Title

Cardiovascular risk of dementia is associated with brain–behaviour changes in cognitively healthy, middle-aged individuals

Authors

Feng Deng [ab], Maria-Eleni Dounavi [c], Emanuele R. G. Plini [a], Karen Ritchie [d], Graciela Muniz-Terrera [ef], Siobhan Hutchinson [g], Paresh Malhotra [h], Craig W Ritchie [e], Brian Lawlor [ab], Lorina Naci [ab*]

Affiliations

[a] Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience, School of Psychology, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland.

[b] Global Brain Health Institute, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

[c] Department of Psychiatry, School of Clinical Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0SP, UK

[d] U1061 Neuropsychiatry, INSERM, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France

[e] Edinburgh Dementia Prevention, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

[f] Department of Social medicine, Ohio University, USA

[g] Department of Neurology, St James's Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

[h] Department of Brain Science, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, UK

[*] **Corresponding author:**

Lorina Naci

School of Psychology

Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience

Global Brain Health Institute

Trinity College Dublin

Dublin, Ireland

Email: nacil@tcd.ie

Abstract

Alzheimer's Disease (AD) neuropathology start decades before clinical manifestations, but whether risk factors are associated with early cognitive and brain changes in midlife remains poorly understood. We examined whether AD risk factors were associated with cognition and functional connectivity (FC) between the Locus Coeruleus (LC) and hippocampus – two key brain structures in AD neuropathology – cross-sectionally and longitudinally in cognitively healthy midlife individuals. Neuropsychological assessments and functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging were obtained at baseline (N=210), and two-years follow-up (N=188). Associations of cognition and FC with apolipoprotein ε4 (APOE ε4) genotype, family history of dementia, and the Cardiovascular Risk Factors, Aging, and Incidence of Dementia (CAIDE) score were investigated. Cross-sectionally, higher CAIDE scores were associated with worse cognition. Menopausal status interacted with the CAIDE risk on cognition. Furthermore, the CAIDE score significantly moderated the relationship between cognition and LC–Hippocampus FC. Longitudinally, the LC–Hippocampus FC decreased significantly over 2 years. These results shed light on some of the earliest effects of AD risk on cognition and the brain.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease; Cognition; Locus Coeruleus; Hippocampus; Functional connectivity; Midlife; Cardiovascular risk score

1. Introduction

Dementia, particularly Alzheimer's disease (AD), is a growing epidemic that presents profound challenges to healthcare systems, families, and societies throughout the world (World Health Organization, 2021). Midlife is a critical period for the beginning of AD pathology (Jansen et al., 2015; Sperling et al., 2011) and potentially a unique disease-altering window prior to the manifestation of substantial brain damage. Therefore, there is an urgent need for risk reduction interventions focused on midlife (Barnes & Yaffe, 2011; Livingston et al., 2017; Ritchie et al., 2010). However, the indicators and brain mechanism of AD in midlife remain poorly understood (Irwin et al., 2018; Ritchie et al., 2017).

To investigate early changes, studies in preclinical AD populations have used risk stratification approaches. Key risk factors include the Apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 genotype (Donix et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013), the main genetic risk factor for sporadic late-onset AD in the Indo-European population (Lambert et al., 2013), and family history of dementia (FHD) (Berti et al., 2011; Donix et al., 2012; Scarabino et al., 2016). Additionally, there is growing evidence to suggest that up to 40% of all dementia cases are associated with known modifiable risk factors (Livingston et al., 2020). Among dementia risk scores that incorporate lifestyle risk factors (Barnes et al., 2014; Deckers et al., 2015; Kivipelto et al., 2006), the Cardiovascular Risk Factors Aging and Dementia (CAIDE) score has been optimized for midlife (Kivipelto et al., 2006) and validated in a large US population followed longitudinally over 40 years (Exalto et al., 2014).

AD is neuropathologically characterized by the accumulation of beta-amyloid (Aβ) and hyperphosphorylated tau (pTau) (Braak & Braak, 1991; Braak et al., 2011). Recent studies suggest that tau deposition is a key etiological factor that presages sporadic AD (Arnsten et al., 2021; Jacobs et al., 2021; Kametani & Hasegawa, 2018). Analyses of thousands of human

brains across the lifespan suggest that tau pathology may begin about a decade before formation of Aβ plaques (Braak & Del Tredici, 2015). Additionally, tau pathology correlates with progressive grey matter loss to a greater extent than Aβ (La Joie et al., 2019) and cognitive impairment (Giannakopoulos et al., 2003). Neuropathological findings show that the nucleus locus coeruleus (LC) is one of the subcortical regions where tau pathology starts in late young adulthood and early midlife (30–40 years) (Gilvesy et al., 2022; Ehrenberg et al., 2017; Braak & Braak, 1991) then spreads to the transentorhinal and entorhinal cortex (Braak et al., 2011), to the hippocampal and neocortical association cortex, and finally throughout the neocortex.

It has been suggested that early tau pathology in the LC induces LC hyperactivity, thereby promoting its own spread to interconnected brain regions and facilitating the progression of the disease (Weinshenker, 2018). A recent review of the neuropathological literature across the lifespan (Arnsten et al., 2021) suggests that the spread of tau pathology from the LC to the medial temporal lobe (MTL) captures the earliest stages of incipient AD progression (but see Kaufman et al. (2018) for a differing account). However, alterations of connectivity between the LC and the hippocampus, due to AD risk factors have not previously been studied in midlife preclinical populations.

LC is the earliest site of tau pathology accumulation, and, furthermore, the main brain site of noradrenaline production (Amaral & Sinnamon 1977), and thus the originating node of the brain's arousal system (Sara, 2009; Aston-Jones & Cohen 2005). Therefore, early LC pathology can critically impact arousal and cognitive processes throughout the brain (Mather & Harley, 2016; Betts, Kirilina, et al., 2019). Previous in vivo studies of the LC have investigated healthy lifespan adult cohorts (Betts et al., 2017; Clewett et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2019; Porat et al., 2022), cognitively unimpaired older adults and preclinical

populations with risk for sporadic AD (Jacobs et al., 2021; Prokopiou et al., 2022; Van Egroo et al., 2021), individuals with preclinical autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease (Jacobs et al., 2022), mild cognitive impairment (Jacobs et al., 2015; Takahashi et al., 2015), and Alzheimer's disease (Betts, Cardenas-Blanco, et al., 2019; Dahl et al., 2022; Olivieri et al., 2019; Takahashi et al., 2015) and found significant negative associations of LC integrity with age, age-related cognitive decline (Calarco et al., 2022; Dahl et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020), AD pathology, such as amyloid (Betts, Cardenas-Blanco, et al., 2019) and tau deposition (Dahl et al., 2022; Jacobs et al., 2022), as well as with symptom severity in AD (Sun et al., 2020). Prokopiu et al. (2022) also found that lower novelty-related LC activity and LC to hippocampus and parahippocampus functional connectivity were associated with steeper beta-amyloid-related cognitive decline. However, by contrast to its key importance to cognitive function and etiological role in AD progression, there is very limited in vivo understanding of LC function disruptions during the early preclinical period. One exception is a recent study of asymptomatic midlife adults, offspring of late-onset AD (O-LOAD) patients. Del Cerro and colleagues (2020) found that the connectivity of the LC and cerebellum was significantly associated with delayed recall performance, and reduced in O-LOAD individuals relative to those with no family history.

The hippocampus is one of the first regions to demonstrate atrophy during prodromal AD. Neurofibrillary tangle (NFT) accumulation within the MTL, including the hippocampus, is one of the first hallmark pathological manifestations. Recent studies in cognitively healthy individuals at risk for late-life AD have found that AD risk impacts the hippocampus in midlife (Dounavi et al., 2022; 2020; Kerchner et al., 2014; for a review see Vilor-Tejedor et al. 2021). Dounavi et al. (2020) reported that the volume of the molecular layer of the hippocampus was reduced in cognitively healthy midlife individuals with APOE ε4 genotype. A more recent study (Dounavi et al., 2022) reported that the hippocampal fissure was

enlarged in middle-aged individuals with high CAIDE dementia risk scores.

Critically, the connectivity between the LC and the hippocampus is important for cognition. Animal studies have demonstrated that the co-release of noradrenaline and dopamine from LC terminals in the hippocampus is critical for successful spatial learning and memory (James et al., 2021; Kaufman et al., 2020; Kempadoo et al., 2016). Human studies in healthy older adults are consistent with these data and show that higher LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity is significantly associated with better memory (Jacobs et al., 2015). While accumulating evidence indicates that, individually, the LC and hippocampus are affected in midlife by risk of late-life AD, it remains unknown whether their interactions and joint role in cognition are affected by AD risk at this stage. Understanding of changes in the interaction of LC and the hippocampus during this preclinical period in at-risk populations has the potential to not only shed light on the brain mechanisms of incipient AD, but also to provide urgently needed early disease biomarkers.

To address this gap, we investigated the impact of three risk factors for late-life AD (APOE ε4 genotype, FHD and CAIDE score) on cognition, and its relationship to the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity. We tested a cohort of cognitively healthy middle-aged individuals, at baseline (N=210), and two-years follow-up (N=188) with a detailed neuropsychological battery not restricted to functions usually implicated in dementia detection in the older adults. Structural and functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) data was obtained at both research visits. Our hypotheses were that risk of late-life AD would be significantly associated with cognition, the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity, and their brain–behaviour relationship in middle-aged and cognitively healthy individuals. Furthermore, we expected that risk factors would be associated with longitudinal change of cognition and functional connectivity in this cohort.

143

144 2. Methods

145 2.1 Participants

146 PREVENT-Dementia is an ongoing longitudinal multi-site research programme across the
147 UK and Ireland, seeking to identify early biomarkers of AD and elaborate on risk-mechanism
148 interactions for neurodegenerative diseases decades before the cardinal symptoms of
149 dementia emerge. Its protocol has been described in detail elsewhere (Ritchie & Ritchie,
150 2012). In the first PREVENT programme phase, participants were recruited at a single site,
151 via the dementia register database held at the West London National Health Service (NHS)
152 Trust, of the UK National Health Service, the Join Dementia Research website
153 (https://www.joindementiaresearch.nihr.ac.uk/), through public presentations, social media
154 and word of mouth. Procedures involving experiments on human participants were carried
155 out in accord with the ethical standards of the Institutional Review Board of Imperial College
156 London and in accord with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975. Approval for the study was
157 granted by the NHS Research Ethics Committee London Camberwell St Giles. Consented
158 participants were seen at the West London NHS Trust, where they underwent a range of
159 clinical and cognitive assessments (Ritchie & Ritchie, 2012). The cohort comprised
160 cognitively healthy volunteers aged 40-59 years. Here we examined baseline and follow-up
161 data from the West London dataset. 210 individuals (62 male; 148 female) were tested at
162 baseline, with 188 (89.5%) (55 male; 133 female) retained at two-years follow-up (Table 1).
163 Mild cognitive impairment and dementia were ruled out based on detailed clinical assessment
164 on each visit.

165 At baseline, 2 participants were missing APOE ε4 genotype information, and therefore the
166 CAIDE scores. At follow-up, 6 participants were missing information for calculating their

CAIDE scores, including the 2 missing APOE status. For cognitive tests, 2 participants were missing data at baseline, and 12 at follow-up. Therefore, the cognitive analyses cohort was N=208/N=176 at baseline/follow-up. 193 out of 210 participants finished MRI scanning at baseline, and 169 out of 188 at follow-up. Furthermore, at baseline, 6 were excluded due to incidental findings, and 58 due to not meeting the criterion of > 150 frames with minimal head motion (for details see the following section *2.4 MRI data acquisition and processing*). At follow-up, 3 were excluded due to incidental findings and 73 due to < 150 frames with small head motion. The final fMRI analyses cohort was N = 128/N = 93 at baseline/follow-up. Please see the Supporting Information (SI) file and STable 1–2 and SFigure 1 for a description of any missing data.

2.2 Biological sex

While the dichotomies of sex and gender are no longer considered to be sharply discrete, in this study 'sex' was defined as an individual's natal or biological sex and was self-reported in the Brain Injury Screening Questionnaire. The cohort is 70% female, reflecting higher female interest in the study, possibly due to the known female predisposition to developing Alzheimer's disease.

