Title

Disrupted role of the connectivity between the locus coeruleus and the hippocampus in cognition of healthy, middle-aged individuals at risk of dementia: the PREVENT-Dementia study.

Authors

Feng Deng [ab], Maria-Eleni Dounavi [c], Karen Ritchie [d], Graciela Muniz-Terrera [ef], Paresh Malhotra [g] Craig W Ritchie [e], Brian Lawlor [ab], Lorina Naci [ab*]

Affiliations

[a] Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience, School of Psychology, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland.

[b] Global Brain Health Institute, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

[c] Department of Psychiatry, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0SP, UK

[d] U1061 Neuropsychiatry, INSERM, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France

[e] Edinburgh Dementia Prevention, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

[f] Department of Social medicine, Ohio University, USA

[g] Department of Brain Science, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, UK

[*] **Corresponding author:**

Lorina Naci

School of Psychology

Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience

Global Brain Health Institute

Trinity College Dublin

Dublin, Ireland

Abstract

It is well acknowledged that Alzheimer's Disease (AD) pathological processes start decades before clinical manifestations, but the brain mechanism of sporadic AD in midlife remains unclear. To address this gap, we examined whether risk factors for late-life AD are associated with disrupted connectivity between two key structures in AD pathophysiology – the Locus Coeruleus (LC) and hippocampus – and its role in cognition, in a cohort of middle-aged and cognitively healthy individuals. Detailed neuropsychological assessments and functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging were obtained at baseline (N=210), and two-years follow-up (N=188). Associations of cognition and LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity with apolipoprotein ε4 (APOE4) genotype, and dementia family history (FHD) were investigated using linear regression. Correlations between the Cardiovascular Risk Factors, Aging, and Incidence of Dementia (CAIDE) dementia risk score and cognitive and functional measures were further investigated. APOE Ɛ4 allele was significantly associated with better performance in verbal, spatial and relational memory. Higher CAIDE scores were significantly associated with worse performance in verbal, visuospatial functions and short-term (conjunctive) memory. The CAIDE dementia risk score moderated the relationship between cognition and LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity. In individuals with low (=<3)/high (>=8) CAIDE scores, higher functional connectivity was significantly associated with better/worse cognition. These results shed light on the brain mechanism of incipient AD neuropathology in individuals, who are at high risk for late-life dementia on the cardiovascular risk score, but presently cognitively healthy.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease; Midlife; Cognition; Locus Coeruleus; Hippocampus; Functional connectivity; Cognitively healthy; Cardiovascular risk score

Introduction

Dementia, particularly Alzheimer's disease (AD), is a growing pandemic that presents profound challenges to healthcare systems, families, and societies throughout the world (World Health Organization, 2021). Midlife is a critical period for the beginning of AD pathology (Jansen et al., 2015; Sperling et al., 2011) and potentially a unique disease-altering window prior to the manifestation of substantial brain damage. Therefore, there is an urgent need for risk reduction interventions focused on midlife (Barnes & Yaffe, 2011; Livingston et al., 2017; Ritchie et al., 2010). However, the indicators and brain mechanism of AD in midlife remain poorly understood (Irwin et al., 2018; Ritchie et al., 2017).

To investigate early changes, studies in preclinical AD populations have used risk stratification approaches. Key risk factors include the Apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 genotype (Donix et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013), the main genetic risk factor for sporadic late-onset AD in the Indo-European population (Lambert et al., 2013), and family history of dementia (FHD) (Berti et al., 2011; Donix et al., 2012; Scarabino et al., 2016). Additionally, there is growing evidence to suggest that up to 40% of all dementia cases are associated with known modifiable risk factors (Livingston et al., 2020). Among dementia risk scores that incorporate lifestyle risk factors (Barnes et al., 2014; Deckers et al., 2015; Kivipelto et al., 2006), the Cardiovascular Risk Factors Aging and Dementia (CAIDE) score has been optimized for midlife (Kivipelto et al., 2006) and validated in a large US population followed longitudinally over 40 years (Exalto et al., 2014).

AD is neuropathologically characterized by the accumulation of beta-amyloid (Aβ) and hyperphosphorylated tau (pTau) (Braak & Braak, 1991; Braak et al., 2011). Recent studies suggest that tau deposition, is a key etiological factor that presages sporadic AD (Arnsten et al., 2021; Jacobs et al., 2021; Kametani & Hasegawa, 2018). Analyses of thousands human

brains across the lifespan show that tau pathology begins about a decade before formation of Aβ plaques (Braak & Del Tredici, 2015). Additionally, tau pathology, but not Aβ, correlates with progressive grey matter loss (La Joie et al., 2019) and cognitive impairment (Giannakopoulos et al., 2003). Neuropathological findings show that tau pathology starts in late young adulthood and early midlife [30–40 years], in the subcortical nucleus locus coeruleus (LC) (Gilvesy et al., 2022; Ehrenberg et al., 2017; Braak & Braak, 1991) then spreads to the transentorhinal and entorhinal cortex (Braak et al., 2011), to the hippocampal and neocortical association cortex, and finally throughout the neocortex.

It has been suggested that early tau pathology in the LC induces LC hyperactivity, thereby promoting its own spread to interconnected brain regions and facilitating the progression of the disease (Weinshenker, 2018). Although the spread of tau pathology from the LC to the middle temporal lobe (MTL) captures the earliest stages of incipient AD progression, alterations of connectivity between the LC and the hippocampus, due to AD risk factors have not previously been studied in midlife preclinical populations.

LC is the earliest site of tau pathology accumulation, and, furthermore, the main brain site of noradrenaline production (Amaral and Sinnamon 1977), and thus the originating node of the brain's arousal system (Sara 2009; Aston-Jones and Cohen 2005). Therefore, early LC pathology can critically impact arousal and cognitive processes throughout the brain. Previous in vivo studies of the LC have focused on cognitively unimpaired older adults (Jacobs et al., 2021; Prokopiou et al., 2022; Van Egroo et al., 2021), or clinical populations, such as amnesic mild cognitive impairment (Jacobs et al., 2015) and preclinical autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease (Jacobs et al., 2022), and found significant negative associations of LC integrity with age, and symptom severity in AD. However, by contrast to its key importance to cognitive function and etiological role in AD progression, there's very

limited in vivo understanding of LC function disruptions during the early preclinical period. One exception is a recent study of asymptomatic midlife adults, offspring of late-onset AD (O-LOAD) patients. Del Cerro and colleagues (2020) found that the global connectivity of the LC was significantly associated with cognitive performance, and impaired in O-LOAD individuals relative to those with no family history.

The hippocampus is one of the first regions to demonstrate atrophy during prodromal AD. Neurofibrillary tangle (NFT) accumulation within the MTL, including the hippocampus, is one of the first hallmark pathological manifestations. Recent studies in cognitively healthy individuals at risk for late-life AD have found that AD risk impacts the hippocampus in midlife (Dounavi et al., 2022; 2020; Kerchner et al., 2014; for a review see Vilor-Tejedor et al. 2021). Dounavi et al. (2020) reported that the volume of the molecular layer of the hippocampus was reduced in cognitively healthy midlife individuals with APOE ε4 genotype. A more recent study (Dounavi et al., 2022) reported that the hippocampal fissure was enlarged in middle-aged individuals with high CAIDE dementia risk scores. While this accumulating evidence indicates that, individually, the LC and hippocampus are affected in midlife by risk of late-life AD, it remains unknown whether their interactions and joint role in cognition are affected by AD risk at this stage. Understanding of changes in the interaction of LC and the hippocampus during this preclinical period in at-risk populations has the potential to not only shed light on the brain mechanisms of incipient AD but also to provide urgently needed early disease biomarkers.

To address this gap, we investigated the impact of three factors for late-life AD (APOE ε4 genotype, FHD and CAIDE score) on cognition and its relationship to the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity. We tested a cohort of cognitively healthy middle-aged individuals, at baseline (N=210), and two-years follow-up (N=188) with a detailed neuropsychological

battery designed for all ages, and not restricted to functions usually implicated in dementia detection in the elderly. Structural and functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) data was obtained at both research visits. Our hypothesis was that risk of late-life AD would affect cognition and this would be reflected in a change of LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity in this cohort of middle-aged and cognitively healthy individuals.

