

1 **Amygdala subdivisions exhibit aberrant whole-brain functional**
2 **connectivity in relation to stress intolerance and psychotic**
3 **symptoms in 22q11.2DS**

4

5 Farnaz Delavari^{1,2}, Halima Rafi^{1,3}, Corrado Sandini¹, Ryan Murray⁴, Caren
6 Latrech¹, Dimitri Van De Ville^{2,5}, and Stephan Eliez^{1,6}

7

8 *1 Developmental Imaging and Psychopathology Laboratory, University of Geneva School of*
9 *Medicine, Geneva, Switzerland,*

10 *2 Neuro-X Institute, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Geneva, Switzerland,*

11 *3 Developmental Clinical Psychology Research Unit, Faculty of Psychology and Educational*
12 *Sciences, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland*

13 *4 Psychiatry Department, Faculty of Medicine, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland*

14 *5 Department of Radiology and Medical Informatics, University of Geneva (UNIGE), Geneva,*
15 *Switzerland*

16 *6 Department of Genetic Medicine and Development, University of Geneva School of Medicine,*
17 *Geneva, Switzerland*

18

19

20 **Abstract:**

21

22 The amygdala is a key region in emotional regulation, which is often impaired in psychosis.
23 However, it is unclear if amygdala dysfunction directly contributes to psychosis, or whether it
24 contributes to psychosis through symptoms of emotional dysregulation. We studied the
25 functional connectivity of amygdala subdivisions in patients with 22q11.2DS, a known
26 genetic model for psychosis susceptibility. We investigated how dysmaturation of each
27 subdivision's connectivity contributes to positive psychotic symptoms and impaired tolerance
28 to stress in deletion carriers.

29

30 Longitudinally-repeated MRI scans from 105 patients with 22q11.2DS (64 at high-risk for
31 psychosis and 37 with impaired tolerance to stress) and 120 healthy controls between the
32 ages of 5 to 30 years were included. We calculated seed-based whole-brain functional
33 connectivity for amygdalar subdivisions and employed a longitudinal multivariate approach
34 to evaluate the developmental trajectory of functional connectivity across groups.

35

36 Patients with 22q11.2DS presented a multivariate pattern of decreased basolateral amygdala
37 (BLA)-frontal connectivity alongside increased BLA-hippocampal connectivity. Moreover,
38 associations between developmental drops in centro-medial amygdala (CMA)-frontal
39 connectivity to both impaired tolerance to stress and positive psychotic symptoms in deletion
40 carriers were detected. SFA hyperconnectivity to the striatum was revealed as a specific
41 pattern arising in patients who develop mild to moderate positive psychotic symptoms.

42

43 Overall, CMA-frontal dysconnectivity was found as a mutual neurobiological substrate in
44 both impaired tolerance to stress and psychosis, suggesting a role in prodromal dysregulation
45 of emotions in psychosis. While BLA dysconnectivity was presented as an early finding in
46 patients with 22q11.2DS, contributing to impaired tolerance to stress.

47

48 **Introduction:**

49

50 Schizophrenia is often conceptualized as a gradually unfolding process that results in
51 psychosis (1). Defining psychosis as a developmental process has allowed clinicians to view
52 preclinical manifestations as targets for early intervention and preventive measures. Thus, in
53 hopes of gaining new insights into the pathophysiology of psychosis vulnerability, there has
54 been a surge in literature characterizing prodromal stages of schizophrenia in high-risk
55 populations. In this regard, among the earlier clinical features of prodromal stage are
56 symptoms such as depression, distress, and anxiety symptoms (2-5), rather than psychosis-
57 specific manifestations (6). Furthermore, various studies have reported that anxiety and
58 emotional dysregulation are associated with poorer prognoses for high-risk patients (7, 8).
59 Specifically, reduced tolerance to daily life stress has been represented a critical symptom
60 that mediates the transition of patients to psychosis (9-13). Still, the neurobiological
61 mechanisms underlying the link between stress and psychosis vulnerability remain poorly
62 characterized.

63

64 The amygdala is known as a key brain structure in emotional regulation, in particular fear,
65 anxiety, and response to stress (14-16). It has also been suggested that abnormal amygdala
66 activation may play a direct role in provoking psychotic-like symptoms. For example,
67 epileptic activities in the amygdala are known to provoke sensory hallucinations and affective
68 symptoms such as anxiety and fear (17-19). Indeed, neuroimaging studies have identified
69 alterations of the amygdala in psychotic disorders, both in terms of structure and activity (20-
70 25). The majority of volumetric studies report a reduction in the amygdala volume in
71 clinically high-risk patients as well as in patients with psychosis (26-30). Functional findings
72 are relatively more diverse, but overall, resting-state dysconnectivity between the amygdala
73 and frontal regions is reportedly found in patients with psychotic disorders (20, 31-34).
74 However, it remains unclear if there is a direct link between the amygdala and psychosis, or
75 whether amygdala dysfunction mediates symptoms of anxiety and stress intolerance, which
76 finally settles as symptoms of psychosis. In this regard, it is worth noting that most of
77 previous research in psychosis has studied the amygdala as a whole, while it is known that
78 the amygdala comprises heterogeneous nuclei that perform distinct physiological tasks (35,
79 36). Considering amygdala as one uniform region potentially masks the distinct functional
80 contribution of each individual division.

81

82 Functional and histological studies have allowed the identification of three functionally
83 distinct subdivisions in the amygdala. The basolateral group (BLA), often characterized as
84 the “inlet” of the amygdala, receives connections from various cortical and subcortical

85 regions and participates in emotional learning and fear processing (37, 38). The centro-
86 medial group (CMA) or the “outlet” of the amygdala mainly projects to the brainstem and
87 hypothalamus as well as the striatum and prefrontal cortex, forming the behavioral response
88 to the received information (38, 39). The superficial group (SFA) connects to the
89 orbitofrontal cortex and participates in social affective functioning and olfactory processes
90 (38, 40). Notably, the distinct assortment of cortical and subcortical connections of each
91 amygdala subdivision, also undergo different developmental trajectories from childhood to
92 adulthood (41) (42). For instance, in the typically developing brain, distinctive connections
93 from the CMA and BLA to subcortical regions have been found in childhood, whereas this
94 dissociation does not appear for cortical connections until adulthood (43). The faster
95 maturation of the amygdala-subcortical connections is indicative of bottom-up emotional
96 regulation, which is needed in early life to respond to critical stimuli (44, 45). Through
97 development, long-range connections to BLA form to support the amygdala’s function in
98 emotional and fear learning (46, 47). As such, mature amygdala-frontal connections are
99 known to form by late adolescence to allow for the cognitive regulation of emotions (48).

100

101 Thus, what is defined as normal function in the amygdala may differ in childhood,
102 adolescence, and adulthood. In the context of psychosis, this is particularly important since
103 adolescence is a critical period when prodromal symptoms usually arise (49). Therefore,
104 investigating developmental deviations of each amygdalar subdivisions from their normal
105 function is critical to gain insight into the underlying mechanisms of the prodromal stage. To
106 our knowledge only one study has investigated developmental changes of functional
107 connectivity in two of the three amygdala subdivisions, CMA and BLA, in relation to
108 psychosis. In doing so, Jalbrzikowski et al. (2019) have identified abnormal development of
109 CMA functional connectivity during early adolescence in patients with psychosis disorders
110 (50). Additionally, another study on adults with schizophrenia has identified abnormal SFA
111 and CMA functional connectivity in adults with psychosis(51). Regarding how amygdala
112 dysfunction and stress interact in psychosis, findings in mice models for schizophrenia points
113 to a direct link between stress behavior caused by BLA hyperactivation, that aggravates a
114 cascade of neurobiological changes that result in psychosis (52, 53). Yet, in humans, how
115 abnormal maturation of amygdala subdivisions could make some individuals more vulnerable
116 to psychosis, through pathways of stress intolerance, has not been investigated.

117

118 To investigate developmental vulnerability to psychosis, studying individuals with 22q11
119 deletions syndrome (22q11.2DS) offers an exceptional opportunity (54). Patients affected
120 with 22q11.2DS are at a higher risk of developing various psychopathologies such as anxiety
121 disorders, attention deficit hyperactivity disorders and mood disorders (55). Most strikingly,
122 deletion carriers present up to 40% risk of developing psychosis by adulthood (56).
123 Moreover, this syndrome often gets diagnosed due to somatic manifestations long before the
124 neuropsychiatric symptoms emerge. 22q11.2DS has thus become a validated model for the
125 longitudinal study of pre-clinical psychosis and investigation of neural biomarkers underlying
126 psychopathology (55). Similar to schizophrenia, psychopathological manifestations in
127 22q11.2DS are not isolated and happen with high comorbidity rate (57). Moreover, evidence

128 suggests that the presence of mood and anxiety disorder in patients with 22q11.2DS increases
129 the risk of developing psychosis at follow-up (56, 58, 59).