2.3 Menopausal Status

Menopausal status was classified based on answers from a pregnancy and menstruation survey administered during the clinical assessments. Self-reported menopausal status was determined via answers to the question "Are you postmenopausal?". Those who answered 'Yes' were categorized as postmenopausal, and those who answered 'No' were categorized

190 as premenopausal. Of the 148/210 female participants, 55 (37.16%) were postmenopausal, 73
191 were premenopausal, and 20 who answered 'don't know'. Those who answered 'Don't Know'
192 (20/210) were excluded from further analyses.

193

194 2.4 Assessments

195 2.4.1 Risk factors

196 *APOE ε4 Genotyping*

197 The process of APOE ε4 allele identification is outlined in detail in Ritchie et al. (2017). In
198 brief, genomic DNA was isolated from blood samples and APOE genotyping was performed.
199 All members of the research and clinical teams were blind to the result of APOE genotyping.
200 In this study, APOE ε4 risk is determined by ≥1 APOE ε4 allele. 75/210 carried ≥1 APOE ε4
201 allele (See Table 1). The prevalence of APOE ε2ε4 in our cohort is 0.02% (see SFigure 2).
202 Investigation of the effect of the APOE ε2ε4 allele can be found in the SI. The exclusion of
203 APOE ε2ε4 carriers did not affect our main results and we therefore report results including
204 APOE ε2ε4 carriers.

205 *Family History of Dementia*

206 FHD was determined by a 'yes'/'no' question during clinical visits, where participants were
207 asked whether a parent had a diagnosis of dementia. Participants were asked to include the
208 dementia subtype if known, but answering 'yes' alone categorized a participant as FHD+.
209 The answer 'no' likely captured both participants with no FHD, and participants for whom
210 FHD was unknown. In summary, participants were defined as FHD+ if at least one parent
211 was diagnosed with dementia. Cases where the FHD was unknown or partially known were

212 not recorded outside of the binary yes/no scoring. 103/210 were FHD+.

213 *Cardiovascular Risk Factors, Aging, and Incidence of Dementia (CAIDE) score*

214 CAIDE is a composite scale of estimated future dementia risk based on mid-life
215 cardiovascular measures (Fayosse et al., 2020; Sindi et al., 2015). It takes into consideration
216 the individual's age, sex, educational attainment, APOE ε4 genotype, activity level, BMI,
217 cholesterol and systolic blood pressure (Kivipelto et al., 2006) and is scored on a range of 0 –
218 18. A higher score indicates greater risk. The CAIDE dementia risk score was calculated at
219 baseline and follow-up.

220

221 2.4.2 Cognitive testing

222 Cognitive function was assessed at baseline and follow up with the COGNITO
223 neuropsychological battery (Ritchie et al., 2014), designed to examine information processing
224 across a wide range of cognitive functions in adults of all ages and not restricted to those
225 functions usually implicated in dementia detection in the older adults. Tests are administered
226 using a tactile screen to capture information processing time as well as response accuracy and
227 require about 40 minutes to complete. The tests, by order of presentation, are: reaction time;
228 reading; comprehension of phonemes, phrases, and syntax; focused and divided attention in
229 both visual and auditory modalities; visual working memory (visual tracking with auditory
230 interference); the Stroop test; immediate, delayed, and recognition trials for verbal recall
231 (name list); delayed recognition of spatial stimuli (faces); visuospatial associative learning;
232 visuospatial span; form perception; denomination of common objects; spatial reasoning;
233 copying of meaningful and meaningless figures; verbal fluency with se-mantic and phonetic
234 prompts; immediate recall of a narrative; immediate recall of a description of the relative

position of objects; vocabulary; implicit memory (recognition of new and previously learned material).

The COGNITO tests are designed to test several aspects of cognition, including attention (task: visual attention), memory (tasks: narrative recall, description recall, implicit memory, name-face association, working memory), language (tasks: phoneme comprehension, verbal fluency) and visuospatial abilities (task: geometric figure recognition) (Ritchie et al., 2014). Based on previous studies (Ritchie et al., 2021; Ritchie et al., 2018; Ritchie et al., 2017), 11 summary variables from the COGNITO battery capturing the above functions were used here (for a list see STable 2).

Additionally, we used the Visual Short-Term Memory Binding task (VSTMBT) (Parra et al., 2010), a computer-based task that assesses visual short-term memory binding of single features, e.g., complex shape or color combinations, or feature conjunctions, e.g., shape and color combinations. In the single feature condition, participants must identify whether the test stimuli (three random 6-sided polygons) are the “same” as or “different” to the studied stimuli in terms of shape (shape only) or color (color only). In the binding condition, participants are required to correctly identify if both the shape and color of the test stimuli match studied stimuli. The two summary variables from the VSTMBT were the percentage of correctly recognized items from the two conditions.

The Addenbrooke's Cognitive Assessment (ACE) III (Hsieh et al., 2013) was recorded at the follow-up session, but not at the baseline. Analyses that focused on the subset of assessments performed at both testing sessions did not include the ACE III.

2.5 Behavioural data analyses

Rotated principal component analysis

Rotated principal component analysis (rPCA), a dimensionality reduction technique, was adopted to cluster the above-mentioned 13 cognitive measures into related domains, reduce the number of multiple comparisons between the cognitive tests (Jolliffe & Cadima, 2016). This step maximized power to investigate the impact of risk factors on cognition and brain–behaviour relationships. rPCA was conducted by using the *psych* package (Version 2.0.12) in R software (https://www.r-project.org/), following steps as: (1) *Preprocessing*. Listwise deletion procedure was used to deal with the missing data. Only participants who had no missing observations were kept (N = 208 at baseline; N = 176 at follow-up). (2) *Component extraction and estimation*. Principal component analysis was used to extract a smaller number of components that capture the most variance of the initial variables, representing the common cognitive processes underlying the 13 original cognitive measures. Scree plots and parallel analysis were used to determine the number of components (Horn, 1965). Parallel analysis generated a set of random correlation matrices (n = 500) by using the same number of variables (n = 13) and participants (N = 208 at baseline; N = 176 at follow-up). Then, we compared the solution to the random simulated normal data. The eigenvalues obtained from the actual matrix were compared with those obtained from the randomly generated matrices, and the estimated number of components was determined by selecting components with eigenvalues larger than 95th percentile of the randomly generated components' eigenvalues (See also SI). (3) *Rotation*. To examine the component structure among the initial variables, an orthogonal rotation method Varimax that constrains the components to be uncorrelated, was applied to the coefficient matrix (Kaiser, 1958). (4) *Component coefficients*. Component coefficients (*r*), reflecting the correlation between each of the components and each of the

original variables, were thus obtained. Given the sample size in this study (N > 200), component coefficients that were > 0.4 were deemed practically significant (Hair Jr. et al., 1998; Stevens, 1992). (5) *Component scores*. Component scores were calculated by a regression method where the regression weights were found from the inverse of the correlation matrix times the coefficient of each variable on the corresponding components. The coefficients were used to interpret the components, and component scores were used for the following analyses. (6) *Component similarities*. Components were extracted at the baseline and follow-up respectively. To test the similarity of components extracted at each study session, to be capturing similar cognitive functions, Tucker's congruence coefficient was calculated (Lorenzo-Seva & ten Berge, 2006). In subsequent analyses, we used these cognitive components, rather than the individual tests to measure the impact of risk factors on cognition and brain–behaviour relationships.

2.6 MRI data acquisition and processing

Imaging data were obtained as part of a multimodal examinations in a 3T Siemens Verio MRI scanner and with 32-channel head coil (https://preventdementia.co.uk/for-researchers/). Resting-state fMRI data were acquired with T2*-weighted echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence. Total acquisition time for the resting state scan was 11 minutes 06 sec. The eyes were closed during rest. 330 volumes were acquired, and each volume contained 35 slices (interleaved acquisition), with slice thickness of 3 mm (repetition time (TR) = 2000ms, echo time (TE) = 30ms, flip angle (FA) = 80°, Field of View (FOV) = 192 × 192mm^2, voxel size = 3 mm^3 isotropic). A 3D T1-weighted magnetization prepared rapid gradient-echo image (MPRAGE, 160 slices, voxel size = 1 mm^3 isotropic, TR = 2300ms, TE = 2.98ms, FOV = 240 × 256mm^2, FA = 9°) was also acquired. All scans were repeated after approximately two

305 years on the same scanner using the same protocol.

306 Standard preprocessing procedures for resting-state fMRI data were performed with SPM12
307 (Statistical Parametric Mapping, https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm12/) and the
308 AA pipeline software (Cusack et al., 2015) implemented in MATLAB R2019a (The
309 MathWorks, United States). We discarded the first 5 volumes to allow for adaptation to the
310 environment and equilibrium of the MR signal. In this pipeline (Figure 1a), we performed
311 slice timing correction, motion correction, co-registration of functional and structural images,
312 normalization into standard MNI space, and spatial smoothing. Spatial normalization was
313 performed using SPM12's segment-and-normalize procedure, whereby the T1 structural was
314 segmented into grey matter (GM), white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and
315 normalized to a segmented MNI-152 template. These normalization parameters were then
316 applied to all EPIs. The quality of spatial normalization was visually inspected for each
317 participant and no participants showed a normalization failure. The data were then smoothed
318 with a Gaussian kernel of 6mm full width at half maximum. We also applied a general linear
319 model (GLM) that includes 24 head motion parameters – 6 rigid-body motion parameters, the
320 first-order temporal derivative of them and quadratic terms of the original parameters and
321 their derivatives (Satterthwaite et al., 2013) – and averaged signals from WM and CSF as
322 covariates to reduce any residual effects of head motion and physiological noise. Lastly, all
323 preprocessed data were temporally band-pass filtered (0.01-0.08 Hz) to remove low-
324 frequency drift and high-frequency physiological noise (Salvador et al., 2008; Zuo et al.,
325 2010).

326 *Figure 1 about here please*

327

328 Because brain signal from the brainstem is susceptible to head movements, we performed

329 careful measurement and control for head motion, by using a "scrubbing" procedure (Power
330 et al., 2012). We first calculated an index of data quality called framewise displacement (FD)
331 (for details please also see SI), which measures how much the head has changed position
332 from one frame to the next, and can therefore be used to flag frames of suspect quality based
333 on the threshold of FD > 0.2 mm, in order to remove motion-contaminated data before
334 calculating functional connectivity (Power et al., 2012). A participant inclusion criterion was
335 then set to ensure a minimum amount of data remained after scrubbing, i.e., at least 150
336 frames (~5 min) of data (Figure 1b), based on wide agreement that ~5 min of fMRI data is an
337 adequate for resting state functional connectivity analyses (Van Dijk et al., 2010). After
338 completing the scrubbing procedure, the included participants had 74.0% ± 14.8% frames at
339 baseline and 73.5% ± 15.0% at follow-up. Finally, we calculated the mean FD across the
340 remaining good frames, which was further regressed in the group-level analyses (Power et al.,
341 2014). The mean FD was 0.12 ± 0.01 at baseline and 0.12 ± 0.01 at follow-up. There were no
342 significant associations of mean FD with any of the risk factors or the LC–Hippocampus
343 functional connectivity (See SI). The fMRI-based exclusion criteria are also illustrated in
344 Figure 1b.

345 To ensure high accuracy in the localization of the LC, we created a binary LC mask of 3 mm^3
346 isotropic voxel size that closely matched with two previously published LC maps (Dahl et al.,
347 2022; Ye et al., 2021), which were developed in the standard MNI space with 0.5 mm^3
348 isotropic voxel size. In order to achieve the highest precision, instead of resampling the LC
349 maps to match the fMRI data's voxel size (3 mm^3) using automatic scripts, we manually drew
350 a 3 mm^3 binary LC mask in MNI space with reference to the two LC maps. This is because
351 the automatic scripts did not perform well when resampling the two referenced LC maps
352 from high to low resolution due to the small size of these two LC regions of interest. The FSL
353 software (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/) was used for the drawing process, by

following the same procedure as in Plini et al. (2021). The two 0.5 mm^3 LC maps were superimposed on a blank 3 mm^3 template in standard MNI space. The drawing process was then performed on this blank template by adding or removing voxels to include different anatomical locations of the two referenced maps without crossing the dorsal and medial raphe nuclei, as defined by Beliveau et al. (2015), and the cerebellar vermis white matter. At the end of this procedure, the new bilateral LC mask was symmetrical and consisted of 16 3 mm^3 voxels, was anatomically inclusive of the 7T LC map (Ye et al., 2021) and the LC meta-map (Dahl et al., 2022), and did not encroach on other pontine nuclei (Figure 1c).