Methods

Participants

PREVENT-Dementia is an ongoing longitudinal multi-site research programme across the UK and Ireland, seeking to identify early biomarkers of AD and elaborate on risk-mechanism interactions for neurodegenerative diseases decades before the cardinal symptoms of dementia emerge. Its protocol has been described in detail elsewhere (Ritchie & Ritchie, 2012). In the first PREVENT programme phase, participants were recruited at a single site, via the dementia register database held at the West London National Health Service (NHS) Trust, of the UK National Health Service, the Join Dementia Research website (https://www.joindementiaresearch.nihr.ac.uk/), through public presentations, social media and word of mouth. Procedures involving experiments on human subjects were carried out in accord with the ethical standards of the Institutional Review Board of Imperial College London and in accord with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975. Approval for the study was granted by the NHS Research Ethics Committee London Camberwell St Giles. Consented participants were seen at the West London NHS Trust, where they underwent a range of clinical and cognitive assessments (Ritchie & Ritchie, 2012). The cohort comprised cognitively healthy volunteers aged 40-59 years. Here we examined baseline and follow-up

data from the West London dataset. 210 individuals (62 male; 148 female) were tested at baseline, with 188 (89.5%) (55 male; 133 female) retained at 2 years follow-up (Table 1).

At baseline 210 participants completed clinical and cognitive assessments and 188 at follow up, two years later. At baseline, 2 participants were missing APOE ε4 genotype information, and therefore the CAIDE scores. At follow-up, 6 participants were missing information for calculating their CAIDE scores, including the 2 missing APOE status.

For cognitive tests, 2 participants were missing data at baseline, and 12 at follow-up (Supplementary Table 1–2). Therefore, the cognitive analyses cohort was N=208/N=176 at baseline/follow-up. 193/210 participants finished MRI scanning at baseline, and 169/188 at follow-up. Furthermore, at baseline, 6 were excluded due to incidental findings, and 8 due to inadequate brain coverage. At follow-up, 3 were excluded due to incidental findings. The fMRI analyses cohort was N=179/N=166 at baseline/follow-up. Please see Supplementary Figure 1 and Table 1 for details of participant inclusion in each analysis.

Assessments

Risk factors

APOEε4 Genotyping

The process of APOE ε4 allele identification is outlined in detail in Ritchie et al. (2017). In brief, genomic DNA was isolated from blood samples and APOE genotyping was performed. All members of the research and clinical teams were blind to the result of APOE genotyping. In this study, APOE ε4 risk is determined by ≥1 APOE ε4 allele. 75/210 carried ≥1 APOE ε4 allele (See Table 1).

Family History of Dementia

FHD was determined by a 'yes'/'no' question during clinical visits, where participants were asked whether a parent had a diagnosis of dementia. Participants were asked to include the dementia subtype if known, but answering 'yes' alone categorized a participant as FHD+. The answer 'no' likely captured both participants with no family history of dementia, and participants for whom FHD was unknown. In summary, participants were defined as FHD+ if at least one parent was diagnosed with dementia. Cases where the FHD was unknown or partially known were not recorded outside of the binary yes/no scoring. 103/210 were FHD+.

Cardiovascular Risk Factors, Aging, and Incidence of Dementia (CAIDE) score

CAIDE is a composite scale of estimated future dementia risk based on mid-life cardiovascular measures (Fayosse et al., 2020; Sindi et al., 2015). It takes into consideration the individual's age, sex, educational attainment, APOE ε4 genotype, activity level, BMI, cholesterol and systolic blood pressure (Kivipelto et al., 2006) and is scored on a range of 0 – 18. A higher score indicates greater risk. The CAIDE dementia risk score was calculated at baseline and follow-up.

Cognitive testing

Cognitive function was assessed at baseline and follow up with the COGNITO neuropsychological battery (Ritchie et al., 2014), designed to examine information processing across a wide range of cognitive functions in adults of all ages and not restricted to those functions usually implicated in dementia detection in the elderly. Tests are administered using a tactile screen to capture information processing time as well as response accuracy and require about 40 minutes to complete. The tests, by order of presentation, are: reaction time;

reading; comprehension of phonemes, phrases, and syntax; focused and divided attention in both visual and auditory modalities; visual working memory (visual tracking with auditory interference); the Stroop test; immediate, delayed, and recognition trials for verbal recall (name list); delayed recognition of spatial stimuli (faces); visuospatial associative learning; visuospatial span; form perception; denomination of common objects; spatial reasoning; copying of meaningful and meaningless figures; verbal fluency with se-mantic and phonetic prompts; immediate recall of a narrative; immediate recall of a description of the relative position of objects; vocabulary; implicit memory (recognition of new and previously learned material).

The COGNITO tests are designed to test several aspects of cognition, including attention (task: visual attention), memory (tasks: narrative recall, description recall, implicit memory, name-face association, working memory), language (tasks: phoneme comprehension, verbal fluency) and visuospatial abilities (task: geometric figure recognition) (Ritchie et al., 2014). Based on previous studies (Ritchie et al., 2021; Ritchie et al., 2018; Ritchie et al., 2017), 11 summary variables from the COGNITO battery capturing the above functions were used here [for a list see Supporting Information (SI)].

Additionally, we used the Visual Short-Term Memory Binding task (VSTMBT) (Parra et al., 2010), a computer-based task that assesses visual short-term memory binding of single features, e.g., complex shape or color combinations, or feature conjunctions, e.g., shape and color combinations. In the single feature condition, participants must identify whether the test stimuli (three random 6-sided polygons) are the “same” as or “different” to the studied stimuli in terms of shape (shape only) or color (color only). In the binding condition, participants are required to correctly identify if both the shape and color of the test stimuli

match studied stimuli. Two summary variables from the VSTMBT were the percentage of correctly recognized items from the two conditions.

The Addenbrooke's Cognitive Assessment (ACE) III (Hsieh et al., 2013) was recorded at the follow-up session, but not at the baseline. Analyses that focused on the subset of assessments performed at both testing sessions did not include the ACE) III.

Behavioural data analyses

Rotated principal component analysis

Rotated principal component analysis (rPCA), a dimensionality reduction technique, was adopted to cluster the above-mentioned 13 cognitive measures into related domains, reduce the number of multiple comparisons between the cognitive tests (Jolliffe & Cadima, 2016). This step maximized power to investigate the impact of risk factors on cognition and brain–behaviour relationships. rPCA was conducted by using the *psych* package (Version 2.0.12) in R software (https://www.r-project.org/), following steps as: (1) *Preprocessing*. Listwise deletion procedure was used to deal with the missing data. Only subjects who had no missing observations were kept (N = 208 at baseline; N = 176 at follow-up). (2) *Component extraction and estimation*. Principal component analysis was used to extract a smaller number of components that capture the most variance of the initial variables, representing the common cognitive processes underlying the 13 original cognitive measures. Scree plots and parallel analysis were used to determine the number of components (Horn, 1965). Parallel analysis generated a set of random correlation matrices (n = 500) by using the same number of variables (n = 13) and participants (N = 208 at baseline; N = 176 at follow-up). Then, we compared the solution to the random simulated normal data. The eigenvalues obtained from

the actual matrix were compared with those obtained from the randomly generated matrices, and the estimated number of components was determined by selecting components with eigenvalues larger than 95th percentile of the randomly generated components' eigenvalues (Figure 2a) (See also Supporting Information). (3) *Rotation*. To examine the component structure among the initial variables, an orthogonal rotation method Varimax that constrains the components to be uncorrelated, was applied to the coefficient matrix (Kaiser, 1958). (4) *Component coefficients*. Component coefficients (*r*), reflecting the correlation between each of the components and each of the original variables, were thus obtained. Given the sample size in this study (N > 200), component coefficients that were larger than 0.4 were deemed practically significant (Hair Jr. et al., 1998; Stevens, 1992). (5) *Component scores*. Component scores were calculated by a regression method where the regression weights were found from the inverse of the correlation matrix times the coefficient of each variable on the corresponding components. The coefficients were used to interpret the components, and component scores were used for the following analyses. (6) *Component similarities*. Components were extracted at the baseline and follow-up respectively. To test the similarity of components extracted at each study session, to be capturing similar cognitive functions, Tucker's congruence coefficient was calculated (Lorenzo-Seva & ten Berge, 2006). In subsequent analyses, we used these cognitive components, rather than the individual tests to measure the impact of risk factors on cognition and brain–behaviour relationships.