130

131 Here, we aimed to characterize abnormal resting-state functional connectivity for all three
132 functionally distinct amygdala subdivisions in patients with 22q11.2DS. We investigated how
133 maturation patterns differ across deletion carriers who show mild to moderate positive
134 psychotic symptoms as well as patients who manifest mild to moderate symptoms of
135 impaired tolerance to stress. To do so, we employed a multivariate longitudinal design in a
136 large cohort of patients with 22q11.2DS as well as in healthy controls across a wide age-span.
137 We hypothesized that different amygdala subdivisions would show unique patterns of
138 dysmaturation with distinctive association with psychopathology. In light of previous
139 research, we expected the CMA to show a divergent developmental trajectory in patients at a
140 higher risk for psychosis (PS) and contribute to symptoms of impaired tolerance to stress
141 (ITS), and abnormal BLA connections to be more prominent in patients with ITS.

142

143

144 **Material and Methods:**

145

146 *Participants*

147 The study population is part of the large prospective cohort on 22q11.2DS conducted in
148 Geneva, Switzerland. Recruitment took place via the patients' association and word of
149 mouth. A total of 225 individuals were included in the study among which 105 participants
150 (47.62% female) with 22q11.2DS were included and underwent consecutive longitudinal
151 visits. Number of longitudinal assessments varied between 1 – 4, resulting in overall 160
152 longitudinal assessments. 22q11.2DS carriers were confirmed for diagnosis of 22q11.2DS
153 thorough quantitative fluorescent polymerase chain reaction. Healthy controls (HCs; n= 120;
154 53.33% female) were enrolled from unaffected siblings of the patients or through an open call
155 to the Geneva State School system in Switzerland. HCs were screened for history of any
156 psychopathology and developmental abnormalities. Number of longitudinal visits varied
157 between 1 -3, resulting in overall 171 longitudinal assessments. In total 39.05% of patients
158 and 35% of HC were followed by at least one other longitudinal visit. A detailed summary of
159 repetitive assessments is provided in supplementary material. Both groups were matched for
160 age, sex, number of scans and time between visits.

161

162 *Psychiatric Assessment*

163 During each visit, 22q11 deletion carriers completed a comprehensive clinical assessment
164 with an expert psychiatrist (SE). For 99 participants with the deletion this interview included
165 the Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes(49, 60) which in line with previous
166 literature on patients with 22q11D, was used to constitute subgroups of patients based on the
167 participants' clinical manifestation of positive psychotic symptoms (Unusual Thought
168 Content, Suspiciousness, Grandiosity, Hallucinations, and Disorganized
169 Communication)(61).Patients were considered PS(+) (positive symptoms; n =64) if they
170 scored equal to or higher than 3 in at least one visit on any of the subscales for positive

171 psychotic symptoms. The remaining participants were considered PS(-) (n=35). Similarly,
172 patients who ever scored higher or equal to 3 in the subscale for impaired tolerance to normal
173 stress were considered ITS(+) (impaired tolerance to stress; n=37) and the remainder of the
174 patients were considered ITS(-)(n=62). In addition, a semi-structured clinical interview was
175 conducted, to assess the presence of comorbid psychiatric conditions (62-64). Participants'
176 demographic and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table-1. Written informed
177 consent was obtained from participants or their parents under protocols approved by the
178 Swiss Ethical Committee (Commission Centrale d'Ethique de la Recherche, Geneva Canton).
179

180 *Image Acquisition and Preprocessing*

181 During each visit, participants underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) brain scans.
182 Structural and functional MRI images were obtained at the Centre d'Imagerie BioMédicale in
183 Geneva on a Siemens Trio (n = 321) and a Siemens Prisma Fit (MAGNETOM Trio upgrade)
184 (n = 10) 3T scanner (Siemens Corp., Erlangen, Germany). A T1-weighted sequence with 192
185 slices with a volumetric resolution of $0.86 \times 0.86 \times 1.1 \text{ mm}^3$ was used for the structural
186 image acquisition. Resting-state functional scans were acquired using a T2-weighted
187 sequence (200 frames, voxel size = $1.84 \times 1.84 \times 3.2 \text{ mm}^3$, repetition time = 2.4 s) for 8
188 minutes (65) during which, participants were instructed to fixate on the white cross on a
189 black screen, not to fall asleep and let their mind wander. Functional images were
190 preprocessed using SPM12 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK;
191 <http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm>) and an in-lab pipeline (described(66)) using functions of
192 the DPARSF(67) and IBASPM (68) toolboxes. An explanation of the resting-state functional
193 MRI preprocessing pipeline, a list of criteria for the exclusion of subjects from our initial
194 scan pool as well as the detailed list of sequence parameters are available in the
195 supplementary materials.
196

197 *Amygdala Subdivisions and Connectivity*

198 Regions of interest were selected using FSL's Juelich histological atlas (69) based on the
199 probabilistic maps of cytoarchitectonic boundaries (70). Using the study-specific DARTEL
200 template (71) the probabilistic atlas was spatially transformed into the individual subject
201 space. A bilateral mask for each region (BLA, CMA and SFA) was then created including
202 voxels with probability of belonging to the respective subdivision being higher than 50%.
203 The voxel assignments were mutually exclusive and in cases of overlap, the voxel was
204 assigned to the subdivision with the highest probability. For each subject, 3 region of interest
205 (ROI) functional connectivity maps in the individual subject-space were created by
206 computing the Pearson's correlation coefficient for each voxel's time course with the average
207 time course inside the respective subdivision. The respective voxel-wise ROI functional
208 connectivity maps were then warped back into study's DARTEL space and further into the
209 Montreal Neurological Institute space for group-wise comparison (72).
210

211

212 *Partial Least Squares Correlation with Longitudinal Regressors*

213

214 To optimally investigate the longitudinal changes of maturation in amygdala subdivision
215 connectivity patterns, we employed the partial least squares correlation (PLS-C) (73) via the
216 MATLAB-based publicly available toolbox (<https://github.com/MIPLabCH/myPLS>). As
217 described in our previous publication (74), we defined the design variables to take into
218 account the longitudinal aspect of brain maturation. In brief, a set of design variables (Y) that
219 are chosen to encode diagnosis (22q11.2DS vs HC or PS (+) vs PS(-) or ITS(+) vs ITS(-)),
220 longitudinal and cross-sectional age, and their interactions are included in one side. The
221 amygdala subdivision connectivity maps per subject (X) was included on the imaging side.
222 These two matrices were used to compute a cross-covariance matrix (R) as $R = Y^T X$. The
223 matrix R was then subjected to the singular value decomposition ($R = USV^T$), which leads to
224 the computation of latent components (LCs). By projecting each subject's brain data (X) into
225 the multivariate brain salience (V), we calculate the brain scores as $L_X = XV$. These brain
226 scores express how similar each subject's brain data is to the detected brain salience. Prior to
227 entering the variables into PLS-C, full-scale IQ, sex and motion (average framewise
228 displacement after scrubbing provided by the power formula (75)) were regressed out at a
229 voxel-wise level. A detailed explanation of the inputs to the PLS -C is provided in the
230 supplementary materials.

231

232 To assess the significance of the explained correlation for each LC, permutation testing was
233 conducted. In doing so, a null distribution for the singular values was produced using 1000
234 permutations. Then, for each significant LC the stability of brain and design saliences were
235 assessed using a bootstrapping procedure with 500 random samples with replacement. Of
236 note, to respect all intrasubject dependencies in the longitudinal sample, the random samples
237 were selected across subjects and not scans. The bootstrap ratio for each voxel was calculated
238 as the mean of distribution of all brain saliences for each voxel divided by their respective
239 standard deviation. This value could be interpreted as a measure of stability of each particular
240 voxel in its contribution to the detected LC. In brain maps visualized in this study, bootstrap
241 ratios with an absolute value higher than 3 are depicted, which corresponds to a 99%
242 confidence interval not crossing zero and therefore a stable contribution to the LC. As well,
243 the design saliences are depicted in a bar plot with an error bar showing their respective
244 bootstrap ratio standard deviation.

245

246

247 **Results**

248

249 For each amygdala subdivision, a first set of PLS-C analysis was conducted to assess the
250 difference of seed to whole-brain functional connectivity maturation between patients with
251 22q11.2DS and HCs. Subsequently for each subdivision, two follow-up PLS-C analysis was
252 conducted within patients with 22q11.2DS, assessing the difference of functional
253 connectivity maturation between PS(+) and PS(-) patients, as well as ITS(+) and ITS(-)
254 patients. The following sections describe the results of 3 PLS-C analysis within each
255 amygdala subdivision: BLA, CMA and SFA. This processed is summarized and visualized in
256 supplementary materials (Figure S1).

257

258

259 *BLA Connectivity*

260

261 Upon evaluating developmental differences of the BLA to whole-brain functional
262 connectivity across patients with 22q11.2DS and healthy controls, the PLS-C analysis
263 resulted in one significant component (Pval = 0.001).

264 This component, as visualized in Fig1-1, captured a substantial effect for diagnosis, along
265 with a relatively smaller effect of maturation as demonstrated by the small age-related
266 loadings in Figure 1-1.a. Overall, the component showed an abnormal pattern of BLA
267 functional connectivity in patients with 22q11.2DS that presented early on during
268 development and slightly deteriorated with age (as visualized in Fig1-1.b).