In an independent study (Dounavi et al., 2022), T1-weighted MPRAGE of this cohort were corrected for field inhomogeneities using the Advanced Normalisation Toolbox (ANTs) N4 algorithm (Tustison et al., 2010) and processed using Freesurfer version 7.1.0 (Desikan et al., 2006). The *recon-all* pipeline was run for every participant with standard settings. The brain masks and surfaces were inspected following recon-all and manual corrections were applied: (a) in the form of erosion of non-brain voxels from the brain mask or non-WM voxels from the WM mask, (b) in the form of filling of areas where the brain was not correctly identified, or (c) with the addition of control points in cases where white matter was not successfully identified. Following these steps, we generated individual masks of the hippocampus, which were then applied to the fMRI data to extract the time course of the region (Figure 1d). The functional connectivity (FC) between the LC and the hippocampus was derived using Pearson's correlation coefficient (r) on the denoised time courses (Figure 1d). The r value was then transformed to z value using Fisher's r-to-z transformation to improve normality for further statistical analysis. To avoid the formation of artificial anti-correlations (Anderson et al., 2011; Murphy et al., 2009), we did not perform global signal regression. In addition, volume of the hippocampus (HCV) was derived for each participant at baseline and follow-up as a covariate for functional connectivity analyses.

2.7 Statistical approach

All statistical analyses were performed in R software. The normality of the data was assessed by combining the visualization of a quantile-quantile plot and the Shapiro–Wilk test. Demographic and clinical information of the study cohort was analyzed across risk groups using chi-square (χ^2 tests) for categorical variables and Mann Whitney U tests for continuous (discrete) variables, given that they were not normally distributed in this cohort.

To investigate the cross-sectional effects of risk factors (APOE ε4 genotype and FHD) on cognition and brain function we used multiple linear regression models. Age, years of education were included as covariates for cognition models, and mean FD values and HCV were additionally included as a covariate for models of functional connectivity. The effects of APOE ε4 and CAIDE risk factors were modelled independently to avoid modelling the variance associated with APOE genotype in the same model twice. Spearman correlation analyses were used to assess the associations between cognition and CAIDE score given the non-normal distribution of CAIDE in our cohort. For the CAIDE model, we did not control for the age, years of education, as they are considered in the CAIDE scoring.

For any observed risk effect on cross-sectional changes of cognition or functional connectivity, interactions between risk factors and the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity on cognition were assessed through multiple regression models with the cognition as the dependent variable, the LC–Hippocampus FC, risk factor and the risk × FC interaction as independent variables, and age, years of education, and HCV as covariates. Age, sex, and years of education were not included as covariates when CAIDE was included in the models, because CAIDE includes these variables in its scoring. Biological sex was investigated as variables of interest in these analyses especially given the higher proportion of

403 females in the sample. If a sex effect was found, any interactions with risk factors, and the
404 effect of menopausal status was also considered because the cohort age range [52+/- 11 years]
405 cover menopause transition in females, which is known to affect cardiovascular health and
406 cognition.

407 For any observed interactions, we plotted the regression of LC–Hippocampus FC on
408 cognitive performance for each level/value of the risk factor, to interpret the effect (Aiken &
409 West, 1991). For the continuous variable CAIDE, we applied the Johnson-Neyman technique
410 (Bauer & Curran, 2005; Johnson & Fay, 1950), to test the significance of the slopes of the
411 simple regression lines on each value of the CAIDE scores (ranged from 0-18). This
412 examined the CAIDE interval at which the brain-cognition coupling was significant. All
413 continuous variables in the interaction terms, i.e., CAIDE and FC, in the moderation models
414 were mean-centered (subtracting each the mean from each value) to avoid multicollinearity.

415 Longitudinal changes were first assessed using random intercept linear mixed effect models
416 (Cnaan et al., 1997) for the entire cohort. Specifically, we evaluated longitudinal changes of
417 cognition (Eq. 1) and functional connectivity (Eq. 2) over two years.

418

$$Cognition_{jt} = \beta_0 + \beta_1(Time_{jt}) + u_j + r_{jt} \qquad (1)$$

$$FC_{jt} = \beta_0 + \beta_1(Mean\ FD_{jt}) + \beta_2(HCV_{jt}) + \beta_3(Time_{jt}) + u_j + r_{jt} \qquad (2)$$

419

420 For each participant *j*, measures of cognition/functional connectivity at each time point *t*
421 served as dependent variables, and the timepoint (a categorical variable with two levels:
422 baseline and follow-up) served as the independent variable. Mean FD and HCV at each time

point *t* were included as covariates for the functional connectivity model only (Eq. 2). u_j represented individual variability in the intercepts, i.e., a random effect.

The presence of a longitudinal change for the entire cohort was then further assessed to determine whether it varied in relation to the risk factors, as assessed by the interaction term $(Risk_j \times Time_{jt})$. Age at baseline, sex, and years of education, were included as covariates for the cognition models that did not include CAIDE (Eq. 3). Mean FD and HCV were additionally included as covariates for the functional connectivity models (Eq. 4).

$$Cognition_{jt} = \beta_0 + \beta_1(Age_j) + \beta_2(Sex_j) + \beta_3(Education_j) + \beta_4(Risk_j) + \beta_5(Time_{jt}) + \beta_6(Risk_j \times Time_{jt}) + u_j + r_{jt} \quad (3)$$

$$FC_{jt} = \beta_0 + \beta_1(Age_j) + \beta_2(Sex_j) + \beta_3(Education_j) + \beta_4(Mean\,FD_{jt}) + \beta_5(HCV_{jt}) + \beta_6(Risk_j) + \beta_7(Time_{jt}) + \beta_8(Risk_j \times Time_{jt}) + u_j + r_{jt} \quad (4)$$

Lastly, the presence of risk related longitudinal change in cognition or FC was further investigated to determine whether risk factors influence brain-cognition association longitudinally. Multiple linear regression modelling was used with a percentage change score [i.e. (follow-up - baseline)/baseline] in cognition as the dependent variable, and a risk factor, a percentage change in FC, and their interaction as independent variables. Baseline age, sex, years of education and changes in HCV were included as covariates. Only changes in HCV were controlled for when assessing CAIDE in the models.

Although the baseline and follow-up datasets were not independent, we considered each in its own right, in addition to testing for longitudinal changes in cognition and functional connectivity. During the two years, a proportion of our participants may have sustained brain health that are yet subthreshold to clinical manifestations, or that may not yet manifest as longitudinal change. Statistical results were corrected for multiple comparisons.

3. Results

3.1 Demographic characteristics

Demographic characteristics of the cohort at baseline and follow-up, stratified by APOE ε4 genotype and FHD, are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in age, sex or years of education between the groups. APOE ε4 allele genotype was more frequently found in the FHD+ than FHD- group at baseline ($p = 0.01$) and follow up ($p = 0.02$). CAIDE scores (including APOE status) were significantly higher for the FHD+ than FHD- group at baseline ($p = 0.03$) and follow up ($p = 0.003$). Naturally, CAIDE scores including APOE status were significantly higher for the APOE ε4+ than APOE ε4- group at baseline ($p = 0.0003$) and follow up ($p = 0.0002$), but when APOE status was excluded the CAIDE scores did not differ between the two groups (Table 1).

Table 1 about here please

460 3.2 Cognitive domains

461 We first performed parallel analyses and plotted scree plots to determine the number of
462 components that best represented the original 13 cognitive measures at baseline and follow-
463 up, separately. Results showed that eigenvalues of the first three components were larger than
464 95th percentile of the randomly generated eigenvalues at both study timepoints (Figure 2a),
465 which indicated that the three component (C) solution best represented the data. The three
466 components were then rotated to be uncorrelated with each other, and could cumulatively
467 explain a total of 41% percentage of the variance, at baseline (C1 = 17%; C2 = 13%; C3 =
468 12%) and 40% at follow-up (C1 = 17%; C2 = 12%; C3 = 11%) (Figure 2b).

469

470 *Figure 2 about here please*

471

472 The loading values (weights) of the cognitive measures (Figure 3a) reflect the relationships
473 between the original measures and the corresponding components. Measures with higher
474 coefficients were more closely related to the components. Based on the cognitive functions
475 that the highest loading measures tapped into (for details see STable 2), in subsequent
476 analyses we refer to: C1 as 'episodic and relational memory'; C2 as 'working and short-term
477 (single-feature) memory'; C3 as 'verbal, visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive)
478 memory.'

479 The highest loading measures and their coefficients for each component differed for the
480 cross-sectional and longitudinal data. For example, verbal functions, visuospatial functions,
481 and short-term (conjunctive) memory loaded most strongly on the third component at
482 baseline. At follow-up, implicit memory rather than short-term memory loaded on the third

483 component (Figure 3a). We found high similarity between baseline and follow-up for C1 (ϕ
484 = 0.90), and C3 (ϕ = 0.76), with relatively low similarly for C2 (ϕ = 0.40) (Figure 3b).

485

486 *Figure 3 about here please*

487

488 3.3 Impact of risk factors on cognition

489 *Episodic and relational memory*

490 At baseline, the multiple linear regression model showed a significant positive association
491 between episodic and relational memory with APOE ε4 allele [β (*SE*) = 0.28 (0.13), $p < 0.05$],
492 independently of sex, age and years of education (Table 2). At follow-up, there was a trend
493 effect of APOE ε4 allele [β (*SE*) = 0.27 (0.15), $p = 0.07$]. Education was significantly
494 positively associated with this domain at baseline [β (*SE*) = 0.09 (0.02), $p < 0.0001$] and
495 follow-up [β (*SE*) = 0.10 (0.02), $p < 0.0001$]. Sex did not interact with the APOE ε4 allele in
496 its effect on episodic and relational memory. The baseline effect of APEO ε4 on episodic and
497 relational memory became a trend effect (SFigure 6) when corrected for multiple
498 comparisons and needs to be interpreted with caution.

499

500 *Table 2 about here please*

501

502 The multiple linear regression models showed no associations between FHD and episodic and
503 relational memory, at either timepoint. Spearman correlation analyses showed no significant

associations between the CAIDE score and episodic and relational memory, at either timepoints.

Working and short-term (single-feature) memory

We found no associations between APOE ε4, FHD, or CAIDE score with working and short-term (single-feature) memory. Education was significantly positively associated with performance in this domain, independently of the other factors, at baseline [β (*SE*) = 0.04 (0.02), $p < 0.05$] only.

Verbal, visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory

We found no associations between APOE ε4 or FHD with verbal, visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory. Age was significantly negatively associated with performance in this domain, independently of the other factors, at baseline [β (*SE*) = -0.04 (0.01), $p < 0.005$]. The CAIDE score was significantly associated with verbal, visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory at baseline (*rho* = -0.17, $p < 0.05$, Figure 4a), and at follow-up (*rho* = -0.20, $p < 0.01$, Figure 4b). Higher CAIDE scores were significantly associated with worse performance.

As the cohort was 70% female, and the age range (40-60 years) covers the menopausal transition, which is known to affect cardiovascular health and cognition, the effect menopausal status on the relationship between CAIDE score and cognition was investigated. The CAIDE score includes sex in its calculation, with ‘male’ scored higher. Therefore, the effect of sex on relationship between the CAIDE risk and cognition not investigated.

However, there was a significant interaction between CAIDE and menopause on verbal, visuospatial functions and short-term (conjunctive) memory at baseline [β (*SE*) = -0.20 (0.09), $p < 0.05$] and at follow-up [β (*SE*) = -0.29 (0.13), $p < 0.05$] (Table 3). Increasing CAIDE was associated with worse cognitive performance in post-menopausal females, but not in pre-menopausal counterparts. This effect was controlled for age effects, as post-menopausal women were overall older than pre-menopausal counterparts (post-: median ± IQR: 49.0 ± 8.0 vs pre-: 56.0 ± 4.0).

Figure 4 about here please

Table 3 about here please

There was no significant longitudinal change of cognition in any of the three cognitive domains over two years.

3.4 Longitudinal change of LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity

We found a significant negative association between time and the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity [β (*SE*) = -0.06 (0.03), $p < 0.05$], after controlling for mean FD and HCV (Table 4), indicating reduced connectivity at two-years follow-up relative to baseline across the whole cohort (Figure 5). Sex did not interact with time in affecting the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity.

Table 4 about here please

Figure 5 about here please

3.5 Impact of risk factors on the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity and brain–behavior relationships

There were no significant associations of *APOE* ε4, FHD, or CAIDE scores with the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity, cross-sectionally, or longitudinally.