MRI data acquisition and processing

Imaging data were obtained as part of a multimodal examinations in a 3T Siemens Verio MRI scanner and with 32-channel head coil (https://preventdementia.co.uk/for-researchers/). Resting-state fMRI data were acquired with T2*-weighted echo-planar imaging (EPI)

sequence. 330 volumes were acquired, and each volume contained 35 slices (interleaved acquisition), with slice thickness of 3 mm (repetition time (TR) = 2000ms, echo time (TE) = 30ms, flip angle (FA) = 80°, Field of View (FOV) = 384 × 384mm^2, voxel size = 3 mm^3 isotropic). A 3D T1-weighted magnetization prepared rapid gradient-echo image (MPRAGE, 160 slices, voxel size = 1 mm^3 isotropic, TR = 2300ms, TE = 2.98ms, FOV = 240 × 256mm^2, FA = 9°) was also acquired. All scans were repeated after approximately 2 years on the same scanner using the same protocol.

Standard preprocessing procedures for resting-state fMRI data were performed with SPM12 (Statistical Parametric Mapping, https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm12/) and the AA pipeline software (Cusack et al., 2015) implemented in MATLAB R2019a (The MathWorks, United States). In this pipeline (Figure 1a), we performed slice timing correction, motion correction, co-registration of functional and structural images, normalization into standard MNI space, spatial smoothing. Spatial normalization was performed using SPM12's segment-and-normalize procedure, whereby the T1 structural was segmented into grey and white matter and normalized to a segmented MNI-152 template. These normalization parameters were then applied to all EPIs. The data were smoothed with a Gaussian kernel of 6mm full width at half maximum and were temporally band-pass filtered (0.01-0.08 Hz) to remove low-frequency drift and high-frequency physiological noise (Salvador et al., 2008; Zuo et al., 2010). Lastly, to reduce any residual effects of head motion, we applied a general linear model (GLM) that included 24 head motion parameters – 6 original rigid-body motion parameters, the first-order temporal derivative of these 6 parameters and 12 quadratic terms of them – as covariates (Satterthwaite et al., 2013). Additionally, frame-wise displacement (FD) movement parameters (Power et al., 2012; Power et al., 2014) were averaged and regressed out in the group-level analyses.

We then defined two regions of interests (ROIs) bilaterally: LC based on a probabilistic map (Tona et al., 2017) and hippocampus based on the automated anatomical labelling (AAL) atlas (see Figure 1b). The functional connectivity (FC) between the LC and the hippocampus was derived using Pearson's correlation coefficient (*r*) on the denoised time courses (Figure 1d). The *r* value was then transformed to z value using Fisher's *r*-to-*z* transformation to improve normality for further statistical analysis. To avoid the formation of artificial anti-correlations (Anderson et al., 2011; Murphy et al., 2009), we did not perform global signal regression. The fMRI-based exclusion criteria are illustrated in Figure 1c.

Figure 1 about here please

Statistical approach

All statistical analyses were performed in R software. The normality of the data was assessed by combining the visualization of a quantile-quantile plot and the Shapiro–Wilk test. Demographic and clinical information of the study cohort was analyzed across risk groups using chi-square (χ^2 tests) for categorical variables and Mann Whitney U tests for continuous (discrete) variables, given that they were not normally distributed in this cohort. Change scores were derived to evaluated longitudinal change.

To investigate the effects of risk factors (APOE ε4 genotype and FHD) on cognition and brain function we used multiple linear regression models. Age, sex and years of education were included as covariates for cognition models, and mean framewise displacement values were additionally included as a covariate for models of functional connectivity. The effects of APOE ε4 and CAIDE risk factors were modelled independently to avoid modelling the

variance associated with APOE genotype in the same model twice. Spearman correlation analyses were used to assess the associations between cognition and CAIDE score given the non-normal distribution of CAIDE in our cohort. Any interactions effects between risk factors, LC–Hippocampus FC, and cognition were assessed through multiple regression models with the cognition as the dependent variable, the LC–Hippocampus FC, risk factor and the risk × FC interaction as independent variables, and age, sex, years of education as covariates.

For any observed interactions, we plotted the regression of LC-hippocampus FC on cognitive performance for each level/value of the risk factor, to interpret the effect (Aiken & West, 1991). For discrete risk factors – APOE genotype and FHD – we tested the significance of the slopes of the simple regression lines, to investigate at which level of the risk factor the brain-cognition coupling was significant (Aiken & West, 1991). For the continuous variable, we applied the Johnson-Neyman technique (Bauer & Curran, 2005; Johnson & Fay, 1950), to test the significance of the slopes of the simple regression lines on each value of the CAIDE scores (ranged from 0-18). This examined the CAIDE interval at which the brain-cognition coupling was significant. Of note, all continuous variables in the interaction terms, i.e., CAIDE and FC, in the moderation models were mean-centered (subtracting each the mean from each value) to avoid multicollinearity.

Although the baseline and follow-up datasets were not independent, we considered each in its own right, in addition to testing for longitudinal changes in cognition and functional connectivity. We reasoned that, during the two years, a proportion of our participants may have had substantial brain health changes that are yet subthreshold to clinical manifestations, or that may not manifest as longitudinal change yet.

Results

Demographic characteristics

In the first PREVENT study phase, 210 participants were recruited from a single site. All 210 completed several clinical and cognitive assessments at baseline, and 188 were also assessed again with the same tests at the follow up, two years later. Mild cognitive impairment and dementia were ruled out based on detailed clinical assessment on each visit. Please see the Supplementary Information and Figure S1 for a description of any missing data, and Table S1 for a list of all the outcome variables collected at baseline and follow-up. Demographic specifications of the cohort at baseline and follow-up, stratified by APOE ε4 genotype and family history of dementia, are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in age, sex or years of education between the groups. APOE ε4 allele genotype was more frequently found in the FHD+ than FHD- group at baseline ($p = 0.01$) and follow up ($p = 0.02$). CAIDE scores (including APOE status) were significantly higher for the FHD+ than FHD- group at baseline ($p = 0.03$) and follow up ($p = 0.003$). Naturally, CAIDE scores including APOE status were significantly higher for the APOE ε4+ than APOE ε4- group at baseline ($p = 0.0003$) and follow up ($p = 0.0002$), but when APOE status was excluded the CAIDE scores did not differ between the two groups (Table 1).

Table 1 about here please

Cognitive domains

We first performed parallel analyses and plotted scree plots to determine the number of components that best represented the original 13 cognitive measures at baseline and follow-up, separately. Results showed that eigenvalues of the first three components were larger than 95th percentile of the randomly generated eigenvalues at both study timepoints (Figure 2a), which indicated that the three component (C) solution best represented the data. The three components were then rotated to be uncorrelated with each other, and could cumulatively explain a total of 41% percentage of the variance, at baseline (C1 = 17%; C2 = 13%; C3 = 12%]) and 40% at follow-up (C1 = 17%; C2 = 12%; C3 = 11%) (Figure 2b).

Figure 2 about here please

The loading values (weights) of the cognitive measures (Figure 3a) reflect the relationships between the original measures and the corresponding components. Measures with higher coefficients were more closely related to the components. As each measure tapped into different cognitive functions (Supplementary Table 2), components can be interpreted by mapping out the cognitive functions that the highest loading measures tapped into (Figure 3a). Based on the cognitive functions that the highest loading measures tapped into [for details see Supporting Information (SI)], in subsequent analyses we refer to: C1 as 'verbal, spatial, and relational memory'; C2 as 'working and short-term (single-feature) memory'; C3 as 'verbal and visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory.'