269 The multivariate brain pattern revealed that in patients with 22q11.2DS, the BLA was
270 functionally hyperconnected to hippocampal and para-hippocampal regions (Red clusters in
271 Fig1-1.c). Whereas, in another set of clusters, patients with 22q11.2DS showed abnormally
272 lower functional connectivity with BLA when compared to HC. These hypoconnectivity
273 clusters were located in anterior cingulate cortex, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC),
274 middle prefrontal cortex (mPFC), insula, post-central and superior temporal gyrus (depicted
275 by blue clusters in Fig 1-1.c).

276 A separate PLS-C analysis was conducted to assess the difference of BLA connectivity
277 among deletion carriers with and without ITS, which resulted in one significant component
278 (Pval = 0.001). As demonstrated in Fig 1-2, this component captured clusters of lowered
279 BLA connectivity within the anterior cingulate cortex, paracingulate gyrus, dorsolateral
280 prefrontal cortex (DLPFC), insula, supplementary motor area (SMA) and post-central gyrus
281 (blue clusters in Fig 1-2.c). As captured by the large loading on ITS in figure 1-2. a, the
282 presence of symptoms of tolerance to stress had a considerable contribution to the component
283 alongside the smaller loadings on age-interaction related loadings. Thus, this component
284 captured a pattern of BLA functional hypoconnectivity that was more severe in patients with
285 ITS and got accentuated as the patients aged (trajectories visualized in Fig 1-2.b).

286 PLS-C analysis comparing BLA connectivity in 22q11.2DS patients with and without PS
287 resulted in no significant component (LC1, Pval=0.082).

288

289 *CMA Connectivity*

290

291 The PLS-C analysis investigating abnormal development of CMA functional connectivity in
292 patients with 22q11.2DS resulted in one significant component (Pval=0.001). As shown in
293 Fig 2-1.a, this component mainly captured the effect of maturation (stable age-related
294 loadings). As indicated by the considerable loading on age-diagnosis interaction, this
295 component revealed deviations in the developmental trajectory of CMA connectivity in
296 patients with 22q11.2DS when compared to healthy controls (as visualized in Fig2-1.b).

297 The corresponding brain pattern showed clusters within the frontal cortex, insula, SMA and
298 middle cingulate cortex (Blue clusters in Fig2-1.c) that express a developmental drop in
299 functional connectivity with CMA in patients with 22q11.2DS.

300 Similarly, a second set of PLS-C analysis was conducted to compare differences among
301 patients with and without PS (Fig2-2) resulting in one significant component (Pval=0.002).
302 As revealed by the design loadings (Fig2-2.a), both psychopathology and aging had a
303 considerable effect in this component. Therefore, this component captured decrease in CMA
304 connectivity with clusters in frontal regions, the SMA and the insula (blue clusters in Fig2-
305 2.c). Furthermore, it shows this decrease is most severe in patients with PS and decreases
306 more progressively with aging in this group (as visualized in Fig 2-2.b).
307 While comparing patients with and without ITS, a highly comparable brain pattern (Fig 2-3)
308 was captured in the significant latent component (Pval = 0.001). The design loading (Fig2-
309 3.a) of this component indicated an effect for age and age-group interaction but not a strong
310 loading for group. The developmental trajectory of these groups is visualized as shown in
311 Fig2-3.b, and shows that patients with symptoms of impaired tolerance undergo a more
312 severe developmental drop in CMA connectivity by adulthood.

313

314 *SFA Connectivity*

315

316 The significant component (Pval=0.003) resulted from a PLS-C analysis comparing SFA
317 functional connectivity in patients with 22q11.2DS and healthy controls is shown in Fig3-1.
318 This component captured an effect for diagnosis and relatively stronger one for aging as
319 indicated by the respective stable loadings (Fig3-1a). The multivariate brain pattern within
320 this component revealed clusters with accelerated hyperconnectivity in patients with
321 22q11.2DS (Red clusters in Fig3-1.c). Overall, this component captured a developmental
322 increase of SFA connectivity within the caudate, putamen, thalamus and occipital cortex that
323 is slightly increased in patients with 22q11.2DS. This is visualized in trajectories of brain-
324 scores over age in Fig 3-1.b.

325 A follow-up set of PLS-C analysis, comparing SFA connectivity in patients with and without
326 PS produced one significant component (Pval =0.010). This component also indicated
327 clusters of hyperconnectivity in the putamen and thalamus (shown in red in fig 3-2.c).
328 Moreover, the design loading (Fig3-2.a) captured mixed effects of group and age, indicating
329 that, in deletion carriers with PS, the SFA forms stronger functional connections with the
330 striatum and thalamus when compared to deletion carriers without PS.

331 The PLS-C analysis comparing SFA connectivity in patients with and without ITS produced
332 no significant components.

333

334 **Discussion**

335

336 In the present study we examined the abnormal maturation of resting state connectivity in
337 three subdivisions of amygdala in patients with 22q11.2DS. Using a multivariate longitudinal
338 analysis, we provide the first evidence to date for dysmaturation of amygdala to whole-brain
339 functional connectivity in this population. We further investigated the contribution of
340 amygdala dysconnectivity to positive psychotic symptoms as well as to impaired tolerance to
341 stress in patients carrying the deletion. Figure 4. summarizes our main findings as well as

342 possible underlying mechanisms in which dysfunction of amygdalar subdivision drives stress
343 and psychosis symptoms.

344

345 The first set of multivariate analysis revealed a pattern of decreased BLA functional
346 connectivity to prefrontal regions as well as the insula and sensory-motor regions.
347 Functionally, BLA is mainly known as a processing hub for the amygdaloid complex by
348 receiving various sensory and associative information from the brainstem, thalamus and the
349 cortex and integrating this information to allow for a proper amygdala response (35, 47).
350 Specifically, mPFC projections to the BLA form top-down control on the amygdala's fear
351 and anxiety response (76-78). In fact, the heightened anxiety observed during adolescence in
352 normal development has been attributed to immature connections of mPFC to the BLA (47,
353 79). By adulthood, the strengthening of BLA to PFC connectivity results in more efficient
354 emotional regulation(76). Consistent with this notion, our analysis showed BLA - PFC
355 connectivity in healthy control increases throughout development (as indicated by positive
356 age-related loadings). However, we found that patients with 22q11.2DS fail to reach this
357 mature state of connectivity. Instead, patients with the deletion showed higher functional
358 connectivity from the BLA to hippocampus and parahippocampus. Dense reciprocal
359 connections between BLA and hippocampus have been previously identified. Notably,
360 impairment of the interconnected circuit between mPFC, hippocampus and BLA had been
361 implicated in anxiety-like behavior (80-83).

362

363 Our multivariate results point to higher BLA-hippocampus functional connectivity that co-
364 occurs with lower BLA-PFC functional connectivity in patients with 22q11.2DS. The BLA –
365 hippocampus connections are mainly excitatory(80), whereas BLA inputs to the PFC are
366 predominantly inhibitory(84). Thus, our results may be indicative of BLA hyperactivation in
367 patients with 22q11.2DS, which according to developmental trajectories is already present
368 during childhood. Moreover, BLA-PFC connectivity was particularly lower in patients with
369 moderate to severe ITS. Thus, early dysfunction of the BLA in 22q11.2DS may explain the
370 predisposition to anxiety disorders in childhood in this population. Interestingly, translational
371 studies have shown that BLA activation, whether caused by stress or direct stimulation,
372 disrupts GABAergic inhibitory signaling in the ventral hippocampus (85, 86). Indeed,
373 hippocampal hyperactivation driven by GABAergic dysfunction has been a key finding in
374 schizophrenia and is known to play a major role in psychosis (87, 88). In 22q11.2DS, there is
375 growing evidence of hippocampal disruption contributing to the emergence of positive
376 psychotic symptoms which occurs during adolescence (74, 89, 90). Notably, hippocampal-
377 PFC connections mature during late adolescence whereas BLA-PFC connections mature
378 during pre-adolescence (91, 92). Thus, knowing that BLA hyperactivity modulates
379 hippocampal activity, early dysfunction of the BLA in 22q11.2DS may explain how presence
380 of anxiety disorders in childhood serve as risk factors for the emergence of psychosis later
381 during the development. These results are particularly in line with recent translational
382 evidence showing the role of BLA dysfunction caused by prepubertal stress in causing
383 psychosis-like phenotypes in post-pubertal rats (53). Future longitudinal studies are needed to
384 investigate whether BLA dysconnectivity in childhood can cause hippocampal dysfunction at
385 follow-up in patients with 22q11.2DS.

