the synthetic sample containing only 0.1% parasite DNA was used, the same sequencing effort resulted in 10x coverage across over 70% of the parasite genome and 5x coverage across 84% of the genome **(Figure 1D, bottom)**. These data show that even when *L. braziliensis* DNA is present at incredibly low levels, and in the presence of abundant contaminating human DNA, SWGA yields an excellent breadth of coverage across the 32Mbp parasite genome.

Validation of SWGA assay on primary human and mouse samples

We next tested our SWGA protocol on primary patient samples. DNA extracted from skin punch biopsies from 16 *L. braziliensis* patients was subjected to high-throughput sequencing before and after SWGA. Reads from these pre- and post-SWGA samples for each patient were mapped to the parasite genome to evaluate depth and breadth of coverage. Direct sequencing of DNA extracted from lesions showed that less than 0.5% of reads mapped to the parasite before SWGA **(Figure 2A)**, consistent with previous reports of extremely low parasite burden in *L. braziliensis* lesions [10]. However, following SWGA, these same samples showed dramatic increases in the proportion of parasite-mapping reads, ranging from 2% to 55%, with over half of the patient samples (9/16) having ≥20% of reads mapping to the parasite **(Figure 2A, dashed line)**.



Figure 2. In vivo validation of SWGA for *Leishmania*. Percentage of reads mapping to *L. braziliensis* genome in DNA from (A) patient lesion biopsies or (B) infected mouse ears (n=3 animals infected with *L. major*, triangles; n=5 animals infected with *L. braziliensis*, circles), sequenced before (pre) and after (post) SWGA. Data shown are from the SWGA primer set the yielded the best amplification for each sample. (C) Genome coverage for SWGA data from a single patient sample (patient #7, blue point from panel A). (D) Coverage of four selected *L. braziliensis* chromosomes in SWGA data from a single patient (#7; blue lines) compared to whole genome sequencing (WGS) of pure, cultured *L. braziliensis* (orange lines). Data shown in panel C and D are merged from all SWGA primer sets to maximize coverage.

Next, we selected SWGA data from a single patient sample **(#7; Figure 2A, blue point)** and measured coverage across the parasite genome **(Figure 2C)**, which showed that over 80% of the genome was covered at 10x depth by SWGA, and over 50% of the genome at 50x. Based on these data, we reasoned that SWGA may be a useful tool for monitoring parasite genotypes linked to drug resistance and disease phenotypes. SWGA data from the same patient sample was evaluated for coverage across the full length of each of the 35 parasite chromosomes **(Supplemental Figure 1)**. We focused our initial analysis on chromosomes 10, 23, and 31 since they encode the GP63, MRPA, and AQP1 genes, respectively, which have previously been linked to drug resistance in other *Leishmania* species [33–36]. In addition, chromosome 31 is known to have extra copies that have been linked to parasite adaptation to stress [37–39]. Lastly, we examined chromosome 28 because it has been linked to atypical manifestations of CL [40,41]. We observed over 10x coverage across most of the length of each of these chromosomes following SWGA **(Figure 2D, blue lines)**. Regions of poor coverage in our SWGA sample often corresponded to ends of chromosomes or to regions **(Figure 2D, brackets)** that were poorly covered in whole genome sequencing (WGS) of pure cultures of *L. braziliensis* **(Figure 2D, orange lines)**. This result likely reflects low complexity regions that pose a challenge to genome sequencing for *L. braziliensis,* rather than issues specific to SWGA. These data

indicate that SWGA directly applied to primary patient samples generates high-quality data suitable for high-resolution parasite genotyping.

Based on our *in silico* analysis **(Figure 1A-B)**, we predicted that our SWGA primer sets would be effective in other species of *Leishmania*, as well as, in other host backgrounds. To formally test this, we infected mice with either *L. braziliensis* (same target parasite species, but different host species background) or *L. major* (different parasite and different background) and carried out SWGA on DNA extracted from whole ears recovered from these mice. Like human primary samples, tissues from experimentally infected mice have nearly undetectable levels of parasite sequences prior to SWGA **(Figure 2B)**. After SWGA, however, the proportion of parasite reads increased to over 20% in one animal infected with *L. braziliensis* and three animals infected with *L. major* **(Figure 2B, circles and triangles, respectively)**. These data are consistent with the notion that *L. major* has a higher parasite burden than *L. braziliensis*.

Somy analysis with SWGA

Leishmania parasites exhibit mosaic aneuploidy, and it has been suggested that modulating chromosomal copy number provides the parasite with a mechanism for regulating gene dosage in the absence of promoter-driven gene expression [42,43]. Previous attempts to use allele frequency to estimate somy of *L. infantum* were unsuccessful due to a low number of heterozygous SNPs in this parasite species [44]. Since *L. braziliensis* has been reported to have a higher number of SNPs than other *Leishmania* species [7], we tested whether the alternate allele read depth proportion (AARDP), as determined by SWGA, could be used to infer chromosome copy number. We first examined AARDP in DNA isolated from pure *L. braziliensis* cultures and subjected to either traditional WGS or SWGA **(Figure 3A and 3B, respectively)**. Sharp peaks in the WGS sample centered over an AARDP of 0.5 indicated that chromosomes 10, 23, and 28 were disomic. In contrast, three distinct peaks were observed for chromosome 31 centered on an allele frequency of 0.25, 0.50, and 0.75, consistent with multiple previous reports that this chromosome is supernumerary, and potentially tetrasomic [37]. SWGA of the same pure culture closely resembled the WGS data, albeit with allele frequency peaks that were slightly less sharp **(Figure 3B)**. In contrast to the data from pure cultures, AARDP analysis of SWGA data from three separate patient samples showed broad peak profiles, making it difficult to determine somy **(Figure 3C)**. The high amount of host contamination present in our patient samples could impact the quality of the allele frequency data. To evaluate this, we turned back to our synthetic controls **(Figure 1C-D)**. AARDP analyses of SWGA data from the synthetic controls showed reasonably sharp peaks when 1% parasite DNA was used, but greatly diminished signal with 0.1% parasite DNA, suggesting that SWGA generates data of sufficient quality for somy determination in *L. braziliensis* but that host DNA contamination impacts the ability to determine allele frequency from SWGA experiments. Our patient samples have <0.5% parasite DNA prior to SWGA **(Figure 2A)** and are therefore more similar to the 0.1% synthetic control data.



Figure 3. Allele frequency determined by SWGA. Alternate Allele Read Depth Proportion (AARDP) histograms for *L. braziliensis* chromosomes 10, 23, 28, and 31, for (A) whole genome sequencing (WGS) of pure cultured parasites, (B) SWGA of pure cultures, (C) SWGA on three patient samples from Figure 2A, or (D) synthetic controls consisting of 1% or 0.1% parasite DNA spiked into human DNA. Peaks centered on 0.5 indicate disomic chromosomes, while peaks at approximately 0.25, 0.5 and 0.75 indicate trisomic chromosomes.