The highest loading measures and their coefficients for each component differed for the cross-sectional and longitudinal data. For example, verbal functions, visuospatial functions,

and short-term (conjunctive) memory loaded most strongly on the third component at baseline. At follow-up, implicit memory rather than short-term memory loaded on the third component (Figure 3a). We found high similarity between baseline and follow-up for C1 (ϕ = 0.90), and C3 (ϕ = 0.76), with relatively low similarly for C2 (ϕ = 0.40) (Figure 3b). Given that C1 and C3 had relatively high similarity across time, the longitudinal changes in these two components were also examined. No longitudinal changes in either were observed.

Figure 3 about here please

Impact of risk factors on cognition

Verbal, spatial and relational memory

At baseline, the multivariable linear regression model showed a significant positive association between verbal, spatial and relational memory with APOE ε4 allele [β (*SE*) = 0.28 (0.13), p = 0.04] (Figure 4a), independently of sex, age and years of education. At follow- up, there was a trend effect of APOE ε4 allele [β (*SE*) = 0.27 (0.15), p = 0.07] (Figure 4b) (Supplementary Table 3a). Education was significantly positively associated with this domain at baseline [β (*SE*) = 0.09 (0.02), p < 0.0001] and follow-up [β (*SE*) = 0.10 (0.02), p < 0.0001].

Figure 4 about here please

The multiple linear regression models showed no associations between family history and verbal, spatial and relational memory, at either timepoint (Supplementary Table 3b). Spearman correlation analyses showed no significant associations between CAIDE score and working and short-term (single-feature) memory, at either timepoints.

Working and short-term (single-feature) memory

The multiple regression models showed no associations between APOE ε4 (Supplementary Table 4a) or family history (Supplementary Table 4b) with working and short-term (single-feature) memory. Education was significantly positively associated with performance in this domain, independently of the other factors, at baseline [β (*SE*) = 0.04 (0.02), p = 0.03] only. Spearman correlation analyses showed no significant associations between CAIDE score and working and short-term (single-feature) memory, at either timepoints.

Verbal and visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory

The multiple regression models showed no associations between APOE ε4 (Supplementary Table 5a) or family history (Supplementary Table 5b) with verbal and visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory. Age was significantly negatively associated with performance in this domain, independently of the other factors, at baseline [β (*SE*) = -0.03 (0.01), p = 0.008]. The CAIDE score was significantly associated with verbal and visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory at baseline (*rho* = -0.17, p = 0.01, Figure 5a), and at follow-up (*rho* = -0.20, p = 0.008, Figure 5b). Higher CAIDE scores were significantly associated with poorer performance.

Figure 5 about here please

Impact of risk on LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity and brain–behavior relationships

There were no significant associations of APOE *ε4*, family history, or CAIDE scores with the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity (Supplementary Table 6a, 6b, 6c), at baseline or follow-up. Additionally, there were no longitudinal changes on functional connectivity.

At baseline, none of the risk factors moderated the relationship between functional connectivity and cognition (Supplementary Figures 7-8). At follow-up, the multiple linear regression model with CAIDE, LC–Hippocampus FC, and CAIDE × LC-Hippocampus FC interaction term as independent variables, and verbal and visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory as the dependent variable was significant [$F_{(3, 145)} = 4.06$, $R^2 = 0.08$, $p = 0.008$] (Supplementary Table 8). We observed a significant negative association between the interaction term CAIDE × LC–Hippocampus FC and verbal and visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory [β (*SE*) = -0.33 (0.11), $p = 0.003$]. The Johnson-Neyman plot shows the relationship between the slope of the LC–Hippocampus FC on cognition with the CAIDE score (Figure. 6d). In individuals with low CAIDE scores (<3.16), higher functional connectivity was significantly associated ($p<0.05$) with better verbal, visuospatial functions and short-term (conjunctive) memory. Conversely, we found that in individuals with higher CAIDE scores (>7.78), higher connectivity was significantly associated ($p<0.05$) with worse cognitive performance.

Figure 6 about here please

Discussion

It is well acknowledged that AD pathological processes start decades before clinical manifestations, but the brain mechanism of sporadic AD and its interactions with risk factors in midlife remain unclear. To address this gap, we examined whether risk factors for late-life AD are associated with disrupted connectivity between two key structures in AD pathophysiology – the LC and hippocampus – and its role in cognition, in a cohort of middle-aged and cognitively healthy individuals. We found that APOE Ɛ4 allele was significantly associated with better performance in verbal, spatial and relational memory. Higher CAIDE scores were significantly associated with worse performance in verbal, visuospatial functions and short-term (conjunctive) memory. Critically, the CAIDE dementia risk score moderated the relationship between cognition and LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity. In individuals with low (=<3) CAIDE scores, higher functional connectivity was significantly associated with better cognition. By contrast, in individuals with high (>=8) CAIDE scores, higher functional connectivity was significantly associated with worse cognition. This novel result advances our understanding of early brain–behaviour relationship alterations due to incipient AD in preclinical populations.

APOE ε4 allele was significantly associated with better cognition in a composite domain comprising verbal, spatial and relational memory at baseline, with a similar trend effect at follow-up, independently of sex, age and years of education. Previous research has suggested that the APOE ε4 allele, although being associated with poorer health outcomes in old age, may have a positive effect earlier in life. For example, it has been associated with better visual perception (Ritchie et al., 2017), short-term memory advantage (Zokaei et al., 2020), higher intelligence quotient and a more economic use of memory-related neural resources in

young healthy humans (Mondadori et al., 2007). Our finding further suggests that APOE ε4 allele is associated with better cognition in cognitively healthy middle-aged individuals.

In contrast, we found that higher CAIDE dementia risk scores were significantly associated with worse cognition in a composite domain comprising verbal, visuospatial functions and short-term (conjunctive) memory, both at baseline and at follow-up. This result was consistent with previous studies from the same cohort that have reported significant negative associations of CAIDE scores with visuospatial functions and navigational abilities (Ritchie et al., 2018; Ritchie et al., 2017). We did not observe a longitudinal effect, similarly to other studies of this cohort (Dounavi et al., 2021; Low et al., 2021), possibly due to the relatively young age range of the sample and the short follow-up window (Ritchie et al., 2018; Ritchie et al., 2017). We caution that the interpretability of the effect of risk on each individual function is limited by their composite assessment in this study and requires individuation in future studies with a longer longitudinal follow-up window.

The key question in this study, however, was to examine whether risk factors impact the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity and its role in cognition. The novel result of this study is that CAIDE scores moderated the relationship between LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity and cognition, at follow-up. In individuals with low CAIDE scores (=<3) higher functional connectivity was significantly associated with better verbal, visuospatial functions and short-term (conjunctive) memory. Animal studies have demonstrated that the co-release of noradrenaline and dopamine, two important neurotransmitters, from LC terminals in the hippocampus is critical for successful spatial learning and memory (James et al., 2021; Kaufman et al., 2020; Kempadoo et al., 2016). Human studies in healthy older adults are consistent with these data and show that higher LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity is significantly associated with better memory (Jacobs et al., 2015). Our finding is consistent

with these previous studies, and advances understanding by showing that the connectivity between the LC and the hippocampus supports cognition in middle-aged individuals, who have low dementia risk scores.

Conversely, in individuals with higher CAIDE scores (>=8), higher connectivity was significantly associated with worse cognitive performance. The presence of this effect in the follow-up, but not the baseline dataset is likely due to the older age of the cohort at follow-up. As the CAIDE score captures primarily cardiovascular risk, our results suggest that, unlike individuals with low cardiovascular risk, in the presence of higher cardiovascular risk there is no positive association between cognition and functional connectivity of the LC and the hippocampus. A CAIDE of more than 12 confers a probability of 16.4% for future dementia, with scores above 8 associated with a probability of more than 4% (Kivipelto et al., 2006). In a study on individuals with a mean age of 46, subjects with a score greater than 8 had a 29% 40-year risk for dementia (Exalto et al., 2014). These findings suggest that a proportion of this study's participants, who have CAIDE greater than 8, will develop dementia. Assuming that tau pathology is underway in this proportion of individuals with high dementia risk scores, our result lends indirect support to the hypothesis that LC hyperactivity and associated hyper-connectivity initiates the spread of pathological tau to MTL, and particularly the hippocampus, in the early stages of AD. The ensuing neurodegeneration may explain why hyper-connectivity is associated with worse performance in individuals with high dementia risk scores. This result sheds light on the brain-mechanism of incipient AD neuropathology in individuals, who are at high risk for late-life dementia, but presently cognitively healthy. Further studies from the continuing longitudinal follow-up of this cohort will validate the role and nature of these changes in ongoing AD neuropathology.

