

1 **Epidemiological pattern of births from the largest surveillance database of live births in**
2 **Brazil "SINASC" before and during the COVID-19 pandemic in the Brazilian Amazon**

3

4 Daniele Melo Sardinha¹, Andréa Maria da Silva Luz², Kátia Raquel Almeida Carneiro²,
5 Mauro Daniel Rodrigues Paixão³, Sebastião Kauã de Sousa Bispo³, Natasha Cristina Oliveira
6 Andrade³, Juliane Lima Alencar⁴, Tamires de Nazaré Soares⁵, Joyce dos Santos Freitas⁶,
7 Rubenilson Caldas Valois⁵, Ana Lúcia da Silva Ferreira¹, Karla Valéria Batista Lima¹, Luana
8 Nepomuceno Gondim Costa Lima¹

9

10 ¹Programa de Pós-graduação em Biologia Parasitária na Amazônia, Universidade Estado do
11 Pará e Instituto Evandro Chagas (PPGBPA/UEPA/IEC). Belém, Pará, Brazil.

12 ²Fundação Santa Casa de Misericórdia do Pará, (FSCMP). Belém, Pará, Brazil.

13 ³Universidade da Amazônia (UNAMA). Ananindeua, Pará, Brazil.

14 ⁴Programa de Pós-graduação em Epidemiologia e Vigilância em Saúde, Instituto Evandro
15 Chagas (PPGEVS/IEC). Ananindeua, Pará, Brazil.

16 ⁵Programa de Pós-graduação em Enfermagem, Universidade do Estado do Pará
17 (PPGENF/UEPA). Belém, Pará, Brazil.

18 Faculdade de Enfermagem, Instituto de Ciências de Saúde, Universidade Federal do Pará
19 (FAENF/ICS/UFPA). Belém, Pará Brazil.

20

21 Corresponding author: Daniele Melo Sardinha

22 Danielle-vianna20@hotmail.com

23

24 **Abstract**

25 The surveillance of live births in Brazil has been carried out since 1990 by the Information
26 System on Live Births (SINASC), which was implemented by the Ministry of Health aiming
27 at standardized registration on a national level. The state of Pará is part of the Brazilian
28 Amazon, northern Brazil, which has several unique characteristics. Thus, the purpose of this
29 study was to identify the epidemiological pattern of live births before and during the
30 pandemic of COVID-19 in the state of Pará, 2016 to 2020. This is an ecological
31 epidemiological time-series study, using epidemiological surveillance data from DATASUS,

32 referring to the Live Births Information System (SINASC). These are data that have been
33 treated by surveillance and are in aggregate format. The study population is the live births
34 residing in the state of Pará, in the period from 2016 to 2020. The data collection instrument
35 was the Declaration of Live Births (DLB). There were 689,454 live births, and the highest
36 rates of births were and continued to remain in the Marajó II, Baixo Amazonas, Xingu, and
37 Tapajós regions. The Metropolitan I and Araguaia regions were and continue to be the lowest
38 rates in the state. Age of the mother 15 to 19 years old 22.29%, 20 to 24 years old 30.05% and
39 25 to 29 years old 22.58%, most of the single pregnancy type 98.32%, prenatal consultations,
40 performed 7 or more 48.10%, followed by 4 to 6 consultations 33.98%, most presented 7 or
41 more years of the study 48.10%, followed by 3 to 6 years 33.98%. Represented 51.21% male
42 and 48.77% female. The occurrence of congenital anomalies represented 0.52% of live births.
43 Another congenital malformation and deformity were the most prevalent at 25.53%, followed
44 by Congenital deformities of the feet 14.90%, Other congenital malformations of the nervous
45 system 14.84%, and Other congenital malformations 10.77%, Cleft lip, and cleft palate
46 8.88%, Other congenital malformations digestive tract 8.10%. The demographic transition has
47 already occurred for several decades, including the reduction of fertility and birth rate, so our
48 study showed that the reduction in the number of live births was already a reality in the
49 country, but we emphasize that this reduction was enhanced by the pandemic. We observed
50 greater adherence to prenatal care and a lower prevalence of low birth weight compared to
51 other studies, but the limitation was the absence of studies in the same place of the research.
52 Regarding data incompleteness, we emphasize the ignored fields that reflect the fragility in
53 the surveillance of live births, which was reinforced by the literature.

54 **Keywords:** Epidemiology; Health Surveillance; Health Indicators; SINASC; Live Births;
55 COVID-19.

56 **INTRODUCTION**

57 Surveillance of live births in Brazil has been carried out since 1990 by the
58 Information System on Live Births (SINASC), which was implemented by the Ministry of
59 Health aiming at a standardized national registry of information on live births. SINASC uses
60 the Declaration of Live Births (DLB) as an instrument for data collection, which has several
61 variables on the mother, prenatal care, delivery, and the newborn. This surveillance system
62 represents an essential source of information for health research and evaluation in the
63 maternal and child area [1].