At baseline, none of the risk factors moderated the relationship between functional connectivity and cognition. At follow-up, the multiple linear regression model with CAIDE, LC–Hippocampus FC, and CAIDE × LC–Hippocampus FC interaction term as independent variables, and verbal, visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory as the dependent variable showed a significant negative association between the interaction term (CAIDE × LC–Hippocampus FC) and verbal, visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory [β (*SE*) = -0.54 (0.22), $p < 0.05$] (Table 5). In individuals with low/high CAIDE scores, higher functional connectivity was associated with better/worse cognition (Figure 6). Menopausal status did not have an effect on this relationship.

Table 5 about here please

Figure 6 about here please

567 4. Discussion

568 It is well acknowledged that AD neuropathology starts decades before clinical manifestations,
569 but the brain mechanism of sporadic AD and its interactions with risk factors in midlife
570 remain unclear. We examined whether risk factors for late-life AD were associated with
571 cognition, functional connectivity between two of the key structures in AD neurogenesis –
572 the LC and the hippocampus – and the brain-behaviour relationship between them, cross-
573 sectionally and longitudinally in a cohort of middle-aged, cognitively healthy individuals. We
574 found that the CAIDE scores were significantly negatively associated with verbal,
575 visuospatial functions and short-term (conjunctive) memory functions in this cohort.
576 Menopausal status significantly interacted with CAIDE on cognition, independently of age.
577 Post-menopausal women showed worse cognition with increasing CAIDE scores.
578 Furthermore, the CAIDE score significantly moderated the relationship between cognition
579 and the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity. These results shed light on some of the
580 earliest brain–behaviour alterations due to risk of future AD in cognitively healthy
581 individuals cross-sectionally. We found a significant longitudinal effect of age on the LC–
582 Hippocampus FC, with no longitudinal effects of risk factors on cognition, the LC–
583 Hippocampus functional connectivity, or their relationship. The lack of prominent
584 longitudinal effects of risk factors is likely due to the narrow follow-up time window (2 years)
585 in this relatively young midlife cohort, an estimated 23 years from dementia onset (Dounavi
586 et al., 2022).

587 Higher CAIDE scores were significantly associated with worse cognition in verbal,
588 visuospatial functions and short-term (conjunctive) memory, both at baseline and at follow-
589 up. Previous studies from the same cohort have reported significant negative associations of
590 CAIDE scores with visuospatial functions and navigational abilities (Ritchie et al., 2018;

591 Ritchie et al., 2017). We did not observe a longitudinal effect, similarly to other studies of
592 this cohort (Dounavi et al., 2021; Low et al., 2021), possibly due to the relatively young age
593 and the short follow-up window (Ritchie et al., 2018; Ritchie et al., 2017). Post-menopausal
594 women only showed worse cognition with increasing CAIDE scores. The menopausal
595 transition is a middle-age phenomenon with knock-on effects on endocrinal (Weber et al.,
596 2014), cardiovascular (Yanes & Reckelhoff, 2011), and metabolic (Anagnostis et al., 2019;
597 Rahman et al., 2020) systems that significantly impact brain health (Mosconi et al., 2018;
598 Udeh-Momoh & Watermeyer, 2021). The rapid decline in estrogen, a key hormonal change
599 during menopausal transition, is considered to increase vulnerability to AD in females
600 (Scheyer et al., 2018; Udeh-Momoh & Watermeyer, 2021). Post-menopause has been
601 associated with increased levels of Aβ, elevated tau burden, reduced white matter integrity,
602 and glucose hypometabolism (Buckley et al., 2022; Mosconi et al., 2017; Rahman et al.,
603 2020). Our results suggest that post-menopausal status exacerbates the effect of
604 cardiovascular AD risk on cognition, rendering females particularly vulnerable to cognitive
605 decline, and conversely, that addressing cardiovascular risk early may protect cognition in
606 post-menopausal females.

607 We found that the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity decreased significantly over two
608 years. The previously reported effect of age on the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity
609 is inconsistent, possibly due to different age ranges used in different studies. In healthy young
610 and early midlife adults (age range: 18–49), LC functional connectivity to the
611 parahippocampus was negatively associated with age (Zhang et al., 2016). However, another
612 study of a lifespan adult cohort (age range: 19-74) found no association of age with the LC–
613 Hippocampus/parahippocampus functional connectivity (Jacobs et al., 2018). In a broader
614 age-range lifespan cohort (age range: 8–83), Song et al. (2021) found a positive quadratic age
615 effect (u-shape) on LC–parahippocampal functional connectivity, suggesting an increased

interaction between these two regions in children and older adults. Therefore, our finding advances the literature, by showing that the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity decreases with age in midlife.

Another key question here was whether risk factors impact the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity and its role in cognition. We found that the CAIDE score significantly moderated this brain-behaviour relationship. Stronger LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity was associated with better cognition for individuals with low CAIDE score, and with worse cognition in those with high scores. The presence of this effect in the follow-up, but not the baseline dataset is likely due to the older age of the cohort at follow-up. Previous studies in older adults found that stronger LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity was significantly associated with better cognition (Jacobs et al., 2015). Our finding advances understanding by suggesting that the connectivity between the LC and the hippocampus supports cognition in midlife individuals who have low dementia risk scores.

Furthermore, our result suggests that, in the presence of high dementia risk scores, the LC–hippocampus functional connectivity is negatively associated with cognition. Previous studies have shown that a high CAIDE score, of more than 12, confers a probability of 16.4% for future dementia, with scores above 8 associated with a probability of more than 4% (Kivipelto et al., 2006). In a study of middle-aged individuals with a mean age of 46, participants with a score greater than 8 had a 29% 40-year risk for dementia (Exalto et al., 2014). These findings suggest that a proportion of our study's participants, who have a CAIDE score greater than 8, may develop dementia. Assuming that AD pathology is underway in this proportion of individuals with high dementia risk scores, our result lends indirect support to the hypothesis that LC hyperactivity and associated hyper-connectivity initiates the spread of pathological tau to MTL (Weinshenker, 2018), and particularly the

640 hippocampus, in the early stages of AD. The ensuing neurodegeneration may explain why
641 hyper-connectivity is associated with worse performance in individuals with high dementia
642 risk scores. However, the neural mechanisms of AD neuropathology genesis remain
643 contested. Given the lack of tau biomarker status for this cohort, future studies that integrate
644 tau and functional connectivity information are required to further test the proposal that tau
645 spread from the LC to the hippocampus via functional connectivity pathways. Furthermore,
646 future studies from the continuing longitudinal follow-up of this cohort will validate the role
647 and nature of these changes in individuals who display AD neuropathology as they get older.

648 We did not observe any significant effects of risk factors for AD on the LC–Hippocampus
649 connectivity. Previous studies on MCI and AD patients have shown disrupted/lower LC–
650 Hippocampus functional connectivity (MCI: Jacobs et al., 2015; age: 65.1 ± 4.5 years) (Liebe
651 et al., 2022; age: 73.3 ± 7.5 years; AD patients: Zhao et al., 2017), compared to age-matched
652 healthy controls. One previous study investigating cognitively healthy middle-aged adults
653 with familial risk for late-onset dementia found no effect of AD risk on the LC–Hippocampus
654 connectivity (Del Cerro et al., 2020; age: 50.4 ± 8.3 years). Consistent with this previous
655 study, our null result suggests that AD risk factors are not associated with the LC–
656 Hippocampus connectivity in middle-aged individuals who are cognitively healthy.

657 We also observed a trend effect association of APOE ε4 allele with better cognition in
658 episodic and relational memory, independently of sex, age and years of education. Previous
659 research has suggested that the APOE ε4 allele, although being associated with poorer health
660 outcomes in old age, may have a positive effect earlier in life. For example, it has been
661 associated with better visual perception (Ritchie et al., 2017), short-term memory (Zokaei et
662 al., 2020), higher intelligence quotient and a more economic use of memory-related neural
663 resources in young healthy humans (Mondadori et al., 2007). These results may also be

interpreted based on the antagonistic pleiotropy hypothesis of aging (William 1957), which proposes that deleterious genes, such as the APOE ε4 gene allele, have survived through evolution because they might confer an advantage early in life, when humans are reproductively fit. These results suggest an impact of genetic risk for late-life sporadic AD in cognitively healthy midlife individuals on episodic memory, the hallmark cognitive dysfunction in early clinical dementia and mild cognitive impairment (Irish et al., 2011). Thus, they highlight the importance of studying the origins of Alzheimer's disease in this age group, over 20 years before typical symptom onset. Given the weak effect however, further replication in larger samples is warranted to validate these findings.

In summary, the PREVENT-Dementia study is a longitudinal multi-site study targeted at identifying early biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease. In this study, we provide early proof that the recruited cohort, with a mean age of 52/54 years at baseline/follow-up, showed a disrupted role of LC–Hippocampus connectivity in cognition with an increasing CAIDE score. APOE ε4 genotype or FHD did not moderate this brain–behaviour relationship. In other words, when the genetic risk alone was considered, no alterations of brain–behaviour relationships were found. It was only when a risk score (CAIDE) incorporating genetic risk in combination with lifestyle factors, sex and age was considered, that such alterations were unravelled. Taken together, these results provide strong evidence that brain–behaviour alterations in individuals with higher CAIDE scores may be driven by lifestyle risk factors included in this dementia risk score (i.e., blood pressure, cholesterol, physical activity, body mass index, years of education).

Hence, these findings highlight the importance of considering modifiable risk factors when stratifying risk populations, or potentially designing randomized control trials. Our previous work in this cohort has demonstrated that modifiable lifestyle factors affect cognition,

688 particularly in individuals at high risk for late-life AD (Heneghan et al., 2023) and lends
689 further support to this idea. In fact, a randomized multi-domain control trial in the FINGER
690 population (mean age 70 years old) over two years demonstrated that the applied lifestyle and
691 vascular interventions had an impact on cognition (Stephen et al., 2019). Hence, further
692 investigation of pathological alterations in relation to modifiable risk factors in midlife is
693 warranted to unveil the sequalae of brain and behaviour alterations leading to dementia.

694 4.1 Strengths and Limitations

695 Strengths of this study are its large well-characterized middle-aged cohort. State-of-the-art
696 analysis methods and a thorough quality control protocol were applied to the acquired data.
697 Limitations include the short follow-up window of the study and the absence of further well-
698 established preclinical biomarkers, such as amyloid and tau status. The interpretability of the
699 effect of risk on individual cognitive abilities requires individuation in future studies.
700 Standard MR functional sequences provide limited resolution of the diminutive LC volume,
701 and thus, higher resolution imaging that specifically targets the LC region is required to
702 further validate these findings in future studies. Like most other studies involving mid-life
703 and older people in the UK and Ireland, the study population is mainly (95%) of white
704 Caucasian ethnicity, not dissimilar to the historic ethnic mix of older people in the five study
705 sites in this region. The inclusion of other ethnicities and representation from different world
706 regions in future studies will ensure true diversity and findings that can be generalized to
707 different populations across the globe.

Acknowledgement

F.D. was funded by the Provost PhD Award Scheme from Trinity College Dublin, to L.N. L.N. was also funded by a L'Oréal-UNESCO for Women In Science International Rising Talent Award, the Welcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support grant, and the Global Brain Health Institute Project Grant.

The PREVENT-Dementia study is supported by the UK Alzheimer's Society (grant numbers 178 and 264), the US Alzheimer's Association (grant number TriBEKa-17-519007) and philanthropic donations.