The PREVENT-Dementia study is a longitudinal multi-site study targeted at identifying early biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease. In this study, we provide early proof that the recruited cohort, with a mean age of 52/54 years at baseline/follow-up, did not when genetic risk was considered demonstrate alterations of brain–behaviour relationships that support the putative mechanism of tau pathology spread during incipient AD. It was only when a risk score incorporating lifestyle factors, sex and age was considered, that alterations were unravelled. Strengths of this study are its large well-characterized middle-aged cohort. State-of-the-art analysis methods and a thorough quality control protocol were applied to the acquired data. Limitations include the short follow-up window of the study and the absence of further well-established preclinical biomarkers such as amyloid and tau status.

In summary, in the present study, we have shown that cognitively healthy middle-aged participants demonstrate a disrupted role of LC–Hippocampus connectivity in cognition with an increasing CAIDE score. APOE4 genotype or FHD did not moderate this brain–behaviour relationship. Furthermore, we did not observe any effects of sex, and the moderation was maintained when age was additionally controlled for in the model. Taken together, these results provide strong evidence that brain–behaviour alterations in individuals with higher CAIDE scores may be driven by lifestyle risk factors included in this dementia risk score (i.e., blood pressure, cholesterol, physical activity, body mass index, years of education).

Hence, these findings highlight the importance of considering modifiable risk factors when stratifying risk populations, or potentially designing randomized control trials. Our previous work in this cohort has demonstrated that modifiable lifestyle factors affect cognition, particularly in individuals at high risk for late-life AD (Heneghan et al., 2022) and lends further support to this idea. In fact, a randomized multi-domain control trial in the FINGER population (mean age 70 years old) over two years demonstrated that the applied lifestyle and

vascular interventions had an impact on cognition (Stephen et al., 2019). Hence, further investigation of pathological alterations in relation to modifiable risk factors in middle-age is warranted to unveil the sequalae of alterations leading to dementia.

Acknowledgement

F.D. was funded by the Provost PhD Award Scheme from Trinity College Dublin, to L.N. L.N. was also funded by a L'Oréal-UNESCO for Women In Science International Rising Talent Award, the Welcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support grant, and the Global Brain Health Institute Project Grant.

The PREVENT-Dementia study is supported by the UK Alzheimer's Society (grant numbers 178 and 264), the US Alzheimer's Association (grant number TriBEKa-17-519007) and philanthropic donations.

We thank all PREVENT-Dementia participants for their enthusiastic participation in this study. We also thank the Research Delivery service at West London NHS Trust and the Wolfson Clinical Imaging Facility at Imperial College London for their support in running the study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References:

Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Amaral, D. G., & Sinnamon, H. M. (1977). The locus coeruleus: neurobiology of a central noradrenergic nucleus. *Prog Neurobiol*, *9*(3), 147-196. https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-0082(77)90016-8

Anderson, J. S., Druzgal, T. J., Lopez-Larson, M., Jeong, E. K., Desai, K., & Yurgelun-Todd, D. (2011). Network anticorrelations, global regression, and phase-shifted soft tissue correction. *Hum Brain Mapp*, *32*(6), 919-934. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.21079

Arnsten, A. F. T., Datta, D., Del Tredici, K., & Braak, H. (2021). Hypothesis: Tau pathology is an initiating factor in sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *17*(1), 115-124. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12192

Aston-Jones, G., & Cohen, J. D. (2005). An integrative theory of locus coeruleus-norepinephrine function: adaptive gain and optimal performance. *Annu Rev Neurosci*, *28*, 403-450. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.28.061604.135709

Barnes, D. E., Beiser, A. S., Lee, A., Langa, K. M., Koyama, A., Preis, S. R., Neuhaus, J., McCammon, R. J., Yaffe, K., Seshadri, S., Haan, M. N., & Weir, D. R. (2014). Development and validation of a brief dementia screening indicator for primary care. *Alzheimers Dement*, *10*(6), 656-665.e651. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2013.11.006

Barnes, D. E., & Yaffe, K. (2011). The projected effect of risk factor reduction on Alzheimer's disease prevalence. *Lancet Neurol*, *10*(9), 819-828. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(11)70072-2

Bauer, D. J., & Curran, P. J. (2005). Probing Interactions in Fixed and Multilevel Regression: Inferential and Graphical Techniques. *Multivariate Behav Res*, *40*(3), 373-400. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr4003_5

Berti, V., Mosconi, L., Glodzik, L., Li, Y., Murray, J., De Santi, S., Pupi, A., Tsui, W., & De Leon, M. J. (2011). Structural brain changes in normal individuals with a maternal history of Alzheimer's. *Neurobiol Aging*, *32*(12), 2325.e2317-2326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2011.01.001

Braak, H., & Braak, E. (1991). Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. *Acta Neuropathol*, *82*(4), 239-259. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00308809

Braak, H., & Del Tredici, K. (2015). Neuroanatomy and pathology of sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Adv Anat Embryol Cell Biol*, *215*, 1-162.

Braak, H., Thal, D. R., Ghebremedhin, E., & Del Tredici, K. (2011). Stages of the pathologic process in Alzheimer disease: age categories from 1 to 100 years. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*, *70*(11), 960-969. https://doi.org/10.1097/NEN.0b013e318232a379

Cusack, R., Vicente-Grabovetsky, A., Mitchell, D. J., Wild, C. J., Auer, T., Linke, A. C., & Peelle, J. E. (2015). Automatic analysis (aa): efficient neuroimaging workflows and parallel processing using Matlab and XML. *Front Neuroinform*, *8*, 90. https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2014.00090

Deckers, K., van Boxtel, M. P., Schiepers, O. J., de Vugt, M., Muñoz Sánchez, J. L., Anstey, K. J., Brayne, C., Dartigues, J. F., Engedal, K., Kivipelto, M., Ritchie, K., Starr, J. M., Yaffe, K., Irving, K., Verhey, F. R., & Köhler, S. (2015). Target risk factors for dementia prevention: a systematic review and Delphi consensus study on the evidence from observational studies. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*, *30*(3), 234-246. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4245

Del Cerro, I., Villarreal, M. F., Abulafia, C., Duarte-Abritta, B., Sánchez, S. M., Castro, M. N., Bocaccio, H., Ferrer, I., Menchón, J. M., Sevlever, G., Nemeroff, C. B., Soriano-Mas, C., & Guinjoan, S. M. (2020). Disrupted functional connectivity of the locus

coeruleus in healthy adults with parental history of Alzheimer's disease. *J Psychiatr Res*, *123*, 81-88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020.01.018

Donix, M., Small, G. W., & Bookheimer, S. Y. (2012). Family history and APOE-4 genetic risk in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychol Rev*, *22*(3), 298-309. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-012-9193-2

Dounavi, M.-E., Low, A., Mckiernan, E. F., Mak, E., Muniz-Terrera, G., Ritchie, K., Ritchie, C. W., Su, L., & O'Brien, J. T. (2021). Evidence of cerebral hemodynamic dysregulation in middle-aged APOE ε4 carriers: The PREVENT-Dementia study. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism*, *41*(11), 2844-2855. https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678x211020863

Dounavi, M.-E., Newton, C., Jenkins, N., Mak, E., Low, A., Muniz-Terrera, G., Williams, G. B., Lawlor, B., Naci, L., Malhotra, P., Mackay, C. E., Koychev, I., Ritchie, K., Ritchie, C. W., Su, L., & O'Brien, J. T. (2022). Macrostructural brain alterations at midlife are connected to cardiovascular and not inherited risk of future dementia: the PREVENT-Dementia study. *Journal of Neurology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-022-11061-7

Dounavi, M. E., Mak, E., Wells, K., Ritchie, K., Ritchie, C. W., Su, L., & JT, O. B. (2020). Volumetric alterations in the hippocampal subfields of subjects at increased risk of dementia. *Neurobiol Aging*, *91*, 36-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2020.03.006