64 Thus, SINASC surveillance subsidizes public health measures concerning women's
65 and children's health, such as public policies to reduce maternal mortality, and adherence to
66 quality prenatal, delivery, and puerperium care. The DLB is mandatory to be issued in three
67 copies, which will be a requirement for the birth certificate, which is a fundamental document
68 for the child's social, educational, and economic policies regarding society. [2].

69 Thus, the epidemiological pattern of live births has been studied for several years,
70 because profile changes must be identified for the development of strategies and
71 understanding of associated factors. Authors have discussed the demographic transition,
72 which highlights the reduction in fertility and birth rate, which has been occurring for decades
73 in the world and Brazil [3].

74 The state of Pará is part of the Brazilian Amazon, northern Brazil, which has several
75 unique characteristics, such as extensive geographical territory, compared to several European
76 countries together, as well as being composed of rural areas larger than urban areas, which
77 hinders access to education, health services, and health surveillance, indigenous peoples,
78 illegal mining, mercury contamination, factors that directly impact the health of the
79 population, making them vulnerable [4–6].

80 The arrival of the pandemic by COVID-19 weakened health and surveillance
81 services worldwide, and it was no different in Brazil and Pará. However, the state of Pará


82 already has local vulnerabilities, thus the objective of this study was to identify the
83 epidemiological pattern of live births before and during the pandemic of COVID-19 in the
84 state of Pará, 2016 to 2020.

85 **METHOD**

86 Epidemiological, ecological, and time-series study with data from DATASUS'
87 epidemiological surveillance of the Live Births Information System (SINASC). These are
88 data that have been treated by surveillance and are in an aggregated format.

89 The study population is the live births residing in the state of Pará (figure 1), in the
90 period from 2016 to 2020. The data were made available on the website of the Department of
91 Informatics of the Unified Health System (DATASUS) [7].

92 **Figure 1.** The spatial location of the mesoregions and municipalities of the State of Pará,
93 Amazon, Brazil.



Source: (Sardinha, et al. 2021)[8].

97 The instrument for data collection was the Live Birth Declaration (DNL), the
98 variables, year, age group, type of pregnancy, type of delivery, prenatal consultations,
99 education, congenital anomaly, gender, and type of congenital anomaly were extracted. The
100 data were analyzed by Excel 2019, from absolute and relative numbers, as well as analysis of
101 the curve of the number of live births per year by the R2 equation, which shows if there is a
102 change in pattern and informs the percentage of the difference between the years. We
103 performed the calculation of the birth rate by health region from the resident population also
104 extracted from DATASUS, the calculation was:

$$\frac{\text{Number of live birth}}{\text{Health Region Year Population}} \times 1.000$$

105
106
107
108
109 The spatial distribution of live births was performed by the health region of the state
110 of Pará in the ArcGIS software (<https://www.arcgis.com/>) and classified according to the
111 results of the birth rates, in five classes in red.

112 According to Resolution No. 510 of April 7, 2016, Article II, which deals with
113 research that uses publicly accessible data, under Law No. 12,527 of November 18, 2011,
114 Articles III (research that uses information in the public domain) and V (research in databases
115 whose information is aggregated, without the possibility of individual identification), will not
116 be registered or evaluated by the Ethics and Research Committee (CEP/CONEP) system.
117 Thus, these types of studies are not recommended to be submitted for ethical review and can
118 be freely conducted, since the publicly available data does not include data such as the names,
119 phone numbers, and addresses of the participants [9,10].

120 **RESULTS**

121 In the state of Pará, there were 689,454 live births in the study period, highlighting
122 the drop in the year 2020 which was 132,937, compared to 2019 that where 138,338. The

123 health regions with the highest numbers were Metropolitan I with 21.32%, Carajás with
124 11.26%, and Baixo Amazonas with 11.22%, proportional to being the most populous regions
125 (table 1).

126 In the analysis by the number of live births per year, the trend line showed the reduction of
127 live births each year, enhanced by 2020. The R2 value showed that each year the reduction
128 tends to be 23% (graph 1).


129 **Table 1** - Number of live births in the state of Pará by health region, from 2016 to 2020.

Health Region	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	%
Araguaia	7477	7812	8311	8020	7731	39351	5,71
Baixo Amazonas	14998	15422	15697	15810	15456	77383	11,22
Carajás	15629	15330	16130	15335	15224	77648	11,26
Lago de Tucuruí	6915	6844	6718	6474	6282	33233	4,82
Metropolitana I	30359	30529	30197	29137	26753	146975	21,32
Metropolitana II	6136	5981	6216	6106	5725	30164	4,38
Metropolitana III	13650	13605	14186	14109	13264	68814	9,98
Rio Caetés	8716	8557	8587	8314	8093	42267	6,13
Tapajós	3944	4409	4381	4360	4491	21585	3,13
Tocantins	11523	11768	12227	11957	11605	59080	8,57
Xingu	7009	6795	6970	6836	6575	34185	4,96
Marajó I	3969	3959	4075	4038	4025	20066	2,91
Marajó II	7354	7671	8123	7842	7713	38703	5,61
Total	137679	138682	141818	138338	132937	689454	100,00

130 Source: MS/SVS/DASIS - Live Births Information System - SINASC.

131

132 **Graph 1** - Number of live births per year, in the state of Pará from 2016 to 2020.