A high-throughput screen of patient samples using SWGA

Routine diagnosis of *L. braziliensis* infection is carried out by collection of a punch biopsy from the site of the skin lesion followed by DNA extraction and parasite-specific PCR. We reasoned that this original DNA extract from a diagnostic biopsy, which is often archived for retesting purposes, could be sufficient for large-scale generation of parasite genomes by SWGA. To test this, we devised a screening approach that allowed us to scale our SWGA assay by an order of magnitude. 165 archived patient samples, of which 51 were intact skin biopsies and 114 were diagnostic DNA samples, were acquired from the health clinic in Corte de Pedra, Brazil. We anticipated that successful SWGA reactions would be positively correlated with parasite burden, therefore, our screen involves first prioritizing samples for SWGA using a parasite-specific qPCR [45]**(Figure 4A)**. Based on qPCR results, 66 patient samples with the highest parasite burden were selected for SWGA **(Supplementary Table 2)**. SWGA reactions were then arrayed in 96-well plates using different SWGA primer sets **(Figure 4B)**. Since PS2 and PS3 performed more poorly on synthetic samples **(Figure 1C)**, we chose to only use these primer sets in second-round SWGA reactions that had first undergone an initial round of SWGA with PS1 or PS4. These 'nested' SWGA reactions aim to amplify greater breadth of the parasite genome. Following SWGA, sequencing libraries were prepared, pooled, and subjected to shallow sequencing **(Figure 4C)**. For each patient sample, all SWGA reactions yielding ≥20% reads mapping to the parasite from a shallow sequencing run were considered successful. The corresponding libraries were pooled **(Figure 4D)** and subjected to resequencing **(Figure 4E)**. This screen of 66 patient samples yielded parasite genomes from 18 patients (27% success rate) with a median percentage of the parasite genome covered at ≥10x of 86.6% and a median genome coverage of 38x **(Table 1)**. Given broad coverage across each of the 18 parasite genomes



Figure 4. Scalable SWGA profiling of patient samples. (A) qPCR is used to prioritize samples that have the highest parasite burden and, therefore, the greatest likelihood of success for SWGA. (B) SWGA is carried out in 96-well plates using multiple primer sets and primer set combinations (plate rows) for each patient (plate columns). (C) Shallow sequencing is used to determine which samples showed the best amplification by SWGA. (D) All successful SWGA reactions are pooled for each patient and (E) subjected to deep sequencing.

generated by SWGA, we next sought to call single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertions/deletions (INDELs) against the reference *L. braziliensis* genome. Across all 18 SWGA-generated genomes we observed a median of 85,032 SNPs and 17,857 INDELs, a finding that is consistent with the number of SNPs/INDELs previously reported in genome sequences from cultured isolates of *L. braziliensis* [7].

Table 1. Selective whole genome amplification of *L. braziliensis* from primary patient samples.

Patient ID	Total # of reads (millions)	Reads mapping to *L. braziliensis* (millions)	Breadth of coverage at 10x (%)	Number of SNPs	Number of INDELs	Mean genome coverage	Municipality
7	121.79	52.67	89.8	91947	18063	48	Teolandia
8	143.73	52.26	89.8	86158	17742	58	Teolandia
9	96.58	46.66	78.9	76775	15884	27	Teolandia
11	112.83	65.41	86.8	82875	17852	36	Wenceslau Guimarães
13	87.06	49.94	82.6	81576	16761	34	Presidente Tancredo Neves
15	101.86	49.47	84.4	80523	17418	38	Presidente Tancredo Neves
17	198.61	29.36	89.1	89145	19842	31	Nilo Peçanha
21	92.05	32.46	87.5	90188	18446	39	Valença
24	176.78	40.84	88.1	84495	20746	38	Wenceslau Guimarães
31	66.34	45.50	88.7	86391	17832	42	Teolandia
36	47.91	25.80	82.4	83444	17093	32	Nilo Peçanha
39	143.65	69.89	80.8	78018	18244	27	Wenceslau Guimarães
40	151.37	57.36	77.3	73011	16134	26	Teolandia
56	122.04	49.61	87.6	85569	20017	42	Ubaira
58	93.00	49.44	86.0	85759	17862	39	Valença
61	95.96	24.31	86.7	91811	18743	38	Wenceslau Guimarães
64	95.10	42.91	86.5	90288	17969	38	Taperoá
66	123.36	66.22	69.8	74259	14773	18	Wenceslau Guimarães
Median (± SD)	107.35 (± 37.48)	49.46 (± 13.22)	86.60 (± 5.22)	85032 (± 5779)	17857 (± 1468)	38 (± 9)	

Integrating SWGA and WGS genomes for population genomics of *L. braziliensis* in South America.

Several *L. braziliensis* genomes have been generated from cultured parasite isolates, which prompted us to ask whether SWGA generates genomes of sufficient quality to compare with isolate data for large-scale population genomic studies. We carried out an integrated analysis of our 18 *L. braziliensis* SWGA genomes together with 41 publicly available *L. braziliensis* genomes generated from cultured isolates, including 4 from Bahia, Brazil [46], 10 from Pernambuco, Brazil [7], 1 from Rondônia, Brazil [47], 18 from Peru [47], 6 from Colombia [8], and 2 from Bolivia [8,47]. Collectively, these 59 genomes span a wide geographic range **(Figure 5A)**, with our SWGA samples contributing genomes from areas of Bahia, Brazil that were not previously covered by other studies **(Figure 5B)**. Principal component analysis (PCA) of SNP data from these genomes shows clear separation by geographic location **(Figure 5C)**, with *L. braziliensis* genomes from Brazil clustering tightly together **(Figure 5C, upper right)** but distinct from Colombian, Peruvian, and Bolivian isolates. Two genomes from a forested region of Brazil appear distinct from other Brazil samples [7], while a single genome from Rondônia in Western Brazil – bordering Bolivia – clustered with the Peru/Bolivia/Colombia isolates **(Figure 5C, lower right)**. These data support the hypothesis that geography influences population genetic structure in *L. braziliensis*. Upon closer examination of the dense cluster of highly similar genome sequences from Northeastern Brazil **(Figure 5C, inset)**, we observed a separation between SWGA sequences from Bahia (inset; triangles) and those from Pernambuco (inset; circles). To confirm that this separation was not an artifact of using SWGA, we included two control samples in which genome sequence data was generated from the same cultured laboratory clone of *L. braziliensis* from Brazil by either traditional WGS **(Figure 5C, inset; black circle)** or SWGA **(white triangle)**. These two data points are indistinguishable from each other on PCA and cluster with other genomes from Brazil, demonstrating that the SWGA method itself is not likely to be a significant contributor to the variation observed in this analysis.