Ehrenberg, A. J., Nguy, A. K., Theofilas, P., Dunlop, S., Suemoto, C. K., Di Lorenzo Alho, A. T., . . . Grinberg, L. T. (2017). Quantifying the accretion of hyperphosphorylated tau in the locus coeruleus and dorsal raphe nucleus: the pathological building blocks of early Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol*, *43*(5), 393-408. https://doi.org/10.1111/nan.12387

Exalto, L. G., Quesenberry, C. P., Barnes, D., Kivipelto, M., Biessels, G. J., & Whitmer, R. A. (2014). Midlife risk score for the prediction of dementia four decades later. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *10*(5), 562-570. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2013.05.1772

Fayosse, A., Nguyen, D. P., Dugravot, A., Dumurgier, J., Tabak, A. G., Kivimäki, M., Sabia, S., & Singh-Manoux, A. (2020). Risk prediction models for dementia: role of age and cardiometabolic risk factors. *BMC Med*, *18*(1), 107. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01578-x

Giannakopoulos, P., Herrmann, F. R., Bussière, T., Bouras, C., Kövari, E., Perl, D. P., Morrison, J. H., Gold, G., & Hof, P. R. (2003). Tangle and neuron numbers, but not amyloid load, predict cognitive status in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*, *60*(9), 1495-1500. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000063311.58879.01

Gilvesy, A., Husen, E., Magloczky, Z., Mihaly, O., Hortobágyi, T., Kanatani, S., . . . Adori, C. (2022). Spatiotemporal characterization of cellular tau pathology in the human locus coeruleus-pericoerulear complex by three-dimensional imaging. *Acta Neuropathol*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-022-02477-6

Hair Jr., J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1998). *Multivariate Data Analysis (5th ed.)*. Prentice-Hall: London.

Heneghan, A., Deng, F., Wells, K., Ritchie, K., Muniz-Terrera, G., Ritchie, C. W., Lawlor, B., & Naci, L. (2022). Modifiable lifestyle activities affect cognition in cognitively healthy middle-aged individuals at risk for late-life Alzheimer's Disease. *medRxiv.*

Horn, J. (1965). A rationale and test for the number of factors in factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, *30*, 179-185.

Hsieh, S., Schubert, S., Hoon, C., Mioshi, E., & Hodges, J. R. (2013). Validation of the Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination III in frontotemporal dementia and

Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*, *36*(3-4), 242-250. https://doi.org/10.1159/000351671

Irwin, K., Sexton, C., Daniel, T., Lawlor, B., & Naci, L. (2018). Healthy Aging and Dementia: Two Roads Diverging in Midlife? *Front Aging Neurosci*, *10*, 275. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2018.00275

Jacobs, H. I., Wiese, S., van de Ven, V., Gronenschild, E. H., Verhey, F. R., & Matthews, P. M. (2015). Relevance of parahippocampal-locus coeruleus connectivity to memory in early dementia. *Neurobiol Aging*, *36*(2), 618-626. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2014.10.041

Jacobs, H. I. L., Becker, J. A., Kwong, K., Engels-Domínguez, N., Prokopiou, P. C., Papp, K. V., Properzi, M., Hampton, O. L., d'Oleire Uquillas, F., Sanchez, J. S., Rentz, D. M., El Fakhri, G., Normandin, M. D., Price, J. C., Bennett, D. A., Sperling, R. A., & Johnson, K. A. (2021). In vivo and neuropathology data support locus coeruleus integrity as indicator of Alzheimer's disease pathology and cognitive decline. *Sci Transl Med*, *13*(612), eabj2511. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abj2511

Jacobs, H. I. L., Becker, J. A., Kwong, K., Munera, D., Ramirez-Gomez, L., Engels-Domínguez, N., Sanchez, J. S., Vila-Castelar, C., Baena, A., Sperling, R. A., Johnson, K. A., Lopera, F., & Quiroz, Y. T. (2022). Waning locus coeruleus integrity precedes cortical tau accrual in preclinical autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12656

James, T., Kula, B., Choi, S., Khan, S. S., Bekar, L. K., & Smith, N. A. (2021). Locus coeruleus in memory formation and Alzheimer's disease. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *54*(8), 6948-6959. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.15045

Jansen, W. J., Ossenkoppele, R., Knol, D. L., Tijms, B. M., Scheltens, P., Verhey, F. R., Visser, P. J., Aalten, P., Aarsland, D., Alcolea, D., Alexander, M., Almdahl, I. S.,

Arnold, S. E., Baldeiras, I., Barthel, H., van Berckel, B. N., Bibeau, K., Blennow, K., Brooks, D. J., . . . Zetterberg, H. (2015). Prevalence of cerebral amyloid pathology in persons without dementia: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*, *313*(19), 1924-1938. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.4668

Johnson, P. O., & Fay, L. C. (1950). The Johnson-Neyman technique, its theory and application. *Psychometrika*, *15*(4), 349-367. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02288864

Jolliffe, I. T., & Cadima, J. (2016). Principal component analysis: a review and recent developments. *Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci*, *374*(2065), 20150202. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2015.0202.

Kaiser, H. F. (1958). The varimax criterion for analytic rotation in factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, *23*(3), 187-200. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02289233

Kametani, F., & Hasegawa, M. (2018). Reconsideration of Amyloid Hypothesis and Tau Hypothesis in Alzheimer's Disease. *Front Neurosci*, *12*, 25. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2018.00025

Kaufman, A. M., Geiller, T., & Losonczy, A. (2020). A Role for the Locus Coeruleus in Hippocampal CA1 Place Cell Reorganization during Spatial Reward Learning. *Neuron*, *105*(6), 1018-1026.e1014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.12.029

Kempadoo, K. A., Mosharov, E. V., Choi, S. J., Sulzer, D., & Kandel, E. R. (2016). Dopamine release from the locus coeruleus to the dorsal hippocampus promotes spatial learning and memory. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *113*(51), 14835-14840. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616515114

Kerchner, G. A., Berdnik, D., Shen, J. C., Bernstein, J. D., Fenesy, M. C., Deutsch, G. K., . . . Rutt, B. K. (2014). APOE ε4 worsens hippocampal CA1 apical neuropil atrophy and episodic memory. *Neurology*, *82*(8), 691-697. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.0000000000000154

Kivipelto, M., Ngandu, T., Laatikainen, T., Winblad, B., Soininen, H., & Tuomilehto, J. (2006). Risk score for the prediction of dementia risk in 20 years among middle aged people: a longitudinal, population-based study. *The Lancet Neurology*, *5*(9), 735-741. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(06)70537-3

La Joie, R., Ayakta, N., Seeley, W. W., Borys, E., Boxer, A. L., DeCarli, C., Doré, V., Grinberg, L. T., Huang, E., Hwang, J. H., Ikonomovic, M. D., Jack, C., Jr., Jagust, W. J., Jin, L. W., Klunk, W. E., Kofler, J., Lesman-Segev, O. H., Lockhart, S. N., Lowe, V. J., . . . Rabinovici, G. D. (2019). Multisite study of the relationships between antemortem [(11)C]PIB-PET Centiloid values and postmortem measures of Alzheimer's disease neuropathology. *Alzheimers Dement*, *15*(2), 205-216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.09.001

Lambert, J. C., Ibrahim-Verbaas, C. A., Harold, D., Naj, A. C., Sims, R., Bellenguez, C., DeStafano, A. L., Bis, J. C., Beecham, G. W., Grenier-Boley, B., Russo, G., Thorton-Wells, T. A., Jones, N., Smith, A. V., Chouraki, V., Thomas, C., Ikram, M. A., Zelenika, D., Vardarajan, B. N., . . . Amouyel, P. (2013). Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet*, *45*(12), 1452-1458. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2802

Liu, C. C., Liu, C. C., Kanekiyo, T., Xu, H., & Bu, G. (2013). Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer disease: risk, mechanisms and therapy. *Nat Rev Neurol*, *9*(2), 106-118. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2012.263