133

134 Source: MS/SVS/DASIS - Live Births Information System - SINASC.

135

136 We calculated the birth rate by health region, and also presented the spatial
 137 distribution. The highest birth rates were and remain in the regions Marajó II (per year
 138 23.86/24.58/25.69/24.49/23.80), Baixo Amazonas (per year 19.87/20.28/20.49/20.49/19.89),
 139 Xingu (per year 20.67/19.82/20.11/19.52/18.58 and Tapajós (per year
 140 18.01/20.07/19.87/19.72/20.25). The Metropolitan I (per year 13.85/13.83/13.58/13.02/11.87)
 141 and Araguaia (per year 13.84/14.23/14.90/14.15/13.44) regions were and still are the lowest
 142 rates in the state of Pará (table 2) (graph 2) (figures 1,2 and 3).

143


144 **Table 2** - Birth Rate per 1,000 population, health region in the state of Para 2016 to 2020.

Health Region	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Araguaia	13.84	14.23	14.90	14.15	13.44
Baixo Amazonas	19.87	20.28	20.49	20.49	19.89
Carajás	18.66	18.03	18.70	17.52	17.15
Lago de Tucuruí	15.82	15.37	14.81	14.03	13.38
Metropolitana I	13.85	13.83	13.58	13.02	11.87
Metropolitana II	17.19	16.59	17.08	16.61	15.43
Metropolitana III	15.02	14.81	15.27	15.02	13.97
Rio Caetés	16.53	16.09	16.00	15.36	14.83
Tapajós	18.01	20.07	19.87	19.72	20.25
Tocantins	16.98	17.12	17.56	16.96	16.26

Xingu	20.67	19.82	20.11	19.52	18.58
Marajó I	16.88	16.63	16.91	16.55	16.30
Marajó II	23.86	24.58	25.69	24.49	23.80
Total	16.52	16.47	16.66	16.08	15.30

145 Source: MS/SVS/DASIS - Live Births Information System - SINASC.


146 **Graph 2** - Birth Rate per 1,000 inhabitants, health region in the state of Para 2016 to 2020.



147

148 Source: MS/SVS/DASIS - Live Births Information System - SINASC.

149 **Figure 1** - Spatial distribution of birth rate by health region in the state of Pará, 2016 and
 150 2017.




151

152 Source: MS/SVS/DASIS - Live Births Information System - SINASC. Software ArcGIS
153 (<https://www.arcgis.com/>).

154

155 **Figure 2** - Spatial distribution of birth rate by health region in the state of Pará, 2018 and
156 2019.




157

158 Source: MS/SVS/DASIS - Live Births Information System - SINASC. Software ArcGIS
159 (<https://www.arcgis.com/>).

160

161 **Figure 3** - Spatial distribution of birth rate by health region in the state of Pará, 2020.



162

163 Source: MS/SVS/DASIS - Live Births Information System - SINASC. Software ArcGIS
164 (<https://www.arcgis.com/>).

165

166 Regarding sex, the live births in the study period represented (51.21%) male and
167 (48.77%) female, the remaining were ignored in the sex field. Regarding the age range, the
168 majorities of women were between 15 to 19 years (22.29%), 20 and 24 years (30.05%), and
169 25 to 29 years (22.58%). As well as the majority of single pregnancy type 98.32%. The
170 mother's schooling by the number of years of study, the majority presented 7 or more years
171 (48.10%), followed by 3 to 6 years (33.98%). In the comparison of schooling with the number
172 of prenatal visits, 8 to 11 years of study who made 7 visits or more (59.19%), and those who
173 did not make any visits with the same schooling was (35.78%) (table 3).

174 The single pregnancy type accounted for 98.33% of the live births. About the type of
175 delivery, 50.20% were vaginal and 49.70 were cesarean. Concerning prenatal consultations,
176 the majority had 7 or more 48.10%, followed by 4 to 6 consultations 33.98%. Regarding birth
177 weight, the study showed 52,378 (7.59%) live births with a low birth weight of <2,499 g. The
178 majority 64.61% weigh between 3000 to 3999 g (table 4).

179 **Table 3** - Sociodemographic variables of the mother and births by health region, state of Pará, 2016 to 2020.