To view the genomic variation for these 59 genomes with more clarity, we plotted the first four principal components – which collectively account for over 38% of the total variance – separately, allowing us to see how each sample contributes to each principal component **(Figure 5D).** When viewed in this way, PC1 clearly separates two of the Colombia isolates from all other genomes, consistent with a high number of SNPs previously described for these samples[8]. PC2 separates Brazil samples from all other samples, regardless of whether they are from SWGA or WGS of cultured isolates. PC3 separates the two WGS samples from Paudalho, Pernambuco, Brazil, from all others, while PC4 separates samples originating from Colombia versus Peru. Collectively, these data point to country and, to a much smaller extent



Figure 5. Integrating SWGA and WGS genomes for population genomics. (A) Map showing all 59 samples, from this study and four previously published reports, included in the analysis. (B) Zoomed view of Bahia, Brazil showing region covered by samples from this study. White point indicates position of field hospital where patients were seen. (C-D) Principal component analysis of SNP data from 59 genomes, colored by country of origin. (E) Maximum likelihood tree constructed using 994393 variants from 59 *L. braziliensis genomes* and the *L. guyanensis* outgroup, compared to the *L. braziliensis* reference. Branch length of outgroup was shortened for figure preparation. Tree is rooted using the *L. guyanensis* outgroup.

regional differences, as being associated with genetic variation in *L. braziliensis*. Our data show that integrating these data opens the door to comparing SWGA data in the context of a growing number of WGS datasets for *L. braziliensis*.

Phylogenetic analysis supports the hypothesis that both the forested Pernambuco, Brazil samples and two samples from Colombia are quite unique **(Figure 5E)**. Similar to the PCA, the tree shows that the sample from Western Brazil is more similar to samples from Peru and Bolivia. The SWGA samples are most closely related to the public data also from Bahia, Brazil followed by the non-forest Pernambuco, Brazil samples. The phylogenetic tree further supports the conclusion that SWGA and WGS genomes can be compared as our cultured laboratory clone of *L. braziliensis* falls within the same clade with a high bootstrap value.

Identifying variants unique to Northeast Brazil where treatment failure rates are high

Treatment failure rates are high in Northeastern (NE) Brazil [48] and our SWGA genomes cover a region in NE Brazil not well represented by previous WGS studies. This, together with the fact that many of our SWGA genomes (15/18) came from patients who failed therapy with pentavalent antimony **(Supplementary Table 2)**, prompted us to ask whether our data could be used to identify parasite variants unique to NE Brazil, and therefore, potentially linked to treatment failure. Toward this end, we carried out a systematic identification and annotation of genomic variants from all 59 *L. braziliensis* genomes available, yielding over 600,000 high-quality variants, including nearly 110,000 missense and 634 frame-shift variants **(Figure 6A,** column 1**)**. Over 120,000 of these variants were present in our SWGA genomes **(Figure 6A,** column 2**)**, and our data identified 5,812 novel variants not previously observed in other studies including 1,204 missense and 277 frame-shift variants **(Figure 6A,** column 3**)**. Notably, nearly half of the total *L. braziliensis* frame-shift mutations were contributed by our SWGA data.

Next, we focused our analysis on two types of variants, frame-shift and missense mutations, since they have a high potential for impacting protein sequence. In particular, we were interested in these variants when present in NE Brazil – including our 18 SWGA genomes and 14 genomes from two other studies [7,46] – but absent from genomes collected from Colombia, Bolivia, Western Brazil, and Peru **(Figure 6A,** column 4**)**. 316 frame-shift mutations were found to be specific to NE Brazil and occurred in 303 genes, of which 51% (154) were annotated as conserved hypothetical genes (**Supplementary Table 3)**. Gene ontology enrichment analysis of the remaining 149 genes revealed enrichment of functional terms associated with post-translational modifications, including protein phosphorylation (2.7 fold enrichment; FDR = 0.03) **(Figure 6B, left)**. In addition, we identified 13,831 missense mutations specific to NE Brazil. We reasoned that many of these variants were likely observed at low frequency (only found in one or a few samples), thus we further refined this list by selecting for variants that were observed at high frequency in NE Brazil but not elsewhere (see methods). This analysis yielded 1916 variants. To focus on genes with the potential to be most impacted by these mutations, we selected only genes that had ≥ 2 of these missense mutations, resulting in a list of 347 genes, of which 52% (195) were conserved hypothetical proteins (**Supplementary Table 3)**. GO analysis of the remaining 152 genes showed significant enrichment of ubiquitin transferase activity (> 6 fold enrichment; FDR = 0.01) **(Figure 6B, right).** Included amongst this list were 12 genes with putative kinase domains, 4 SPRY-domain/HECT-domain-containing (ubiquitin-transferase) proteins (LbrM.32.2.004170, LbrM.13.2.001230, LbrM.07.2.000290, and LbrM.35.2.006640), one ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase (LbrM.16.2.000720), one putative E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme (LbrM.34.2.002970), and two putative cullin protein neddylation domain-containing proteins (LbrM.16.2.001260 and LbrM.25.2.001240) (**Supplementary Table 3)**.



		S. America (total)	SWGA (total)	SWGA (new)	NE. Brazil
	Quality ≥ 500	608,713	120,601	5,812	71,092
	Missense	109,264	20,724	1,204	13,831
	Frame-shift	634	382	277	316
a	This study (n=18)	+	+	+	+
b	de Figueiredo et al., 2020 (n=10)	+	-	-	+
c	Alves-Ferreira et al., 2015 (n=4)	+	-	-	+
d	Patino et al., 2020 (n=7)	+	-	-	-
e	Van den Broeck et al., 2020 (n=20)	+	-	-	-

Figure 6. Identification of variants unique to Northeastern Brazil. (A) Table showing variants identified by integrated analysis of WGS and SWGA genomes (top), and studies included (+) or excluded (-) from the analysis (bottom). Venn diagrams indicate how each of the five studies (labeled a-d) were used in the integrated analysis to generate the variants shown in table column above. (B) Bubble chart showing results of Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment for Molecular Function terms associated with 149 genes containing frame-shift variants (left) or 152 genes identified with high-frequency missense mutations in Northeast (NE) Brazil (right). All terms shown were associated with ≥ 5 genes. FC = fold change; FDR = false discovery rate (Benjamini-Hochberg correction). (C) Four representative parasite genes that were enriched for high-frequency missense mutations in genomes from Northeast Brazil.

Five RNA binding proteins were also identified in this analysis (LbrM.18.2.000200, LbrM.18.2.001450, LbrM.24.2.001860, LbrM.29.2.001510, LbrM.30.2.001230, and LbrM.33.2.001710). In some cases, these genes had high frequency variants both within and outside of NE Brazil, but present at different locations in the gene **(Figure 6C and 6D)**. For other genes, high frequency missense mutations were only observed in NE Brazil **(Figure 6E and 6F)**. Collectively, these results raise the possibility that *L. braziliensis* strains circulating in NE Brazil may undergo unique post-transcriptional or post-translational modifications that could, in turn, increase resistance to chemotherapy.