Livingston, G., Huntley, J., Sommerlad, A., Ames, D., Ballard, C., Banerjee, S., Brayne, C., Burns, A., Cohen-Mansfield, J., Cooper, C., Costafreda, S. G., Dias, A., Fox, N., Gitlin, L. N., Howard, R., Kales, H. C., Kivimäki, M., Larson, E. B., Ogunniyi, A., . . . Mukadam, N. (2020). Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020

report of the Lancet Commission. *The Lancet*, *396*(10248), 413-446. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30367-6

Livingston, G., Sommerlad, A., Orgeta, V., Costafreda, S. G., Huntley, J., Ames, D., Ballard, C., Banerjee, S., Burns, A., Cohen-Mansfield, J., Cooper, C., Fox, N., Gitlin, L. N., Howard, R., Kales, H. C., Larson, E. B., Ritchie, K., Rockwood, K., Sampson, E. L., . . . Mukadam, N. (2017). Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. *Lancet*, *390*(10113), 2673-2734. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(17)31363-6

Lorenzo-Seva, U., & ten Berge, J. M. F. (2006). Tucker's congruence coefficient as a meaningful index of factor similarity. *Methodology: European Journal of Research Methods for the Behavioral and Social Sciences*, *2*(2), 57-64. https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-2241.2.2.57

Low, A., Su, L., Stefaniak, J. D., Mak, E., Dounavi, M. E., Muniz-Terrera, G., Ritchie, K., Ritchie, C. W., Markus, H. S., & O'Brien, J. T. (2021). Inherited risk of dementia and the progression of cerebral small vessel disease and inflammatory markers in cognitively healthy midlife adults: the PREVENT-Dementia study. *Neurobiol Aging*, *98*, 124-133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2020.10.029

Mondadori, C. R. A., De Quervain, D. J.-F., Buchmann, A., Mustovic, H., Wollmer, M. A., Schmidt, C. F., Boesiger, P., Hock, C., Nitsch, R. M., Papassotiropoulos, A., & Henke, K. (2007). Better Memory and Neural Efficiency in Young Apolipoprotein E 4 Carriers. *Cerebral Cortex*, *17*(8), 1934-1947. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhl103

Murphy, K., Birn, R. M., Handwerker, D. A., Jones, T. B., & Bandettini, P. A. (2009). The impact of global signal regression on resting state correlations: are anti-correlated networks introduced? *NeuroImage*, *44*(3), 893-905. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.09.036

Parra, M. A., Abrahams, S., Logie, R. H., Méndez, L. G., Lopera, F., & Della Sala, S. (2010). Visual short-term memory binding deficits in familial Alzheimer's disease. *Brain*, *133*(9), 2702-2713. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awq148

Power, J. D., Barnes, K. A., Snyder, A. Z., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2012). Spurious but systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. *NeuroImage*, *59*(3), 2142-2154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.10.018

Power, J. D., Mitra, A., Laumann, T. O., Snyder, A. Z., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2014). Methods to detect, characterize, and remove motion artifact in resting state fMRI. *NeuroImage*, *84*, 320-341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.08.048

Prokopiou, P. C., Engels-Domínguez, N., Papp, K. V., Scott, M. R., Schultz, A. P., Schneider, C., Farrell, M. E., Buckley, R. F., Quiroz, Y. T., El Fakhri, G., Rentz, D. M., Sperling, R. A., Johnson, K. A., & Jacobs, H. I. L. (2022). Lower novelty-related locus coeruleus function is associated with Aβ-related cognitive decline in clinically healthy individuals. *Nature Communications*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28986-2

Ritchie, C. W., & Ritchie, K. (2012). The PREVENT study: a prospective cohort study to identify mid-life biomarkers of late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *BMJ Open*, *2*(6), e001893. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001893

Ritchie, K., Carrière, I., Gregory, S., Watermeyer, T., Danso, S., Su, L., Ritchie, C. W., & O'Brien, J. T. (2021). Trauma and depressive symptomatology in middle-aged persons at high risk of dementia: the PREVENT Dementia Study. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, *92*(1), 16-21. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2020-323823

Ritchie, K., Carrière, I., Howett, D., Su, L., Hornberger, M., O'Brien, J. T., Ritchie, C. W., & Chan, D. (2018). Allocentric and Egocentric Spatial Processing in Middle-Aged Adults at High Risk of Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease: The PREVENT Dementia Study. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, *65*(3), 885-896. https://doi.org/10.3233/jad-180432

Ritchie, K., Carrière, I., Ritchie, C. W., Berr, C., Artero, S., & Ancelin, M. L. (2010). Designing prevention programmes to reduce incidence of dementia: prospective cohort study of modifiable risk factors. *Bmj*, *341*, c3885. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c3885

Ritchie, K., Carrière, I., Su, L., O'Brien, J. T., Lovestone, S., Wells, K., & Ritchie, C. W. (2017). The midlife cognitive profiles of adults at high risk of late-onset Alzheimer's disease: The PREVENT study. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *13*(10), 1089-1097. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2017.02.008

Ritchie, K., de Roquefeuil, G., Ritchie, C., Besset, A., Poulain, V., Artero, S., Ancelin, M. L. (2014). COGNITO: computerized assessment of information processing. *Journal of Psychology & Psychotherapy*, *4*(2). https://doi.org/10.4172/2161-0487.1000136

Salvador, R., Martínez, A., Pomarol-Clotet, E., Gomar, J., Vila, F., Sarró, S., Capdevila, A., & Bullmore, E. (2008). A simple view of the brain through a frequency-specific functional connectivity measure. *NeuroImage*, *39*(1), 279-289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.08.018

Sara, S.J., 2009. The locus coeruleus and noradrenergic modulation of cognition. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 10, 211–223.

Satterthwaite, T. D., Elliott, M. A., Gerraty, R. T., Ruparel, K., Loughead, J., Calkins, M. E., Eickhoff, S. B., Hakonarson, H., Gur, R. C., Gur, R. E., & Wolf, D. H. (2013). An improved framework for confound regression and filtering for control of motion

artifact in the preprocessing of resting-state functional connectivity data. *NeuroImage*, *64*, 240-256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.08.052

Scarabino, D., Gambina, G., Broggio, E., Pelliccia, F., & Corbo, R. M. (2016). Influence of family history of dementia in the development and progression of late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*, *171b*(2), 250-256. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.32399

Sindi, S., Calov, E., Fokkens, J., Ngandu, T., Soininen, H., Tuomilehto, J., & Kivipelto, M. (2015). The CAIDE Dementia Risk Score App: The development of an evidence-based mobile application to predict the risk of dementia. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)*, *1*(3), 328-333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2015.06.005

Sperling, R. A., Aisen, P. S., Beckett, L. A., Bennett, D. A., Craft, S., Fagan, A. M., Iwatsubo, T., Jack, C. R., Kaye, J., Montine, T. J., Park, D. C., Reiman, E. M., Rowe, C. C., Siemers, E., Stern, Y., Yaffe, K., Carrillo, M. C., Thies, B., Morrison-Bogorad, M., . . . Phelps, C. H. (2011). Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *7*(3), 280-292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.003

Stephen, R., Liu, Y., Ngandu, T., Antikainen, R., Hulkkonen, J., Koikkalainen, J., Kemppainen, N., Lötjönen, J., Levälahti, E., Parkkola, R., Pippola, P., Rinne, J., Strandberg, T., Tuomilehto, J., Vanninen, R., Kivipelto, M., Soininen, H., & Solomon, A. (2019). Brain volumes and cortical thickness on MRI in the Finnish Geriatric Intervention Study to Prevent Cognitive Impairment and Disability (FINGER). *Alzheimers Res Ther*, *11*(1), 53. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-019-0506-z

Stevens, J. P. (1992). *Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences (2nd ed.)*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

Sun, W., Tang, Y., Qiao, Y., Ge, X., Mather, M., Ringman, J. M., & Shi, Y. (2020). A probabilistic atlas of locus coeruleus pathways to transentorhinal cortex for connectome imaging in Alzheimer's disease. *NeuroImage*, *223*, 117301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117301

Tona, K. D., Keuken, M. C., de Rover, M., Lakke, E., Forstmann, B. U., Nieuwenhuis, S., & van Osch, M. J. P. (2017). In vivo visualization of the locus coeruleus in humans: quantifying the test-retest reliability. *Brain Struct Funct*, *222*(9), 4203-4217. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-017-1464-5

Van Egroo, M., van Hooren, R. W. E., & Jacobs, H. I. L. (2021). Associations between locus coeruleus integrity and nocturnal awakenings in the context of Alzheimer's disease plasma biomarkers: a 7T MRI study. *Alzheimers Res Ther*, *13*(1), 159. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-021-00902-8

Vilor-Tejedor, N., Evans, T. E., Adams, H. H., González-de-Echávarri, J. M., Molinuevo, J. L., Guigo, R., . . . Operto, G. (2021). Genetic Influences on Hippocampal Subfields: An Emerging Area of Neuroscience Research. *Neurol Genet*, *7*(3), e591. https://doi.org/10.1212/nxg.0000000000000591

Weinshenker, D. (2018). Long Road to Ruin: Noradrenergic Dysfunction in Neurodegenerative Disease. *Trends Neurosci*, *41*(4), 211-223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2018.01.010

World Health Organization. (2021). Global status report on the public health response to dementia.