Sex	2016	%	2017	%	2018	%	2019	%	2020	%	Total	%
Male	70645	10.25	71244	10.33	72745	10.55	70644	10.25	67791	9.83	353069	51.21
Female	67020	9.72	67422	9.78	69042	10.01	67671	9.82	65121	9.45	336276	48.77
Ignored	16	0.00	18	0.00	32	0.00	26	0.00	26	0.00	118	0.02
Age of mother												
Under 10 years old	-		-		-		2	0.00	-		2	0.00
10 to 14 years	2178	0.32	1867	0.27	1887	0.27	1816	0.26	1670	0.24	9418	1.37
15 to 19 years	33254	4.82	31949	4.63	31438	4.56	29504	4.28	27588	4.00	153733	22.30
20 to 24 years	42122	6.11	42050	6.10	42735	6.20	41002	5.95	39333	5.70	207242	30.06
25 to 29 years	30220	4.38	30841	4.47	32025	4.64	31797	4.61	30836	4.47	155719	22.59
30 to 34 years	19176	2.78	20158	2.92	21050	3.05	20956	3.04	20226	2.93	101566	14.73
35 to 39 years	8501	1.23	9367	1.36	10106	1.47	10575	1.53	10387	1.51	48936	7.10
40 to 44 years	2051	0.30	2268	0.33	2391	0.35	2486	0.36	2692	0.39	11888	1.72
45 to 49 years	160	0.02	166	0.02	162	0.02	174	0.03	172	0.02	834	0.12
50 to 54 years	12	0.00	12	0.00	15	0.00	24	0.00	24	0.00	87	0.01
55 to 59 years	2	0.00	4	0.00	3	0.00	1	0.00	-		10	0.00
60 to 64 years	1	0.00	-		6	0.00	-		2	0.00	9	0.00
65 to 69 years	-		-		-		-		2	0.00	2	0.00
Age ignored	4	0.00	2	0.00	1	0.00	4	0.00	6	0.00	17	0.00
Mother's schooling												
No	1453	0.21	1261	0.18	1131	0.16	1028	0.15	967	0.14	5840	0.85
1 to 3 years	7435	1.08	6523	0.95	6006	0.87	5303	0.77	4420	0.64	29687	4.31
4 to 7 years	37245	5.40	35860	5.20	35524	5.15	33360	4.84	30892	4.48	172881	25.07
8 to 11 years	74619	10.82	75430	10.94	76046	11.03	77584	11.25	76869	11.15	380548	55.19
12 years and older	13378	1.94	14983	2.17	16081	2.33	16077	2.33	16017	2.32	76536	11.10
Ignored	3551	0.52	4627	0.67	7031	1.02	4989	0.72	3773	0.55	23971	3.48

180 Source: MS/SVS/DASIS - Live Births Information System - SINASC.

181 **Table 4** - Pregnancy and Birth Variables by health region, state of Pará, 2016 to 2020.

Pregnancy Type	2016	%	2017	%	2018	%	2019	%	2020	%	Total	%
Single	135392	19.64	136509	19.80	139408	20.22	135921	19.71	130702	18.96	677932	98.33
Double	2058	0.30	1969	0.29	2202	0.32	2254	0.33	2071	0.30	10554	1.53
Triple and more	16	0.00	26	0.00	39	0.01	35	0.01	33	0.00	149	0.02
Ignored	215	0.03	180	0.03	170	0.02	131	0.02	132	0.02	828	0.12
Type of birth												
Vaginal	71213	10.33	70954	10.29	71553	10.38	68702	9.96	63701	9.24	346123	50.20
Cesarean	66259	9.61	67573	9.80	70139	10.17	69535	10.09	69144	10.03	342650	49.70
Ignored	209	0.03	157	0.02	127	0.02	104	0.02	93	0.01	690	0.10
Prenatal consultation												
None	8241	1.20	6586	0.96	6401	0.93	5457	0.79	7653	1.11	34338	4.98
From 1 to 3	16809	2.44	17580	2.55	17428	2.53	15836	2.30	18649	2.70	86302	12.52
From 4 to 6	48595	7.05	48056	6.97	48342	7.01	45203	6.56	44095	6.40	234291	33.98
7 or more	63519	9.21	65987	9.57	69028	10.01	71451	10.36	61660	8.94	331645	48.10
Ignored	517	0.07	475	0.07	620	0.09	394	0.06	881	0.13	2887	0.42
Birth Weight												
Less than 500g	208	0.03	198	0.03	225	0.03	221	0.03	200	0.03	1052	0.15
500 to 999g	481	0.07	553	0.08	546	0.08	556	0.08	507	0.07	2643	0.38
1000 to 1499g	846	0.12	884	0.13	901	0.13	837	0.12	832	0.12	4300	0.62
1500 a 2499g	8687	1.26	8868	1.29	9180	1.33	8982	1.30	8666	1.26	44383	6.44
2500 a 2999g	30810	4.47	30259	4.39	31165	4.52	30285	4.39	29129	4.22	151648	22.00
3000 to 3999 g	88833	12.88	89820	13.03	91449	13.26	89489	12.98	85902	12.46	445493	64.61
4000g and above	7664	1.11	7942	1.15	8197	1.19	7871	1.14	7579	1.10	39253	5.69
Ignored	152	0.02	160	0.02	156	0.02	100	0.01	123	0.02	691	0.10

182 Source: MS/SVS/DASIS - Live Births Information System - SINASC.

183

Table 5 - Occurrence of congenital anomalies by health region, state of Pará, 2016 to 2020.