DISCUSSION

The slow growth of *L. braziliensis*, together with low parasite burden present at the site of the lesion and relative scarcity of modern laboratory infrastructure in areas endemic for CL, have made it difficult to isolate, culture, and sequence a diverse range of parasite strains for population genomic studies. One recent strategy for addressing these challenges in *L. donovani* used custom biotinylated 'bait' sequences and streptavidin-conjugated beads (Agilent SureSelect technology) to enrich for parasite DNA in samples from visceral leishmaniasis patients [15]. However, the relatively high cost of this assay coupled with the need to redesign new baits for different species of *Leishmania* limit more widespread adoption of this approach. The data presented here show that simple pools consisting of ten 8-mer primers can be used to selectively amplify *L. braziliensis* genomes – and likely *L. major* – from complex primary patient samples. Aside from these oligonucleotide primers, only the Phi29 polymerase is needed and the SWGA proceeds as an isothermal room-temperature reaction, bypassing the need for a thermocycler. Furthermore, since SWGA is an amplification-based protocol, only small amounts of total DNA are needed. Taken together, our data show that SWGA is a low-cost method to generate high-quality genomes even in resource-limited areas.

Although we successfully amplified 18 parasite genomes from primary patient samples, this represented only a 27% success rate from the 66 samples we attempted to amplify with SWGA. One open question is how the efficiency of the SWGA method can be improved so that a higher number of patient samples yield parasite genomes. Host-specific restriction enzymes [20,49] may offer one appealing solution for *Leishmania*, particularly since *L. donovani* reportedly lacks C-5 DNA methylation, potentially opening the doors to using methylation-sensitive restriction enzymes to preferentially degrade host DNA [50]. Based on our data from SWGA of synthetic controls **(Figure 2)**, primer sets 1 and 4 yielded the greatest percent of reads aligning to *L. braziliensis,* while primer sets 2 and 3 performed more poorly. Interestingly, sets 1 and 4 share more primers in common with each other, than they do with sets 2 and 3. Thus, we could use the sequences in sets 1 and 4 to refine the SWGA algorithm to identify new primers that may demonstrate improved performance. Despite these limitations, SWGA offers several exciting potential uses for *Leishmania* genetics. The Phi29 polymerase used in SWGA is highly processive and can produce amplicons up to 100kb or more in length, potentially allowing long-read sequencing of SWGA reactions to resolve complex regions in the parasite genome. We expect that SWGA will make capturing genomes of *Leishmania* parasites from sympatric mammalian hosts (e.g. human and canine) and insect vectors all from the same geographic area relatively straightforward, thus empowering the design of sophisticated population genetic studies.

Our 18 SWGA genomes included 15 from patients who failed treatment after a single round of chemotherapy with antimony **(Supplementary Table 1).** This bias in favor of successful SWGA of parasite genomes from patients who fail therapy is likely due to the higher parasite burden observed in these patients [10], thus putting the total amount of parasite DNA above a threshold for successful SWGA. Understanding why some patients have higher parasite load than others, prior to initiating chemotherapy, may help identify the root causes of treatment failure in this disease. There are many

possible explanations, including variable parasite load in the insect vector, variability in host immunity, differential host immune evasion by the parasite, differing parasite replication rates, and more. All of these potential explanations could involve parasite strain genetics, yet prior to this study little was known about how *L. braziliensis* strains in NE Brazil – where failure rates are high – compared to those observed elsewhere in South America. Future studies to formally identify parasite variants associated with treatment outcome will require some consideration for how to successfully obtain genomes from patients who cure and, therefore, have the lowest parasite load prior to treatment. One potential solution would be to perform SWGA on skin biopsies collected from patients early in the course of disease, before the development of an ulcer. Previous studies have shown that this early stage of the disease is when parasite burden and failure rates are highest [51]. Notably, our screening approach **(Figure 3)**, is scalable and could be used to tackle this challenge by rapidly testing many different samples and patients to identify the optimal setting to generate genomes from very low burden infections.

By integrating our SWGA genomes with public WGS data, we were able to carry out a population genetic study of *L. braziliensis* that spanned four S. American countries. The high-frequency variants we identified in NE Brazil were enriched in protein kinases, RNA-binding proteins, and ubiquitin-transferases. We hypothesize that these mutations may impact RNA or protein stability in the parasites. Interestingly, *Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma* parasites lack traditional promoter-based gene regulation and thus rely heavily on post-transcriptional and post-translational mechanisms for modulating gene expression in the face of environmental stressors and cues [52,53]. For example, RNA binding proteins in *Trypanosomes* are critical for differentiation of the parasite through its lifecycle [54,55]. Post-translational modifications have also been linked to drug resistance in *Leishmania*, as evidenced by the discovery that calcium-dependent protein kinase 1 (CDPK1) is important in resistance to paramomycin [56]. In addition, overexpression of translational machinery, such as ribosomal proteins, is sufficient to reduce sensitivity of *L. donovani* to multiple drugs, including antimony [57]. Collectively, our data constitute a high priority set of variants and genes that could be experimentally explored in future studies to identify mechanisms of drug resistance in *Leishmania braziliensis*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data and code availability

Raw reads for all 18 SWGA genomes are available on the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under accession number PRJNA875085. All code used for analysis of depth and breadth of coverage in SWGA samples, and annotation, analysis, and visualization of variants is available as a fully reproducible dockerized code "capsule" archived on Code Ocean (DOI pending).

Human and mouse sample collection

Skin lesion biopsies were obtained with informed consent from cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) patients seen at the Health Post of Corte de Pedra, Bahia, Brazil, in accordance with local ethical guidelines (Ethical Committee of the Federal University of Bahia Medical School, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil and the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Review Board). All samples were collected prior to initiating treatment. A 4-mm diagnostic punch biopsy was collected from the border of the lesion of CL patients and DNA was extracted using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega). CL diagnosis was determined by a positive skin lesion PCR for *L. braziliensis* and a positive intradermal skin test with *Leishmania* antigen. These diagnostic DNA samples were the same ones used in this study. For some patients, an additional biopsy was collected and stored in RNAlater (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for shipment. Biopsies were homogenized, and DNA was extracted using the MP Bio FastPrep Tissue Homogenizer and Qiagen Blood and Tissue kit according to the manufacturer's instructions.

For mouse experiments, *L. braziliensis* (MHOM/BR/01/BA788 strain) and *L. major* (Friedlin strain) parasites were grown in Schneider's insect medium (GIBCO) supplemented with 20% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Atlanta Biologicals) and 2 mM glutamine (Sigma). Metacyclic promastigotes were enriched from stationary-phase parasite cultures by density gradient centrifugation before infection as previously described [58]. Briefly, parasites were suspended in PBS and layered on a step gradient of 40% and 12% Ficoll 400 (Sigma) before centrifuging at 2400 rpm for 10 minutes. C57BL/6 mice were infected intradermally in the ear with $1x10^6$ *L. braziliensis* or *L. major*. At the peak of ear swelling (~4-6 weeks

post-infection), mice were humanely euthanized, ears were collected, homogenized, and DNA extracted as described above for human samples. All animal work was carried out in accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, University of Pennsylvania.