386

387 The multivariate analysis for CMA identified a set of regions with developmental decreases
388 in functional connectivity. The clusters located within the prefrontal cortex and middle
389 cingulate cortex showed an accelerated decrease of CMA functional connectivity in patients
390 with 22q11.2DS when compared to healthy controls. CMA consists of central and medial
391 amygdala nuclei (36, 70), which form the main output of the amygdala to the brainstem and
392 hypothalamus including outputs to the HPA-axis (93). Additionally, CMA connections to the
393 cortex are critical for attributing cortical attention and forming cortical readiness for
394 appropriate brain-stem responses (94). For instance, mPFC connections to CMA have been
395 shown to reduce CMA responsiveness due to forming an inhibitory feedforward circuit (95).
396 In normal development, CMA-frontal connectivity increases from childhood to adulthood
397 (41, 43). Here, we show in patients with 22q11.2DS, values of CMA-prefrontal connectivity
398 diminished during adolescence resulting in lower CMA-prefrontal connectivity by adulthood
399 in patients with 22q11.2DS. Given established interconnections between the CMA and
400 hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, CMA dysconnectivity may be linked to
401 disrupted cortisol response previously documented in this population(96). Additionally, we
402 demonstrate the developmental drop in CMA – frontal connectivity is most severe in patients
403 at a higher risk for positive psychotic symptoms. The accelerated decrease in CMA to frontal
404 connectivity has been previously documented in youth with psychosis (50)and in patients
405 with attenuated psychotic symptoms (51). The loss of connection in this circuit could
406 contribute to the prodromal loss of emotional regulation that often precedes psychosis in
407 schizophrenia(97).

408

409 Moreover, when assessing the difference between patients with and without impaired
410 tolerance to stress (ITS), a similar pattern of CMA to frontal dysconnectivity was found. As
411 the CMA is well connected to hypothalamus and brainstem, the CMA-centered hypothesis
412 fits particularly well with prior evidence showing dysregulation of the HPA-axis in patients
413 with 22q11.2DS and its contribution to ITS and overall psychopathology(98). Notably, both
414 our findings regarding CMA-frontal dysconnectivity and prior findings on pituitary
415 dysmaturation seem to be developmentally arising during adolescence. It is worth noting that,
416 impaired tolerance to stress has been indicated as a key symptom in the affective pathway to
417 psychosis in 22q11.2DS (58). Future studies should aim to shed light on how the CMA
418 dysconnectivity and HPA axis dysregulation could interact to contribute to impaired
419 tolerance to stress and psychosis.

420

421 Furthermore, our multivariate results point to concurrent hypoconnectivity of the CMA with
422 the somatosensory cortex and insula in PS(+) and ITS(+). Connections of the amygdala to the
423 somatosensory cortex and the insula have been implicated in attributing emotions to sensory
424 stimuli(99, 100). Impairments in somatosensory-centered circuits have also been implicated
425 in emotional embodiment and aberrant environmental appraisal(101). Consequently,
426 sensorimotor dysfunction likely contributes to both affective and psychotic psychopathology
427 (102). Our results regarding CMA to somatosensory dysconnectivity in patients with PS (+)
428 and ITS(+) is in line with evidence showing heightened affective appraisal of sensory stimuli
429 in psychosis (103) and suggests that sensory experiences manifest along the pathway between

430 affective and psychotic symptoms. Overall, our results regarding contribution of CMA
431 dysconnectivity to both PS and ITS, hint toward a key role of the CMA as a neurobiological
432 substrate of affective pathway to psychosis.

433

434 Finally, multivariate analysis on SFA-centered connectivity resulted in a pattern of abnormal
435 connectivity specific to psychosis. This included clusters indicating developmental increases
436 in SFA connectivity with the striatum and thalamus that was accelerated in patients with
437 22q11.2DS with prodromal psychotic symptoms. It must be noted that while developmental
438 increases in amygdala – striatum connectivity in youth with psychosis has been previously
439 reported, it was in regards to the CMA (50). This, aside from differences in the studied
440 population, may be rooted in the use of two amygdala subdivisions (CMA and BLA) in the
441 aforementioned study. Indeed, out-of-context excesses of subcortical dopamine have been
442 one of the major neurochemical hypotheses of psychosis(104). The SFA subdivision in
443 particular includes the anterior amygdaloid area (AAA) which has an embryological striatal
444 origin and forms dense connections to striatum (36, 70). Moreover, upon masking CMA and
445 BLA age-dependent striatum coupling has been found uniquely in SFA (41). On the other
446 hand, the role of the amygdala- striatal pathway has been implicated in transferring aberrant
447 signals to dopaminergic areas (105, 106). Therefore, heightened connections of the SFA to
448 the striatum and thalamus may take part in dopamine dysregulation observed in patients with
449 psychosis.

450

451 **Conclusion, Methodological Considerations , and Future Perspectives**

452

453 The interpretation of our results is restricted by a number of methodological considerations.
454 First, multivariate longitudinal analysis such as the one proposed here allows for data-driven
455 estimation of brain patterns that maximally explain maturation in different groups. While this
456 is a powerful tool in detecting ROI-centered networks undergoing abnormal development, the
457 patterns must be considered as a whole and no conclusions can be drawn for individual
458 connections even if their saliencies are large. Second, the studied population is a mixture of
459 longitudinal and cross-sectional samples—a detailed description of the number of visits in
460 each group is provided in the supplementary material. Indeed, acquiring high-quality
461 longitudinal data of patients with a rare deletion is extremely challenging and this cohort is
462 unique in this regard. In this respect, the flexibility of the longitudinal PLS-C to adapt for
463 each subject to the number of visits makes it particularly well-equipped to take into account
464 the combination of longitudinal and cross-sectional data.

465 Given the purpose of this study to characterize contributions of the dysmaturation of
466 amygdala subdivisions in different psychopathologies, we did not directly study the effect of
467 sex. Instead, we controlled for the effect of sex by regressing sex out from the connectivity
468 matrix. Undoubtedly, sex differences in the amygdala could provide insightful information
469 and future studies with bigger sample size in both sex groups are needed to investigate how
470 sex may affect the developmental trajectories of amygdala subdivisions connectivity.

471 Finally, the rate of several psychopathologies and medication intake was higher in patients
472 with PS(+) and ITS(+). Our post-hoc investigation did not reveal any significant association

473 between said variables and brain-scores, as described in detail in Supplementary material.
474 This suggests that our results were not directly driven by the difference observed in these
475 variables. However, more extensive studies are needed to investigate the effect of different
476 classes of medication in amygdala-centered circuits.
477 In brief, we examined the developmental functional-connectivity patterns of three amygdala
478 subdivisions in participants with 22q11.2DS as well as their respective contributions to
479 positive psychotic symptoms and impaired tolerance to stress. Indeed, each amygdala
480 subdivision showed distinct patterns of dysconnectivity with unique contributions to
481 symptoms of psychopathology we investigated. This further confirms that amygdala
482 subdivisions should be considered separately in terms of functional connectivity if the goal is
483 to disentangle complex psychopathological pathways.
484 We propose early BLA dysfunction as an early signature in 22q11.2DS which contributes to
485 impaired tolerance to daily stress. In light of previous translational findings, future studies
486 should investigate whether BLA dysfunction in childhood could result in hippocampal
487 dysfunction, and consecutively psychosis, during adolescence. Moreover, we aimed to
488 delineate if abnormal development of amygdala connectivity holds a direct link to psychosis,
489 or whether it contributes to the “affective pathway” to psychosis by symptoms of emotional
490 dysregulation. Our results rather point to a combination of both. Namely, SFA
491 hyperconnectivity to the striatum was revealed as a specific pattern arising in patients who
492 develop mild to moderate positive psychotic symptoms. Conversely, CMA showed frontal
493 dysconnectivity as a mutual neurobiological substrate in both impaired tolerance to stress and
494 psychosis indicating a role in prodromal dysregulation of emotions in psychosis. Further
495 studies should explore how CMA dysconnectivity could interact with HPA axis in
496 impairment of affective pathways and their contributions to conversion to psychosis.

497

498 **Acknowledgments:**

499

500 This has been supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant Nos.
501 324730_121996 and 324730_144260 [to SE]) and a National Centre of Competence in
502 Research Synapsy grant (Grant No. 51NF40-158776 [to SE]).

503 We express our outmost gratitude to all the families who participated in our study. A special
504 thanks to Tereza Kotalova for coordinating the project and to the MRI operators at the
505 Campus Biotech, Roberto Martuzzi and Loan Mattera. Finally, we would like to thank Karin
506 Bortolin, Valentina Mancini, Niveetha Thillainathan, and Léa Céline Marécaille for their
507 kind help during data collection. Figure 4 is created with BioRender.com.

508 The authors report no conflicts of interest.

509

510

511 **References**

512

513 1. Caspi A, Moffitt TE. Gene–environment interactions in psychiatry: joining forces with
514 neuroscience. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2006;7(7):583-90.