Congenital anomaly	2016	%	2017	%	2018	%	2019	%	2020	%	Total	%
Yes	626	0.09	699	0.10	778	0.11	753	0.11	757	0.11	3613	0.52
No	135516	19.66	137475	19.94	140105	20.32	135385	19.64	129587	18.80	678068	98.35
Ignored	1539	0.22	510	0.07	936	0.14	2203	0.32	2594	0.38	7782	1.13
Type of congenital anomaly												
Spina bifida	16	0.00	20	0.00	27	0.00	26	0.00	20	0.00	109	0.02
Other congenital malformations of the nervous system	126	0.02	109	0.02	122	0.02	95	0.01	83	0.01	535	0.08
Congenital malformations of the circulatory system	9	0.00	17	0.00	29	0.00	40	0.01	43	0.01	138	0.02
Cleft lip and cleft palate	59	0.01	68	0.01	66	0.01	59	0.01	68	0.01	320	0.05
Absent atresia and stenosis of the small intestine	-		6	0.00	3	0.00	2	0.00	2	0.00	13	0.00
Other congenital malformations of the digestive system	30	0.00	43	0.01	63	0.01	79	0.01	77	0.01	292	0.04
Undescended testicle	3	0.00	4	0.00	4	0.00	7	0.00	7	0.00	25	0.00
Other genitourinary system malformations	29	0.00	29	0.00	48	0.01	42	0.01	39	0.01	187	0.03
Congenital deformities of the hip	-		-		1	0.00	4	0.00	-		5	0.00
Congenital deformities of the feet	95	0.01	102	0.01	115	0.02	118	0.02	107	0.02	537	0.08
Other congenital malformations and deformities of the musculoskeletal system	164	0.02	201	0.03	189	0.03	171	0.02	195	0.03	920	0.13
Other congenital malformations	77	0.01	67	0.01	76	0.01	80	0.01	88	0.01	388	0.06
Chromosomal anomalies NCOP	15	0.00	30	0.00	30	0.00	27	0.00	27	0.00	129	0.02
Hemangioma and lymphangioma	-		1	0.00	3	0.00	2	0.00	-		6	0.00
No congenital anomaly/not informed	137058	19.88	137987	20.01	141043	20.46	137589	19.96	132182	19.17	685859	99.48

185 Fonte: MS/SVS/DASIS - Sistema de Informações sobre Nascidos Vivos – SINASC.

186 The occurrence of congenital anomalies represented 0.52% of live births, 0.55% male, and
187 0.47% female (table 6). We analyzed the types of congenital anomalies, Other congenital
188 malformation and deformity were the most prevalent 25.53%, followed by Congenital deformities
189 of the feet 14.90%, Other congenital malformations of the nervous system 14.84%, Other
190 congenital malformations 10.77%, Cleft lip and cleft palate 8.88%, Other congenital malformations
191 digestive tract 8.10%. The others represent less than 6% each (table 5 and 6).

192 **Table 6** - Live births by type of congenital anomaly, Pará state 2016 to 2020.

Congenital anomaly type	Total (3604)	%
Other congenital malformations and deformities of the musculoskeletal system	920	25.53
Congenital deformities of the feet	537	14.9
Other congenital malformations of the nervous system	535	14.84
Other congenital malformations	388	10.77
Cleft lip and cleft palate	320	8.88
Other congenital malformations of the digestive tract	292	8.1
Other congenital malformations of the genitourinary system	187	5.19
Congenital malformations of the circulatory system	138	3.83
Chromosomal abnormalities NCOP	129	3.58
Spina bifida	109	3.02
Undescended testicle	25	0.69
Absent atresia and stenosis of the small bowel	13	0.36
Hemangioma and lymphangioma	6	0.17
Congenital hip deformities	5	0.14

193 Source: MS/SVS/DASIS - Live Births Information System - SINASC.

194

195 **DISCUSSION**

196 In this study, we characterized the live births of the state of Pará in the years 2016 to 2020,
197 based on data from the epidemiological surveillance of live births in Brazil SINASC. On the
198 number of live births, we evidenced a reduction in the trend line, which was potentiated in 2020.
199 According to the civil registry, an official page that shares data on births shows that in 2021 in the

200 state of Pará there were 121,739 births, and highlights that with the arrival of the pandemic in Brazil
201 births reduced by 15%, but emphasizes that the birth rate decline had already been occurring [11].

202 A report in the CNN Brazil newspaper emphasized the reduction of the fertility rate in
203 Brazil, which was enhanced by the pandemic of COVID-19. They highlighted that the fear and
204 anguish of staying in a maternity ward in times of pandemic, emotional issues, and worries about
205 SARS-CoV-2 are associated with this reduction [12].