SWGA primer design and validation

We used the program `swga` [16] to generate a list of 172 candidate primers that preferentially bind to the *Leishmania braziliensis* reference genome (MHOM/BR/75/M2904 2019) over a complex background genome that consisted of human (GCA_000001405.28), *Staphylococcus aureus* (GCA_000746505.1), and *Streptococcus pyogenes* (GCA_000006785.2). We scored these candidate primers and designed primer sets using an updated machine-learning-guided and thermodynamically-principled version of the SWGA algorithm, `swga2.0` [31](software available at https://anaconda.org/janedwivedi/soapswga). Overall, 23 unique 8-mer primers with the highest evaluation scores calculated from `swga2.0` were generated (Integrated DNA Technologies). The last two bases of the primers were phosphorothioated, which prevents primer degradation by phi29 polymerase [21]. *In silico* validation was carried out by counting exact matches for each SWGA primer against a range of target and background genomes using the Unix *grep* command, and hits per Mbp and the fold difference in predicted binding sites were calculated and visualized using Prism 9. The target genomes included *L. braziliensis* (see above), *L. major* (TriTrypDB-55_LmajorFriedlin), *L. donovani* (TriTrypDB-46_LdonovaniBPK282A1), *L. infantum* (TriTrypDB-56_LinfantumJPCM5), and *L. amazonensis* (TriTrypDB-56_LamazonensisMHOMBR71973M2269). Background genomes included human (Homo_sapiens.GRCh38), *Mus musculus* (GCF_000001635.27_GRCm39), and *Canis lupus familiaris* (Canis_lupus_familiaris.CanFam3.1). The human, mouse, and canine reference genomes were filtered to only include the autosomal chromosomes, sex chromosomes, and mitochondrial DNA for the analysis. Primers were grouped into four sets of 10 primers each (**Supplementary Table 1**). Genomic DNA extracted from human foreskin fibroblasts (HFF) cells and an axenic culture of *L. braziliensis* promastigotes using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen) were mixed to generate 1% and 0.1% *L. braziliensis*:human DNA (w/w).

SWGA on primary patient and mouse samples

DNA from human or mouse samples was quantified using a Qubit 3.0 fluorometer. qPCR was performed on a ViiA 7 machine (Applied Biosciences) using SsoAdvanced Universal Probes Supermix (BioRad) for both *Leishmania* kinetoplast DNA [45] and the human 18S rRNA gene (Biomeme Inc). Ct values for *Leishmania* were normalized using the human 18S rRNA gene to prioritize lesions with the highest parasite burden for SWGA. All qPCR reactions were carried out in duplicate. SWGA was performed by combining ~50 ng of the sample DNA, 3.5mM of an SWGA primer set, 1x phi29 buffer, 30 U of phi29 polymerase enzyme (New England Biolabs), 4mM dNTPs (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1% bovine serum albumin and nuclease-free water in a total volume of 50µL. Thermocycler cycling conditions included a 1 hr ramp down step (35°C to 30°C; 10 min per degree), 16 hr amplification step at 30°C, 10 min denaturing step at 65°C and hold at 4°C. For second-round SWGA reactions, ~50 ng of first-round SWGA product was subjected to a second round of SWGA with a different primer set. Ten ng of first-round or second-round SWGA product was used to generate libraries using the Hackflex [59] protocol and subjected to shallow sequencing on an Illumina NextSeq 500 or NextSeq 2000 to produce 1-4 million 75 or 150 single-end reads per SWGA reaction. Reads were trimmed with `Trimmomatic` [60], aligned to the appropriate *Leishmania* reference genome using `Bowtie2` [61], and summarized with `MultiQC` [62]. All SWGA reactions that showed >20% reads aligning to *L. braziliensis* were pooled by patient and subsequently resequenced to generate ≥100 million paired-end 150 bp reads. Genome coverage was estimated based on the median gene coverage, excluding genes with outlier coverage, removed with iterative Grubbs' test.

Variant calling, phylogeny, and somy analysis

Sequencing data from different SWGA primer sets were combined for each sample using the Unix *cat* command. In addition to data from the 18 SWGA samples, publicly available raw sequence reads were also obtained for 41 *L. braziliensis* cultured isolates from Colombia, Bolivia, Brazil, and Peru [7,8,46,47] that were subjected to whole genome sequencing (WGS). Reads were trimmed with `Trimmomatic` [60] (filtering parameters: LEADING:3 TRAILING:3

SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 MINLEN:36) and mapped to the *L. braziliensis* MHOM/BR/75/M2904 2019 reference genome using `bwa-mem v.0.7.17` [63]. Alignments were reported in bam files, which were sorted, and indexed with `SAMtools` [64], and reads were tagged with a sample ID using `Picard Tools` *AddOrReplaceReadGroups* [65] similar to previously described [66]. Genome coverage was estimated using `BEDtools` *genomecov* command with 100 bp windows [67]. The percent of the *L. braziliensis* genome covered at ≥1x, 5x, and 10x was calculated from the resulting bed file. SNPs and indels were called using `The Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) v.4.1.0.0` [68] *HaplotypeCaller* and `Freebayes` v.1.3.2 [69] in 'discovery' mode, with a minimum alternative allele count set to ≥5. Only variants found by both methods were retained for downstream analysis. The SWGA and WGS data were merged and sorted with `BCFtools` v.1.9 [70] and regenotyped using `Freebayes`. A bed file that contained only regions with ≥10x coverage in at least 14 out of the 18 SWGA samples was used to filter the SWGA and public WGS data for population and phylogenetic analysis.