- 515 2. Ashleigh Lin, Ph.D., Stephen J. Wood, Ph.D., Barnaby Nelson, Ph.D., Amanda Beavan,
516 B.Sc., Patrick McGorry, M.D., Ph.D., F.R.A.N.Z.C.P., Alison R. Yung, M.D., F.R.A.N.Z.C.P.
517 Outcomes of Nontransitioned Cases in a Sample at Ultra-High Risk for Psychosis. *American*
518 *Journal of Psychiatry*. 2015;172(3):249-58.
- 519 3. Armando M, Nelson B, Yung AR, Ross M, Birchwood M, Girardi P, et al. Psychotic-like
520 experiences and correlation with distress and depressive symptoms in a community sample
521 of adolescents and young adults. *Schizophrenia research*. 2010;119(1-3):258-65.
- 522 4. Walker EF, Grimes KE, Davis DM, Smith AJ. Childhood precursors of schizophrenia:
523 facial expressions of emotion. *The American journal of psychiatry*. 1993;150(11):1654-60.
- 524 5. Cornblatt BA, Lencz T, Smith CW, Correll CU, Auther AM, Nakayama E. The
525 schizophrenia prodrome revisited: a neurodevelopmental perspective. *Schizophrenia*
526 *bulletin*. 2003;29(4):633-51.
- 527 6. Larson MK, Walker EF, Compton MT. Early signs, diagnosis and therapeutics of the
528 prodromal phase of schizophrenia and related psychotic disorders. *Expert Rev Neurother*.
529 2010;10(8):1347-59.
- 530 7. Rutigliano G, Valmaggia L, Landi P, Frascarelli M, Cappucciati M, Sear V, et al.
531 Persistence or recurrence of non-psychotic comorbid mental disorders associated with 6-
532 year poor functional outcomes in patients at ultra high risk for psychosis. *Journal of*
533 *Affective Disorders*. 2016;203:101-10.
- 534 8. Fonseca-Pedrero E, Muñiz J, Gacía-Portilla MP, Bobes J. Network structure of
535 psychotic-like experiences in adolescents: Links with risk and protective factors. *Early*
536 *Intervention in Psychiatry*. 2021;15(3):595-605.
- 537 9. Corcoran CM, Keilp JG, Kayser J, Klim C, Butler PD, Bruder GE, et al. Emotion
538 recognition deficits as predictors of transition in individuals at clinical high risk for
539 schizophrenia: a neurodevelopmental perspective. *Psychological Medicine*.
540 2015;45(14):2959-73.
- 541 10. Allott KA, Schäfer MR, Thompson A, Nelson B, Bendall S, Bartholomeusz CF, et al.
542 Emotion recognition as a predictor of transition to a psychotic disorder in ultra-high risk
543 participants. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2014;153(1):25-31.
- 544 11. Dominguez M-d-G, Saka MC, Lieb R, Wittchen H-U, van Os J. Early expression of
545 negative/disorganized symptoms predicting psychotic experiences and subsequent clinical
546 psychosis: a 10-year study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2010;167(9):1075-82.
- 547 12. Myin-Germeys I, Krabbendam L, Delespaul PAEG, Van Os J. Do life events have their
548 effect on psychosis by influencing the emotional reactivity to daily life stress? *Psychological*
549 *Medicine*. 2003;33(2):327-33.
- 550 13. Muddle S, Jones B, Taylor G, Jacobsen P. A systematic review and meta-analysis of
551 the association between emotional stress reactivity and psychosis. *Early Intervention in*
552 *Psychiatry*. 2021.
- 553 14. Zhang W-H, Zhang J-Y, Holmes A, Pan B-X. Amygdala Circuit Substrates for Stress
554 Adaptation and Adversity. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2021;89(9):847-56.

- 555 15. Inman CS, Bijanki KR, Bass DI, Gross RE, Hamann S, Willie JT. Human amygdala
556 stimulation effects on emotion physiology and emotional experience. *Neuropsychologia*.
557 2020;145:106722.
- 558 16. Ressler KJ. Amygdala activity, fear, and anxiety: modulation by stress. *Biological*
559 *psychiatry*. 2010;67(12):1117-9.
- 560 17. Halgren E, Walter RD, Cherlow DG, Crandall PH. Mental phenomena evoked by
561 electrical stimulation of the human hippocampal formation and amygdala. *Brain*.
562 1978;101(1):83-115.
- 563 18. Flor-Henry P. Schizophrenic-like reactions and affective psychoses associated with
564 temporal lobe epilepsy: etiological factors. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1969;126(3):400-
565 4.
- 566 19. Roberts G, Done D, Bruton C, Crow T. A “mock up” of schizophrenia: temporal lobe
567 epilepsy and schizophrenia-like psychosis. *Biological psychiatry*. 1990;28(2):127-43.
- 568 20. Anticevic A, Tang Y, Cho YT, Repovs G, Cole MW, Savic A, et al. Amygdala
569 Connectivity Differs Among Chronic, Early Course, and Individuals at Risk for Developing
570 Schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2014;40(5):1105-16.
- 571 21. Lawrie SM, Whalley HC, Job DE, Johnstone EC. Structural and functional
572 abnormalities of the amygdala in schizophrenia. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2003;985:445-60.
- 573 22. Mukherjee P, Whalley HC, McKirdy JW, Sprengelmeyer R, Young AW, McIntosh AM,
574 et al. Altered amygdala connectivity within the social brain in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia*
575 *bulletin*. 2014;40(1):152-60.
- 576 23. van Erp TG, Hibar DP, Rasmussen JM, Glahn DC, Pearlson GD, Andreassen OA, et al.
577 Subcortical brain volume abnormalities in 2028 individuals with schizophrenia and 2540
578 healthy controls via the ENIGMA consortium. *Molecular psychiatry*. 2016;21(4):547-53.
- 579 24. Velakoulis D, Wood SJ, Wong MT, McGorry PD, Yung A, Phillips L, et al. Hippocampal
580 and amygdala volumes according to psychosis stage and diagnosis: A magnetic resonance
581 imaging study of chronic schizophrenia, first-episode psychosis, and ultra-high-risk
582 individuals. *Archives of general psychiatry*. 2006;63(2):139-49.
- 583 25. Armio R-L, Laurikainen H, Ilonen T, Walta M, Salokangas RKR, Koutsouleris N, et al.
584 Amygdala subnucleus volumes in psychosis high-risk state and first-episode psychosis.
585 *Schizophrenia Research*. 2020;215:284-92.
- 586 26. Okada N, Fukunaga M, Yamashita F, Koshiyama D, Yamamori H, Ohi K, et al.
587 Abnormal asymmetries in subcortical brain volume in schizophrenia. *Molecular Psychiatry*.
588 2016;21(10):1460-6.
- 589 27. Haijma SV, Van Haren N, Cahn W, Koolschijn PCMP, Hulshoff Pol HE, Kahn RS. Brain
590 Volumes in Schizophrenia: A Meta-Analysis in Over 18 000 Subjects. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*.
591 2012;39(5):1129-38.
- 592 28. Barth C, Nerland S, de Lange A-MG, Wortinger LA, Hilland E, Andreassen OA, et al. In
593 Vivo Amygdala Nuclei Volumes in Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorders. *Schizophrenia*
594 *Bulletin*. 2021;47(5):1431-41.

- 595 29. van Erp TG, Hibar DP, Rasmussen JM, Glahn DC, Pearlson GD, Andreassen OA, et al.
596 Subcortical brain volume abnormalities in 2028 individuals with schizophrenia and 2540
597 healthy controls via the ENIGMA consortium. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2016;21(4):585.
- 598 30. Armio R-L, Laurikainen H, Ilonen T, Walta M, Salokangas RK, Koutsouleris N, et al.
599 Amygdala subnucleus volumes in psychosis high-risk state and first-episode psychosis.
600 *Schizophrenia Research*. 2020;215:284-92.
- 601 31. Chai XJ, Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Shinn AK, Gabrieli JD, Nieto Castañón A, McCarthy JM,
602 et al. Abnormal medial prefrontal cortex resting-state connectivity in bipolar disorder and
603 schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2011;36(10):2009-17.
- 604 32. Anticevic A, Brumbaugh MS, Winkler AM, Lombardo LE, Barrett J, Corlett PR, et al.
605 Global prefrontal and fronto-amygdala dysconnectivity in bipolar I disorder with psychosis
606 history. *Biological psychiatry*. 2013;73(6):565-73.
- 607 33. Liu H, Tang Y, Womer F, Fan G, Lu T, Driesen N, et al. Differentiating patterns of
608 amygdala-frontal functional connectivity in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder.
609 *Schizophrenia bulletin*. 2014;40(2):469-77.
- 610 34. Benes FM. Amygdalocortical circuitry in schizophrenia: from circuits to molecules.
611 *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2010;35(1):239-57.
- 612 35. Beyeler A, Dabrowska J. Chapter 3 - Neuronal diversity of the amygdala and the bed
613 nucleus of the stria terminalis. In: Urban JH, Rosenkranz JA, editors. *Handbook of Behavioral*
614 *Neuroscience*. 26: Elsevier; 2020. p. 63-100.
- 615 36. Roy AK, Shehzad Z, Margulies DS, Kelly AMC, Uddin LQ, Gotimer K, et al. Functional
616 connectivity of the human amygdala using resting state fMRI. *NeuroImage*. 2009;45(2):614-
617 26.
- 618 37. Yang Y, Wang J-Z. From structure to behavior in basolateral amygdala-hippocampus
619 circuits. *Frontiers in neural circuits*. 2017;11:86.
- 620 38. Bzdok D, Laird AR, Zilles K, Fox PT, Eickhoff SB. An investigation of the structural,
621 connectional, and functional subspecialization in the human amygdala. *Hum Brain Mapp*.
622 2013;34(12):3247-66.
- 623 39. Kawasaki K, Glueck AC, Annicchiarico I, Papini MR. Function of the centromedial
624 amygdala in reward devaluation and open-field activity. *Neuroscience*. 2015;303:73-81.
- 625 40. Koelsch S, Skouras S, Fritz T, Herrera P, Bonhage C, Küssner MB, et al. The roles of
626 superficial amygdala and auditory cortex in music-evoked fear and joy. *NeuroImage*.
627 2013;81:49-60.
- 628 41. Gabard-Durnam LJ, Flannery J, Goff B, Gee DG, Humphreys KL, Telzer E, et al. The
629 development of human amygdala functional connectivity at rest from 4 to 23years: A cross-
630 sectional study. *NeuroImage*. 2014;95:193-207.
- 631 42. Jalbrzikowski M, Larsen B, Hallquist MN, Foran W, Calabro F, Luna B. Development of
632 White Matter Microstructure and Intrinsic Functional Connectivity Between the Amygdala
633 and Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex: Associations With Anxiety and Depression. *Biological*
634 *Psychiatry*. 2017;82(7):511-21.