206 Studies also showed that maternal mortality increased during the pandemic and that it was
207 directly associated with COVID-19. In 2021, Brazil had the highest maternal mortality rate for
208 COVID-19 in the world, which alerted researchers, because due to underreporting these data can be
209 even higher, as COVID-19 is potentiated during pregnancy due to various physiological changes
210 [13,14]. Research on maternal mortality in the state of Pará showed that 44 maternal deaths had
211 occurred by June 8, 2020, of which 20 were due to COVID-19. [15].

212 Regarding the birth rate reduction, a 2012 study already discussed the demographic
213 transition since 1950, highlighting the declines in mortality, birth rate, and fertility in this process,
214 but emphasized that in the North and Northeast of Brazil this process was less evident [16]. Another
215 study analyzed the fertility transition based on epidemiological data, and stated that the drop in
216 fertility began in the mid-1930s in Brazil, especially in the South and Southeast regions, and also
217 cited in the study factors regarding the reduction, such as women's choice to have fewer children,
218 low social cost, contraceptive methods, and education [17].

219 Research in the municipality of Rio de Janeiro on the epidemiological profile of live births
220 showed that most were full-term, adequate weight, and Apgar score on the first and fifth minutes
221 between 7 and 10 points. The mothers were mostly brown, single, with 8 to 11 years of schooling,
222 and aged between 20 and 34. Regarding the type of delivery, Cesarean was more frequent [18].
223 Being similar concerning age, and education, however different in the type of delivery, because in

224 our study the predominance was a vaginal delivery, even with little difference between the cesarean
225 delivery. Another study described the profile of live births in the city of Viçosa from 2001 to 2007,
226 showing the main age range of mothers was between 20 and 29 years, representing 55% of this age
227 group in 2007. The percentage of teenage mothers decreased from 18.2 to 14.6% from 2001 to
228 2007. Concerning the type of delivery, an increase in cesarean sections and a decrease in vaginal
229 deliveries are emphasized. However, vaginal deliveries are higher than cesarean deliveries among
230 adolescents. As for weight, low birth weight reached 8% in 2007. The Apgar score in the first and
231 fifth minutes >7 represented 73 and 89% in 2007. [19].

232 A study aimed to know the epidemiological profile of births in Chapecó/SC, in the period
233 from July 2011 to June 2013, based on SINASC. They identified a total of 5,918 live births, of these
234 9.2% were born weighing less than 2,500 g; 9.4% were premature; 15.7% were children of
235 adolescent mothers, and 20% of women had seven prenatal visits or less [20]. In our study, the
236 majority had 7 or more prenatal visits, and the prevalence of low weight was lower.

237 Regarding the prevalence of congenital anomalies, a study described congenital anomalies
238 (CA) among live births of mothers residing in Tangará da Serra, MT, Brazil, the period 2006-2016.
239 Of 15,689 live births, 77 were registered (prevalence of 4.9/1,000); there was an 80.7% increase in
240 CA registered in 2016, representing 10.3/1.000 live births, including five cases of microcephaly;
241 The prevalence of CA was higher among children born to women aged over 35 years (prevalence
242 ratio [PR] =1.91; confidence interval [95% CI] 1.01;3.60), premature infants (PR=2.22; 95% CI
243 1.26;3.92) and low birth weight infants (PR=3.21; 95% CI 1.86;5.54) [21].

244 Concerning the higher birth rates in the health regions of Marajó II, Baixo Amazonas, and
245 the Tapajós, they are associated with lower schooling and less access to health services that these
246 regions have characteristics of local vulnerability because they have a large geographical extension

247 and have many people in rural and indigenous areas. It is worth mentioning that these are regions of
248 illegal mining and environmental contamination from mercury [22,23].

249 Regarding the quality of the SINASC information, a study highlighted the weaknesses in
250 the completeness of the essential fields in the surveillance of live births, and that these indicators
251 are worse in the northern region of the country [24]. In another study in Brazil from 2006 to 2010
252 on the completeness of the variables of the DNV, 21 of the 23 variables analyzed showed
253 completeness above 90.0%. 97.9% of the hospital delivery variables had complete data; they found
254 no differences in the proportion of births concerning macroregion and sex concerning the 2010
255 census; 82.6% of the data were received on time in 2010; the ratio between live births notified and
256 estimated was 89.4% in 2006 and 97.4% in 2010 [25]. Another study conducted a review of data
257 completeness, from previously published studies, 13 articles were reviewed. The evaluation of
258 coverage was the subject of analysis in eight studies, completeness in four, and reliability in seven.
259 Most of them presented results of coverage higher than 90%, indicating their feasibility for the
260 calculation of indicators. However, under-registration of births in SINASC prevailed, ranging from
261 75.8% to 99.5%. The variables maternal education, parity, and the number of prenatal visits were
262 those that presented the greatest inconsistency. Thus, the variable parity was the one that presented
263 the greatest incompleteness [26].