For phylogenetic analysis, biallelic sites were selected with `BCFtools` [70], and variant calls were filtered by quality (QUAL>500) with `VCFtools` [71] and by linkage disequilibrium with `Plink` v.1.9 [72] (parameters used: r^2=0.5, step size=1, window size=10kb). Principal component analysis was carried out with `Plink v.1.9`. For phylogenetic tree generation, *L. guyanensis* MCAN/CO/1985/CL-085 (ERR205773) was mapped to the *L. braziliensis* reference as above to be used as an outgroup to root the tree. Sequences were extracted from the merged SWGA, public, and outgroup variant call format (VCF) file with `vcf2phylip` v2.8 [73] and a maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis was performed using `IQ-TREE` v.2.0.6 [74] (parameters used: *ModelFinder Plus*, and 10000 bootstrap replicates for SH-aLRT). The resulting tree and geospatial data were visualized with `Microreact` [75]. Genomic variants were annotated with `snpEff` [76], which was configured using a custom database prepared from the *L. braziliensis* genome fasta file, coding sequence (CDS) fasta file, Gene Transfer Format (GFF) file, and codon usage data, all of which were obtained from TriTypDB.org (release 58) [77,78]. Filtering of variants by quality and type was carried out using `SnpSift` [79], and comparisons of variants between any two sets of samples were carried out using the *isec* function from `BCFtools` [70]. For high-frequency variants, `snpSift` was used to identify only missense mutations with an allele count greater than the number of samples in the group (n=32 for NE Brazil, n=27 for non-NE Brazil). For example, since *Leishmania* is diploid, an allele count of 32 in a group of 32 samples could be achieved if all samples were heterozygous for a mutant allele or if half of the samples were homozygous. Data visualization was carried out using R/Bioconductor [80,81], the `vcfR` package [82], `ggplot2` [83], DataGraph v4.7.1, Prism 9, and Sketch v91. Chromosomal somy estimation was based on the proportion of reads in the alternate allele in biallelic heterozygous positions. VCF files were imported in R using `vcfR` and only biallelic positions with at least 5 reads in each allele were kept. For each chromosome, the proportion of reads corresponding to the alternate allele in each SNP position was obtained and their distribution was used to infer the chromosomal somy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded in part by grants from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (5R01AI143790, 5T32AI007532-24, and 5R01AI149456-03). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Burza S, Croft SL, Boelaert M. Leishmaniasis. Lancet. 2018;392: P951-970.

2. Scorza BM, Carvalho EM, Wilson ME. Cutaneous manifestations of human and murine leishmaniasis. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18. doi:10.3390/ijms18061296

3. Alvar J, Vélez ID, Bern C, Herrero M, Desjeux P, Cano J, et al. Leishmaniasis worldwide and global estimates of its incidence. PLoS ONE. 2012;7: e35671. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035671

4. Farias Amorim C, O Novais F, Nguyen BT, Nascimento MT, Lago J, Lago AS, et al. Localized skin inflammation during cutaneous leishmaniasis drives a chronic, systemic IFN-γ signature. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2021;15: e0009321. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0009321

5. Cupolillo E, Brahim LR, Toaldo CB, de Oliveira-Neto MP, de Brito MEF, Falqueto A, et al. Genetic polymorphism and molecular epidemiology of Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis from different hosts and geographic areas in Brazil. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41: 3126–3132. doi:10.1128/jcm.41.7.3126-3132.2003

6. Marlow MA, Boité MC, Ferreira GEM, Steindel M, Cupolillo E. Multilocus sequence analysis for Leishmania braziliensis outbreak investigation. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8: e2695. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002695

7. S L Figueiredo de Sá B, Rezende AM, Melo Neto OP de, Brito MEF de, Brandão Filho SP. Identification of divergent Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis ecotypes derived from a geographically restricted area through whole genome analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13: e0007382. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007382

8. Patino LH, Muñoz M, Cruz-Saavedra L, Muskus C, Ramírez JD. Genomic Diversification, Structural Plasticity, and Hybridization in Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2020;10: 582192. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2020.582192

9. Schriefer A, Schriefer ALF, Góes-Neto A, Guimarães LH, Carvalho LP, Almeida RP, et al. Multiclonal Leishmania braziliensis population structure and its clinical implication in a region of endemicity for American tegumentary leishmaniasis. Infect Immun. 2004;72: 508–514. doi:10.1128/iai.72.1.508-514.2004

10. Amorim CF, Novais FO, Nguyen BT, Misic AM, Carvalho LP, Carvalho EM, et al. Variable gene expression and parasite load predict treatment outcome in cutaneous leishmaniasis. Sci Transl Med. 2019;11. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aax4204

11. Niño A, Camacho M. Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis growth in vitro culture relies more on folic acid availability than Leihsmania (Leishmania) amazonensis. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2005;100: 309–310. doi:10.1590/s0074-02762005000300017

12. Werner JK. Colombian strains of Leishmania from man: growth characteristics in culture media and hamsters. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1981;75: 619–622. doi:10.1016/0035-9203(81)90130-9

13. Yardley V, Ortuno N, Llanos-Cuentas A, Chappuis F, Doncker SD, Ramirez L, et al. American tegumentary leishmaniasis: Is antimonial treatment outcome related to parasite drug susceptibility? J Infect Dis. 2006;194: 1168–1175. doi:10.1086/507710

14. Rijal S, Yardley V, Chappuis F, Decuypere S, Khanal B, Singh R, et al. Antimonial treatment of visceral leishmaniasis: are current in vitro susceptibility assays adequate for prognosis of in vivo therapy outcome? Microbes Infect. 2007;9: 529–535. doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2007.01.009

15. Domagalska MA, Imamura H, Sanders M, Van den Broeck F, Bhattarai NR, Vanaerschot M, et al. Genomes of Leishmania parasites directly sequenced from patients with visceral leishmaniasis in the Indian subcontinent. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13: e0007900. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0007900

16. Clarke EL, Sundararaman SA, Seifert SN, Bushman FD, Hahn BH, Brisson D. swga: a primer design toolkit for selective whole genome amplification. Bioinformatics. 2017;33: 2071–2077. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx118

17. Sundararaman SA, Plenderleith LJ, Liu W, Loy DE, Learn GH, Li Y, et al. Genomes of cryptic chimpanzee Plasmodium species reveal key evolutionary events leading to human malaria. Nat Commun. 2016;7: 11078. doi:10.1038/ncomms11078

18. Guggisberg AM, Sundararaman SA, Lanaspa M, Moraleda C, González R, Mayor A, et al. Whole-Genome Sequencing to Evaluate the Resistance Landscape Following Antimalarial Treatment Failure With Fosmidomycin-Clindamycin. J Infect Dis. 2016;214: 1085–1091. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiw304

19. Oyola SO, Ariani CV, Hamilton WL, Kekre M, Amenga-Etego LN, Ghansah A, et al. Whole genome sequencing of Plasmodium falciparum from dried blood spots using selective whole genome amplification. Malar J. 2016;15: 597. doi:10.1186/s12936-016-1641-7

20. Cowell AN, Loy DE, Sundararaman SA, Valdivia H, Fisch K, Lescano AG, et al. Selective Whole-Genome Amplification Is a Robust Method That Enables Scalable Whole-Genome Sequencing of Plasmodium vivax from Unprocessed Clinical Samples. MBio. 2017;8. doi:10.1128/mBio.02257-16

21. Leichty AR, Brisson D. Selective whole genome amplification for resequencing target microbial species from complex natural samples. Genetics. 2014;198: 473–481. doi:10.1534/genetics.114.165498

22. Cocking JH, Deberg M, Schupp J, Sahl J, Wiggins K, Porty A, et al. Selective whole genome amplification and sequencing of Coxiella burnetii directly from environmental samples. Genomics. 2020;112: 1872–1878. doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.10.022