We thank all PREVENT-Dementia participants for their enthusiastic participation in this study. We also thank the Research Delivery service at West London NHS Trust and the Wolfson Clinical Imaging Facility at Imperial College London for their support in running the study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References:

Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Amaral, D. G., & Sinnamon, H. M. (1977). The locus coeruleus: neurobiology of a central noradrenergic nucleus. *Prog Neurobiol*, *9*(3), 147-196. https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-0082(77)90016-8

Anagnostis, P., Christou, K., Artzouchaltzi, A. M., Gkekas, N. K., Kosmidou, N., Siolos, P., Paschou, S. A., Potoupnis, M., Kenanidis, E., Tsiridis, E., Lambrinoudaki, I., Stevenson, J. C., & Goulis, D. G. (2019). Early menopause and premature ovarian insufficiency are associated with increased risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Endocrinol*, *180*(1), 41-50. https://doi.org/10.1530/eje-18-0602

Anderson, J. S., Druzgal, T. J., Lopez-Larson, M., Jeong, E. K., Desai, K., & Yurgelun-Todd, D. (2011). Network anticorrelations, global regression, and phase-shifted soft tissue correction. *Hum Brain Mapp*, *32*(6), 919-934. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.21079

Arnsten, A. F. T., Datta, D., Del Tredici, K., & Braak, H. (2021). Hypothesis: Tau pathology is an initiating factor in sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *17*(1), 115-124. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12192

Aston-Jones, G., & Cohen, J. D. (2005). An integrative theory of locus coeruleus-norepinephrine function: adaptive gain and optimal performance. *Annu Rev Neurosci*, *28*, 403-450. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.28.061604.135709

Barnes, D. E., Beiser, A. S., Lee, A., Langa, K. M., Koyama, A., Preis, S. R., Neuhaus, J.,

McCammon, R. J., Yaffe, K., Seshadri, S., Haan, M. N., & Weir, D. R. (2014). Development and validation of a brief dementia screening indicator for primary care. *Alzheimers Dement*, *10*(6), 656-665.e651. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2013.11.006

Barnes, D. E., & Yaffe, K. (2011). The projected effect of risk factor reduction on Alzheimer's disease prevalence. *Lancet Neurol*, *10*(9), 819-828. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(11)70072-2

Bauer, D. J., & Curran, P. J. (2005). Probing Interactions in Fixed and Multilevel Regression: Inferential and Graphical Techniques. Multivariate behavioral research, 40(3), 373–400. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr4003_5

Beliveau, V., Svarer, C., Frokjaer, V. G., Knudsen, G. M., Greve, D. N., & Fisher, P. M. (2015). Functional connectivity of the dorsal and median raphe nuclei at rest. *NeuroImage*, 116, 187–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.04.065

Betts, M. J., Cardenas-Blanco, A., Kanowski, M., Jessen, F., & Düzel, E. (2017). In vivo MRI assessment of the human locus coeruleus along its rostrocaudal extent in young and older adults. *NeuroImage*, 163, 150-159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.09.042

Betts, M. J., Cardenas-Blanco, A., Kanowski, M., Spottke, A., Teipel, S. J., Kilimann, I., Jessen, F., & Düzel, E. (2019). Locus coeruleus MRI contrast is reduced in Alzheimer's disease dementia and correlates with CSF Aβ levels. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)*, 11, 281-285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2019.02.001

Betts, M. J., Kirilina, E., Otaduy, M. C. G., Ivanov, D., Acosta-Cabronero, J., Callaghan, M. F., Lambert, C., Cardenas-Blanco, A., Pine, K., Passamonti, L., Loane, C., Keuken, M. C., Trujillo, P., Lüsebrink, F., Mattern, H., Liu, K. Y., Priovoulos, N., Fliessbach, K.,

Dahl, M. J., Maaß, A., Madelung, C. F., Meder, D., Ehrenberg, A. J., Speck, O., Weiskopf, N., Dolan, R., Inglis, B., Tosun, D., Morawski, M., Zucca, F. A., Siebner, H. R., Mather, M., Uludag, K., Heinsen, H., Poser, B. A., Howard, R., Zecca, L., Rowe, J. B., Grinberg, L. T., Jacobs, H. I. L., Düzel, E., & Hämmerer, D. (2019). Locus coeruleus imaging as a biomarker for noradrenergic dysfunction in neurodegenerative diseases. *Brain,* 142(9), 2558-2571. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awz193

Berti, V., Mosconi, L., Glodzik, L., Li, Y., Murray, J., De Santi, S., Pupi, A., Tsui, W., & De Leon, M. J. (2011). Structural brain changes in normal individuals with a maternal history of Alzheimer's. *Neurobiol Aging*, *32*(12), 2325.e2317-2326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2011.01.001

Braak, H., & Braak, E. (1991). Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. *Acta Neuropathol*, *82*(4), 239-259. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00308809

Braak, H., & Del Tredici, K. (2015). Neuroanatomy and pathology of sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Adv Anat Embryol Cell Biol*, *215*, 1-162.

Braak, H., Thal, D. R., Ghebremedhin, E., & Del Tredici, K. (2011). Stages of the pathologic process in Alzheimer disease: age categories from 1 to 100 years. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*, *70*(11), 960-969. https://doi.org/10.1097/NEN.0b013e318232a379

Buckley, R. F., O'Donnell, A., McGrath, E. R., Jacobs, H. I. L., Lois, C., Satizabal, C. L., Ghosh, S., Rubinstein, Z. B., Murabito, J. M., Sperling, R. A., Johnson, K. A., Seshadri, S., & Beiser, A. S. (2022). Menopause Status Moderates Sex Differences in Tau Burden: A Framingham PET Study. *Ann Neurol*, *92*(1), 11-22. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.26382

Cacciaglia, R., Operto, G., Falcón, C., de Echavarri-Gómez, J. M. G., Sánchez-Benavides, G., Brugulat-Serrat, A., Milà-Alomà, M., Blennow, K., Zetterberg, H., Molinuevo, J. L., Suárez-Calvet, M., & Gispert, J. D. (2022). Genotypic effects of APOE-ε4 on resting-state connectivity in cognitively intact individuals support functional brain compensation. *Cereb Cortex*. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac239

Calarco, N., Cassidy, C. M., Selby, B., Hawco, C., Voineskos, A. N., Diniz, B. S., & Nikolova, Y. S. (2022). Associations between locus coeruleus integrity and diagnosis, age, and cognitive performance in older adults with and without late-life depression: An exploratory study. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 36, 103182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2022.103182

Cnaan, A., Laird, N. M., & Slasor, P. (1997). Using the general linear mixed model to analyse unbalanced repeated measures and longitudinal data. *Statistics in medicine*, 16(20), 2349–2380. https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1097-0258(19971030)16:20<2349::aid-sim667>3.0.co;2-e

Cusack, R., Vicente-Grabovetsky, A., Mitchell, D. J., Wild, C. J., Auer, T., Linke, A. C., & Peelle, J. E. (2015). Automatic analysis (aa): efficient neuroimaging workflows and parallel processing using Matlab and XML. *Front Neuroinform*, *8*, 90. https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2014.00090

Clewett, D. V., Lee, T. H., Greening, S., Ponzio, A., Margalit, E., & Mather, M. (2016). Neuromelanin marks the spot: identifying a locus coeruleus biomarker of cognitive reserve in healthy aging. *Neurobiol Aging*, 37, 117-126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2015.09.019

Dahl, M. J., Mather, M., Düzel, S., Bodammer, N. C., Lindenberger, U., Kühn, S., & Werkle-

Bergner, M. (2019). Rostral locus coeruleus integrity is associated with better memory performance in older adults. *Nat Hum Behav*, 3(11), 1203-1214. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-019-0715-2

Dahl, M. J., Mather, M., Werkle-Bergner, M., Kennedy, B. L., Guzman, S., Hurth, K., Miller, C. A., Qiao, Y., Shi, Y., Chui, H. C., & Ringman, J. M. (2022). Locus coeruleus integrity is related to tau burden and memory loss in autosomal-dominant Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging*, 112, 39-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2021.11.006

Deckers, K., van Boxtel, M. P., Schiepers, O. J., de Vugt, M., Muñoz Sánchez, J. L., Anstey, K. J., Brayne, C., Dartigues, J. F., Engedal, K., Kivipelto, M., Ritchie, K., Starr, J. M., Yaffe, K., Irving, K., Verhey, F. R., & Köhler, S. (2015). Target risk factors for dementia prevention: a systematic review and Delphi consensus study on the evidence from observational studies. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*, *30*(3), 234-246. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4245

Del Cerro, I., Villarreal, M. F., Abulafia, C., Duarte-Abritta, B., Sánchez, S. M., Castro, M. N., Bocaccio, H., Ferrer, I., Menchón, J. M., Sevlever, G., Nemeroff, C. B., Soriano-Mas, C., & Guinjoan, S. M. (2020). Disrupted functional connectivity of the locus coeruleus in healthy adults with parental history of Alzheimer's disease. *J Psychiatr Res*, *123*, 81-88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020.01.018

Desikan, R. S., Ségonne, F., Fischl, B., Quinn, B. T., Dickerson, B. C., Blacker, D., Buckner, R. L., Dale, A. M., Maguire, R. P., Hyman, B. T., Albert, M. S., & Killiany, R. J. (2006). An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *NeuroImage*, 31(3), 968–980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.01.021

839 Donix, M., Small, G. W., & Bookheimer, S. Y. (2012). Family history and APOE-4 genetic
840 risk in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychol Rev*, *22*(3), 298-309.
841 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-012-9193-2

842 Dounavi, M.-E., Low, A., Mckiernan, E. F., Mak, E., Muniz-Terrera, G., Ritchie, K., Ritchie,
843 C. W., Su, L., & O'Brien, J. T. (2021). Evidence of cerebral hemodynamic
844 dysregulation in middle-aged APOE ε4 carriers: The PREVENT-Dementia study.
845 *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism*, *41*(11), 2844-2855.
846 https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678x211020863

847 Dounavi, M.-E., Newton, C., Jenkins, N., Mak, E., Low, A., Muniz-Terrera, G., Williams, G.
848 B., Lawlor, B., Naci, L., Malhotra, P., Mackay, C. E., Koychev, I., Ritchie, K.,
849 Ritchie, C. W., Su, L., & O'Brien, J. T. (2022). Macrostructural brain alterations at
850 midlife are connected to cardiovascular and not inherited risk of future dementia: the
851 PREVENT-Dementia study. *Journal of Neurology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-
852 022-11061-7

853 Dounavi, M. E., Mak, E., Wells, K., Ritchie, K., Ritchie, C. W., Su, L., & JT, O. B. (2020).
854 Volumetric alterations in the hippocampal subfields of subjects at increased risk of
855 dementia. *Neurobiol Aging*, *91*, 36-44.
856 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2020.03.006

857 Ehrenberg, A. J., Nguy, A. K., Theofilas, P., Dunlop, S., Suemoto, C. K., Di Lorenzo Alho, A.
858 T., . . . Grinberg, L. T. (2017). Quantifying the accretion of hyperphosphorylated tau
859 in the locus coeruleus and dorsal raphe nucleus: the pathological building blocks of
860 early Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol*, *43*(5), 393-408.
861 https://doi.org/10.1111/nan.12387

Exalto, L. G., Quesenberry, C. P., Barnes, D., Kivipelto, M., Biessels, G. J., & Whitmer, R. A. (2014). Midlife risk score for the prediction of dementia four decades later. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *10*(5), 562-570. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2013.05.1772

Fayosse, A., Nguyen, D. P., Dugravot, A., Dumurgier, J., Tabak, A. G., Kivimäki, M., Sabia, S., & Singh-Manoux, A. (2020). Risk prediction models for dementia: role of age and cardiometabolic risk factors. *BMC Med*, *18*(1), 107. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01578-x

Giannakopoulos, P., Herrmann, F. R., Bussière, T., Bouras, C., Kövari, E., Perl, D. P., Morrison, J. H., Gold, G., & Hof, P. R. (2003). Tangle and neuron numbers, but not amyloid load, predict cognitive status in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*, *60*(9), 1495-1500. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000063311.58879.01

Gilvesy, A., Husen, E., Magloczky, Z., Mihaly, O., Hortobágyi, T., Kanatani, S., . . . Adori, C. (2022). Spatiotemporal characterization of cellular tau pathology in the human locus coeruleus-pericoerulear complex by three-dimensional imaging. *Acta Neuropathol*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-022-02477-6

Hair Jr., J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1998). *Multivariate Data Analysis (5th ed.)*. Prentice-Hall: London.