264 The impossibility of including the data of live births from 2021 stands out as a limitation
265 because the DATASUS surveillance system only makes the data available after the treatment of the
266 data and the qualification of the information, and in Brazil generally the availability of data from
267 the previous year is only made in October to December of the current year.

268 **CONCLUSION**

269 We presented the epidemiological pattern of live births in the state of Pará, before and
270 during the pandemic of COVID-19, and showed that the demographic transition had already been

271 occurring for several decades, including the reduction of fecundity and birth rate, thus our study
272 showed that the reduction in the number of live births was already a reality in the country, but we
273 emphasized that this reduction was enhanced by the pandemic.

274 The other variables were similar, except for the higher prenatal care adherence which was
275 better than the previous studies, and the lower prevalence of low weight, but the limitation was that
276 studies in the same place of the research were not found.

277 Regarding the incompleteness of the data, we highlight the ignored fields that reflect the
278 fragility in the surveillance of live births, which was reinforced by the literature. Health promotion
279 should be strengthened concerning the qualification of surveillance professionals, and the theme
280 should be discussed with greater accuracy in the academies for training health professionals.

281 REFERENCES

- 282 [1] Brasil M da S. Apresentação - SINASC - CGIAE - DASNT - SVS/MS. Secr Vigilância Em
283 Saúde 2022. <http://svs.aids.gov.br/dantps/cgiae/sinasc/apresentacao/> (accessed June 5, 2022).
- 284 [2] Cavalcante JN de B, Coutinho DJG. A importância e aplicabilidade dos sistemas de
285 informações sobre nascidos vivos e mortalidade: uma revisão integrativa / The importance
286 and applicability of information systems on live births and mortality: an integrative review.
287 *Brazilian J Dev* 2021;7:73272–9. <https://doi.org/10.34117/bjdv7n7-482>.
- 288 [3] Mello AV de, Silva ZP da. INDICADORES DE SAÚDE E QUALIDADE DOS DADOS:
289 UMA ANÁLISE DO SISTEMA DE INFORMAÇÃO SOBRE NASCIDOS VIVOS NO
290 PARANÁ, BRASIL (1996 – 2018). *Saúde (Santa Maria)* 2021;47.
291 <https://doi.org/10.5902/2236583463542>.
- 292 [4] da Silva Santos MR, Vitorino MI, Pereira LCC, da Silva Pimentel MA, Quintão AF.
293 Socioenvironmental Vulnerability to Climate Change: Conditions of Coastal Municipalities

- 294 in Pará State. *Ambient Soc* 2021;24:1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1809->
295 4422ASOC20200167R1VU2021L3AO.
- 296 [5] Meneses H do N de M, Oliveira-Da-costa M, Basta PC, Morais CG, Pereira RJB, de Souza
297 SMS, et al. Mercury Contamination: A Growing Threat to Riverine and Urban Communities
298 in the Brazilian Amazon. *Int J Environ Res Public Heal* 2022, Vol 19, Page 2816
299 2022;19:2816. <https://doi.org/10.3390/IJERPH19052816>.
- 300 [6] Barcellos De Bakker L, Gasparinetti P, Mello De Queiroz J, Santiago De Vasconcellos AC,
301 Sakakibara M, Kyaw WT, et al. Economic Impacts on Human Health Resulting from the Use
302 of Mercury in the Illegal Gold Mining in the Brazilian Amazon: A Methodological
303 Assessment. *Int J Environ Res Public Heal* 2021, Vol 18, Page 11869 2021;18:11869.
304 <https://doi.org/10.3390/IJERPH182211869>.
- 305 [7] Brasil Mi da S. DATASUS – Ministério da Saúde. DATASUS 2022.
306 <https://datasus.saude.gov.br/> (accessed June 5, 2022).
- 307 [8] Sardinha DM, do Socorro Pompeu de Loiola R, Ferreira AL da S, de Sá CAF, Rodrigues YC,
308 Lima KVB, et al. Risk factors associated with the severity of COVID-19 in a region of the
309 Brazilian Amazon. *Sci Rep* 2021;11:20569. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-00009-y>.
- 310 [9] Brasil M da S. RESOLUÇÃO N° 510, DE 07 DE ABRIL DE 2016. Resoluções 2016:1–10.
311 <http://conselho.saude.gov.br/resolucoes/2016/Reso510.pdf> (accessed August 27, 2021).
- 312 [10] Brasil M da J. LEI N° 12.527, DE 18 DE NOVEMBRO DE 2011. Diário Of Da União -
313 DOU 2011. http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_ato2011-2014/2011/lei/112527.htm
314 (accessed July 25, 2020).
- 315 [11] AERPEN B. Portal da Transparência - Registro Civil. ARPEN Bras 2022.
316 <https://transparencia.registrocivil.org.br/registros> (accessed June 1, 2022).