23. Itsko M, Retchless AC, Joseph SJ, Norris Turner A, Bazan JA, Sadji AY, et al. Full Molecular Typing of Neisseria meningitidis Directly from Clinical Specimens for Outbreak Investigation. J Clin Microbiol. 2020;58. doi:10.1128/JCM.01780-20

24. Ibrahim A, Diez Benavente E, Nolder D, Proux S, Higgins M, Muwanguzi J, et al. Selective whole genome amplification of Plasmodium malariae DNA from clinical samples reveals insights into population structure. Sci Rep. 2020;10: 10832. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-67568-4

25. Benavente ED, Gomes AR, De Silva JR, Grigg M, Walker H, Barber BE, et al. Whole genome sequencing of amplified Plasmodium knowlesi DNA from unprocessed blood reveals genetic exchange events between Malaysian Peninsular and Borneo subpopulations. Sci Rep. 2019;9: 9873. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-46398-z

26. Thurlow CM, Joseph SJ, Ganova-Raeva L, Katz SS, Pereira L, Chen C, et al. Selective Whole-Genome Amplification as a Tool to Enrich Specimens with Low Treponema pallidum Genomic DNA Copies for Whole-Genome Sequencing. mSphere. 2022;7: e0000922. doi:10.1128/msphere.00009-22

27. Small ST, Reimer LJ, Tisch DJ, King CL, Christensen BM, Siba PM, et al. Population genomics of the filarial nematode parasite Wuchereria bancrofti from mosquitoes. Mol Ecol. 2016;25: 1465–1477. doi:10.1111/mec.13574

28. Morgan AP, Brazeau NF, Ngasala B, Mhamilawa LE, Denton M, Msellem M, et al. Falciparum malaria from coastal Tanzania and Zanzibar remains highly connected despite effective control efforts on the archipelago. Malar J. 2020;19: 47. doi:10.1186/s12936-020-3137-8

29. Osborne A, Manko E, Takeda M, Kaneko A, Kagaya W, Chan C, et al. Characterizing the genomic variation and population dynamics of Plasmodium falciparum malaria parasites in and around Lake Victoria, Kenya. Sci Rep. 2021;11: 19809. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-99192-1

30. Loy DE, Plenderleith LJ, Sundararaman SA, Liu W, Gruszczyk J, Chen Y-J, et al. Evolutionary history of human Plasmodium vivax revealed by genome-wide analyses of related ape parasites. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018;115: E8450–E8459. doi:10.1073/pnas.1810053115

31. Yu JA, Oppler ZJ, Mitchell MW, Song YS, Brisson D. A fast machine-learning-guided primer design pipeline for selective whole genome amplification. BioRxiv. 2022. doi:10.1101/2022.04.27.489632

32. Gimblet C, Meisel JS, Loesche MA, Cole SD, Horwinski J, Novais FO, et al. Cutaneous Leishmaniasis Induces a Transmissible Dysbiotic Skin Microbiota that Promotes Skin Inflammation. Cell Host Microbe. 2017;22: 13-24.e4. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2017.06.006

33. Decuypere S, Rijal S, Yardley V, De Doncker S, Laurent T, Khanal B, et al. Gene expression analysis of the mechanism of natural Sb(V) resistance in Leishmania donovani isolates from Nepal. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49: 4616–4621. doi:10.1128/AAC.49.11.4616-4621.2005

34. Torres DC, Adaui V, Ribeiro-Alves M, Romero GAS, Arévalo J, Cupolillo E, et al. Targeted gene expression profiling in Leishmania braziliensis and Leishmania guyanensis parasites isolated from Brazilian patients with different antimonial treatment outcomes. Infect Genet Evol. 2010;10: 727–733. doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2010.05.006

35. Monte-Neto R, Laffitte M-CN, Leprohon P, Reis P, Frézard F, Ouellette M. Intrachromosomal amplification, locus deletion and point mutation in the aquaglyceroporin AQP1 gene in antimony resistant Leishmania (Viannia) guyanensis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9: e0003476. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003476

36. Medina LS, Souza BA, Queiroz A, Guimarães LH, Lima Machado PR, M Carvalho E, et al. The gp63 Gene Cluster Is Highly Polymorphic in Natural Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis Populations, but Functional Sites Are Conserved. PLoS ONE. 2016;11: e0163284. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0163284

37. Rogers MB, Hilley JD, Dickens NJ, Wilkes J, Bates PA, Depledge DP, et al. Chromosome and gene copy number variation allow major structural change between species and strains of Leishmania. Genome Res. 2011;21: 2129–2142. doi:10.1101/gr.122945.111

38. Sterkers Y, Lachaud L, Bourgeois N, Crobu L, Bastien P, Pagès M. Novel insights into genome plasticity in Eukaryotes: mosaic aneuploidy in Leishmania. Mol Microbiol. 2012;86: 15–23. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08185.x

39. Dumetz F, Imamura H, Sanders M, Seblova V, Myskova J, Pescher P, et al. Modulation of Aneuploidy in Leishmania donovani during Adaptation to Different In Vitro and In Vivo Environments and Its Impact on Gene Expression. MBio. 2017;8. doi:10.1128/mBio.00599-17

40. Guimarães LH, Queiroz A, Silva JA, Silva SC, Magalhães V, Lago EL, et al. Atypical Manifestations of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis in a Region Endemic for Leishmania braziliensis: Clinical, Immunological and Parasitological Aspects. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10: e0005100. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005100

41. Queiroz A, Sousa R, Heine C, Cardoso M, Guimarães LH, Machado PRL, et al. Association between an emerging disseminated form of leishmaniasis and Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis strain polymorphisms. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50: 4028–4034. doi:10.1128/JCM.02064-12

42. Lachaud L, Bourgeois N, Kuk N, Morelle C, Crobu L, Merlin G, et al. Constitutive mosaic aneuploidy is a unique genetic feature widespread in the Leishmania genus. Microbes Infect. 2014;16: 61–66. doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2013.09.005

43. Negreira GH, Monsieurs P, Imamura H, Maes I, Kuk N, Yagoubat A, et al. High throughput single-cell genome sequencing gives insights into the generation and evolution of mosaic aneuploidy in Leishmania donovani. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50: 293–305. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab1203

44. Briggs EM, Marques CA, Reis-Cunha J, Black J, Campbell S, Damasceno J, et al. Next-Generation Analysis of Trypanosomatid Genome Stability and Instability. Methods Mol Biol. 2020;2116: 225–262. doi:10.1007/978-1-0716-0294-2_15

45. Weirather JL, Jeronimo SMB, Gautam S, Sundar S, Kang M, Kurtz MA, et al. Serial quantitative PCR assay for detection, species discrimination, and quantification of Leishmania spp. in human samples. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49: 3892–3904. doi:10.1128/JCM.r00764-11