- 635 43. Qin S, Young CB, Supekar K, Uddin LQ, Menon V. Immature integration and
636 segregation of emotion-related brain circuitry in young children. *Proceedings of the National*
637 *Academy of Sciences*. 2012;109(20):7941-6.
- 638 44. Roy AK, Shehzad Z, Margulies DS, Kelly AC, Uddin LQ, Gotimer K, et al. Functional
639 connectivity of the human amygdala using resting state fMRI. *Neuroimage*. 2009;45(2):614-
640 26.
- 641 45. Salzwedel AP, Stephens RL, Goldman BD, Lin W, Gilmore JH, Gao W. Development of
642 Amygdala Functional Connectivity During Infancy and Its Relationship With 4-Year
643 Behavioral Outcomes. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging*. 2019;4(1):62-71.
- 644 46. Dosenbach NU, Nardos B, Cohen AL, Fair DA, Power JD, Church JA, et al. Prediction of
645 individual brain maturity using fMRI. *Science*. 2010;329(5997):1358-61.
- 646 47. Senn V, Wolff SB, Herry C, Grenier F, Ehrlich I, Gründemann J, et al. Long-range
647 connectivity defines behavioral specificity of amygdala neurons. *Neuron*. 2014;81(2):428-37.
- 648 48. Casey BJ, Heller AS, Gee DG, Cohen AO. Development of the emotional brain.
649 *Neuroscience Letters*. 2019;693:29-34.
- 650 49. Weisman O, Guri Y, Gur RE, McDonald-McGinn DM, Calkins ME, Tang SX, et al.
651 Subthreshold psychosis in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: multisite naturalistic study.
652 *Schizophrenia bulletin*. 2017;43(5):1079-89.
- 653 50. Jalbrzikowski M, Murty VP, Tervo-Clemmens B, Foran W, Luna B. Age-Associated
654 Deviations of Amygdala Functional Connectivity in Youths With Psychosis Spectrum
655 Disorders: Relevance to Psychotic Symptoms. *American Journal of Psychiatry*.
656 2019;176(3):196-207.
- 657 51. Kim WS, Shen G, Liu C, Kang NI, Lee KH, Sui J, et al. Altered amygdala-based
658 functional connectivity in individuals with attenuated psychosis syndrome and first-episode
659 schizophrenia. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):17711.
- 660 52. Grace A, Gomes F, Zhu X. 3.1 PREPUBERTAL STRESS AND AMYGDALA-HIPPOCAMPAL
661 OVERDRIVE IN THE PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF SCHIZOPHRENIA: ALLEVIATION BY EARLY
662 ENVIRONMENTAL ENRICHMENT. *Schizophr Bull*. 2019;45(Suppl 2):S89-90.
- 663 53. Zhu X, Grace A. Peripubertal Stress Induces Age-and Sex-Dependent Pathological
664 Changes in Dopamine System Responsivity: Relevance to Schizophrenia. *Biological*
665 *Psychiatry*. 2021;89(9):S196-S7.
- 666 54. McGuffin P, Owen M. Genetic basis of schizophrenia. *The Lancet*.
667 1995;346(8976):678-82.
- 668 55. Jonas RK, Montojo CA, Bearden CE. The 22q11.2 deletion syndrome as a window
669 into complex neuropsychiatric disorders over the lifespan. *Biological psychiatry*.
670 2014;75(5):351-60.
- 671 56. Maude Schneider, M.Sc., Martin Debbané, Ph.D., Anne S. Bassett, M.D., F.R.C.P.C.
672 ,, Eva W.C. Chow, M.D., F.R.C.P.C., Wai Lun Alan Fung, M.D., Sc.D., Marianne B.M. van den
673 Bree, Ph.D., et al. Psychiatric Disorders From Childhood to Adulthood in 22q11.2 Deletion
674 Syndrome: Results From the International Consortium on Brain and Behavior in 22q11.2
675 Deletion Syndrome. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2014;171(6):627-39.

- 676 57. Niarchou M, Moore TM, Tang SX, Calkins ME, McDonald-McGuinn DM, Zackai EH, et
677 al. The dimensional structure of psychopathology in 22q11. 2 Deletion Syndrome. *Journal of*
678 *psychiatric research*. 2017;92:124-31.
- 679 58. Sandini C, Zöllner D, Schneider M, Tarun A, Armando M, Nelson B, et al.
680 Characterization and prediction of clinical pathways of vulnerability to psychosis through
681 graph signal processing. *eLife*. 2021;10:e59811.
- 682 59. Gothelf D, Schneider M, Green T, Debbané M, Frisch A, Glaser B, et al. Risk factors
683 and the evolution of psychosis in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome: a longitudinal 2-site study. *J*
684 *Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2013;52(11):1192-203.e3.
- 685 60. Tang SX, James JY, Moore TM, Calkins ME, Kohler CG, Whinna DA, et al. Subthreshold
686 psychotic symptoms in 22q11. 2 deletion syndrome. *Journal of the American Academy of*
687 *Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2014;53(9):991-1000. e2.
- 688 61. Miller TJ, McGlashan TH, Rosen JL, Somjee L, Markovich PJ, Stein K, et al. Prospective
689 diagnosis of the initial prodrome for schizophrenia based on the Structured Interview for
690 Prodromal Syndromes: preliminary evidence of interrater reliability and predictive validity.
691 *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2002;159(5):863-5.
- 692 62. Reich W. Diagnostic interview for children and adolescents (DICA). *Journal of the*
693 *American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2000;39(1):59-66.
- 694 63. Kaufman J, Birmaher B, Brent D, Rao U, Flynn C, Moreci P, et al. Schedule for
695 affective disorders and schizophrenia for school-age children-present and lifetime version
696 (K-SADS-PL): initial reliability and validity data. *Journal of the American Academy of Child &*
697 *Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1997;36(7):980-8.
- 698 64. First MB. Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV Axis I disorders SCID-I: Clinician
699 version, scoresheet: Elsevier España; 1997.
- 700 65. Nichols TE, Das S, Eickhoff SB, Evans AC, Glatard T, Hanke M, et al. Best practices in
701 data analysis and sharing in neuroimaging using MRI. *Nature neuroscience*. 2017;20(3):299-
702 303.
- 703 66. Zöllner D, Schaer M, Scariati E, Padula MC, Eliez S, Van De Ville D. Disentangling
704 resting-state BOLD variability and PCC functional connectivity in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome.
705 *NeuroImage*. 2017;149:85-97.
- 706 67. Yan C, Zang Y. DPARSF: a MATLAB toolbox for " pipeline" data analysis of resting-
707 state fMRI. *Frontiers in systems neuroscience*. 2010;4:13.
- 708 68. Alemán-Gómez Y, editor IBASPM: toolbox for automatic parcellation of brain
709 structures. 12th Annual Meeting of the Organization for Human Brain Mapping June 11-15,
710 2006 Florence, Italy; 2006.
- 711 69. Eickhoff SB, Paus T, Caspers S, Grosbras M-H, Evans AC, Zilles K, et al. Assignment of
712 functional activations to probabilistic cytoarchitectonic areas revisited. *Neuroimage*.
713 2007;36(3):511-21.
- 714 70. Amunts K, Kedo O, Kindler M, Pieperhoff P, Mohlberg H, Shah N, et al.
715 Cytoarchitectonic mapping of the human amygdala, hippocampal region and entorhinal