Zokaei, N., Grogan, J., Fallon, S. J., Slavkova, E., Hadida, J., Manohar, S., . . . Husain, M. (2020). Short-term memory advantage for brief durations in human APOE ε4 carriers. *Sci Rep*, *10*(1), 9503. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66114-6

Zuo, X. N., Di Martino, A., Kelly, C., Shehzad, Z. E., Gee, D. G., Klein, D. F., Castellanos, F. X., Biswal, B. B., & Milham, M. P. (2010). The oscillating brain: complex and reliable. *NeuroImage*, *49*(2), 1432-1445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.09.037

Figures and Legends

Table 1. Demographic specifications of the cohort at baseline and follow-up based on the dementia family history and on APOE genotype

	Baseline			Follow-up		
	FHD- (n=107)	FHD+ (n=103)	*p* (Mann-Whitney U)	FHD- (n=89)	FHD+ (n=99)	*p* (Mann-Whitney U)
Age (y)	52.0 ± 11.0	53.0 ± 7.0	0.52	54.0 ± 10.0	55.0 ± 6.0	0.22
Years of Education	16.0 ± 5.0	16.0 ± 5.0	0.34	17.0 ± 5.0	16.0 ± 5.0	0.21
CAIDE (incl. APOE status)	5.0 ± 4.0 (n=107)	6.0 ± 2.0 (n=101)	0.03	6.0 ± 4.0 (n=89)	7.0 ± 3.0 (n=93)	0.003
			p (Chi-Square)			*p* (Chi-Square)
Sex (%f)	69.2%	71.8%	0.67	69.7%	71.7%	0.76
APOE ε4 (% Carriers)	28.0% (n=107)	44.6% (n=101)	0.01	28.1% (n=89)	44.3% (n=97)	0.02
	APOE ε4- (n=133)	APOE ε4+ (n=75)	*p* (Mann-Whitney U)	APOE ε4- (n=118)	APOE ε4+ (n=68)	*p* (Mann-Whitney U)
Age (y)	53.0 ± 8.0	52.0 ± 8.0	0.06	55.0 ± 8.0	54.5 ± 8.0	0.08
Years of Education	16.0 ± 5.0	17.0 ± 4.5	0.51	16.0 ± 4.8	17.0 ± 5.0	0.47
CAIDE (incl. APOE status)	5.0 ± 3.0 (n=133)	7.0 ± 3.0 (n=75)	0.0003	6.0 ± 3.0 (n=118)	7.0 ± 4.0 (n=64)	0.0002
CAIDE (excl. APOE status)	5.0 ± 2.0 (n=133)	4.0 ± 3.0 (n=75)	0.12	5.0 ± 2.0 (n=118)	4.5 ± 3.0 (n=64)	0.25
			p (Chi-Square)			*p* (Chi-Square)

Sex (%f)	69.9%	70.7%	0.91	71.2%	69.1%	0.77

Note: median ± interquartile range (IQR) was reported for continuous variables. Abbreviations: FHD-, Negative family history of dementia; FHD+, positive family history of dementia; APOE ε4+, Apolipoprotein ε4 genotype positive: APOE ε4-, Apolipoprotein ε4 genotype negative.



Figure 1. The flowchart of functional MRI (fMRI) data processing. (a) The standard preprocessing pipeline for fMRI data. (b) Masks of two regions of interests (ROIs) – locus coeruleus (LC) and hippocampus – superimposed on one participant's T1 in the MNI space. The LC is defined based on a probabilistic atlas provided by Tona et al., 2017. The hippocampus is defined by automated anatomical labelling (AAL) atlas. 3D regions (LC in blue; hippocampus in red) was displayed to show their positions in the brain (left panel). Details about the location of the LC was shown on sagittal section (right panel). The color bar indicates the variability of the spatial location of the LC across individuals in Tona et al., 2017. Voxels with small numbers (in cool colors) show large anatomical variability in spatial location and voxels with large numbers (in warm colors) show less such variability. These numbers are used as weights when extracting the time series of the LC for each participant in the present study. (c) Three exclusion criteria were used to exclude participants. The LC is

superimposed on one participant's smoothed fMRI data. This is an example of the inadequate FOV for bilateral coverage of the LC. (d) Functional connectivity was calculated between the bilateral LC (in blue) and hippocampus (in red). Abbreviation: FOV, field of view.



Figure 2. Data reduction of cognitive information. (a) Scree plots and parallel analysis for the baseline and follow-up datasets. Eigenvalues of the principal components obtained from the actual data (in blue line) were compared to those of random simulated data (mean values in grey line and 95th percentile in red line). Eigenvalues larger than 95th percentile of the randomly generated 500 eigenvalues (simulation) determined the number of components. (b) The three extracted components were rotated to be uncorrelated with each other. The proportion of variance explained by each component, and the cumulative variance explained by the three are presented for the baseline and follow-up datasets. Abbreviations: C1 = component 1; C2 = component 2; C3 = component 3.



Figure 3. Component (C) coefficients and Tucker's congruence coefficients between baseline and follow-up. (a) The coefficients for 13 original cognitive measures (in rows) on the three components (in columns). A larger absolute coefficient (darker colors and larger solid circles) represents a closer relationship between the cognitive measure and corresponding component. Cool/warm colors represent the positive/negative relationships between cognitive measures and components. (b) Similarities among the components across baseline (in rows) and follow-up (in columns) were measured by Tucker's congruence coefficients. The diagonal values represented the similarities for each of the components with itself across time and off diagonal values indicated the similarities for each of the components with the other two components across time. Cool/warm colors represent the positive/negative relationships with darker colors representing correlation magnitude, as shown in the color-bar scale. Abbreviations: VSTMBT, visual short-term memory binding test; diff, difference.



Figure 4. The effect of APOE genotype on verbal, spatial and relational memory at (a) baseline and at (b) follow-up. *p<0.05. The dashed line represents a trend effect (p=0.07).



Figure 5. The associations between the CAIDE dementia risk score and verbal and visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory at (a) baseline and at (b) follow-up. Abbreviation: CAIDE, Cardiovascular risk factor, Aging, and Incidence of Dementia.



Figure 6. The moderation of brain–behaviour relationship by the CAIDE score. The association between LC–Hippocampus FC and verbal and visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory at (a) baseline and (b) follow-up. This brain-behaviour relationship was plotted at the fixed values of CAIDE (mean and ± 1 SD) to show the direction of the relationship. The CAIDE score moderated significantly this brain-behaviour relationship at follow-up. Johnson-Neyman plots show the specific range of CAIDE scores where FC was significantly associated with cognition at (c) baseline and (d) follow-up. The bolded horizontal line shows the range of CAIDE scores of our cohort. Two blue dashed vertical lines indicate the interval of significant (blue area) and non-significant (pink area) brain-behaviour relationship. At follow-up, there was a significant positive relationship between FC and cognition for individuals with a CAIDE score < 3.16 and a significant

negative relationship for individuals with a CAIDE score > 7.78. Abbreviations: LC = locus coeruleus; CAIDE = Cardiovascular risk factor, Aging, and Incidence of Dementia; FC = functional connectivity; SD = standard deviation; n.s. = not significant.