Heneghan, A., Deng, F., Wells, K., Ritchie, K., Muniz-Terrera, G., Ritchie, C. W., Lawlor, B., & Naci, L. (2023). Modifiable Lifestyle Activities Affect Cognition in Cognitively Healthy Middle-Aged Individuals at Risk for Late-Life Alzheimer's Disease. *Journal of Alzheimer's disease : JAD*, 91(2), 833–846. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-220267

Horn, J. (1965). A rationale and test for the number of factors in factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, *30*, 179-185. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02289447

Hsieh, S., Schubert, S., Hoon, C., Mioshi, E., & Hodges, J. R. (2013). Validation of the Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination III in frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer's disease. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*, *36*(3-4), 242-250. https://doi.org/10.1159/000351671

Irish, M., Lawlor, B. A., Coen, R. F., & O'Mara, S. M. (2011). Everyday episodic memory in amnestic mild cognitive impairment: a preliminary investigation. *BMC neuroscience*, *12*(1), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-12-80

Irwin, K., Sexton, C., Daniel, T., Lawlor, B., & Naci, L. (2018). Healthy Aging and Dementia: Two Roads Diverging in Midlife? *Front Aging Neurosci*, *10*, 275. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2018.00275

Jacobs, H. I., Wiese, S., van de Ven, V., Gronenschild, E. H., Verhey, F. R., & Matthews, P. M. (2015). Relevance of parahippocampal-locus coeruleus connectivity to memory in early dementia. *Neurobiol Aging*, *36*(2), 618-626. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2014.10.041

Jacobs, H. I. L., Müller-Ehrenberg, L., Priovoulos, N., & Roebroeck, A. (2018). Curvilinear locus coeruleus functional connectivity trajectories over the adult lifespan: a 7T MRI study. *Neurobiology of aging*, 69, 167–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018.05.021

Jacobs, H. I. L., Becker, J. A., Kwong, K., Engels-Domínguez, N., Prokopiou, P. C., Papp, K. V., Properzi, M., Hampton, O. L., d'Oleire Uquillas, F., Sanchez, J. S., Rentz, D. M., El Fakhri, G., Normandin, M. D., Price, J. C., Bennett, D. A., Sperling, R. A., & Johnson, K. A. (2021). In vivo and neuropathology data support locus coeruleus integrity as indicator of Alzheimer's disease pathology and cognitive decline. *Sci*

Transl Med, *13*(612), eabj2511. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abj2511

Jacobs, H. I. L., Becker, J. A., Kwong, K., Munera, D., Ramirez-Gomez, L., Engels-Domínguez, N., Sanchez, J. S., Vila-Castelar, C., Baena, A., Sperling, R. A., Johnson, K. A., Lopera, F., & Quiroz, Y. T. (2022). Waning locus coeruleus integrity precedes cortical tau accrual in preclinical autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement*. https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12656

James, T., Kula, B., Choi, S., Khan, S. S., Bekar, L. K., & Smith, N. A. (2021). Locus coeruleus in memory formation and Alzheimer's disease. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *54*(8), 6948-6959. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.15045

Jansen, W. J., Ossenkoppele, R., Knol, D. L., Tijms, B. M., Scheltens, P., Verhey, F. R., Visser, P. J., Aalten, P., Aarsland, D., Alcolea, D., Alexander, M., Almdahl, I. S., Arnold, S. E., Baldeiras, I., Barthel, H., van Berckel, B. N., Bibeau, K., Blennow, K., Brooks, D. J., . . . Zetterberg, H. (2015). Prevalence of cerebral amyloid pathology in persons without dementia: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*, *313*(19), 1924-1938. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2015.4668

Johnson, P. O., & Fay, L. C. (1950). The Johnson-Neyman technique, its theory and application. Psychometrika, 15(4), 349–367. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02288864

Jolliffe, I. T., & Cadima, J. (2016). Principal component analysis: a review and recent developments. *Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci*, *374*(2065), 20150202. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2015.0202.

Kaiser, H. F. (1958). The varimax criterion for analytic rotation in factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, *23*(3), 187-200. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02289233

Kametani, F., & Hasegawa, M. (2018). Reconsideration of Amyloid Hypothesis and Tau Hypothesis in Alzheimer's Disease. *Front Neurosci*, *12*, 25. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2018.00025

Kaufman, S. K., Del Tredici, K., Thomas, T. L., Braak, H., & Diamond, M. I. (2018). Tau seeding activity begins in the transentorhinal/entorhinal regions and anticipates phospho-tau pathology in Alzheimer's disease and PART. *Acta Neuropathol*, 136(1), 57-67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-018-1855-6

Kaufman, A. M., Geiller, T., & Losonczy, A. (2020). A Role for the Locus Coeruleus in Hippocampal CA1 Place Cell Reorganization during Spatial Reward Learning. *Neuron*, *105*(6), 1018-1026.e1014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.12.029

Kempadoo, K. A., Mosharov, E. V., Choi, S. J., Sulzer, D., & Kandel, E. R. (2016). Dopamine release from the locus coeruleus to the dorsal hippocampus promotes spatial learning and memory. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *113*(51), 14835-14840. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1616515114

Kerchner, G. A., Berdnik, D., Shen, J. C., Bernstein, J. D., Fenesy, M. C., Deutsch, G. K., . . . Rutt, B. K. (2014). APOE ε4 worsens hippocampal CA1 apical neuropil atrophy and episodic memory. *Neurology*, *82*(8), 691-697. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.0000000000000154

Kivipelto, M., Ngandu, T., Laatikainen, T., Winblad, B., Soininen, H., & Tuomilehto, J. (2006). Risk score for the prediction of dementia risk in 20 years among middle aged people: a longitudinal, population-based study. *The Lancet Neurology*, *5*(9), 735-741. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(06)70537-3

La Joie, R., Ayakta, N., Seeley, W. W., Borys, E., Boxer, A. L., DeCarli, C., Doré, V.,

Grinberg, L. T., Huang, E., Hwang, J. H., Ikonomovic, M. D., Jack, C., Jr., Jagust, W. J., Jin, L. W., Klunk, W. E., Kofler, J., Lesman-Segev, O. H., Lockhart, S. N., Lowe, V. J., . . . Rabinovici, G. D. (2019). Multisite study of the relationships between antemortem [(11)C]PIB-PET Centiloid values and postmortem measures of Alzheimer's disease neuropathology. *Alzheimers Dement*, *15*(2), 205-216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2018.09.001

Lambert, J. C., Ibrahim-Verbaas, C. A., Harold, D., Naj, A. C., Sims, R., Bellenguez, C., DeStafano, A. L., Bis, J. C., Beecham, G. W., Grenier-Boley, B., Russo, G., Thorton-Wells, T. A., Jones, N., Smith, A. V., Chouraki, V., Thomas, C., Ikram, M. A., Zelenika, D., Vardarajan, B. N., . . . Amouyel, P. (2013). Meta-analysis of 74,046 individuals identifies 11 new susceptibility loci for Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Genet*, *45*(12), 1452-1458. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2802

Lee, T. H., Kim, S. H., Katz, B., & Mather, M. (2020). The Decline in Intrinsic Connectivity Between the Salience Network and Locus Coeruleus in Older Adults: Implications for Distractibility. *Front Aging Neurosci*, 12, 2. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2020.00002

Liebe, T., Dordevic, M., Kaufmann, J., Avetisyan, A., Skalej, M., & Müller, N. (2022). Investigation of the functional pathogenesis of mild cognitive impairment by localisation-based locus coeruleus resting-state fMRI. *Human brain mapping*, 43(18), 5630–5642. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.26039

Liu, C. C., Liu, C. C., Kanekiyo, T., Xu, H., & Bu, G. (2013). Apolipoprotein E and Alzheimer disease: risk, mechanisms and therapy. *Nat Rev Neurol*, *9*(2), 106-118. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2012.263

Liu, K. Y., Acosta-Cabronero, J., Cardenas-Blanco, A., Loane, C., Berry, A. J., Betts, M. J.,

Kievit, R. A., Henson, R. N., Düzel, E., Howard, R., & Hämmerer, D. (2019). In vivo visualization of age-related differences in the locus coeruleus. *Neurobiol Aging*, 74, 101-111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018.10.014

Liu, K. Y., Kievit, R. A., Tsvetanov, K. A., Betts, M. J., Düzel, E., Rowe, J. B., Cam-CAN, Howard, R., & Hämmerer, D. (2020). Noradrenergic-dependent functions are associated with age-related locus coeruleus signal intensity differences. *Nature communications*, 11(1), 1712. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15410-w

Livingston, G., Huntley, J., Sommerlad, A., Ames, D., Ballard, C., Banerjee, S., Brayne, C., Burns, A., Cohen-Mansfield, J., Cooper, C., Costafreda, S. G., Dias, A., Fox, N., Gitlin, L. N., Howard, R., Kales, H. C., Kivimäki, M., Larson, E. B., Ogunniyi, A., . . . Mukadam, N. (2020). Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. *The Lancet*, *396*(10248), 413-446. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30367-6

Livingston, G., Sommerlad, A., Orgeta, V., Costafreda, S. G., Huntley, J., Ames, D., Ballard, C., Banerjee, S., Burns, A., Cohen-Mansfield, J., Cooper, C., Fox, N., Gitlin, L. N., Howard, R., Kales, H. C., Larson, E. B., Ritchie, K., Rockwood, K., Sampson, E. L., . . . Mukadam, N. (2017). Dementia prevention, intervention, and care. *Lancet*, *390*(10113), 2673-2734. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(17)31363-6

Lorenzo-Seva, U., & ten Berge, J. M. F. (2006). Tucker's congruence coefficient as a meaningful index of factor similarity. *Methodology: European Journal of Research Methods for the Behavioral and Social Sciences*, *2*(2), 57-64. https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-2241.2.2.57

Low, A., Su, L., Stefaniak, J. D., Mak, E., Dounavi, M. E., Muniz-Terrera, G., Ritchie, K.,

Ritchie, C. W., Markus, H. S., & O'Brien, J. T. (2021). Inherited risk of dementia and the progression of cerebral small vessel disease and inflammatory markers in cognitively healthy midlife adults: the PREVENT-Dementia study. *Neurobiol Aging*, *98*, 124-133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2020.10.029

Mather, M., & Harley, C. W. (2016). The Locus Coeruleus: Essential for Maintaining Cognitive Function and the Aging Brain. *Trends Cogn Sci*, 20(3), 214-226. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.01.001

Mondadori, C. R. A., De Quervain, D. J.-F., Buchmann, A., Mustovic, H., Wollmer, M. A., Schmidt, C. F., Boesiger, P., Hock, C., Nitsch, R. M., Papassotiropoulos, A., & Henke, K. (2007). Better Memory and Neural Efficiency in Young Apolipoprotein E 4 Carriers. *Cerebral Cortex*, *17*(8), 1934-1947. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhl103

Mosconi, L., Berti, V., Quinn, C., McHugh, P., Petrongolo, G., Varsavsky, I., Osorio, R. S., Pupi, A., Vallabhajosula, S., Isaacson, R. S., de Leon, M. J., & Brinton, R. D. (2017). Sex differences in Alzheimer risk: Brain imaging of endocrine vs chronologic aging. *Neurology*, 89(13), 1382-1390. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.0000000000004425

Mosconi, L., Rahman, A., Diaz, I., Wu, X., Scheyer, O., Hristov, H. W., Vallabhajosula, S., Isaacson, R. S., de Leon, M. J., & Brinton, R. D. (2018). Increased Alzheimer's risk during the menopause transition: A 3-year longitudinal brain imaging study. *PLoS One*, 13(12), e0207885. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0207885

Murphy, K., Birn, R. M., Handwerker, D. A., Jones, T. B., & Bandettini, P. A. (2009). The impact of global signal regression on resting state correlations: are anti-correlated networks introduced? *NeuroImage*, *44*(3), 893-905. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.09.036

Olivieri, P., Lagarde, J., Lehericy, S., Valabrègue, R., Michel, A., Macé, P., Caillé, F., Gervais, P., Bottlaender, M., & Sarazin, M. (2019). Early alteration of the locus coeruleus in phenotypic variants of Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol*, 6(7), 1345-1351. https://doi.org/10.1002/acn3.50818

Parra, M. A., Abrahams, S., Logie, R. H., Méndez, L. G., Lopera, F., & Della Sala, S. (2010). Visual short-term memory binding deficits in familial Alzheimer's disease. *Brain*, *133*(9), 2702-2713. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awq148

Plini, E. R. G., O'Hanlon, E., Boyle, R., Sibilia, F., Rikhye, G., Kenney, J., Whelan, R., Melnychuk, M. C., Robertson, I. H., & Dockree, P. M. (2021). Examining the Role of the Noradrenergic Locus Coeruleus for Predicting Attention and Brain Maintenance in Healthy Old Age and Disease: An MRI Structural Study for the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. *Cells*, 10(7), 1829. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10071829

Porat, S., Sibilia, F., Yoon, J., Shi, Y., Dahl, M. J., Werkle-Bergner, M., Düzel, S., Bodammer, N., Lindenberger, U., Kühn, S., & Mather, M. (2022). Age differences in diffusivity in the locus coeruleus and its ascending noradrenergic tract. *NeuroImage*, 251, 119022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119022