- 716 cortex: intersubject variability and probability maps. *Anatomy and embryology*.
717 2005;210(5):343-52.
- 718 71. Ashburner J. A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. *Neuroimage*.
719 2007;38(1):95-113.
- 720 72. Collins DL, Zijdenbos AP, Kollokian V, Sled JG, Kabani NJ, Holmes CJ, et al. Design and
721 construction of a realistic digital brain phantom. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*.
722 1998;17(3):463-8.
- 723 73. McIntosh AR, Lobaugh NJ. Partial least squares analysis of neuroimaging data:
724 applications and advances. *Neuroimage*. 2004;23:S250-S63.
- 725 74. Delavari F, Sandini C, Zöller D, Mancini V, Bortolin K, Schneider M, et al.
726 Dysmaturation Observed as Altered Hippocampal Functional Connectivity at Rest Is
727 Associated With the Emergence of Positive Psychotic Symptoms in Patients With 22q11
728 Deletion Syndrome. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2021;90(1):58-68.
- 729 75. Power JD, Barnes KA, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. Spurious but systematic
730 correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion.
731 *Neuroimage*. 2012;59(3):2142-54.
- 732 76. Motzkin JC, Philippi CL, Wolf RC, Baskaya MK, Koenigs M. Ventromedial prefrontal
733 cortex is critical for the regulation of amygdala activity in humans. *Biological psychiatry*.
734 2015;77(3):276-84.
- 735 77. Quirk GJ, Likhtik E, Pelletier JG, Paré D. Stimulation of medial prefrontal cortex
736 decreases the responsiveness of central amygdala output neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience*.
737 2003;23(25):8800-7.
- 738 78. Rosenkranz JA, Grace AA. Dopamine attenuates prefrontal cortical suppression of
739 sensory inputs to the basolateral amygdala of rats. *Journal of Neuroscience*.
740 2001;21(11):4090-103.
- 741 79. Zimmermann KS, Richardson R, Baker KD. Maturation Changes in Prefrontal and
742 Amygdala Circuits in Adolescence: Implications for Understanding Fear Inhibition during a
743 Vulnerable Period of Development. *Brain Sci*. 2019;9(3):65.
- 744 80. Felix-Ortiz AC, Beyeler A, Seo C, Leppla CA, Wildes CP, Tye KM. BLA to vHPC inputs
745 modulate anxiety-related behaviors. *Neuron*. 2013;79(4):658-64.
- 746 81. Ganella DE, Barendse ME, Kim JH, Whittle S. Prefrontal-amygdala connectivity and
747 state anxiety during fear extinction recall in adolescents. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*.
748 2017;11:587.
- 749 82. Uliana DL, Resstel LBM, Grace AA. Fear extinction disruption in a developmental
750 rodent model of schizophrenia correlates with an impairment in basolateral amygdala-
751 medial prefrontal cortex plasticity. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2018;43(12):2459-67.
- 752 83. Pi G, Gao D, Wu D, Wang Y, Lei H, Zeng W, et al. Posterior basolateral amygdala to
753 ventral hippocampal CA1 drives approach behaviour to exert an anxiolytic effect. *Nature*
754 *Communications*. 2020;11(1):183.
- 755 84. McGarry LM, Carter AG. Inhibitory Gating of Basolateral Amygdala Inputs to the
756 Prefrontal Cortex. *J Neurosci*. 2016;36(36):9391-406.

- 757 85. Akirav I, Richter-Levin G. Biphasic modulation of hippocampal plasticity by behavioral
758 stress and basolateral amygdala stimulation in the rat. *J Neurosci.* 1999;19(23):10530-5.
- 759 86. Berretta S, Munno DW, Benes FM. Amygdalar activation alters the hippocampal
760 GABA system: "partial" modelling for postmortem changes in schizophrenia. *J Comp Neurol.*
761 2001;431(2):129-38.
- 762 87. Benes FM, Lim B, Matzilevich D, Walsh JP, Subburaju S, Minns M. Regulation of the
763 GABA cell phenotype in hippocampus of schizophrenics and bipolars. *Proceedings of the*
764 *National Academy of Sciences.* 2007;104(24):10164-9.
- 765 88. Heckers S, Konradi C. GABAergic mechanisms of hippocampal hyperactivity in
766 schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research.* 2015;167(1):4-11.
- 767 89. Mukherjee A, Carvalho F, Eliez S, Caroni P. Long-Lasting Rescue of Network and
768 Cognitive Dysfunction in a Genetic Schizophrenia Model. *Cell.* 2019;178(6):1387-402.e14.
- 769 90. Mancini V, Sandini C, Padula MC, Zöllner D, Schneider M, Schaer M, et al. Positive
770 psychotic symptoms are associated with divergent developmental trajectories of
771 hippocampal volume during late adolescence in patients with 22q11DS. *Molecular*
772 *Psychiatry.* 2020;25(11):2844-59.
- 773 91. Caballero A, Flores-Barrera E, Cass DK, Tseng KY. Differential regulation of
774 parvalbumin and calretinin interneurons in the prefrontal cortex during adolescence. *Brain*
775 *Structure and Function.* 2014;219(1):395-406.
- 776 92. Flores-Barrera E, Thomases DR, Heng LJ, Cass DK, Caballero A, Tseng KY. Late
777 adolescent expression of GluN2B transmission in the prefrontal cortex is input-specific and
778 requires postsynaptic protein kinase A and D1 dopamine receptor signaling. *Biol Psychiatry.*
779 2014;75(6):508-16.
- 780 93. Lin Y, Li X, Lupi M, Kinsey-Jones JS, Shao B, Lightman SL, et al. The role of the medial
781 and central amygdala in stress-induced suppression of pulsatile LH secretion in female rats.
782 *Endocrinology.* 2011;152(2):545-55.
- 783 94. Kapp BS, Supple WF, Jr., Whalen PJ. Effects of electrical stimulation of the
784 amygdaloid central nucleus on neocortical arousal in the rabbit. *Behav Neurosci.*
785 1994;108(1):81-93.
- 786 95. Quirk GJ, Likhtik E, Pelletier JG, Paré D. Stimulation of Medial Prefrontal Cortex
787 Decreases the Responsiveness of Central Amygdala Output Neurons. *The Journal of*
788 *Neuroscience.* 2003;23(25):8800-7.
- 789 96. Ilen L, Feller C, Eliez S, Micol E, Delavari F, Sandi C, et al. Exploring associations
790 between diurnal cortisol, stress, coping and psychopathology in adolescents and young
791 adults with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. *Comprehensive Psychoneuroendocrinology.*
792 2022;9:100103.
- 793 97. Shioiri T, Shinada K, Kuwabara H, Someya T. Early prodromal symptoms and
794 diagnoses before first psychotic episode in 219 inpatients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Clin*
795 *Neurosci.* 2007;61(4):348-54.

- 796 98. Sandini C, Chambaz M, Schneider M, Armando M, Zöllner D, Schaer M, et al. Pituitary
797 dysmaturation affects psychopathology and neurodevelopment in 22q11.2 Deletion
798 Syndrome. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*. 2020;113:104540.
- 799 99. Höistad M, Barbas H. Sequence of information processing for emotions through
800 pathways linking temporal and insular cortices with the amygdala. *Neuroimage*.
801 2008;40(3):1016-33.
- 802 100. Augustine JR. Circuitry and functional aspects of the insular lobe in primates
803 including humans. *Brain research reviews*. 1996;22(3):229-44.
- 804 101. Tschacher W, Giersch A, Friston K. Embodiment and Schizophrenia: A Review of
805 Implications and Applications. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 2017;43(4):745-53.
- 806 102. Kropf E, Syan SK, Minuzzi L, Frey BN. From anatomy to function: the role of the
807 somatosensory cortex in emotional regulation. *Braz J Psychiatry*. 2019;41(3):261-9.
- 808 103. Melvin K, Crossley J, Cromby J. The feeling, embodiment and emotion of
809 hallucinations in first episode psychosis: A prospective phenomenological visual-ecological
810 study using novel multimodal unusual sensory experience (MUSE) maps. *EClinicalMedicine*.
811 2021;41:101153.
- 812 104. Kesby JP, Eyles DW, McGrath JJ, Scott JG. Dopamine, psychosis and schizophrenia:
813 the widening gap between basic and clinical neuroscience. *Translational Psychiatry*.
814 2018;8(1):30.
- 815 105. Xiao Q, Zhou X, Wei P, Xie L, Han Y, Wang J, et al. A new GABAergic somatostatin
816 projection from the BNST onto accumbal parvalbumin neurons controls anxiety. *Molecular*
817 *Psychiatry*. 2021;26(9):4719-41.
- 818 106. Fudge JL, Emiliano AB. The Extended Amygdala and the Dopamine System: Another
819 Piece of the Dopamine Puzzle. *The Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*.
820 2003;15(3):306-16.