46. Alves-Ferreira EVC, Toledo JS, De Oliveira AHC, Ferreira TR, Ruy PC, Pinzan CF, et al. Differential Gene Expression and Infection Profiles of Cutaneous and Mucosal Leishmania braziliensis Isolates from the Same Patient. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9: e0004018. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004018

47. Van den Broeck F, Savill NJ, Imamura H, Sanders M, Maes I, Cooper S, et al. Ecological divergence and hybridization of Neotropical Leishmania parasites. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020;117: 25159–25168. doi:10.1073/pnas.1920136117

48. Machado PR, Ampuero J, Guimarães LH, Villasboas L, Rocha AT, Schriefer A, et al. Miltefosine in the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by Leishmania braziliensis in Brazil: a randomized and controlled trial. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2010;4: e912. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000912

49. Cuypers B, Dumetz F, Meysman P, Laukens K, De Muylder G, Dujardin JC, et al. The absence of C-5 DNA methylation in *Leishmania donovani* allows DNA enrichment from complex samples. BioRxiv. 2019. doi:10.1101/747063

50. Cuypers B, Dumetz F, Meysman P, Laukens K, De Muylder G, Dujardin J-C, et al. The Absence of C-5 DNA Methylation in Leishmania donovani Allows DNA Enrichment from Complex Samples. Microorganisms. 2020;8. doi:10.3390/microorganisms8081252

51. Unger A, O'Neal S, Machado PRL, Guimarães LH, Morgan DJ, Schriefer A, et al. Association of treatment of American cutaneous leishmaniasis prior to ulcer development with high rate of failure in northeastern Brazil. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2009;80: 574–579. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.2009.80.574

52. Karamysheva ZN, Gutierrez Guarnizo SA, Karamyshev AL. Regulation of translation in the protozoan parasite leishmania. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21. doi:10.3390/ijms21082981

53. De Pablos LM, Ferreira TR, Walrad PB. Developmental differentiation in Leishmania lifecycle progression: post-transcriptional control conducts the orchestra. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2016;34: 82–89. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2016.08.004

54. Wurst M, Seliger B, Jha BA, Klein C, Queiroz R, Clayton C. Expression of the RNA recognition motif protein RBP10 promotes a bloodstream-form transcript pattern in Trypanosoma brucei. Mol Microbiol. 2012;83: 1048–1063. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.07988.x

55. Kolev NG, Ramey-Butler K, Cross GAM, Ullu E, Tschudi C. Developmental progression to infectivity in Trypanosoma brucei triggered by an RNA-binding protein. Science. 2012;338: 1352–1353. doi:10.1126/science.1229641

56. Bhattacharya A, Leprohon P, Bigot S, Padmanabhan PK, Mukherjee A, Roy G, et al. Coupling chemical mutagenesis to next generation sequencing for the identification of drug resistance mutations in Leishmania. Nat Commun. 2019;10: 5627. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13344-6

57. Das S, Shah P, Baharia RK, Tandon R, Khare P, Sundar S, et al. Over-expression of 60s ribosomal L23a is associated with cellular proliferation in SAG resistant clinical isolates of Leishmania donovani. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7: e2527. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002527

58. Späth GF, Beverley SM. A lipophosphoglycan-independent method for isolation of infective Leishmania metacyclic promastigotes by density gradient centrifugation. Exp Parasitol. 2001;99: 97–103. doi:10.1006/expr.2001.4656

59. Gaio D, Anantanawat K, To J, Liu M, Monahan L, Darling AE. Hackflex: low-cost, high-throughput, Illumina Nextera Flex library construction. Microb Genom. 2022;8. doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000744

60. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30: 2114–2120. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

61. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012;9: 357–359. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923

62. Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics. 2016;32: 3047–3048. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354

63. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 2009;25: 1754–1760. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324

64. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25: 2078–2079. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

65. broadinstitute/picard: A set of command line tools (in Java) for manipulating high-throughput sequencing (HTS) data and formats such as SAM/BAM/CRAM and VCF. [cited 21 Aug 2022]. Available: https://github.com/broadinstitute/picard

66. Grace CA, Forrester S, Silva VC, Carvalho KSS, Kilford H, Chew YP, et al. Candidates for Balancing Selection in Leishmania donovani Complex Parasites. Genome Biol Evol. 2021;13. doi:10.1093/gbe/evab265

67. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2010;26: 841–842. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033

68. DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C, et al. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat Genet. 2011;43: 491–498. doi:10.1038/ng.806

69. Garrison E, Marth G. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. arXiv. 2012.

70. Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, et al. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. Gigascience. 2021;10. doi:10.1093/gigascience/giab008

71. Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, et al. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics. 2011;27: 2156–2158. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330

72. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet. 2007;81: 559–575. doi:10.1086/519795

73. Ortiz EM. vcf2phylip v2.0: convert a VCF matrix into several matrix formats for phylogenetic analysis. Zenodo. 2019. doi:10.5281/zenodo.2540861

74. Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32: 268–274. doi:10.1093/molbev/msu300

75. Argimón S, Abudahab K, Goater RJE, Fedosejev A, Bhai J, Glasner C, et al. Microreact: visualizing and sharing data for genomic epidemiology and phylogeography. Microb Genom. 2016;2: e000093. doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000093

76. Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang LL, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, et al. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of *Drosophila melanogaster* strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin). 2012;6: 80–92. doi:10.4161/fly.19695

77. Amos B, Aurrecoechea C, Barba M, Barreto A, Basenko EY, Bażant W, et al. VEuPathDB: the eukaryotic pathogen, vector and host bioinformatics resource center. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50: D898–D911. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab929

78. Aslett M, Aurrecoechea C, Berriman M, Brestelli J, Brunk BP, Carrington M, et al. TriTrypDB: a functional genomic resource for the Trypanosomatidae. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38: D457-62. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp851

79. Cingolani P, Patel VM, Coon M, Nguyen T, Land SJ, Ruden DM, et al. Using Drosophila melanogaster as a Model for Genotoxic Chemical Mutational Studies with a New Program, SnpSift. Front Genet. 2012;3: 35. doi:10.3389/fgene.2012.00035

80. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2018.

81. Huber W, Carey VJ, Gentleman R, Anders S, Carlson M, Carvalho BS, et al. Orchestrating high-throughput genomic analysis with Bioconductor. Nat Methods. 2015;12: 115–121. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3252

82. Knaus BJ, Grünwald NJ. vcfr: a package to manipulate and visualize variant call format data in R. Mol Ecol Resour. 2017;17: 44–53. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12549

83. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. New York : Springer, c2009.: Springer; 2009.



Supplementary Figure 1: Coverage of all 35 *L. braziliensis* chromosomes in SWGA data from a single patient (#7; blue lines) compared to whole genome sequencing (WGS) of pure, cultured *L. braziliensis* (orange lines). Data were merged from all SWGA primer sets to maximize coverage.