Power, J. D., Barnes, K. A., Snyder, A. Z., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2012). Spurious but systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. *NeuroImage*, *59*(3), 2142-2154. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.10.018

Power, J. D., Mitra, A., Laumann, T. O., Snyder, A. Z., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen, S. E. (2014). Methods to detect, characterize, and remove motion artifact in resting state

fMRI. *NeuroImage*, *84*, 320-341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.08.048

Prokopiou, P. C., Engels-Domínguez, N., Papp, K. V., Scott, M. R., Schultz, A. P., Schneider, C., Farrell, M. E., Buckley, R. F., Quiroz, Y. T., El Fakhri, G., Rentz, D. M., Sperling, R. A., Johnson, K. A., & Jacobs, H. I. L. (2022). Lower novelty-related locus coeruleus function is associated with Aβ-related cognitive decline in clinically healthy individuals. *Nature Communications*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28986-2

Rahman, A., Schelbaum, E., Hoffman, K., Diaz, I., Hristov, H., Andrews, R., Jett, S., Jackson, H., Lee, A., Sarva, H., Pahlajani, S., Matthews, D., Dyke, J., de Leon, M. J., Isaacson, R. S., Brinton, R. D., & Mosconi, L. (2020). Sex-driven modifiers of Alzheimer risk: A multimodality brain imaging study. *Neurology*, *95*(2), e166-e178. https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.0000000000009781

Ritchie, C. W., & Ritchie, K. (2012). The PREVENT study: a prospective cohort study to identify mid-life biomarkers of late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *BMJ Open*, *2*(6), e001893. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001893

Ritchie, K., Carrière, I., Gregory, S., Watermeyer, T., Danso, S., Su, L., Ritchie, C. W., & O'Brien, J. T. (2021). Trauma and depressive symptomatology in middle-aged persons at high risk of dementia: the PREVENT Dementia Study. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, *92*(1), 16-21. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2020-323823

Ritchie, K., Carrière, I., Howett, D., Su, L., Hornberger, M., O'Brien, J. T., Ritchie, C. W., & Chan, D. (2018). Allocentric and Egocentric Spatial Processing in Middle-Aged Adults at High Risk of Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease: The PREVENT Dementia

Study. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*, *65*(3), 885-896. https://doi.org/10.3233/jad-180432

Ritchie, K., Carrière, I., Ritchie, C. W., Berr, C., Artero, S., & Ancelin, M. L. (2010). Designing prevention programmes to reduce incidence of dementia: prospective cohort study of modifiable risk factors. *Bmj*, *341*, c3885. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c3885

Ritchie, K., Carrière, I., Su, L., O'Brien, J. T., Lovestone, S., Wells, K., & Ritchie, C. W. (2017). The midlife cognitive profiles of adults at high risk of late-onset Alzheimer's disease: The PREVENT study. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*, *13*(10), 1089-1097. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2017.02.008

Ritchie, K., de Roquefeuil, G., Ritchie, C., Besset, A., Poulain, V., Artero, S., Ancelin, M. L. (2014). COGNITO: computerized assessment of information processing. *Journal of Psychology & Psychotherapy*, *4*(2). https://doi.org/10.4172/2161-0487.1000136

Salvador, R., Martínez, A., Pomarol-Clotet, E., Gomar, J., Vila, F., Sarró, S., Capdevila, A., & Bullmore, E. (2008). A simple view of the brain through a frequency-specific functional connectivity measure. *NeuroImage*, *39*(1), 279-289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.08.018

Sara, S. J. (2009). The locus coeruleus and noradrenergic modulation of cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 10(3), 211-223. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2573

Satterthwaite, T. D., Elliott, M. A., Gerraty, R. T., Ruparel, K., Loughead, J., Calkins, M. E., Eickhoff, S. B., Hakonarson, H., Gur, R. C., Gur, R. E., & Wolf, D. H. (2013). An improved framework for confound regression and filtering for control of motion artifact in the preprocessing of resting-state functional connectivity data. *NeuroImage*,

1091 *64*, 240-256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.08.052

1092 Scarabino, D., Gambina, G., Broggio, E., Pelliccia, F., & Corbo, R. M. (2016). Influence of
1093 family history of dementia in the development and progression of late-onset
1094 Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*, *171b*(2), 250-256.
1095 https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.32399

1096 Scheyer, O., Rahman, A., Hristov, H., Berkowitz, C., Isaacson, R. S., Diaz Brinton, R., &
1097 Mosconi, L. (2018). Female Sex and Alzheimer's Risk: The Menopause Connection. *J*
1098 *Prev Alzheimers Dis*, *5*(4), 225-230. https://doi.org/10.14283/jpad.2018.34

1099 Sindi, S., Calov, E., Fokkens, J., Ngandu, T., Soininen, H., Tuomilehto, J., & Kivipelto, M.
1100 (2015). The CAIDE Dementia Risk Score App: The development of an evidence-
1101 based mobile application to predict the risk of dementia. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)*,
1102 *1*(3), 328-333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2015.06.005

1103 Song, I., Neal, J., & Lee, T. H. (2021). Age-Related Intrinsic Functional Connectivity
1104 Changes of Locus Coeruleus from Childhood to Older Adults. *Brain sciences*, 11(11),
1105 1485. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11111485

1106 Sperling, R. A., Aisen, P. S., Beckett, L. A., Bennett, D. A., Craft, S., Fagan, A. M., Iwatsubo,
1107 T., Jack, C. R., Kaye, J., Montine, T. J., Park, D. C., Reiman, E. M., Rowe, C. C.,
1108 Siemers, E., Stern, Y., Yaffe, K., Carrillo, M. C., Thies, B., Morrison-Bogorad, M., . . .
1109 Phelps, C. H. (2011). Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease:
1110 Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association
1111 workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's &*
1112 *Dementia*, *7*(3), 280-292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.003

1113 Stephen, R., Liu, Y., Ngandu, T., Antikainen, R., Hulkkonen, J., Koikkalainen, J.,

1114 Kemppainen, N., Lötjönen, J., Levälahti, E., Parkkola, R., Pippola, P., Rinne, J.,
1115 Strandberg, T., Tuomilehto, J., Vanninen, R., Kivipelto, M., Soininen, H., & Solomon,
1116 A. (2019). Brain volumes and cortical thickness on MRI in the Finnish Geriatric
1117 Intervention Study to Prevent Cognitive Impairment and Disability (FINGER).
1118 *Alzheimers Res Ther*, *11*(1), 53. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-019-0506-z

1119 Stevens, J. P. (1992). *Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences (2nd ed.)*.
1120 Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.

1121 Sun, W., Tang, Y., Qiao, Y., Ge, X., Mather, M., Ringman, J. M., & Shi, Y. (2020). A
1122 probabilistic atlas of locus coeruleus pathways to transentorhinal cortex for
1123 connectome imaging in Alzheimer's disease. *NeuroImage*, 223, 117301.
1124 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117301

1125 Takahashi, J., Shibata, T., Sasaki, M., Kudo, M., Yanezawa, H., Obara, S., Kudo, K., Ito, K.,
1126 Yamashita, F., & Terayama, Y. (2015). Detection of changes in the locus coeruleus in
1127 patients with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: high-resolution fast
1128 spin-echo T1-weighted imaging. *Geriatr Gerontol Int*, 15(3), 334-340.
1129 https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.12280

1130 Tustison, N. J., Avants, B. B., Cook, P. A., Zheng, Y., Egan, A., Yushkevich, P. A., & Gee, J.
1131 C. (2010). N4ITK: improved N3 bias correction. *IEEE transactions on medical*
1132 *imaging*, 29(6), 1310–1320. https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2010.2046908

1133 Udeh-Momoh, C., & Watermeyer, T. (2021). Female specific risk factors for the
1134 development of Alzheimer's disease neuropathology and cognitive impairment: Call
1135 for a precision medicine approach. *Ageing Res Rev*, *71*, 101459.
1136 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2021.101459

Van Dijk, K. R., Hedden, T., Venkataraman, A., Evans, K. C., Lazar, S. W., & Buckner, R. L. (2010). Intrinsic functional connectivity as a tool for human connectomics: theory, properties, and optimization. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 103(1), 297–321. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00783.2009

Van Egroo, M., van Hooren, R. W. E., & Jacobs, H. I. L. (2021). Associations between locus coeruleus integrity and nocturnal awakenings in the context of Alzheimer's disease plasma biomarkers: a 7T MRI study. *Alzheimers Res Ther*, *13*(1), 159. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13195-021-00902-8

Vilor-Tejedor, N., Evans, T. E., Adams, H. H., González-de-Echávarri, J. M., Molinuevo, J. L., Guigo, R., . . . Operto, G. (2021). Genetic Influences on Hippocampal Subfields: An Emerging Area of Neuroscience Research. *Neurol Genet*, *7*(3), e591. https://doi.org/10.1212/nxg.0000000000000591

Weber, M. T., Maki, P. M., & McDermott, M. P. (2014). Cognition and mood in perimenopause: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*, *142*, 90-98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2013.06.001

Weinshenker, D. (2018). Long Road to Ruin: Noradrenergic Dysfunction in Neurodegenerative Disease. *Trends Neurosci*, *41*(4), 211-223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2018.01.010

Williams GC. Pleiotropy, Natural Selection, and the Evolution of Senescence. Evolution. 1957;11:398-411. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1957.tb02911.x

World Health Organization. (2021). Global status report on the public health response to dementia.

Yanes, L. L., & Reckelhoff, J. F. (2011). Postmenopausal hypertension. *Am J Hypertens*, *24*(7), 740-749. https://doi.org/10.1038/ajh.2011.71

Ye, R., Rua, C., O'Callaghan, C., Jones, P. S., Hezemans, F. H., Kaalund, S. S., Tsvetanov, K. A., Rodgers, C. T., Williams, G., Passamonti, L., & Rowe, J. B. (2021). An in vivo probabilistic atlas of the human locus coeruleus at ultra-high field. *NeuroImage*, 225, 117487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117487

Zhang, S., Hu, S., Chao, H. H., & Li, C.-S. R. (2016). Resting-state functional connectivity of the locus coeruleus in humans: in comparison with the ventral tegmental area/substantia nigra pars compacta and the effects of age. *Cerebral Cortex*, 26(8), 3413-3427. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv172

Zhao, S., Rangaprakash, D., Venkataraman, A., Liang, P., & Deshpande, G. (2017). Investigating Focal Connectivity Deficits in Alzheimer's Disease Using Directional Brain Networks Derived from Resting-State fMRI. *Frontiers in aging neuroscience*, 9, 211. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2017.00211

Zokaei, N., Grogan, J., Fallon, S. J., Slavkova, E., Hadida, J., Manohar, S., . . . Husain, M. (2020). Short-term memory advantage for brief durations in human APOE ε4 carriers. *Sci Rep*, *10*(1), 9503. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66114-6

Zuo, X. N., Di Martino, A., Kelly, C., Shehzad, Z. E., Gee, D. G., Klein, D. F., Castellanos, F. X., Biswal, B. B., & Milham, M. P. (2010). The oscillating brain: complex and reliable. *NeuroImage*, *49*(2), 1432-1445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.09.037

1180 **Figure Legends**

1181

1182 Figure 1. The flowchart of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) data processing. (a)
1183 The standard preprocessing pipeline for fMRI data. (b) Three exclusion criteria were used to
1184 exclude participants. A 'scrubbing' procedure was used to account for the effect of head
1185 movement on functional connectivity analyses (Power et al., 2012). (c) The top panels shows
1186 the study-specific 3mm locus coeruleus (LC) mask (in blue), which closely matched the 7T
1187 probabilistic atlas developed by Ye et al. (2021) and the LC meta-mask developed by Dahl et
1188 al. (2022). The bottom panel shows the study-specific mask superimposed on a participant's
1189 T1w structural data in MNI space. (d) Functional connectivity was calculated between the
1190 bilateral LC (in blue) and the hippocampus (in red). The hippocampus was defined after
1191 *recon-all* pipeline using Freesurfer version 7.1.0.