821

822 **Figures :**



823

824 *Fig 1. Results of Partial Least Squares correlation for basolateral amygdala (BLA) functional*
 825 *connectivity at rest.*

826 *Figure 1-1. Comparing maturation of BLA functional connectivity, in patients with 22q11.2 deletion*
 827 *syndrome (22q11.2DS) and healthy controls subjects. (a) Design salience reveals a substantial*
 828 *negative loading for diagnosis. (b) Distribution of the brain scores across age span for each diagnostic*
 829 *group visualizes the loadings captured in the design salience. Brain scores are lower in patients with*
 830 *22q11.2DS (negative diagnosis loading). Fitted line and 95% confidence interval are plotted to*
 831 *visualize the age and diagnosis interaction effect captured in the designed salience. (c) The patterns*
 832 *of brain salience could be interpreted as areas with lower BLA functional connectivity (blue), and*
 833 *higher functional connectivity (red) in patients with 22q11.2DS.*

834 *Figure 1-2 Comparing maturation of BLA functional connectivity in patients with and without mild to*
 835 *moderate impaired tolerance to stress [ITS(+) and ITS(-), respectively]. (a) Design salience reveals the*
 836 *negative effect of group as well as a negative effect of age–group interaction. (b) The distribution of*
 837 *brain scores across age in each group visualizes the captured loadings in the design salience.*

838 *Accordingly, brain scores are low in ITS(+) patients and deviate further from brain scores of ITS(-)*
839 *patients during development. Fitted line and 95% confidence interval are plotted to visualize the age*
840 *and diagnosis interaction effect captured by partial least squares correlation in the design salience.*
841 *(c) The pattern of brain salience shows areas with lower and more severe decrease of BLA functional*
842 *connectivity in ITS(+) patients (blue).*
843
844
845
846
847
848

850

851 *Fig 2. Results of Partial Least Squares correlation for centromedial amygdala (CMA) functional*
852 *connectivity at rest.*

853 *Figure 2-1. Comparing maturation of CMA functional connectivity, in patients with 22q11.2 deletion*
854 *syndrome (22q11.2DS) and healthy controls subjects. (a) Design salience reveals negative values for*
855 *age related loadings. (b) Distribution of the brain scores across age for each group visualizes the*
856 *loadings captured in the design salience. Brain scores progressively decrease with aging in patients*
857 *with 22q11.2DS but not in healthy controls (negative age-diagnosis interaction loadings). Fitted line*
858 *and 95% confidence interval are plotted to visualize the age and diagnosis interaction effect captured*
859 *in the designed salience. (c) The patterns of brain salience could be interpreted as areas that show a*
860 *progressive decrease in CMA functional connectivity in patients with 22q11.2DS but not healthy*
861 *controls (blue).*

862

863 *Figure 2-2 Comparing maturation of CMA functional connectivity in patients with and without mild to*
864 *moderate positive psychotic symptoms [PS(+) and PS(-), respectively]. (a) Design salience reveals the*
865 *negative effect of group as well as negative effects of age-group interactions. (b) The distribution*
866 *of brain scores across age in each group visualizes the captured loadings in the design salience. As*
867 *such, brain scores are low in PS(+) patients and progressively become lower during development*
868 *when compared to PS(-) patients (negative diagnosis and age-diagnosis interaction loadings). Fitted*
869 *line and 95% confidence interval are plotted to visualize the loadings captured by partial least*
870 *squares correlation in the design salience. (c) The pattern of brain salience shows areas with lower*
871 *and more severe decrease of CMA functional connectivity in PS(+) patients (blue).*


872

873 *Figure 2-3 Comparing maturation of CMA functional connectivity in patients with and without mild to*
874 *moderate impaired tolerance to stress [ITS(+) and ITS(-), respectively]. (a) Design salience reveals the*
875 *negative effect of ITS group as well as negative effects of age-group interactions. (b) Brain scores*
876 *distributed across age in each group visualizes the captured loadings in the design salience. Brain*
877 *scores are low in ITS(+) patients and progressively become lower during development when*
878 *compared to ITS(-) patients (negative diagnosis and age-diagnosis interaction loadings). Fitted line*
879 *and 95% confidence interval are plotted to visualize the loadings captured by partial least squares*
880 *correlation in the design salience. (c) The pattern of brain salience shows a highly comparable brain*
881 *pattern with Figures 2-1 and 2-2. Likewise this brain pattern visualized areas with lower and more*
882 *severe decrease of CMA functional connectivity in ITS(+) patients.*

883

884

885




886

887 *Fig 3. Results of Partial Least Squares correlation for superficial amygdala (SFA) functional*
 888 *connectivity at rest.*

889 *Figure 3-1. Comparing maturation of SFA functional connectivity, in patients with 22q11.2 deletion*
 890 *syndrome (22q11.2DS) and healthy controls subjects. (a) Design salience reveals a substantial age-*
 891 *related loading in both groups. (b) Distribution of the brain scores across age span for each diagnostic*
 892 *group visualizes the effect of aging captured in the design salience. Brain scores increase with age*
 893 *(positive age-related loadings). Fitted line and 95% confidence interval are plotted to visualize the*
 894 *loadings captured in the designed salience. (c) The patterns of brain salience could be interpreted as*
 895 *areas with increasing SFA functional connectivity (red), and decreasing SFA functional*
 896 *connectivity (blue).*

897 Figure 3-2 Comparing maturation of SFA functional connectivity in patients with and without mild to
 898 moderate positive psychotic symptoms [PS(+) and PS(-), respectively]. (a) Design salience reveals the
 899 positive effect of group as well as a positive effects for age and age-group interactions. (b) The
 900 distribution of brain scores across age in each group visualizes the captured loadings in the design
 901 salience. As visualized, brain scores are higher in PS(+) patients and increase faster with aging when
 902 compared to the brain scores of PS(-) patients. Fitted line and 95% confidence interval are plotted to
 903 visualize the age and diagnosis interaction effect captured by partial least squares correlation in the
 904 design salience. (c) The pattern of brain salience shows areas with higher and accelerated increase of
 905 SFA functional connectivity in PS(+) patients.
 906
 907
 908

4



909
 910

911 Figure 4. Amygdalar subdivisions and the putative circuits involved in stress and psychosis symptoms
 912 in patients with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome. Multivariate pattern of BLA dysconnectivity: During
 913 childhood basolateral amygdala (BLA) exhibits impaired connections with prefrontal cortex which
 914 would result in hyperactivation of BLA and stress symptoms. Abnormally heightened connectivity to
 915 hippocampus modulates loss of GABAergic interneurons in hippocampus that later results in
 916 hyperactive hippocampus and positive psychotic symptoms. Centromedial amygdala (CMA)
 917 connectivity dysmaturation: a mutual finding in both stress and psychosis symptoms during
 918 adolescence. Impaired connections of CMA-prefrontal cortex results in CMA hyperactivation and out
 919 of context downstream release of cortisol. Superficial amygdala (SFA) heightened connections to
 920 striatum and thalamus: a specific pattern presented with psychotic symptoms possibly through the
 921 subcortical excess of dopamine.

	Number of scans	Number of subjects (F/M)	Age, Mean (SD) □		Frame-wise displacement after scrubbing, Mean (SD) □		IQ, Mean (SD) □		Average years between Visits (SD)
				p-value:		p-value:		p-value:	
HC	171	120(64/56)	16.77 (5.80)	0.657	0.14 (0.06)	<0.001*	110.69(12.9)	<0.001*	1.44(2.14)
22q1IDS	160	105(50/55)	17.63 (6.06)		0.18 (0.06)		71.96(13.31)		1.59(2.19)
PS+	101	64(33/31)	18.15(5.50)	0.945	0.17(0.06)	0.657	72.20(14.1)	0.729	1.63(2.18)
PS-	53	35(18/17)	18.23(5.56)		0.18(0.05)		71.20(12.6)		1.79(2.29)
ITS +	67	37(20/17)	18.43(4.34)	0.727	0.15(0.04)	0.007 *	67.02(12.4)	0.005*	2.3(2.17)
ITS -	87	62(28/34)	18.02(6.11)		0.19(0.06)		74.72(13.5)		1.31(2.17)
ITS + & PS+	55	30(15/15)	18.67(4.46)		0.15 (0.05)		67.25(12.4)		2.40(2.27)

	PS+	PS-	p-value PS+ vs. PS- ◊	ITS +	ITS -	p-value ITS + vs. ITS - ◊	22q1DS
Anxiety disorder ¥	35(54.67%)	10(28.57%)	0.008 *	20(54.05%)	25(40.32%)	0.182	45 (42.85%)
Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder ¥	35(54.67%)	16 (45.71%)	0.392	22(59.46%)	29(46.77%)	0.216	53 (50.47%)
Mood disorder ¥	31 (48.44%)	11 (31.43%)	0.089	19(51.35%)	23(37.10%)	0.164	44 (41.90%)
Schizophrenia ¥	6(9.37%)	0	NA	5(13.51%)	1(1.61%)	0.047 *	6 (5.71.4%)
More than one psychiatric comorbidity ¥	34(53.12%)	12(34.28%)	0.09	22(59.46%)	24(38.71%)	0.041*	47(44.76%)
Mood stabilizer ¥	3(4.69%)	0	NA	3(8.11%)	0	NA	3(2.86%)
SSRI ¥	13 (20.31%)	4(11.43%)	0.227	11(29.73%)	6(9.68%)	0.017*	18(17.14%)
Neuroleptic ¥	9(14.06%)	1(2.86%)	0.036*	8(21.62%)	2(3.23%)	0.009 *	10(9.52%)
Psychostimulant ¥	19(29.69%)	10(28.57%)	0.906	13(35.13%)	16(25.81%)	0.332	29(27.61%)
Anxiolytic ¥	4(6.25%)	1(2.86%)	0.412	4(10.81%)	1(1.61%)	0.085	5(4.76%)

- * Significant at the level of $p < 0.05$. (T-test. Otherwise Chi-square indicated by : ◊)
- □ Calculated first level average within subject measurements, and then second level across all subjects.
- ¥ Positive if present at any of the repetitive assessments.

IQ measurement: Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-III (Wechsler, 1991) for children and the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-III (Wechsler, 1997) for adults. The presence of psychiatric disorders: Clinical interview with the patients using the Diagnostic Interview for Children and Adolescents Revised (DICA-R; Reich, 2000) and the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I; First et al., 1996).