- 317 [12] Janone L. Pandemia intensifica tendência e taxa de natalidade segue em queda no Brasil |
318 CNN Brasil. CNN Bras 2022. [https://www.cnnbrasil.com.br/nacional/pandemia-intensifica-](https://www.cnnbrasil.com.br/nacional/pandemia-intensifica-tendencia-e-taxa-de-natalidade-segue-em-queda-no-brasil/)
319 [tendencia-e-taxa-de-natalidade-segue-em-queda-no-brasil/](https://www.cnnbrasil.com.br/nacional/pandemia-intensifica-tendencia-e-taxa-de-natalidade-segue-em-queda-no-brasil/) (accessed June 5, 2022).
- 320 [13] Souza ASR, Amorim MMR. Mortalidade materna pela COVID-19 no Brasil. *Rev Bras*
321 *Saúde Matern Infant* 2021;21:253–6. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9304202100S100014>.
- 322 [14] Nakamura-Pereira M, Ramos Amorim MM, De Carvalho Pacagnella R, Libertad M,
323 Takemoto S, Cristina F, et al. COVID-19 e morte materna no Brasil: uma tragédia invisível.
324 *FEMINA* 2020;496:496–504. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30360-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30360-3).
- 325 [15] Monteiro JRAR, Macias BSG, Cabral ATM, Silva R do SR e, Sardinha DM. COVID-19 e os
326 Impactos na Mortalidade Materna no Estado do Pará-Brasil: Amazônia Brasileira. *Asian J*
327 *Pregnancy Childbirth* 2021:34–8.
- 328 [16] Vasconcelos AMN, Gomes MMF. Transição demográfica: a experiência brasileira.
329 *Epidemiol e Serviços Saúde* 2012;21:539–48. [https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-](https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742012000400003)
330 [49742012000400003](https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742012000400003).
- 331 [17] Gonçalves GQ, de Carvalho JAM, Wong LLR, Turra CM. A transição da fecundidade no
332 Brasil ao longo do século XX – uma perspectiva regional. *Rev Bras Estud Popul* 2019;36:1–
333 34. <https://doi.org/10.20947/S0102-3098A0098>.
- 334 [18] Lopes EB, Silva ACSS da, Nicol AF, Padilha GK de M, Batista WCA, Knupp VMAO. Perfil
335 epidemiológico de nascidos vivos: Uma análise comparativa em um território marcada por
336 mosaicos geográficos. *Res Soc Dev* 2021;10:e23210716134. [https://doi.org/10.33448/rsd-](https://doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v10i7.16134)
337 [v10i7.16134](https://doi.org/10.33448/rsd-v10i7.16134).
- 338 [19] Júnior A do CP, Henriques BD. Perfil dos nascidos vivos em Viçosa , Minas Gerais , no
339 período de 2001 a 2007. *Rev Med Minas Gerais* 2010;20:508–13.

- 340 [20] Correio RA da S, Correio LF, Correio MAB. Perfil epidemiológico dos nascidos vivos no
341 município de Chapecó-SC. Rev Eletrônica Comun Informação e Inovação Em Saúde
342 2016;10:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.29397/reciis.v10i2.1037>.
- 343 [21] Silva JH da, Terças ACP, Pinheiro LCB, França GVA de, Atanaka M, Schüler-Faccini L.
344 Profile of congenital anomalies among live births in the municipality of Tangará da Serra,
345 Mato Grosso, Brazil, 2006-2016. Epidemiol e Serviços Saúde 2018;27:e2018008.
346 <https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742018000300017>.
- 347 [22] IBGE IB de G e E. Pará | Cidades e Estados | IBGE 2020. <https://www.ibge.gov.br/cidades-e-estados/pa/> (accessed July 7, 2020).
- 349 [23] Castro NSS de, Lima M de O. Hair as a Biomarker of Long Term Mercury Exposure in
350 Brazilian Amazon: A Systematic Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2018;15:500.
351 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15030500>.
- 352 [24] Szwarcwald CL, Do Carmo Leal M, Esteves-Pereira AP, Da Silva de Almeida W, De Frias
353 PG, Damacena GN, et al. Avaliação das informações do *Sistema de Informações sobre*
354 *Nascidos Vivos* (SINASC), Brasil. Cad Saude Publica 2019;35. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311X00214918>.
- 356 [25] Oliveira MM de, Andrade SSC de A, Dimech GS, Oliveira JCG de, Malta DC, Rabello Neto
357 D de L, et al. Evaluation of the National Information System on Live Births in Brazil, 2006-
358 2010. Epidemiol e Serviços Saúde 2015;24:629–40. <https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742015000400005>.
- 360 [26] Pedraza DF. Qualidade do Sistema de Informações sobre Nascidos Vivos (Sinasc): análise
361 crítica da literatura. Cien Saude Colet 2012;17:2729–37. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-81232012001000021>.