1192

1193

1194 Figure 2. Data reduction of cognitive information. (a) Scree plots and parallel analysis for the
1195 baseline and follow-up datasets. Eigenvalues of the principal components obtained from the
1196 actual data (in blue line) were compared to those of random simulated data (mean values in
1197 grey line and 95th percentile in red line). Eigenvalues larger than 95th percentile of the
1198 randomly generated 500 eigenvalues (simulation) determined the number of components. (b)
1199 The three extracted components were rotated to be uncorrelated with each other. The
1200 proportion of variance explained by each component, and the cumulative variance explained
1201 by the three are presented for the baseline and follow-up datasets. Abbreviations: C1,
1202 component 1; C2, component 2; C3, component 3.

1203

1204

1205 Figure 3. Interpretation of data-derived cognitive domains. Component (C) coefficients and
1206 Tucker's congruence coefficients between (a) baseline and (b) follow-up, are shown. (a) The
1207 coefficients for 13 original cognitive measures (in rows) on the three components (in
1208 columns). A larger absolute coefficient (darker colors and larger solid circles) represents a
1209 closer relationship between the cognitive measure and corresponding component. Cool/warm
1210 colors represent the positive/negative relationships between cognitive measures and
1211 components. Only cognitive measures with a coefficient > 0.4 on each component,
1212 highlighted with purple rectangles, were used to interpret the cognitive functions driving each
1213 component. (b) Similarities among the components across baseline (in rows) and follow-up
1214 (in columns) were measured by Tucker's congruence coefficients. The diagonal values
1215 represented the similarities for each of the components with itself across time, and off
1216 diagonal values indicated the similarities for each of the components with the other two
1217 components across time. Cool/warm colors represent the positive/negative relationships with
1218 darker colors representing correlation magnitude, as shown in the color-bar scale.
1219 Abbreviations: VSTMBT, visual short-term memory binding test; diff, difference.
1220
1221
1222 Figure 4. The associations between the CAIDE dementia risk score and verbal, visuospatial
1223 functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory at (a) baseline and (b) follow-up.
1224 Abbreviation: CAIDE, Cardiovascular risk factor, Aging, and Incidence of Dementia.
1225
1226
1227 Figure 5. Longitudinal decline of the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity over 2 years
1228 in cognitively healthy middle-aged adults. * = $p<0.05$. Abbreviations: LC, Locus Coeruleus.
1229
1230

1231 Figure 6. The CAIDE score significantly moderated the brain–behaviour relationship. The
1232 relationship was plotted at the fixed values of CAIDE (mean and ± 1 SD) to show the effect
1233 of CAIDE at (a) baseline and (b) follow-up. The LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity
1234 and the verbal, visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory were positively
1235 associated for individuals with low CAIDE score, and negatively associated for those with
1236 high scores, at (b) follow-up, but not baseline (a). Johnson-Neyman plots show the specific
1237 range of CAIDE scores where FC was significantly associated with cognition at (c) baseline
1238 and (d) follow-up. The bolded horizontal line shows the range of CAIDE scores of our cohort.
1239 Two blue dashed vertical lines indicate the interval of significant (blue area) and non-
1240 significant (pink area) brain-behaviour relationships. At follow-up, there was a significant
1241 positive relationship between FC and cognition for individuals with a CAIDE score < 3.25
1242 and a significant negative relationship for individuals with a CAIDE score > 9.65.
1243 Abbreviations: LC, locus coeruleus; CAIDE, Cardiovascular risk factor, Aging, and
1244 Incidence of Dementia; FC, functional connectivity; SD, standard deviation; n.s., not
1245 significant.

1246

1247
1248 Table 1. Demographic characteristic of the cohort at baseline and follow-up based on the dementia family history and on APOE genotype
1249

	Baseline			Follow-up		
	FHD- (n=107)	FHD+ (n=103)	*p* (Mann-Whitney U)	FHD- (n=89)	FHD+ (n=99)	*p* (Mann-Whitney U)
Age (y)	52.0 ± 11.0	53.0 ± 7.0	0.52	54.0 ± 10.0	55.0 ± 6.0	0.22
Years of Education	16.0 ± 5.0	16.0 ± 5.0	0.34	17.0 ± 5.0	16.0 ± 5.0	0.21
CAIDE (incl. APOE status)	5.0 ± 4.0 (n=107)	6.0 ± 2.0 (n=101)	<0.05	6.0 ± 4.0 (n=89)	7.0 ± 3.0 (n=93)	<0.005
			p (Chi-Square)			*p* (Chi-Square)
Sex (%female)	69.2%	71.8%	0.67	69.7%	71.7%	0.76
APOE ε4 (% Carriers)	28.0% (n=107)	44.6% (n=101)	<0.05	28.1% (n=89)	44.3% (n=97)	<0.05
	APOE ε4- (n=133)	APOE ε4+ (n=75)	*p* (Mann-Whitney U)	APOE ε4- (n=118)	APOE ε4+ (n=68)	*p* (Mann-Whitney U)
Age (y)	53.0 ± 8.0	52.0 ± 8.0	0.06	55.0 ± 8.0	54.5 ± 8.0	0.08
Years of Education	16.0 ± 5.0	17.0 ± 4.5	0.51	16.0 ± 4.8	17.0 ± 5.0	0.47
CAIDE (incl. APOE status)	5.0 ± 3.0 (n=133)	7.0 ± 3.0 (n=75)	<0.0005	6.0 ± 3.0 (n=118)	7.0 ± 4.0 (n=64)	<0.0005
CAIDE (excl. APOE status)	5.0 ± 2.0 (n=133)	4.0 ± 3.0 (n=75)	0.12	5.0 ± 2.0 (n=118)	4.5 ± 3.0 (n=64)	0.25
			p (Chi-Square)			*p* (Chi-Square)
Sex (%female)	69.9%	70.7%	0.91	71.2%	69.1%	0.77

1250

1251 Note: median ± interquartile range (IQR) was reported for continuous variables. Abbreviations: FHD-, Negative family history of dementia;

1252 FHD+, positive family history of dementia; APOE ε4+, Apolipoprotein ε4 genotype positive; APOE ε4-, Apolipoprotein ε4 genotype negative;

1253 CAIDE, Cardiovascular Risk Factors, Aging and Dementia.

1254

Table 2. Statistical summary of the multiple regression model testing the relationship between APOE and episodic and relational memory

Model summary		Baseline			Follow-up		
		R^2	$F_{(4, 201)}$	p	R^2	$F_{(4, 169)}$	p
		0.16	9.55	<0.0001	0.17	8.48	<0.0001
DV	IV	β	*se*	p	β	*se*	p
Episodic and relational memory	APOE ε4	0.28	0.13	<0.05	0.27	0.15	0.07
	Age	-0.02	0.01	0.17	-0.008	0.01	0.55
	Sex	0.27	0.14	0.06	0.25	0.16	0.11
	Years of education	0.09	0.02	<0.0001	0.10	0.02	<0.0001

Note: unstandardized coefficient (β) and standard error (se) are reported. DV, dependent variable; IV, independent variable; APOE ε4, Apolipoprotein ε4 genotype.

1255

1256

1257 Table 3. Statistical summary of the multiple regression model testing the interaction between CAIDE and menopausal status

Model summary		Baseline			Follow-up		
		R^2	$F_{(4, 120)}$	p	R^2	$F_{(4, 96)}$	p
		0.07	2.34	0.06	0.06	1.45	0.22
DV	IV	β	*se*	p	β	*se*	p
Verbal, visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory	CAIDE	0.09	0.06	0.18	0.07	0.07	0.35
	Menopause	1.12	0.58	0.05	1.81	0.87	<0.05
	CAIDE*Menopause	-0.20	0.09	<0.05	-0.29	0.13	<0.05
	Age	-0.04	0.03	0.10	-0.02	0.03	0.52

1258

1259 Note: unstandardized coefficient (β) and standard error (se) are reported. DV, dependent variable; IV, independent variable; APOE ε4,

1260 Apolipoprotein ε4 genotype.

1261

1262

Table 4. Statistical summary of longitudinal linear mixed effect model testing for longitudinal change in the LC–Hippocampus functional connectivity

DV	IV	β	*se*	*p*
LC–Hippocampus connectivity	Time	-0.06	0.03	<0.05
	mean FD	0.34	1.42	0.81
	Hippocampus volume	0.01	0.06	0.87

Note: unstandardized coefficient (β) and standard error (se) are reported. DV, dependent variable; IV, independent variable; FD, framewise displacement; LC, Locus Coeruleus

1263

1264

Table 5. Regression coefficients of the model testing the relationship between CAIDE, LC–Hippocampus and verbal, visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory

Model summary		Baseline			Follow-up		
		R^2	$F_{(4, 121)}$	p	R^2	$F_{(4, 77)}$	p
		0.07	2.22	0.07	0.07	1.55	0.20
DV	IV	β	*se*	p	β	*se*	p
Verbal, visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory	CAIDE	-0.09	0.03	<0.01	-0.04	0.05	0.45
	LC–HC	-0.10	0.34	0.78	0.48	0.53	0.37
	CAIDE × LC–HC	-0.10	0.14	0.48	-0.54	0.22	<0.05
	Hippocampus volume	-1.97E-04	2.29E-04	0.39	5.39E-06	3.26E-04	0.99

Note: unstandardized coefficient (β) and standard error (se) are reported. CAIDE and LC-HC have been centred in this model. DV, dependent variable; IV, independent variable; CAIDE, Cardiovascular Risk Factors, Aging and Dementia; LC–HC, Locus Coeruleus–Hippocampus functional connectivity.

1265

(a) Resting-state fMRI preprocessing



- Motion correction
- Slice-time correction
- Co-register to T1
- Normalization
- Smooth
- Regress out covariates
- Bandpass filtering

(b) Exclusion criteria



- Minimum amount of data remain: 150 frames (5 min)
- Decline or contraindications to MRI
- Incidental findings

(c) Create 3mm LC mask



(d) Functional connectivity




(a)
Baseline
Follow-up
Eigen values
Actual data
Simulated data (mean)
Simulated data (95th percentile)
2.50
2.00
1.50
1.00
0.50
0.00
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
Component number
(b)
Variance
0.50
0.40
0.30
0.20
0.10
0.00
0.17
0.30
0.42
0.13
0.12
0.17
0.29
0.40
0.12
0.11
C1
C2
C3
Proportion
Cumulative

(a)

	Baseline C1	Baseline C2	Baseline C3	Follow-up C1	Follow-up C2	Follow-up C3
Description recall (accuracy)	0.82	-0.03	0.01	0.74	-0.04	-0.05
Narrative recall (accuracy)	0.78	-0.02	0.15	0.71	0.1	0.14
Name-face association (accuracy)	0.55	-0.02	-0.24	0.54	-0.14	-0.21
Verbal fluency(accuracy)	0.41	0.33	-0.18	0.45	-0.05	-0.24
Working memory (latency diff)	0.2	-0.74	-0.02	-0.22	0.41	-0.1
Working memory (accuracy)	0.08	0.67	0.05	0.23	0.4	-0.14
VSTMBT shape (accuracy)	0.4	0.48	-0.21	0.56	0.39	0.02
Phoneme comprehension (accuracy)	0.08	0.41	0.11	0.13	0.41	0.27
Form perception (latency)	0.13	-0.01	0.78	0.02	0.19	0.7
Phoneme comprehension (latency)	-0.12	0.09	0.61	-0.05	-0.12	0.78
VSTMBT shape-colour binding (accuracy)	0.26	0.25	-0.46	0.41	0.49	0.02
Form perception (accuracy)	0.33	0.29	0.39	-0.04	0.7	0
Implicit memory (accuracy)	-0.07	0.15	0.06	-0.22	0.2	-0.36

(b)



C1: Episodic and relational memory
C2: Working and short-term (single-feature) memory
C3: Verbal, visuospatial functions,
and short-term (conjunctive) memory


(a) Baseline
(b) Follow-up
Verbal, visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory
2
0
-2
0
5
10
15
CAIDE score
rho = -0.17, p < 0.05
rho = -0.20, p < 0.01


*
LC-Hippocampus FC
0.40
0.00
-0.40
-0.80
Baseline
Follow-up


Baseline
Follow-up
(a)
Interaction:
β = -0.10, p > 0.05
(b)
Interaction:
β = -0.54, p < 0.05
CAIDE
+1 SD
Mean
-1 SD
Verbal, visuospatial functions, and short-term (conjunctive) memory
LC-Hippocampus FC
LC-Hippocampus FC
(c)
(d)
Slope of LC-Hippocampus FC on cognition
CAIDE
CAIDE
Range of observed data
n.s.
p < 0.05